Lehigh University Lehigh Preserve

Theses and Dissertations

1-1-1976

Duality between measure and Baire category.

Francis J. Yasko

Follow this and additional works at: http://preserve.lehigh.edu/etd Part of the <u>Mathematics Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Yasko, Francis J., "Duality between measure and Baire category." (1976). Theses and Dissertations. Paper 2035.

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Lehigh Preserve. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Lehigh Preserve. For more information, please contact preserve@lehigh.edu.

DUALITY BETWEEN MEASURE AND BAIRE CATEGORY

by

Francis J. Vasko

A THESIS

Presented to the Graduate Committee

of Lehigh University

in Candidacy for the Degree of

Master of Science

in

The Department of Mathematics

Lehigh University

1976

ProQuest Number: EP76308

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest EP76308

Published by ProQuest LLC (2015). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

> ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

This thesis is accepted and approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

(Date)

Professor In Charge

5

Head of the Department

ACKNOWLEDGENENT

I would like to express my very sincere thanks to Dr. Gary B. Laison for his valuable advice and counsel in the preparation of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

	146
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	2
CHAPTER 1: A DUALITY THEOREM	5
CHAPTER 2: APPLICATIONS OF THE DUALITY THEOREM	15
CHAPTER 3: NON-MEASURABLE SETS	22
CHAPTER 4: EXTENDED DUALITY	30
CHAPTER 5: PRODUCT SPACES	48
CHAPTER 6: CATEGORY MEASURE SPACES	54
A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	55
B. EXAMPLE: DENSITY TOPOLOGY	60
C. EXAMPLE: BOOLEAN MEASURE SPACES AND NORMAL MEASURES ON STONIAN SPACES	72
BIBLIOGRAPHY	80
VITA	82

AN ABSTRACT

of

)

DUALITY BETWEEN MEASURE AND BAIRE CATEGORY

67

Francis J. Vasko

Let X be a second countable Baire metric space, and \mathcal{M} the completion of a regular non-atomic Borel measure with support X. I prove a duality theorem showing that statements about sets of first category are equivalent to the dual statements about sets of measure zero (generalizing the result of Seirpinski for the reals). This duality theorem is then used to prove some measure theoretic results from the dual results of Baire category. I show that a more general duality principle between measurable sets and sets with the property of Baire is not valid.

I consider category measure spaces (i.e. where "measure zero" coincides with "first category"), obtaining some general r results about them, and exhibiting some examples.

-1-

INTRODUCTION

I will consider in my thesis the duality between measure and Baire category.

Several fundamental definitions I will use include: a <u>Borel</u> <u>measure</u> is a measure on the Borel sets of a topological space. A measure \mathcal{M} on a topological space is called a <u>regular measure</u> if for every measurable set A

 $\mathcal{M}(A) = \sup \mathcal{I}(F) / F \subset A \text{ and } F \text{ is a closed set} = \inf \mathcal{I}(G) / G \supset A \text{ and } G \text{ is an open set } \mathcal{I}.$

We will also assume the continuum hypothesis, i.e. there does not exist any cardinal number between the cardinal number of the natural numbers and the cardinal number of the reals.

Unless otherwise stated X is a second countable Baire metric space and \mathcal{M} is the completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets such that points have measure zero and $\mathcal{M}(G) > 0$ for all open sets G in X.

I will now look more closely at the hypothesis on X. The condition $\mathcal{M}(G) \ge 0$ for all open sets G besides eliminating any : isolated points in X [[since points have measure zero]] and assuming that $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is nontrivial, also ensures that the topology of X is not detached from the measure \mathcal{M} .

Suppose X is any second category topological space. Let $\{Q_{C}\}$ be the collection of all open sets of first category and let $G = \bigcup_{Q \in C}$. Then by the Banach Category Theorem G is of first

-2-

category. Now $X = (X-G) \cup G$, therefore X is the disjoint union of an open set of first category and a nontrivial Baire space. Hence we do not sacrifice any generality by assuming that a second category space is a Baire space.

It is easy to prove (see DH) that the cardinality of any second countable T_i space is at most c(i.e. the cardinality of the real numbers). Therefore X has cardinality at most c. But since the measure on X is strictly greater than zero it is clear that the cardinality of X is c.

The main results of this thesis are:

1) a duality theorem for X between the sets of measure zero and the sets of first category generalizing a result by Seirpinski $\begin{bmatrix} 16 \end{bmatrix}$

2) I generalize a result by Szpilrajn [18], proving that there exists no duality theorem for X between the measurable sets and the sets having the property of Baire,

3) my construction of category measure spaces via a density topology on X is a generalization of material in [10] and [6], also I derive category measure spaces via Boolean measure spaces, and stonian spaces with a finite normal measure whose support is the entire space.

4) the set-theoretic equality of Boolean measure spaces to stonian spaces with a finite normal measure with support the entire space.

5) the construction of stonian spaces with a finite normal

measure with support the entire space by applying the Gelfand-Naimark representation theorem to the $\stackrel{\infty}{\sqsubset}$ spaces of arbitrary finite measure spaces.

Much of the material of (1) and (2) done for the real line is found in Oxtoby's book $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

CHAPTER 1

A DUATTY TUTODIV

The main purpose of this section is to establish a duality principle between sets of first category and nullsets. In 1934 Sierpinski [16] proved the following theorem. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, there exists a one-to-one mapping f of the line, i.e. the real numbers, onto itself such that $f(\Sigma)$ is a nullset if and only if E is of first category. It is not known whether Sierpinski's Theorem can be proved without the continuum hypothesis. Sierpinski asked whether a stronger version of his theorem was true, in particular, does there exist a mapping f that maps each of the two classes onto the other simutaneously? This question was answered in the affirmative by Prios [4] in 1043. Namely Erios proved the following. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, there exists a one-to-one mapping f of the line onto itself such that

 $f = f^{-1}$ and such that $f(\Xi)$

is a nullset if and only if E is of first category. (It follows from these properties that f(F) is of first category if and only if E is a nullset.) His proof required a relatively small refinement of Sierpinski's proof. In this chapter I will prove that Erdős's theorem holds in a more general setting, i.e. for certain

-5-

measures on a particular class of metric spaces (see Theorem 1.17 below). I will prove this by making use of several set--theoretic theorems found in $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix}$. These set--theoretic results were proved and used by Endős for the specific classes of nullsets and sets of first category. Unless otherwise stated X will be a second countable Baire metric space, and \mathcal{M} will be the completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets of X such that points have measure zero and

$\mu(G) > O$

for all nonempty open sets G in X.

I now state two trivial dual results which we will use later in the proof of the duality theorem.

Lemma 1.2: i) In any topological space the class of sets of first category is a \mathcal{T} --ideal. ii) In any complete measure space the class of sets of measure zero is a \mathcal{T} --ideal.

<u>Proof</u> i) clear by the definition of first category. ii) clear by the countable additivity of the measure and by the definition of a complete measure.

Remark 1.3: Lemma 1.2 holds for X.

<u>Lemma 1.4</u>: i) In any topological space every set of first category is contained in an F of first category. ii) In any

topological space which has a regulas measure on its Porel sets, any nullset is contained in a Opennilset.

<u>Proof</u> i) this is true since the closure of a nowhere donce out is nowhere donce and the closure of every set contains the not. ii) this monult follows easily from the definition of a regular measure.

Example 1.5: I will show that this result need not hold for a non-regular measure. Consider the reals, \mathbb{R} , with the euclidean topology on it and let \mathbb{M} be the completion of a measure defined for all the Borel sets by $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{E}) = 0$ if E is of first category, and $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{E}) = \infty$ if E is of second category. Clearly \mathbb{M} is a non-regular measure. Now $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ where Q is the set of rational numbers, but there does not exist a G containing Q of zero measure \mathbb{Z} since a dense G is residual and X is Baire.

<u>Bewark 1.6</u>: Letta 1.4 holds for X. The following Lettas and corallary are generalizations of results found in $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Ierra 1.7</u>: X can be decomposed into two complementary sets A and B such that A is of first category and B is of measure zero.

<u>Proof</u> Since X is second countable it is separable. Let $\{a_i\}$ be any countable dense set of X. Let 0_{ij} be an open set containing a_i and $\mathcal{M}(0_{ij}) \leftarrow \underbrace{\int}_{j=1}^{l_{ij}} \int \int f$ this is possible because points have measure zero and \mathcal{M} is regular $\int f$. Let $G_j = \underbrace{\bigcup}_{j=1}^{U} 0_{ij}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $B = \underbrace{\bigcap}_{j=1}^{U} G_j$.

Now for any E > 0 we can choose j so that $\frac{1}{J} < E$.

-7-

Then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$ $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}$

Hence B is a nullset (i.e. a set of reasure zero). On the other hand, G_j is a dense open subset of X, since it is the union of open subsets containing a dense set. Therefore its complement G_j is nowhere dense, and $A = B = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_j$ is of first category.

<u>Corollary 1.9</u>: Every subset of X can be represented as the union of a nullset and a set of first category.

<u>Remark 1.9</u>: We will now consider two examples to show that the conclusion of Lemma 1.7 does not hold without our assumptions on X. First of all observe that this result does not hold for Example 1.5 since \mathbb{R} is of second category in itself.

Example 1.10: Consider $\{a,b\}$ with the discrete topology on it and the regular measure \mathcal{M} on $\{a,b\}$ such that

 $\mathcal{M}(\{a\}) = \mathcal{M}(\{b\}) = 1.$ Then $\{a,b\}$ cannot be decomposed into two disjoint sets, one of first category and the other of measure zero $\int since only the empty set has measure zero and X is not of first category <math>\int$.

Example 1.11: Now assume

 $x = R^2 - \{0\}$, and $d(x,y) = d_e(x,0) + d_e(y,0)$

for all $x, y \in X$ where d_e is the euclidean distance function for the plane. Then it follows easily that X is a nonseparable, topologically complete (hence a Baire space) metric space $\int X$ is topologically complete because it is a $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ in (\mathcal{R}^2, d_e) which is a complete metric space $\int I$. For any measurable subset E of a

-84

line y = mx we define $\mathcal{M}(F)$ to be equal to Lebesque measure on the line. If E is any Borel subset of X, then $\mathcal{M}(F) = \infty$ if E intersects uncoutably many lines y = mx. If E is a Borel subset of X which intersects only countably many lines y = mx, then

 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{P}) = \text{the sum of its "sectional" measure on each line. It is$ $easy to check that <math>\mathcal{U}$ is a regular measure on the Borel sets of X. Let $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ be the completion of \mathcal{U} , then X cannot be decomposed into two disjoint sets, one of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ -measure zero and the other of first category $\int [a]$ set is of first category in X if and only if it intersects each line (y = mx) in a set of first category. Hence the complement of a set of first category has infinite measure $\int [a]$.

<u>Hemma 1.12</u>: Any uncountable G_0 subset of X contains a nowhere dense closed set C of measure zero that can be mapped onto $\begin{bmatrix} 0,1 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e. onto a set of cardinality c.

<u>Proof</u> Let $E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_m$ open, and E is an uncountable G_p set. Let F denote the set of all condensation points of E that belong to E, that is, all points x in E such that every neighborhood of x contains uncountably many points of E. F is nonempty; otherwise, if $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a base for X and $\{B_i\} \in \{B_i\}$ is a subclass of $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ contains only countably many points of .E, then this subclass would cover E and E would be countable. Similar reasoning shows that F has no isolated points. Let

F(0) and F(1)

be two disjoint closed sets of measure at most 1/3 whose interiors

toat F and whose union is contained in G_1 . Proceeding inductively, if 2^m disjoint closed sets $F(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ (i_K = 0 or 1), whose interiors all meet in F and whose union is contained in G_m have been defined, let

 $F(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \quad (i_{m+1} = 0 \text{ or } 1)$ be disjoint closed sets of measure at nost $\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}$ contained in $G_{m+1} \cap F(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ whose interiors reet F. From the fact that F has no isolated points and that $E \subset G_{m+1}$ it is clear that such sets exist. Thus a family of sets $F(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ having the stated properties can be defined. Let

 $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}, \dots, i_{m} \right).$ Then C is a closed nowhere dense subset of E. C has reasure zero for the same reason as the Cantor set $\left[\int for a \text{ discussion of the} \right]$ Cantor set see page 4 of $\left[10 \right] \right]$. Now for each xeC there is a unique sequence $\left\{ i_{m} \right\}$ in = 0 or 1, such that

x E Plan, ..., im

for every n, and every such sequence corresponds to some point of C. Let f(x) be the real number having binary development. $f_1 = f_3 = f_4 = 0$ fraps C onto [n, 1]. Hence C has cardinarity c.

Leven 1.13: The complement of any nullset of X contains a nullset of cardinality c. The complement of any first category set in X contains a first category set of cardinality c.

<u>Proof</u> Clearly since \mathcal{M} is regular the complement of a nullset contains an uncountable closed set. By Theorem 1.1.2 in [12] this closed set is a G_{\bullet} . Hence by Lerna 1.12 it contains a closed nullest of cardinality c. The complement of a set of first category contains an uncountable G_{\bullet} set \iint by Lerna 1.4 and since X is a Paire space \iint . By Lerna 1.12 this set contains a nowhere dense set of cardinality c.

<u>Penark 1.14</u>: The category part of the above theorem does not hold if X is not a Faire space. For example, if C =the cantor set, then

 $X = CU((1,2) \land Q)$ where Q is the rationals,

is a second countable metric space of cardinality c. Now C is a κ set of first category, in fact it is a nowhere dense set in X, but

$$X - C = (1, 2) \Lambda Q$$

does not contain a first category set of cardinality c.

The following two theorems are found on page 76 in [10].

Theorem 1.15: Let X be a set of cardinality c, and let K be a class of subsets of X with the following properties:

(a) K is a σ -ideal,

(b) the union of K is X,

- (c) K has a subclass G of cardinality ≤ c with the property that each member of K is contained in some member of G.
- (d) the complement of each member of K contains a set of cardinality c that belongs to K.

Then X can be decomposed into a disjoint sets X_{∞} , each of power a, such that a subset E of X belongs to K if and only if E is contained in a countable union of sets X_{∞} .

<u>proof</u> Let $A = \{ o(: d \leq 0 \leq \Omega \}$ be the set of ordinals of first or second class, that is, all ordinals less than the first ordinal, Ω , that has uncountably many predecessors. Then A has cardinality c, and there exists a mapping $C \rightarrow G$ of A onto G. For each $C \in A$ define

 $H_{\infty} = \bigvee_{d \in \mathcal{A}} G_{\beta} \text{ and } \bigvee_{\alpha} = H_{\alpha} - \bigvee_{d \in \mathcal{A}} H_{\beta}.$ Put B = $\{\alpha \in A; K_{\alpha} \text{ is uncountable}\}$. Properties (a), (c) and (d), imply that B has no upper bound in A. Therefore there exists a one-to-one order-preserving map for A onto B. For each α in A, define

$$\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} = H_{\boldsymbol{p}(\alpha)} - \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \infty} H_{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \,.$$

By construction and property (a), the sets $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ are disjoint and belong to K. Since $X_{\mathcal{L}} \supset X_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{L})$ each of the sets $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ has cardinality c. For any $\beta \in A$, we have $\beta \in \phi(\infty)$ for some $\infty \in A$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}(\infty)} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subseteq \infty} X_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Hence, by (c), each member of K is contained in a countable union of the sets X. Using (b), it follows that

$$x = U \times C \bigcup_{x \in A}$$

Thus $\{X_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L}\in A\}$ is a decomposition of X with the required properties.

