# Lehigh University Lehigh Preserve

Fritz Laboratory Reports

Civil and Environmental Engineering

1965

# Discussion and closure of "survey of current structural research", Proc. ASCE, Vol. 91, ST6, December 1965, p. 302., Publication No. 263/65-2

L. S. Beedle

J. A. Yura

R. Badaliance

J. A. Corrado

Follow this and additional works at: http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports

### **Recommended** Citation

Beedle, L. S.; Yura, J. A.; Badaliance, R.; and Corrado, J. A., "Discussion and closure of "survey of current structural research", Proc. ASCE, Vol. 91, ST6, December 1965, p. 302., Publication No. 263/65-2" (1965). *Fritz Laboratory Reports*. Paper 1888. http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports/1888

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil and Environmental Engineering at Lehigh Preserve. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fritz Laboratory Reports by an authorized administrator of Lehigh Preserve. For more information, please contact preserve@lehigh.edu.



Conference Preprint 324



FRITZ ENGINEERING LABORATORY LIBRARY

# Survey of Current Structural Research: Discussion-Closure

Committee on Research, Structural Division

Presented at the ASCE Structural Engineering Conference Miami, Florida Jan. 31 – Feb. 4, 1966 / \$0.50



SURVEY OF CURRENT STRUCTURAL RESEARCH<sup>a</sup>

Discussion and Closure by Committee on Research of the Structural Division

COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH OF THE STRUCTURAL DIVISION. — The discussions submitted were in the form of errata and additions to the survey. The material submitted has been arranged in a format identical to that in the main report (Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 91, No. ST1, Proc. Paper 4233, February, 1965, pp 13-102.). Within each administrative committee the breakdown is according to task committee. Under each task committee the appropriate individual research projects are listed. The first item is the title of the project; at the beginning of the second line is the institution at which the work is being done; the investigator's name next appears in parenthesis; and the final item in the heading is the name of the sponsor. (In the final item, the word "same" is used to indicate that the sponsor and institution at which the work is being done are the same.) The description of the project is as furnished by each investigator except for editing.

<sup>a</sup> February, 1965, by the Committee on Research of the Structural Division (Proc. Paper 4233).

Committees			Number of Projects	Subtotal
1.	Analysis and I	Design of Structures		
	1.1	Factor of Safety	3	
	1.2	Lateral Forces	55	
	1.3	Tower Design	6	
	1.4	Methods of Analysis	118	
	1.5	Special Building Problems	6	
	a1.6	Other Topics	23	211
2.	Electronic Co	mputation		
	2.1	Conference		
	2.2	Future Applications	6	
	2.3	Guide of Program Manuals		
$e_{1}e_{2}^{\dagger}$	2.4	Publications		6
3.	Masonry and I	Reinforced Concrete		
	3.1	Composite Construction	25	
	3.2	Reinforced Concrete Slabs	29	
	3.3	Folded Plate Construction	6	
	3.4	Limit Design	16	
	3.5	Precast Structural Concrete Design		
		and Construction	4	
	3.6	Prestressed Concrete	56	
	3.7	Reinforced Concrete Columns	8	
	3.8	Reinforced Masonry Design and	-	
		Practice	7	
	3.9	Shear and Diagonal Tension	29	
	a3.10	Other Topics	85	265
4.	Metals			
	4.1	Compression Members	36	
	4.2	Light Gage Metals	8	
	4.3	Lightweight Alloys	. 12	
	4.4	Plastic Design	31	
	4.5	Flexural Members	34	
	4.6	Structural Steel Connections	42	
	4.7	Orthotropic Plate Bridge	11	
	4.8	Tubular Structures	10	
	<sup>a</sup> 4.9	Other Topics	42	226
5.	Nuclear Structures and Materials			
	5.1	Hot Laboratories	1	
	5.2	Live Loads Due to Heat and		
		Incidence	2	
	5.3	Structural Aspects of Nuclear		
		Incidents	-	
	5.4	Structural Materials in Reactor		
		Design	4	7
6.	Wood	5		
	6.1	Technical Literature	1	
	6.2	Technical Presentation	2	
	6.3	Wood Research	53	56
7.	Plastics			
	7.1	Structural Applications	11	
	7.2	Properties of Selected Structural		
		Plastics	3	
	7.3	Design Criteria for Components	2	
	7.4	Design Criteria for Adhesives	2	18
			Total	789
	<sup>a</sup> Topics not co	overed by an existing committee.		

# TABLE 1 (REVISED).—COMMITTEES OF ASCE STRUCTURAL DIVISION: NUMBER OF PROJECTS

The arrangement of the material within a particular task committee is alphabetical according to institution (beginning of second line).

The additional projects reported were placed in their respective category and were assigned numbers that continue from those used in the original paper. The additional projects are listed in the appendix along with the complete subject and institutional indexes.

It is emphasized again that reliance was placed on the questionnaires submitted as there was no opportunity for the committee to conduct a separate survey. Reference may be made, of course, to the surveys of WRC and ACI.

Tables 1 and 2 have been revised to include the new material submitted. They include a total of 789 projects.

Group Breakdown of Sponsors	Number of Projects Sponsored	% of Projects Listed
Industry and Trade Associations	91	11.5
Technical Societies & Research Councils	78	9.9
Local and State Governments	45	5.7
Federal, Non Department of Defense	84	10.6
Department of Defense	36	4.6
Other	150	19.0
University	103	13.1
None Listed	202	25.6
Total	789	100.0

#### TABLE 2 (REVISED).-SPONSORSHIP OF THE RESEARCH PROJECTS

The Research Committee of the Structural Division, ASCE, gratefully acknowledges the discussers and those who contributed to the original paper. It is the hope of the committee that publication of the original paper has stimulated contact between investigators, and that this "discussion and closure" will contribute to further exchanges. It is the intent of the Structural Division Research Committee to provide for a periodic up-dating of the survey.

#### Respectfully submitted,

Survey Committee	Research Committee
Lynn S. Beedle	John D. Haltiwanger, Chairman
J. A. Yura	Lynn S. Beedle
R. Badaliance	G. F. Fox
J. A. Corrado	Alan D. Freas
K. P. Gaedeke	William J. Hall
B. A. Bott	

Errata.-The following corrections should be made in the original paper:

Page 16, line 15: Change "Technical Societies and Research Councils" to read "University"

Page 28, Listing No. 30: Delete

Page 46, Listing No. 23: Add the following abstract

"Flexural cracking propagation and crack width relationship to stress in reinforcement wire is investigated at all stress levels. Also the post-elastic deflection behavior for these two-way slabs is investigated. The slabs are 5 ft-8 in. by 5 ft-8 in., some simply supported and others clamped."

Page 64, Listing No. 46: Should read

"THE LOW-TEMPERATURE PROPERTIES OF SOME STRUCTURAL MATERIALS

Ottawa, University of, Canada (C. Berwanger); National Research Council of Canada

A study of the low-temperature properties of reinforced concrete and plain concrete with respect to the evaluation of the coefficient of expansion of reinforced concrete slabs, as well as the modulus of elasticity of the concrete with temperature variations. A report for publication is being prepared covering the initial research work completed and further tests are planned to start before the end of 1965."

Page 72, Listing No. 5, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (W. H. Munse); Civil Engineering Department, University of Illinois"

Page 77, Listing No. 7, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (A. Ang); Caterpiller Tractor Co."

Page 81, Listing No. 8, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (J. E. Stallmeyer); Gregory Industries"

Page 88, Listing No. 12, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (W. J. Hall); N.S.F."

Page 88, Listing No. 13, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (W. H. Munse); U. S. Navy, Bureau of Ships"

Page 88, Listing No. 14, line 2: Change to read "Illinois, University of (W. H. Munse); National Academy of Science, Ship Structures Committee"

Page 98, Institutional Index: Add "Monash University, Australia 5.2"

Page 102, Subject Index: Add "5.2 Thermal Stress"

### CURRENT STRUCTURAL RESEARCH PROJECTS

### The following additions should be made to the original paper: 1. Analysis and Design of Structures

1.2 Lateral Forces

# (54) EARTHQUAKE STRESSES IN TALL BUILDINGS WITH SETBACKS

Michigan, University of (G. V. Berg); National Science Foundation No abstract has been provided.

