

NASA GEOS Aerosol Modeling and Assimilation Activities

Patricia Castellanos
NASA GSFC, Global Modeling and Assimilation Office


with contributions from:

Arlindo da Silva, Anton Darmenov, Virginie Buchard, Ravi Govindaraju (GMAO)
Huisheng Bian, Peter Colarco, Sampa Das, Ed Nowottnick, Adriana Rocha Lima (ACDL)
Robert Levy, Shana Mattoo (CRL)
Valentina Aquila (American University)
Parker Case (University of Colorado)



Outline

- *Model Architecture*
- *Model Status*
- *Science Highlights*
- *Aerosol Assimilation and OSSE*
- *Field Campaign Support*
- *Summary*



GEOS Model Architecture

GEOS is a hierarchy of ESMF components

- An infrastructure for building GEOS applications:
 - Standardized component interfaces
 - Low level data containers for data sharing
 - Grid classes for the physical domain
 - Parallel communication
 - Others: Regridding, Logging, Calendar


The MAPL layer interface to ESMF

- Provides an abstraction of software issues including:
 - Generic Initialize/Finalize/Run
 - Simplified hierarchy (creation of child components)
 - IO Layers (Asynchronous file server output)
 - Regridding transforms (grids and tiles)
 - Profiling (Performance and Memory)
 - Input (ExtData) / Output (History)

Architecture permits flexibility

- NWP configuration
- S2S configuration (seasonal, w/coupled ocean)
- CCM configuration (advanced chemistry)
- CF configuration (full chemistry NRT forecasting)
- NR configuration (high resolution for OSSEs)
- CTM configuration (offline met fields)

All these use the same core model components



GEOS Comprehensive Architecture

GEOS Model Architecture – Forward Processing Configuration

GEOS is a hierarchy of ESMF components

- An infrastructure for building GEOS applications:
 - Standardized component interfaces
 - Low level data containers for data sharing
 - Grid classes for the physical domain
 - Parallel communication
 - Others: Regridding, Logging, Calendar


The MAPL layer interface to ESMF

- Provides an abstraction of software issues including:
 - Generic Initialize/Finalize/Run
 - Simplified hierarchy (creation of child components)
 - IO Layers (Asynchronous file server output)
 - Regridding transforms (grids and tiles)
 - Profiling (Performance and Memory)
 - Input (ExtData) / Output (History)

Architecture permits flexibility

- NWP configuration
- S2S configuration (seasonal, w/coupled ocean)
- CCM configuration (advanced chemistry)
- CF configuration (full chemistry NRT forecasting)
- NR configuration (high resolution for OSSEs)
- CTM configuration (offline met fields)



All these use the same core model components




GEOS Current NWP Configuration (March 2019)

Grell-Freitas Deep, Bretherton-Park Shallow

- Scale aware
- Increased shallow convection (RAS underestimate)
- Aerosol Coupling: droplet formation and rainfall depend on CCN at base of cloud



GEOS Next NWP Configuration (summer 2019)



- *Candidate for next NRT system introduces new moist physics scheme*
- *Aerosol assimilation leaves the total AOD largely unchanged*
- *Aerosol wet removal is affected by change in moist physics, and behaves differently across species simulated*
- *Detailed comparisons against airborne data will help adjust parameterizations to increase composition fidelity with respect to observations*

Courtesy of G. Partyka



Science Highlights

Raikoke Island Eruption, June 22, 2019

2019-06-21-0130z

Approach to entrain NRT observations of exceptional events not captured (well) in nominal modeling system

- *example: volcanic events*
- *inputs: satellite derived injection amounts and altitudes*
- *but the effort to do in NRT needs some refinement...*






Work on Pyro-CB Events

Assimilation system can't cope with oddball stratospheric injections like those from volcanic and pyro-cb events

- *missing source functions (e.g., volcanoes)*
- *even where satellite derived emissions are present (e.g., fires) for things like pyro-cb events we don't place correctly*





Detailed investigation of August 2017 Canadian pyro-cb event

Comparisons with OMPS-LP observations shows reasonable representation of smoke vertical profile and long-term evolution of stratospheric smoke loading






Das et al. in preparation 2019

Recent Variability of Middle Eastern Dust AOT in Observations and Models



Deseasonalized AOT (2003-2012)



Dust AOD variability seems to be associated with trend in vegetative cover (NDVI) across Syria and Iraq. New dust production scheme will be sensitive to this variability.

Observed slope in Middle Eastern dust-related AOD (AERONET-Solar Village, MISR) is evident in GEOS simulations with aerosol data assimilation (MERRA-2) but not in simulations without.



Airborne Observations Informing Treatment of Aerosol Scavenging

NASA Atmospheric Tomography mission (ATom) flew profiles with DC-8 in remote oceans during four seasons

PALMS single particle mass spectra reveal the ubiquity of biomass burning particles in remote troposphere

25% of aerosol mass in remote troposphere is BB aerosol!