<u>Theorem 1.16</u>: Net X be a set of cardinality c. Let K and L be two classes of subsets of X each of which has properties (a) to (d) of Theorem 1.15. Suppose further that X is the union of two complementary sets M and N, with $M \in K$ and $N \in L$. Then

-12-

there exists a one-to-one manping flof X onto itself such that $f = f^{-1}$ and such that $f(F) \in I$ if and only if EEX.

<u>Fronf</u> let X_{∞} ($0 \le \ll \le \Omega$) be a decomposition of X corresponding to K, as constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.15. We may assume that M belongs to the generating class G, and that G_0 is taken equal to M. Then $X_0 = M$, because M cannot be countable. Similarly, let Y_{∞} ($0 \le \ll \le \Omega$) be a decomposition of X corresponding to L, with $Y_0 = N$.

Then

$$M = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq n} Y_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq n} X_{\alpha}.$$

The sets $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $Y_{\mathcal{L}}$, for 0 < < < A, constitute a decomposition of X into sets of cardinality c. For each 0 < < < A, let $f_{\mathcal{L}}$ be a oneto-one mapping of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $Y_{\mathcal{L}}$. Define f equal to $f_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $X_{\mathcal{L}}$, and equal to $f_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$ on $Y_{\mathcal{L}}$, for 0 < < < A. Then f is a one-to-one mapping of X onto itself, f is equal to f^{-1} , and $f(X_{\mathcal{L}}) = Y_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all 0 < < < A. Since

 $X_0 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} Y_{\alpha}$ and $Y_0 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} X_{\alpha}$, we have also $f(X_0) = Y_0$. Thus

 $f(X_{\alpha}) = Y_{\alpha}$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \Omega$.

From the properties of X_{C} and Y_{C} stated in Theorem 1.15 it follows that $f(E) \in L$ if and only if $E \in X$.

The following is the main theorem of this discussion.

<u>Theorem 1.17</u>: There exists a one-to-ore mapping f of X onto itself such that $f = f^{-1}$ and such that f(E) is a nullset if and only if E is of first category (It follows that f(E) is of

-13-

first category if and only if T is a nullset).

<u>Proof</u> This theorem follows incediately from Theorem 1.16. Let K be the class of sets of first category, and let L be the class of nullsets. K is generated by the class of F_{e} sets of first category, and L by the class of T_{e} nullsets. Each of these generating classes has cardinality of since X is second countable J. Condition (c) of Theorem 1.15 is therefore satisfied. Condition (d) is implied by Lemma 1.13 and condition (a) is from Lemma 1.2. While condition (b) holds since points have measure zero and X has no isolated roints. For the sets M and N we may take the sets A and E of Lemma 1.7.

The interest of this theorem is that it establishes a strong form of duality, which may be stated as follows.

<u>Theorem 1.17</u>: (Duality Principle). Let P be any proposition involving solaly the hotions of reasure mero, first category, and notions of pure set theory. Let P* be the Proposition obtained from P by interchanging the terms "nullset" and "set of first category" wherever they appear. Then each of the propositions P and P* implies the other.

S GETTING

אַבּכּטַבּאַה אַדַג אַייאַ הּשה פּט צױטָדאָלאָדאָסאָד

In this section I will prove a number of results in Baire category theory and then apply the principle of duality which we established in the first chapter in order to get the corresponding dual results in measure theory. The first four dual theorems were proved for the real numbers by Sierpinski in [16]. Proofs also appear in [10]. We will generalize these results using techniques in [10].

This first theorem is a generalization of a theorem proved by Lusin for the real numbers in 1914 [/5].

<u>Theorem 2.1</u>: Any set E of second category in X has a subset N of power c such that every uncountable subset of N is of second category.

<u>Proof</u> Let $\{X_{\infty}: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}\}$ be the decomposition of X corresponding to the class K of first category sets in the proof of Theorem 1.15. Let N be a set obtained by selecting just one point from each non-empty set of the form $E \cap X_{\infty}$. Since E is of second category, N is uncountable and therefore of power c. No uncountable subset of N can be covered by countably many of the sets X_{∞} . Hence no uncountable subset of N is of first category. Mathematical subset of N is of first category.

-15-

every uncountable subset is of second category is called a lusin set.

The dual of Theorem 2.1 was proved for the real line by Sierpinski in 1924 [15].

Theorem 2.3 (Dual of Theorem 2.1): Any subset E of X of positive outer measure (defined in terms of the measure) has a subset N of rower c such that every uncountable subset of N has positive outer measure.

<u>Remark 2.4</u>: The outer measure of any subset A of X is defined as

 $\mathcal{M}^{*}(A) = \inf \{ \mathcal{M}(F) \} \land CE \text{ and } E \text{ is open} \}.$

<u>Theorem 2.5</u>: There exists a one-to-one mapping f of X onto a subset of itself such that f(E) is of second category whenever E is uncountable.

<u>Proof</u> Let f be any one-to-one mapping of X onto a Lusin set. <u>Theorem 2.6 (Dual of Theorem 2.5</u>): There exists a one-to-one mapping f of X onto a subset of itself such that f(E) has positive outer measure whenever E is uncountable.

<u>Theorem 2.7</u>: Any subset E of X of second category contains c disjoint subsets of second category.

<u>Proof</u> Let f be a one-to-one mapping of X onto a Lusin set contained in E. Now let $\{X_{\mathcal{K}} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be the decomposition of X corresponding to the class K of first category sets (or to the class of nullsets either will do). Then from Theorem 1.15 we know that each $X_{\mathcal{K}}$ is uncountable, therefore, $f(X_{\mathcal{K}})$ is a second

-16-

category subset of E for each $\ll A$. The result follows since the category of the collection

{vx i x < } is c.

Theorem 2.5(Dual of Theorem 2.7): Any subset E of X of positive outer measure contains c disjoint sets of positive outer measure.

<u>Corollary 2.0</u>: i) X can be decomposed into c disjoint subsets each of second category. ii) X can be decomposed into c disjoint subsets each of positive outer measure.

<u>Proof</u> i) and ii) follow directly from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 since X is of second category and has positive outer measure.

<u>Bemark 2.10</u>: The dual theorems considered so far do not involve reasure and category simultaneously. However Lemma 1.7 in Chapter 1 says that X can be decomposed into two complementary sets, one of first category, the other of measure zero. This proposition is self-dual. Another result is that a subset of X is a nullset if its intersection with every set of first category is countable. The dual is : A subset of X is of first category if its intersection with every nullset is countable. Both of these results are corollaries of Lemma 1.7. (Observe that the continuum hypothesis is not needed for Lemma 1.7).

The following is a generalization of one of Sierpinski's propositions $\begin{bmatrix} 35 \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Theorem 2.11</u>: For any class X of invertible cullect preserving transformations of X, with -1 also cullect preserving and cardinality of X equal to c, there exists a subset P of X of first category and cardinality c such that $TP\Delta E$ is a countable set, for each T in E.

Proof Index the elements of K and X so that

 $K = \{ \exists_{\mathcal{L}} : \alpha \leq A \} \text{ and } X = \{ p_{\mathcal{L}} : \alpha \leq A \}.$ Let A be a nullset such that X - A is of first category $\int by$ Lemma 1.7 $\int J$. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq A$, let $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the group generated by the transformations $\exists_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $\beta \neq \alpha$. Then $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ consists of all products of the form

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \quad \mbox{where } & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \quad \mbox{and } X_i = \pm 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{aligned} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{aligned} \end{aligned} X_i = \pm 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{aligned} \end{a$

$$A_{\infty} = U \{ \exists A : \exists \in f_{\alpha} \}$$

is a nullset. Let $x_0 = p_0$. Assuming that the points x_β in X have been defined for all $\beta \angle \alpha$, put =

 $P_{\mathcal{K}} = \left\{ \exists x_{\beta} : \beta < \infty , \exists \in \exists x_{\beta} : \beta < \infty \right\},$ Then $B_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a countable set and $A_{\mathcal{K}} \cup B_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a nullset $\left[\text{since points} \right]$ have measure zero $\left[\end{bmatrix}$. Let x be the first element in the well ordering of X such that $x_{\mathcal{K}}$ is not if $\left[\mathcal{L} \cup B_{\mathcal{K}} \right]$. But

$$a = \left\{ \exists x : \exists e^{-x} \right\}, \quad a = \left\{ \exists x : \exists x : \exists e^{-x} \right\}, \quad a = \left\{ \exists x : \exists x :$$

The \mathcal{L} is constable, and E is uncountable. Moreover, E is a subset of X = A. Hence E is of first category. For any $\beta < 0 \leq A$,

··· h··· ·· ·· ··· ······

This shows that $TP \Delta T$ is countable, for each T in Y.

Theorem 2.12(Dual of Theorem 2.11): For any class K of invertible category-preserving transformations of X, with T also category-preserving and cardinality of K equal to c, there exists a subset E of X of measure zero and cardinality c such that $TE \Delta E$ is a countable set, for each T in K.

The following two theorems are vacuously true for X since every concentry open set of X is of second category I since X is a Baire space]], and has positive measure. Therefore for the next theorem we will assume that X is an arbitrary topological space. Also the duality theorem of Chapter 1 does not apply since open set is not a set theoretic concept. The following theorem is found in [10].

Theorem 2.13 (Banach Category Theorem): In any topological space X, the union of any family of open sets of first category is of first category.

<u>Proof</u> Let G be the union of a family of non-empty open sets of first category. Let

F = { Yoc KEA }

be a maximal family of disjoint non-empty open sets with the property that each is contained in some member of 2. Then the closed set G - UF is nowhere dense. [otherwise F would not be maximal.]] Each set Ucan be represented as a countable

-19-

which of nowhere dense sets, so: $U_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}$. But

$$m = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{L}, m$$

If in open set U ments v_n , then it meets some v_{con} and there exists a non-empty open set

VC(Unuc) - isim.

Hence $V \in U - \mathcal{W}_{n}$ and so \mathcal{V}_{n} is nowhere dense. Therefore $G \in (\overline{G} - U\mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U} = (\overline{G} - U\mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{V}_{n=1}^{\mathcal{U}} N_{n}$

is of first category.

In this next theorem we will assume that X is second countable.

Theorem 2.14: For any second countable topological space, the union of any family of open sets of measure zero is of measure zero (provided the measure is defined for all open sets).

<u>Proof</u> let $\mathcal{Y} = \{ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} : \alpha \in \mathcal{Y} \}$ be any family of open sets each of reasure zero and let $\mathcal{P} = \{ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} \}$ be a base for X and \mathcal{U} be the measure. Now since X is Lindelof for each $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ in \mathcal{H} , there exists a collection $\{ \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{K}} \}$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{P}$ $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Since Q_{k} is open we may assume that each $B_{e_{k}}$ is contained in Q_{k} . $B_{e_{k}}$ contained in Q_{k} implies that $\mathcal{M}(B_{e_{k}}) = 0$ for all k.

Now since X is second countable

$$\left\{ B_{\alpha C_{K}} \middle| k = 1, 2, \dots p t \in A \right\}$$

is countable. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}_{2}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}_{B})) \leq \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}_{K}) = 0.$$

Hence Ung is a nullset.

-20-

<u>Remark 2.15</u>: Second countability cannot be omitted from the hypothesis of the above theorem. For if X is the plane with the discrete topology on it (hence not second countable) and the product measure of lebesque measure on \mathbb{R} , then for each 0<x<1, $\mathbb{E}_{x} = \frac{g(x,y)}{0.4y41}$ is an open set of X and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{E}_{x}) = 0$. But $\mathcal{U}_{x,y} = \mathbb{I}_{x}$ where I is the open unit interval in/ \mathbb{R} and we have $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{I}_{x}) = 1 = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U}_{x}) \neq 0$. (Observe also that is not a regular measure.)

د معلقةمل

אטגדאבאפייסאבים כשעב

In this chapter we will discuss non-measurable sets in X. (Where X is as in Chapter 1, i.e., X is a second countable Paire metric space, and will be the completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets of X such that points have measure zero and

o<(3)س

for all nonempty open sets G in X.) The final theorem of this chapter is an important result due to Ular (1930).

To start we will prove two lemmas. The following lemma is found in [147].

<u>Iemma 3.1</u>: The class of all closed (open) sets in a topological space with a countable basis has cardinality \leftarrow c.

<u>Proof</u> let K denote a topological space with a countable base, say $\{\mathcal{U}_{m}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and let \mathcal{U} be an open set of K. Denote by $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{U})$ the set of natural numbers n for which $\mathcal{U}_{m} \subset \mathcal{U}$. It is evident from the definition of a basis that

$$U = \bigcup_{M \in N(U)} U_{M}$$
.

Hence every open set $U \subset X$ is uniquely determined by a set of natural numbers i.e., by $N(\mathcal{G})$; consequently the class of all open sets of K has cardinality \mathcal{L} c. The theorem is thus proved for open sets and, since a closed set is the complement of an oren set, the class of all elected sets has the same cardinal number as that of all open sets.

The following lemma is proved for the reals in [10].

Ierra 3.2: The class of uncountable closed sets of X has cardinality c.

<u>Proof</u>: Let X, Y X such that $x \neq y$. Then there exists open sets O_X , and O_Y such that $x \in O_X$, $y \in O_Y$ and $O_X \cap O_Y = \emptyset \iint$ since X is Hausdorff \iint . Now since X is a Baire space O_X and O_Y are of second category, hence O_X and O_Y are uncountable. Thus O_X' (the complement of O_X) is an uncountable closed set \iint since $O_X' \supset O_Y \iint$. Now points in X are closed since X is Hausdorff and there are an uncountable number of points in $(C_X')' = O_X$. So $\iint \{Z\} \bigcup O_X' \mid Z \in O_X\}$

is a collection of uncountable closed subsets of X. Clearly this collection has cardinality c. Therefore it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the class of uncountable closed sets has cardinality c.

Remark 3.3: In the above theorem we cannot drop the assumption of second countablity. For instance if X is any set of cardinality o with the discrete topology on it, then X is a Pairs matric trace which is not second countable. It is easy to see that the class of uncountable closed sets is of condinality 2[°] [final the class of uncountable closed sets is of condinality 2[°] class of countable closed sets is of condinality 2[°] and the class of countable closed cets is of condinality cill

The next three theorems are generalizations to X of theorems found in [10] for the real numbers. These theorems are due to

-23-

F. Formstein (1000)[9].

Theonem 3.4: There exists a subset B of X such that both B and B' meet every uncountable closed subset of X.

