

COPYRIGHT NOTICE



FedUni ResearchOnline

https://researchonline.federation.edu.au

This is the published version of:

Toukhsati, S., Jaarsma, T., Babu, A., Driscoll, A., Hare, D. (2019) Self-care interventions that reduce hospital readmissions in patients with heart failure; towards the identification of change agents. *Clinical Medicine Insights: Cardiology, Vol. 13, p. 1-8.*

Available online at https://doi.org/10.1177/1179546819856855

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is cited and the use is non-commercial. Commercial use is not permitted.



Self-Care Interventions That Reduce Hospital Readmissions in Patients With Heart Failure; Towards the Identification of Change Agents

SR Toukhsati^{1,2,3}, T Jaarsma^{4,5}, AS Babu^{2,3,6}, A Driscoll^{3,7} and DL Hare^{2,3}

¹School of Health and Life Sciences, Psychology, Federation University Australia, Berwick, VIC, Australia. ²Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ³Department of Cardiology, Austin Health, Heidelberg, VIC, Australia. ⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Linköping, Linköping, Sweden. ⁵Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁶Department of Physiotherapy, School of Allied Health Sciences, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India. ⁷School of Nursing and Midwifery, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia.

Clinical Medicine Insights: Cardiology Volume 13: 1–8 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1179546819856855



ABSTRACT: Unplanned hospital readmissions are the most important, preventable cost in heart failure (HF) health economics. Current professional guidelines recommend that patient self-care is an important means by which to reduce this burden. Patients with HF should be engaged in their care such as by detecting, monitoring, and managing their symptoms. A variety of educational and behavioural interventions have been designed and implemented by health care providers to encourage and support patient self-care. Meta-analyses support the use of self-care interventions to improve patient self-care and reduce hospital readmissions; however, efficacy is variable. The aim of this review was to explore methods to achieve greater clarity and consistency in the development and reporting of self-care interventions to enable 'change agents' to be identified. We conclude that advancement in this field requires more explicit integration and reporting on the behaviour change theories that inform the design of self-care interventions and the selection of behaviour change techniques. The systematic application of validated checklists, such as the Theory Coding Scheme and the CALO-RE taxonomy, will improve the systematic testing and refinement of interventions to enable 'change agent/s' to be identified and optimised.

KEYWORDS: self-care, heart failure, readmission, adherence, change agent

RECEIVED: May 2, 2018. ACCEPTED: May 17, 2019.

TYPE: Review

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTEREST: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: SR Toukhsati, Faculty of Health, Federation University, Berwick, VIC 3806, Australia. Email: s.toukhsati@federation.edu.au

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a global epidemic with significant morbidity and mortality risks. HF is defined as a complex clinical syndrome characterised by typical symptoms (eg, breathlessness, ankle swelling, and fatigue) that may be accompanied by signs (eg, elevated jugular venous pressure, pulmonary crackles, and peripheral oedema) that result from structural and/or functional cardiac abnormality, resulting in reduced cardiac output and/or elevated intracardiac pressures at rest or during stress. Over 23 million people worldwide have HF, with the annual risk increasing tenfold between the ages of 60 and 90,5 and a 50% risk of death within 5 years of diagnosis While the incidence of HF is thought to have declined in recent years, the true burden is likely under-reported in mortality and self-report data, particularly from non-western nations.

HF is usually secondary to coronary artery disease (CAD) and, potentially, the 'common final pathway' for surviving patients. Disease progression in HF is unpredictable but commonly characterised by a downwards trajectory of functional decline with stable periods punctuated by acute decompensation. As an age-related illness, patients with HF tend have a high burden of comorbid illness (such as diabetes mellitus and renal failure) and cognitive decline, both of which explain a

large proportion of hospital readmissions and the concomitant trajectory for HF.¹¹ Care planning and delivery in HF is thus complex, multidisciplinary, and resource intensive.

Unplanned 30-day all-cause readmissions in the setting of HF remain high, 12,13 with 1 million HF admissions 14 resulting in a total expenditure for HF exceeding US\$30 billion in the United States alone.¹⁵ Nearly a quarter of patients admitted to hospital with acute HF will be readmitted within 30 days of discharge^{16,17} with the majority of these occurring within the first 14 days. 17 Looking beyond 30 days post-discharge, readmission rates increase to 30% at 60 days and 60% at 1 year. 18 Readmissions < 90 days in patients with HF tend to be attributable to cardiovascular disease (CVD) factors, 11 whereas readmissions beyond this timeframe are more likely to be attributable to comorbidities. 19 In recent years, there have been significant reductions in hospital readmissions greater than 30 days post-discharge, length of stay, and in-hospital mortality for HF. However, progress has been negligible with regard to reductions in 30-day readmissions. It is unclear if this is due to inherent problems with the clinical indicator or inadequate progress with improving discharge planning and transitional care.