### (55) THE RESPONSE OF FRAMED STRUCTURES TO EARTHQUAKE FORCES

Michigan, University of (G. V. Berg); American Iron and Steel Institute No abstract has been provided.

1.3 Tower Design

#### (6) THE RESPONSE OF GUYED TOWERS TO DYNAMIC FORCES Michigan, University of (G. V. Berg); National Science Foundation

No abstract has been provided.

1.4 Methods of Analysis

#### (115) ANALYSIS OF DOUBLY-CURVED SHALLOW TRANSLATIONAL SHELLS

#### Alberta, University of (Dr. S. H. Simmonds); National Research Council of Canada

The project has been in progress for 2 yr and consists of two distinct parts. The first part is the development of a numerical procedure for the analysis of shallow doubly-curved shells. This is accomplished using a physical analogue which approximates the behavior of the shell structure and permits consideration of any loading and elastic boundary condition. The second part is experimental verification of the analytical results. One model shell, 8 ft square in plan, has been tested. At present, a computer program is being prepared that will permit solution of shallow shells considering any input parameter for plan-dimensions, rise (if shallow) and boundary conditions.

#### (116) ANALYSIS OF NONLINEAR STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS

#### Arizona, University of (R. M. Richard); none

A method for analyzing structural systems subject to both material and geometric nonlinearities is being developed. This method, which is a direct generalization of the displacement method, treats the analysis of nonlinear structures as an initial value problem. The governing equations have been shown to be a set of simultaneous nonlinear first order equations in which the generalized displacements are the dependent variables and the applied loading the independent variables.

#### (117) BUCKLING STUDIES OF SHELL STRUCTURES

#### Arizona, University of (Howard Harrenstien); none

A statistical approach to the experimental determination of critical buckling pressures for shell structures is being developed. This approach involves the forming and testing of a large number of small polyvinyl chloride shells. Control over variables such as curvatures, boundary conditions and thicknesses are included. Use is made of the vacuum forming techniques to produce the "identical" shells that are involved in the test program.

#### (118) FUNICULAR SHELLS

#### Arizona, University of (Howard Harrenstien); none

A funcillar shell is one that exhibits uniform direct stress under specified normal pressure loadings. The configuration of these structures sufficient to guarantee this stress distribution is being investigated. Numerical methods which solve the non-linear differential equations of shell equilibrium written for the membrane state are being used in the analysis. Design curves for some of the more common applications are being developed. The application of graphical approximate analyses to the solution to these problems are being investigated.

#### (119) ANALYSIS OF CURVED BEAMS WITH NONDEFORMABLE THIN-WALLED CROSS-SECTION

#### Politechnika, Gdansk, Poland (Ryszard Dabrowski); none

Torsion-bending of curved beams with a thin-walled (open and monosymmetrical or closed and asymmetrical) cross-section subject to loading normal to the plane of curvature is analyzed under the assumption of nondeformability of crosssection. For continuous curved beams, coefficients of equation matrix, with bending and warping moments at intermediate supports being the unknowns, are evaluated. Influence lines of section forces for stiffness parameters pertaining to curved bridge structures are calculated. (Three papers on the subject appear in "Der Stahlbau," 1964-1965.)

#### 3. Masonry and Reinforced Concrete

#### 3.1 Composite Construction

# (22) THE USE OF EPOSY RESIN COMPOUNDS AS SHEAR CONNECTOR IN COMPOSITE T-BEAMS

# Arizona, University of (J. D. Driegh and R. M. Richard); Arizona Highway Department and Bureau of Public Roads

An epoxy resin compound has been formulated which will serve as a shear connector for bonding fresh concrete to hardened concrete or steel. Static and dynamics tests have shown satisfactory performance. Present activity is related to development of mathematical analyses, design criteria, field test performance, and additional laboratory studies.

# (23) STATIC STRENGTH OF PUSH-OUT SPECIMENS IN COMPOSITE BAR JOIST CONSTRUCTION

Vanderbilt University (P. G. Hoadley); Volunteer Structures and Vanderbilt University Thirty push-out specimens have been tested and the results are now being analyzed. The push-out specimens were tested to determine the shear-slip relationship for a three (3) inch concrete slab acting compositely with two (2) angles by means of a stud connector between the angles. The information will be used to more intelligently design a series of experiments to study the behavior of composite bar joists.

#### (24) STATIC STRENGTH OF COMPOSITE BAR JOISTS

Vanderbilt University (P. G. Hoadley); Volunteer Structures and Vanderbilt University Four (4) composite bar joists are now being designed as an experimental pilot study for the static strength of composite bar joists. A more comprehensive study is planned which will be based on this pilot study and the push-out test program which is nearly complete.

# (25) AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTIVE SLAB WIDTH FOR COMPOSITE STEEL BEAMS

#### Vanderbilt University (P. G. Hoadley); American Iron and Steel Institute and Vanderbilt University

This program, which is just beginning, involves the experimental determination of the effective slab width for composite steel beams. Parameters to be studied include span-depth ratio, slab depth to beam depth ratio and beam spacing.

#### 3.2 Reinforced Concrete Slabs

#### (28) ULTIMATE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE SLABS

#### Alberta, University of (Dr. S. H. Simmonds); none listed

By approximating the behavior of a slab by a physical analogue similar to Newmark's Plate Analogue, it is possible to obtain the moments and deflections at discrete points as cracking in the slab propagates to a failure condition. A computer program has been written and results obtained for rectangular and square slabs supported on hinged and free edges. Agreement with Johansen's Yield Line Theory and elastic analysis prior to first yield is within 32. A study of further parameters such as openings in the slab are contemplated.

#### (29) VAULT ACTION IN CONTINUOUS REINFORCED SLABS

. . .

# Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (Dr. F. Leonhardt); Deutscher Ausschuß fur Stahlbeton

In all center panels of continuous reinforced concrete slab systems considerable load capacities remain unused under the current methods of design and calculation. As soon as the typical surface cracks over the support lines of these central panels have appeared, pivoting lines are formed in the compression zone below. The center panels at as flat vaults against the horizontally stiff adjacent plates, which react as elastic abutments to the vault thrust. The flexural stresses are superimposed by additional compressive stresses, whose consideration allow a more economical reinforcement than the current methods of calculation, based on pure bending permit. Two test models of reinforced concrete beam-slab systems of same size were made: Each a 6 ft 7 in. square, 3 in. thick center panel, surrounded on all sides by 2 ft 6 in. wide slabs. The models differed only in their degree of reinforcement over the support lines of their center panels. Deflections, concrete and steel strains, and crack widths were measured in numerous points on top and on bottom. The compressive forces of the vault action could be proved clearly. They were identical in size in both models, i.e. independent of the varying degree of reinforcement, bere considerably larger than the ultimate loads according to a pure bending analysis. The test results conformed with a new analytical method based on the vault action combined with bending. This method allows savings to 50% of the reinforcement of all center panels in a continuous reinforced concrete slab system, without any reduction in safety against rupture or unacceptable wide cracks.

#### 3.3 Folded Plate Construction

#### (6) TESTS ON VERTICAL PLATES WITH VARYING ANGLES BETWEEN PRINCIPAL STRESSES AND DIRECTION OF REINFORCEMENT

#### Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (Dr. F. Leonhardt); Wirtschaftsministerium Baden-Wurttemberg and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

Ten reinforced concrete plates (5 ft 3 in. by 5 ft 3 in, by 0.3 in.) were subjected to pure tension. The reinforcement (square meshes) was inclined with respect to the tension force, the inclination  $\delta$  varying from 0 to 45°. Results: Cracks occurred always perpendicular to the tension force, independently of the inclination of reinforcement. An increase of  $\delta$  affected neither the number of cracks nor the distance between them. The crack-borders showed a vertical as well as a horizontal displacement relative to each other, which is a maximum for  $\delta = 30^{\circ}$  and a minimum for  $\delta = 45^{\circ}$ . The deformations in the direction of the tensile force increase with an increasing inclination  $\delta$ . In the case of perpendicular reinforcement provided with bars of equal cross-section in both directions the deformations for  $\delta = 45^{\circ}$  are twice and for  $\delta = 25^{\circ}$  about i.3 times as great as for  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ . In plates and shells the reinforcement should be placed along the stress-trajectories. If, for practical reasons, this is not possible, and  $\delta$  exceeds 25°, then sufficient latteral reinforcement has to be provided. All specimens failed almost at the same load, independently of the deviation  $\delta$ .

