

THREE LAYER WAVELET BASED MODELING FOR RIVER FLOW

SIRAJ MUHAMMED PANDHIANI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THREE LAYER WAVELET BASED MODELING FOR RIVER FLOW

SIRAJ MUHAMMED PANDHIANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2016

DEDICATION

*Specially dedicated to my beloved late parents, my family and my friends for their
patience, support, prayers, encouragement, and blessings.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to praise and thank almighty Allah who enabled me to complete my doctorate. I thank to almighty Allah for making my dream come true. The day that I dreamt of has finally come and I am graduating my Phd.

I feel honored of being supervised by Dr. Ani Bin Shabri. This thesis would not have been completed without his help, support and guidance. I would like to offer my sincerest gratitude and thanks to my supervisor who has supported me throughout my PhD studies with his patience and knowledge. One simply could not wish for a better and friendlier supervisor.

I would like to express my thanks to UTM for providing me with the support needed to complete my thesis. A special thanks from me to Dr. Maher Al – Ghanem, Managing Director Jubail University College, for providing me with the opportunity and support to achieve this milestone.

I would like to thank my late parents for all their love and encouragement. They raised me with love and supported me in all my pursuits. They have been a constant source of inspiration throughout my life. I will forever be indebted to my parents for their support and encouragement.

The last but not the least heartfelt acknowledgment must go to my wife and kids. Their encouragement and patience has helped me massively throughout this period.

ABSTRACT

All existing methods regarding time series forecasting have always been challenged by the continuous climatic change taking place in the world. These climatic changes influence many unpredictable indefinite factors. This alarming situation requires a robust forecasting method that could efficiently work with incomplete and multivariate data. Most of the existing methods tend to trap into local minimum or encounter over fitting problems that mostly lead to an inappropriate outcome. The complexity of data regarding time series forecasting does not allow any one single method to yield results suitable in all situations as claimed by most researchers. To deal with the problem, a technique that uses hybrid models has also been devised and tested. The applied hybrid methods did bring some improvement compared to the individual model performance. However, most of these available hybrid models exploit univariate data that requires huge historical data to achieve precise forecasting results. Therefore, this study introduces a new hybrid model based on three layered architecture: Least Square Support Vector Machine (LSSVM), Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), correlation (R) and Kernel Principle Components Analyses (KPCA). The three-staged architecture of the proposed hybrid model includes Wavelet-LSSVM and Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM enabling the model to present itself as a well-established alternative application to predict the future of river flow. The proposed model has been applied to four different data sets of time series, taking into account different time series behavior and data scale. The performance of the proposed model is compared against the existing individual models and then a comparison is also drawn with the existing hybrid models. The results of WKP-LSSVM obtained from Coefficient of Efficiency (CE) performance measuring methods confirmed that proposed model has encouraging data of 0.98%, 0.99%, 0.94% and 0.99% for Jhelum River, Chenab River, Bernam River and Tualang River, respectively. It is more robust for all datasets regardless of the sample sizes and data behavior. These results are further verified using diverse data sets in order to check the stability and adaptability. The results have demonstrated that the proposed hybrid model is a better alternative tool for time series forecasting. The proposed hybrid model proves to be one of the best available solutions considering the time series forecasting issues.

ABSTRAK

Semua kaedah ramalan siri masa yang sedia ada sentiasa dicabar oleh perubahan iklim berterusan yang berlaku di dunia. Perubahan iklim yang berlaku ini dipengaruhi oleh banyak faktor yang tidak menentu. Keadaan yang membimbangkan ini memerlukan satu kaedah ramalan yang teguh yang boleh disesuaikan dengan data tak lengkap dan multivariat. Kebanyakan kaedah-kaedah yang sedia ada cenderung untuk terjebak dalam masalah minimum tempatan atau terlebih suaian yang membawa kepada hasil yang tidak sesuai. Kerumitan data siri masa ramalan tidak membolehkan satu kaedah tunggal untuk menghasilkan keputusan yang sesuai dalam semua keadaan seperti yang didakwa oleh kebanyakan penyelidik. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini, satu teknik berdasarkan model hibrid telah dicipta dan diuji. Kaedah hibrid yang digunakan telah membawa beberapa penambahbaikan berbanding dengan prestasi model tunggal. Walau bagaimanapun, kebanyakan model hibrid didapati mengeksploitasi data univariat yang memerlukan data sejarah yang besar untuk mencapai keputusan ramalan yang tepat. Oleh itu, kajian ini memperkenalkan model hibrid baharu yang berdasarkan seni bina tiga lapis: kuasa dua terkecil mesin vektor sokongan (LSSVM), transformasi Wavelet diskrit (DWT), korelasi (R) dan analisis komponen inti prinsipal (KPCA). Seni bina tiga lapisan model hibrid yang dicadangkan termasuk model Wavelet-LSSVM dan Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM sebagai model alternatif yang mantap untuk meramalkan masa depan aliran sungai. Model yang dicadangkan ini telah digunakan ke atas empat set data siri masa yang berbeza, dengan mengambil kira ciri-ciri siri masa dan skala data yang berbeza. Prestasi model yang dicadangkan dibandingkan dengan model tunggal yang sedia ada dan kemudian perbandingan juga dilakukan dengan model hibrid yang sedia ada. Keputusan WKP-LSSVM yang diperoleh daripada kaedah pengukuran prestasi kecekapan pekali (CE) mengesahkan bahawa model yang dicadangkan mempunyai data yang menggalakkan iaitu masing-masing 0.98%, 0.99%, 0.94% dan 0.99% bagi Sungai Jhelum, Sungai Chenab, Sungai Bernam dan Sungai Tualang. Ia adalah lebih kukuh untuk semua set data tanpa mengira saiz sampel dan tingkah laku data. Keputusan ini selanjutnya disahkan menggunakan pelbagai set data untuk memeriksa kestabilan dan penyesuaian. Keputusan telah menunjukkan bahawa model hibrid yang dicadangkan adalah satu model alternatif yang lebih baik untuk ramalan siri masa. Model hibrid yang dicadangkan membuktikannya sebagai salah satu penyelesaian terbaik yang ada dalam mempertimbangkan isu ramalan siri masa.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	5
	1.3 Objectives	8
	1.4 Scope of Study	8
	1.5 Significances of the Study	9
	1.6 Thesis Contribution	10
	1.7 Thesis Structure and Organization	10
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Existing Methods	14
	2.2.1 Artificial Neural Network Model (ANN)	15
	2.2.1.1 Advantages and disadvantages	17

2.2.2	Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)	17
2.2.2.1	Advantages and disadvantages	18
2.2.3	Autoregressive Moving Averages Models (ARMA)	20
2.2.3.1	Advantages and disadvantages	21
2.2.4	The Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Models	22
2.2.4.1	Advantages and disadvantages	23
2.2.5	Support Vector Machines (SVMs)	23
2.2.5.1	Advantages and disadvantages	24
2.2.6	The Hybrid Models	25
2.2.7	The Disadvantages Found with A.I Based Techniques	30
2.3	Hybrid Methodology Models	31
2.4	Measuring Performance of Forecasting Model	51
2.5	Summary	52
3	METHODOLOGY	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Data Description	54
3.3	Description of Schematics Diagram	54
3.3.1	Least Square Vector Machine (LSSVM)	55
3.3.1.1	Construction of LSSVM Model	58
3.3.2	Decomposing the Input Data through DWT	62
3.3.2.1	Advantages of Wavelet Analysis	66
3.3.3	Kernel Principal Component Analysis	66
3.3.4	Fitting Hybrid Model	71
3.4	The Framework of Study	72
3.5	Accuracy	77
3.6	Summary	79
4	SINGLE LSSVM AND PROPOSED HYBRID WAVELET_LSSVM MODELS	80
4.1	Introduction	80

4.2	Study Area and Data	83
4.2.1	Non-stationary and non-linearity	89
4.3	Appropriateness and Meaningfulness of the Data	93
4.3.1	Relevance and Meaningfulness of LSSVM to the Data	94
4.3.2	Appropriateness of DWT and LSSVM to the Data	97
4.4	Comparison of Results and Discussion	111
4.5	Summary	113
5	PROPOSED HYBRID MODELS WAVELET- KPCA-LSSVM	115
5.1	Introduction	115
5.2	Relevance and Meaningfulness of Wavelet, KPCA and LSSVM to the Data (WKPLSSVM)	117
5.3	Summary	127
6	COMPARSION AND DISCUSSION	128
6.1	Introduction	128
6.2	Comparison	128
6.3	Discussions	138
6.3.1	Discussion on Single Model (LSSVM)	138
6.3.2	Discussion on Wavelet-LSSVM (WLSSVM)	139
6.3.3	Discussion on Wavlet-Bassed Model Combined with Feature Extraction Technique KPCA-LSSVM WKPLSSVM)	141
6.4	Comparison of Models and Measures of Evaluation for Streamflow River Data	143
6.5	Conclusions	146
6.6	Contributions	146
6.7	Recommendations for Future Study	149
	REFERENCES	150
	Appendices A1-C	174 - 204

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	AI models and wavelet transform review papers used in hydrology	33
2.2	Hybrid model surveyed papers for Continuous and Discrete wavelet-AI methods were used to predict hydrological variable	34
2.3	Details of the surveyed papers, including year of publication, authors, where hybrid wavelet–AI methods were used to predict hydrological variables	50
4.1	Pertinent information for four watersheds data	88
4.2	ADF test for four watersheds data	92
4.3	BDS test for four watersheds data	92
4.4	The Input structure of the Models for Forecasting	93
4.5	Forecasting Performance indicators of LSSVM for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	96
4.6	The correlation coefficients between each of sub-time series and original monthly streamflow data	103
4.7	Forecasting Performance Indicators for Wavelet (db1) decomposition 3 + LSSVM (WLSSVM) for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	104
4.8	Forecasting Performance Indicators for Wavelet (db2) decomposition 3 + LSSVM (WLSSVM) for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	105
4.9	Forecasting Performance Indicators for Wavelet (db3) decomposition 3 + LSSVM (WLSSVM) for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	107