Given that more than half of HF-related readmissions are preventable, with most attributed to poor adherence to a HF

Table 1. Meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials of self-care interventions and clinical outcomes in patients with HF.

STUDY	SAMPLE SIZE	OUTCOMES	TREATMENT EFFECT (95% CI)
McAlister et al ³⁴	5039	HF-related readmissions	RR=0.66 (0.52-0.83)
		All-cause readmissions	RR=0.73 (0.57-0.93)
		Mortality	RR=1.14 (0.67-1.94)
Gonseth et al ³⁶	6772	HF/CVD-related readmissions	RR=0.70 (0.62-0.79
		All-cause readmissions	RR=0.88 (0.79-0.97)
		Readmissions/mortality	RR=0.82 (0.72-0.94)
Jovicic et al ³³	857	HF-related readmissions	OR=0.44 (0.27-0.71)
		All-cause readmissions	OR=0.59 (0.44-0.80)
		Mortality	OR=0.93 (0.57-1.51)
Jonkman et al ³⁵	5624	HF-related readmissions/ mortality	HR=0.80 (0.71-0.89)
		HF-related readmissions	HR=0.80 (0.69-0.92)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF, heart failure; OR, odds ratio; RR: relative risk.

management plan,²⁰ there appears to be a significant role for patient self-care in secondary management. In this review, we consider the impact of self-care interventions on clinical outcomes in patients with HF. We explore contemporary research relating to 'change agents' in self-care interventions and identify the need for more explicit use and reporting of theoretical frameworks to guide the future development of self-care interventions. Finally, we consider the utility of Behaviour Change Technique (BCT) checklists to enable 'change agents' in self-care interventions to be identified and optimised.

Patient Self-Care

The complex care needs of patients with HF are resource intensive and dynamic, requiring regularly updated care plans³ and a strong patient-practitioner alliance.²¹ Current guidelines for HF management recommend a 'seamless system' of coordinated care that integrates the full scope of multidisciplinary services relevant to the HF 'health care journey'2,3 and tailored to the needs of individual patients and their local context.²² The guidelines emphasise the importance of patient self-care, which is broadly defined as a process of maintaining health through health-promoting practices and managing illness via maintenance, monitoring, and management behaviours.^{23,24} Self-care thus emphasises behaviours related to adherence (eg, maintaining lifestyle and medication regimens) and risk assessment (eg, recognition, evaluation, and appropriate action on signs and symptoms of HF).24,25 There is Class I, Level A evidence to support a prognostic benefit of patient self-care behaviours such as regular exercise, 2,26 but less certainty as to the benefits of sodium and fluid restriction. 22,27,28

The Effects of Self-Care Interventions on Clinical Outcomes

Interventions designed to equip patients with the knowledge and skills needed to monitor and manage their condition and to optimise modifiable risk factors are widely used by health care providers involved in HF management.²⁹ There is evidence from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) to suggest that self-care interventions can improve clinical outcomes in patients with HF, such as by reducing hospital readmissions. For example, Boyde et al³⁰ found that self-care education reduced the risk of unplanned hospital readmissions at 12 months by 30% in patients with HF (relative risk [RR] 0.703; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.55, 0.90). However, trial data are perhaps most notable for their inconsistency; poor patient adherence to self-care interventions likely explains some of these inconsistencies.³¹ Meta-analyses and systematic reviews have helped clarify clinical outcomes. For example, Ruppar et al's³² recent meta-analysis found that self-care interventions that emphasised medication adherence achieved a significant reduction in mortality risk (RR 0.89, 95% CI, 0.81, 0.99) and reduced the odds of hospital readmissions (odds ratio (OR) 0.79; 95% CI, 0.71, 0.89) in patients with HF. Metaanalyses generally report a benefit of self-care interventions on all-cause and HF-related readmissions, 33-35 but less consistency in reducing mortality risk (Table 1).

Change Agents in Self-Care Interventions

One of the challenges faced by healthcare practitioners working in HF management is that while there is general consensus regarding the importance of patient self-care, there is less agreement with regard to how it can best be facilitated. Notwithstanding efforts to operationalise the composition of