#### 3.4 Limit Design

#### (15) LIMIT BEHAVIOR AND MOMENT-ROTATION CHARACTERISTICS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS AND FRAMES

#### Rutgers University (E. G. Nawy); same

Studies are made both analytically and experimentally of the moment-rotation relationship in reinforced concrete beams of a span of 8 ft subjected to both transverse load and combined axial compression and transverse midspan line load.

#### (16) MOMENT-ROTATION CHARACTERISTICS AND PLASTIC HINGE DEVELOPMENT IN PRESTRESSED BEAMS

#### Rutgers University (E. G. Nawy); same

Tests are being planned to evaluate the rotation of plastic hinges in prestressed post-tensioned bonded beams. The beams are simply-supported and loaded at midspan. Span is 9 ft 0 in. and cross-section is 1-beam shape. Load applied statically. One major aim is to explore the possibility of increasing the ductility of the prestressed beam so as to achieve relatively elastic idealy-plastic moment-curvature relationship.

#### 3.6 Prestressed Concrete

#### (55) DEFORMATION CHARACTERISTICS OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

#### Alberta, University of (Dr. J. Warwaruk); University of Alberta and National Research Council of Canada

The project involves experimental and analytical investigations of the deformation characteristics of bonded prestressed concrete beams. Its objective is to predict, with reasonably accuracy, the entire moment-curvature, load-deflection characteristics of prestressed beams.

#### (56) TORSION AND SHEAR TESTS ON PRESTRESSED BOX GIRDERS

#### Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (Dr. F. Leonhardt); Betonund Monieurbau A. G., Dusseldorf

Torsion and shear tests were conducted on two prestressed box girders, one with a central load (bending and shear), and the other with an eccentric load (bending, shear and torsion). A photo-elastic model was also tested for the same loading conditions. It was found that for shear, as well as for torsion, the principal compressive stresses and not the principal tensile stresses are the governing failure criterion, if sufficient web reinforcement is provided. Therefore the allowable principal ctensile stresses could be increased, whereas the principal compressive stresses should be limited. After diagonal cracking the torsional stiffness decreased to about one fifth of the value before cracking. Further tension tests on small beams are presently being conducted.

#### 3.7 Reinforced Concrete Columns

#### (8) STRENGTH OF REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMNS UNDER SUSTAINED LOAD

#### Alberta, University of (J. C. MacGregor); National Research Council of Canada

This project involves an analytical study of the effects of creep and shrinkage on the strength of reinforced concrete columns in frames subjected to sustained loads.

#### 3.9 Shear and Diagonal Tension

#### (28) DEVELOPMENT OF INCLINED CRACKS IN REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

#### Alberta, University of (J. C. MacGregor); National Research Council of Canada

The combined stresses at the inception of inclined cracking are being studied for typical reinforced concrete beams. This study will include flexural stresses, direct shear stresses, doweling shear stresses and "tooth" deflection stresses in an overall study of the stresses adjacent to potential inclined cracks in reinforced concrete beams.

#### (29) SHEAR TESTS ON TWO-SPAN CONTINUOUS REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

# Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (Dr. F. Leonhardt); Deutscher Ausschuß fur Stahlbeton

Tests were conducted on 26 two-span continuous reinforced concrete beams to study the behaviour of shear failures in the case of statically indeterminate members and to check the new design proposals suggested by the investigators to reduce the shear reinforcement. The main variables were: (1) Anchorage length of the longitudinal reinforcement (deformed bars) for rectangular beams without web reinforcement; (2) Moment-shear ratio (from 1 to 3) for rectangular beams; (3) Amount and type of web reinforcement in case of T-beams; (4) Shape of cross-section; and (5) Longitudinal reinforcement in case of rectangular beams. The influence of the moment-shear-ratio M/V d for continuous beams was about the same as for simply supported beams. For low or moderate shearing stresses the web reinforcement can be duced with respect to the full web reinforcement according to the trues analogy. On the other hand, the allowable shearing stresses can considerably be increased, if sufficient web reinforcement is provided. There was considerable redistribution of the internal forces due to early cracking over the supports and due to formation of plastic hinges. The tests and conclusions have been fully reported in the Bulletins 151, 152, and 156 of the DAISt.

#### 3.10 Other Topics

#### (82) RESISTANCE OF REINFORCED CONCRETE SUBJECTED TO TORSION AND BENDING

# Alberta, University of (Dr. J. Warwaruk); National Research Council and University of Alberta

Objective of research is to study the resistance, strength and deformation characteristics of simply supported rectangular reinforced concrete beams subjected to torsion loads and combined torsion and bending loads. The investigation is mainly experimental beginning with a loading sequence of bending first, then twisting to failure. Later other combinations of load will be used. The variables involved include concrete strength, and reinforcement types and amounts.

#### (83) MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR REPAIRING PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE AND STRUCTURES

#### Arizona, University of (J. D. Kriegh); U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

A review of existing materials and methods used for concrete and masonry protection and repair is being made.

#### (84) FLEXURAL STRENGTH OF GLASS-REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

#### Rutgers University (E. G. Nawy and C. J. Phillips); same

Beams of span 3 ft 6 in. and reinforced with glass are being investigated. Problems of bond and flexural capacity are analyzed.

#### (85) TESTS ON DEEP BEAMS WITH DIFFERENT ARRANGEMENTS OF REINFORCEMENT AND LOADING

#### Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (Dr. F. Leonhardt); Deutscher Ausschuss fur Stahlbeton, Wirtschaftsministerium Baden-Wurttembert

In the first part of the investigation 9 beams (H:L = 1.0) with different arrangements and percentage of reinforcement were tested (5 beams loaded from top and 4 beams with loads suspended at the bottom). Results: (1) When the longitudinal reinforcement extends from support to support (without cut-off or bent-up bars), no shear cracks develop and there is no danger of shear failure. Bent up bars are, therefore, unnecessary and even disadvantageous since they weaken the tension. (2) The tension in the main reinforcement does not decrease according to the moment line but remains almost constant to the supports. (3) The main danger of failure lies in the diagonal compressive stresses of concrete and in the support pressures. The bending compressive stresses, on the other hand, are not critical. (4) To avoid the formation of wide cracks, the longitudinal tension reinforcement should be distributed at least over one tenth of the height of the beam. In continuation of these tests on simply supports.

#### 4.1 Compression Members

#### (36) INELASTIC COLUMNS UNDER BIAXIAL BENDING FROM ELASTIC BEAMS

#### Imperial College of Science and Technology, London (A. R. Gent); none listed

This problem is similar to the eccentrically loaded elastically restrained column. Experiments on accurately machined model I and H-Section columns under symmetrical single curvature inelastic bending from elastic beams are being conducted and results correlated with a computer program for biaxial bending with appropriate end conditions; this is based on solving the cross sectional equations. The first experiments investigated the special case of minor axis buckling under major axis bending only and subsequently a limited number of experiments have examined the effect of variation of selenderness under biaxial bending. This work is being carried out to provide data necessary in following up a new approach to the economic design of nosway frames.

#### 4.6 Structural Connections

#### (42) TEST ON HIGH TENSILE BOLT CONNECTIONS

Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany (W. Zimmermann); Deutsche Bundesbahn Since 1960 about 350 tests on high tensile bolt connections have been conducted to determine the coefficient of friction  $\mu$ , depending on different factors: (1) Influence of the arrangement of the bolts: narrow tension members with two butt

 $\mu_{\rm d}$  depending on different factors: (1) influence of the tensile force. Broad tension members with two butt straps and 4 bolts arranged generation of the tensile force. Broad tension members with two butt straps and 4 bolts arranged perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force. (2) influence of the treatment of the contact surfaces: Different methods of treating the contact surfaces have been employed: spray galvanising, blasting with quartz sand, steel grit or corrundum of ranging size of granulation and flame blasting. In these tests, the coefficient of friction,  $\mu$ , was found to lay between  $\mu = 0.48$  and  $\mu = 0.71$ .