4.10	Forecasting Performance Indicators for Wavelet (db4) decomposition 3 + LSSVM (WLSSVM) for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	108
4.11	Forecasting Performance Indicates for Wavelet (db5) decomposition 3 + LSSVM (WLSSVM) for Pakistan and Malaysia Rivers	110
4.12	The performance results LSSVM and WLSSVM approach during training period	112
5.1	Forecasting Performance indicators of WKPLSSVM for Jehlum River of Pakistan ($\sigma = 1000$)	119
5.2	Forecasting Performance indicators of WKPLSSVM for Chanab River of Pakistan ($\sigma = 1000$)	121
5.3	Forecasting Performance indicators of WKPLSSVM for Bernam River of Malaysia ($\sigma = 1000$)	123
5.4	Forecasting Performance indicators of WKPLSSVM for Tualang River of Malaysia ($\sigma = 1000$)	125
6.1	Comparative Performances of All Models for Jehlum Rivers	130
6.2	Comparative Performances of All Models for Chanab River	132
6.3	Comparative Performances of All Models for Bernam River	134
6.4	Comparative Performances of All Models for Tualang River	136

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	General Structure of the ANN Model	16
2.2	General Structure of GRNN Model	16
2.3	The General Structure of the Fuzzy Inference System	18
2.4	General Structure of ANFIS	20
3.1	Schematic Diagrams for Goodness of Fit for Each Model	53
3.2	Flow Chart of Predict Method with LSSVM	58
3.3	Schematic Diagram for Analysis	65
3.4	Schematic Diagram for Synthesis	65
3.5	Structure KPCA from PCA	67
3.6	The structure of the WKPLSSVM	72
3.7	The Framwork Structure for WAVELET-LSSVM	73
3.8	The Framwork Structure for WAVELET-KPCA-LSSVM	74
3.9	The Framework of Study	76
4.1	Location map of the study area Pakistan Rivers (Jhelam and Chanab Rivers)	84
4.2	Location map of the study area Malaysia River (Bernam River)	85
4.3	Location map of the study area Malaysia River (Tualang River)	85
4.4	Monthly River Flow for Jehlum River	86
4.5	Monthly River Flow for Chanab River	86
4.6	Monthly River Flow for Bernam River	87
4.7	Monthly River Flow for Tualang River	87
4.8	Decomposed wavelet sub-series components (Ds) of streamflow data of Jhelum River	99
4.9	Decomposed wavelet sub-series components (Ds) of streamflow data of Chenab River	100

4.10	Decomposed wavelet sub-series components (Ds) of streamflow data of Bernam River	101
4.11	Decomposed wavelet sub-series components (Ds) of streamflow data of Tualang River	102
6.1	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM for Jehlum River	130
6.2	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Jehlum River	131
6.3	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Jehlum River	131
6.4	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM for Chanab River	132
6.5	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Chanab River	133
6.6	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Chanab River	133
6.7	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM for Bernam River	134
6.8	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Bernam River	135
6.9	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Bernam Riv	135
6.10	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM for Tualang River	136
6.11	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Tualang River	137
6.12	Compariosn Hydrograph for LSSVM-WLSSVM-WKPLSSVM for Tualang River	137
6.13	Accuracy data of Jhelum River, Chanab River, Bernam River and Tualang River using CE	144

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACF	--	Autocorrelation Function
AIC	--	Akaike Information Criterion
ANN	--	Artificial Neural Networks
ARMA	--	Autoregressive Moving Average
ARIMA	--	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
DWT	--	Discrete Wavelet Transform
FT	--	Fourier Transform
FFT	--	Fast Fourier Transform
LSSVM	--	Least Square Support Vector Machine
WLSSVM	--	Wavelet-Least Square Support Vector Machine
WPLSSVM	--	Wavelet-Principle Component Analysis - Least Square Support Vector Machine
WKPLSSVM	--	Wavelet-Kernel Principle Component Analysis - Least Square Support Vector Machine
MAE	--	Mean Absolute Error
MSE	--	Mean Squared Errors
RMSE	--	Root Mean Square Error
PACF	--	Partial Autocorrelation Function
PCA	--	Principal Components Analysis
CE	--	Coefficient of Efficiency
RMSE	--	Root Mean Squared Error
SOM	--	Self Organizing Map
SSE	--	Sum of Squared Error
SVM	--	Support Vector Machine
WA	--	Wavelets Analysis
WT	--	Wavelet Transform

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A1	WKPLSSVM for Jehlum River ($\sigma = 2000$)	174
A2	WKPLSSVM for Jehlum River ($\sigma = 3000$)	175
A3	WKPLSSVM for Chanab River ($\sigma = 2000$)	176
A4	WKPLSSVM for Chanab River ($\sigma = 3000$)	177
A5	WKPLSSVM for Bernam River ($\sigma = 2000$)	178
A6	WKPLSSVM for Bernam River ($\sigma = 3000$)	179
A7	WKPLSSVM for Tualang River ($\sigma = 2000$)	180
A8	WKPLSSVM for Tualang River ($\sigma = 3000$)	181
B1	Graphs for WLSSVM with db1, db2, db3, db4 and db5	182
B2	Graphs for WKPLSSVM with db1, db2, db3, db4 and db5	193
C	Research Articles	204

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

River flow forecasting is unarguably considered crucial in providing the information required for the design and operation of river systems. While rivers are essentially related with the streams, there is a dire demand to have reliable mechanisms in place that can deliver a unswerving estimate of the water coming into a stream. This estimation is likely to contribute significantly in resolving a number of hydraulic issues such as the depth of flow, flow velocity, and forces from flowing water on a surface or at hydraulic structures. This could also help in planning for dams in order to balance natural flow of water or for streamlining many other hydraulic structures. Thereby, the availability of comprehensive records of rainfall and other climatic data, which could be used to obtain stream flow data, initiated the practice of rainfall-runoff modeling. Understandably, reliable information on current and future water availability is essential to properly manage the limited water resources and flood moderation. Authorities in water sector cannot allocate water resources optimally for water demands like agricultural, industrial, domestic, hydropower generation and environmental maintenance, unless they are equipped with a reliable forecasting of river flow.

Researchers are keen to develop and investigate various types of hydrological models to attain better management of water scarcity and also to minimize the risk of any potential flooding. Water resources planning and management requires output from these hydrological studies. This output is mainly available in the form of

estimation or forecasting of the magnitude of hydrological variables like precipitation, stream flow and groundwater levels using historical data. This data then is used by water management authorities in many of their activities such as designing flood protection works for urban areas and agricultural land and assessing how much water may be extracted from a river for water supply or irrigation. Referring back to these hydrological models, it has been observed that they can be classified as follows: Knowledge-Driven Modeling and Data-Driven Modeling. The knowledge-driven modeling (also known as physically based model) draws heavily on mathematics (differential equations and finite- difference approximations) in order to calculate the catchment characteristics variables such as severity & period of rainfall events, size, shape, slope and storage of the catchment, etc. The proponents of this method generally hypothesize that more accurate forecasts could be achieved if catchment characteristics variables are also included and combined with water flow data in order to reach a more precise and accurate water estimation. While it may be likely that different combinations of flow and catchment characteristics variables would better the forecast results, in practice especially in developing countries like Malaysia and Pakistan, such information is often either unavailable or difficult to acquire. Besides, it could be an extremely complicated physical process mainly due to ‘... the data collection of multiple inputs and parameters, which vary in space and time ...’ (Akhtar et al., 2009). The mathematical models used under this approach include rainfall-runoff models and stream flow models. The former uses both climatic and hydrological data and the latter relies only on hydrological data.

The second approach is the data-driven modeling which is largely based on pulling out and re-using information that is subliminally present in the hydrological data without having to consider the physical laws that lie beneath the rainfall - runoff processes. In river flow forecasting applications, data driven modeling uses historical river flow time series data and this method has increasingly become more popular due to its ‘... rapid development times and minimum information requirements...’ (Kisi, 2009). Although it may not have the ability to provide physical analysis and discernment of the catchment processes but it is able to provide relatively accurate flow forecasts. Computer science and statistics have improved the data driven modeling approaches for discovering patterns found in water resources time series

data. Much effort has been devoted over the past several decades to the development and improvement of time series prediction models. In general, the stochastic models such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) have been widely used for hydrologic time series forecasting. The popularity of ARIMA mainly relies on Jenkins methodology, forecasting capabilities and richness of information on time-related changes.

Another example data driven model are Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS), Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Fuzzy Logic (FL) have been known as potentially useful methods in modeling time-series hydrologic problems. What distinguishes these models from any of the ones based on knowledge – driven approach is their ability to address flood forecasting problems more precisely and accurately where usually the main concern is to minimize the flood damage (Lim and Young, 2008).

However models like ARIMA are essentially linear models that use stationary data with little or no capacity to capture non-stationary and non-linear data (Otache et al., 2011).

Then there are some models like Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) that has the capacity to capture non-linear data. Both methods are machine-learning methods that find a wide range of applications both in the field of engineering and social sciences. For the SVM a large amount of computation time will be involved when SVM is applied for solving large-size problem (Cao & Tay, 2003).

The network structure of ANN is hard to determine and usually done by using a trial and error approach. Though ANN and SVM methods were good and produced encouraging results, but this combination is computationally expensive and depends on complex quadric programming (QP) (Mellit et al., 2013; Ismail al et., 2010).

Also for both methods, large amount of computational time will be involved when these methods are applied for large-size problem. These deficiencies have been overcome by the Least Square Support Vector Machine (LSSVM), which solves linear equation instead of a quadratic programming problem. Therefore, the LSSVM has this computational advantage over the other AI methods (Wang and Hu, 2005).

Then there is this method called Discrete Wavelet Analysis (DWT), best known tool for data analysis. The contribution of modeling hydrological resources can be seen in the last few years (Kisi, 2010). These include meteorological pollution simulation, open channel wake flows analysis and ground water level time series modeling (Sowski et al., 2007). Recently, wavelet theory have been introduced in the field of hydrology, wavelet models, mainly due to their natural ability to analyze a signal in time and frequency domains, are becoming a general choice for researchers addressing issues related to hydrological models.

Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA) is another important component was found, which uses a kernel function to map the data in the input space and compute the principle components in a feature space. Due to the wide range of research in kernel methods, KPCA is gradually assuming an important position for modeling non-linear data. KPCA is a non-linear version of PCA, which uses a kernel function to map the data in the input space and compute the principal components in a feature space. KPCA is acknowledged for its ability to produce nonlinear PCs but at the same time, the method is unable to directly reconstruct the data in feature space. Now it is being frequently relied upon for integrating nonlinear transformations.

Therefore, in order to account for the deficiencies found in various models especially in the above mentioned three methods, a hybrid approach, which is hereby referred to as WKPLSSVM, has been adopted in this study for the monthly river flow data in Pakistan and Malaysia. In this proposed three – staged structure, it has also been shown that Wavelet, Kernel Principle Components Analysis (KPCA) and Least Square Support Vector Machine (LSSVM) are highly compatible with each.