Toukhsati et al 3

self-care interventions,²⁹ there remains considerable variation in program composition (eg, skills and support-seeking training), intensity (eg, the number of planned contacts), duration (eg, number of weeks/months for program implementation), and delivery (eg, multidisciplinary vs single interventionist).³⁷ This diversity, alongside limited reporting of interventional content, has made it difficult to identify the change agents to which benefits in HF clinical endpoints, such as reduced hospital readmissions, can be attributed, 37-39 although several efforts have been made. 34,37,40 In their meta-analysis of 20 selfcare trials involving 5624 patients, Jonkman et al³⁷ examined whether specific program characteristics related to the implementation (such as the intensity, duration, training and type of interventionist, and group vs individual treatment) and content of self-care interventions (including goal-setting and problemsolving skills, support-seeking skills, and log keeping) predicted better clinical outcomes. Jonkman et al³⁷ was unable to link specific program 'change agents' to better self-care outcomes, but found that longer interventions yielded greater benefits. This may suggest that the 'mechanism of action' in self-care interventions is multi-factorial.⁴¹ For instance, although health literacy (including HF knowledge) is necessary, it is not sufficient to enable self-care. 41-43 However, it is also possible that effective program characteristics have not yet been identified and tested; the use of theoretical frameworks to inform the development of self-care interventions will enhance treatment efficacy and systematic evaluation. 44,45

Behaviour modification: theoretical frameworks

Behaviour modification theories provide a useful framework for understanding and predicting responses to behavioural interventions, such as those addressing self-care. For example, Bandura's influential theory of self-efficacy proposes that patient expectations and experiences of mastery in self-care activities are fundamental to behaviour change and maintenance.46 These concepts are integrated into the Health Belief Model (HBM) which proposes that engagement in healthpromoting behaviour is more likely if programs emphasise the risks of noncompliance, the benefits of the health behaviour, and ensure that patients possess the knowledge and skills required to successfully enact those behaviours.⁴⁴ Six key components of the HBM predict engagement in prevention, screening, and management of illness. These include one's perceived susceptibility to, and the perceived severity of, illness; perceived benefits of action; perceived costs of action relative to benefits; cues to action (such as education), and; self-efficacy to perform health-promoting actions.⁴⁴

Interventions that are driven by theory target the antecedents of behaviour, such as self-efficacy, and are thus more likely to be effective. ⁴⁷ Behavioural theories are widely used to inform disease management (DM) programs and often appear to have been applied to self-care interventions for patients with HF.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ For example,

patients with HF assigned to an educational intervention reported significant improvements in HBM elements of self-care.51,52 No changes were observed in controls. However, explicit information that describes how theory was used in the design of interventions and that links intervention techniques to theory is often lacking,⁴⁵ despite the availability of frameworks that can be implemented to assist in this process. For example, the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) provides a theory driven approach to designing and evaluating behavioural interventions that is derived from the synthesis of 83 theories of behaviour and behaviour change.⁴⁷ One means of improving the systematic development and evaluation of self-care interventions for patients with HF would be to report on the use of theory in the design of interventions, whether and how the intervention tests theory, and how findings inform theory development^{45,53} together with taxonomic evaluations of behaviour, the application of the TDF and related approaches can be used to systematically advance understanding of behavioural change agents.

Taxonomic evaluation of program attributes

Alongside the use and application of health promotion and behaviour modification theories to inform the development and refinement of self-care interventions, greater effort to standardise reporting is also needed to enable the identification of 'change agents'. The AHA Taxonomy advocates reporting across eight domains of DM to improve comparisons between interventions and uniformity in their design and implementation. ⁵⁴ With regard to program attributes, the AHA Taxonomy recommends reporting on the inclusion of patient education, medication management, peer support, and post-acute care in DM interventions. The AHA Taxonomy provides a useful benchmark by which to systematise reporting on critical components of DM interventions, but lacks a comprehensive checklist of generic BCTs that have been shown to effect change in disease contexts.

With the exception of published intervention protocols, published studies seldom include sufficient detail of interventions to readily enable replication and identification of change agents.55 The use of standardised definitions and reporting protocols is needed to enable the scientific study and development of interventions designed to improve health behaviours.47,55 In one such example, Abraham and Mitchie's56 26-item taxonomy designed to evaluate the composition of health-promoting interventions, enabled systematic reviews to identify the benefits of self-monitoring as a change agent.⁵⁵ The 'Coventry, Aberdeen & London – Refined' (CALO-RE) taxonomy is a refinement of this work and offers a 40-item index of BCTs that provides a standardised method for describing and evaluating behavioural interventions. 55 The CALO-RE is useful in the current context as it indexes BCTs used to encourage health-promoting behaviours such as physical exercise and healthy diet. To illustrate the utility of this approach, we have applied the CALO-RE taxonomy to index the

