## Cornell Law Library Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository

Pragmatism, Law and Governmentality

12-1-2002

### Palestinian Martyrdom Revisited: Critical Reflections on Topical Cultures of Explanation

Iris Jean-Klein

Edinburgh University, iris.e.f.jean-klein@ed.ac.uk

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/ealccs\_plg

#### Recommended Citation

Jean-Klein, Iris, "Palestinian Martyrdom Revisited: Critical Reflections on Topical Cultures of Explanation" (2002). *Pragmatism, Law and Governmentality*. Paper 5.

 $http://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/ealccs\_plg/5$ 

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pragmatism, Law and Governmentality by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. For more information, please contact jmp8@cornell.edu.

#### ${\bf Palestinian Martyrdom Revisited:}$

#### Critical Reflections on Topical Cultures of Explanation

#### DrIrisJean -Klein

SchoolofSocialandPoliticalStudies
DepartmentofSocialAnthropology
EdinburghUniversity

#### December 2002

© IrisJean -Klein.Allrights reserved.

Foranyqueryorinformation,pleasecontacttheauthor:

IrisJK@afb1.ssc.ed.ac.uk

#### Connectivity:FromAssettoLiabilityandLoss

"Thiswouldbeascandal,ifwelistenedtosomeandnottoother[voices]...outof whim,habit,...prejudiceor politicaldesire..."(Geertz1988:6)

InthispaperIreflectonsomeunusualandthought -provokingpatternsintheanalysisof Palestinian political practices. Specifically, these patternshave material is edin response to (and indeed in explanation of) dark ramatically transfigured Palestinian practices of political "martyrdom" (Arabic, shehadat)--thenotionhasbeenan "actant" (Law 1999) in processes of political mobilisations incethe inception of an ational liberation movement in the region (see Johnson1 982)--duringthe2001 -2002period."Localpoliticalpractice"therebycrossedthe Rubiconlinebeyondwhichitcannolongerbeimaginedaskeepinginlinewiththekey imaginariesandcorevaluesofneo -liberalising"international movements which articul ate with such localised emancipatory struggles. My intention in this essay is to register the analyticalpostureswhichthenew(andshocking)politicalpracticeshaveelicited. Specifically, Iwanttodrawattention to a suspension of "everyday" or common anthropological practices and its effect, namely, the obviation of local knowledge practices whichsurroundandundergirdthelineofactionthatiscausingthisfrenziedsearchfor "explanations" and in which anthropologists participate with less than the eirusual methodologicalandepistemologicalequipment. Isonetoinferfrom this posture that anthropologyassuchisfundamentally unfittohandlesuchformsofpolitical agency which aremorallyrepugnantintheeyesofthe"internationalcommunity"?I sethnographynota methodequallysuitedtoallphenomena?Dodifferentpoliticalsituationscallfordifferent epistemologies? And if the answer is "no" to the first questions and "yes" to the second, then whichand whose instrumentality is setting thea genda?

Somebackgroundisinorder.DuringtheFirstPalestinianIntifada(1987 -1993),the maintechniquesofresistanceagainstIsraelioccupationoftwodecadeshadbeenactsofcivil

disobedience, measures of national institution - building, and militancy by stone - throwing. "Martyrdom," although it had been an active ethosal soduring the First Intifada (which was ledbytheprogressiveparty -movements),didnothavehomicidalorsuicidalovertones.Until thefirstcasesofsuicide -bombingoccurredin199 6,confermentoftheposthumoushonorific titleof"martyr"usuallyhadasitsbasisthesubject'sown victimisation, atleastby external calculations of "cause" and "responsibility." <sup>1</sup>Assuchthetitle was a euphemisation of deaths causedbyagentsofth eIsraelioccupationand/oroneofitscivilian"arms"(Jewishsettlersor collaboratingPalestinians), and of deaths which resulted from the structural circumstance of a militaryoccupationandtheuprisingagainstit.Itwasnotnecessaryto havebeenki lledinthe lineof"action"conceivedinaformallyorganisedandexternallyrecognisedsense;norwasit necessaryto havekilled .Thefactthatapersonhadstayedputintheterritoriesdespitethe prevailingeconomicandpoliticalcircumstances --thats/hehad resistedthetemptationto emigrate--markedapersonasa samid, apractitioner of "steadfastness" or sumud.Andsumud counted as a weighty form of resistance activism in its own right. Thus, although persons whodiedinmilitantactionsweresp eciallyhonouredandcommemorated,many"martyrs" hadmettheirdeathnotas are sult of their own directin volvement in militant street actions, butas"innocentbystanders"whohadsteppedinharm'swayastheywentabouttheirdaily lives. As Ielaborat elater, during this phase in the Palestinian struggle, politically empathetic externalanalyses(likelocalanalysesofpoliticalprocessbyintellectualelites)foundit relativelyeasytowork withselectlocal/colloquialconcepts,includingthatofmar tyrdom.