For the purpose, the above methods have been used in combination with each other at different stages of the research to obtain more accurate and reliable results.

1.2 Problem Statement

The forecasting arguable remains somewhat a troublesome task for hydrologists who distinguish its crucial part in environmental, water assets management and in water-related disaster control. This process remains highly complex for non-stationary, hydrological and hydro-climatologic features. In the recent times the huge rise in the amount of scientific approaches have been observed. They have applied 'data-based' or 'data-driven' approaches to hydrologic modelling and forecasting. These modelling methods include the mathematical equation, which were taken from analysis of parallel inputs and output time series (Solomatine and Ostfeld, 2008). The connection between the system states could be defined by such models with variables (i.e. input, internal and output variables), where only a few number of assumptions are considered with respect to the physical performance of the system. The more suited examples of data-driven models are the rating curves, the unit hydrograph, the various statistical (i.e. Linear Regression; LR, multi-linear regression models, Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average; ARIMA) and the machine learning models (Solomatine and Ostfeld, 2008).

The orthodox black box time series models such as ARIMA, ARIMA with exogenous input (ARIMAX) and Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) are linear in nature and assume stationary dataset. These models remain incapable to handle the non-stationarity and non-linearity for hydrological processes. Which forced the researchers to use the soft-computing models (AI). The AI based models possess a slight edge over statistical-based models such as ANN.

The broader applications of AI methods include Fuzzy, NNs, and SVM models, which are mostly used in different areas of hydrology. Since the appearance of AI based models remain very active in hydrology, the efficient performance of these techniques such as data-driven models has been observed and respectively

published over a wide range of hydrological processes (e.g., precipitation, stream-flow, rainfall–runoff, sediment load, groundwater, drought, snowmelt, evapotranspiration, water quality, etc.). The prominent researchers involved in this research area for the last decade and so with the number of publications significantly. The success of these application can be observed by these successful applications for hydrological process modeling (e.g., stream-flow, rainfall–runoff, sediment, groundwater, water quality). All these applications use ANN, Fuzzy, and SVM. Notwithstanding the flexibility and usefulness of AI-based methods in modeling hydrological processes. These AI-based models do possess some drawbacks with highly non-stationary responses, which may differ at wide scale of frequencies. In such cases the ‘seasonality’, a lack of input/output data preprocessing, may not count the AI models to handle non-stationary data with suitability.

Hence, these AI models in all their different application forms also have their own shortcomings and disadvantages. For example, ANN often suffers from local minima and over-fitting, while other soft-computing models, such as SVM, including ANN, are sensitive to parameter selection (Wang *al et.*, 2008). As a result, researchers made an attempt to move away from the application of one stage mathematical or computational models and turned to various hybrid approaches (two stage or three stage structures). It was believed and assumed that hybrid models which combine data preprocessing schemes with AI techniques can play an important role. For example, (Kisi, 2009) and (Sang, 2013) combined wavelets with ANNs to predict the stream flow time series. Their underlying assumption was to use wavelets as a preprocessing technique in order to decompose data so that the issue of non-linearity can be addressed. Wavelet change joined with ANN as information preprocessing strategy can be seen to accomplish higher demonstrating exactness and consistency in various lead time ahead. The wavelet changed information help in enhancing the model execution by catching supportive data on different determination levels. Due to the aforementioned favorable circumstances of wavelet change, it has been found that the hybridization of wavelet change with other AI models like SVM, ANN, ANFIS, straight models, and so forth., enhanced the outcomes altogether than the single consistent model (Prahlada and Deka, 2011). To a large extent, the technique was successful, but unfortunately the effectiveness of wavelets was affected because hydrological time series has noises and show complex

characteristics due to uncertainty of the environment (Sang et al. 2009). Similarly, the wavelet MLR model is not facilitated with automatic updating and hence is not able to adapt to the changing river discharge patterns effectively (Kisi, 2008). In addition, a major drawback of wavelet transform for direction prediction is that the input variables lie in a high-dimensional feature space depending on the number of sub-time series components.

To account for the deficiencies in the all the above mentioned one stage or multi stage hybrid models, this research proposes wavelets based three stage (Wavelets+KPCA+LSSVM) forecasting structure for modeling river flow in Pakistan and Malaysia. First, it is proposed to decompose data using wavelets and then use KPCA for reduced dimensionality, and de-noising of data. (Lee *et al.*, 2004). Once the data is ready to be trained after the sequential application of these two methods, it is preferred to use LSSVM instead of ANN and LR in the present study. ANN and LR has shown some modeling errors like over fitting. On the other hand, LSSVM is considered to be a better data trainer for non- linear data (Ismail *al et.*, 2010). Therefore, the proposed 3-stage model is expected to show more accurate and precise modeling.

Given the afore mentioned limitations of one stage and two stage models, the present study aims to address the following issues related with hydrological time series.

- i. How to design a three-layer architecture model based on wavelet as the decomposition method with KPCA technique for dimensional reduction or feature extraction and combined with LSSVM?
- ii. Will the proposed Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM improve the modeling accuracy and at the same time outperform other models?
- iii. As the Benchmark LSSVM and Wavelet-LSSVM are employed in other modeling area, can Benchmark LSSVM and Wavelet-LSSVM be employed in the river flow modeling?

1.3 Objectives

In view of the above-mentioned problems, this study intended to propose the three-stage-architecture model based on Wavelet, KPCA and LSSVM to predict monthly stream flow data in Pakistan and Malaysia.

The objectives of the proposed hybrid model are:

- i. To develop a hybrid model this is the combination of two independent techniques, i.e. Discrete Wavelets Transform (DWT) with Least Squared Support Vector Machine (LSSVM) for river flow.
- ii. To design and develop a model based on Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM, which combines decomposition, data pre-processing and forecasting techniques for river flow forecasting.
- iii. To compare the performance of the hybrid models LSSVM with WLSSVM and WKPLSSVM and the benchmarked individual model LSSVM.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of this study covers the procedure of data-driven modelling, which involves analysis of problem, data collection, data pre-processing, model selection, model identification, and evaluation. Which includes:

- i. The research focused on proposing a new method for time series forecasting of WAVELET-KPCA-LSSVM, which combines the decomposition technique with KPCA as the data pre-processing technique and LSSVM as a forecasting tool.
- ii. Real time series data of monthly river flows are taken from Pakistan and Malaysia from four different rivers that are selected as the case studies.
- iii. Radial basis function is selected as the kernel function for LSSVM models.

- iv. The newly obtained data set from KPCA are set within two-cut-off values, which are from 70% to 95%.
- v. The performance measurement for accuracy prediction is based on the standard statistical performance evaluation such as mean percentage error (MAE), root mean squared error (RMSE) and Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient efficiency (CE). RMSE and MAE are the most widely used performance evaluation criteria and the same will be used in this research.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Model building for the river flow is very significant because the heavy river flow can become the reason to problems (i.e. flooding and erosion). On other end the low river flow restricts the supply of water even for domestic use. In the regard the industrial and hydroelectric power are required to generate more. This study reviews the effectiveness of the proposed model as an alternative tool for model building. The designed research method attempts the decomposition technique with Wavelet and the data pre-processing technique with the help of KPCA model. As the original data are decomposed into numerous signals. In the regards the KPCA is apply to dimensional reduction, and the newly obtained data are then used to know the river flow.

As this study is to provide the accuracy with precision of time with respect to stream flow value based on past time series data. The proposed model requires the Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM to understand the monthly river flow in Pakistan and Malaysia in order to produce a better result. This helps to provide a better understanding of the trend of the river flow in Pakistan and Malaysia.

1.6 Thesis Contribution

The present research proposed a model that can use non-linear set of data and produce an estimated value. In real life situation the collected data is the result of some non-linear process. The conventional models for addressing hydrological modelling problem were found to be good in estimating values when the input data is linear. Whereas, there performance and credibility comes under question when the input data is non-linear.

In this regard a hybrid model will be proposed for meeting this objective. This hybrid model is prepared by combining three independent techniques, i.e. Discrete Wavelets Transform (DWT), Kernel Principal Component analysis (KPCA) and Least Squared Support Vector Machine (LSSVM). The role of DWT is to decompose the original data at three levels, given as input of KPCA. Then KPCA is used to minimize the dimensionality of high dimensional input vectors and finally the predicted values are obtained by LSSVM. The significance of the hybrid model is that it is time efficient and readily suits for the environments which are time critical. The proposed model is regarded as a prototype for an early warning model. The prime purpose of proposed work is to generate warnings well before time in order to help and create opportunities to save valuable human lives and assets as well.

1.7 Thesis Structure and Organization

This section gives a brief outline of this thesis. Its contexts principally comprise six chapters, each of which is summarized as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter present the research background, identifies research problems, defines the aim and scope of study, describe research methodology, and outlines chapters in the thesis.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter presents past and current studies in the research area pertaining time series and forecasting models including individual and hybrid models, and evaluating the advantages and disadvantages of the existing solutions. The selected techniques used in the proposed hybrid nonlinear-linear models are discussed.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

This chapter describes the operational framework of the study detailing of each step in this research i.e, design and development, and also testing and validation of the models.

Chapter 4: Single LSSVM and Proposed Hybrid Wavelet_LSSVM Models

The chapter begins with an overview of hydrological modelling more generally and then focuses on univariate forecasting for monthly streamflow series using data-driven models coupled with data pre-processing techniques. The main focus of this chapter to investigate model performance of single model LSSVM and hybrid model WLSSVM. In the end of the chapter to compare LSSVM and WLSSVM models with each other. Their comparative performance are evaluated using three statistical test; MAE, RMSE, and CE.

Chapter 5: Proposed Hybrid Models Wavelet-KPCA-LSSVM

Chapter 5 details out the explanation on development and integration of the hybrid models Wavelet-LSSVM using KPCA. In the first part of chapter is proposed three-stage-architecture of WKPLSSVM model for river flow forecasting. The statistical performances used in the study are also described in details.

