INCREASED OASIS INCIDENCE – INDICATOR OF THE QUALITY OF OBSTETRIC CARE?
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SUMMARY – In the era of new molecular, epigenetic and proteomic discoveries, birth canal injuries seem like outdated discussion. A vast increase in the incidence of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) has been recorded in the last two decades despite advantages in modern medicine and new obstetric methods. This increase might be attributed to the new classification of perineal injury but also to the new imaging methods, including endoanal sonography, which earlier identifies injuries that previously were considered to be occult and actually underwent unrecognized, and which should have been recognized immediately postpartum. OASIS are third and fourth degree perineal injuries that occur during delivery. The reported incidence of OASIS varies from 0.1% to 10.9%. It is well known that third and fourth degree perineal injuries occur more often in primiparae, and in cases of macrosomic newborn, dorsoposterior position of fetal head and shoulder dystocia. The protective role of episiotomy is controversial. Birth canal injury during delivery can happen to any parturient woman. It is important for obstetricians to have this in mind at every delivery. Repercussions of OASIS are serious and can persist for life. They include emotional, psychological, social, physical and sexual disturbances. Therefore, it is very important to recognize the risk factors, diagnose the injury on time and treat it properly by a multidisciplinary team. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the increased incidence of OASIS is a result of better recognition of the risk factors, reduced rates of unrecognized sphincter injuries, adoption of the new classification and better postpartum imagining methods for detection of occult injuries.
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Introduction

In the era of new molecular, epigenetic and proteomic discoveries, birth canal injuries seem like outdated discussion. This very topic might seem monotonous to ambitious obstetrician. Hence the fact of significantly differing reports on the incidence of third and fourth degree perineal injuries should actuate incredible curiosity considering that young women in their twenties suffering such injury may have extensive consequences in their future social, psychological and sexual aspects of life, but can also have major impact on planning and accepting next pregnancy. It is the reason why the approach to patients should be individualized and every perineal injury should be carefully diagnosed in order not to miss third and fourth perineal rupture and leave it unrecognized. If the injury is recognized on time, all the previously mentioned negative effects can easily be avoided.
Obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) are third and fourth degree perineal injuries occurring during delivery. Third degree rupture of the perineum is divided in three grades: 3a, up to 50% of external anal sphincter involved; 3b, over 50% of external anal sphincter involved; and 3c, injury of external and internal anal sphincter. Fourth degree represents injury of anal sphincter and rectum1-3.

Rectal injury with intact anal sphincter is a separate entity and does not qualify for fourth degree perineal rupture according to the new Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) guidelines3.

There is a large increase of OASIS incidence recorded in the last two decades despite advantages in modern medicine and new obstetric methods4-6. The number of OASIS cases has tripled in England from 2000 to 20121. Thus, it is a major concern and reason to question the new obstetric standards6-13. This vast increase might be attributed not only to the new classification of perineal injury but also to the new imaging methods including endoanal sonography, which earlier identifies injuries that previously were considered to be occult and actually underwent unrecognized, and which should have been recognized immediately postpartum. The reported incidence of OASIS varies from 0.1% to 10.9%4,9,14-17. There is the need to recognize the risk factors and prevent OASIS whenever possible8,17,18.

Differences in OASIS incidence have been observed between countries that are geographically, culturally and socioeconomically different but also in similar countries (e.g., Nordic countries)4,6. The rates of OASIS range from 0.1% in Romania, 0.6% in Finland to 4.2% in Sweden and 4.9% in Iceland4,6.

Changes in eating habits in the past decades, which have led to maternal obesity, also contribute to increase in newborn weight. It is a relatively new phenomenon, so that birth canal could not follow these changes. Disproportion of the newborn weight and birth canal is the reason for the increased number of delivery-related injuries of birth canal19.

Elective cesarean section for estimated newborn weight over 4500 g in diabetic mothers and 5000 g in non-diabetic mothers decreases newborn injuries, induced and instrumental delivery rates, shoulder dystocia, prolonged second stage of labor, as well as the rate of birth canal injuries2,3,19.

Selection of pregnant women meeting these criteria is crucial in obstetric care in order to avoid unnecessary perineal tears because repercussions of OASIS persist for life and include emotional, psychological, social, physical and sexual disturbances. Therefore, it is very important to recognize the risk factors on time, minimize them as much as possible, diagnose injury on time and treat it properly by a multidisciplinary team1-3,20-22.