Table 2. CALO-RE taxonomy.55

CALO-RE TAXONOMY		SELF.	CARE	NTERV	SELF-CARE INTERVENTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH HF	US FOR	PATIE	TIS WIT	보포											
		-	2	3	4	5 6	3 7	8	6	10	Ξ	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Information on the consequences of behaviour	1. In general	>	>	C	,	<i>></i>	>	`	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
2. Tc	2. To the individual	>	>	<i>ر</i> .	`	,	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
3. Normative approval		×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	×	×	×	×	×
4. Normative behaviour		×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	×	×	×	٥.	×
Goal setting 5. Be	5. Behavioural goals	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	>	>	×	×	٥.	>
0.0	6. Outcome goals	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	>	٥.	×	×	٥.	×
7. Action planning		>	>	>	,	>	,	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	٥.	>	>	>
8. Barriers and problem-solving		>	>	<i>ر</i> .	٠,	>	ڼ	>	c-	<i>c</i> -	<i>د</i> .	٠.	>	>	>	>	٥.	٥.	>	ć.
9. Stepped tasks		×	×	<i>ر</i> .	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Review goals 10. B	10. Behavioural goals	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	>	<i>ر</i> .	×	×	٥.	>
11. C	11. Outcome goals	×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	>	>	<i>ر</i> .	×	×	<i>ر</i> .	×
12. Reward for progress		×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	>
13. Reward for successful behaviour	viour	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
14. Shaping		×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
15. Generalisation of behaviour		×	×	×	×	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
16. Self-monitoring of behaviour	_	>	<i>د</i> .	>	,	<i>></i>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
17. Self-monitoring of behavioural outcome	al outcome	>	٠.	>	,	>	>	`	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
18. Reflection on past success		×	×	×	×	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
19. Feedback on performance		>	>	>	`	`	<i>></i>	>	>	>	<i>د</i> .	>	>	>	ċ	<i>د</i>	>	>	>	>
Advice on behaviour 20. V performance	20. When and where	>	>	>	,	,	<i>></i>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
21. How	Ном	>	>	>	,	<i>></i>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
22. Model the behaviour		>	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	×	×	×	×	×
23. Teach environmental prompts/cues for behaviour	ots/cues for behaviour	×	×	>	×	× C-	×	*	¢-	>	×	×	c-	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

Table 2. (Continued)

CALO-RE TAXONOMY	SELF-CA	ARE IN	TERVE	SNOITN	FOR PA	TIENTS	RE INTERVENTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH HF	누										
	-	2 3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	F	12 1	13 1.	14 15	5 16	3 17	18	19	20
24. Environmental restructuring	>	<i>ن</i> ×	×	<i>د.</i>	×	×	×	×	خ	×	×	ن	×	×	×	×	×	×
25. Behavioural contract	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
26. Prompt practice	>	×	*	c-	×	×	×	>	>	×	٠٠	×.	<i>د</i> .	٠.	×	<i>~</i>	×	×
27. Low-intensive follow-up	>	>	×	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	,	>	×	×	>	×	×	×
28. Social comparison	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	`	×	×	×	>	×
29. Plan social support	>	٠	`	>	>	>	>	×	>	×	,	>	>	,	×	×	>	>
30. Identify role model	×	×	х	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	`	×	×	×	×	×
31. Reflection: regret for failure	×	×	х	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×
32. Initiate fear arousal through risk awareness	×	× >	x	>	×	>	×	×	>	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×
33. Promote self-talk	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×
34. Reflection: successful performance of behaviour	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	``	×	×	×	×	×
35. Behaviour maintenance	×	× ~	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
36. Stress management	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	*	>	×	×	×	×
37. Motivational interviewing	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	ن	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	>	>
38. Time management	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	×
39. Communication skills training	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	х	>	×	×	>	×
40. Anticipation of future rewards	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	х	×	×	×	×	×
Clinical outcomes in treatment arm	-	2 3	4	5	9	7	œ	6	10	=	12 1	13 1	14 15	5 16	3 17	18	19	20
Reduced HF-related readmissions	>	×	1	>	I	>	×	1	<u></u>	ı	^ +×	×	×	I	×	×	I	×
Reduced all-cause readmissions	×	×	,	×	>	>	×	ı	ı	+	1	×	1	I	I	×	×	I
Reduced mortality	×	x I	>	I	×	>	ı	ı	>	*	×	×	*	I	×	×	×	×

Abbreviation: (CALO-RE), Coventry, Aberdeen & London – Refined; HF, heart failure.

1, Rich and colleagues^{27,59}; 2, Jaarsma et al⁵⁹; 3, Slue et al⁶⁹; 4, Stromberg et al⁶⁹; 5, Riegel et al⁶⁹; 5, Riegel et al⁶⁹; 5, Riegel et al⁶⁹; 5, Riegel et al⁶⁹; 15, Riegel et al⁶⁹; 16, Agren et al⁷⁰; 17, Peters-Kilmm et al⁷¹; 18, DeWalt et al¹⁴⁹; 19, Heisler et al⁷²; 20, Otsu and Moriyama. ⁷³

7 this element appears to have been included/clinical outcomes were significant; * this element appears not to have been included/clinical outcomes were significant; * this element appears and/or mortality; - not assessed.

program composition of self-care interventions reported in Jonkman et al³⁷ (Table 2). One of the challenges, which speaks to the problem at hand, is that few published studies provide sufficient detail to enable full indexation of self-care interventions as per the CALO-RE.