REFERENCES

- Abghari, H., Ahmadi, H., Besharat, S., Rezaverdinejad, V., (2012). Prediction of daily pan evaporation using wavelet neural networks. *Water Resour. Manag.* 26, 3639–3652.
- Adamowski, J., (2008a). River flow forecasting using wavelet and cross-wavelet transform models. *Hydrol. Process.* 22, 4877–4891.
- Adamowski, J., (2008b). Development of a short-term river flood forecasting method for snowmelt driven floods based on wavelet and cross-wavelet analysis. *J. Hydrol.* 353 (3–4), 247–266.
- Adamowski, J., Chan, E., Prasher, S., Ozga-Zielinski, B., Sliusareva, A., (2012). Comparison of multiple linear and non-linear regression, autoregressive integrated moving average, artificial neural network, and wavelet artificial neural network methods for urban water demand forecasting in Montreal, Canada. *Water Resour. Res.* 48 (1).
- Adamowski, J., Chan, H., Prasher, S., Sharda, V.N., (2011). Comparison of multivariate adaptive regression splines with coupled wavelet transform artificial neural networks for runoff forecasting in Himalayan micro-watersheds with limited data. *J. Hydroinform.* 14 (3), 731–74
- Adamowski, J., Chan, H.F., (2011). A wavelet neural network conjunction model for groundwater level forecasting. *J. Hydrol.* 407 (1–4), 28–40.
- Adamowski, J., Prasher, S., (2012). Comparison of machine learning methods for runoff forecasting in mountainous watersheds with limited data. *J. Water Land Dev.* 17, 89–97.
- Adamowski, J., Prokoph, A., (2013). Determining the amplitude and timing of stream flow discontinuities: a cross wavelet analysis approach. *Hydrol. Process.*
- Adamowski, J., Sun, K., (2010). Development of a coupled wavelet transform and neural network method for flow forecasting of non-perennial rivers in semi-arid watersheds. *J. Hydrol.* 390 (1–2), 85–91.

- Addison, P. S.; Murraray, K. B.; Watson, J. N., (2001). Wavelet transform analysis of open channel wake flows. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 127 (1), 58-70.
- Adenan N. H., Noorani M. S. M., (2013). River Flow Prediction Using Nonlinear Prediction Method. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Mathematical Science and Engineering*, Vol.7, No: 11.
- Ajay Kalra, Sajjad Ahmad, (2009). Using oceanic-atmospheric oscillations for long lead time streamflow forecasting”, *Journal: Water Resources Research*, vol. 45, no. 3.
- Anctil, F., Tape, D.G., (2004). An exploration of artificial neural network rainfall–runoff forecasting combined with wavelet decomposition. *J. Environ. Eng. Sci.* 3, 121–128.
- Ani Shabri, Suhartono, (2012). Streamflow forecasting using least-squares support vector machines. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*. Volume 57, Issue 7, page no. 1275-1295.
- Armano G., Marchesi M., Murru A., (2005). A hybrid genetic-neural architecture for stock indexes forecasting. *Information Sciences* 170 (2005) 3–33.
- ASCE task committee on application of artificial neural networks in hydrology, (2000). Artificial neural networks in hydrology II: Hydrologic applications. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 5, 124–137.
- Asaad Y. Shamseldin, (2010). “Artificial neural network model for river flow forecasting in a developing country” *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 12,1.
- Asefa, T., Kemblowski, M., McKee, M., and Khalil, A. (2006). Multi-time scale stream flow predictions: The support vector machines approach. *J. Hydrol.*, 318 (1–4), 7–16.
- Badrzadeh, H., Sarukkalige, R., Jayawardena, A.W., (2013). Impact of multi-resolution analysis of artificial intelligence models inputs on multi-step ahead river flow forecasting. *J. Hydrol.* 507, 75–85.
- Belayneh, A., Adamowski, J., (2013). Drought forecasting using new machine learning methods. *J. Water Land Dev.* 18, 3–12.
- Belayneh, A., Adamowski, J., Khalil, B., Ozga-Zielinski, B., (2014). Long-term SPI drought forecasting in the Awash River Basin in Ethiopia using wavelet neural network and wavelet support vector regression models. *J. Hydrol.* 508, 418–429.

- Benítez, J. M., Castro, J. L., and Requena, I. (1997). Are Artificial Neural Networks Black Boxes? *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 8(5), 1156-1164.
- Bhagwat, P. P. and Maity, R. (2013). Hydroclimatic streamflow prediction using Least Square-Support Vector Regression. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 19(3): 320-328.
- Birinci V. and Akay O., (2010). A study on modeling daily mean flow with MLR, ARIMA and RBFNN. *BALWOIS 2010 Conference on Water Observation and Information System for Decision Support*, No. 613.
- Box, G. E. P., and Jenkins, G. M. (1976). *Time series analysis forecasting and control*. Holden Day, San Francisco.
- Cadenasa Erasmo, Rivera Wilfrido, 2012. Wind speed forecasting in three different regions of Mexico, using a hybrid ARIMA-ANN model. *Renewable Energy*, Volume 35, Issue 12, Pages 2732-2738.
- Camdevyren H., Demyr N., Kanik A., Keskin S. (2005). Use of principle component scores in multiple linear regression models for prediction of Chlorophyll-a in reservoirs. *Ecol Model*, 181: 581-89.
- Campisi, S., Adamowski, J., Oron, G., (2012). Forecasting urban water demand via wavelet- denoising and neural network models. Case study: City of Syracuse, Italy. *Water Resour. Manage.* 26, 3539-3558.
- Cannas, B., Fanni, A., Pintus, M. & Sechi, G. M., 2002. Neural network models to forecast hydrological risk. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, Volume 1, pp. 423-426.
- Cannas, B., Fanni, A., See, L., Sias, G., (2006). Data preprocessing for river flow forecasting using neural networks: wavelet transforms and data partitioning. *Phys. Chem. Earth* 31, 1164-1171.
- Cao, L. J., Chua, K. S., Chong, W. K., Lee, H. P., Gu, Q. M. (2003). A comparison of PCA, KPCA and ICA for dimensionality reduction in support vector machine. *Neurocomputing*, 55(1-2): 321-336
- Cao, L. J. and Tay Francis E. H., (2003), Support Vector Machine With Adaptive Parameters in Financial Time Series Forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, VOL. 14, NO. 6.
- Chau K. and Wu C. L., (2010). A hybrid model coupled with singular spectrum analysis for daily rainfall prediction. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 12-4.

- Chen K.Y., Wang C.H., (2007). A hybrid SARIMA and support vector machines in forecasting the production values of the machinery industry in Taiwan. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 32 (2007) 254–264.
- Chen, S.H., Lin, Y.H., Chang, L.C., & Chang, F.J. (2006). The strategy of building a flood forecast model by neuro-fuzzy network. *Hydrological Processes*, 20, 1525-1540. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5942>.
- Cherkassky, V., Krasnopolsky, V., Solomatine, D.P., Valdes, J., (2006). Computational intelligence in earth sciences and environmental applications. *Neural Networks* 19, 113–121.
- Choy, K. Y. and Chan, C. W., (2003). Modelling of river discharges and rainfall using radial basis function networks based on support vector regression. *International Journal of Systems Science*. 34(14-15): 763-773.
- Christianini, N., and Shawe-Taylor, J. (2000). *An Introduction to Support Vector Machines*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Chung, K. Y. C. (2010). *Facial Expression Recognition by Using Class Mean Gabor Responses with Kernel Principal Component Analysis*. **Master Thesis**. Russ Collage of Engineering and Technology, Ohio University.
- Cigizoğlu, H. (2003). Incorporation of ARMA Models into Flow Forecasting by Artificial Neural Networks. *Environmetrics*, 14(4): 417-427.
- Clarke, R. T., (1973). “Mathematical models in Hydrology”, Irrigation and Drainage Paper 19, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Danandeh Mehr, A., Kahya, E., Bagheri, F., Deliktas, E., (2013b). Successive-station monthly streamflow prediction using neuro-wavelet technique. *Earth Sci. Inform..* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12145-013-0141-3>.
- Danandeh Mehr, A., Kahya, E., Olyaie, E., (2013a). Streamflow prediction using linear genetic programming in comparison with a neuro-wavelet technique. *J. Hydrol.* 505, 240–249.
- Dawson, C., Abrahart, R., See, L., 2007. Hydrotest: A web-based toolbox of evaluation metrics for the standardised assessment of hydrological forecasts. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 22 (7), 1034–1052.
- Dawson, C.W., Wilby, R.L., (2001). Hydrological modelling using artificial neural networks. *Prog. Phys. Geog.* 25 (1), 80–108.

- Deka, P.C., Prahlada, R., (2012). Discrete wavelet neural network approach in significant wave height forecasting for multi step lead time. *Ocean Eng.* 43, 32–42.
- Delleur, J. W., Tao, P. C., and Kavvas, M. L. (1976). An evaluation of the practicality and complexity of some rainfall and runoff time series model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 12(5), 953–970.
- de Vos, N.J. and Rientjes, T.H.M. (2005). Constraints of artificial neural networks for rainfall -runoff modeling: trade-offs in hydrological state representation and model evaluation. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 9, 111-126.
- Deng, J., Chen, X., Du, Z., Zhang, Y., (2011). Soil water simulation and predication using stochastic models based on LS–SVM for red soil region of China. *Water Resour. Manage* 25, 2823–2836.
- Dibike, Y. B., Velickov, S., Solomatine, D. P., and Abbott, M. B. (2001). Model induction with support vector machines: introduction and applications. *ASCE J. Comput. Civil Eng.*, 15(3), 208–216.
- Dong-xiao, N., Zhi-hong, G., Mian, M. (2006). Study on Forecasting approach to Short-term Load of SVM Based on Data Mining. *In: Proceeding pf the CSEE, Beijing*, vol. 26, pp. 6-12.
- Egawa, T., Suzuki, K., Ichikawa, Y., Iizaka, T., Matsui, T., & Shikagawa, Y., (2011). A water flow forecasting for dam using neural networks and regression models. *In Power and Energy Society General Meeting, IEEE*, pp. 1-6.
- Elshorbagy, A., Corzo, G., Srinivasulu, S., and Solomatine, D. P., (2009a). Experimental investigation of the predictive capabilities of data driven modeling techniques in hydrology, Part1: Concepts and methodology. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 6, 7055–7093.
- Elshorbagy, A., Corzo, G., Srinivasulu, S., and Solomatine, D. P., (2009b). Experimental investigation of the predictive capabilities of data driven modeling techniques in hydrology, Part2: Application. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 6, 7095–7142.
- Evrendilek, F., (2012). Assessing neural networks with wavelet denoising and regression models in predicting diel dynamics of eddy covariance-measured latent and sensible heat fluxes, and evapotranspiration. *Neural Comput. Appl.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00521-012-1240-7>.