*GEOS simulations **greatly improved by incorporating cold-cloud scavenging processes***



Assimilation and OSSE Activities

Aerosol Observing System

Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) is the most commonly available observable


- Vertically integrated mass weighted by extinction coefficient, summed over multiple species: **low observability**

Radiance assimilation:

- Vector scattering calculations needed for UV-VIS measurements are **computationally demanding**
- Surface BRDF characterization is a challenge

Surface PM 2.5

- Single level
- Often plagued by **representativeness errors**




Lidar measurements provide vertical info

- Spatial **coverage is poor** (pencil thin)
- Attenuated backscatter again requires optical assumptions which are not directly measured
 - ✓ HSRL concept is promising

1-D EnsVar GEOS/Lidar Retrievals


Using vertical profiles of total attenuated backscatter from the CATS lidar on the ISS, a 1-D ensemble based variational (1-D EnsVar) retrieval approach has been developed using model priors from GEOS:

- The approach is flexible and can be used to retrieve speciated aerosol optical quantities and mass concentration*
- Currently, retrievals are being made for the entire CATS data record (2015–2017)*



Observations from CATS enhance the AOD over central Africa and South America during the August 2016 biomass burning season

Dark Target Combined LEO & GEO



- *Dark Target Algorithm is implemented on all 6 sensors*
- *Aerosol product is created for the 6 sensors for one month*
 - *Data integration and validation is on-going*

Dark Target (DT) ABI Aerosol Retrievals

Algorithm is adapted from MODIS-DT and VIIRS-DT

- Uses wavelengths in VIR, NIR, and SWIR for aerosol retrieval and TIR for cloud masking
- Accounts for wavelength shifts and gas absorption
- Retrieves in NxN boxes of native resolution pixels to get ~10 km resolution products
- Like MODIS-DT retrieves:
 - AOD at 550 nm
 - Spectral AOD
 - Diagnostics and QA flags



Sensor wavelengths/native pixel resolution

	MODIS	VIIRS	ABI
Blue	0.47/0.5	0.49/0.75	0.47/1.0
Green	0.55/0.5	0.55/0.75	
Red	0.66/0.25	0.67/0.75	0.64/0.5
NIR	0.86/0.25	0.86/0.75	0.86/1.0
NIR	1.24/0.5	1.24/0.75	
Cirrus	1.38/0.5	1.38/0.75	1.38/2.0
SWIR	1.61/0.5	1.61/0.75	1.61/1.0
SWIR	2.11/0.5	2.25/0.75	2.25/2.0

Dark Target (DT) ABI Aerosol Retrievals

*ABI-DT Product very useful for
GEOS assimilation*

- Provides “cloud-cleared” data
- Variables names are the same as MODIS
- Product files are NetCDF format
- Currently processing ABI on GOES-16 and AHI on Himawari-8, plan is to eventually process entire 5+ years of AHI and 2+ years of ABI
- Observations of diurnal aerosol!



Additional Data Screening for Data Assimilation

Cloud Screening



- Cloud fraction < 0.7 for $AOD > 2$
- Cloud fraction < 0.25 for $AOD < 2$

Geometry

- $SZA < 60$
- Ocean: Glint Angle > 70 , Scattering Angle < 170



QA

- Land: BEST quality flag
- Ocean: Non-Zero quality flag




Impact of ABI on AOD Analysis

18Z August 10, 2018




Impact of ABI on AOD Analysis

Monthly Mean August, 2018




AERONET Verification: August 2018


MODIS Aerosol Optical Depth (2018-08)




MODIS+GEO Aerosol Optical Depth (2018-08)



AERONET Verification: August 2018



OSSE Work to Support NASA Decadal Survey Study



- *GEOS global 6 km Nature Run (G5NR) provides a known (“true”) atmosphere and aerosol state*
- *VLIDORT is run with G5NR inputs to generate synthetic observations in order to explore observation and retrieval parameter space*
- *The example shown here is a simulation of the intensity and degree of linear polarization for a HARP-like multi-angle/multi-spectral aerosol polarimeter, and a GRASP retrieval fit to the “observations”*
- *This is groundwork toward performing architecture studies supporting the NASA Earth Science Decadal Mission study for future aerosol space missions*

Field Campaign Support

Field Campaign Support

Global chemical forecasts

- O₃, aerosols, CO, CO₂, SO₂
- Constituents transported on-line, radiatively interactive
- Nominally 12.5 km
- 10-day forecast (0z)
- 5-day forecast (12z)



Global Modeling and Assimilation Office

GMAO

[Weather](#) | [Seasonal](#) | [Reanalysis](#) | [Mission Support](#)

Navigation

- » Datagrams
- » WxMaps
- » Chem Maps
- » Observing System Stats
- » Radiances Monitoring
- » Observation Impacts
- » WMS Viewer: GEOS Aerosols

Data Access

- » HTTPS
Assimilation | Forecast
- » OPeNDAP
Assimilation | Forecast

Weather Analyses and Forecasts

Datagrams

WxMaps

Chem Maps

Observing System Statistics

12z 2018-06-03

METOP-A AMSUA: TB Obs [K]

<https://fluid.nccs.nasa.gov/weather>


ICAP Meeting, Tsukuba, Japan, July 22-24, 2019



Field Campaign Support


Forecast Initialized on 00z 07/04/2019
Aerosol Optical Thickness

GEOS FP Forecast Initialized on 00z 07/04/2019
Aerosol Optical Thickness




GMAO


GEOSFP




CAMS




RAQMS



HRRR



WRFchem



015 hr forecast valid Thu 15z 2019-07-04



<https://fluid.nccs.nasa.gov/weather>

Summary

- *New scale-aware convection includes coupling between aerosols and cloud droplet size and rainfall*
- *Significant aerosol updates coming in next FP (prognostic SOA, NDVI based Dust, Brown Carbon)*
- *Adopting DT aerosol retrievals based on MODIS-heritage algorithms from Rob Levy's group*
 - *AERONET validation shows clear benefits of assimilating ABI aerosol*
- *Aerosol analysis migrating to EnKF based system*
 - *New observables: multi-spectral AOD, attenuated backscatter*