As shown in Table 2, all self-care interventions included an educational component that provided disease-specific information and addressed the importance of self-care behaviours. This is consistent with Riegel et al's23 suggestion that improving patient knowledge of their condition is fundamental for the development of self-care skills, which has been linked to reduced HF hospitalizations (RR 0.60; 95% CI 0.41-0.88; McAlister et al³⁴). Most interventions appeared to incorporate action planning as to what the patient will do to monitor and maintain optimal health (ie, such as how to recognise and respond to signs and symptoms of HF) and many interventions appeared to address barriers to self-care and ways of overcoming them. Most interventions appeared to prompt monitoring of self-care behaviour (ie, such as through the use of weight charts and diaries) and behavioural outcomes (ie, changes in weight) and provided feedback on self-care performance. All interventions provided information on when and how to perform self-care behaviours (eg, instructions regarding medications) and most interventions included low-intensity follow-up (eg, such as telephone calls provided at increasing time intervals) and incorporated carers/relatives of patients into social support planning. In general, interventions did not appear to incorporate rewards for progress towards or achievement of self-care behaviour, although verbal praise may have been a component of follow-up monitoring. Outwardly, these data suggest a relatively consistent approach to self-care interventions, perhaps illustrating the application of a common theoretical framework; however, clinical outcomes were highly variable. To enable the identification of change agents, researchers are encouraged to provide details of the theoretical framework that guided the development of the intervention, a checklist of content (such as using the CALO-RE taxonomy), and procedural details (such as the duration and mode of delivery of the intervention).

Summary and Conclusions

Taken together, the literature suggests that self-care interventions for patients with HF have potential to improve self-care and to improve clinical endpoints (including lower risk of unplanned hospital readmissions, but perhaps not mortality). 35,74 However, efficacy is variable; determining how and why this is the case remains a contemporary challenge. Recent attempts to systematise nomenclature 29 and identify 'change agents' 37 in self-care interventions seek to address these issues; however, insufficient reporting of interventional components undermines these efforts. The application of, and reporting on, behaviour change theories in the design of self-care interventions is needed to progress this field. The Theory Coding Scheme 45 provides one such checklist that has been used successfully in the design of behaviour change inventions in other chronic disease populations. 53

Alongside the integration of theory, explicit reporting of BCTs is needed to enable more systematic testing of interventional elements and the identification of change agents. The CALO-RE⁵⁵ is a 40-item taxonomy of BCTs that can be used as a checklist to improve reporting on the content of self-care interventions and, subsequently, enhance future replication and evaluation. Advancement in this field thus requires (1) clear and explicit integration of behaviour change theory to the design of self-care interventions, (2) a structured approach to reporting on BCTs. The combination of these approaches will enhance the systematic design, development, and refinement of self-care interventions towards the identification of change agents to which clinical benefits may be attributed.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed towards the content, drafting and revisions of this manuscript and have approved the final version.

ORCID IDs

SR Toukhsati https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2937-0895 AS Babu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1084-0137

REFERENCES

- Luscher TF. Heart failure: the cardiovascular epidemic of the 21st century. Eur Heart J. 2015;36:395–397. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehv004.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al.; Authors/Task Force Members. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2129–2200. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128. Epub 2016 May 20.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:e147–e239. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019.
- 4. Roger VL. Epidemiology of heart failure. Circ Res. 2013;113:646-659.
- Ho KK, Pinsky JL, Kannel WB, Levy D. The epidemiology of heart failure: the Framingham study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1993;22:6A-13A.
- Bleumink GS, Knetsch AM, Sturkenboom MC, et al. Quantifying the heart failure epidemic: prevalence, incidence rate, lifetime risk and prognosis of heart failure The Rotterdam Study. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1614–1619. doi:10.1016/j. ehj.2004.06.038.
- Sahle BW, Owen AJ, Mutowo MP, Krum H, Reid CM. Prevalence of heart failure in Australia: a systematic review. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2016;16:32. doi:10.1186/s12872-016-0208-4.
- Banerjee A, Mendis S. Heart failure: the need for global health perspective. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2013;9:97–98.
- Kheirbek RE, Alemi F, Citron BA, Afaq MA, Wu H, Fletcher RD. Trajectory of illness for patients with congestive heart failure. *J Palliat Med.* 2013;16:478– 484. doi:10.1089/jpm.2012.0510.
- 10. National Heart Foundation of Australia and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (Chronic Heart Failure Guidelines Expert Writing Panel). Guidelines for the prevention, detection and management of chronic heart failure in Australia. Updated October. https://www.heartfoundation.org.au/images/uploads/publications/Chronic_Heart_Failure_Guidelines_2011.pdf. Published October 2011. Accessed June 1, 2019.
- Muzzarelli S, Leibundgut G, Maeder MT, et al.; TIME-CHF Investigators. Predictors of early readmission or death in elderly patients with heart failure. Am Heart J. 2010;160:308–314. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2010.05.007.
- Ambrosy AP, Fonarow GC, Butler J, et al. The global health and economic burden of hospitalizations for heart failure: lessons learned from hospitalized heart failure registries. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:1123–1133. doi:10.1016/j. iacc 2013 11 053
- Bergethon KE, Ju C, DeVore AD, et al. Trends in 30-day readmission rates for patients hospitalized with heart failure: findings from the get with the guidelines-heart failure registry. Circ Heart Fail. 2016;9:e002594. doi:10.1161/ CIRCHEARTFAILURE.115.002594.