Thesuicide -martyrdomoperationswithwhich"martyrdom"(andindeed,Palestinian activism)haslatterlybecomesynonymous <sup>2</sup>combineattemptstocausecarnageonthe"other" sidewithareadinessonpartofthebombertorelinquishhis/herownlif etoaccomplishthis. Themostobjectionableaspectofthesemissionsinallexternalandsomecriticalinsideviews (see,forexample,Hamami&Budeiri2001;Remmick2001;Parry2002), <sup>3</sup>however,isthat theydeliberatelytargetIsrael'scivilianpopulatio n.Thedifferentiationbetween *civilian* subjects(thiscategoryisinthe"international"imaginaryepitomisedby"womenand children")andmilitarypersonnel(associatedwithadultmen)isfundamentaltoa

discriminationinInternationalLaw(theFourthG enevaConvention)between warcrime and theuseof legitimatemeansofwar .Most"globalcitizens"or"internationals"wouldnot disputethatintheirview,too,theextenttowhichthiscriticalboundaryistransgressedmarks the difference between legitimate militant action on the one hand, and unacceptable terrorism, on the other hand. (althoughpossiblyunderstandable)actsofmilitancy,ifnot Thus, with this newform of exercising militancy and martyrdom, a discordance has a risen between active P alestinian values and these nsitivities of international solidarity supporters whichisregisteredinrecentepistemologicalmoveswhicheffectivelyeclipsepopularlocal understandings, as Igoonto explain. Recent calls to give greater emphasis to "othe r, concurrentformsofactivism,"wheredissentingvoicesamongthelocalintelligentsiaare concentrated(Hamami&Budeiri2001), arean example of a suddendesire to separate the termsofanalyticalknowledgefrom(certain)activistknowledgepractice,a ndonemeansof accomplishingit. Another, Isuggest, are mechanical explanations which ventriloquise the actor's"p.o.v".

Itisnotthecase, however, that Palestinian nationalist activists and international solidaritysupportersdidnotpreviouslycome upagainstsomepossiblelimitsto "international"collaboration.Notsurroundingthemanipulationof"martyrdom"(forwhich, asIgoontoshow,bothsideshadtheiruses),butsurroundingwhatinretrospectappeartobe "lesser"instantiationsofareadi nessonpartofthePalestiniancultureofactivism(atatime when the "progressive movement" exercised great influence over it) to use "violence" to achievenationaljustice. Many dedicated and seasoned solidarity partners were uncomfortable, for example, with the use of coercive means to bring non -compliantshop keepersinlinewithboycottsandgeneralstrikeswhichtheUNLU(UnitedNational <sup>5</sup>Therewasevengreatergeneraluneaseaboutthe LeadershipoftheUprising)calledfor. strong-armpolicyuseda gainstPalestinian"collaborators, "whichextendedtoexecution whenothermeasures of correction (verbal warnings, social ostracism, and destruction of property)hadallegedlyfailed. 6 Yet,residentforeignnationals(or"internationals,"asthey haverec entlycalledthemselves)manyofwhomsupportedthePalestiniancause

professionally(asemployeesofvariousNGOsandHumanRightsorganisationsinthearea) optedtoturnapoliticallyconsiderate"blindeye."Forexample,associatesofvarioushuman rightsorganisationssaidtheywerenotincludingactsofpoliticalviolencewhichwere perpetrated by Palestinians against other Palestinians (i.e., killings of collaborators) in their statistical counts. When I say politically considerate, I meant osay th atthereported approach--statistical obviation --reflected the supportive for eignnationals' understanding that theIsraeli -Palestinianconflictwastoagreatextentapublicrelationswarand"warof representations."SincetheverybeginningoftheIsra eli-Palestinianconflict, both sides have dependedonextensivemoralandmaterialsupportfrompowerfulexternalparties -cumpartners, 8 theflowofwhichwaspresumed(onbothsides)tobeinfluenced bythethird party'sviewsoftheprotagonists'moral caseandconduct. This has led both sides to invest effortsininternationalimpressionmanagement. <sup>9</sup>Thedamagewhichattentiontoviolent streaksinPalestinianactivismwasexpectedtoinflictonPalestinians'"nationalreputation" andtotherealisation of an independent state spoke against immediate corrective interference. TheforeignactivistsImetpractisedorsupportedthedefermentoftutelageuntilsuchatime when an independent state was established (which seemed imminent). It seemed amore appropriateframework, and a fairer choice of moment, in which to encourage the adherence toglobalstandardsofjusticeinlocalpoliticalculture.Palestinianinterlocutorswereawareof theirinternationalist colleagues' political sensitivities and made ef fortstokeep"difficult" differencesoutoftheirinteractions.

Where "martyrdom" was concerned, however, earlier analytical practices had shown themselves sympathetic to its creative uses at all levels of local practice, and we renot averse to making a lso good analytical use of it. Even milds ceptics sawn oharmin letting the notion be and "doits work" so to speak. After all, looked at from a politically empathetic view, it was doing no harm; even non - be liever scould appreciate it as a useful conceptu alplay on dismaland desperate material circumstances which had the effect of symbolic Self - redemption and psychological empowerment. A significant number of social and political science analyses even reiter at ed the celebratory spirit in which the phenome nonwas locally

transacted. <sup>10</sup>Thatistosay, they used martyrdomasa productive analytic by means of which otherwise innocuous, if not counter -indicative datasets could be transformed into evidence of significant changes in social and political practic ewhich one had hoped to find or to achieve by scholarly means, among stothers. <sup>11</sup>Inotherwords, the analytic of "martyrdom" was an asset not only for the Palestinian nationalist project and its subsidiary agendas (e.g., the feministor "class" struggle) , but also for various "internationalist" agend as which have worked "with" the Palestinian cause since the 1970s.