#### 4.9 Other Topics

#### (41) ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF YIELDING MEMBRANE ELEMENTS

#### Arizona, University of (Howard Harrenstien); Office of Civil Defense

Thin mild steel diaphragms are being investigated as exterior structural surfaces for buried shelters. These elements resist dynamic overpressures by yielding into the membrane state of shell action. In addition, they induce favorable soil-structure interaction effects which serve to attenuate the magnitude of blast overpressures that are transmitted to the primary structure. Nonlinear methods of analysis of the configuration of these elements under loading are used. Design curves are being developed and applications through the use of models are suggested. Certain blast simulator studies which confirm favorable soil-structure interaction characteristics have been conducted.

#### (42) FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS OF PRESTRESSED STEEL BEAMS

Vanderbilt University (P. G. Hoadley); McMurray Steel Co. and Vanderbilt University Four (4) steel rectangular box sections prestressed with high strength steel bars have been excited by a mechanical excitor to determine the effect of the prestressing force and other parameters on frequency characteristics. No appreciable change in frequency was found in the cases tested. The results agreed fairly well with an analytical method which was developed independently of the experimental program. Future plans for this project include the determination of what effect prestressing has on the damping characteristics of steel beams.

#### 6. Wood

#### 6.3 Wood Research

#### (24) EFFECT OF DELAMINATION ON SHEAR STRENGTH OF GLULAM BEAMS

#### Alberta, University of (J. Longworth); Department of Forestry, Canada

The effect of artificially inserted delaminations on the shear strength of glulam beams is being studied experimentally. Both static and cyclic loading effects are being studied.

#### (25) NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF WOOD

#### Association of American Railroads (F. P. Drew); Association of American Railroads Research Center AREA Committee 7

This program is continuing its purpose to determine internal decay of large structural timbers by non-destructive means. Nuclear and Sonic methods are being considered.

#### (26) STATIC AND REPEATED LOAD STRENGTH OF SOLID-SAWN DOUGLAS FIR STRINGERS

#### Association of American Railroads (F. P. Drew); Association of American Railroads Research Center AREA Committee 7

Full size treated Douglas fir stringers, 8 in. by 16 in. by 14 ft long are being investigated for static and fatigue properties. Tests will include loadings at various positions with respect to the end reaction. This test is being made with cooperation of the Forest Products Laboratory.

#### (27) THE STRENGTH OF POWER AND COMMUNICATION POLES

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

Thirty plantation-grown red pine poles will be tested in flexure using the machine method. Strength data will provide a comparison with previous data from forest grown poles. The machine shaved poles 30 ft long are being seasoned and butt soaked prior to testing.

#### (28) DEVELOPING DESIGN DATA FROM STRENGTH EVALUATIONS OF STRUCTURAL SIZE TIMBER

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

Although design stresses in North American have traditionally been based on tests of small clear specimens, the files of the Forest Products Laboratories of Canada contain data from the testing over the years of several hundreds of beams and joists of various species and sizes. These data will be assembled and re-examined. Statistical techniques will be used to check current working stresses against the previous ultimate stresses, and minimum factors of safety likely to be encountered will be measured. Recommendations will be made for such further testing as is deemed necessary to understand the behavior of structural timbers.

#### (29) THE LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY OF MULTIPLE CONNECTOR JOINTS Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

Current joint design theory assumes that the capacity of a joint made with n shear plates or split ring connector units is equal to n times the capacity of a single connector unit. Freliminary observations and a theoretical analysis of an elastic model indicate that the proportion of total load resisted by one of several connector units in a joint depends on a number of factors including relative position, elasticity of materials and number of units. A quantity of structural size glulam tension members using the two sizes of shear plates are being tested, and load distributions are being measured to determine the correlation between theoretical and actual behavior.

#### (30) METAL TRUSS PLATE PERFORMANCE AS INFLUENCED BY KNOTS AND MOISTURE CHANGES

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

A quantity of nominal 2 in. by 4 in. specimens using three different types of proprietary metal truss plates will be tested to destruction. The effects on load carrying capacity of such factors as knots under the plates, wetting and drying cycles, and in-place seasoning, will be studied.

#### (31) THE LATERAL STABILITY OF GLULAM BEAMS AND ARCHES

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

Studies to now have pointed up a satisfactory design approach for determining conditions of span, depth and breadth of beams required to insure lateral stability in the absence of lateral restraint. In most practical applications beams receive some degree of lateral restraint from secondary framing members. The object of the current phase of this study is to determine the magnitude of lateral support required to restrict buckling under various conditions, and to evaluate the role played by secondary framing members in providing this lateral bracing. Small scale as well as commercially fabricated full size specimens are being used. It is proposed to extend the study to include glulam arches.

#### (32) EVALUATING DESIGN TECHNIQUES FOR GLULAM BEAMS

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

A recently completed study of laminated hemlock beams gave evidence of a "width effect" on ultimate strength, with the narrower beams developing a higher modulus of rupture than the wider beams. Partly as a result of this, an extensive testing program was planned, to determine the effects of 1 k/1g, beam width, and beam depth, on the ultimate strength of Douglas-fir glulam beams. The laminating stock for some 57 beams of varying widths, depths and spans was passed through a commercial mechanical stress rating machine, and the size and location of all knots in each lamination were measured and recorded as they were laid up into beams. The beams will be tested in flexure and the data analyzed.

#### (33) EVALUATION OF MECHANICAL LUMBER GRADING

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

In the present phase of this investigation some 370 spruce 2 by 6 joists are being loaded to destruction in flexure in a universal testing machine, after having been evaluated in a proprietary stress rating machine. The data will be analyzed to determine the selection efficiency of the stress rating machine.

# (34) THE IN-SERVICE PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED STRUCTURAL TIMBER MEMBERS

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Ottawa, Canada (D. E. Kennedy); same

Deflection measuring apparatus has been attached to the pitched cambered glulam roof beams in a sawmill, and deflections at particular time intervals and under gaged snow loads are being measured. A continuous record of the relative humidity in the building is being maintained, and changes in beam moisture content will be measured from time to time with a moisture meter. Data gathered over a period of years will be used to evaluate theories regarding the effects of load and time on wood flexural members.

#### (35) TENSILE STRENGTH OF STRUCTURAL TIMBERS

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Vancouver, Canada (W. J. Smith); same

Some 500 pieces of nominal 2 by 6 lumber will be subjected to full-scale tension tests to study the effects of various factors upon strength. The pieces will be both visually graded and machine graded in a commercial stress rating machine, before being tested to destruction. Data will be analyzed to determine the efficiency of grading methods as related to tensile strength.

#### (36) MOMENT CONNECTIONS FOR GLUED-LAMINATED STRUCTURES

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Vancouver, Canada (W. J. Smith); same

This study, which is now substantially completed, investigated the merits of various methods for transferring moments through connector joints for application in light and medium construction using glulam members. To complete the pro-

#### 6.3 Wood Research (Cont'd)

gram, the performance of a steel plate high strength nail fastening is being examined in large structural applications with particular emphasis on the effects of nail-spacing on strength and stiffness of beam to column and arch haunch connections.

#### (37) SPLICING PLYWOOD PANELS

#### Forest Products Laboratory, Vancouver, Canada (W. J. Smith); same

After evaluating several types of splices which might be used for joining together plywood panels of commercial sizes, a specific finger joint design was selected as being most suitable to local production on the West Coast. The evaluation was carried out on a Laboratory made joint. A thorough evaluation of this joint when manufactured commercially, is proceeding.

#### (38) ULTIMATE STRENGTH BEHAVIOR AND DESIGN OF

#### TIMBER STRUCTURES

#### Michigan Technological University (G. P. Krueger); NSF, USFPL, Mich. Tech. Univ.

This comprehensive program is designed to develop ultimate strength design procedures for timber and timber composities similar to those of steel and concrete. The program includes three research areas and tentatively 26 subprojects in the various areas: Area I: Ultimate Strength of Reinforced and Unreinforced Structural Timber Sections (11 sub-projects); Area II: Elastic Design Of Timber Structures With Semi-Rigid Adhesive Joints (7 sub-projects); and Area III: Limit Design Of Timber Structures Using Ultimate Design Of Reinforced Sections and Semi-Rigid Joints (8 sub-projects). Phases of research are currently being carried out in each of the three areas under the direction of G. P. Krueger.