Toukhsati et al 7

 Gheorghiade M, Vaduganathan M, Fonarow GC, Bonow RO. Rehospitalization for heart failure: problems and perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:391–403. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.09.038.

- Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al.; American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics 2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135:e146–e603. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000000485.
- Joynt KE, Jha AK. Who has higher readmission rates for heart failure, and why? implications for efforts to improve care using financial incentives. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2011;4:53–59. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.110.950964.
- Dharmarajan K, Hsieh AF, Lin Z, et al. Diagnoses and timing of 30-day readmissions after hospitalization for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, or pneumonia. JAMA. 2013;309:355–363.
- Chun S, Tu JV, Wijeysundera HC, et al. Lifetime analysis of hospitalizations and survival of patients newly admitted with heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. 2012;5:414–421. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.111.964791.
- Dunlay SM, Redfield MM, Weston SA, et al. Hospitalizations after heart failure diagnosis a community perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:1695–1702. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.08.019.
- Mangini S, Pires PV, Braga FGM, Bacal F. Decompensated heart failure. Einstein (Sao Paulo). 2013;11:383–391. doi:10.1590/S1679-45082013000300022.
- Toukhsati SR, Driscoll A, Hare DL. Patient self-management in chronic heart failure – establishing concordance between guidelines and practice. *Card Fail Rev.* 2015;1:128–131. doi:10.15420/cfr.2015.1.2.128.
- Lainscak M, Blue L, Clark AL, et al. Self-care management of heart failure: practical recommendations from the Patient Care Committee of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Heart Fail. 2011;13:115–126. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfq219.
- Riegel B, Jaarsma T, Stromberg A. A middle-range theory of self-care of chronic illness. ANS Adv Nurs Sci. 2012;35:194–204.
- 24. Riegel B, Moser DK, Buck HG, et al.; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Self-care for the prevention and management of cardiovascular disease and stroke: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e006997. doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.006997.
- Riegel B, Dickson VV, Faulkner KM. The situation-specific theory of heart failure self-care: revised and updated. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2016;31:226–235. doi:10.1097/JCN.0000000000000244.
- Davies Moxham T, Rees K, Singh S, et al. Exercise training for systolic heart failure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail. 2010;12:706-715. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfq056.
- Doukky R, Avery E, Mangla A, et al. Impact of dietary sodium restriction on heart failure outcomes. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2016;4:24–35. doi:10.1016/j. jchf.2015.08.007.
- Konerman MC, Hummel SL. Sodium restriction in heart failure: benefit or harm? Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2014;16:286. doi:10.1007/ s11936-013-0286-x.
- Jonkman NH, Schuurmans MJ, Jaarsma T, Shortridge-Baggett LM, Hoes AW, Trappenburg JC. Self-management interventions: proposal and validation of a new operational definition. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;80:34–42. doi:10.1016/j. jclinepi.2016.08.001.
- Boyde M, Peters R, New N, Hwang R, Ha T, Korczyk D. Self-care educational intervention to reduce hospitalisations in heart failure: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2018;17:178–185. doi:10.1177/1474515117727740.
- Mase R, Halasyamani L, Choi H, Heisler M. Who signs up for and engages in a peer support heart failure self-management intervention. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2015;30:S35–S43. doi:10.1097/JCN.0000000000000172.
- Ruppar TM, Cooper PS, Mehr DR, Delgado JM, Dunbar-Jacob JM. Medication adherence interventions improve heart failure mortality and readmission rates: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2016;5:e002606. doi:10.1161/JAHA.115.002606.
- Jovicic A, Holroyd-Leduc JM, Straus SE. Effects of self-management intervention on health outcomes of patients with heart failure: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2006;6:43. doi:10.1186/1471-2261-6-43.
- McAlister FA, Stewart S, Ferrua S, McMurray JJ. Multidisciplinary strategies for the management of heart failure patients at high risk for admission: a systematic review of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;44:810–819. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2004.05.055.
- Jonkman NH, Westland H, Groenwold RH, et al. Do self-management interventions work in patients with heart failure? an individual patient data meta-analysis. Circulation. 2016;133:1189–1198. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018006.
- Gonseth J, Guallar-Castillon P, Banegas JR, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. The effectiveness of disease management programmes in reducing hospital re-admission in older patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of published reports. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1570–1595. doi:10.1016/j.ehj.2004. 04.022.

