# Wall-Modeled Lattice Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes Approaches for Separated Flows

Cetin C. Kiris<sup>\*1</sup>, Jeffrey A. Housman<sup>†1</sup>, Michael Barad<sup>†1</sup>, Marie Denison<sup>†1</sup>, Gerrit-Daniel Stich<sup>‡2</sup>, Joseph G. Kocheemoolayil<sup>‡2</sup>, and Francois Cadieux<sup>‡2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, M/S 258-2, Moffett Field, CA 94035 <sup>2</sup>Science and Technology Corporation, M/S 258-2, Moffett Field, CA 94035

Lattice Boltzmann (LB) and hybrid Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes/large eddy simulation (RANS/LES) methods within the Launch Ascent and Vehicle Aerodynamics (LAVA) solver framework are applied to NASA's Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences (RCA) standard test cases for separated flows. A detailed comparison between the performance and accuracy of the two emerging numerical methodologies for turbulence resolving simulations, i.e. the LB and hybrid RANS/LES methods will be presented. This contribution addresses the RCA technical challenge to identify and down-select critical turbulence, transition, and numerical method technologies for 40% reduction in predictive error for standard turbulence separated flow test cases. Results for the 2D NASA wall-mounted hump<sup>1</sup> and the axisymmetric transonic bump<sup>2</sup> including time-averaged pressure coefficient, skin friction, and velocity profiles, as well as resolved and modeled Reynolds stresses for both numerical approaches will be presented and differences between LB and hybrid RANS/LES will be discussed.

# I. Introduction

The NASA Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences (RCA) sub-project has created a technical challenge to identify and down-select critical turbulence, transition, and numerical method technologies for 40% reduction in predictive error for standard test cases of turbulent separated flows, evolution of free shear flows and shock-boundary layer interactions. In an effort to address this technical challenge, the Lattice Boltzmann (LB) and hybrid Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes/large-eddy simulation (RANS/LES) methods within the Launch Ascent and Vehicle Aerodynamics (LAVA) solver framework are applied to the RCA standard test cases for separated flows. The first test case is the 2D NASA wall-mounted hump<sup>1</sup> with a reference Mach number of 0.1 and Reynolds number of 0.936 million based on the 0.42 m chord. The second test case is the axisymmetric transonic bump<sup>2</sup> with a reference Mach number of 0.875 and Reynolds number of 2.763 million based on the 0.2032 m chord. Both test cases have been previously studied using both numerical approaches by other researchers,<sup>3-7</sup> but no detailed comparison of the advantages and disadvantages between the two approaches has been performed. In this work, a detailed comparison between the performance and accuracy of the two emerging numerical methodologies for turbulence resolving simulations will be presented.

## II. Computational Methodology

The LAVA solver framework<sup>8</sup> is utilized for the computational study. LAVA offers flexible meshing options and was developed with the intent of modeling highly complex geometry and flow-fields. The framework supports Cartesian and curvilinear structured grids as well as unstructured arbitrary polyhedral meshes. Overset grid technology<sup>9</sup> is used to couple the solutions across different overlapping meshes. In this study,

<sup>\*</sup>Branch Chief, Computational Aerosciences Branch, NAS Division, AIAA Senior Member

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research Scientist, Computational Aerosciences Branch, NAS Division, AIAA Senior Member

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Research Scientist, Science and Technology Corporation, NAS Division, AIAA Member

the Cartesian grid approach is used with the LB method and the structured curvilinear overlapping grid methodology is used for the hybrid RANS/LES method. The Cartesian grids are automatically generated within the framework and the Pointwise<sup>TM</sup> and Chimera Grid Tools (CGT)<sup>10</sup> software packages are used to generate the curvilinear grids.

#### II.A. Lattice Boltzmann

The LB method is a mesoscopic approach wherein simplified kinetic equations that retain just enough detail to satisfy the desired macroscopic equations of fluid motion (weakly compressible, isothermal Navier-Stokes equations in the present context) are solved.<sup>11</sup> The local state of fluid motion is described by density distribution functions  $f(\vec{x}, t, v)$ , which upon being normalized by the local density represent the probability of finding particles moving with velocity v in an infinitesimal volume dx about  $\vec{x}$ . The familiar macroscopic variables such as density and the components of momentum are determined from the density distribution functions through moment summations.

The LB equation governs the space-time evolution of density distribution functions  $f(\vec{x}, t, v)$ . The density distribution function  $f(\vec{x}, t, v)$  at a particular node of the lattice defines the fraction of mass contained in a control volume surrounding the node which moves at velocity v. For example,  $f(\vec{x}, t, 0)$  defines the fraction of mass contained in the control volume which is at rest. The equation is solved numerically through an extremely efficient collide at nodes and stream along links algorithm. In a single time step the virtual, computational particles collide at a node relaxing towards the local equilibrium and subsequently hop on to the neighboring nodes of the lattice. The velocity space is commonly discretized into 15, 19 or 27 speeds on a regular cubic lattice in 3D, where the notation DdQq describes a lattice in d dimensions with q discrete velocities. More details can be found in Barad et al.<sup>12</sup> Boundary condition and wall model details will be included in the presentation.

