Lehigh University [Lehigh Preserve](http://preserve.lehigh.edu?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Fritz Laboratory Reports](http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Civil and Environmental Engineering](http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

1969

Inversion of tri-submatrix banded matrices, June 1969

H. Jr. Bill

C. N. Kostem

Follow this and additional works at: [http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab](http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)[reports](http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Bill, H. Jr. and Kostem, C. N., "Inversion of tri-submatrix banded matrices, June 1969" (1969). *Fritz Laboratory Reports.* Paper 1636. [http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports/1636](http://preserve.lehigh.edu/engr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports/1636?utm_source=preserve.lehigh.edu%2Fengr-civil-environmental-fritz-lab-reports%2F1636&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil and Environmental Engineering at Lehigh Preserve. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fritz Laboratory Reports by an authorized administrator of Lehigh Preserve. For more information, please contact preserve@lehigh.edu.

INVERSION OF TRI-SUBMATRIX BANDED MATRICES

by

Herbert L. Bill, Jr. Celal N. Kostem

Department of Civil Engineering Fritz Engineering Laboratory Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

'.

June 1969

Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No. 237.60

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents a methodology and the relevant computer program for the inversion of "tri-diagona1" matrices by making use of its inherent properties.

A tri-diagonal matrix is one which has elements on the main diagonal, and which has elements once removed from the main diagonal. Each element may be a matrix itself, but each element must have the same dimensions as every other element.

The tri-diagona1 matrix must be square and non-singular, and each sub-matrix must be square and non-singular (Fig. 1).

..

'....

A

The application of tri-diagonal inversion scheme to complex structures was described by B. E. Gatewood and Norik Ohanian (Ref. 1). This article points out that a truss, or a frame, can be arranged in sections so that members from each section attach only in the section, or to the two adjacent sections; then it is possible to generate the stiffness matrix directly in tri-diagona1 sub-matrix form from the generalized force-displacement equations for each member and the equilibrium equations for each joint of the structure. The size of the sub-matrices is determined by the number of joints in the section and the number of displacement components for each joint.

. In Gatewood and Ohanian's article, each sub-matrix could be . of any arbitrary size. However, as was pointed out earlier, this

subroutine handles only the case of each sub-matrix being the same. size. It should be noted that the largest matrix that has to be inverted by standard procedures is a matrix having the same dimensions as the sub-matrix.

As a demonstration of the formulation of a tri-diagonal matrix, a simple frame will be set up (Fig. 2) using the "Direct Stiffness" method and including axial effects.

Although the example is valid for use in the tri-diagonal matrix subroutine, in general, the ordinary formulation of the direct stiffness method does not permit the use of this subroutine. That is, if more than two members frame into ^a joint or if axial deformations are neglected.

The purpose of this study is not merely to invert a specific type of matrix, but to invert it efficiently making use of the known properties of symmetry.

It is known that the matrix is symmetric (Fig. 1) and, therefore, its inverse is also symmetric. For the matrix to be symmetric, the sub-matrices on the main diagonal must be symmetric; and the off-diagonal sub-matrices must equal their transposed counterparts $(\underline{A}_{i,i} = \underline{A}_{i,i}^{T})$.

•

$$
\underline{A} \underline{A}^{-1} = \underline{I}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\underline{K}_{11} \underline{K}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\underline{K}_{21} \underline{K}_{22} & \underline{K}_{23} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \underline{K}_{32} \underline{K}_{33} \underline{K}_{34} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \underline{K}_{n-1,n-2} \underline{K}_{n-1,n-1} & \underline{K}_{n-1,n}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\underline{F}_{11} \underline{F}_{12} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\underline{F}_{21} \underline{F}_{22} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{F}_{n1} \underline{F}_{n2} + \underline{K}_{n2} \underline{F}_{21} & = \underline{I} \quad (a)
$$
\n
$$
\underline{K}_{11} \underline{F}_{11} + \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{22} & = 0 \quad (b)
$$

 $\underline{K}_{11} \underline{F}_{13} + \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{23} = \underline{0}$ (c) $\underline{K}_{11} \underline{F}_{14} + \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{24} = 0$ (d)

 $\underline{K}_{11} \underline{F}_{1n} + \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{2n} = \underline{0}$ (e)

From Equation (a)

$$
F_{11} = K_{11}^{-1} \quad (\underline{I} - K_{12} \ \underline{F}_{21}) = K_{11}^{-1} - K_{11}^{-1} \ K_{12} \ \underline{F}_{21}
$$

-4

 $\mathbf 1$.