 Jonkman NH, Westland H, Groenwold RH, et al. What are effective program characteristics of self-management interventions in patients with heart failure? an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Card Fail*. 2016;22:861–871. doi:10.1016/j.cardfail.2016.06.422.

- Atienza F, Anguita M, Martinez-Alzamora N, et al.; PRICE Study Group. Multicenter randomized trial of a comprehensive hospital discharge and outpatient heart failure management program. Eur J Heart Fail. 2004;6:643–652. doi:10.1016/j.ejheart.2003.11.023.
- Attaallah S, Klymko K, Hopp FP. Self-care among older adults with heart failure. Gerontol Geriatr Med. 2016;2:2333721416684013.
- Sochalski J, Jaarsma T, Krumholz HM, et al. What works in chronic care management: the case of heart failure. Health Aff (Millwood). 2009;28:179–189. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.179.
- Clark AM, Wiens KS, Banner D, et al. A systematic review of the main mechanisms of heart failure disease management interventions. *Heart*. 2016;102:707–711. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308551.
- Davis KK, Mintzer M, Dennison Himmelfarb CR, Hayat MJ, Rotman S, Allen J. Targeted intervention improves knowledge but not self-care or readmissions in heart failure patients with mild cognitive impairment. Eur J Heart Fail. 2012;14:1041–1049. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfs096.
- McGreal MH, Hogan MJ, Walsh-Irwin C, Maggio NJ, Jurgens CY. Heart failure self-care interventions to reduce clinical events and symptom burden. Res Report Clin Cardiol. 2014;5:243–257.
- Glanz K, Rimer BK. Theory at a Glance: A Guide for Health Promotion Practice. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 2005. NIH Publication No. 05–3896.
- Michie S, Prestwich A. Are interventions theory-based? development of a theory coding scheme. *Health Psychol.* 2010;29:1–8. doi:10.1037/a0016939.
- Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychol Rev. 1977:84:191–215.
- Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12:77. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0605-9.
- 48. DeWalt DA, Schillinger D, Ruo B, et al. Multisite randomized trial of a single-session versus multisession literacy-sensitive self-care intervention for patients with heart failure. *Circulation*. 2012;125:2854–2862. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.081745.
- 49. Jaarsma T, van der Wal MH, Lesman-Leegte I, et al.; Coordinating Study Evaluating Outcomes of Advising and Counseling in Heart Failure (COACH) Investigators. Effect of moderate or intensive disease management program on outcome in patients with heart failure: Coordinating Study Evaluating Outcomes of Advising and Counseling in Heart Failure (COACH). Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:316–324. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2007.83.
- Smeulders ES, van Haastregt JC, Ambergen T, Janssen-Boyne JJ, van Eijk JT, Kempen GI. The impact of a self-management group programme on health behaviour and healthcare utilization among congestive heart failure patients. Eur J Heart Fail. 2009;11:609–616. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfp047.
- Baghianimoghadam MH, Shogafard G, Sanati HR, Baghianimoghadam B, Mazloomy SS, Askarshahi M. Application of the health belief model in promotion of self-care in heart failure patients. *Acta Med Iran*. 2013;51:52–58.
- Kaveh MH, Khoramaki Z, Kojouri J, Keshavarzi S. The impact of a hospitalbased educational program based on the health belief model on self-care behaviors of heart failure patients. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2017;19:e36676.
- Casey B, Coote S, Hayes S, Gallagher S. Changing physical activity behavior in people with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2018;99:2059–2075. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2017.12.013.
- Krumholz HM, Currie PM, Riegel B, et al.; American Heart Association Disease Management Taxonomy Writing Group. A taxonomy for disease management: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Disease Management Taxonomy Writing Group. Circulation. 2006;114:1432–1445. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.177322.
- Michie S, Ashford S, Sniehotta FF, Dombrowski SU, Bishop A, French DP. A refined taxonomy of behaviour change techniques to help people change their physical activity and healthy eating behaviours: the CALO-RE taxonomy. *Psychol Health*. 2011;26:1479–1498. doi:10.1080/08870446.2010.540664.
- Abraham C, Michie S. A taxonomy of behavior change techniques used in interventions. *Health Psychol.* 2008;27:379–387. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.27.3.379.
- Rich MW, Vinson JM, Sperry JC, et al. Prevention of readmission in elderly patients with congestive heart failure: results of a prospective, randomized pilot study. J Gen Intern Med. 1993;8:585–590. doi:10.1007/bf02599709.
- Rich MW, Beckham V, Wittenberg C, Leven CL, Freedland KE, Carney RM. A multidisciplinary intervention to prevent the readmission of elderly patients with congestive heart failure. N Engl J Med. 1995;333:1190–1195. doi:10.1056/ NEJM199511023331806.
- Jaarsma T, Halfens R, Huijer Abu-Saad H, et al. Effects of education and support on self-care and resource utilization in patients with heart failure. *Eur Heart* J. 1999;20:673–682. doi:10.1053/euhj.1998.1341.