#### II.B. Hybrid RANS/LES

The compressible hybrid RANS/LES equations are solved using a low-dissipation high-order finite-difference formulation applied to the curvilinear transformed system of equations in strong conservation law form.<sup>13</sup> The Spalart-Allmaras  $(SA)^{14}$  turbulence model is used as the base RANS closure model. Two zonal hybrid RANS/LES modeling approaches will be assessed. Implicit second-order backward differencing is used for time integration and the discretized equations are marched in pseudo-time until a sufficient reduction in the residual has been achieved for each physical time-step (approximately 3 to 4 orders of residual reduction is achieved in the present computations). The nonlinear system of equations are linearized at each pseudo time-step and an alternating line-Jacobi relaxation procedure is applied. Local pseudo time-stepping is used to accelerate convergence. Domain decomposition and the Message Passing Interface (MPI) are used to enable a scalable parallel algorithm. Details of the numerical method and turbulence modeling can be found in Housman *et al.*<sup>15, 16</sup>

# III. Summary

Results from the application of the LB method using Cartesian grids and the hybrid RANS/LES method using structured overlapping grids on RCA separated flow test cases will be presented. Accuracy, efficiency, and robustness will be compared between the two approaches. Successes and challenges inherent to each method will be discussed as well as a roadmap towards achieving the RCA goals.

## References

<sup>1</sup>Greenblatt, D., Paschal, K., Yao, C.-S., Harris, J., Schaeffler, N., and Washburn, A., "Experimental Investigation of Separation Control Part 1: Baseline and Steady Suction," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 44, No. 12, 2006, pp. 2820–2830.

<sup>4</sup>Duda, B. and Fares, E., "Application of a Lattice-Boltzmann Method to the Separated Flow Behind the NASA Hump," 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 4-8 2016, AIAA-2016-1836.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Bachalo, W. and Johnson, D., "Transonic, Turbulent Boundary-Layer Separation Generated on an Axisymmetric Flow Model," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 1986, pp. 437–443.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Park, G., "Wall-Modeled Large-Eddy Simulation of a Separated Flow Over the NASA Wall-Mounted Hump," 2015, Center for Turbulence Research, Annual Research Briefs.

<sup>5</sup>Iyer, P. and Malik, M., "Wall-Modeled Large-Eddy Simulation of a Separated Flow Over the NASA Wall-Mounted Hump," 56th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference, June 13-17 2016, AIAA-2016-3186.

<sup>6</sup>Uzun, A. and Malik, M., "Wall-Resolved Large-Eddy Simulation of Flow Separation Over NASA Wall-Mounted Hump," 55th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 9-13 2017, AIAA-2017-0538.

<sup>7</sup>Iyer, P., Park, G., and Malik, M., "Wall-Modeled Large-Eddy Simulation of Transonic Flow over an Axisymmetric Bump with Shock-Induced Separation," 23rd AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, June 5-9 2017, AIAA-2017-3953.

<sup>8</sup>Kiris, C., Housman, J., Barad, M., Brehm, C., Sozer, E., and Moini-Yekta, S., "Computational Framework for Launch, Ascent, and Vehicle Aerodynamics (LAVA)," *Aerospace Science and Technology*, Vol. 55, August 2016, pp. 189–219.

 $^9 {\rm Steger},$  J. and Benek, J., "On the Use of Composite Grid Schemes in Computational Aerodynamics," Technical Memorandum 88372, NASA, 1986.

<sup>10</sup>Chan, W., "Developments in Strategies and Software Tools for Overset Structured Grid Generation and Connectivity," 20th AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, Honolulu, Hawaii, June 2011, AIAA-2011-3051.

<sup>11</sup>Chen, S. and Doolen, G. D., "Lattice Boltzmann method for fluid flows," Annual review of fluid mechanics, Vol. 30, No. 1, 1998, pp. 329–364.

<sup>12</sup>Barad, M. F., Kocheemoolayil, J. G., and Kiris, C. C., "Lattice Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes Cartesian CFD Approaches for Airframe Noise Predictions," 23rd AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, 2017, p. 4404.

<sup>13</sup>Vinokur, M., "Conservation Equations of Gasdynamics in Curvilinear Coordinate Systems," Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 14, 1974, pp. 105–125.

<sup>14</sup>Spalart, S. and Allmaras, S., "A One-Equation Turbulence Model for Aerodynamic Flows," 30th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno, NV, January 1992, AIAA-92-0439.

<sup>15</sup>Housman, J. and Kiris, C., "Slat Noise Predictions using Higher-Order Finite-Difference Methods on Overset Grids," 22nd AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustic Conference, Lyon, France, May 30-31 2016, AIAA-2016-2963.

<sup>16</sup>Housman, J., Stich, G., and Kiris, C., "Jet Noise Prediction using Hybrid RANS/LES with Structured Overset Grids," 23rd AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustic Conference, Denver, Colorado, 2017, AIAA-2017-3213.