<u>Proof</u> By the well ordering principle and Lenna 3.2, the class \mathcal{T} of uncountable closed subsets of X can be indexed by the ordinal numbers less than $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}$ here $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the first ordinal having c predecessors, say

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ \mathbb{F}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{W}_{c} \}$$

(Since we are assuming the continuum hypothesis, $\mathscr{U}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{A}$.) We may assume that X, and therefore each member of \mathcal{F} , i.e. each of the uncountable closed subsets, has been well ordered. Note: that each member of \mathcal{F} has power c. Let \mathbf{p}_{1} and \mathbf{q}_{2} be the first two members of \mathbf{F}_{1} . Let \mathbf{p}_{2} and \mathbf{q}_{2} be the first two members of \mathbf{F}_{2} different from \mathbf{p}_{1} and \mathbf{q}_{1} . If $1 < \mathscr{L} < \mathscr{U}_{2}$ and if \mathbf{p}_{2} and \mathbf{q}_{2} have been defined for all $\beta < \mathscr{L}$, let \mathbf{p}_{α} and \mathbf{q}_{α} be the first two elements of $\mathbf{F}_{c} - \bigcup_{i < \mathfrak{L} \in \mathcal{L}} \{\mathcal{P}_{\beta_{i}}, \mathcal{P}_{\beta_{i}}\}$. This set is non-empty (it has cardinality c) for each \mathscr{L} , and so \mathbf{p}_{α} and \mathbf{q}_{α} are defined for all $\mathcal{L} < \mathscr{U}_{r}$. Put

$$B = \{ p < 1 < < w_{c} \}$$

Since $p_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for each $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{L}}$, the set B has the property that both it and its complement meet every uncountable closed set.

<u>Definition 3.5</u>: Any set B in a topological space K having the property that both it and its complement meet every uncountable closed set is called a <u>Pernstein</u> set.

-24-

<u>Pofinition 3.5</u>: A subset E of any topological space K is said to have the <u>momenty of Pairs</u> if F = G 4 Where G is open and V is a first category set of V.

<u>Theorem 3.7</u>: Any Pernstein set P in X is non-reasurable and lacks the property of Paire. Indeed, every reasurable subset of either P or P' is a nullset, and any subset of B or B' that has the property of Paire is of first category.

<u>Proof</u> Let A be any measurable subset of B. Any closed subset F in A must be countable [since every uncountable closed set meets $\mathbb{P}[]$, hence $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}) = 0$ [since points have measure zero]. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(A) = 0$ since \mathcal{M} is regular. Similarly, if A is a subset of P having the property of Baire, then $A = \mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{P}$, where E is a G and P is of first category. The set E must be countable, since every uncountable G set contains an uncountable closed set [by Lemma 1.12], and therefore meets B'. Hence A is of first category. The same reasoning applies to P'. The first part of the theorem follows from Lemma 1.7.

Example 3.9: Now consider the following example. Let X =the real numbers with the euclidean topology on it and having the counting moment on its Borel sets. Then wanishes only on the empty set and is not regular. And it is easy to see that Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, and Theorem 3.4 (which are all purely topological results) hold for X. However, every reasurable subset of any Bernstein set B need not be a nullset $\int \int any non-empty$ measurable subset of B has positive measure and there are

-25-

contradicts part of Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.0: Any subset of X with positive outer measure has a non-measurable subset. Any subset of X of second category has a subset that lacks the property of Paire.

<u>Proof</u> If A has positive outer reasure and B is a Bernstein set, Theorem 3.7 shows that the subset AAB and AAB' cannot both be measurable. If A is of second category, these two subsets cannot both have the property of Faire.

We will now weaken some of the conditions on X for the following theorem.

<u>Theorem 3.10</u>: Let X be a separable topological space such that the class of Borel sets of X is not equal to the power set of X. And let μ be a regular measure defined on the Borel sets of X such that

$\mathcal{M}\left(\left\{X\right\}\right) = 0$

for all $x \in X$. Then there exists subsets A, B of X such that A has the property of Baire but is non-measurable, and B is measurable but lacks the property of Baire. Thus in X neither of these two σ -algebras includes the other.

<u>Proof</u> Observe that the proof of Lemma 1.7 uses only the prorerties that the space is separable, its points have measure zero, and the measure is regular. Therefore we can apply this result to X to decompose it into two complementary sets, one of measure zero and the other of first category. Also we clearly have any

-26-

subset of X being the disjoint union of two sets, one of measure zero and the other of first category. Let $V \subset X$, but V not a Borel set, i.e. V is not measurable with respect to the Borel sets. Then

$$V = A U P$$

where $A \wedge B = \emptyset$ and A is of first category and $\mathcal{M}(F) = 0$. So A is non-measurable, but has the property of Baire $\int A = \emptyset \triangle A$ so A has the property of Baire; if A were measurable, then V would be measurable $\int J$. While B is measurable, but lacks the property of Baire $\int J$ analogous reason J.

In the preceding discussion we assumed that the power set of X was not equal to the Borel sets of X. In general if X is any set of cardinality c and μ is any finite measure defined for all the subsets of X with $\mu(\{X\}\}) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then the following theorem due to Ular (1930), and found in [19] shows that $\mu(X) = 0$, i.e. that μ is identically zero on X.

<u>Theorem 3.11 (Ulam)</u>: A finite measure \mathcal{U} defined for all subsets of a set X of cardinality c vanishes identically if it is equal to zero for every one - element subset.

<u>Proof</u> Since we are assuming the continuum hypothesis c is equal to the first uncountable ordinal. By hypothesis, there exists a well ordering of X such that for each y in X the set

is countable. Let f(x,y) be a one-to-one mapping of this set onto a subset of the positive integers. Then f is an integer-

-27-

valued function defined for all pairs (x,y) of elements of X for which x < y. It has the property

 $x \leq y' \leq y$ implies $f(x,y) \neq f(x,y)$ (/)

For each x in X and each rositive integer M, define

$$\overline{y}_{\mathbf{X}}^{m} = \left\{ y \mid x \leq y, \ f(x,y) = m \right\},$$

We may picture these sets as arranged in an array

 $F_{X_{i}}^{1} F_{X_{2}}^{1} F_{X_{3}}^{1} \cdots F_{X}^{1} F_{X}^{2} F_{X_{3}}^{1} \cdots F_{X}^{2} \cdots F_{X}^{2} \cdots F_{X}^{2} F_{X_{3}}^{2} \cdots F_{X}^{2} \cdots F_{X}^$

with a countable number of rows and c columns. This array has the following properties:

- (2) The sets in any row are mutually disjoint
- (3) The union of the sets in any column is equal to X minus a countable set.

To verify (2), suppose $y \in \mathbb{P}_{X}^{m} \cap \mathbb{P}_{X}^{m}$, for some n and some y, x, and x' with $x \leq x'$. Then $x \leq y$, $x' \leq y$, and f(x,y) = f(x',y) = m. Hence x = x' by (1). Therefore, for any fixed m, the sets

 $F_{\mathbf{x}}^{M}$ (xe X)

are disjoint.

To verify (3), observe that if $x \leq y$, then y belongs to one

of the sets $F_{x_1}^m$ rarely that one for which $n = f(x_1, y_1)$. Hence the union of the sets $F_{x_1}^m$ (n = 1,2,...) differs from X by the countable set $\{y \mid y \leq x\}$.

By (2), in any row there can be at nost countably range sets for which $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{\mathcal{M}}) \geq 0$ (since $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is finite). Therefore there can be at nost countably range such sets in the whole array. Since there are uncountably range columns, it follows that there exists an element x in X such that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{X}}^{m}) = 0$$

for every n. The union of the sets of this column has measure zero, and the complementary countable set also has measure zero. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(X) = 0$, and so \mathcal{M} is identically zero.

<u>Remark 3.12</u>: This theorem implies that Lebesgue measure cannot be extended to all subsets of the real numbers $\int f$ since Lebesgue measure is σ -finite and since every measure is countably additive $\int f$.

CHAPTER 4

EXTENDED DUALITY

In Chapter 1 and 2 we have looked at the duality between sets of first category and sets of measure zero. Now we will try to extend this duality by comparing measurable sets to sets that have the property of Baire. Throughout this chapter we will take X to be a second countable Baire metric space, and will be the completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets of X such that points have measure zero and $\mathcal{L}(G) > 0$ for all nonempty open sets G in X. Where the results are more generally applicable we will note this.

The material of 4.1 to 4.4 is from [10].

<u>Definition 4.1</u>: A subset A of any topological space is said to have the property of Baire if it can be represented in the form $A = G \bigtriangleup P$, where G is open and P is of first category.

Lemma 4.2: A set A has the property of Baire if and only if it can be represented in the form $A = F \triangle Q$, where F is closed and Q is of first category.

<u>Proof</u> If $A = G \triangle P$, G open and P of first category, then $N = \overline{G} - G$

is a nowhere dense closed set, and $Q = N \triangle P$ is of first category. Let $F = \overline{G}$. Then

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\bigtriangleup} \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{\bar{G}}\boldsymbol{\bigtriangleup} \mathbf{N})\boldsymbol{\bigtriangleup} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\bar{G}}\boldsymbol{\bigtriangleup} (\mathbf{N}\boldsymbol{\bigtriangleup} \mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\varDelta} \mathbf{Q}.$$

Conversely, if $A = F \triangle Q$, where F is closed and Q is of first category, let G be the interior of F. Then N = F - G is nowhere dense $P = N \triangle Q$ is of first category, and

 $A = F \triangle Q = (G \triangle N) \triangle Q = G \triangle (N \triangle Q) = G \triangle P.$

Lemma 4.3: If A has the property of Baire, then so does its complement.

<u>Proof</u> For any two sets A and B we have $(A \triangle B)' = A' \triangle B$. Hence if

 $A = G \triangle P$, then $A' = G' \triangle P$,

and the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.2.

<u>Theorem 4.4</u>: The class of sets having the property of Baire is a σ -algebra. It is the σ -algebra generated by the open sets together with the sets of first category.

<u>Proof</u> Let $A_i = G_i \triangle P_i$ (i = 1,2,...) be any sequence of sets having the property of Baire. Put $G = \bigcup G_i$, $P = \bigcup P_i$, and $A = \bigcup A_i$. Then G is open, P is of first category, and

G-PCACGUP.

Hence $G \bigtriangleup A \subset P$ is of first category, and $A = G \bigtriangleup (G \bigtriangleup A)$ has the property of Baire. This result together with Lemma 4.3, shows that the class in question is a σ -algebra. It is evidently the smallest σ -algebra that includes all open sets and all sets of first category.

Theorem 4.5 (dual to Theorem 4.4): The measure u for X (which is defined to be the completion of a Borel measure on X)

-31-

is defined on the \mathcal{T} -algebra generated by the open sets and the nullsets.

<u>Proof</u> This result follows trivially from the preliminary lemma in Chapter 1.

<u>Theorem 4.6</u>: A set has the property of Baire if and only if it can be represented as a $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ set plus a set of first category (or as an $F_{\mathcal{O}}$ set minus a set of first category).

<u>Proof</u> Since the closure of any nowhere dense set is nowhere dense, any set of first category is contained in an $F_{\mathcal{F}}$ set of first category. If G is open and P is of first category, let Q be an $F_{\mathcal{F}}$ set of first category that contains P. Then the set E = G - Q is a $G_{\mathcal{F}}$, and we have

 $G \triangle P = \int (G - Q) \triangle (G \land Q) \int \triangle (P \triangle Q) = B \triangle \int (G \triangle P) \land Q \end{pmatrix}$. The set $(G \triangle P) \land Q$ is of first category and disjoint to E. Hence any set having the property of Baire can be represented as the disjoint union of a $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ set and a set of first category. Conversely, any set that can be so represented belongs to the σ -algebra generated by the open sets and the sets of first category; it therefore has the property of Baire. The parenthetical statement follows by complementation, with the aid of Lemma 4.3.

<u>Remark 4.7</u>: Notice that Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 hold for arbitrary topological spaces.

Theorem 4.8 (Dual of Theorem 4.6): A subset B of X is measurable if and only if it can be represented as an $F_{\mathcal{F}}$ set plus a nullset (or as a $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ set minus a nullset).

-32-

<u>Proof</u> If A is measurable, then since μ is regular we have for each η a closed set F_m and an open set G_n such that

> $\mathbf{F}_{m} \subset \mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{G}_{m}$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{G}_{m} - \mathbf{F}_{m}) \neq \frac{1}{m}$. $\mathbf{A} = U\mathbf{F}_{m}$ and $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{A}_{*}$

Then A is an Fr set. N is a nullset, since

Put

$$\mathbf{N} \subset \mathbf{G}_{m} - \mathbf{F}_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} (\mathbf{G}_{n} - \mathbf{F}_{m}) \perp \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

for every n, and \mathcal{M} is complete. E is the disjoint union of A and N. It follows by complementation that E can also be represented as a $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ set minus a nullset. Conversely, any set that can be so represented is measurable, since every nullset is measurable and since the measurable sets form a \mathcal{J} -algebra.

<u>Definition 4.9</u>: A real-valued function f on any topological space is said to have the property of Baire if f'(U) has the property of Baire for every open set U in the reals.

Theorem 4.10: There exists a subset of X which lacks the property of Baire, and a real-valued function on X which lacks the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> Since X is of second category and by Theorem 3.9 in Chapter 3 we have that X contains a set, say A which lacks the property of Baire. Let X_A be the characteristic function of A i.e. $X_A = 1$ for all $x \in A$, $X_A = 0$ for all $x \notin A$. Clearly X_A lacks the property of Baire.

<u>Theorem 4.11 (Dual to Theorem 4.10</u>): There exists a subset of X which is nonmeasurable, and a real-valued function on X which is nonmeasurable.

-33- 🗄

<u>Proof</u> Since X has positive measure and by Theorem 3.9 in (Chapter 3 we have that X contains a set, say A which is nonmeasurable. If \mathcal{X}_A is the characteristic function of A, then clearly \mathcal{X}_A is a nonmeasurable function.

The following theorem and its dual are proved for X =the real numbers in $\left[10 \right]$.

<u>Theorem 4.12</u>: A real-valued function f on X has the property of Baire if and only if there exists a set P of first category such that the restriction of f to X - P is continuous.

<u>Proof</u> Let U_i, U_j, \ldots be a countable base for the topology of $/\mathcal{R}$ (the reals), for example, the open intervals with rational endpoints. If i has the property of Baire, then $f'(U_i) = G_i \Delta P_i$, where G_i is open and P_i is of first category. Put

$$\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_i \quad .$$

Then P is of first category. The restriction g of f to X - P is continuous, since

 $g^{-i}(U_i) = f^{-i}(U_i) - P = (G_i \triangle P_i) - P = G_i - P$ is open relative to X - P for each *i*, and therefore so is $g^{-i}(U)$ for every open set U.

Conversely, if the restriction g of f to the complement of some set P of first category is continuous, then for any open set $\mathcal{U}, g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = G - P$ for some open set G. Since $g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \cup P$,

-34-

we have

$$G - P \subset f^{-1}(U) \subset G \cup P$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(\overline{CT}) = G \bigtriangleup Q$ for some set $Q \subseteq P$. Thus f has the property of Baire.

<u>Remark 4.13</u>: Observe that in this theorem we didn't use any specific topological properties of X, i.e. this theorem holds for any topological space.

<u>Theorem 4.14 (Dual of Theorem 4.12</u>): A real-valued function f on X is measurable if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set E with $\mathcal{M}(E) \leq \epsilon$ such that the restriction of f to X - E is continuous.