# (39) TIME DEPENDENT BEHAVIOR OF WOOD BEAMS PRESTRESSED WITH

#### BONDED TENSION ELEMENTS

# Oregon State University (John Peterson); O.S.U. Engineering Experiment Station and U.S. Forest Products Laboratory

Time dependent deflection of wood beams prestressed with bonded tension elements and matched control beams under constant load is being measured. Both prestressed and control beams carry loads consistent with engineering design for a specific application. Two levels of loading, dead load and full load, are being studied.

#### (40) DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN METHODS FOR GLUED LAMINATED TIMBER OF MACHINE STRESS-RATED LAMINAE

#### Potlatch Forests, Inc. (J. D. Snodgrass); same

Methods for design of glued laminated timber compatible with present accepted general concepts are being investigated using machine stress-rated laminae instead of conventionally graded material. Scope of research includes surveys and statistical interpretation of properties of raw materials, and fabrication and destructive testing of full-size laminated beams.

#### (41) DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN METHODS FOR GLUED LAMINATED TIMBER HAVING VERTICAL GLUELINES

#### Potlatch Forests, Inc. (L. J. Nemeth); same

New methods for rational design of glued laminated beams having gluelines in vertical orientation are being developed, because available design concepts are generally founded on limited research and not confirmed by extensive testing. Scope of project includes development of theory of performance of members having vertical gluelines, survey and statistical interpretation of characteristics of raw materials, and destructive testing of full-size experimental beams.

#### (42) PERFORMANCE OF ROOF TRUSSES CONSTRUCTED WITH MACHINE STRESS-RATED LUMBER

#### Potlatch Forests, Inc. (R. J. Hoyle, L. J. Nemeth); same

Performance of roof trusses constructed of machine stress-rated (modulus-of-elasticity calibrated) numbers is being investigated. Testing of full-scale trusses is undertaken to determine optimum allocation of material, requirements of connections, and load capacities.

#### (43) TENSILE STRENGTH OF 2-INCH DIMENSION LUMBER OF STRUCTURAL GRADES

#### Potlatch Forests, Inc. (L. J. Nemeth); same

Destructive tensile tests are being made of full-scale dimension lumber in several structural grades. Purpose is to define more clearly the relationship between grade or natural features of lumber and ultimate tensile strength and elasticity. A special tension tester of suitable capacity and accuracy has been constructed. Joints of structural types for transmission of tensile loads also can be evaluated.

#### (44) AN INVESTIGATION OF THE ENERGY ABSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF COMPOSITE WOOD STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO STATIC AND DYNAMIC LOADING

#### San Jose State College (K. Medearis); Office of Architecture and Construction, Department of General Services, State of California

Static and dynamic load tests will be made of typical plywood shear wall construction to determine dynamic characteristics and ultimate capacities. Wall specimens are 8 ft in height and of various widths.

#### (45) THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PRESTRESSING OF TIMBER STRUCTURES

Toronto, University of (C. F. Morrison); Department of Forestry of Canada (a) A study of the existing condition of a few of the approximately 1.000 timber structures in which prestressing has been used in Canada. (b) Laboratory studies to determine optimum use of prestressing. (c) Probable extension to the use of prestressing for the reinforcement of partially delaminated glulam structures.

#### (46) INVESTIGATION OF STRENGTH AND STRESS DISTRIBUTION IN TAPERED BENDING MEMBERS

#### U. S. Forest Products Laboratory (A. C. Maki and E. W. Kuenzi); same

Design criteria to be established to meet a continuing need for proper design of wood members which satisfy various architectural concepts as well as result in efficient safe structures. Deflection and stress design criteria for straight wood beams of single and double taper are to be developed through theoretical analysis and checked experimentally.

#### (47) THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF DECK STIFFNESS ON THE LATERAL STABILITY OF SUPPORTING BEAMS

#### U. S. Forest Products Laboratory (J. J. Zahn); same

The study is designed to establish the relevant deck property which determines the degree of lateral support which roof decks afford to supporting beams, and to analyze lateral stability for specific cases of loading and end support.

#### (48) TIME DEPENDENT BEHAVIOR OF PRESTRESSED WOOD BEAMS

#### U. S. Forest Products Laboratory (B. Bohannan); same

To determine the time dependent behavior of prestressed laminated wood beams to ascertain whether the induced prestress will be materially changed by the strain behavior of the wood under long continued loading.

#### (49) EFFECTIVENESS OF T-BEAMS

Virginia Polytechnic Institute (E. G. Stern); Independent Nail Corporation of Bridgewater, Mass.

The economy of integrating design-wise, e.g., the plywood roof sheathing and the lumber rafters into T-beams, by firmly jointing the panels to the rafters with closely spaced improved nails, can influence the cost of framing to a considerable extent, since under given conditions 2 by 4s can take the place of 2 by 6s and 2 by 6s that of 2 by 8s. With the wide-spread introduction of trussed rafters, allowing the use of small-size lumber, the design of rafters as T-beams has become especially promising.

#### (50) STRUCTURAL DESIGN WITH IMPROVED NAILS

Virginia Polytechnic Institute (E. G. Stern); Independent Nail Corporation of Bridgewater, Mass.

The design of lumber joints with helically threaded hardened-steel nails requires standardization. Data are provided on which the design criteria can be based for these improved nails in side-grain and end-grain lumber. The detailed specifications for designing with these fasteners are based on the conservative approach that they transmit the same loads as common wire nails of one-gage larger diameter.

#### (51) STRIP FLOORING AND NAILING BASE, AS A STRUCTURAL UNIT

Virginia Polytechnic Institute (E. G. Stern); Independent Nail Corporation of Bridgewater, Mass.

The effectiveness of various types of nails used in the fastening of strip flooring to lumber and plywood nailing bases is investigated by (1) determining the resistance to separation of the assembled components and (2) by loading individual nails in all principal directions during separate tests. The resulting information is based on data derived from more than 6,700 individual tests.

#### (52) AUTO-NAILED STRUCTURAL BUILDING COMPONENTS

#### Virginia Polytechnic Institute (E. G. Stern); Auto-Nailer Company of Atlanta and Kingsberry Homes of Chamblee

The mass-production of structural building components is imperative especially in the prefabrication of houses and industrial and farm structures. Built-up perimeter beams, girders, joists, ledger beams, headers, posts and columns can be mass-assembled by automatic auto-nailing at a rate of up to three nails per second. The effectiveness of such structural components is investigated.

#### (53) FURNITURE SKIDS

#### Virginia Polytechnic Institute (E. G. Stern); Auto-Nailer Company of Atlanta

A simplified skid design, based on engineering research on the jointing of its wood members, was advanced and approved by the ruling agency. This design permits the mass-production of skids at a rate of 1,500 skids per 8-hr day by two men, using two automatic nailing machines arranged in tandem. Complete details are presented in V.P.I. Wood Research Laboratory Bulletin No. 56, July, 1965.

#### 7. Plastics

#### 7.4 Design Criteria for Adhesives

#### (2) THE USE OF POLYSULFICE-EPOXY COMPOUNDS FOR STRUCTURAL ADHESIVES

#### Arizona, University of (J. D. Kriegh); Thiokol Chemical Corp.

Studies are being made of aging, freeze-thaw, temperature and humidity effects on polysulfide epoxy resin compounds.