12<sub>could</sub> Internationalistfeminismservesacaseinpoint.Feministanalyses manipulate"martyrdom"initsearlierguisetocontrivegreat er"agency"forPalestinian women. <sup>13</sup> ("Activewomen" inturnmade the national liberation movement's positive influencevisible.) Eventhe Palestinian women's committee associations, which were handing politicalobserversaready -madecaseforPalestinian women's"transformed"statusasaresult ofthepopularuprising, builtaround "women's committee" involvement as their centre -piece of evidence, were reaching for "martyrdom" as a supplementary field of data with which to engulf(actuallyandrepresentati on ally) themasses of rural and working -classwomen active political participants. <sup>14</sup>Onemight wellask how Palestinian women cometohave as harein the *credit*for"actsofmartyrdom,"inbothsocio -politicalandanalyticalestimations, when themajority ofcelebratedmartyrsweremaleyouths. One could simply gather up and reiterateanalyticallypartsofdiverselocaldiscoursesandpracticeswhichhintedatakindof "cross-subjective"enablementofmaleactivists(and not only those who became recognise d asmartyrs)bytheirmothers, sisters, and wives (see Jean -Klein1997,2000).Itwasby applyingorextendingthepoliticallyactivelogic, notby some alternativelogic, that diverse subalterncategoriesacquiredinanalyticaltermsashareof"direct" or"connective"authorial inputintothecourseofevents which were recognised as making a difference, an impactor a point.15

Idonotmeantosuggestthatexternalanalyseshadpreviouslybeenmoreinterestedin asystematicinvestigationofwhatLato ur(1999)hascalled"actors'ownworld -building abilities, "fortheirownsakeorrangeof"instrumentalities. "Itjustsohappenedthatatthat

time,fractionsof"localunderstanding"relatingtoactivismgenerally,andto"martyrdom" particularly, had the obvious appearance of auseful or instrumental analytic from the point of viewofthepoliticalprojects theanalysts were pursuing. In part this was because the  $practical onto logy of the phenomenon of this name was not (yet) morally offensive in the {\it the practical onto logy of the phenomenon of this name was not (yet) morally offensive in the {\it the practical onto logy of the phenomenon of this name was not (yet) morally offensive in the {\it the practical onto logy of the phenomenon of this name was not (yet) morally offensive in the {\it the phenomenon of the phenomenon of the phenomenon of the {\it the phenomenon of the phenomenon of the {\it the phenomenon of the phenomenon of the phenomenon of the {\it the phenomenon of the phenomeno$ "international"viewandglobal"orderofthings."Onthecontrary,Palestinianvictim -martyrs occupiedamoralhigh -groundinbothlocal/colloquialunderstandingsandinempathetic outsideanalyses.Butthereadyinstrumentalityalsohadsomethingtodowi ththefactthat martyrdomhadalreadybeenrenderedtransactablein"worldlyterms"throughtheworkof activistacademicandintellectualcircleslocally, which otherwise spokemostlyinthe languageoffamiliar, "global" analytical concepts and theories (seeJean -Kleinn.d.[a]).The agencyofthe"martyrs"themselves, that is, the conceptualisation of their deaths as acts, went withoutsayingforall.

#### ${\bf Closed and Forbidden Worlds of Understanding}$

Tenyearsonwards, as Palestinian martyrdom has becomes y nonymouswith homicidalpoliticalsuicide,thedesired"co -responsibility"ofthemassesof"grassroots"  $actors and especially of women has turned from a cultural and analytical asset into a {\tt cultural} and {\tt$ liability. <sup>16</sup>Themainanalytical response, I would maintain, has beentosociologically disconnect"actors"fromagencyandmoregenerallytomorallyabsolvethelocal/colloquial level. This manifests itself in explanatory "work" which activelybrackets -- one might even say, obviates -- theneed forethnographic expansion andrespective analytical "complication." Maybeoutofpoliticalconsideration, or perhaps remaining true to the analysts' own political desire, serious and empathetic efforts to "explain" the phenomenon of "martyrdom" under the currentcircumstanceshave settledforarelativelysimpleandstableloopofmechanically interlocking "factors" or "angles" -- the political, historical, psychological, sociological, and thebiographic --which constitute the unique special is msofassorted academic disciplines and/or theirmethodologies.Notethemissingelements:"culture"and"ethnography."Itisas ifundercertainconditions, people's actions -- their readiness to actina certain way --become soutterly overdetermined by their "objective historical forces" that analy siscan(orisitthatit

"hadbetter"?)dispensewith"actors'own"orwith"local"understandings --orwhatever alternativetermanthropologistsnowadaysusetorefer(anti -)essentiallyto"culture" (Erickson2002),asarelevantanalyticalcategory.Iti stellingthatittooknotimeatalland littleextraeffort(notmuchfreshresearch)toassembleastrikinglyrounded,completeand confidentpicture. Thereisnosense,inthisfieldofknowledgeproduction,ofashortageora "failure"ofknowledge. 17Politicallyempatheticknowledgepracticeseemsself -assuredand focusedonadifferentkindoffailure,elsewhere:thefailureof"own"availableknowledgeto assertitselfinadrasticchangeoftheestablishedpoliticalorder.