- Eynard, J., Grieu, S., Polit, M., (2011). Wavelet-based multi-resolution analysis and artificial neural networks for forecasting temperature and thermal power consumption. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel.* 24, 501–516.
- Faruk Durdu Ömer , 2010. A hybrid neural network and ARIMA model for water quality time series prediction. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, Volume 23, Issue 4, Pages 586–594
- Feyera A. Hirpa, Thomas M. Hopson, Tom De Groeve, G. Robert Brakenridge, Mekonnen Gebremichael, (2013). Upstream satellite remote sensing for river discharge forecasting: Application to major rivers in South Asia. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 131, 140–151.
- Gencoglu, M. T. and Uyar, M. (2009). Prediction Of Flashover Voltage Of Insulators Using Least Squares Support Vector Machines. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 36(7): 10789-10798.
- Giustolisi, O. and Laucelli, D. (2005). Improving generalization of artificial neural networks in rainfall–runoff modeling. *Hydrological Sciences–Journal–des Sciences Hydrologiques*, 50(3), 439-457.
- Goswami, M., K. M. O'Connor, et al. (2005). Assessing the performance of eight real-time updating models and procedures for the Brosna River. *Hydrological Earth System Sciences*. 9(4): 394–411.
- Govindaraju, R.S., Rao, A.R. (Eds.), (2000). *Artificial Neural Networks in Hydrology*. Water Science and Technology Library, Springer, Netherlands.
- Grossman, A., and Morlet, J. 1984. Decomposition of Harley Functions into Square Integral Wavelets of Constant Shape. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 15: 723-736.
- Guo, J., Zhou, J., Qin, H., Zou, Q., Li, Q., (2011). Monthly stream-flow forecasting based on improved support vector machine model. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 38, 13073– 13081.
- Hai-Bo Ma, Guang-bai Cui and Wen-Juan Chang, 2011. The Application of Improved Back Propagation Neural Network on the Determination of River Longitudinal Dispersion Coefficient. *Mechanic Automation and Control Engineering (MACE), 2011 Second International Conference*. pp. 2680-2683.
- Hamid Taheri Shahraiyini, Mohammad Reza Ghafouri, Saeed Bagheri Shouraki, Bahram Saghafian, Mohsen Nasserli (2012). Comparison between active learning method and support vector machine for runoff modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, Volume 1, 16–32.

- Han D., Chan L. and Zhu N., (2007). Flood forecasting using support vector machines. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 267 – 276.
- Hanbay, D. 2009. An expert system based on least square support vector machines for diagnosis of valvular heart disease. *Expert Syst. Appl.*, 36(4), 8368–8374.
- Haykin S. (1999). *Neural Networks: a Comprehensive Foundation*, Prentice Hall.
- Helena, B., Pardo, R., Vega, M., Barrado, E., Fernandez, J.M., Fernandez, L. (2000). Temporal evolution of groundwater composition in an alluvial aquifer (Pisuerga River, Spain) by principal component analysis. *Water Research*. 34(3): 807–816.
- Hoła J, Schbowicz K (2005). Application of artificial neural networks to determine concrete compressive strength based on non-destructive tests. *J. Civ. Eng., Manage.*, 10: 23-32.
- Hotelling, H. (1933). Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. (24): 417–441.
- Huang, Mutao, and Yong Tian (2011). Hydrologic data exploration and river flow forecasting using self-organizing map and support vector regression. *Advanced Computational Intelligence (IWACI), 2011 Fourth International Workshop IEEE*, pp. 343–348.
- Hurst, H. E. (1961). Long term storage capacity of reservoirs. *Trans. ASCE*, Volume 116, 770–799.
- Hwan Seok Hwang, Heon Dae Ham, and Hoon Joong Kim, (2012). Forecasting Performance of LS-SVM for Nonlinear Hydrological Time Series. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 16(5):870-882.
- Ibrahim Can, Fatih Tosunog̃lu & Ercan Kahya (2012). Daily streamflow modelling using autoregressive moving average and artificial neural networks models: case study of Çoruh basin, Turkey”, *Water and Environment Journal*. pp. 1747-6585
- Ilker, A. ; Kose, M. ; Ergin, G. ; Terzi, O. (2011). An artificial neural networks approach to monthly flow estimation. *Innovations in Intelligent Systems and Applications (INISTA), 2011 International Symposium*, pp. 325-328
- Ismail S, Shabri A, Samsudin R., (2011). A hybrid model of self-organizing maps (SOM) and least square support vector machine (LSSVM) for time-series forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(8): 10574–10578.
- Ismail, S., Samsudin, R., and Shabri, A. (2010). River Flow Forecasting: a Hybrid Model of Self Organizing Maps and Least Square Support Vector Machine. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 7, 8179-8212.

- Jacquin, A. P., and Shamseldin, A.Y. (2009). Review of the applications of fuzzy inference systems in river flow forecasting. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 11(3-4), 202-210.
- Jain, A., & Kumar, A. M. (2007). Hybrid neural network models for hydrologic time series forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing*, 7(2), 585-592.
- Janhabi Meher, Ramakar Jha, (2013). Time-series analysis of monthly rainfall data for the Mahanadi River Basin, India. *Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions*, 5(1): 0073–0084.
- Jianjun Ni, Huawei Ma, Li Ren, (2012). A time-series forecasting approach based on KPCA-LSSVM for lake water pollution.
- Jolliffe, I.T. (2002). *Principal Components Analysis*. Second Edition. New York. Springer.
- Kalra, A., Li, L., Li, X., and Ahmad, S. (2013). Improving Streamflow Forecast Lead Time Using Oceanic-Atmospheric Oscillations for Kaidu River Basin, Xinjiang, China. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, 18(8), 1031–1040.
- Kalteh, A.M., Hjorth, P., Berndtsson, R., (2008). Review of self-organizing map (SOM) in water resources: analysis, modeling, and application. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 23, 835–845.
- Kalteh, A.M., (2013). Monthly river flow forecasting using artificial neural network and support vector regression models coupled with wavelet transform. *Comput. Geosci.* 54, 1–8.
- Kamruzzaman, M., Metcalfe, A., and Beecham, S. (2013). Wavelet based rainfall-stream flow models for the South-East Murray Darling Basin. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*
- Kang, Y. W., Li, J., Cao, G. Y., Tu, H. Y., Li, J., and Yang, J. (2008). Dynamic temperature modeling of an SOFC using least square support vector machines. *J. Power Sources*, 179, 683–692.
- Karran, D.J., Morin, E., Adamowski, J., (2013). Multi-step streamflow forecasting using data-driven non-linear methods in contrasting climate regimes. *J. Hydroinform.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2013.042>.
- Karunanithi, N., W. J. Grenney, et al. (1994). Neural Networks for River Flow Prediction. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*. 8(2): 201-220.
- Khadangi E., Madvar H. R. and Edazadeh M. M., (2009). “Comparison of ANFIS and RBF models in daily stream flow forecasting”. *Computer control and communication: International conference on digital object identifier*. pp.1-6.

- Khashei M., Bijari M., Raissi G.H.A., (2009). Improvement of auto-regressive integrated moving average models using fuzzy logic and artificial neural networks (ANNs), *Neuro computing*, volume 72, 956–967.
- Khashei M., Hejazi S.R., Bijari M., (2008). A new hybrid artificial neural networks and fuzzy regression model for time series forecasting, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 159, 769–786.
- Khashei Mehdi, Bijari Mehdi, (2011). A novel hybridization of artificial neural networks and ARIMA models for time series forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2664-2675.
- Khashei Mehdi, Bijari Mehdi, Gholam Ali Raissi Ardali, (2012). Hybridization of autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) with probabilistic neural networks (PNNs). *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Volume 63, Issue 1, Pages 37-45.
- Kim H., Shin K., (2007). A hybrid approach based on neural networks and genetic algorithms for detecting temporal patterns in stock markets. *Applied Soft Computing* 7 (2007) 569–576.
- Kim, T.W., Valdes, J.B., (2003). Non-linear model for drought forecasting based on a conjunction of wavelet transforms and neural networks. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 8 (6), 319–328.
- Kisi O, (2010). Daily suspended sediment estimation using neuro-wavelet models. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, Volume 99, Issue 6, pp 1471-1482.
- Kisi O, (2010). Wavelet regression model for short-term streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 389, 344-353.
- Kisi O, (2012). Modeling discharge-suspended sediment relationship using least square support vector machine. *Journal of Hydrology*, 456-457, 110-120.
- Kisi O, Shiri J, Makarynskyy O. 2011a. Wind speed prediction by using different wavelet conjunction models. *International Journal of Ocean and Climate systems*, Vol. 2, NO. 3, pp 189-208
- Kisi O. (2009). Wavelet regression model as an alternative to neural networks for monthly streamflow forecasting. *Hydrol. Process.*, 23: 3583-3597.
- Kisi, O. and Cimen, M. (2011). A wavelet-support vector machine conjunction model for monthly streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*. 399: 132-140.
- Kisi, O., (2008). Stream-flow forecasting using neuro-wavelet technique. *Hydrol. Process.* 22 (20), 4142–4152.

- Kisi, O., (2009a). Neural networks and wavelet conjunction model for intermittent stream-flow forecasting. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 14 (8), 773–782.
- Kisi, O., (2009b). Neural network and wavelet conjunction model for modelling monthly level fluctuations in Turkey. *Hydrol. Process.* 23 (14), 2081–2092.
- Kisi, O., (2010). Daily suspended sediment estimation using neuro-wavelet models. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 99, 1471–1482.
- Kisi, O., (2011a). A combined generalized regression neural network wavelet model for monthly stream-flow prediction. *KSCE J. Civ. Eng.* 15 (8), 1469–1479.
- Kisi, O., (2011b). Wavelet regression model as an alternative to neural networks for river stage forecasting. *Water Resour. Manage.* 25, 579–600.
- Kisi, O., Cimen, M., (2011). A wavelet-support vector machine conjunction model for monthly stream-flow forecasting. *J. Hydrol.* 399, 132–140.
- Kisi, O., Cimen, M., (2012). Precipitation forecasting by using wavelet-support vector machine conjunction model. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel.* 25, 783–792.
- Kisi, O., Partal, T., (2011). Wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model for stream-flow forecasting. *Hydrol. Res.* 42 (6), 447–456.
- Kisi, O., Shiri, J., (2011). Precipitation forecasting using wavelet-genetic programming and wavelet-neuro-fuzzy conjunction models. *Water Resour. Manage.* 25 (13), 3135–3152.
- Kisi, O., Shiri, J., (2012). Wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model for predicting water table depth fluctuations. *Hydrol. Res.* 43 (3), 286–300.
- Koutroumanidis Theodoros, Konstantinos Ioannou, Garyfallos Arabatzis 2009. Predicting fuel wood prices in Greece with the use of ARIMA models, artificial neural networks and a hybrid ARIMA–ANN model. *Energy Policy*, Volume 37, Issue 9, pp. 3627–3634.
- Krishna B., Rao Y. and Nayak P., (2011) "Time Series Modeling of River Flow Using Wavelet Neural Networks", *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 50-59.
- Krishna, B., (2013). Comparison of wavelet based ANN and regression models for reservoir inflow forecasting. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)HE.1943-5584.0000892](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000892).
- Krishna, B., Satyaji Rao, Y.R., Nayak, P.C., (2011). Time series modeling of river flow using wavelet neural networks. *J. Water Resour. Protec.* 3, 50–59.