- Blue L, Lang E, McMurray JJ, et al. Randomised controlled trial of specialist nurse intervention in heart failure. BMJ. 2001;323:715–718. doi:10.1136/ bmj.323.7315.715.
- Stromberg A, Martensson J, Fridlund B, Levin LA, Karlsson JE, Dahlstrom U. Nurse-led heart failure clinics improve survival and self-care behaviour in patients with heart failure: results from a prospective, randomised trial. Eur Heart J. 2003;24:1014–1023. doi:10.1016/s0195-668x(03)00112-x.
- Riegel B, Carlson B, Kopp Z, LePetri B, Glaser D, Unger A. Effect of a standardized nurse case-management telephone intervention on resource use in patients with chronic heart failure. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:705–712.
- Sisk JE, Hebert PL, Horowitz CR, McLaughlin MA, Wang JJ, Chassin MR. Effects of nurse management on the quality of heart failure care in minority communities: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;145:273–283.
- Tsuyuki RT, Fradette M, Johnson JA, et al. A multicenter disease management program for hospitalized patients with heart failure. J Card Fail. 2004;10: 473–480.
- Martensson J, Stromberg A, Dahlstrom U, Karlsson JE, Fridlund B. Patients with heart failure in primary health care: effects of a nurse-led intervention on health-related quality of life and depression. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2005;7:393–403. doi:10.1016/j.ejheart.2004.01.016.
- 66. Bruggink-Andre de la Porte PW, Lok DJ, van Veldhuisen DJ, et al. Added value of a physician-and-nurse-directed heart failure clinic: results from the Deventer-Alkmaar heart failure study. *Heart*. 2007;93:819–825. doi:10.1136/hrt.2006.095810.
- Aldamiz-Echevarria Iraurgui B, Muniz J, Rodriguez-Fernandez JA, et al. Randomized controlled clinical trial of a home care unit intervention to reduce readmission and death rates in patients discharged from hospital following admission for heart failure. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2007;60:914–922.

- Riegel B, Carlson B, Glaser D, Romero T. Randomized controlled trial of telephone case management in Hispanics of Mexican origin with heart failure. J Card Fail. 2006;12:211–219. doi:10.1016/j.cardfail.2006.01.005.
- Leventhal ME, Denhaerynck K, Brunner-La Rocca HP, et al. Swiss Interdisciplinary Management Programme for Heart Failure (SWIM-HF): a randomised controlled trial study of an outpatient inter-professional management programme for heart failure patients in Switzerland. Swiss Med Wkly. 2011:w13171. doi:10.4414/smw.2011.13171.
- Agren S, Evangelista LS, Hjelm C, Stromberg A. Dyads affected by chronic heart failure: a randomized study evaluating effects of education and psychosocial support to patients with heart failure and their partners. *J Card Fail*. 2012;18:359–366. doi:10.1016/j.cardfail.2012.01.014.
- Peters-Klimm F, Campbell S, Hermann K, Kunz CU, Muller-Tasch T, Szecsenyi J.; Competence Network Heart Failure. Case management for patients with chronic systolic heart failure in primary care: the HICMan exploratory randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. 2010;11:56. doi:10.1186/1745-6215-11-56.
- Heisler M, Halasyamani L, Cowen ME, et al. Randomized controlled effectiveness trial of reciprocal peer support in heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2013;6:246– 253. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.112.000147.
- Otsu H and Moriyama M. Effectiveness of an educational self-management program for outpatients with chronic heart failure. *Jpn J Nurs Sci.* 2011;8:140–152. doi:10.1111/j.1742-7924.2010.00166.x.
- 74. Inglis SC, Clark RA, McAlister FA, Stewart S, Cleland JG. Which components of heart failure programmes are effective? a systematic review and meta-analysis of the outcomes of structured telephone support or telemonitoring as the primary component of chronic heart failure management in 8323 patients: abridged Cochrane review. Eur J Heart Fail. 2011;13:1028–1040. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfr039.