## INSTITUTIONAL INDEX<sup>1</sup>

Adelaide, University of Australia 3.2, 3.6 Agriculture & Forestry, Ministry of 1.2, 3.10 Agricultural Engineering Research Station 1.6 Akira Sato Doro Kodan Highway Laboratory, Japan 1.4 Alberta, University of Canada 3 2, 3.6 Alcoa Research Laboratories 4.3 Archilithic Company 3.10 Association of American Railroads Research Center 1436496271 B. F. Goodrich Research Center 7.3 Berlin, Technical University 1.4, 3.2, 3.5, 3.10, 6.3 Boeing Company 1.4 Bridge Engineering Laboratory 4.5 British Welding Research Association, England 4.5, 46 British Columbia, University of, Canada 1.4 Brown University 1.6. 4.4 Brussels, University of 3,10 Building Research Institute 1.2 Bureau of Public Roads 1.2, 1.6 California. University of 1.2, 1.4, 3.1, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 California Division of Highways 3.1, 3.2, 3.6, 3.10, 4.7. 4.9. 7.1 California Institute of Technology 1.4 Cambridge University England 4.1. 4.4 Carnegie Institute of Technology 1.4, 3.2, 3.6, 3.10. 4.4.4.5.4.7 Case Institute of Technology 1.4 Central Building Research Institute, India 1.4, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, 3.10, 4.4, 4.6 Chiba Institute of Technology, Japan 1.5, 3.1, 3.10 Chuo University, Japan 1.2 Ciudad Universitaria, Mexico 1.4, 3.8 Colorado State University 3.6, 3.10 Colorado, University of 3.1, 3.3, 3.10 Columbia University 1.1. 1.4. 1.6. 4.5 Construction Technique Institute 3.10 Cornell University 3,10, 4.1, 4.2, 4.6 Csiro Division of Building Research, Australia 1.5, 2.2. 3.2 Danmarks Ingeniorakademi Denmark 3.2 David Taylor Model Basin 1.6, 4.9 Denver, University of 1.4 Dominion Bridge Co., Ltd., Canada 4.7 Douglas Fir Plywood Association 6.2 Duke University 7.2 Ecole Polytechnique, Canada 4.6 Electrie Power Development Co., Ltd., Japan 3.10 Florida, University of 1.3, 3.4 Fuji Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., Japan 1.2, 4.9 Fukui University, Japan 1.2 General Mills, Inc. 7.3 Gent, University of, Belgium 3.6 Gifu University, Japan 1.6, 3.2 Governmental Industrial Research Institute Japan 3.6 Harvard University 4.9 Hawaii, University of 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 3.3 Hiroshima Laboratory, Japan 1.2 Hitachi Shipbuilding & Engineering Co., Japan 4.5 Hoff Research & Development Laboratories, Inc. 5.2 Hokkaido University, Japan 3.10, 4.7 Illinois, University of 1.4, 1.6, 3.1, 3.2, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 4.2. 4.5. 4.6. 4.9 Illinois Institute of Technology 2.2, 3.2

Imperial College of Science and Technology, England 3 2 3 10 47 48 Indian Institute of Science, India 1.4, 3.6 Indian Institute of Technology, India 2.2, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6, 3.7. 3.9. 3.10 Instituto de Ingenieria, Mexico 3.4, 3.9 Instituto de Mechanica Aplicada y Estructures Argentina 1.4. 3.7. 3.10 Iowa State University 1.4, 3.10, 4.5, 4.9 Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute 5.4 Japan Bridge Co., Ltd. 4,9 Japan Defense Academy 4,1 Japan National Railway Technical Research Institute 1 2 Kagoshima University, Japan 1.2, 1.4 Kajima Institute of Constructional Technology, Japan 1.2. 4.6 Kanazawa University, Japan 1.4, 3.2, 4.4 Karlsruhe, Technical University, Germany 4.3, 4.6, 49 6 3 Kawada Industrial Co., Ltd., Japan 1.6, 2.2 Khinshu University, Japan 1.4 Kisha Seizo Kaisha Ltd., Japan 3.1 Kobe Shipyard & Engine Works, Japan 1.3 Kobe University, Japan 3.6, 4.1, 4.6 Krakowska, Politechnika, Poland 11,1, 4,5 Kumamoto University, Japan 1.5, 3.4, 4.6 Kure Shipbuilding & Engineering Co., Ltd., Japan Kyoto University, Japan 1.2, 1.4, 3.1, 3.4, 3.6, 3.10, 4.1, 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 Kyushu University, Japan 1.2, 1.4, 3.9, 4.4, 4.6, 4.9, 7 1 Lehigh University 1.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.6, 4.1, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8 Liege, University of, Belgium 3.1, 3.2, 3.10, 4.1, 4.3, 4.4.4.8 Lockheed Missiles and Space Co. 4.1 London, University of 3.6, 3.7, 3.10, 4.3 Louisiana Polytechnic Institute 3.6 Louvain, Catholic University of, Belgium 1.6, 3.6, 3.10 Maebashi Municipal Technical College, Japan 3.8 Maine, University of 1.5 Manchester, University of 3.10, 4.4 Manitoba, University of, Canada 3.10 Maryland, University of 1.4 Massachusetts Institute of Technology 1.4, 2.2, 3.2, 3,10, 4.9 McGill University, Canada 4.9 Meiji University, Japan 3.9, 6.3 Michigan, University of 1.4, 4.1, 4.7 Michigan State University 1.4, 4.4 Minnesota, University of 6.3, Monash University, Australia, 5.2 Munich, Technische Hochschule, Germany 3.2, 3.4, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 6.3 Muroran Institute of Technology, Japan 1.4, 3.1, 4.5, 4.8 Musashi Institute of Technology, Japan 1.4, 3.10, 4.6 Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan 4.9 Nagoya University, Japan 1.4, 1.6, 4.5, 4.8 National Defense Laboratory, Japan 4.9 National Research Council, Canada 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 3.6, 3.10 Nevada, University of 1.6

1 Refers to projects listed in the original paper.

New Mexico, University of 1.5

- New Mexico State University 3.6, 3.8, 4.2, 6.3 New South Wales, University of, Australia 4.4 New York University 4.1 New York State University at Buffalo 2.2, 4.1, 5.4 Nihon University, Japan 1.4 Norway, Technical University of 4.6, 6.3 Norwegian Building Research Institute 1.1, 1.2 Nova Scotia Technical College 4.5, 4.9 Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd., Japan 1.2, 1.4, 3.9 Ohio State University 1.3, 4.1, 4.5 Oklahoma, University of 1.4, 3.9, 4.5, 7.1, 7.2 Onoda Cement Co., Ltd., Japan 3.5, 3.10 Oregon State University 3.3, 4.3, 4.5 Oriental Concrete Co., Ltd., Japan 3.6, 3.10 Osaka University, Japan 1.4, 3.1, 3.8, 4.9 Osaka Prefecture, University of, Japan 1.2, 1.4 Port and Harbour Technical Research Institute 1.2 Portland Cement Association Structural Laboratory 1.2, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.10 Princeton University 1.4, 1.6, 4.4 Public Works Research Institute 1.2, 1.4, 3.2, 3.10, 49 **Purdue University 6.3** Queensland, University of, England 1.4, 3.7, 3.10, 4.1, 4.9 Railway Technical Research Institute, Japan 1.4, 1.6, 3.1, 3.6, 3.9, 4.9, 6.3 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute 3.10, 5.4, 7.1 Research Institute of Industrial Safety, Japan 4.1 Rhode Island, University of 4.5 Ritsumeikan University, Japan 3.9 Roorkee, University of, India 1.4, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 4.5 **Rutgers State University 3.2** Sakurada Iron Works Co., Ltd., Japan 1.4, 4.4, 4.6 School of Research Training in Earthquake Engineering, India 1.2, 1.3 SEATO Graduate School of Engineering, India 3.6, 3.9 Ship Research Institute, Japan 4.7, 4.9 Simon Engineering Laboratories 4.4 Smith-Emery Laboratories 3,10 South Dakota School of Mines and Technology 1,4,3,4, 3.10, 4.4 South Dakota State College 4.5 Sydney, University of, Australia 1.4, 4.4
- Takenaka Building Research Institute, Japan 1.2, 1.6, 3.6, 4.6, 5.1