- Kumar, P., Foufoula-Georgiou, E., (1997). Wavelet analysis for geophysical applications. *Rev. Geophys.* 35 (4), 385–412.
- Kuo, C.C., Gan, T.Y., Yu, P.S., (2010a). Wavelet analysis on the variability, teleconnectivity and predictability of the seasonal rainfall of Taiwan. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 138 (1), 162–175.
- Kuo, C.C., Gan, T.Y., Yu, P.S., (2010b). Seasonal stream-flow prediction by a combined climate-hydrologic system for river basins of Taiwan. *J. Hydrol.* 387, 292–303.
- Labat D., Ababou R. and Mangin A. (2000). Rainfall-Runoff Relations for Karastic Springs: Part II. Continuous Wavelet and Discrete Orthogonal Multiresolution Analysis. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 238, No. 3–4, pp. 149–178 .
- Labat, D., (2005). Recent advances in wavelet analyses: Part 1. A review of concepts. *J. Hydrol.* 314, 275–288.
- Lauzon, N., Anctil, F., Petrinovic, J., (2004). Characterization of soil moisture conditions at temporal scales from a few days to annual. *Hydrol. Process.* 18, 3235–3254.
- Lee TL (2008). Back-propagation neural network for the prediction of the short-term storm surge in Taichung harbor, Taiwan. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, 21: 63-72.
- Lee, C. and Ko, C. (2011). Short-term Load Forecasting Using Lifting Scheme and ARIMA Models. *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 38, pp. 5902-5911.
- Legates, D. R. and G. J. McCabe Jr. (1999). Evaluating the use of goodness-of-fit measures in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation. *Water Resources Research.* 35(1): 233–241.
- Li, P.H., Kwon, H.H., Sun, L., Lall, U., Kao, J.J., (2010). A Modified support vector machine based prediction model on stream-flow at the Shihmen Reservoir, Taiwan. *Int. J. Climatol.* 30 (8), 1256–126.
- Lin, J. Y., Cheng, C. T., Chau, K. K. (2006). Using support vector machines for long-term discharge prediction. *Hydrological Sciences Journal.* 51(4): 599-612.
- Lindskog, P. (1997). Fuzzy identification from a grey box modeling point of view. In *Fuzzy Model Identification: Selected Approaches* (ed. H. Hellendoorn & D. Driankov), pp. 3–50. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Lim Eng Aik & Yogan S/O Jayakumar, (2008). "A Study of Neuro-fuzzy System in Approximation-based Problems," *MATEMATIKA*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 113-130.

- Liong, S.Y., and Sivapragasam, C. (2002), Flood stage forecasting with support vector machines. *Journal of American Water Resources*. 38(1),173 -186.
- Liu H., Tian H. Q., Chen C., Li Y.F. (2012). A hybrid model for wind speed prediction using empirical mode decomposition and artificial neural network. *Renewable Energy*, 48: 545–556.
- Liu, C., (2004). Gabor-based kernel PCA with fractional power polynomial models for face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on PAMI*, 26, 572–581.
- Liu, D., Niu, D., Wang, H., Fan, L., (2014). Short-term wind speed forecasting using wavelet transform and support vector machines optimized by genetic algorithm. *Renew. Energy* 62, 592–597.
- Liu, H., Tian, H., Chen, C., Li, Y., (2013a). An experimental investigation of two Wavelet–MLP hybrid frameworks for wind speed prediction using GA and PSO optimization. *Electr. Pow. Energy Syst.* 52, 161–173.
- Liu, H., Tian, H., Pan, D., Li, Y., (2013b). Forecasting models for wind speed using wavelet, wavelet packet, time series and Artificial Neural Networks. *Appl. Energy* 107, 191–208.
- Luchetta, A. and S. Manetti (2003). A real time hydrological forecasting system using a fuzzy clustering approach. *Computers and Geosciences*. 29(9): 1111-1117.
- Ma, P. Y. (2006). *A Fresh Engineering Approach for the Forecast of Financial Index Volatility and Hedging Strategies*. PhD Thesis, Quebec University, Montreal, Canada.
- Maheswaran, R., Adamowski, J., Khosa, R., (2013). Multiscale streamflow forecasting using a new Bayesian Model Average based ensemble multi-wavelet Volterra non-linear method. *J. Hydrol.* 507, 186–200.
- Maheswaran, R., Adamowski, J., Khosa, R., 2013. Multiscale streamflow forecasting using a new Bayesian Model Average based ensemble multi-wavelet Volterra non-linear method. *J. Hydrol.* 507, 186–200.
- Maheswaran, R., Khosa, R., (2012b). Wavelet–Volterra coupled model for monthly stream flow forecasting. *J. Hydrol.* 450, 320–335.
- Maheswaran, R., Khosa, R., (2013a). Wavelets-based non-linear model for real-time daily flow forecasting in Krishna River. *J. Hydroinform.* 15 (3), 1022–1041.

- Maheswaran, R., Khosa, R., (2013b). Long term forecasting of groundwater levels with evidence of non-stationary and non-linear characteristics. *Comput. Geosci.* 52, 422–436.
- Maheswaran, R., Rakesh Khosa, (2012). Comparative study of different wavelets for hydrologic forecasting. *Journal Computer & Geosciences*, Volume 46, Pages 284-295. Pergamon Press, Inc. Tarrytown, NY, USA.
- Maier, H.R., Dandy, G.C., (2000). Neural networks for the prediction and forecasting of water resources variables: a review of modelling issues and applications. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 15 (1), 101-124.
- Maier, H.R., Jain, A., Dandy, G.C., Sudheer, K.P., (2010). Methods used for the development of neural networks for the prediction of water resource variables in river systems: current status and future directions. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 25, 891–909.
- Maity, R., Bhagwat, P. P., Bhatnagar, A. (2010). Potential of support vector regression for prediction of monthly streamflow using endogenous property. *Hydrological Processes*. 24: 917–923.
- Martins Yusuf Otache, Isiguzo Edwin Ahaneku, Abubakar Sadeeq Mohammed, John Jiya Musa (2012). Conditional Heteroscedasticity in Streamflow Process: Paradox or Reality? *Open Journal of Modern Hydrology*, Volume 2, 79-90.
- Matalas, N. C. (1967). Mathematical assessment of symmetric hydrology. *Water Resour. Press*, 3(4) 937–945, 1967.
- Mellit A., Massi Pavan A., Benghanem M.,(2013). Least squares support vector machine for short-term prediction of meteorological time series. *Theor Appl Climatol*, 111:297-307, DOI 10.1007/s00704-06617-7, Springer.
- Mika, S., Scholkopf, B., Smola, A., Müller, K.R., Scholz, M. & Ratsch, G. (1999) 'Kernel PCA and De-Noising in Feature Spaces.' *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Mirbagheri, S.A., Nourani, V., Rajaei, T., Alikhani, A., 2010. Neuro-fuzzy models employing wavelet analysis for suspended sediment concentration prediction in rivers. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 55 (7), 1175–1189.
- Modarres R, Eslamian SS (2006). Streamflow time series modeling of zayandehrud. *Iranian J. Sci. Technol. Transaction B. Engine.*, 30No. B4.
- Modarres R., Ouarda T. B. M. J., (2013). Modelling heteroscedasticity of streamflow times series. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 58:1, 54-64.

- Mohammad Valipour (2012). Parameters Estimate of Autoregressive Moving Average and Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Models and Compare Their Ability for Inflow Forecasting. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 8 (3): 330-338.
- Mohd Khairul Idlan Bin Muhammad, 2012. Time series modeling using markov and arima models. PhD diss., Elsevier, 2012.
- Moosavi, V., Vafakhah, M., Shirmohammadi, B., Behnia, N., (2013a). A wavelet-ANFIS hybrid model for groundwater level forecasting for different prediction periods. *Water Resour. Manage.* 27 (5), 1301–1321.
- Moosavi, V., Vafakhah, M., Shirmohammadi, B., Ranjbar, M., (2013b). Optimization of wavelet–ANFIS and wavelet–ANN hybrid models by Taguchi method for groundwater level forecasting. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13369-013-0762-3>.
- Munir, M.B, (2013). Climate change impact on flow discharge of neelum river catchment using Snowmelt Runoff Model. *Space Science and Communication (IconSpace), 2013 IEEE International Conference*, pp.350-355.
- Muttiah R. S, Srinivasan R. and Allen P. M. (1997). “Prediction on two – year peak stream discharges using neural networks”. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association.* 33(3). pp.625-630
- Mwale, D., Gan, T.Y., (2005). Wavelet analysis of ariability, teleconnectivity, and predictability of the September–November east African rainfall. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 44, 256–269.
- Mwale, D., Gan, T.Y., (2010). Integrating wavelet empirical orthogonal functions and statistical disaggregation for predicting weekly runoff for the Upper Kafue Basin in Zambia, Africa. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 15, 822–833.
- Mwale, D., Gan, T.Y., Shen, S.P., Shu, T.T., Kim, K.M., (2007). Wavelet empirical orthogonal functions of space-time-frequency regimes and predictability of southern Africa summer rainfall. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 12, 513–523.
- Najah, A., El-Shafie, A., Karim, O.A., Jaafar, O., (2012). Water quality prediction model utilizing integrated wavelet–ANFIS model with cross-validation. *Neural Comput. Appl.* 21, 833–841.
- Nalley, D., Adamowski, J., Khalil, B. 2012. Using discrete wavelet transforms to analyze trends in streamflow and precipitation in Quebec and Ontario (1954-