<u>Proof</u> Let U_i, U_j, \ldots be a countable base for the topology of \mathbb{R} . If f is measurable, then for each i there exists a closed set F_i an open set G_i such that

 $F_{i} \subset f^{-i}(\mathcal{T}_{i}) \subset G_{i} \text{ and } \mu(G_{i} - F_{i}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i}}$ $\iint \text{Since } \mu \text{ is a regular measure } \iint .$

Put $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (G_i - F_i)$. Then $\mu(E) \leq \epsilon$. If g denotes the restriction of f to $X - E_i$ then

 $g^{-\prime}(\mathcal{U}_i) = f^{-\prime}(\mathcal{U}_i) - E = G_i - E.$

Hence $g^{-i}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ is open relative to X - E, and therefore g is continuous.

Conversely, if f has the stated property there is a sequence of sets $\{E_i\}$ with $\mathcal{A}(E_i) \ge \frac{1}{7}$ such that the restriction f_i of f to X-E_i is continuous. For any open set U there are open sets G_i such that

$$f_{i}^{-1}$$
 (T_{i}^{-1}) = G_{i}^{-1} = E_{i}^{-1} ($i = 1, 2,$).

Putting E = $\int_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, we have

 $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{E} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{E}_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{U}).$

Consequently,

 $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) = \left[\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \cap \mathbf{E}\right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (G_i - F_i)\right],$

All of these sets are measurable, since $\mu(E) = 0$, and therefore f is a measurable function $\prod E \cap f^{-1}(TJ)$ is measurable since X is a complete measure space \prod .

<u>Remark 4.15</u>: The above theorem holds if X is an arbitrary topological space with a complete regular measure \mathcal{M} such that \mathcal{M} is defined for all the open sets of X.

Theorem 4.16: In X every set of second category is the union of c disjoint sets each which lacks the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> If E is a set of second category, then by Theorem 2.7 in Chapter 2 we see that $E = \bigcup_{C \in A} E_C$ where each E_C is of second category and the cardinality of A is c. Now each E_C contains a set which lacks the property of Baire \iint by Theorem 3.9 in Chapter $3\iint$. Hence E contains a family of disjoint subsets of cardinality c such that each member lacks the property of Baire.

Define in the obvious way a partial ordering on all such families. Then it is easy to see that we can apply Zorn's Lemma to this collection to get a maximal element, i.e. a maximal family of disjoint subsets of E of cardinality c each of which lacks the property of Baire. The complement of the union of this maximal family (with respect to E) is a set of first category $\int \int derwise$ the maximality of the family would be contradicted $\int \int derwise$

-36-

Since every first category set has the property of Baire $[A = A \triangle \phi]$ for each A a first category set] and since the sets with the property of Baire form a σ -algebra we have that the disjoint union of any first category set with a set which lacks the property of Baire will also lack the property of Baire. Hence E is the disjoint union of a family of sets each lacking the property of Baire and the cardinality of the family being c [] take an element from the maximal family of sets lacking the property of Baire and adjoin to it the complement of the union of this maximal family []

Theorem 4.17 as stated below is a generalization of a discussion in $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem 4.17 (Dual of Theorem 4.16): In X every set of positive outer measure is the union of c disjoint non-measurable sets.

<u>Proof</u> In Theorem 3.10 of Chapter 3 we showed that any set with positive outer measure contains a non-measurable set. And in Chapter 2, Theorem 2.8 we saw that any set of positive outer measure was the disjoint union of c sets each of positive outer measure. Therefore if E is a set of positive outer measure $E = \bigcup E_{-C}$ where each E_{-C} has positive outer measure and card A = C. Also each $E_{-C} = A_{-C}$ where A_{-C} is non-measurable. Hence E contains c disjoint non-measurable subsets. By Zorn's lemma, this family is contained in a maximal disjoint class of non-measurable subsets of E. The complement of the union of such a family must have measure zero. By adjoining it to one of the members of the family we obtain a decomposition of E into c disjoint non-measurable

-37-

subsets.

Corollary 4.18: X can be decomposed into c disjoint subsets each lacking the property of Baire.

Proof follows directly from Theorem 4.16.

Corollary 4.19: I can be decomposed into c disjoint nonmeasurable subsets.

Proof follows directly from Theorem 4.17.

Definition 4.20 as well as Lemma 4.21 and Lemma 4.22 are found in $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Definition 4.20</u>: Let X be any topological space and $E \subset X$, then E is said to be of the first category at a point $p \in X$, if there exists a neighborhood G of p such that the set $E \land G$ is of the first category. The set of points where E is not of the first category (the points where E is of the second category) will be denoted by D(E).

Lemma 4.21: If B and E are subsets of a topological space, them:

1)
$$D(E - D(E)) = \emptyset$$

2) $\left[D(B) = \emptyset \right] \Rightarrow \left[D(E \cup B) = D(E) = D(E-B) \right]$

<u>Proof</u> The proofs are elementary. They can be found in $\begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ pages 84-85.

LEBEA 4.22: If X is any topological space and $E \subset X$, then $E = E \wedge \left[\overline{(E-D(E))} \right] \cup \left[E - (\overline{E-D(E)}) \right] = \left[E - Int(D(E)) \right] \cup \left[E \wedge Int(D(E)) \right]$

is a decomposition of E into two disjoint parts such that the first

is of the first category and the second one is not of the first category at any of its points. Also the second member (in each equation) of the union is open relative to E.

<u>Proof</u> Clearly this is a disjoint decomposition of E such that the second part of the union is open relative to E \iint Since the interior of any set is open \iint . Now by formula (1), the set E - D(E) is of the first category; hence by (2),

 $D(E \cap D(E)) = D(E)$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \wedge \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}) \subset \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E} \wedge \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E})),$$

which shows that the set $E \cap D(E)$ is not of the first category at any of its points.

On the other hand, the set $E \land (\overline{E-D(E)})$ is of the first category, as a union of two sets

$$E \cap D(E) \cap \overline{E-D(E)}$$

and

$$\left[E-D(E) \right] \land \left[\overline{E-D(E)} \right]$$

the first one being nowhere dense as a subset of a nowhere dense

set
$$D(E) \land (\overline{I-D(E)})$$

and the second one being of the first category as a subset of the set E = D(E).

Since the set $E \cap \overline{E-D(E)}$ is of the first category, it follows from (2) that

$$D(E-(\overline{E-D(E)}) = D(E)$$

and we have $E-(\overline{E-D(E)}) \subset E-(E-D(E)) = E \land D(E) \subset D(E) = D[E-(\overline{E-D(E)})]$

which proves that the set E-(R-D(E)) is not of the first category at any of its points.

The following theorem is a generalization of a theorem found on page 112 in $\begin{bmatrix} 15 \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem 4.23: Every subset Q of I which is of second category contains a subset which is not the intersection of Q with a set having the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose not; that is, suppose every subset of Q is the intersection of Q with a set having the property of Baire. Now by Theorem 2.7 in Chapter 2 we can decompose Q into c disjoint subsets, i.e. $Q = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} Q_{\alpha}$ with each Q_{α} of second category. Now by the above lemma and since each Q_{α} is of second category, there exists for each $\alpha \in A$, an 0_{α} open in X such that $0_{\alpha} \cap Q_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ and $0_{\alpha} \cap Q_{\alpha}$ is of second category at each of its points. Clearly we can pick these Q_{α} 's to be basic open sets from a countable base for X [[since X is second countable]]. Now since the Q_{α} 's are disjoint and uncountable, but the 0_{α} 's are countable there exists $\alpha_{\alpha} \in A$ such that $0_{\alpha_{\alpha}} \cap Q_{\beta}$ and $0_{\alpha_{\alpha}} \cap Q_{\beta}$ are sets having the above property and $\beta \neq \beta$. Since Q_{β} is a subset of Q, $Q_{\beta} = Q \cap E$ where E has the property of Baire. Now $0_{\alpha_{\alpha}} - E$ is of first category [[since Q_{β} is of second category everywhere in $0_{\alpha_{\alpha}}$].

Also $Q_{\mathcal{Y}} = E \land Q_{\theta} \quad Q_{\beta} \subset Q$ and $Q_{\mathcal{Y}} \land Q_{\beta} = \emptyset$ all imply $E \land Q_{\beta} = \emptyset$ and $Q_{\mathcal{L}_{0}} - E \supset (Q_{\mathcal{L}_{0}} - E) \land Q_{\mathcal{Y}} = (Q_{\mathcal{L}_{0}} \land Q_{\beta}) - (E - Q_{\beta}) = Q_{\mathcal{L}_{0}} \land Q_{\beta}.$

-40-

But then $0_{\zeta_c} - E = 0_{\zeta_c} \cap Q_{\beta}$ which is of second category. Hence we have a contradiction!

Therefore there exists $B \subset Q$ such that $B \neq Q \cap E$ for all $E \subset X$ having the property of Baire.

Recall that for any $A \subset X$, the outer measure of A, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^*(A) = \inf \{\mathcal{M}(G) \mid A \subset G \text{ and } G \text{ is open} \}$. We will need the following lemmas in the proof of Theorem 4.26.

Lemma 4.24 is found in [/3].

<u>Lemma 4.24</u>: Let A be any set, and E_1, \ldots, E_m a finite sequence of disjoint measurable sets. Then

$$\mathcal{U}^{*}(A \cap \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O} \\ i \end{bmatrix} E_{i} \end{bmatrix}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{U}^{*}(A \cap E_{i}).$$

<u>Proof</u> We prove the lemma by induction on n. It is clear for n = 1, and we assume it is true if we have n-1 sets E_i^r . Since the E_i^r are disjoint sets, we have

$$A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}_{i} \right] \cap \mathbf{E}_{m} = A \cap \mathbf{E}_{m}$$
$$A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}_{i} \right] \cap \mathbf{E}_{m} = A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}_{i} \right]$$

and

Hence since E_m is measurable

$$\mathcal{M}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \cap [\stackrel{m}{\downarrow}], \mathbb{E}_{i}]) = \mathcal{M}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{E}_{n}) + \mathcal{L}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \cap [\stackrel{m}{\downarrow}], \mathbb{E}_{i}]) = \mathcal{M}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{E}_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{M}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{E}_{i})$$

by our assumption.

Lemma 4.25: Let $\{E_i\}$ be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets and A any set. Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{*}(A \cap \left[\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} \end{array} \right] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{*}(A \cap \mathbf{E}_{i}),$$

<u>Proof</u> The set function * is subadditive on P(X), i.e. for every sequence of sets $\{A_i\}$ \mathcal{U}^* $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\mathcal{U}} A_i^*) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{U}^*} \mathcal{U}^*(A_i^*)$.

-41-

Let n be any integer, then by the above lemma

$$\mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \wedge \left[: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{E}_{i} \right]) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \wedge \mathbf{E}_{i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \wedge \mathbf{E}_{i}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M}^*(A \cap [\mathcal{O} E_i]) = \mathcal{M}^*(\mathcal{O}(A \cap E_i)) \not\cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}^*}(A \cap E_i),$

Since n is arbitrary we have

$$\mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \wedge [\stackrel{\mathcal{O}}{\downarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{B}}{,}]) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{A} \wedge \stackrel{\mathcal{B}}{,}).$$

The following theorems are generalization of a theorem found on page 110 in $\begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Theorem 4.26 (Dual of Theorem 4.23)</u>: Every subset Q of X of positive outer measure contains a subset which is not measurable relative to Q, i.e. which is not the intersection of Q and a measurable set.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose not, i.e. suppose every subset of Q is the intersection of a measurable set with Q. Now $\mu^*(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in Q$ since μ vanishes at points and all points are measurable in X. Also $\mu^* \ge_0$ for each $E \subset Q$. Let $\{E_n\}$ be any sequence of subsets of Q, then by assumption $E_n = Q \cap A_n$ where A_m is measurable for all n.

$$\mathcal{U}^{*}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{E}_{n})) = \mathcal{U}^{*}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Q}\cap\mathbb{A}_{n}) = \mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{Q}\cap[\mathbb{Q}_{n}],\mathbb{A}_{n}))$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{U}^{*}(\mathbb{Q}\cap\mathbb{A}_{n}) \quad [by \text{ the above lemma}]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{U}^{*}\mathbb{E}_{n}$$

therefore \mathcal{M}^* meets the hypothesis of Theorem 3.11 in Chapter 3 implying $\mathcal{M}^* Q = 0$ which is a contradiction! Therefore there exists $\mathbf{E}_o \subset \mathbf{Q}$ such that $\mathbf{E}_o \neq \mathbf{Q} \land \mathbf{A}$ for all measurable sets A in X.

Theorem 4.27: For any second category subset Q of X, there exists a real valued function defined on it which does not admit

-42-

an extension to a real-valued function on X having the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> By Theorem 4.23, there exists $M \subseteq Q$ such that $M \neq Q \cap A$ where A is any subset of X having the property of Baire. Define φ on Q by $\varphi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in M$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in Q - M$. Suppose φ can be extended to X such that the extension has the property of Baire. Let the extension of φ be denoted by f, then $f^{-1}\left\{(0, \infty)\right\} = \left\{x/f(x) > 0\right\}$ is a set having the property of Baire. But

 $f^{-1}\left\{(0,\infty)\right\} \cap Q = H$

which is a contradiction. Hence there exists a real-valued function defined on Q which does not admit an extension to a realvalued function on X having the property of Baire.

<u>Theorem 4.28(Dual of Theorem 4.27)</u>: For any subset Q of X of positive outer measure, there exists a real-valued function defined on it and admitting no extension to a measurable function on X.

<u>Proof</u> By Theorem 4.26 there exists $N \subseteq Q$ such that $N \neq Q \cap A$ for every measurable subset of X. Let ψ be a real-valued function defined on Q by $\psi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in N$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in Q - N$. Suppose ψ can be extended to X such that the extension is measurable. Let the extension of ψ be denoted by g, then

 $g^{-1} \{ (0, \infty) \} = \{ x | g(x) > 0 \}$

is a measurable subset of X. But $g^{-1} \int (0, \infty) \int n Q = N$ which is

-43-

Let N be a set of positive measure, i.e. $\mathcal{M}(N) = \varepsilon > 0$, and let $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(N)$. Then E has the property of Baire. Let $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_j \cdot \cdots$ be a countable dense subset of E. $\int \varepsilon$ exists since X is second countable and any second countable space is hereditarily separable and let 0; be an open set containing \mathbf{x}_i^* such that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{f}(0_i^*) \cap N) \in \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{irl}}$. Put $\mathbf{G} = \int_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{q}_i$. Then G is an open set and $\mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{G}$. Hence

$$\mathbf{c} \subset \left[(\mathbf{G} \land \mathbf{E}) \lor (\mathbf{\overline{G}} - \mathbf{G}) \right]$$

Therefore

 $N = f(E) \subset f(G \land E) \cup f(\overline{G} - G) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [f(O_i) \land N] \cup f(\overline{G} - G).$ Since $\overline{G} - G$ is nowhere dense, $f(\overline{G} - G)$ is a nullset, and so $\mathcal{U}(N) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \circ$

But $\in =_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{N}) \leq \frac{e_{\mathcal{U}}}{2}$ is a contradiction. Hence no such function can exist.

In the following theorem let X be as before but now assume that the measure on X is \mathcal{T} -finite.