Technical Institute of Iskikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, Japan 4.4 Techniche Holschule Stuttgart, Germany 1.4, 3.4, 3.6 Texas, University of 3.1, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 4.8 Tokoku University, Japan 1.4 Tokushima University, Japan 1.4 Tokyo, University of 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 3.2, 3.6, 3.9, 4.1, 4.2. 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9 Tokyo Institute of Technology 1.2, 6.3 Tokyo Metropolitan University 1.2 Toronto, University of 4.9 University College 3.6, 3.10 Uraga Heavy Industrial Co., Ltd., Japan 4.5 USAEWES 3.6, 3.10, 7.4 U. S. Army Engineering Research and Development Laboratories 7.2 U. S. Army Picatinny Arsenal 1.6, 7.1 U. S. Army Rocket And Guided Missile Agency 7.1 U. S. Army Watertown Arsenal 7.1 U. S. A. F. Academy 1,2 U. S. Forest Products Laboratory 6.3 U. S. Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory 1.2, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.1, 4.4 U. S. Naval Postgraduate School 1.4 U. S. Naval Research Laboratory 1.4, 1.6, 5.4 U. S. Steel Corporation 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.9 Virginia, University of 1.4 Virginia Council of Highway Investigation & Research 3.1, 3.2, 4.7 Virginia Polytechnic Institute 1.5, 6.3 Waseda University, Japan 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 3.6, 3.9, 4.3, 4.6 Washington, University of 4.6 Wayne State University 1.4, 3.3 West Virginia University 1.2, 1.4, 3.4, 3.10 Western Australia, University of 1.4, 3.4, 3.10, 4.5, 63 Wisconsin, University of 1.4, 6.1 Yale University 1.4 Wyoming, University of 3,10 Yamaguchi University, Japan 3.6 Yamanashi University, Japan 1.4 Yawata Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., Japan 1.2 Yokohama National University, Japan 3.9, 3.10, 4.6, 63

### SUPPLEMENTARY INSTITUTIONAL INDEX<sup>2</sup>

Alberta, University of 1.4, 3.2, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 6.3 Arizona, University of 1.4, 3.1, 3.10, 4.9, 7.4 Association of American Railroads 6.3 Forest Products Laboratory 6.3 Imperial College of Science and Technology, England 4.1 Michigan Technological University 6.3 Michigan, University 6.3 Oregon State University 6.3 Politechnika, Poland 1.4 Pollatch Forests, Inc. 6.3 Rutgers University 3.4 San Jose State College 6.3 Technische Hochschule Stuttgart, Germany 3.2, 3.3, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.6 Toronto, University of 6.3 Vanderbilt University 3.1, 4.9 Virginia Polytechnic Institute 6.3

<sup>2</sup> Refers to projects listed in the discussion and closure.

### SUBJECT INDEX<sup>3</sup>

Adhesives 3.6, 4.6, 7.1, 7.4 Admixtures (See Mix Design) Aerodynamic Stability (See Wind Effects) Aggregate, 3.1 Lightweight 3.6, 3.8 Alloys 4.1, 4.3 Aluminum 4.3, 4.7 Anchors 3.6 Approximate Methods 3.6, 1.4, 3.6 Arches 1.4, 3.4, 3.10, 4.4 Asphalt 3.10 Beams, 3.1, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.5 Box 3.6 Buckling 4.1 Continuous 1.4, 3.6, 3.9, 4.5, 4.6 Elastic Foundations 1.4 Holes 4.9 Notched 4.9 **Restrained 3.9** "T" 1.4, 3.9 Wood 6.3 Beam-Columns 1.4, 3.6, 3.7, 4.1, 4.5, 4.6 Bearing Capacity 1.2, 3.6, 3.10, 4.5, 4.6 Biaxial Bending (See Flexure) Blast Loading 4.4 Bolts, High Strength 4.3, 4.6 Bond 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.6 Bracing, Lateral 1.4, 3.10, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 Brackets 3.10, 4.5, 4.6 Breakwaters 3.10 Bridges, 3.10, 4.4, 4.8, 4.9 Composite 4.7 Loads 1.6 Multibeam 1.4, 1.6, 3.1, 3.6, 4.5, 4.8, 4.9 Orthotropic 4.7 Suspension 1.4, 1.6, 1.2, 3.6 Truss 1.4, 1.6, 3.10, 4.5 Wooden 6.3 Brittle Failure 4.9 Buckling, 1.3, 4.9 Lateral-Torsional 1.2, 1.4, 3.1, 3.7, 4.1, 4.2, 4.5 Local 4.1, 4.2, 4.5, 4.3 Snap 4.1 Torsional 4.1, 4.5 Viscoelastic 4.1 Building Construction 1.5, 1.6 Built-Up Sections 4,5 Buttresses 3.10 Cantilevers 3.6, 3.9, 4.5 Carry Over Factor 4.1 Castellated Beams 4.5, 4.9 Cement 3.6, 3.10 Cladding 1.2, 4.4 Collapse 4.4 Columns 3.5, 4.1, 4.8 Combined Stresses 1.4, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.5 Complementary Energy Methods 4.4 Composite Construction 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 3.1, 3.6, 4.4, 4.6 Compression Members 1.3, 3.6, 4.1, 4.5, 4.6 Computer Analysis 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 2.2, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 4.2, 4.5

Concrete, 3 Blocks 3.8 Light Weight 3.1 Precast 1.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.5, 3.6 Prestressed 1.4, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10 Reinforced 1.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10 Connections, 4.6, 4.8 Bolted 4.3 Concrete 3.10 **Construction Joints (Concrete) 3.6** Riveted 4.3, 4.6 Splices 3.8, 3.10, 4.6 Welded 4.3, 4.6 Continuous Spans Of Beams 1.4, 3.6, 3.9, 4.6 Of Bridges 1.4, 4.6 Corrosion 3.10, 4.8, 4.9 Cracking 1.5, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 4.5 Сгеер, In Concrete 3.6, 3.7, 3.10 In Wood 6.3 Cryogenic and Elevated Temperature 4.1 'Culverts 3.10, 4.7 Curing 3.10 Curved Sections 1.4, 3.6, 3.10, 4.2 Cylinders, 3.6 Stiffened 4.9 Damping of Structural Elements 1.2 Dams, 1.6 Arch 1.4, 3.10 Earth 1.2 Deflections 1.4, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.10, 4.5 **Derrick Posts 4.4** Design, 3.6, 3.9 Aseismic 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6 For Minimum Weight 1.4, 4.9 Optimum 1.4, 3.6, 3.8, 4.5, 4.6 Design Criteria for Adhesives 7.4 Design Criteria for Components 7.3 Diagonal Tension 3.8, 3.9, 4.5 Diaphragm 1,4 Distribution of Stress 1.4, 3.6, 3.10, 4.5, 4.6, 6.3 Domes 1.4 Dynamic Response Analysis 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 4.4, 4.7 Earth Fills 3,10 Earthquake, Resistance 1.2, 3.8, 3.9, 4.5, 4.6 Regarding Tall Buildings 1.2, 1.3 Elastic-Plastic Behavior 4.4 Elasticity 4.9 Elastomeric Bearing Pads 7.2 Electronic Computation (See Computer Analysis) Epoxy Resins 4.6 Factor of Safety 1.1, 3.10, 4.6 Failure 3.6, 3.7, 3.9 Fatigue 3.1, 3.6, 3.10, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9 Fire Insulation 1.5 Flexural Members 4.5 Flexure, 3.6, 4.1 Biaxial Bending 3.10, 4.5 Folded Plate Construction 3.3

<sup>3</sup> Refers to projects listed in the original paper.

Foundations, 1.6, 4,4 Elastic 4.6 Footings 3.5 Frames, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 Continuous 4.4 Indeterminant 1.4, 3.6, 4.5 Multi-Story 1.2, 1.4, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 Space 1.4, 1.6, 3.6 Towers 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 Friction 3.6, 4.6 Frictional Joint 4.6 Full Scale Structures 1.2, 1.4, 3.6, 4.5 Future Applications 2,2 Glass, fiber 1.6 Grids, Planar 1.4, 3.8 Spatial 1 4 Grout (See Concrete) Heat of Hydration 3.5 Heat Treatment 4.1 High Tensile Steels 3.1, 3.2, 3.6, 3.10, 4.4, 4.6, 4.9 Horizontal Resistance 1.2, 3.10, 4.5 Hot Laboratories 5.1 Hybrid Sections 4.1, 4.9 Hydrostatic Effects 4.9 Impact-See Loads 1.2, 3.10, 4.4 Incremental Collapse 4.4 Inelastic Range 1.4, 3.8, 4,5 Influence Lines 1.4 Influence Surfaces 1.4 Initial Curvature 4.1 Interaction Phenomena 3.6, 3.7, 4.4 Joints-See Connections 3.10, 4.6 Knee Joints 4.6 Laminated Members 6.3 Lateral Forces 1.2, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 4.5 Bracing 4.4 Lift Slab Method 3.10 Light Gage Metals 4.2, 4.6 Lightweight Alloys 4.2 Limit Analysis 1.4, 3.4 Limit Design 3.4 Lintels 3.8, 4.5 Live Loads Due to Heat and Incidence 5.2 Loads, 3.6 Blast 1.4, 3.9, 4.4, 4.9, 5.2 Construction 1.4, 1.5 Cyclic 1.4, 1.6, 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 4.5, 4.6, 4.9, 6.3 Eccentric 1.4, 3.7, 4.5, 4.6 Hydrostatic 1.2, 1.4, 4.6 Impact 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 4.4 Lateral 1.6 Snow 1.6 Static 4.9 Longitudinal Forces 1.3, 1.4 Longitudinal Stiffeners 4.5 Masonry 3.8 Matrix Methods 1.4 Membrane Action 3.2, 4.5 Metals 4.9 Methods of Analysis 1.4 Miscellaneous 1.6, 4.0 Mix Design, 3.10 Admixtures 3.10 Fines 3.10 Models, 3.1, 3.3, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.10 Full Size 1.4, 4.9 Newmark-Schnobrich 1.4 Photoelastic 1.4, 3.6, 3.10 Scale-effect 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, 3.10, 4.3, 4.5, 4.7, 3.9 Moment Distribution 3.4, 3.6 Moment-Rotation Characteristics 3.4, 3.6, 3.7 Mooring Facilities 1.2 Moving Loads 4.4