- 2008). *Journal of Hydrology*, 475: 204-228. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.09.049.
- Nalley, D., Adamowski, J., Khalil, B., Ozga-Zielinski, B., (2013). Trend detection in surface air temperature in Ontario and Quebec, Canada during 1967–2006 using the discrete wavelet transform. *Atmos. Res.* 132–133, 375–398.
- Napolitano, G., Serinaldi, F. and See, L. (2011). Impact of EMD decomposition and random initialisation of weights in ANN hindcasting of daily stream flow series: an empirical examination. *Journal of Hydrology*, 406(3-4), 199-214.
- Narain S. and Jain A., (2007). “Artificial neuron models for hydrological modeling,” in *Neural Networks, 2007. IJCNN 2007. International Joint Conference on.* IEEE, pp. 1338–1342.
- Nasser M, Asghari K, Abedini MJ (2008). Optimized scenario for rainfall forecasting using genetic algorithm coupled with artificial neural network. *Expert Syst. Appl.*, 35: 1415-1421.
- Nayak, P.C., Venkatesh, B., Krishna, B., Jain, S.K., (2013). Rainfall–runoff modeling using conceptual, data driven, and wavelet based computing approach. *J. Hydrol.* 493, 57–67.
- Noakes, D. J., Mcleod, L. A., and Hipel, K. W. (1985). Forecasting monthly riverflow time series. *International Journal of Forecasting*: 179-190.
- Noori, R, Karbassi, A. R., Moghaddamniz, A., Han, D., Zokaei Ashtiani, M. H., Farokhnia, A., Gousheh, M. Ghafari, (2011). Assessment of input variables determination on the SVM model performance using PCA, Gamma test, and forward selection techniques for monthly stream flow prediction. *Journal of Hydrology*, Volume 401, Issue 3, p. 177-189.
- Noori, R., Abdoli, M.A., Farokhnia, A., Abbasi, M., (2009). Results uncertainty of solid waste generation forecasting by hybrid of wavelet transform-ANFIS and wavelet transform-neural network. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 36, 9991–9999.
- Nourani, V., Alami, M.T., Aminfar, M.H., (2009a). A combined neural-wavelet model for prediction of Ligvanchai watershed precipitation. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel.* 22 (3), 466–472.
- Nourani, V., Hosseini Baghanam, A., Adamowski, J., Gebremicheal, M., (2013). Using self-organizing maps and wavelet transforms for space-time pre-processing of satellite precipitation and runoff data in neural network based rainfall–runoff modeling. *J. Hydrol.* 476, 228–243.

- Nourani, V., Hosseini Baghanam, A., Yahyavi Rahimi, A., Hassan Nejad, F., (2014). Evaluation of wavelet-based de-noising approach in hydrological models linked to artificial neural networks. In: Islam, T., Srivastava, P.K., Gupta, M., Mukherjee, S., Zhu, X. (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Earth and Environmental Sciences*. Springer.
- Nourani, V., Kisi, O., Komasi, M., (2011). Two hybrid artificial intelligence approaches for modeling rainfall–runoff process. *J. Hydrol.* 402 (1–2), 41–59.
- Nourani, V., Komasi, M., Alami, M., (2012). Hybrid Wavelet-genetic programming approach to optimize ANN modeling of rainfall–runoff process. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 16 (6), 724–741.
- Nourani, V., Komasi, M., Mano, A., (2009b). A multivariate ANN-wavelet approach for rainfall–runoff modeling. *Water Resour. Manage.* 23 (14), 2877–2894.
- Nourani, V., Parhizkar, M., (2013). Conjunction of SOM-based feature extraction method and hybrid wavelet–ANN approach for rainfall–runoff modeling. *J. Hydroinform.* 15 (3), 829–848.
- Okkan Umut, Zafer Ali Serbes, (2013) —The combined use of wavelet transform and black box models in reservoir inflow modeling. *J. Hydrol, Hydromech.* (61), 112-119.
- Okkan, U. and Serbes, Z. A. (2012). Rainfall–runoff modeling using least squares support vector machines. *Environmetrics.* 23: 549-564.
- Onderka, M., Banzhaf, S., Scheytt, T., Krein, A. (2013). Seepage velocities derived from thermal records using wavelet analysis. *J. Hydrol.* 479, 64–74.
- Oowski, S.; Garanty, K., (2007). Forecasting of the daily meteorological pollution using wavelets and support vector machine. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, Volume 20, 745-755.
- Otache Y. Martins, M. A. Sadeeq, I. E. Ahaneku, (2011). ARMA Modelling of Benue River Flow Dynamics: Comparative Study of PAR Model. *Open Journal of Modern Hydrology*, 1-9.
- Otache Y.M., and Bakir M., L, Z (2008): Analysis of stochastic characteristics of the Benue River Flow process. *Chin J. oceanol Limnol* 26 : 151
- Ozger, M., (2010). Significant wave height forecasting using wavelet fuzzy logic approach. *Ocean Eng.* 37, 1443–1451.
- Pai P.F., Lin C.S., (2005). A hybrid ARIMA and support vector machines model in stock price forecasting. *Omega, Elsevier*, 33, no, 6, pp. 497–505.

- Parag P. Bhagwat and Rajib Maity (2013). Hydro-climatic streamflow prediction using Least Square Support Vector Regression. *ISH Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 320-328.
- Parag P. Bhagwat, Rajib Maity (2012). Multistep-Ahead River Flow Prediction Using LS-SVR at Daily Scale. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 2012, 4, 528-539.
- Partal, T., (2009a). River flow forecasting using different artificial neural network algorithms and wavelet transforms. *Can. J. Civil Eng.* 36, 26–39.
- Partal, T., (2009b). Modelling evapotranspiration using discrete wavelet transform and neural networks. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 3545–3555.
- Partal, T., Cigizoglu, H.K., (2008). Estimation and forecasting of daily suspended sediment data using wavelet-neural networks. *J. Hydrol.* 358, 317–331.
- Partal, T., Cigizoglu, H.K., (2009). Prediction of daily precipitation using wavelet-neural networks. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 54 (2), 234–246.
- Partal, T., Kisi, O., (2007). Wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model for precipitation forecasting. *J. Hydrol.* 342, 199–212.
- Peter R., Stephanie K. Kampf, Jordan S. Lanini, Andre Q. Dozier, (2012). A Stochastic Conceptual Modeling Approach for Examining the Effects of Climate Change on Streamflows in Mountain Basins. *Journal of Hydrometeor*, Volume 13, 837–855.
- Pingale, S., Khare, D., Jat, M., Adamowski, J., (2013). Spatial and temporal trends of mean and extreme rainfall and temperature for the 33 urban centres of the arid and semi-arid state of Rajasthan, India. *Atmos. Res.* 138, 73–90.
- Pramanik, N., Panda, R.K., Singh, A., (2010). Daily river flow forecasting using wavelet ANN hybrid models. *J. Hydroinform.* 13 (1), 49–63.
- PY. Ma, (2006). *A fresh engineering approach for the forecast of financial index volatility and hedging strategies*. PhD thesis, Quebec University, Montreal, Canada.
- Rajaei, T., (2010). Wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction approach for suspended sediment prediction. *CLEAN – Soil, Air, Water* 38 (3), 275–286.
- Rajaei, T., Mirbagheri, S.A., Nourani, V., Alikhani, A., (2010). Prediction of daily suspended sediment load using wavelet and neuro-fuzzy combined model. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 7 (1), 93–110.

- Rajae, T., Mirbagheri, S.A., Zounemat-Kermani, M., Nourani, V., (2009). Daily suspended sediment concentration simulation using ANN and neuro-fuzzy models. *Sci. Total Environ.* 407 (17), 4916–4927.
- Rajae, T., Nourani, V., Zounemat-Kermani, M., Kisi, O., (2011). River suspended sediment load prediction: application of ANN and wavelet conjunction model. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 16 (8), 613–627.
- Ramana, R.V., Krishna, B., Kumar, S.R., Pandey, N.G., (2013). Monthly rainfall prediction using Wavelet Neural Network Analysis. *Water Resour. Manage* 27, 3697–3711.
- Rashmi Nigam, Sudhir Nigam, Sangeeta Kapoor (2013). Time Series Modeling of Tropical River Runoff. *Rashmi Nigam, IJPRET*, Volume 1(7): 13-29.
- Rashmi, N., (2012). *Development of Computational Modeling Framework for River Flow Forecasting*. Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Math., M.A.N.I.T., Bhopal.
- Remesan, R., Shamim, M.A., Han, D., Mathew, J., (2009). Runoff prediction using an integrated hybrid modelling scheme. *J. Hydrol.* 372, 48–60.
- Ren, L., Xiang, X.-Y., Ni, J.-J., (2011). Forecast modeling of monthly runoff with adaptive neural fuzzy inference system and wavelet Analysis. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*
- Reusser D. E., Blume T., Schaefli B., and Zehe E. (2009). Analysing the temporal dynamics of model performance for hydrological models. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 13:999-1018.
- Romdhani S., Gong S., and Psarrou A., (1999). A multi-view nonlinear active shape model using kernel PCA. In T. Pridmore and D. Elliman, editors, *Proceedings of the 10th British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC99)*, pages 483–492. BMVA Press.
- Sahay, R.R., Srivastava, A., (2013). Predicting monsoon floods in rivers embedding Wavelet Transform, Genetic Algorithm and Neural Network. *Water Resour. Manage.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11269-013-0446-5>.
- Sales, J. D., Boes D. C., Smith R. A., (1982). “Estimation of ARMA models with seasonal parameters”. *WaterResources Research* 18, 1006–1010.
- Samsudin, R., P. Saad, and A. Shabri . (2011). River flow time series using least squares support vector machines. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15, 1835-1852.
- Samui Pijush, (2011). Application of Least Square Support Vector Machine (LSSVM) for Determination of Evaporation Losses in Reservoirs. *Engineering*, Volume 3, 431-434.