Onecan *almost* speakin thesingular,butnotquite.However,itisnotmultifarious theoreticalordiscipline -specific"perspectives"whichcausedebate.Thereisnoacademic debate, <sup>18</sup>thatisoneofmypoints.Whatlookslikeoppositional"analytical"tendenciesare "practicalexpressions"ofpoliticalopposition.InlatersectionsIexpandontheopposed modesofexplanation;hereImerelywanttonametheminaccordancewithmyownheuristic purposesandanalyticalfocus.Icalloneexplanatory"movement" *hostile* <sup>19</sup>andthes econd *"empathetic"* or *"responsible."* Thequotationmarksaroundthequalifyingtermsforthe second,whichisthemovementwithwhichIammainlyconcerned(becauseIconsideritthe academicallymoreseriousone),signalmycontentionthatwhileconstitu entworksmightbe successfullymanaging,still,toexercise *political* empathywiththePalestiniancauseasa whole,itdoesnot *andcannot* alsoexercise *analytical* or *aesthetic*empathyintheBatesonian sense<sup>20</sup>withtheactorsandtheprocessesatissue

However, are not the politically responsible analyses, in so consistently and may Isay, conspicuously by -passing "actors' own understandings, "casting as had owo fan alternative way of reckoning the value of "martyrdom" which they must at least presum et obethere and take to be difficult to transact internationally, even if its source and nature is not explained? The "shadow" of an (untoward) for mofreckoning value in regard to militancy, including the recent suicide -martyrdom bombings, becomes very noticeable in the inordinate amount of attention given to refuting the "prior knowledge" and moral endorsement of suicide bombings by the bombers 'immediate families. One could say it is about the only "culturally unique"

featureaboutthisanalyticalind ustry.Itisasifitwere(still)knownorclaimed *somewhere* thatthesesubjectsorrelationshipsformedapartofthe"networkofactantsandactors"(cf. Law1999,Latour1999)responsibleforproducingactivists,martyrs,andsuicide -bombers. Where?Wh oseknowledgeisthis?

Myaimisnottotakeawayfromtheexistingexplanation(s),nornecessarilytoadda perspective.Iwanttoturn theexplanations,mostespeciallyinthe"empathetic"strandwith itsimplicitclaimsaboutthenatureof"correct"an thropologicalanalysisinrelationtohyper politicisedfields,asanobjectofethnographicandanalyticalinterestinitsownright. The compulsionto actively --thatis,asanactofpoliticalactivism --obviatetherelevanceoflocal resources(whilet heintenseanalyticalacrobaticsthatisperformedarounditpronouncesthem active"networkcomponents") isonecurious feature of this explanatory "movement."

Specifically, Imeantheobviation of the militants -cum-martyrs "own" agency (cf. Mahmood 2001) as wellasthe "connective" investment of immediate family members in the martyrdom of alovedone.

The common anthropological impulse, when an overlooked or excluded perspective become conceivable, has been to "retrieve" and instate it. I want to cast ashadowofwhat surprisingthingonemightfindifonefollowedthishabitualanalyticalmovement, by cross readingbetweenslippagesinthecurrent"explanations"ofmartyrdompracticesintheSecond Intifada,andethnographicdatarelatingtoPalestinia nmilitantactivismwhichIcollected duringtheFirstIntifada.Onewouldfindthattheobviationoffamilial,especiallyofmaternal inputintothe"production"ofmilitantsubjects(includingmartyrs), which marks the politicallyempatheticanalytic, is inafashionrepeated in locallevel discourses and to an extent(intermittentlywemightsay)bythekeyactors'. Thus, if one turned to the "actors" withthehopeoffindinganentirelyalternativeperspectiveoranalyticalpurchase, one would bedisapp ointed. Butherethis is not a sign that the subjects and the analyst share an understandingofthepracticeasutterlymundane(cf.Riles,forthcoming)!Unexpectedly,a newethnographicsubject, and a different historical inflection of culture, emerges: heself exhibitingorself -documentaryself,movingthroughdailyinteractionswithaviewto,or

through the imaginary of, an extended trial situation in which the self is continually delivering evidence against hostile external representations; and indo ingso, disowns realms of "own" agency (in exchange for other anticipated gains).

Regardless of their political incorrectness, then, to the extent that hostile representations evidently influence, not to say, compelnar rative practices all around --andI amsuggestingtheextentisconsiderable --theyareanalyticallyofsomerelevance.Letusthen lookattheconcretecharges. Alreadyduring the First Intifada (when no centre of political authoritycouldbeidentifiedwhichmightbechargedsingle -handedly influencingPalestinian youths"adversely"),therecirculated allegations in the Israeliand the international public spherethatPalestinian parentswere"inciting"theirownchildrentomilitancy.Subsequently, intheinterimperiodbetweenthetwoIntif adas, the charge changed to all egations that the PalestinianAuthority, viastate -controlledschoolcurriculaandbroadcastingmedia, was fanningtheflamesofhatredagainstIsraeland"inciting"Palestinianchildrentopolitical violence.<sup>21</sup>Finally,inr eferencetotheSecondIntifada,itisthePAalongwiththeleadersof influentialreligiousmovementswhoarecastintheroleof"politicalparents"andcharged withcultivating and "sending" suicide -martyrdombombers. The current Israeliprime minister, Ariel Sharon, this year (2002) reiterated the charge in a poignant form when he said, relatedtosuicide/martyrdombombings(andindefenceofIsrael'sdisproportionatelyviolent retaliatory in cursions back into the Palestinian territories): "The violencewillendwhen Palestinian mothers stopteachingviolencetotheirchildren!"Analmostidenticalcharge againstPalestinian mothers was levelled by the First Lady of the U.S., Barbara Bush, when sheaskedinaspeechduringthesummerof2002,"CanIem pathisewitha[Palestinian] motherwho sendsherchildren outtoblowthemselvesupandkillothercivilians?No!" 22 -- Inallthisithasgoneunnoticedhowunusuala (citedinAlsous2002,emphasisadded.) stateitwouldindeedbeifmothers, and not fat hers(Delaney1997) orState -fathers (Borneman 2003), were the rightful owners of children and their sacrificial acts.