Risk Factors

The risk factors for OASIS are birth weight over 4000 g, primiparity, induced labor, prolonged second stage of labor, maternal age, shoulder dystocia, medial episiotomy, vacuum extraction (VE), occipitoposterior position, newborn’s head circumference and early morning delivery1-3,14. All authors agree that primiparity is certainly a risk factor for third and fourth degree perineal injuries1-3,14,17,25. There are no new reports on maternal age as a risk factor. Even the revised RCOG guidelines from 2015 do not take maternal age in consideration1. Older women also have comorbidities. Their pregnancies are more often the result of assisted reproduction methods and their pregnancies more often are terminated by cesarean section26. In our experience, maternal age does not represent a risk factor for OASIS22.

The protective role of episiotomy is controversial. It is well known that medial episiotomy increases the incidence of OASIS14. Reports on mediolateral episiotomy are inconclusive. Some authors report decrease in the incidence of third and fourth degree perineal injuries, whereas others found no connection between mediolateral episiotomy and OASIS2,3,14,17,25,27,29. The rate of episiotomy varies from 3.7% in Denmark to 75.0% in Cyprus6. This might be the reason for inconclusive results concerning connection of episiotomy and third and fourth degree perineal injuries. Nevertheless, episiotomy has its place in instrumental delivery and it should not be avoided if indicated. The most important single risk factor for OASIS is instrumental
delivery with forceps without episiotomy, followed by VE without episiotomy. The risk for OASIS in cases of instrumental delivery decreases with episiotomy. A large retrospective study including over 40,000 women found that episiotomy had no effect on third and fourth degree perineal injuries in primiparous and even increased the risk of injury in multiparous. A recent study found no connection between vacuum extraction and episiotomy; the more so, the authors found no connection between VE and OASIS. However, consensus has been achieved for macrosomic newborns, dorsoposterior position and shoulder dystocia to increase the risk of third and fourth degree perineal injuries.

Most obstetricians find labor induction and use of oxytocin as risk factors for OASIS, but some studies conclude that labor augmentation does not increase the incidence of instrumental delivery.

Prolonged second stage of labor progressively increases the risk of OASIS. Considering the strict recommendations for duration of second stage of labor, it is questionable whether second stage of labor should last longer than two hours in primiparous and one hour in multiparous, except for cases of epidural administration when second stage is prolonged for one more hour. There are even several studies showing epidural analgesia to be associated with a reduced risk of OASIS.

Ethnicity is a very important risk factor for OASIS. Asian women have the highest risk of third and fourth degree perineal injuries. Another interesting study has shown that African women had a nearly fourfold risk of anal sphincter tear during VE compared with Swedish-born women. Meister et al. included non-black race among significant predictors of OASIS.

Discussion

Birth canal injury during delivery can happen to any parturient woman. It is important for obstetricians to bear it in mind at every delivery. Apparently developed world and better standard does not protect women from OASIS. An interesting study from 2008, carried out by Prager et al. found the risk of OASIS to be 23 times higher in women delivering in the Swedish setting. Great differences among countries have already been noted above.

Some authors consider stress delivery in morning hours to be a risk factor. Delivery position is crucial. Good visualization and timely episiotomy decrease the risk of OASIS. In order to reduce the risk of OASIS, manual perineal protection should be performed with the left hand slowing down the delivery of the head while protecting the perineum with the right hand. Communication with the mother is very important. She should not push when the head is in the crowning phase. Correct angle episiotomy is performed in risk groups.

It is very important to recognize the injury of birth canal. There are reports on many unrecognized perineal injuries, especially third degree perineal tear. Long-lasting repercussions can occur even in cases of first and second degree injuries. Therefore, every woman must be thoroughly examined including digitorectal examination in order to exclude rare buttonhole tear injury.

The importance of digitorectal examination after lateral episiotomy repair is great because anorectal mucosa may be injured by inadequately placed suture. If this situation is unrecognized and the suture is not removed, formation of a rectovaginal fistula is probable.