<u>Theorem 4.31</u>: Let E_{ij} be a double sequence of measurable sets such that $E_{ij} \supset E_{ij+1}$ for all positive integers i and j, and such that $\bigwedge_{j} E_{ij}$ is a nullset for each i. Then there exists a sequence of mappings $\bigwedge_{K}(1)$ of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\bigwedge_{K} \bigcup_{i} E_{i} \circ \bigwedge_{K/i}$ is a nullset. Let $\begin{cases} E_{K} \\ K = i \end{cases}$ be a sequence of measurable sets each of finite measure such that $E_{K+1} \supset E_{K}$ for all K and $\bigcap_{K} E_{i} = \sum_{K} \sum_{K=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K}$

-44-

a contradiction. Hence there exists a real-valued function defined on Q and admitting no extension to a measurable function on X_*

<u>Theorem 4.29</u>: i) If every subset of a set $E \subset X$ is measurable, then E is a nullset. ii) If every subset of E has the property of Baire, then E is of first category.

Proof follows directly from Theorem 3.9.

In this section we have shown eight examples where the property of Baire has played a role analogous to measurability. In Chapter 1 we proved a duality theorem between the sets of first category and the nullsets of X. After seeing the dual results stated in this section between the property of Baire and measurability it is natural to ask: can the principle of duality be extended to include measurability and the property of Baire as dual notions? That is, is there a one-to-one mapping f of X onto itself such that f(E) is measurable if and only if E has the property of Baire, and such that f(E) is a nullset if and only if E is of first category? (This second property is a consequence of the first, and by Theorem 4.29 and its converse.) It was shown by Szpibrajn

[18] that such a mapping is impossible for \mathbb{R} . A proof appears in [10]. We extend Oxtoby's argument to prove:

<u>Theorem 4.30</u>: There does not exist a one-to-one mapping f of X onto itself such that f(E) is measurable if and only if E has the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose f is a one-to-one mapping of X onto itself such that f(E) is measurable if and only if E has the property of Baire.

-45-

Put $\mathbf{E} = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup_{i} \mathbf{E}_{i, \mathcal{M}_{k}}(i)$. Now let A be any closed subset of E such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{A}) \subset \infty$. Then there exists N such that $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ for all $n \cong \mathbb{N}$. Then

 $(E \cap A) \subset \bigcup_{i} E_{i \circ \mathcal{M}_{K}}(i) \cap E_{K}$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(E \cap A) \neq \mathcal{M}_{K}$ for all sufficiently large k. Thus $E \cap A$ is a nullset for every A a closed subset of E with finite measure. Therefore, by the regularity of \mathcal{M} we have $\mathcal{M}(E) = 0$.

<u>Theorem 4.32</u>: It is not in general true that: If E_{ij} is a double sequence of sets having the property of Baire such that $E_{ij} \supset E_{ij+i}$ for all positive integers i and j, and such that $(\bigcap_j E_{ij})$ is of first category for each 1, then there exists a sequence of mappings $n_K(i)$ of the set of positive integers into itself such that $(\bigcap_k \bigcup_i E_i)$ is of first category. That is, the dual of Theorem 4.31 is false.

<u>Proof</u> Let \mathbf{r}_{i}' be an enumeration of all rational numbers, and let $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}' = (\mathbf{r}_{i}' - \mathbf{l}_{j}', \mathbf{r}_{i}' + \mathbf{l}_{j}')$. This double sequence satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition in question. For any mapping $n(\mathbf{i})$ of the positive integers into positive integers, the set $U_{i}' \mathbf{E}_{i}', n(\mathbf{i})$ is a dense open set. For any sequence of such mappings $n_{K}(\mathbf{i})$, the set $\mathcal{N}_{K} U_{i}' \mathbf{E}_{i}', \mathbf{M}_{K}(i)$ is residual, i.e. its complement is of first category. But this is contrary to the stated conclusion.

<u>Remark 4.33</u>: Although we have shown that the extended principle of duality is not valid as a general principle, it has a c certain heuristic value. For example, many properties of measure depend only on properties of the class of measurable sets that are shared by the class of sets having the property of Baire. In such cases the principle may suggest (even though it does not prove) a valid dual. One then seeks an abstract theorem that includes both concepts.

-47.

WITT I THE R

2501.00 2577025

In this chapter we will examine to some extent what duality exists between category and measure in product spaces. To start with we shall need several results from Real Analysis. The following three lemmas, as well as a development of product measure spaces, are found in Chapter Ewelve of [13].

<u>lemma 5.1</u>: Let X be any set. Let \mathcal{M} be a measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of X, \mathcal{M}^* the outer measure induced by \mathcal{M} , and E any set. Then for $\epsilon > 0$, there is a set $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (those sets which are countable unions of sets of \mathcal{R}) with ECA and $\mathcal{M}^*A = \mathcal{M}^*E + \epsilon$. There is also a set $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (sets which are countable intersections of sets in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$) with ECB and $\mathcal{M}^*E = \mathcal{M}^*E$.

<u>Proof</u> See [13].

Definition 5.2: Let X be a topological space. A collection \mathscr{B} of nonempty open sets is called a pseudobase for X if every nonempty open set includes a member of \mathscr{B} .

For the rest of this chapter X and Y will be topological spaces with countable pseudobases and complete reasures \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} respectively on arbitrary \mathcal{O} -algebras, on X and Y respectively. Also $(Xx^{Y}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ will be the complete product measure space of $(X, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{M})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{V})$ as defined in $\begin{bmatrix} 13 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$.

-48-

Definition 5.3: The collection of all sets AXR such that

AEQ, FER

is denoted by \mathcal{R} and each set of this form is called a measurable rectangle.

Pemark 5.4: It is shown in [13] that R is a semialgebra which generates the \mathcal{T} -algebra \mathcal{L} in the product measure space $(XxY, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{X})$.

<u>Herma 5.5</u>: Let E be a set in $\Re g$ with $\lambda(E) < \infty$. Then the function g defined by $g(x) = \chi(E)$ is a measurable function of x and $\int g \, d\mu = \lambda(E)$.

 $\frac{Prnof}{See} \begin{bmatrix} 13 \end{bmatrix}.$

Now we will prove four dual results between measure and Baire category on product spaces.

The following theorem is taken from $\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -5.6 \end{bmatrix}$. Theorem 5.6: Let E be a subset of X x Y such that

 $\lambda(\Xi) = 0.$

Then $\gamma(\Sigma_x) = 0$ except on a set of measure zero.

<u>Proof</u> By Lemma 5.1 there is a set F in R_{f} such that

 $E \subset F$ and $\lambda(F) = 0$.

It follows from Temma 5.5 that $V(F_X) = 0$ except on a set of measure zero. But $F_X \subset F_X$ and so $V(E_X) = 0$ except on a set of measure zero since Y is complete.

The following theorem was proved by Kuratowski and Ulam in 1932 [9].

Theorem 5.7 (Dual of Theorem 5.6): If F is a subset of XxV which is of first estegory, then E_X is a set of first category in Y for all x except a set of first category in X. If F is a nowhere dense subset of XxY, then F_X is a nowhere dense subset of Y for all x except a set of first category in X.

<u>Proof</u> The two statements are essentially equivalent. For if $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{i}$, then

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \bigcup (\mathbf{F}_{j})_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Hence the first statement follows from the second. If E is nowhere dense, so is \mathbb{F} , and \mathbb{E}_{x} is nowhere dense whenever $(\mathbb{F})_{x}$ is of first category. Hence the second statement follows from the first. It is therefore sufficient to prove the second statement for any nowhere dense closed set E.

Let $\{V_{M}\}$ be a countable pseudobase for Y, and put G = (XxY)-E.

Then G is a dense open subset of XxY. For each positive integer n, let G_n be the projection of

 $G \cap (X \times V_m)$ in X_r

that is,

 $G_n = \{x \mid (x, y) \in G \text{ for some } y \in V_m \}.$

Let $x \in G_n$ and $y \in V_m$ be such that $(x,y) \in G$. Since G is open, there exists open sets U and V in X and Y respectively such that $x \in U$, $y \in V \subset V_m$, and $U \times V \subset G$. It follows that $U \subset G_m$. Hence G_n is an open subset of Y. For any nonempty open set U, the set $G \cap (U \times V_m)$ is non-empty, since G is dense in XXY. Hence G_n

-50-

contains points of \mathcal{U} . Therefore \mathcal{G}_n is a dense open subset of X, for each n. Consequently, the set \mathcal{M}^{n} is the completent of a set of first dategory in X. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}^{n}_{n}$, the section \mathcal{G}_{Y} contains points of \mathcal{V}_{n} for every n. Hence \mathcal{G}_{X} is a dense open subset of Y and therefore $\mathbb{E}_{X} = Y - \mathcal{G}_{X}$ is nowhere dense. This shows that for all x except a set of first category, \mathbb{E}_{X} is nowhere dense.

Theorem 5.8 and Lemma 5.12 are found in $\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Theorem 5.9</u>: Let E be a measurable subset of XXY such that $\lambda(E)$ is finite. Then except on a set of measure zero the set E_X is a measurable subset of Y.

<u>Proof</u> By Lemma 5.1 there is a set F in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that E C F and $\lambda(F) = \lambda(E)$.

Let G = F-F. Since E and F are reasurable, so is G, and

 $\lambda(F) = \lambda(E) + \lambda(G)$ Since $\lambda(E)$ is finite and equal to $\lambda(F)$, we have $\lambda(G) = 0$. Thus by Theorem 5.6 we have $\mathcal{V}(G_X) = 0$ except on a set of reasure zero.

The following three theorems on Baire category are from [10].

<u>Theorem 5.9: (Dual of Theorem 5.3)</u>: If E is a subset of XXY with the property of Baire, then E_X has the property of Baire for all x except a set of first category in X.

<u>Proof</u> $Ie^{\pm} E = G \Delta P$, where G is open and P is of first category. Then

 $E_{x} = G_{x} \Delta F_{x}$, for all x.

Every section of an open set is open, hence Ex has the property

-51-

of Bairo whenever P_X is of first category. By Theorem K.K. this is the case for all represent a set of first category.

Theorem 5.10: A product set AxR is of measure zero in XxY if and only if at least one of the sets A or P is of measure zero.

$$\frac{\text{Prinof}}{\mathcal{M}(A)} = 0 = \mathcal{M}(A) \cdot \mathcal{V}(B), \text{ then either}$$
$$\mathcal{M}(A) = 0 \text{ or } \mathcal{V}(B) = 0.$$

The converse is trivial.

<u>Theorem 5.11 (Dual of Theorem 5.10)</u>: A product set AVP is of first category in XXY if and only if at least one of the sets A or P is of first category.

<u>Proof</u> If G is a dense open subset of X, then GXY is a dense open subset of XXY. Hence AxB is nowhere dense in XXY whenever A is nowhere dense in X. Since

 $(U_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{A}}}) \times \mathbb{B} = U(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{B}),$

it follows that AXP is of first category whenever A is of first category. Similar reasoning applies to B.

Conversely, if AxB is of first category and A is not, then by Theorem 5.6 there exists a point x in A such that $(AxB)_x$ is of first category. Since

 $(AxB)_x = B$ for all x in A,

it follows that P is of first category.

The following lemma is needed to prove Theorem 5.13.

<u>Lemma 5.12</u>: Let E be a measurable set of finite measure in XxY. Then the function g defined by $g(x) = V(E_x)$ is a measurable function defined except on a set of measure zero and

 $(gdu = \lambda(E))$

<u>Proof</u> Follows directly from Lerna 5.5 and Theorem 5.10. <u>Theorem 5.13</u>: If E is a measurable subset of YXY, and if Fy is of reasure zero for all x except a set of measure zero, then E is of reasure zero.

<u>Proof</u> Define $g(x) = Y(E_x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then by Lemma 5.12 g(x) is a measurable function defined except on a set of measure zero and $O = \int g d\mu = \chi(E)$ $\int since g(x) = 0$ except on a set of measure zero \int .

The following theorem is a partial converse of Theorem 5.7. <u>Theorem 5.14 (Dual of Theorem 5.13</u>): If E is a subset of XXY that has the property of Paire, and if E_x is of first category for all x except a set of first category, then E is of first category.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose the contrary. Then $E = G \Delta P$, where P is of first category and G is an open set of second category. There exist open sets U and V such that $U \times V \subset G$ and $U \times V$ is of second category [[this follows from Theorem 2.13]]. By Theorem 5.11, both U and V are of second category. For all x in U, $E_X \supseteq V - P_X$. By Theorem 5.7, P_X is of first category for all x except a set of first category. Therefore E_X is of second category for all x in U except a set of first category. This implies that F_X is of second category for all x in a set of second category, contrary to hypothesis.

CHAPTER 6

CATEGORY MEASURE SPACES

A category measure space is a regular Hausdoiff Topological space with a finite measure \mathcal{M} defined on the \mathcal{F} -algebra \mathcal{J}' of sets having the property of Baire, and such that $\mathcal{M}(E) = 0$ if and only if E is of first category. In such a space the extended principle of duality is not only valid, it is a tautology (See Chapter 4 of this paper for a discussion of the extended principle of duality.)

In this chapter, after some general results about category measure spaces I will consider three ways of generating category measure spaces. First I shall show how to define a topology (the density topology) on certain metric spaces in terms of a measure, to make the measure a category measure. Secondly I will discuss category measure spaces obtained from Boolean measure spaces, that is, spaces obtained from finite measure algebras by means of the Stone representation theorem. The third class of category measures is obtained by means of the Gelfaud-Maimark representation theorem on the structure space of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ of a finite measure space. The later two provide examples of compact Hausdoiff spaces that admit a category measure.

- 54-

A. General Considerations

I will begin by stating several needed definitions and look at some results stating when sets of first category are necessarily nowhere dense. The following material is taken from $\lfloor / / \rfloor$.

<u>Definition 6.1</u>: A topological space is called <u>category</u> <u>measurable</u> if it admits a category measure not identically zero.

<u>Definition 6.2</u>: A topological space will be called <u>regularly</u> <u>category measurable</u> if it admits a regular category measure.

<u>Definition 6.3</u>: A topological space is quasi-regular if for each non-empty open set U there exists a non-empty open set Vsuch that $\overline{V} \in U$. (Here \overline{V} stands for the closure of V.)

<u>Theorem 6.4</u>: Let I be a category measurable space and let \mathcal{A}'

be the union of all open sets of first category in X. The following assertions concerning X are equivalent:

1) some category measure in X is regular;

2) every category measure in X is regular;

3) $X = \overline{J'}$ is a quasi-regular subspace of X.

In particular, a category measurable Baire space is regularly category measurable if and only if it is quasi-regular.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose that μ is a regular category measure in X. Let U be any non-empty open set contained in $X - \vec{J}$. Then U is of second category and $\mu(U) > 0$. Let F = X - U. Then

 $\mathcal{M}(F) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$. Since \mathcal{M} is regular there exists an open set \mathcal{W} such that $F \subset W$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$. Put $V = X - \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. Then V is an open set, $\overline{V} \subset X - \overline{\mathcal{W}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{f}$

and $\mathcal{U}(V) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathcal{U}(\overline{W}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathcal{U}(\overline{W}) \ge 0$. Hence V is a non-empty open subset of U and $\overline{V} \subset U$. Thus 1) implies 3).