From Equation (b) \underline{F}_{12} = - \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{22} = \underline{F}_{21}^{T} $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{21} = (-\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{12} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{22})^{\mathrm{T}}.$

From Equation (c) \underline{F}_{13} = - \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{23} .

From Equation (d)

$$
\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{14} = - \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{12} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{24}.
$$

And, in general, from Equation (e) $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{1n}$ = - $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1}$ $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{12}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{2n}$.

 $\mathop{\mathtt{De}}\nolimits\mathop{\mathtt{fine}}$

$$
\mathbf{I}_{12} = -\mathbf{K}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{12}.
$$

Then

$$
\underline{F}_{11} = \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{T}_{12} \underline{F}_{21} = \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{T}_{12} \left(-\underline{K}_{11}^{-1} \underline{K}_{12} \underline{F}_{22} \right)^{T}
$$

$$
= \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{T}_{12} \left(\underline{T}_{12} \underline{F}_{22} \right)^{T} = \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{T}_{12} \underline{F}_{22}^{-T} \underline{T}_{12}^{T}
$$

$$
= \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{T}_{12} \underline{F}_{22}^{-T}; \text{ and}
$$

$$
E_{12} = E_{12} E_{22}
$$

\n
$$
E_{13} = E_{12} E_{23}
$$

\n
$$
E_{14} = E_{12} E_{24}
$$

\n
$$
E_{1n} E_{12} E_{2n}
$$

Writing the equations for the second row of $[T]$, $\underline{K}_{21} \underline{F}_{11} + \underline{K}_{22} \underline{F}_{21} + \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{31} = 0$ (a) K_{21} F_{12} + K_{22} F_{23} + K_{23} F_{32} = 1 (b) $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{21} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{13} + \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{22} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{23} + \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{23} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{33} = 0$ (c) $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{21}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{14}$ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{22}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{24}$ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{23}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{34}$ = 0 (d) $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{21}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{1n}$ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{22}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{2n}$ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{23}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{3n}$ = 0 (e) Noting that $E_{12} = -E_{11}^{-1}E_{12}E_{22}$

as found from the solution of the first row, and substituting this values into $Eq.$ (b) above

- $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{21}$ (- $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1}$ $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{12}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{22}$) + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{22}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{22}$ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{23}$ $\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{32}$ = 1 $(-\underline{K}_{21} \underline{K}_{11}^{-1} \underline{K}_{12} + \underline{K}_{22}) \underline{F}_{22} = \underline{I} - \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{32}$ $(\underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12} + \underline{K}_{22}) \underline{F}_{22} = \underline{I} - \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{32}$ $\underline{F}_{22} = (\underline{K}_{22} + \underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12})^{-1} (\underline{I} - \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{32})$ $\underline{F}_{23} = (\underline{K}_{23} + \underline{K}_{21} \ \underline{T}_{13})^{-1} - (\underline{K}_{23} + \underline{K}_{21} \ \underline{T}_{12})^{-1} \ \underline{K}_{23} \ \underline{F}_{32}.$ Noting that
- $E_{13} = T_{12} E_{23}$

as found from the solution of the first row, and substituting this value into Eq. (c) above

 $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{21}$ (T₁₂ E₂₃) + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{22}$ E₂₃ + $\underline{\mathbf{K}}_{23}$ E₃₃ = <u>0</u> $(\underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12} + \underline{K}_{23}) \underline{F}_{23} = - \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{33}$ \underline{F}_{23} = - $(\underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12} + \underline{K}_{23})^{-1} \underline{K}_{23} \underline{F}_{33}$

