

55th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference 19-21 August, 2019, Indianapolis, Indiana

Multi-Node Modeling of Cryogenic Tank Pressurization System using Generalized Fluid System Simulation Program

Alak Bandyopadhyay

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Alabama A & M University, Normal, AL 35762

&

Alok K. Majumdar, Andre C. Leclair and Juan G. Valenzuela

Propulsion System Department, NASA Marshall Space Center, Huntsville, AL 35812



CONTENT

- Purpose & Objective
- GFSSP Overview
- *Review of Cryogenic Tank Pressurization Model*
- Test Program Overview
- GFSSP Modeling of Tank Pressurization
- Comparison of Model Results with Test Data
- Conclusions



Purpose & Objective

- Historically, Cryogenic Tank Pressurization is either modeled by a single node using Fluid System Code (GFSSP & ROCETS) or by high fidelity Navier-Stokes code (FLUENT or CFX).
- Use of multi-node modeling using Fluid System code has not been explored. The main purpose of this paper is to describe a multi-node system modeling of cryogenic tank pressurization in GFSSP
- In recent years, a test program has been conducted at NASA/MSFC to measure boil-off of cryogenic liquid propellant in a flight tank to support United Launch Alliance's IVF (Inter Vehicular Fluid) program where boil-off propellants are used to pressurize the tank
- The model results have been compared with test data

GFSSP(Generalized Fluid System Simulation Program)

Example of Heat Exchanger Model to define Network elements of a conjugate Internal heat transfer problem



Thermodynamic and Thermo-physical properties are obtained from built-in GASP and GASPAK



Program Structure & Numerical Scheme







Review of Tank Pressurization Model

- In Liquid Propulsion System, accurate modeling of Cryogenic Tank Pressurization is needed to
- a) Ensure safe operation of the turbo-pump
- b) Estimate amount of pressurant requirement
- c) Estimate boil-off of Liquid Propellant
- Cryogenic Tank Pressurization model must account for
- a) Heat Transfer between ullage and wall
- b) Heat Transfer between ullage and liquid propellant
- c) Evaporative mass transfer between liquid propellant and ullage





Review of Tank Pressurization Model Zero Dimensional Model





Zero Dimensional Model Results





Zero Dimensional Model Validation

- Collapse Factor Correlation (Epstein)
 - Ratio of *actual* pressurant consumption to an *ideal* pressurant consumption which assumes **no** heat or mass transfer

$$\frac{w_p}{w_p^0} = \left\{ \left(\frac{T_0}{T_s} - 1 \right) \left[1 - \exp(-p_1 C^{p_2}) \right] \times \left[1 - \exp(-p_3 S^{p_4}) \right] + 1 \right\} \times \exp\left[-p_5 \left(\frac{1}{1+C} \right)^{p_6} \left(\frac{S}{1+S} \right)^{p_7} Q^{p_8} \right]$$

where:

$$w_{p}^{0} = \rho_{G}^{0} \Delta V \qquad C = \frac{\left(\rho c_{p}^{0} t\right)_{w}}{\left(\rho c_{p}\right)_{G}^{0} D_{eq}} \frac{T_{s}}{T_{0}} \qquad S = \frac{h_{c} \theta_{T}}{\left(\rho c_{p}\right)_{G}^{0} D_{eq}} \frac{T_{s}}{T_{0}} \qquad Q = \frac{\dot{q} \theta_{T}}{\left(\rho c_{p}\right)_{G}^{0} D_{eq} T_{0}}$$

- *C* ratio of wall to gas thermal capacitance
- $p_1 p_8$ fitted constants (dependent on propellant)
- *Q* ratio of ambient heat input to effective thermal capacitance of gas
- S modified Stanton number
- T₀ pressurant inlet temperature
- T_s propellant saturation temperature at initial tank pressure
 - Pressurization Model Validation
 - **GFSSP** Collapse Factor Prediction: **1.46**
 - Epstein Correlation Collapse Factor Prediction: 1.51
 - GFSSP Prediction Discrepancy: -3.3%



One Dimensional Self-Pressurization Model of Cryogenic Tank



Lifting Eyes (× 4)



Results of Self-Pressurization Model





Integrated Vehicle Fluid System Overview





Test Program at MSFC

Flight Tank provided by ULA







Two Dimensional Axisymmetric Model of Tank Pressurization



Working Fluid: Nitrogen, Tank Height \approx 10 ft, Tank Dia \approx 10 ft



Heat and Mass Transfer Model at Liquid-Ullage Interface



$$Q_{UI} = h_{UI}A(T_U - T_I)$$
$$Q_{IL} = h_{IL}A(T_I - T_L)$$

Evaporative Mass Transfer:

$$\dot{m} = \frac{Q_{UI} - Q_{IL}}{h_{fg}} \, .$$

Heat Transfer Coefficients using Natural Convection

$$h_{UI} = K_H C \frac{k_f}{L_s} \operatorname{Ra}^n = h_{IL},$$

C = 0.27, n = 0.25, K_H = 0.5

Net Heat Transfer Rate:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\text{net}} = \dot{m} [C_{P,l}(T_I - T_L) + h_{fg}]$$



Results for 75% Fill Level

Temperature contour /stream traces





Results for 45% Fill Level



Temperature contour /stream traces





Conclusions

- This paper demonstrates the feasibility of system level modeling of tank pressurization using multiple nodes.
- The ullage of a flight tank has been modeled using 25 nodes and 40 branches where mass and energy conservation equations were solved at the nodes and momentum equations are solved at the branches.
- Gravity, heat and mass transfer at the liquid vapor interface, and heat transfer between solid and fluid are accounted for in the governing equations.
- The model results have been verified by comparing with test data.
- The advantage of using multiple nodes in a system level code is that it allows prediction of recirculation and stratification with a fraction of the computational cost of a high fidelity Navier-Stokes code.



Acknowledgement

- This work is supported by NASA Space Technology Mission Directorate's Evolvable Cryogenics (eCryo) project
- The authors want to acknowledge Arthur Werkheiser of NASA/MSFC for their contribution
- More information about GFSSP is available at

https://www.nasa.gov/gfssp

 GFSSP is available free of cost for US Government work from MSFC Tech Transfer Office

https://software.nasa.gov/software/MFS-33019-1