Suppose that $Y = X - \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a quasi-regular subspace of X. Let μ be any category measure in X, and let F be any closed subset of X. If F does not contain Y let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ be a maximal disjoint family of non-empty open sets G such that $\overline{G} \subset Y - F$. The family $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ must be countable, say $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} G_i \\ F \\ i \end{cases}$. The maximality of $\begin{cases} G_i \\ G_i \\ f \\ i \end{cases}$ and the quasi-regularity of Y imply that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathcal{D}} G_j$ is dense in Y - F. Hence $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathcal{D}} G_j$ differs from Y - F by a nowhere dense set, and $\mu(X-F) = \mu(Y-F) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{D}} \mu(G_j)$.

For any E > 0 there is a positive integer n such that

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{U}(G_i) > \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F}) - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}.$

Hence $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (X - \overline{G_i})$ is an open set containing F and, $\mathcal{U}(G) = \mathcal{U}(X) - \mathcal{U}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{G_i}) \leq \mathcal{U}(F) + \mathcal{U}(X-F) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{U}(G_i) \leq \mathcal{U}(F) + \epsilon$. On the other hand, if F contains Y, then X itself is an open set containing F, and $\mathcal{U}(X) = \mathcal{U}(F)$. Thus 3) implies 2). Obviously 2) implies 1),

(G

Theorem 6.5: If X is a Quasi-regular category measurable Baire space then every set of first category in X is nowhere dense.

<u>Proof</u> By Theorem 6.4 there exists a regular category measure \mathcal{M} in X. Let $\{N_i\}$ be any sequence of nowhere dense sets, with $P = \bigcup N_i$. Then $\mathcal{M}(\overline{N_1}) = 0$, and for any $\mathcal{E} > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{G_i\}$ of open sets such that $\overline{N_i} \subseteq G_i$ and $\mathcal{M}(G_i) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2^i}$ for each 1. Let $G = \bigcup G_i$. Then G is open and $\mathcal{M}(\overline{G}) = \mathcal{M}(G) \leq 6$.

-56 -

Since $\overline{P} \subset \overline{G}$ it follows that $\mathcal{M}(\overline{P}) \stackrel{\ell}{=} \overline{C}$ for every $\overline{C} > 0$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(\overline{P}) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}(\overline{P}^{\prime - \prime}) = 0$, and therefore $\overline{P}^{\prime - \prime}$ is an open set of first category. Because X is a Baire space it follows that $\overline{P}^{\prime - \prime}$ is empty, that is, P is nowhere dense.

<u>Theorem 6.6</u>: The following assertions concerning a category measurable Baire space X are equivalent:

1) every set of first category in X is nowhere dense;

2) $\mathcal{M}(E) = \mathcal{M}(\overline{E}) = \mathcal{M}(E'^{-1})$ for every category measure \mathcal{M} and for every set E having the property of Baire;

3) $\mathcal{M}(E) = \mathcal{M}(\overline{E})$ (or $\mathcal{M}(E) = \mathcal{M}(E'')$) for some category measure \mathcal{M} and for every set E having the property of Baire.

<u>Proof</u> Assume 1) Then any set E having the property of Baire is of the form $G \triangle N$, where G is open and N is nowhere dense. Hence $G - \overline{N} \subset E' \subset E \subset \overline{G} \subset \overline{N}$. Since $\mathcal{M}(G - \overline{N}) = \mathcal{M}(\overline{G} \cup \overline{N})$ for any category measure \mathcal{M} it follows that 1) implies 2). Obviously 2) implies 3).

Assume 3). Since $\mu(E) = \mu(\overline{E})$ if and only if $\mu(E') = \mu(\overline{E'})$, either version of 3) implies that $\mu(E) = \mu(\overline{E}) = \mu(E'')$ for every set E having the property of Baire. In particular, if P is any set of first category then $\mu(P) = \mu(\overline{P}) = \mu(\overline{P''}) = 0$. Hence $\overline{P'''}$ is an open set of first category, therefore empty, and P is nowhere dense. Thus 3) implies 1).

The following examples show that in a category measurable Baire space that is not quasi-regular it may or may not be true that every set of first category is nowhere dense.

Example 6.7: Let X be an uncountable set. In the first example let X have the cofinite topology (i.e. the class of closed sets consists of X and its finite subsets). In the second exasple let X have the cocountable topology (i.e. the class of closed sets consists of X and its countable subsets). Clearly both of these topologies are not quasi-regular since the only closed set a non-expty open set is contained in is X. Also in either case X is a T, -space, but not Hausdoiff, and the sets of first category are the countable sets, the sets having the property of Baire are the countable sets and their complements, and every non-empty open set is of second category. Hence in eitherscase X is a Baire space. If we define $\mu(E) = 0$ or one according as E or X - E is countable. Then is a category measure in either space, but not regular. In the first example the nowhere dense sets constitute a proper subclass of the class of sets of first category. In the second example every set of first category is nowhere dense.

The following discussion results in a necessary and sufficient condition for a metric space to be a category measurable space.

First observe that it follows from theorem that in any metrizable category measurable Baire space every set of first category is nowhere dense.

Theorem 6.8: In a metric space X every set of first category is nowhere dense if and only if the set D of isolated points of X is dense in X.

Proof Suppose the open set X - D is non-empty. For each

-58-

positive integer m let E_m be a maximal subset of $X - \overline{D}$ with the property that the distance between any two points of E_m is at least equal to $\frac{1}{m}$. Then E_m is closed. Since $X - \overline{D}$ contains no isolated points, each of the sets E_m is nowhere dense. Hence the set $P = UE_m$ is of first category in X. The maximality of the sets E_m implies that P is dense in $X - \overline{D}$. Hence $X - \overline{D}$ is a nonempty open set contained in \overline{P} , and P is not nowhere dense. Since this contradicts that each first category set is nowhere dense it follows that if every set of first category is nowhere dense then the set D of isolated points of X is dense in X. (In case D is empty, the same reasoning shows that a metric space contains a dense set of first category if and only if the space is dense in itself.)

Conversely assume that D is dense in X. Let $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\omega} N_i$ where F is a set of first category and each N; is nowhere dense. To show F nowhere dense is equivalent to showing that F does not meet D. But each $\overline{N_i}$ does not meet D, therefore, each N_i does not meet D. Hence F does not meet D. Therefore F is nowhere dense,

The following theorem follows easily from Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.8.

<u>Theorem 6.9</u>: A metrizable space is a category measurable Baire space if and only if the set D of isolated points of X is a countable dense subset of X. In this case the category measures in X are those and only those measures that are positive for each point of D and vanishes on X = D.

<u>Corollary 6,10</u>: Let X be the space defined in Chapter 1, i.e., X is a second countable Baire metric space, and μ will be the completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets of X such that points have measure zero and $\mu(G) > 0$ for all nonempty open sets G in X. Then X is not a category measurable Baire space. B. Example: Density Topology

For our discussion of a density topology we will take X to be a second countable; Baire metric space and \mathcal{M} a non-atomic (i.e. points have measure zero) completion of a regular measure on the Borel sets such that $\mathcal{M}(G)>0$ for each nonempty open set G in X. We will also impose two additional conditions on X. Let

$$N(x,r) = \begin{cases} y/d(x,y) < r \\ d(x,y) = \begin{cases} y/d(x,y) \leq r \end{cases} and$$

where d is the distance function for I_{\bullet} . Then we will assume:

- i) for all $\in >0$, there exists S > O such that $\mathcal{U}(D(x,S)) \leq C$ for all $x \in X$,
- ii) there exists K, a positive real number, such that $\mathcal{M}(D(x,3n)) \leq K \mathcal{M}(D(x,n))$ for all n, and for all $x \in X$.

<u>q</u> <u>Definition 6.11</u>: A measurable set $E \subset X$ is said to have

density d at x if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u \{E \land D(X, f_i)\}}{u \{D(X, f_i)\}}$$

exists and is equal to d. We will denote the set of points of X at which E has density 1 by $\mathscr{G}(E)$.

<u>Remark 6,12</u>: Observe that the density (if it exists) of any measurable set at any_point is a real number between zero and one.

-60-

Now we will prove a generalization of the Vitali covering theorem. My proof will make use of the techniques found on page 109 of $\begin{bmatrix} 21 \end{bmatrix}$.

<u>Theorem 6.13 (Generalized Vitali Covering Theorem</u>): Let $A \subset X$, and $\{ D, \}$ be a family of closed cells covering A in the sense of Vitali, i.e., for every $x \in A$, x is contained in a D_{C} of arbitrarily small measure. Then there exists a sequence $\{ D_{IM} \}_{M=I}^{\infty}$ of disjoint closed cells of $\{ D_{C} \}$ with

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A} - \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_{m}) = \mathbf{0},$$

<u>Proof</u> Observe that \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{O} -finite since X is Lindelof X is second countable and by assumption (i) above. Therefore we may assume that A and all $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ are contained in a set of finite measure. Also we may take this set of finite measure to be a closed cell, therefore we may assume the sup $\{ r/D_{\mathcal{L}}(x,r) \in \{D_{\mathcal{L}}\} \} \subset \infty$. Let D₁ be arbitrary and assume we have picked D_1, \ldots, D_m . If $A \subset D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_m$ we are done. Otherwise there is a point of A not in this union, and since $\{D_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ is a Vitali covering of A, there is a member of $\{D_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ which is disjoint from the union. Define $d_m = \sup\{\mathcal{M}(D_{\mathcal{L}})/D_{\mathcal{L}}$ is disjoint from the union. Now choose $D_{m+1}(x_{m+1}, x_{m+1})$ to be any $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ disjoint from \mathcal{O} D_1 and such that

$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}_{n}$

and if $\Omega_{C_0}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{s})$ is any other member of $\{D_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ disjoint from $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathcal{A}} D_j$. with $\mathcal{M}(D_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{s})) \ge \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}$, then $\mathbf{r} \ge \mathbf{s}$. We shall show that $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbf{A} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathcal{A}} D_j) = 0$. If $D_{\mathcal{M}} = D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{M}})$, then define -61 $D_m = D_m (x_m, 3r_m).$

Hence by assumption (ii) there exists a positive number L such that $\mu(D'_{\mathcal{M}}) \leq L \mu(D_{\mathcal{M}})$ for all n. Since the D; 's are disjoint and contained in a set of finite measure we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(D_j) \leq \infty$ hence $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(D_j) \leq \infty$. Now if $\mu^*(A - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j) > 0$, then for some integer \mathcal{V} $\sum_{\mathcal{V} \neq 1} \mu(D_j) < \mu^*(A - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j)$. It follows that there is an $x \in A - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$, but not in $\bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \neq 1}^{\infty} D_j'$. Since $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$ the Vitali covering property implies that there is a $D \in \{D_{\mathcal{K}}\}$ with $x \in D$ and $D \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j') = \emptyset$. Now suppose D were disjoint from $D_j \cup \ldots \cup D_m$. Then $d_n \geq \mu(D)$, and therefore $\mu(D_{m+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu(D)$. Thus if D were disjoint from all the D_m , we would have

 $\mathcal{U}(D_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{U}(D) > 0$

for all n $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}(D) > 0$ since open sets have positive measure $\prod_{j=1}^{n} .$ and so $\underset{j=1}{\overset{o}{\underset{j=1}{\longrightarrow}}} \mathcal{L}(D_{j}) = \infty$, a contradiction. Thus D is disjoint from $\underset{j=1}{\overset{o}{\underset{j=1}{\longrightarrow}}} D_{j}$, but is not disjoint from $\underset{j=1}{\overset{o}{\underset{j=1}{\longrightarrow}}} D_{j}$. Let m_{o} be the first index with $D \cap D_{m_{o}} \neq \emptyset$. Of course $m_{o} > \mathcal{V}$. By our choice of $D_{m_{o}} \cdot \mathcal{L}(D_{m_{o}}) \ge \frac{1}{2} d_{m_{o}-1}$. But $D \cap D_{m} = \emptyset$ for m = 1, 2, ..., m_{o} -1. so $d_{m_{o}-1} \ge \mathcal{L}(D)$; therefore the radius of $D \le$ the radius of $D_{m_{o}}$. But by the definition of $\{D_{m}\}$ and $\{D_{m}\}$ it follows that $D_{m_{o}}' > D$ and hence $x \in D_{m_{o}}'$. Since $m_{o} > \mathcal{V}$ and we had assumed that $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}' D_{j}'$ we have a contradiction.

The following theorems are generalizations of theorems in $[!^o]$.

Theorem 6.14 (Lebesque Density Theorem): For any measurable set $E \subset I$.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{E} \bigtriangleup \mathbf{\phi}(\mathbf{E})) = \mathbf{0}$

<u>Proof</u> It is sufficient to show that $E - \varphi(E)$ is a nullset, since

and E is measurable. Since μ is Γ -finite we may assume that $\mu(\mathbf{E}) \subset \infty$. Furthermore,

 $\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{M=1}^{\infty} A_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\sum P(\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{H}))}{\sum D(X, \mathcal{H})} \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}) \frac{\sum P(X, \mathcal{H})}{\sum D(X, \mathcal{H})} \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}) \frac{\sum P(X, \mathcal{H})}{\sum D(X, \mathcal{H})}$ Hence it is sufficient to whow that $A_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a nullset for every positive integer \mathcal{M} . Putting $\mathbf{A} = A_{\mathcal{M}}$ we shall obtain a contradiction from the supposition that $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbf{A}) \ge 0$. (Here \mathcal{M}^* stands for the outer measure generated by \mathcal{M} as defined in Chapter 2).

If $\mathcal{M}^*(A) > 0$, there exists an open set G of finite measure containing A such that $\mathcal{M}(G) \subset \frac{\mathcal{M}(A)}{1-\frac{1}{m}}$. Let \mathcal{E} denote the class of all closed cells D(x,r) such that $D(x,r) \subset G$, and

 $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{M} D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}) \in (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \mathcal{M} \in D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{M}$ Observe that (i) \mathcal{E} includes closed cells of arbitrarily small measure about each point of A, and (ii) for any disjoint sequence

$$\begin{cases} D_{m}(\mathbf{x}_{M}, \mathbf{r}_{M}) \end{cases} \text{ of neabers of } \mathcal{E}, \text{ we have} \\ \mathcal{U}^{*} \\ \begin{cases} \mathcal{A} &- U D_{M}(\mathbf{x}_{M}, \mathbf{r}_{M}) \end{cases} \end{cases} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Property (11) follows from the fact that

$$\mathcal{M}^{*} \left\{ A \bigcap_{m \in I} \widetilde{D}_{m}(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{r}_{m}) \right\} \leq \sum_{m \in I} \mathcal{M} \left\{ E \bigwedge \widetilde{D}_{m}(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{r}_{m}) \right\}$$
$$\leq (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \sum_{\mathcal{M}} \left\{ D_{m}(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{r}_{m}) \right\} \leq (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \mathcal{M}(G) \leq \mathbf{M}^{*}(\mathbf{A}).$$

-63-

But property (ii) contradicts Theorem 6.13.