Define

$$
\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{23} = -(\underline{\mathbf{k}}_{22} + \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{21} \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{12})^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{23}
$$

Then

-'

è

$$
\underline{F}_{22} = (\underline{K}_{22} + \underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12})^{-1} + \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{32} = (\underline{K}_{22} + \underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12})^{-1} + \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{23}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{22} = (\underline{K}_{22} + \underline{K}_{21} \underline{T}_{12})^{-1} + \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{33} \underline{T}_{23}^{-T}; \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{23} = \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{33}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{24} = \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{34}
$$
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{2n} = \underline{T}_{23} \underline{F}_{3n}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{n-1,m-1} = (\underline{K}_{m-1,m-1} + \underline{K}_{m-1,m-2} \underline{T}_{m-2,m-1})^{-1} + \underline{T}_{m-1,m} \underline{F}_{mm} \underline{T}_{m-1,m}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{m-1,m} = \underline{T}_{m-1,m} \underline{F}_{m,n}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{T}_{m-1,m} = -(\underline{K}_{m-1,m-1} + \underline{K}_{m-1,m-2} \underline{T}_{m-2,m-1})^{-1} \underline{K}_{m-1,m}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{F}_{m-1,m} = -(\underline{K}_{m-1,m-1} + \underline{K}_{m-1,m-2} \underline{T}_{m-2,m-1})^{-1} \underline{K}_{m-1,m}
$$
\n
$$
\text{which are the recurrence formulae for all but the first and last rows.}
$$

which are the recurrence reflated
For the first row (see Eq. (I)),

$$
\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{11} = \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1} + \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{12} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{22} \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{12}^{T}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathbf{F}}_{1n} = \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{12} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{2n}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{12} = - \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{11}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{12}
$$

And, for the last row,

$$
\mathbf{F}_{n,n} = (\mathbf{K}_{n,n} + \mathbf{K}_{n,n-1} \mathbf{T}_{n-1,n})^{-1}
$$

 $2.$

3. LOGICAL FLOW CHART

From an examination of the recurrence formulae obtained from the derivation, it is obvious that two "sweeps" of the matrix are needed. The first sweep progresses down the three-dimensional vector calculating the T and G matrices. The second sweep progresses up the three-dimensional vector calculating the \underline{F} matrices.

Below is shown ^a logical flow chart for the program. It will be noted that the second sweep has been broken down into two steps.

> Calculate the G and T matrices
and store in the three-dimensional vector of the impact matrix (Fig. 3).

Calculate the diagonal elements of the inverse, $F_{\textbf{ii}}^{\text{}}$, and store in a threedimentional vector (Fig. 4).

Calculate the off-diagonal elements of the inverse, $F_{i,j}$ (j>i), and store in the vector with the F_{ii} elements (Fig. 5).

4. LIMITATIONS

The limitations of the program, from a mathematical point of view, are those of symmetry and banding. The limitations of the program, from a practical point of view, are the capacity of the machine and the size of the sub-matrices.

The program has been written for a maximum sub-matrix size ·of nine elements. This dimension can be changed by changing the DIMENSION statement in the subroutine and by changing the value of the variable JOB. It is not advisable to increase the value of JOB much beyond the value needed for a particular job (run) because this only serves to tie up core storage with zeros.

The limitation of the machine capacity (core storage) can be overcome by using disk storage.

It should also be noted that the form, in which the inverted matrix is returned to the calling program, is such that the resulting inverted matrix is not suitable for further mathematical operationit is return as ^a three-dimensional vector rather than ^a two-dimensional array. The form of the three-dimensional vector is illustrated by the form of the output from the subroutine.

⁵ .. INPUT

Input to the subroutine consists of the banded matrix K stored as a three-dimensional vector with the K_{11} 's stored in the odd locations and the $K_{i,j}$'s (where j>i) stored in the even locations.

.'