Multibeam Bridges 4.5 Natural Frequencies 1.2, 1.3, 1.6 Nonlinear Analysis 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 4.4, 4.5 Non-prismatic Sections 1.4 Nuclear Facilities 5.4 Nuclear Weapons Effects 4.4, 5.2 Numerical Methods 1.4, 3.6 Open Web Joists 4,9 Orthotropic Plates 4,7 Others 3.10, 4.9 Pavement, Continuous Concrete 3.2 Philosophy of Structural Design 1.1 Piles, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 3.10, 4.1 Steel 4.9 Wood 6.3. 7.1 Pipe 4.8 Plastic Behavior 3.6, 3.10, 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 Plastic Design 1.4, 4.4 Plastic Hinge 3.4.3.6.4.4.4.5 Plasticity 3.9, 3.10, 4.4, 4.5, 4.9 Plastics, 1.6, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 Epoxy Resins 3.6, 7.1 Plate Girders 1.4.4.3.45 Plates, 3.6, 4.9 Anistropic 4.3, 4.7 Folded 1.4, 3.3, 4.3 Orthogonally Stiffened 4.7 Orthotropic 1.4, 3.6, 4.1, 4.2, 4.6, 4.7 Sandwich 1.4, 4.3, 4.6 Theory 1.4, 4.3, 4.7 Portal Frames 4.4 Precast Structural Concrete Design and Construction 3 5 Prefabrication 3.10, 6.3 Prestressed Concrete 3.6 Prestressing 1.6, 3.6 Pretensioning 3.3, 3.6, 4.6 Properties of Selected Structural Plastics 7.2 Reactor, Nuclear 5.4 Redistribution of Moment 1.4, 3.6 **Reinforced Concrete Columns 3.7** Reinforced Concrete Slabs 3.2 Reinforced Masonry Design and Practice 3.8 Reinforcement, 3.2, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 Fiberglass 3,10 Ring 3.2 Stirrups 3.6, 3.8, 3.9 Residual Stresses 1.4, 4.1, 4.5, 4.9 Restrained Columns 1.4, 3.7, 4.4, 4.5 Roughness 4.6 Safety 1.1, 1.6, 3.10 Sandwich Construction 7.2 Scale Effect 3.10 Seismic Effects 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6 Shakedown 4.4 Shear 3.1, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 4.2, 4.5, 4.6, 4.9 Shells, 3.6, 4.9 Cylindrical 4.9 Matrix Solution of 1.4 Orthotropically Stiffened 4.1 Thin 1.4, 3,10, 4,10 Wood 6.3 Shielding, Radiation 5.1 Ships, Aircushion 4.9 Bulkcarrier 4.9 Hydrofoil 4.9 Submarines 4.9 Surface 4.9 Shock Strength 3.8, 3.9 Shock Tube 1.5 Shrinkage 3.1, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9 Skew Members 1.4, 3.2, 4.6 Slabs 1.2, 1.4, 3.2, 3.6, 4.2

E.

Soil-Structure Interaction 4.4 Spacing, Reinforcement 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 Special Building Problems 1.5 Stability, 3.6 Aerodynamic 1.2, 1.4 Frame 4.4 Lateral 4.9 Stainless Steel 4.2 Staircases 3 10 Statistics 1.1 Steel 4.3, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 Stiffeners Method 1.4, 4,5 Strain Gradient 4.5 Stress Reversal 4.9 Stress & Strain Measurement 1.4, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 4.2, 4.5, 4.6 Structural Analysis 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 4.2, 4.5, 4.6 Structural Applications 7.1 Structural Damping 1.2, 1.6 Structural Materials in Reactor Design 5.4 Structural Members-Angles 4.3, 4.7 Structural Steel Connections 4.6 Structures, 3.6 Aircraft 1.1 Pressure Vessels 1.4 Submarine 1.4 Ships 1.4 Tubular 4.6 Underground Underwater 1.2 Struts 4,1 Supports 4.5 Technical Literature 6.1 **Technical Presentation 6.2** 

Temperature Effect 3.10, 6.3 Testing (Bridges) 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 Thermal Properties 3.8 Thermal Stress 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 3.6, 4.3, 5.2 Thermoelastic Problem 1.4 Time Dependent Loading 1.6, 3.6 Topology 1.4 Torsion 1.4, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 4.1, 4.5, 4.7, 4.9 Tower Design 1.3 Towers 1.2, 4.1 Transient Stresses 4 5 Triaxial Stress 3.10 Trusses 1.4, 1.6, 3.6, 3.10, 4.9 Tubular Members 4.3, 4.6, 4.8 Tubular Structures 4.6, 4.8 Ultimate Strength 1.4, 3.1, 3.4, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8 Varying Sections 1.4, 3.6, 3.7 Vault Effect 1.6 Vibration Analysis 4.9 Vibration of Tall Buildings 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, 4.5 Vibration Problems 4.4 Wall, 3.6, 3.9 Shear 1.2.3.8 Web Crippling, 4.3 Weldability 4.9 Web Openings 4.5 Welded Members 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9 Welding 4.5, 4.6 Wind Effects 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 Wood 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Wood Research 6.3 Yield Line Theory 3.2 Yield Strength 4.4

### SUPPLEMENTARY SUBJECT INDEX<sup>4</sup>

Adhesives 7.4 Bar Joist Construction 3.1 Beams Composite 3.1 Curved 1.4 Deep 3.10 Glulam 6.3 Prestressed Concrete 3.6 Prestressed Steel 4.9 Stability 6.3 Bending **Biaxial 4.1** Buckling Shells 1.4 Composite Construction Bar Joists in 3.1 Shear Connectors in 3.1 Wood 6.3 **Compression Members 4.1** Concrete Repair of 3.10 Connections Bolted 4.6 Wood 6.3 Moment 6.3 **Diagonal Tension 3.9** Earthquakes Regarding Tall Buildings 1.2 Epoxy Resins 3.1 Fiberglass Reinforcement 3.10 Folded Plate Construction 3,3 Glulam 6.3 Lateral Forces 1.2 Limit Design 3.4

ļ,

Lumber Grading 6.3 Moment-Rotation Characteristics 3.4 Nails 6.3 Non-Linear Analysis 1.4 Plates Folded 3.3 Truss 6.3 Poles (Wood) 6.3 Prestressed Concrete 3.6, 3.10 Prestressed Wood 6.3 Reinforced Concrete Beams 3.4 Columns 3.7 Slabs 3.2 Torsion of 3.10 Shear Glulam Beams 6.3 Prestressed Girders 3.6 Reinforced Concrete 3.9 Shells Buckling of 1.4 Doubly curved 1.4 Funicular 1.4 Slabs 3.2 Structural Timbers Tensile Strength 6.3 Testing Wood 6.3 Towers, Guyed 1.3 Torsion 3.6, 3.10 Ultimate Strength Concrete Slabs 3.2 Timber 6.3

<sup>4</sup> Refers to projects listed in the discussion and closure.

Vault Action 3.2