Theimpactofsuchargumentsintheinternationalarenahasnotbeenlostonordinary

Palestinianparents,includingmothers.Thequesti onis,howdotheypositionthemselvesin
relationtothehostile"framing"oftheirchildren's'andtheirown"agency"?

#### **DoubleStandards?**

time: secondintifada; typeof action:asuicide -martyrdombombing; narrativemodality: openencouragement&claims ofco -ownership

"Wedonotregretwhathappened.Everywomanshouldencouragehersontodothesame."

This statement was given by the mother of Izzadinal -Masri,the23 -year-old Palestiniansuicide -bomberwhoon9August2001blewhimselfupinaWes tJerusalem pizzeriabydetonatingthepackofexplosiveshehadcarriedinhisguitarcase, killing nineteenJewishIsraelis.ThewomanwasrespondingtoITVNewscorrespondentGabiRado, 23 (Thewomen'sown whohadpressedtheparentstocommentontheirson's "martyrdom." wordswereburiedunderneaththeEnglishvoice -over, soone could not make out which word shehadusedtourgematernal"encouragement.")MostBritishviewersverylikelyexpected expressionsofimmensegrieffromthewoman, may be a cond emnationofherson's action, andwouldhavefoundthereplyshocking. Notonlywas sherefusing to condemnthe act, she wassuggestingshehadactivelyencouragedhersonandinthiswayhadhadahandinthe deed(andhisdeath). Shemadeitsoundlikes hehad given, not lost as on. Inhernew status asMotherofagloriousMartyr,moreover,shewascallingonothermotherstodothesame.

Imyselffeltjoltedbythewoman's statement, butnot for what it disclosed. Ihad become aware of this kind of posture, and of the kind of crosssession of the kind of crossses of the subjective agency it in sinuated, in my observations of processes concerning the First Intifada. 24 Myown surprise was that a Palestinian mother was conceding maternal "connectivity" so openly and unapologetically. It seemed a curious moment in time to suspendor reverse the self presentation practices which had struck meduring the First Intifada, which I want to recall in greater detail. Even though Palestinian militancy did not yet imply the perpetration of

violence, and M othersof Martyrswere celebrated in local political culture and in politically sympathetic or symbiotic academic analyses; women's presentations of the /irmaternal contribution to the social "encouragement" of a readiness formilitant action in Palestinia n youths (the social category at the forefront of street clashes) were characterised by much social reserve, prescience, and indeed, semantic contradiction. As it turned out, the woman's expression in 2001 of hermoral support for her son's suicide -martyr dommission in front of foreign media cameras did not signal are versal of this trend. It rarely presented itselfagain, so directly, in the coverage of the spate of suicide/martyr dombom bings which followed; a slippage, then, on some one 's part.

Onewould havethoughtthattheposition(s)andsetsofconnectionsmadebymothers, fathers, siblings --atthelevel of everyday relationships, more generally, and as expressed not necessarilyinfrontofcamerasbutinformallyand"subversively, "inthecourseofe veryday life--wouldatleastarousetheinterestofanthropologists.(AndIamnotsuggestingthatone wouldfindnecessarilyaunanimousresoundingofthepositionexpressed by this one mother.)Butwhileanthropologistshavecontributedtothesurgingin dustryofprofessional and a cade mic explanation which has developed around the phenomenon of Palestiniansuicide/martyrdombombings,theyhavenotcommentedinthetermsoftheirowndiscipline's uniqueanalyticalconcerns, concepts, or methods. The scenar iowhichwasbrieflyflashed beforeBritishnewsviewers,inanyevent,hasnotbeengivenaseriousandempathetic hearing.Inthediscourseswhichgiveita"presence, "itisintegratedintoacontextof ethnographicallyunfounded(andinthissense arbitrary)hostilechargesagainstPalestinians and their sense of social morality, and becomes overdetermining. Alternatively, in the discourseswhicharecommittedtoassistingPalestiniansintheirnationalself -emancipation, thetruth -valueofsuch"connections,"whichcirculateasadefamatoryallegation, needstobe vehementlydenied;andethnographicqualificationisbesidesthepoint.Eitherway,then,the possibilityfeaturesatthemomentonly"inthenegative."Thefollowingphotograph --initially it waspartofaphotoessaypublishedin The Washington Times, then it was circulated electronicallyvia TheDigitalFilmmaker, 25 and from the rewasex cerpted and distributed

throughvariousinformalnetworks(fromwhereitcamemyway) --givesacompelling demonstrationofthehostilepolitical -analyticalpositionanditsuseofthetropeofmaternal "collusion,"andofadifficultethnographicsubject:

#### [InsertPHOTO/ImageofWeb -Page]

The following message accompanied and "framed" the electronic circular of the snapshot:

"Cananyone imagine a Jewish mother encouraging her sonto explode himself like this
loving Om Mohammad?"