N, the number of K_{ii} 's in the banded matrix; M, the actual size of each sub-matrix; MN, M times N; 2N-1, 2 time N minus 1; 10, number of N output unit; and JKKJ, Σ i. (See Fig. 6 for the input matrix used for i=l the test run of this program).

6. OUTPUT

The output is only a listing of the upper triangle of the inverted matrix. It is only ^a listing of the three-dimensional vector. The individual sub-matrices are labeled to show their position in the assembled two-dimensional inverted matrix. (See Fig. 7 for the output from the test run of this program).

I I \vert .

\ I•

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$ I I I i .

1 i

.7. STORAGE LOCATIONS

banded matrix is \texttt{n}^2 x \texttt{n}^2 . The storage required for this subroutine The storage locations required to store the total, unaltered can be broken down as follows.

The original matrix can be stored as a three-dimensional vector composed of only the upper triangular portion of the original banded matrix. This will require N storage locations for the K_{11} 's and N-1 storage locations for the $K_{i,j}$'s (j>i). Therefore, the total storage locations are used to store the \underline{r} and \underline{G} matrices calculated in the subroutine.

The inverted matrix requires $0.50 \times M^2 \times N^2$ storage locational stored element by element. However, this subroutine requires $M^2 \times N^2$ N $\frac{L}{1}$ storage locations for the inverted matrix. The inverted matrix requires 0.50 x M^2 x N^2 storage locations

Therefore the total storage required (not including "scratch" matrices) is

 $S = (2N - 1) M^{2} + \frac{1}{2} N (N + 1) M^{2} = \frac{M^{2}}{2} (N^{2} + 5N - 2)$

as composed to \texttt{n}^2 \texttt{n}^2 for storing just the total original banded matrix.

For comparative purposes with $M = 2$,

8. NUMBER OF BASIC OPERATIONS

Basic operations, as considered here, are matrix addition, multiplication, inversion and transposition. Basic operations does not include the transfer back and forth from a two-dimensional array to part of a three-dimensional vector.

Strictly speaking the matrix inversion is not a basic operation because it involves some of the other basic operations. However, in order to arrive at an approximate figure, matrix inversion will be considered a basic operation.

The number of basic operations will be computed for each block of calculation as shown in the Logical Flow Chart.

- 1. For calculating the *T* and *G* matrices,
	- (a) each *Q* matrix, except the first one, requires
		- 1 multiplication 1 - addition
		- 1 inversion

(the first one requires only one inversion) and

(b) each T matrix requires

2 - multiplications

Therefore, for this block of operation,

 $OP_1 = (N-1) (3) + N + (N-1) (2) = 3N - 3 + N + 2N - 2$ $= 6N - 5$

2. For calculating the diagonal sub-matrices of the inverted matrix,

(a) each F_{11} matrix, except the last one, requires

- 1 transposition
- 2 multiplications
- 1 addition·

 \mathbb{R}

(the last one, $F_{n,n}$, doesn't require any basic operations).

Therefore, for this block of operation, $OP_2 = (N-1) (4) = 4N - 4.$

3. For calculating the off-diagonal sub-matrices $(E_{i,i}$ for j>i) of the inverted matrix,

- (a) each $\underline{F}_{i,j}$ (for j>i) matrix requires 1 - multiplication
- Therefore for this block of operation, OP₃ = $(\sum_{i=1}^{N} i-N)$ (1) = $\frac{N}{22}(N + 1) - N = \frac{N}{2}(N-1)$.

The total number of basic operations is

OP = OP₁ + OP₂ + OP₃ = 6N - 5 + 4 N - 4 + $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ OP = $1/2$ (N² + 19N - 18).

(It should be noted that each of these basic operations is performed on a sub-matrix of size M x M.)

For comparative purposes,

9. SUMMARY

The purpose of the program is to efficiently invert a large matrix which can be partitioned into a symmetric, triple-banded matrix. For a trial run with $M = 2$ and $N = 5$, it was found that the standard inversion routine is faster. It is believed that ^a break-even point, as far as the execution time is concerned, would be reached for $MN = 40$. As far as a storage is concerned, the break even point occurs for $N = 5$.