Early complications of OASIS are wound disruption and purulent discharge. Late complications are anal and fecal incontinency and fistulas. Anal incontinence after OASIS was associated significantly only with maternal age and duration of second stage of labor. The degree of sphincter damage at delivery (IIIa, b, c, IV) was not associated with the risk of anal incontinence at 2 months postpartum.

In order to avoid these complications, the physician repairing the injury should be experienced, and if the injury is large then the surgeon should be included for long-lasting results are better if the injury repair is performed by colorectal surgeon.

Problems can arise when colorectal surgeon is not available at the time of injury. Repair technique is also a very important factor that can influence the quality of OASIS care. End-to-end technique with interrupted sutures or figure of eight sutures were used in the past century but showed some disadvantages. Overlap should be used in every case of complete external anal sphincter 3b injury, as this technique gives better endoanal sonography results. Infectious morbidity associated with repair of third and fourth degree perineal injuries can be accomplished by prophylactic antibiotic.
ics; if maternal body mass index is >35, the dose can be doubled.

If there is any doubt about the degree of injury, it is always better to classify it higher. Unrecognized and misclassified OASIS might be the reason for the reported incidence that is lower than in reality.

There are attempts to construct a device that would prevent OASIS but results are not promising. Laves-son’s special perineal device and Epi-No birth trainer failed to reduce the rates of episiotomy and perineal tears. Special perineum designed scissors are being developed. In order to have the best effect in the prevention of perineal injuries, various types of gels are being invented and introduced to medical practice for their suitability and safety in use.

Conclusion

It is a consensual opinion that parity, macrosomic newborns, dorsoposterior position and shoulder dystocia increase the risk of third and fourth degree perineal injury. So, the question is: does the increase in the incidence of OASIS reflect poor obstetric care? For the reasons mentioned above, it need not be so. The increased number of OASIS cases can also imply better obstetric care because detection of the injuries is nowadays better and therefore appropriate treatment is applied, intrapartum or postpartum. New methods of better perineal protection are being developed and introduced in daily practice in order to minimize the rates of OASIS.
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Sažetak

JE LI POVEĆANJE INCIDENCIJE OASIS-a POKAZATELJ LOŠIJE OPSTETRIČKE SKRBI?

V. Košec, I. Djaković, M. Čukelj, E. Ejobović, B. Sumpor i Ž. Djaković

U eri novih molekularnih, epigenetičkih i proteomskih otkrića porodajne ozljede izgledaju kao zastarjela tema za raspravu. U posljednja dva desetljeća zabilježena je porast ozljeda analnog sfinktera tijekom porođaja unatoč napretcima moderne medicine i novim porodajnim metodama. Povećanje moglo bi se pripisati novoj klasifikaciji perinealnih ozljeda, ali i novim slikovnim metodama uključujući endoanalnu sonografiju koja kvalificira ozljede za koje se smatra da su prije bile okultne, zapravo neprepoznate, a trebale su biti prepoznate odmah nakon porođaja. U opstetričke ozljede analnog sfinktera se ubrajaju treći i četvrti stupanj razdora međice tijekom porođaja. Učestalost ovih ozljeda varira od 0,1% do 10,9%. Poznato je da je razdor medice trećeg i četvrtog stupnja češći u prvorotkinja te u slučajevima makrosomnog novorođenčeta, dorsopošternom položaju fetalne glavice i kod distocije ramena. Zaštitna uloga epiziotomije je proturječna. Ozljeda porodajnog kanala se može dogoditi kod bilo koje žene u porođaju, što porodničar uvijek mora imati na umu. Razdori medice trećeg i četvrtog stupnja mogu imati ozbiljne dalekosežne posljedice koje uključuju emocionalne, psihološke, socijalne, fizičke i seksualne poremećaje. Stoga je vrlo važno prepoznati čimbenike rizika, dijagnosticirati ozljedu na vrijeme i zbrinuti ju na odgovarajući način uz multidisciplinarni pristup. Uz sve navedeno može se zaključiti da je povećana učestalost OASIS-a rezultat boljeg prepoznavanja čimbenika rizika, smanjene stope neprepoznate ozljede sfinktera, uvodenja nove klasifikacije i boljih slikovnih metoda koje postpartalno mogu otkriti okultne ozljede.

Ključne riječi: Porodajne ozljede; Perineum – ozljede; Analni kanal – ozljede; Porodaj – štetna djelovanja