- Sang, Y.F., (2013a). A review on the applications of wavelet transform in hydrology time series analysis. *Atmos. Res.* 122, 8–15.
- Sang, Y.F., (2013b). Improved wavelet modeling framework for hydrologic time series forecasting. *Water Resour. Manage.* 27, 2807–2821.
- Schaefli, B., Maraun, D., Holschneider, M., (2007). What drives high flow events in the Swiss Alps? Recent developments in wavelet spectral analysis and their application to hydrology. *Adv. Water Resour.* 30, 2511–2525.
- Scholkopf, B., Burges, C. J. C., and Smola, A. J. (1999), *Advances in Kernel Methods — Support Vector Learning*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Scholkopf, B., Smola, A.J., Muller, K. (1998). Nonlinear Component Analysis as a Kernel Eigenvalue Problem. *Neural Computation.* 10: 1299-1319.
- Sedki A, Ouazar D, Mazoudi EE (2009). Evolving neural network using real coded genetic algorithm for daily rainfall-runoff forecasting. *Hydrol. Expert Syst. Appl.*, 36: 4523-4527.
- Seok, H. H., Dae, H. H., Joong, H. K. (2012). Forecasting Performance of LS-SVM for Nonlinear Hydrological Time Series. *Water Engineering.* 16(5): 870-882.
- Shamseldin, A. Y. 1997. Application of a neural network technique to rainfall-runoff modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 199(3-4), 272-294.
- Shankar, B.U., Meher, S.K., Ghosh, A., (2011). Wavelet-fuzzy hybridization: feature- extraction and land-cover classification of remote sensing images. *Appl. Soft Comput.* 11, 2999–3011.
- Shekarrizfard, M., Karimi-Jashni, A., Hadad, K., (2012). Wavelet transform-based artificial neural networks(WT-ANN) in PM10 pollution level estimation, based on circular variables. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Roy.* 19, 256–268.
- Shiri, J., Kisi, O., (2010). Short-term and long-term stream-flow forecasting using a wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model. *J. Hydrol.* 394 (3–4), 486–493.
- Shiri, J., Kisi, O., (2012). Estimation of daily suspended sediment load by using wavelet conjunction models. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 17 (9), 986–1000.
- Shirmohammadi, B., Moradi, H., Moosavi, V., Semiromi, M., Zeinali, A., (2013). Forecasting of meteorological drought using wavelet–ANFIS hybrid model for different time steps (case study: southeastern part of east Azerbaijan province, Iran). *Nat. Hazards* 69, 389–402.

- Siwek, K., Osowski, S., (2012). Improving the accuracy of prediction of Pm10 pollution by the wavelet transformation and an ensemble of neural predictors. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel.* 25 (6), 1246–1258.
- Smith L. C., Turcotte D. And Isacks B. L. (1998). Stream Flow Characterization and Feature Detection Using a Discrete Wavelet Transform. *Hydrological Processes*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 233-249.
- Solomatine, D.P., (2005). Data-driven modeling and computational intelligence methods in hydrology. In: Anderson, M. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences*. Wiley, New York.
- Solomatine, D.P., Ostfeld, A., (2008). Data-driven modelling: some past experiences and new approaches. *J. Hydroinform.* 10 (1), 3–22.
- Stephen AB, Hua-Liang W, Michael AB (2007). Generalized multiscale radial basis function networks. *Neu. Netw.*, Volume 20: 1081-1094.
- Suykens, J.A.K., Vandewalle, J. (1999). Least squares support vector machine classifiers. *Neural Processing Letters*, Volume 3, 293-300.
- Swee, E.G.T. and Elangovan, S. (1999). Applications of symmlets for denoising and load forecasting. *Proceedings of the IEEE Signal Processing Workshop on Higher-Order Statistics*, 165–169.
- Theodosiou, M. (2011). Disaggregation & aggregation of time series components: A hybrid forecasting approach using generalized regression neural networks and the theta method. *Neurocomputing*, 74(6), 896-905.
- Thomas, H.A. & Fiering, M.B. (1962) Mathematical synthesis of streamflow sequences for the analysis of river basins by simulation. In: *Design of Water Resources Systems*, (Ed. by A. Maas et al.) Chapter 12. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Thompson, R. M. (1983). *Topics in Hydrological Time Series Modelling*. PhD thesis, Department of Systems Design Engineering, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.
- Thoranin Sujjaviriyasup, (2013). Hybrid ARIMA-Support Vector Machine Model for Agricultural Production Planning. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 57, 2833 – 2840.
- Tiwari, M.K., Adamowski, J., (2013). Urban water demand forecasting and uncertainty assessment using ensemble wavelet–bootstrap–neural network models. *Water Resour. Res.* 49 (10), 6486–6507.

- Tiwari, M.K., Chatterjee, C., (2010). Development of an accurate and reliable hourly flood forecasting model using wavelet–bootstrap–ANN (WBANN) hybrid approach. *J. Hydrol.* 394, 458–470.
- Tiwari, M.K., Chatterjee, C., (2011). A new wavelet–bootstrap–ANN hybrid model for daily discharge forecasting. *J. Hydroinform.* 13 (3), 500–519.
- Tiwari, M.K., Song, K.Y., Chatterjee, C., Gupta, M.M., (2012). Improving reliability of river flow forecasting using neural networks, wavelets and self-organising maps. *J. Hydroinform.* 15 (2), 486–502.
- Tokar, A. S. and Markus, M. (2000). Precipitation-runoff modeling using artificial neural networks and conceptual models. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 5(2), 156-161.
- Tseng, F.M. and Tzeng, G.H., (2002). A fuzzy seasonal ARIMA model forecasting. *Fuzzy set and Systems* 126(3): 367-376.
- Uçar, T., Karahoca, A., & Karahoca, D. (2013). Tuberculosis disease diagnosis by using adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system and rough sets. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 23(2), 471-483.
- Valença, M., & Ludermir, T. (2000). Neural networks vs. parma modelling: Case studies of river flow prediction. *In Neural Networks, 2000. Proceedings. Sixth Brazilian Symposium*, pp. 113-116.
- Vapnik., V. (1995). *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*. New York: Springer.
- Vecchia, A. V., Obeysekera, J.T., Salas, J.D. and Boes, D.C. (1983) ‘Aggregation and estimation for low-order periodic ARMA models’, *Water Resources Research* 19(5), pp 1297-1306.
- Volkan A., Burcu G., Melek A. B., (2010). “Modelling Volatility Of The Gold Prices By Using Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity Method: The Case Of Turkey.” *Journal of Academic Research in Economics*, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp.197-212.
- Walia, N., Singh, H., & Sharma, A. (2015). ANFIS: Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System-A Survey. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 123(13).
- Wang, F., Wang, X., Chen, B., Zhao, Y., Yang, Z., (2013). Chlorophyll a simulation in a lake ecosystem using a model with wavelet analysis and artificial neural network. *Environ. Manage.* 51, 1044–1054.

- Wang, H. and Hu, D. (2005). Comparison of SVM and LS-SVM for Regression. *IEEE*, 279–283.
- Wang L. Yu, S., and Lai K. K. (2008), “Forecasting crude oil price with an EMD-based neural network ensemble learning paradigm,” *Energy Economics*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2623–2635.
- Wang, H., Lei, X., Jiang, Y., Song, X., Wang, Y., (2011a). Flood simulation using parallel genetic algorithm integrated wavelet neural networks. *Neurocomputing* 74, 2734–2744.
- Wang, J., Wang, J., Zhang, Z., Guo, S. (2012). Stock index forecasting based on a hybrid model. *Omega*, Volume 40(6), 758–766
- Wang, K. and S. Liang (2009). Global atmospheric downward long wave radiation over land surface under all-sky conditions from 1973 to 2008. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114.
- Wang, W., Ding, J., (2003). Wavelet network model and its application to the Prediction of hydrology. *Nature Sci.* 1 (1), 67–71.
- Wang, W., Hu, S., Li, Y., (2011b). Wavelet transform method for synthetic generation of daily streamflow. *Water Resour. Manage.* 25, 41–57.
- Wang, W., Jin, J., Li, Y., (2009). Prediction of inflow at three Gorges Dam in Yangtze River with wavelet network model. *Water Resour. Manage.* 23, 2791–2803.
- Wang. (2006) W.. *Stochasticity, Nonlinearity and Forecasting of Streamflow Processes*. Doctor Philosophy, Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University Press, Amsterdam.
- Wei, S., Song, J., Khan, N.I., (2012). Simulating and predicting river discharge time series using a wavelet-neural network hybrid modelling approach. *Hydrol. Process.* 26, 281–296.
- Wu, C.L., Chau, K.W., Li, Y.S., (2009). Methods to improve neural network performance in daily flows prediction. *J. Hydrol.* 372, 80–93.
- Yevjevich, V. (1971), "The Structure of Inputs and Outputs of Hydrologic Systems. II In: V. Yevjevich ed., *SYSTEMS APPROACH TO HYDROLOGY*, Proceedings of the First Bilateral U.S.-Japan Seminar in Hydrology, Honolulu, Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Colorado.
- Yevjevich, V. M. (1972). “Structural analysis of hydrologic time series”, Hydrology Paper 56, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

- Ying X. and Hua Z. (2008). "Water supply forecasting based on developed LS-SVM," in Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications I(CIEA), pp. 2228-2233.
- Yu, P. S., Chen, S. T., and Chang, I. F. (2006). Support vector regression for real-time flood stage forecasting. *J. Hydrol.*, Volume 328(3–4), 704–716.
- Yu, X., and Liong, S.-Y. (2007). "Forecasting of hydrologic time series with ridge regression in feature space." *J. Hydrol.*, 332(3–4).
- Yu, S.P., Yang, J.S., Liu, G.M., (2013). A novel discussion on two long-term forecast mechanisms for hydro-meteorological signals using hybrid wavelet–NN model. *J. Hydrol.* 497, 189–197.
- Yunrong, X. and Liangzhong, J. (2009). Water Quality Prediction Using LS-SVM And Particle Swarm Optimization. *Second International Workshop on Knowledge Discovery And Data Mining*, 900-904.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). "Fuzzy sets". *Information and Control* **8** (3): 338.
- Zhao, Y., Dong, Z., Li, Q. (2012). Application Study of Least Squares Support Vector Machines in Streamflow Forecast. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 212-213: 436-440.
- Zhang Y., Li H., Hou A., and Havel J., (2006). "Artificial neural networks based on principal component analysis input selection for quantification in overlapped capillary electrophoresis peaks," *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 165–175.
- Zhang, G. P. (2003). Time Series Forecasting Using A Hybrid ARIMA And Neural Network Model. *Neurocomputing*, 50: 159-175.
- Zheng Xiaoyan, Wang WenKe, Duan Lei, Yang Feng, Zhang Jing. (2011). Application of GMS in Numerical Simulation of Valley Flood Storage Capacity. *Water Resource and Environmental Protection (ISWREP)*, 2011 International Symposium, volume 2, pp. 982-986.
- Zhou Z.J., Hu C.H. (2008). An effective hybrid approach based on grey and ARMA for forecasting. *gyro drift, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 35 (2008) 525–529.
- Zhou, H.C., Peng, Y., Liang, G.H., (2008). The research of monthly discharge predictor- corrector model based on wavelet decomposition. *Water Resour. Manage.* 22, 217–227.
- Zhu B. Z., Wei Y.-M. (2013). Carbon price forecasting with a novel hybrid ARIMA and least squares support vector machines methodology. *Omega*, 41: 517-524.

Zou, H. F., Xia, G. P., Yang, F. T., Wang, H. Y. (2007). An Investigation and Comparison of Artificial Neural Network And Time Series Models For Chinese Food Grain Price Forecasting. *Neurocomputing*. 70(16-18): 2913-2923.