Inowwanttoswitchtoadifferentethnographictimeframe,andtoshowthe"shifting presentationpractices"whichIencountereddur ingtheFirstIntifada.Ithentakethecreative libertyoftreatingthesematerials,pertainingtoadifferenttimeandtolessperniciousforms ofmilitancy,asaclose -enoughethnographicbasisforpostulatingthatactorsintheirown (self)knowledgepr acticesmightbe intermittentlyrepeatingtheobviationof"actors'own knowledgepractices"whichweseeexercisedinpoliticallycommitted analytical activity relatedtoPalestiniansuicide/martyrdomoperations.Inthefinalsection,Iconsiderwhat evidenceofaradicallydifferentbutrigorouslyobviatedformofrelatingtothe suicide/martyrdommissionsisrecordedbetweenthelinesofanalysesthatstrivetodisown themartyrsandtheirimmediaterelativesof"ownagency."Undoubtedly,itwouldbe irreconcilablewithhegemonic "global" sensitivities.Doesthatruleoutaplaceforitin ethnography?

time:firstintifada(1990); typeofaction :militantactivism; narrativemodality: shifting (discursivedenouncement&encouragementinpractice)

Thiss ectionaimstoshowhowPalestinianmothersintheirinteractionswitheachother sharedanunderstandingoftheirownanimatoryinfluence,and"co -ownership,"withregard tothe *courage*whichtheirmaleyouthsweredisplayingbyparticipatinginmilitant street confrontations. This influence (viewedthroughtheoptic of proudmothers) centered on their elicitation of courage from their sons (and form daughters in many cases) during every day

childsocialisationpractice. Studying these practices, it appears thatmothersfirstofallled theirchildrentobe"irritated"bytheprevailingsituationofpoliticaldomination.Fromthere theyledthemto fear orrather, carefully calculate confrontation with the adversary; as well astoover -rideor masterfear f ortheirownlife(this -worldlyexistence)intheactivedefence qadiya<sup>t</sup>). The courage and sacrificial spirit which women ofagreaterpurposeorcause( displayedintheirnurturingpracticessetanexamplewhichbothalready *foreshadowed*the nurturedsubj ect'sidealfuturecharacterandcompelledorobligedhisactualisationofit. Palestinianmotherswereneversuggesting,noramI,thattheywere single-handedly responsible for the courage displayed in the current situation, or for and the formits displayed in the current situation, or for and the formits displayed in the current situation, or for and the formits displayed in the current situation, or for an additional situation and the formits displayed in the current situation. ay took. Their practical presentations of their own in put portrayed it as workingtogetherwith theanimatoryforceoftheSituation, *il-wade<sup>C</sup>*, aconcept whichsummedupthevarious "historical forces" which, as we will see, the "empathetic" explanation is takingintoaccount. Thiswayofsituatingtheirinputmeantthatintheirintermittent *obviation*ofittheywerenot somuchshiftingtoadifferentregimeof"truth"asshiftingrepresentationbymomentarily foregroundingotheranimatoryinfluences.

Thispresentationalalternation, between denying and owning up to own responsibility, between backgrounding and foregrounding maternal influence (which I take astestimony of how wides pread the understanding was that the Palestinian movement depended on international good - will and support and what international sensitivities were), cutacross differences of class and different levels of formal (academic or political) education.

Moreover, the self - conscious denials were not rare or minor rituals, even thou ght hey were largely aimed at an international audience. Quite simply, the "international" was not remote enough. Various social and professional categories of "international" observers and residents with a variety of interests were, and remain, a signific ant presence - cum-institution in this field (Jean - Klein 2002). If for noother reason, the self - disclaiming discourse had become a rather permanent adjunct of daily self - presentation practices.

 $My first ethnographic case is a conversational exchange durin gan English class I \\taught in 1990 to a dozen girls and women who were members and friends of one of the four$ 

progressivewomen'scommitteeassociationswhichwereactiveatthetime. Wealways openedtheconversational practice with a "Show & Tell --News oftheWeek"session.One day, astudent brought to class an article from a local paper. (Radawasinher late 20s, came from an urban and professional family, had a university degree and was married to a professional;shealsobelongedtothehigherranki ngcadreofherwomen'scommittee organisation.)ThearticlereportedthattheIsraelipaperswerecondemningPalestinian parents, especially mothers, as inhumane because they were sacrificing their own children, orderingthemtothrowstonesatIsraeliso ldiersandsettlersandinthiswaygetting themselvesshot. The Hebrewpapers, the women and girlseducated me, were in this way questioningPalestinians'humanity:"Whatkindofhumanbeingsarecapableofsuchathing [sacrificingtheirownchildren]?" thewriterwasquotedtohaveasked,rhetorically.The whole class showed itselfout raged at the accusation. In making Palestinian slook like animals, the class explained, Israel was under mining the legitimacy of the Palestinian demand foranindependents tate. Palestinian mothers loved their children like any other mother and were *not* doingsuchathing,theyprotested.