Let us write $A \sim B$ when $\mathcal{M}(A \bigtriangleup B) = 0$. This is an equivalence relation in the class \mathcal{N} of measurable sets in X. The following theorems states that the mapping $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ may be regarded as a function that selects one member from each equivalence class. Moreover, it does so in such a way that the selected sets constitute a class that includes the empty set, the whole space, and is closed under intersection.

<u>Theorem 6.15</u>: For any measurable set A, let $\mathscr{G}(A)$ denote the set of points of X where A has density 1. Then \mathscr{G} has the following properties where $A \sim B$ means that $A \bigtriangleup B$ is a nullset:

1) $\phi(A) \sim A$,

Therefore

- 2) $A \sim B$ implies $\mathscr{G}(A) = \mathscr{G}(B)$,
- 3) $\oint(\oint) = \oint$ and $\oint(I) = I$,
- (4) $\mathscr{G}(A \cap B) = \mathscr{G}(A) \cap \mathscr{G}(B),$
- 5) A \subset B implies $\mathscr{G}(A) \subset \mathscr{G}(B)$.

<u>Proof</u> The first assertion is just Theorem 6.14. The second and third are immediate consequences of the definition of \emptyset . To prove 4), note that for any closed cell D we have

 $D - (A \land B) = (D - A) \bigcup (D - B).$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(D) - \mathcal{M}(D \cap A \cap B) \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} \mathcal{M}(D) - \mathcal{M}(D \cap A) + \mathcal{M}(D) - \mathcal{M}(D \cap B).$

$$\frac{\mu(D \cap A)}{\mu(0)} + \frac{\mu(D \cap B)}{\mu(0)} - 1 \leq \frac{\mu(D \cap A \cap B)}{\mu(0)}.$$

Taking D = D(x,h) and letting $h \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{J}(A) \land \mathcal{J}(B) \land \mathcal{J}(A \land B)$. The opposite inclusion is obvious. Property 5) is a consequence of 4).

<u>Definition 6.16</u>: Let \mathcal{R} be the class of μ -nullsets in X and let \mathcal{N} be the σ -algebra of measurable sets in X, and for every $E \in \mathcal{N}$ let $\mathscr{G}(E)$ be the set of points of X at which E has density 1, then we define.

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \varphi(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{N} \}.$$

Theorem 6.17: T is a topology in X.

<u>Proof</u> Let \oslash denote the nullset. Since $\partial \in \mathcal{N}$ property 3) of Theorem 6.15 implies that $X = \mathscr{G}(X) - \oslash$ and $\oslash = \mathscr{G}(\oslash) - \oslash$ both belong to \mathcal{T} . By 4) in Theorem 6.5 we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \emptyset(A_1) - N_1 \end{bmatrix} \cap \begin{bmatrix} \emptyset(A_2) - N_2 \end{bmatrix} + \emptyset \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \cap A_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} N_1 \cup N_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersections. To show that \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary union, let

 $\mathcal{F} = \{ \emptyset(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}) - \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{C}} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \in \boldsymbol{\Pi} \}, \ \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{S}, \ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{N} \}$

be any subfamily of \mathcal{T} . Let b denote the least upper bound of the measures of finite unions of members of \mathcal{F} , and choose a sequence $\{\mathcal{I}_{m}\}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\mathcal{O}} A_{\subset_{m}}) = b$. (Note: b may be equal to ∞). Put $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\mathcal{O}} A_{\subset_{m}}$. Then $A \in \mathcal{J}$ and the definition of bimplies that $A_{\subset} - A \in \mathcal{N}$ for every $\subset \in [\mathcal{T}]$. Since $A_{\subset} - (A_{\subset} - A) \subset A$, it follows from 2) and 5) of Theorem 6.15 that

 $\emptyset(A_{\mathcal{L}}) \subset \emptyset(A) \text{ for every } \sim \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{L}} = \widetilde{\bigcup} \left[N_{\mathcal{L}} \cup (A_{\mathcal{L}} - \emptyset(A_{\mathcal{L}})) \right]$

Putting

 $N_{o} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{N} \left[N_{c_{m}} \cup (A_{c_{m}} - \mathcal{G}(A_{c_{m}})) \right], \text{ we have } N_{o} \in \mathcal{N}$ $A-N_{o} \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{N} \left[\mathcal{G}(A_{c_{m}}) - N_{c_{m}} \right] \subset \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{I}} \left[\mathcal{G}(A_{c}) - N_{c_{m}} \right] \subset \mathcal{G}(A).$

and

The extremes differ by a nullset, and therefore

$$\bigcup_{X \in \Gamma} \left[\emptyset(A_X) - N_X \right] = \emptyset(A) - N$$

for some $N \in \mathcal{H}$, by the completeness of \mathcal{H} .

-65-

<u>Definition 6.18</u>: The topology \mathcal{T} will be called the <u>density</u> topology for X. We will now look at some of its properties.

<u>Theorem 6.19</u>: A set $N \subset X$ is nowhere dense relative to if and only if $N \in \mathcal{H}$. Every nowhere dense set is closed.

Proof If $N \in \mathcal{N}$, then $X-N = \emptyset(X)-N \in \mathcal{J}$, hence each member of \mathcal{M} is closed. If $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\emptyset(A_1) - N_1 \subset N$ for some $A_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\emptyset(A_1) \in \mathcal{N}$ and so $\emptyset(A_1) = \emptyset$ by 2) and 3) in Theorem 6.15. Hence $\emptyset(A_1)-N_1 = \emptyset$, and therefore N is nowhere dense. Conversely, if F is closed and nowhere dense, then $X-F = \emptyset(A)-N$ for some $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}$, hence F belongs to \mathcal{S}^{\prime} . Since

$$\mathbf{F} \supset \phi(\mathbf{F}) - \left[\phi(\mathbf{F}) - \mathbf{F}\right] \in \mathcal{T},$$

the nowhere denseness of F implies that $\mathscr{G}(F) \subset \mathscr{G}(F) - F$. Hence $\mathscr{G}(F) = \mathscr{G}$, by 1), 2), and 3) of Theorem 6.15. Therefore $F \sim \mathscr{G}$, that is $F \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, \mathcal{N} is identical with the class of closed nowhere dense sets. Since every nowhere dense set is contained in a closed nowhere dense set, and every subset of a member of \mathcal{N} belongs to \mathcal{N} , it follows that every nowhere dense set is closed.

Theorem 6.20: A set A \subset X has the property of Baire if and only if $A \in S'$.

Proof If $A \in S'$, then $A = \emptyset(A) \bigtriangleup (\emptyset(A) \bigtriangleup A)$. Since $\emptyset(A) \in \mathcal{J}$, and $\emptyset(A) \bigtriangleup A \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows from Theorem 6.9 that A has the property of Baire. Conversely, if A has the property of Baire, then $A = [\emptyset B] - N \bigtriangleup M$ for some $B \in S'$, some $N \in \mathcal{N}$, and some set M of first category. By Theorem 6.19, M belongs to

-66-

 \mathcal{N}_{\bullet} and therefore $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{S}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$.

<u>Definition 6.21</u>: Regular open set is a set that is equal to the interior of its closure. Any set of the form \overline{A} '' is regular open where \overline{A} and \overline{A} represents the closure and complement of Arespectively.

<u>Theorem 6.22</u>: A set $G \subset X$ is regular open if and only if $G = \emptyset(A)$ for some $A \in S'$.

<u>Proof</u> If $A \in \mathcal{J}'$, then $\mathscr{J}(A)$ is open, and the closure of $\mathscr{J}(A)$ is of the form $\mathscr{J}(A) \cup \mathbb{N}$ for some $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}$, by Theorem 6.19. Let $\mathscr{J}(A_{\mathcal{J}})-\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be any open subset of $\mathscr{J}(A) \cup \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Then

 $\mathscr{G}(A_{i})-N_{i}\subset \mathscr{G}(A_{i}) = \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{G}(A_{i})-N_{i}) \subset \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{G}(A)\cup N)\subset \mathscr{G}(A).$

Thus $\emptyset(A)$ is the largest open subset of $\emptyset(A) \cup N$. This shows that $\widehat{\emptyset}(A)$ is equal to the interior of its closure, that is, $\widehat{\emptyset}(A)$ is regular open. Conversely, if G is regular open, then $G = \widehat{\emptyset}(A) - \widehat{N}$ for some $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $\widehat{\emptyset}(A) \bigtriangleup \widehat{\int} \widehat{\emptyset}(A) - \widehat{N}$ is contained in N, we have $\widehat{\emptyset}(A) \sim \widehat{\int} \widehat{\emptyset}(A) - \widehat{N} = G$. Since G and $\widehat{\emptyset}(A)$ differ by a nowhere dense set, and both are regular open, it follows that $G = \widehat{\emptyset}(A)$.

Theorem 6.23: I is a Hausdorff topology.

<u>Proof</u> Observe that \mathcal{T} consists of all measurable sets A such that A has density 1 at each of its points. Hence \mathcal{T} includes all sets that are open in the ordinary topology, consequently it is Hausdorff.

Theorem 6.24: The density topology in X is regular.

-67-

<u>Proof</u> Let x be a point of a set $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Then A has density 1 at x. For each positive integer η , let F_{η} be an ordinaryclosed subset of $D(x, \frac{1}{\eta}) \cap A$ such that

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{F}_{n}) \supset \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{n})) \right\} \mathcal{M}\left[\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{n}) \cap \mathbf{A} \right].$

If

 $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{J} \in \mathbf{F}_{m}\}$, then $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{F}) \subset \mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{A}$. Since \mathbf{A}

has density 1 at x,

$$\frac{\mu\{D(X, \pm)\Lambda F\}}{\mu\{D(X, \pm)\}} \ge \frac{\mu\{F_n\}}{\mu\{D(X, \pm)\}} \longrightarrow /.$$

Therefore F has density 1 at x, and so $x \in \mathcal{G}(F)$. Thus $\mathcal{G}(F)$ is a \mathcal{T} -neighborhood of x whose \mathcal{T} -closure is contained in F, and therefore in A.

<u>Remark 6.25</u>: Hence μ is a category measure when restricted to any open cell of finite measure. Relative to this density topology, the extended principle is valid, and it is no longer possible to decompose X into a nullset and a set of first category

[[Lenral]]

There are two more aspects of density topology which I wish to consider. First I would like to consider the class of approximately continuous functions on X. Secondly I will show that X with its density topology is not normal, hence it is not metrimetrimable $\int \int$ See Theorem 4.3.3 in $\begin{bmatrix} 20 \end{bmatrix} \int$.

<u>Definition 6.26</u>: A function f from X to a topological space is said to be <u>approximately continuous</u> at a point p if, for every open set G containing f(p), the set $\mathcal{F}'(G)$ has metric density 1 at p.

Theorem $6_{\circ}27$: The set of real-valued functions which are continuous in the density topology is precisely the set of -68approximately continuous functions on X (with respect to its original topology.)

Proof Clear.

<u>Remark 6.28</u>: Nishiura has shown $\begin{bmatrix} G \end{bmatrix}$ that the density topology for Euclidean *m* space, E_m , is the coarsest topology for which its approximately continuous real-valued functions are continuous.

This is done by showing, that the density topology for E_{AA} is completely regular, and hence coincides with the weak topology induced by its real-valued continuous functions [See page 115 of [20]].

I do not know whether the more general density topology defined above is completely regular, nor whether Hishiura's result is valid here.

I want to now show that the density topology for X is not normal. In order to do this we will first need to state some definitions and to prove several lemmas.

Definition 6.29: Let X, Y be any topological space. Then the family $\mathscr{D}F(X)$ of Baire functions is the smallest family of functions f: $X \rightarrow Y$ that contains all continuous functions and all pointwise limits of pointwise convergent sequences of functions of $\mathscr{D}F(X)$. A function is said to be of <u>Baire class 1</u> if it is the limit function of a sequence of continuous functions.

The following theorem is found in Henstock's book Linear Analysis on page $89 \begin{bmatrix} \theta \end{bmatrix}$,

-69-

<u>Theorem 6.30</u>: If (X_{j}, \mathcal{I}_{j}) is a Baire space, (X_{j}, \mathcal{I}_{j}) is a pseudometric space, and $f \in \mathcal{B}f(X_{j})$, there is a $\mathbb{Z} \subset X_{j}$ of the first category in \mathcal{I}_{j} , such that f is continuous in $X_{j} - \mathbb{Z}_{j}$.

The following corollary is a special case of the above theorem.

<u>Corollary 6.31</u>: Let X be defined as usual. Then if f is a real-valued function on X of Baire class 1, then f is continuous except at a set of points of first category.

<u>Definition 6.32</u>: Let f be any real-valued function on X. For any open cell N(x,r) in X, the quantity

 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r})) = \sup_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}')} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) - \inf_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}')} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$

is called the oscillation of f on N(x,r). For any fixed x, the function $\mathcal{U}(N(x,r))$ decreases with r and approaches a limit

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\substack{r \to o}} \omega(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r})),$$

called the oscillation of f at x.

<u>Remark 6.33</u>: Observe that $\omega(x)$ is an extended real-valued function on X such that f is continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if $\omega(x) = 0$.

The following lemma is a generalization of a result of Goffman and Waterman $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \end{bmatrix}$,

Lemma 6.34: An approximately continuous function f from X to a matric space is of Baire class 1.

<u>Proof</u> Suppose f is not of Baire class 1. Then there is a nonempty perfect set R such that, at every point of R, f is discontinuous relative to R. R is a Baire space. For every $\gamma\gamma$,

-70-

let R_{m} be the subset of R at which the oscillation of f is not less than \overline{m} . At least one R_{m} contains an open cell K in R. Then the oscillation of f relative to K is not less than $2 \ll \frac{1}{m}$ at every point of K. Since the closure of any open subspace of K is perfect, the space K is of the second category.

Cover f(X) by a countable set of open cells of radius $\frac{\alpha}{3}$. The intersection of f(X) with one of these, having center \mathcal{G} , has inverse \mathcal{T}_i dense in a perfect subset PCK. Let \mathbf{T}_2 be the set of $p \in X$ for which $\mathcal{J}(f(p), \mathcal{G}) > \frac{2\alpha}{3}$. Then \mathbf{T}_2 is also dense in P since the oscillation of f relative to P is not less than $2 \propto at$ every point of P and \mathbf{T}_i is dense in P.

Let $\{p_{\mathcal{M}}\}\$ be a countable dense subset of T_i which is dense in P and let $\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}\}\$ be any sequence of positive numbers converging to zero. Since T_i has density one at each of its points, there is a sequence of open cells, $\{N_{\mathcal{M}}\}\$, $P_{\mathcal{M}}\in N_{\mathcal{M}}$, $\lim_{\mathcal{M}\to\infty}\mathcal{U}(N_{\mathcal{M}})=0$, such that the relative measure of T_i in $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$ exceeds $1-\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$. The set V_i of points belonging to infinitely many $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$ is residual relative to P. In the same fashion we can construct another residual set V_i corresponding to T_2 .

