Evaluation of Masseter Muscle Volume after Contouring of Prominent Mandible Angle by Measurement of CT Scan Image
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Introduction

Since the development of computed tomography by G.N. Hounsfield in 1972, digital imaging technique has shown an eye opening progress through the last decade. In 1984 Robert et. al. used 3-dimmensional computed tomography in analyzing normal mandibular joint structures, and thus, 3-dimentional imaging of the human anatomy became possible (1-3). The progress of imaging technique has made quantitative analysis of preoperative and postoperative states possible, enabling objective verification of the anatomical changes.

Prominent mandible angle, which is commonly seen in Asians, was first reported by Legg in 1880, as masseter muscle hypertrophy. Since then, masseter muscle resection was done by Gurney in 1947. Adams4 who thought the cause of prominent angle was due to the prominence of the mandible bone as well as muscle hypertrophy, reported surgical correction through angle ostectomy in addition to masseter muscle resection. In 1977, after Converse, mandible angle ostectomy with resection of masseter muscle by the intraoral approach was performed simultaneously. Recently, the phenomenon of reduction of masseter muscle by mandible angle ostectomy alone, was clinically experienced (5-9). Maxwell (10) explained it as the hypotrophy caused by loss of tension of the masseter muscle. However, it
was not proven objectively by enumeration. In this study, we measured and analyzed the change of volume of masseter muscle before and after mandible angle ostectomy based on computed tomography image information, and thus the method and results are reported here.

**Materials and Methods**

The volume of masseter muscle of 6 normal individuals (aged from 13 to 37 years) and 8 patients (aged from 18 to 46 years, 6 bilateral and 2 unilateral cases) who visited our hospital from January 2002 to June 2004 was measured (Table 1). The patient group data includes presurgical volumes, early postsurgical volumes (within 5 months after surgery) and of late postsurgical volumes (5 up to 9 months after surgery)(Table 2). The surgery was performed under general anesthesia and only mandible angle ostectomy by the intraoral approach was performed. To measure the volume, tomographic images were taken by 2 mm thickness with 1 mm interpolation, 12 bit, and 512×512 pixels and transmitted subsequently from the hard disk of computed tomography scanner to an IBM personal computer by the interface π-Viewer (Mediface, Seoul, Korea). All axial image information was stored as DICOM format. Then by using Analyze AVW 5.0 (Analyze AVW, Inc. 11425 Strang Line Road Lenexa, KS, 66215 USA) program, division of the area to be analyzed, 3 dimensional reconstruction of the divided image data, and measurement of the volume were performed (Fig. 1 and 2). The obtained data was analyzed by two sample t-test and paired t-test using SAS (version 8.2) program.

**Results**

In normal female group, volume average was 16,142±2,829.8 mm\(^3\). In patient group, preoperative volume averaged 24,447±4,544.5mm\(^3\) (p<0.0001), early postoperative volume measured average of 31,966±50,421mm\(^3\) which is a 30% increase from the preoperative volume (p<0.0001). Late postoperative measurement was 20,202±4,092.3 mm\(^3\), which is a 20% decrease from the preoperative volume (p<0.0006)(Table 2).
Discussion

The external appearance of prominent mandible angle is considered as an appearance with the combined effect of masseter muscle and mandible angle. Therefore, the contouring of prominent mandible angle was treated by bony contouring and/or resection of masseter muscle. However, masseter muscle resection has the risk of injuring the major blood vessel and nerves, and sometimes it may cause trismus. In addition, the hypotrophy of masseter muscle was reported only after mandible angle ostectomy, so, the necessity of masseter muscle resection has been under discussion (4-9). The result of our study shows that patient group displays statistically significant larger volume than in normal group. This result is considered as same as generally known concept in prominent mandible angle. In other word, the final appearance of prominent mandible angle is the result of not only bony prominence of the mandible angle, but also normal masseter hypertrophy.

In comparison between the preoperative measurement and early postoperative measurement, a statistically significant increase in masseter muscle volume was seen (p<0.0001). This is believed to be because of the edema after all the dissection and traction during operation, the loss of muscle tension, and accumulation of connective tissues and adipocytes within the masseter muscle (11, 12). However, additional researches are required. Significant decrease was noted in comparison between preoperative and late postoperative volumes (p<0.0006). Masseter volume decreased despite the regular oral food uptake, and thus it is considered to be a continuous result rather than a temporary one, because the follow up duration of late post-op. is from 5months to 9months.

In 1994, Hong et al. (11) reported an experiment performed on rabbits. After curved angle ostectomy, gradual histological volume decrease of masseter muscle was observed. The causality was reported to be the loss of normal function by disinsertion of the muscle attachment area, reduction of muscle tension or the change of contraction direction of the masticatory muscle. In addition, in 2004, Gerber (12) reported that after tenotomy of the rotator cuff in sheeps, muscle atrophy was brought about and confirmed by CT. Also, in this study, accumulation of adipocytes was detected in histologic and electron microscopic examination. Such muscle atrophy has been reported to be irreversible. Elevation of periosteum surrounding the mandible seems to decrease the tension of the masseter muscle, similar to tenotomy. Also, ostectomy of the mandible angle decreases the masseter’s attachment area to the mandible, decreasing the tension even more, inhibiting quantitative recovery of muscle volume.

In our study, mandible angle ostectomy was done by resection of mandible angle only. However, numerous studies report that resection of mandible body including the mandible angle in-duces even more decrease in masseter muscle volume after surgery (4-9). Therefore, difference of muscle atrophy amount seems to depend on surgical technique, but conclusion requires additional comparative studies.

Even though, this study can confirm the hypotrophic effect of masseter muscle after bony angle resection, objectively, we have to study more to clarify how much bony resection result how much reduction of masseter muscle volume.

Conclusion

The bone reduction of prominent mandible angle induce the hypotrophic effect of masseter muscle after long term follow up (5 more months). This result mean that the result of mandible angle contouring surgery can be considered as combined effect of bony angle reduction and subsequent masseter muscle hypotrophy.
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