Severalturnsfurtheralongintheconversation, however, when it seemed that the concernwith hostile representations had momentarily ceeded into the background, the same woman who had brought the article to class felt prompted to recount the following incident in which she and her three -year-olds on had recently been involved. The women and girls had just done the rounds of telling which hheroic feats by Palestinian youths and "strike forces" they had witnessed or hear dofduring the past week (something which was a common ritual or routine in every day gathering stoo).

Iwaswalkingwithmy3 -year-oldson. Aswewalkedwepassed [anIsra eli] jeepwhichwasparked just outside [a] supermarket. When wepassed, mysonthre walittle stone atit; justa little stone--hehasseen otherboys doittoo. [I.e., "Itwas not who Itaugh thim to do that."] Just the none of the soldiers was coming out of the shop, and he sawmyson. Hethen came up and offered myson a biscuit. Ito Idmyson not to take the biscuit, because the mangiving itwas an Israelis oldier. "Whydon't you let the child have a biscuit?" the soldier asked me. Isaid, "Our children must learnyoung." The soldier called mea "crazywoman"!

[Note that the adult analogue of some one accepting gifts from Israel is oldiers would have been a collaborator.]

ForsometimeafterwardsmysonstillthoughtthatIsraelisoldierswere"sweet."T henoneday,hewas lookingdownthebalconyofourflatandhesawIsraelisoldierspatrollingthestreet.Hemadethissign[she indicatedtheboyhadmadetheV -signwithhisfingers]andshouteddown,"PLO!Israel,no!"Hehasseen otherkidsdothis. Onesoldierheardhimandspunaround,pointinghisgunatmyson.Hewasgoingto shoot,Ithink,butjustthenanotherranuptohimandpushedhisarmdown."Well,becarefulinthefuture!" thefirstsoldiercalledtomyson."Yousee!?WhatdidItell you?Israelisoldiersare notsweet!"Isaidto him.NowwhenmysonseesanIsraelisoldier,hestillonly whispers "PLO!Israel,no!"Hehaslearnttobe careful.Andyesterdayhesaidtome," Yamma,theJewish[soldiers]are notsweet!"afterwepassed a soldier. --Yousee,thechildhaslearnt.

Itwasotherclassmembers'receptionoftheircolleague'stalewhichledmetorecognisethat thewomanmighthavejust"shown"herhandinelicitingthenewandwelcomedispositions fromherson --resentingthe occupiersbutbeingcareful(notheadless)intheexpressionof resentment--whileworking with"thecircumstances"thathadpresentedthemselves. Therest ofthegirlsandwomenclappedandcheered, themother and theboy. Theperformance of the taleand its reception then suggested that despite everything, women also liked to think they were playing an important part in their contribution to it. (But let us remember that at the time, "militan cy" still articulated productively or positively with the "value -finding" [see Bates on 1987] interests of the international solidarity movement.)

Thesocialrecognitionwhichhadbeentransactedinaverysubtlemannerduringthis exchangehadbeenbroug hthometomemoreforcefullyinadifferentcontext, whilst observing myfriend Nuha's dealings with hersons. Nuha, 32, was married to acar -mechanic (sheherself was not gainfully employed), and had four sonsaged one, nine, eleven and four teen. The family lived in eleven, near the house of Nuha's parents, and I was regularly spending time at both homes. In the initial phase of our friendship, I of tenasked Nuhaifshe

wasnotworriedthatheroldersonswerespendingsomuchtimeoutsidethehousewi thout.it hadseemedtome, herknowing where they were. "They know how to take care of themselves, "shewouldappeaseme. Thenoneday, when Iaskedagain, sheel aborated. Her facelitupwithmischievousexcitementasshereported:"Yesterdayafternoon, theoldertwo managedto[hit]someJewishsoldierswithstones!Justoutsidehere[shepointeddownatthe streetbelowherbalcony]. Andtheygotaway! "Sheworeabroadsmile, seeming proud of theirescapade(theyhadoutwittedthemuchstrongeropponen ts)andteasingmewithher pride. Assuming a graver face expression and to neof voice, she continued: "Lastweek, Hamadahere[withherheadshegesturedinthedirectionofhernine -yearoldwhowasat homethatafternoon, watching TV in the living room] ,threwstonesatsomesoldiers;hewas notsolucky. Hedidn't getawayon time, and one of the soldiers grabbedhold of him and slappedhimacrossthefaceseveraltimes. Maybehewaslucky, because they then lethim go. Hecamehomeshaking, and Isaid tohim, "Youhavetotakecare! Deerbalak !]"She paused, and for a moment I thought she might tell methat she had told the boy of ffor his involvement, as Ioccasionally heardwomentelleach other they were doing. (In ever actually sawamothertellhe rson[s]off,althoughmothersmighttelltheirsonsthatthey"fearedfor them"orrelatedreamstheyallegedtohavehadofsomethingspecifichappeningtothem --an arrest, abetrayal, aninjury -- which boystook on board as a sign that they needed to be extra cautiousandcircumspectforawhile). Instead, Nuhawentontoreportthat shehad given her son concernedencouragement ,"Youhavetogetquicker!Learnfromyourbrothers!"She studiedmecloselyassherelatedthisconversationwithhim, asif sheexpectedmetolaunch anobjection.