The set $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ is residual relative to P. The upper metric densities (here the upper metric density of a measurable set $\int C X$ at a point p is $\lim_{M \to \infty} \sup_{U(p,r)} \frac{\int u(S \cap N(p,r))}{u(N(p,r))} \int u(N(p,r)) C \int M(p,r)$) of T_1 and T_2 are equal to one at every point of V. Thus for any $p \in V$ we have simultaneously

$$d(f(p), \mathcal{Y}) \cong \frac{2\alpha}{3} \text{ and } d(f(p), \mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \frac{\alpha}{3}$$

and so Y is empty. This contradiction establishes our result.

We now prove that X with the density topology is not normal. Our proof will be a generalization of the one found in [67].

Theorem 6.35: I with the density topology is not a normal topological space.

<u>Proof</u> Let A, B be disjoint subsets of X which are dense in the usual topology of X, and such that $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = 0$ [Indexate]. Then A, B are closed in the density topology for X. Suppose the density topology for X is normal. Then there is $f \in P_0$ such that $f: X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ and f(A) = 0, f(B) = 1. But then f is discontinuous everywhere (in the usual topology for X). But this contradicts that f is of Baire class 1.

C. Example: Boolean Measure Spaces and Normal Measures on Stonian Spaces

I now show that a Boolean space gives rise to a category measure space when its dual algebra is a measure algebra. The following results are found in [7].

Definition 6.36: A Boolean space is a totally disconnected (i.e. the closed-open sets constitute a base) compact Hausdorff space.

<u>Definition 6.37</u>: The algebra of all closed-open sets in a Boolean space X is called the <u>dual algebra</u> of X.

<u>Definition 6.38</u>: A Boolean space is a <u>Boolean \mathcal{T} -space</u> if the closure of every open Baire set is open. <u>Definition 6.39</u>: A <u>Boolean necsure space</u> is a Boolean \mathcal{T} -space X together with a normalized measure ($\mathcal{M}(X) = 1$) on the \mathcal{T} -algebra of Borel sets in X, such that non-empty open sets have positive measure and nowhere dense Borel sets have measure zero.

<u>Remark 6.40</u>: The completion of a Boolean measure space is a category measure space.

<u>Definition 6.41</u>: A <u>measure algebra</u> is a Boolean \mathcal{T} -algebra A together with a positive (i.e. the empty set is the only element at which \mathcal{U} takes the value zero), normalized measure \mathcal{U} on A.

Lemma 6.42: Every measure algebra is complete (i.e. every subset has a supremum).

Proof See page 67 of [7].

Lemma 6.43: The dual algebra A of a Boolean space X is complete if and only if X is complete.

Proof Sev page 92 of [77].

Lemma 6.44: Let f be a Boolean \int -epimorphism from a \int -algebra B to a \int -algebra A, and let \mathcal{M}' be a normalized measure on A. If $\gamma(q) = \mathcal{M}(f(q))$ for every q in B, then γ is a normalized measure on B. The kernel of f is included in the set of all those elements q of B for which $\gamma'(q) = 0$; the kernel coincides with that set if and only if the measure \mathcal{M} is positive.

<u>Proof</u> The proofs of all the assertions of the lemma are immediate from the definitions.

Lemma 6.45: If \mathcal{M} is a positive, normalized measure on A, then f maps B onto A. If $\mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{M}(f(S^{\prime}))$ for every S' in B, then \mathcal{N}

-73-

is a normalized measure on B such that non-empty open sets have positive measure and such that the sets of measure zero are exactly the sets of first category.

<u>Proof</u> The algebra A together with the measure \mathcal{A} is a measure algebra, and therefore complete by Lemma 6.42 It follows that the space X is complete by Lemma 6.43, and hence that every regular open set in X is both closed and open. This proves the first sentence of the lemma. The second sentence is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.44.

Our main theorem is an immediate corollary of Lemma 6.45.

Theorem 6.46: The dual algebra A of a Boolean space X is a measure algebra if and only if X is a Boolean measure space.

We will now consider another method for constructing category measure spaces. Then I will show that this method and Boolean measure spaces are really just different views of the same concept.

I will prove a category result whose measure-theoretic analog follows trivially as a corollary. The following definitions, remark, and three theorems are found in [2].

<u>Definition 6.47</u>: A compact Hansdorff space X is called <u>stonian</u> (or extremely disconnected) if disjoint open sets in X have disjoint closures.

Remark 6.48: X is stonian if and only if U open implies \overline{U} is also open.

<u>Proof</u> Let X be stonian and U be open. Then the disjoint open sets V and $(\overline{U})'$ have disjoint closures. But the equalities

 $\overline{U}n(\overline{U})' = \emptyset$ and $\overline{U}n(\overline{U})' = \emptyset$ implies $(\overline{U})' = (\overline{U})'$. Hence \overline{U} is open. Conversely assume that open sets have open closures. Let $\overline{U}_1, \overline{U}_2$ be open and disjoint. Since \overline{U} is open $\overline{U}_1 \overline{U}_2 = \emptyset$. But by assumption \overline{U}_2 is open, hence $\overline{U}_1 \overline{U}_2 = \emptyset$.

<u>Definition 6.49</u>: A family $\{f_{\infty}\}$ of functions from C(X)where X is stonian is said to be <u>bounded above</u> if there exists $f_o \in C(X)$ such that $f_{\infty} \leq f_o$ for all \ll . We call f_o an <u>upper bound</u> for the family. If $f_o \leq g_o$ whenever g_o is an upper bound we call f_o the <u>least upper bound</u> and write $f_o = Vf$. One defines bounded below and greatest lower bound similarly. The lattice C(X) is said to be complete if every family of functions which is bounded above has a least upper bound. An equivalent definition could of course be given in terms of lower bounds.

The following theorem is due to M.H. Stone [17].

<u>Theorem 6.50</u>: Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then X is stonian if and only if the space C(X) of all real-valued continuous functions is a complete lattice.

Definition 6.51: A regular measure \mathcal{L} on a stonian space X is <u>normal</u> if for each bounded monotone increasing net $\{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ of real-valued functions in C(X) we have

 $\lim_{x} \int f_{x} du = \int f_{0} du$

where $f_{a} = V f_{ac}$.

-75-

<u>Definition 6.52</u>: The <u>support</u> of a measure \mathcal{L} is the complement of the largest open set of \mathcal{L} -measure zero. I will denote the support of \mathcal{L} by $supp(\mathcal{L})$.

<u>Theorem 6.53</u>: A regular measure \mathcal{M} is normal if and only if it vanishes on all nowhere dense Borel sets.

Proof See [2].

Theorem 6.54: The support of a normal measure is both open and closed.

<u>Proof</u> Let F be the support of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{U} = interior of F. Since F is closed $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \subset F$ and since X is stonian $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is open. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{U}$, so $\mathcal{U} = \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Now F - \mathcal{U} is nowhere dense, so by Theorem 6.53

 $\mu(X - U) = \mu(X - F) + \mu(F - U) = 0$

Thus F = U by the definition of the support.

<u>Corollary 6.55</u>: If \mathcal{M} is a normal measure and supp $(\mathcal{M}) = X$ then $\mathcal{M}(A) = 0$ if and only if A is nowhere dense.

Proof Follows directly from Theorem 6.53 and Theorem 6.54.

<u>Remark 6.56</u>: The completion of finite normal measure μ on a stonian space with $supp(\mu) = I$ is a category measure space.

Theorem 6.57: Let X be a stonian space. If f is a bounded Borel measurable function on X, then there exists a unique continuous function g such that

 $\int x_i / f(x) - g(x) / > 0$

is of first category.

Proof See page 104 of [2].

The following is the measure-theoretic analog of the above theorem.

<u>Corollary 6.58</u>: Let X be a stonian space and \mathcal{L} a normal measure on the Borel sets of X. If f is a bounded Borel measurable function on X, then there exists a unique continuous function g such that

 $\mathcal{M}(\{x: | f(x) - g(x)| > 0\}) = 0.$

<u>Proof</u> By the above theorem, there exists a unique continuous function g such that $\begin{cases} x_1 & | f(x) - g(x) | > 0 \end{cases}$ is of first category. But then this set is of measure zero by Theorem 6.53.

In Halmos's book <u>Lectures on Boolean Algebras</u> he discusses the properties of a Boolean measure space. Whereas in W. G. Bode's book <u>The Banach Space $\mathcal{C}(S')$ </u>, Bade discusses the properties of a stonian space with a normal measure \mathcal{A} on its Borel sets. If in addition $\mathcal{A}(X) = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{A}) = X$, then both of these spaces yield category measure spaces if we consider their unique completions $\left[\begin{bmatrix} 13 \end{bmatrix} P_{Rop, 12, 4}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} Theorem 4.3 \end{bmatrix}$. We will compare these two approaches (for constructing category measure spaces) and show that they are the same. First of all observe that an extremely disconnected Hausdorff space is totally disconnected $\left[\begin{bmatrix} 47/0 & 4m Sec, 14, 1 & [20] \\ 2mm & 5mm & 6mm & 6mm$

We will now show that a Boolean measure space and a stonian -77-

space with a normal measure on its Borel sets such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{M}) = X$ and $\mathcal{M}(X) = 1$ are equivalent concepts. Let \mathcal{M} be the measure in the definition of a Boolean measure space X, then \mathcal{M} is a normal measure $\llbracket Theorem 6, 53 \rrbracket$. The fact that $\mathcal{M}(G) > 0$ for all nonempty open sets G in X implies that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{M}) = X$ and by definition $\mathcal{M}(X) = 1$.

Conversely assume X is a stonian space and μ is a normal measure on the Borel sets of X such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) = X$ and $\mu(X) = i$. Then $\mu(A) = 0$ if and only if A is a nowhere dense Borel set [Thm, 6, 53, Cor, 6, 55]. Hence $\mu(G) > 0$ for all nonempty open sets G in X. Therefore the concepts of a Boolean measure space and a stonian space with a normal measure μ such that $\mu(X) = i$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) = X$ are really the same.

I will now show that Stonian spaces with normal measures such that the support of the measure is the whole space (i.e. a Boolean measure space) arise from $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ of any finite measure space.

<u>Theorem 6.59</u>: Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{U})$ be any finite measure space, then there exists a category measure space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ such that \mathcal{A} is a compact Hausdorff space and

 $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{U}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L})$

where \cong is a isometric *-isomorphism. (See [3] for the definitions concerning B*-algebras.)

<u>Proof</u> $L^{\infty}(X_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U}}) \cong C(\mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is a compact Hausdorff space by the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem. (See $[\exists]$). We will first show that \mathcal{A} is stonian. Let $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ be a bounded monotone net in $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{U}})$, then $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ has a weak* convergent

-78-

subnet I immediate corollary to Banach-Alaogly Theorem (see[13]) and by Problem 211 on page 133 in $\begin{bmatrix} 20 \end{bmatrix}$. But this implies that $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ is weak* convergent \llbracket since $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ is monotone \rrbracket , i.e. $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}\} \rightarrow f \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. However it follows easily \mathbb{Z} since \mathcal{M} is finite \mathcal{M} that $f = V f_{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore \mathcal{M} is Stonian \mathcal{M} by Theorem 6.50 and since $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{J}, u) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is an order-preserving isomorphism \iint . If $f \in L^{\infty}(X, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{,\mathcal{K}})$, let f' be the image of f under the above isomorphism. Then $I(f') = \int f d_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a positive linear. functional on $((\Lambda))$. Therefore there exists a unique finite regular measure $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ on the Borel sets, $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{A} such that $I(f') = \int f d_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $f' \in (\Omega)$. See the Riesz Representation Theorem on page 182 of [I] Observe that $f_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow f$ in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}(X, \dot{f}, \mu)$ implies $\int \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{L}} g \, \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \int \mathbf{f} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $g \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\mathbf{I}, \hat{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{U}) / \int \mathrm{by}$ Riess Representation Theorem in Chapter 11 of [13]/|. Now since $1 \in L'(X, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U})$ we have $\int f_{\mathcal{A}} d_{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow \int f d_{\mathcal{U}}$. Therefore $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a normal measure $\int \int since$ $L^{\circ}(I, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \prod$. I claim that $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \prod \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ if and only if for all $f \in ((\Lambda), f \geq 0, f \neq 0, f \leq 0$. But if $\int \mathbf{f}' d\mu = 0$, i.e. $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \Lambda$, then $\mathbf{f} = 0$.

Therefore if we let $(\Lambda, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ be the unique completion of $(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}, \hat{\mathcal{A}})$ [see Chapter 11 of [13]] then $(\Lambda, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is a category measure space,

-79-

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Ash, R. B., Measure, Integration, and Functional Analysis. Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- Bade, W. G., The Banach Space C(S). Aarhus Universitet, Matematisk Institut, 1971.

3. Cotlar M., Cignoli R., An Introduction to Functional Analysis. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1974.

- 4. Erdos P., Some remarks on set theory. Ann. of Math. (2) 44. 643-646 (1943).
- 5. Goffman C., Waterman D., Approximately continuous transformations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, 116-121 (1961).
- 6. _____, Neugebauer C.J., Nishiura, T., Density topology and approximate continuity. Duke Math. J. 28, 2017-1497-5051 (1961)
- 7. Halmos, P.R., Lectures on Boolean algebras. Van Nostrand Math. Studies, no. 1. Princeton, N.J. D. Van Nostrand 1963.
- 8. Henstock, R., Linear Analysis. Plenum Press, New York, 1952.
- 9. Kuratowski, C., Topologie, Yel. 1, 4th ed. Monografie Natematyczne, Yel. 20. Warszawa: Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 1958.
- 10. Oxtoby, J. C., Measure and Category. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- 11. _____, Spaces that admit a category measure. J. Reine Angew. Math. 205, 156-170 (1961).
- 12. Parthasarathy, K. R., Probability Measures on Metric Spaces. Academic Press, New York 1967.
- 13. Royden, H. L., Real Analysis. Macmillan Company, New York, 1968.
- 14. Sierpinski, W., General Topology. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1961.

- 16. _____, Sur la duality entre la premiere categorie et la mesure nulle. Fund. Math. 22, 276-280 (1934).
- 17. Stone, R. H., Boundedness properties in function lattices. Canad. Math. J., 1, 176-186 (1949).
- 18. Szpibraja, E., Remarques sur les fonctions completement additives d'ensemble et sur les ensembles joissant de la propriete de Baire Fund. Math. 22, 303-311 (1934).
- 19. Ulam, S.M., Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre. Fund. Math. 16, 141-150 (1930).
- 20. Wilansky, A., Topology for Analysis. Ginn and Company, Waltham, Mass., 1970.
- 21. Widom, H., Lectures on Measure and Integration. Van Nastrand Math. Studies, no. 20. Princton, N.J. D. Van Nostrand. 1969.

Francis J. Vasko, son of Mr. and Mrs. Frank B. Vasko was born on March 23, 1952 in Hellertown, Pennsylvania. In 1966, he completed the eighth grade at St. Theresa's Parochial School. Then he attended Hellertown-Lower Saucon Junior-Senior High School graduating in 1970.

From September 1970 to May 1974 he attended Kutztown State College. While there he received the George B. Hancher Award in both his junior and senior years. He graduated in May 1974 with a B.S. in Secondary Education--Mathematics.

From 1974 to 1976 he attended Lehigh University. For the 1974-1975 academic year he held a fellow-in-teaching position at the university. During the 1975-1976 academic year he taught fulltime at Northampton Junior High School, filling in for a teacher on a maternity leave.