As for the form of the output from the subroutine, it should be remembered that ideally a very large matrix will be inverted which can not be stored completely in the core of the machine. Therefore, the form of the output should be either a punched deck containing the inverse of the input matrix which would be used as input with another program or assembled and stored on magnetic tape. The choice is up to the individual user. The user will have to write an "assembler" subroutine to handle the problem as well as develop techniques for manipulating such ^a large matrix on magnetic tape so that it can be used in other operations leading to the desired solution.

10. PROGRAM LISTING

! •

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

Ĵ

THE FOLLOWING INVERSION SCHEME WAS MODIFIED TO HANDLE THE INVERSION OF A SINGLE ELEMENT ARRAY - $E(1,1)$ - BY HERBERT L. BILL, JR. ************~~************************************7(******~~(************** * * * * * *******~~****~~*****7(******************************~~**~~**~rn****~~~~**** * THE FOLLOWING INVERSION SCHEME IS BASED ON THE SUBROUTINE MINV $*$ AUTHORED BY SAMPATH IYENGAR. * REFERENCE - GENERAL INFORMATION MANUAL, AN INTRODUCTION TO $*$ ENGINEERING ANALYSIS FOR COMPUTERS (IBM). * * *************************7~***~~**************~~************~~*********** IF(M.EQ.1) GO TO 6052 NM1=M-1 DO 5005 IK=1,M *****~~**********~~**7(***~~************~rn***~~****~rn*************~rn***** * SEARCH FOR THE LARGEST ABSOLUTE-VALUED ELEMENT IN THE FIRST $\begin{array}{c} \star \\ \star \\ \text{COTIMM} \end{array}$ COLUMN. EXCHANGE THE FIRST ROW WITH THE CORRESPONDING ROW. * **************7(**************~(****~~********************~~******~~~rn**** BIG=O.O $LIMIT=M-IK+1$ NR=l D05006 IKK=1,LIMIT $ABSA=ABS(E(IKK, 1))$ IF(BIG.GE.ABSA) GO TO 5007 BIG=ABSA NR=IKK 5007 CONTINUE
5006 CONTINUE **CONTINUE** $H(IK)=IK$ IF(NR.EQ.1) GO TO 5010 $T=E(1,J)$ $E(1,J)=E(NR,J)$ 5008 E(NR,J)=T $H(IK)=IK+NR-1$ *****************~rn********~~rn****~~************7(*********************** CREATE PIVOT ROW ELEMENTS. * * * *********~-k*~~********************************~~***********~k*********** 5010 DO 5009 J=l,NMl $JPI=J+1$ 5009 G(J)=E(l,JPl)/E{l,l) $G(M)=1.0/E(1,1)$

COMPUTE NEW VALUES FOR THE MATRIX ELEMENTS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY RENUMBERING THE ROWS.

DO 5011 I=2, M $M1=I-1$ $E(M1,M)=-E(I,1)*G(M)$ DO 5011 J=1, M1 $JP1=J+1$ 5011 $E(\text{M1}, J) = E(I, JPI) - E(I, 1) * G(J)$ DO 5012 J=1,M 5012 E(M, J)=G(J)
5005 CONTINUE

 \star

CONTINUE 9.

 -19

 \star

 \star

 \star \star

 $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^t$

 -20

 \star \star \star $\mathbf{\dot{x}}$ \star

47 $A(I,J)=AK(I,J,K)$ DO 5033 $I=1,M$ DO 5033 J=1, M 5033 $E(I,J)=A(I,J)+D(I,J)$ 114 K=K-2 $NN=1$ DO 48 I=1, M DO 48 $J=1,M$ 48 $F(I,J,NN)=E(I,J)$

 $KJ=2$ KJJ=JKKJ $M/M=N-1$ $MN=2*N-2$ NNMMN=N **JKMKJN=JKKJ** $JK=2$ DO 215 II=1, MNM DO 205 I=1, M DO 205 $J=1,M$ 205 $A(I,J) = AK(I,J,NMN)$ DO 206 $I=1,M$ DO 206 $J=1,M$ 206 B(I,J)=F(I,J,NNMMN) DO 5040 IK=1, M DO 5040 IJ=1, M $SUM=0.0$ DO 5040 INIK=1, M SUM=SUM+A(IK, INIK)*B(INIK, IJ) 5040 C(IK, IJ)=SUM DO 207 I=1, M DO 207 $J=1,M$ 207 $F(I,J,JKMKJN)=C(I,J)$ JKMKJN=JKMKJN-JK IF(II.EQ.1) GO TO 235 $JIK=II-1$ JKJ=KJJ DO 216 JKJKKJ=1, JIK JJK=JKJ-JIK DO 208 I=1, M DO 208 $J=1,M$ 208 $D(I,J)=F(I,JJKJ)$ DO 5041 IK=1.M DO 5041 IJ=1, M $SUM=0.0$.DO 5041 INIK=1, M

SUM=SUM+A(IK, INIK)*D(INIK, IJ)

209 F(I,J,JJK)=E(I,J) JKJ=JKJ+l

216 CONTINUE KJJ=KJJ-KJ $KJ=KJ+1$

235 JK=JK+l NMN=NMN-2 NNMMN=NNMNN-1

```
215 CONTINUE
```
THE INVERSION IS COMPLETE.

THE SUBMATRICES OF THE INVERSE ARE STORED AS A COLUMN VECTOR WITH THE FIRST BLOCK OF THE VECTOR BEING THE SUBMATRICES OF $*$ THE MAIN DIAGONAL OF THE INVERSE, AND WITH THE SECOND BLOCK OF THE VECTOR BEING THE OFF-DIAGONAL SUBMATRICES OF THE $*$ UPPER TRIANGLE OF THE INVERSE ARRANGED BY ROWS.

* ************~~**~~*******~-k****~k*~~****************************~~~7*****

WRITE(1O,2000) DO 6002 K=I,N 6002 WRITE(10,1000) K, K, ($(F(I,J,K),J=1,M),I=1,M)$ $LL=N+1$ $JK=N-1$ $NN=2$ DO 6001 I=I,JK DO 6000 J=NN,N WRITE(10,1000) $I,J,(F(K,L L),L=1,M),K=1,M)$ 6000 LL=LL+1 $NN=NN+1$ 6001 CONTINUE
6000 FORMAT(1 6000 FORMAT(1HO,12X,*F(*,12,*,*,12,*)*//,2(2X,F10,3,8X,F10.3/)////)
6000 FORMAT(1H1) FORMAT (IH1) **RETURN** END

*

*

11. FIGURES

Fig. 1 The Tri-Diagonal or Triple-Band Matrix with 2x2 Sub-Matrices

Fig. 2 Schematic Formulation of the Direct Stiffness Method for the Sample Frame

 $\hat{g}^{\hat{G}}$

 -25

Fig. 2 (Continued)

Fig: 3 Glow Chart for Calculating the T and G Matrices, and for Storing the \overline{T} and \overline{G} Matrices in the Three-Dimensional Vector of the Input Matrix

-26

 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot

-INPUT MATRIX IN NORMAL NOTATION:

INPUT MATRIX AS A THREE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR:

Fig. 6 Input Matrix for Test· Run of the Program

OUTPUT AS OBTAINED FRCM THE SUBROUTINE:

Fig. 7 Upper Triangle of the Inverse of the Input Matrix for the Test Run

I ..

Fig. 7 (Continued)

12. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Gatewood, B. E. and Ohanian, N. "Tri-Diagonal Matrix Method for Complex Structures", Journal of the Structural Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 91, ST2, April 1965, pp. 27~4l. .

2. Martin, H. C. Introduction to Matrix Methods of Structural Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 69-168.

3. Przemieniecki, J. S.

..

J -

Theory of Matrix Structural Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1968, pp. 427-428 .

Thanks and appreciation are due to Miss Karen Philbin and Mrs. Jane Lenner for typing and proofreading this report.

 \mathcal{H}