Afewweekslater, weweresitting in the kitchen where Nuhawas preparing food for herone-year old. The boy was sitting in his high -chair, entertaining himself with plastic toys which he kept throwing against the refrigerator whilst we chatted. Nuhanow went across to the little boy, collected his toys from the floor, and handed them back to him together with playfully fierce verbalen couragement, "Throw them, throw the matthe Jews. Hit the Jews! [Idrabal - Yahud!]" 26 When she saw the vigour with which the boy obliged hers he laughed.

"Eventhisoneherealreadythrowslittlestones!"shethenremarked,boastfullyalmost."He does!"sheinsisted,whenshesawmyincredulouslook(theboycouldnotyetwalk)."Last weekhethrewlittlepebblesatasoldier,fromhispush -chair!Thesoldierscolded meforit, telling me tocontrolhim! Asifone could stopthem! 'Insayingthisshehadjustissueda denialofherown(oranythirdparty's)input;eventhoughIhadalre adybeenletinonwaysin whichshewasactivelynurturingamilitantdispositioninhersons.Butevenasshesaidthis, Nuhapickedupthethrowntoysandhandedthembacktothelittleboy,continuingher instruction,"Yalla,takeanotherstoneandhi tthatsoldier!"

ThewomaninmyEnglishclasshadomittedtosaythatshe,alongsideheroldersons and their peers, had taughther to ddler to make the V -shape,torecitethesloganwhenhesaw Israelisoldiers, and ultimately to distrust and fearthem. Itispossible, evenlikely, that in the companywehadbeeninthiswentwithoutsaying. Butwhatisonetomakeoftheexplicit (self-)denouncement?Itsuggeststhatmanyordinarywomenactedevenintheireveryday roundsonanunderstandingthatthere wasnorecognition, certainly no honour, attached to this form of female accomplishment in the international arena). In suggesting as ense of co ownershipandprideIam not denyingthatwomen(liketheyouths)alsoharbouredfeelings ofapprehension,anx ietyandfearfortheirchildren'sphysicalsafety(whichthey also expressed);or,thattheyexperiencedpainwhentheirsonsanddaughterswereinjuredor killed(whichwasthenverydramaticallyandpubliclydisplayed). They cared for their children; bu ten -couragement--thenurture of courage in another -- was one form of caring for thatperson.

AsmallincidentwhichIobservedin1990inacraftco -operativeshoprunbyoneof theprogressivewomen'scommitteeassociationshelpstoethnographicallyret rieveanexplicit expressionofthiscreativepride. Itisperhapsironicthatitwasagroupoffouryoung Europeanstudents --thesortofinterlocutorwhichusuallyelicitedthedenouncement --whoin thiscaseelicitedanexplicitassertionofitfromthe educatedattendantofthecraft -shop. (\*Ipso facto\*) theepisodeshowsthatinternationalistfeministobservedrecoiled, eventhen, from formsof "women'sparticipation" which implied their own consignment of their "life -giving"

skillstoasacrificialmartia leconomy.)Thegroupwaslookingaroundtheshopaspartof their"tourofPalestinianwomen'scommittees" <sup>27</sup>whenatwelve -inchtallplasticstatue caughttheirattention.Itdepictedawombwhichhousedamaturefoetuswhich,itssex indeterminate, held a Palestinian flag in the left hand and ast one clenched tight ly in the fist batenaskeriy or ofitsrighthand. Inshort, it was a shockingly literal visual rendition of the "militarywomb" motif which one could also hear verbally exhorted both in politic alrhetoric  $and among women themselves in the midstream of their daily interactions. The European {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the midstream of their daily interactions. The {\tt constant} are the midstream of {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} and {\tt constant} are the {\tt constant} ar$ studentswerevisiblyrepulsedbytheobject, and called overthe shop -assistanttoaskherwhy thewomeninvolvedintheco -operativeschememightbecraftin gsuchathing. Haditbeen theirownidea, orwasit crafted after some one else's? Who would buy it? The shop -assistant (wholatersaidshehadbeenaskedthisquestionbefore)explainedpolitelythat, yes, the womenhadthemselveshadtheideaforthisd esign, and that the objects howed that Palestinian women were proud to be mothers of Children of the Intifada, Children of Stones.Thevisitorsexchangedmeaningfulglancesbutmadenofurthercommentsuntiltheywere outthedoor, when all usions to "false consciousness"werefaintlyaudibleinside.Evenin thosetimes, it was rarefor Palestinian interlocutors like this shop -assistant, who was educated and knowledge able about international solidarity visitors's ensitivities, to refuse to "correct"ordisow nthecolloquialunderstandingaccordingly.Butletustakenoticeofthe Europeanobservers:inasenseitdidnotmatterthatthewomanhadconfirmedthatthe womencraftproducersweretheartisticcreatorsofthereliefandthecreativeauthorsofthe -object. Evenifthemakers of the statue shad been there processdepictedbythispoliticalart themselves, and had they testified to their own authorial authority: the observers would very likelyhavepersistedintheirownunderstandingthatthesewomen werebeingmanipulated and "instrumentalised" in a political scheme of largely male design and patriar chalin terest.Suchistheglobalising, homogenising effect of analytic forms such as, for example, "false consciousness."

time:earlymonthsoftheSeco ndIntifada; typeofaction :youngstersattheforefrontof militantstreetconfrontations; narrativemodality: denialofmilitants'own&parental

agency(Palestinian''NGO'' cum''civilsociety''leadersventriloquiseforPalestinian ''grassroots'')

Anarticl epublishedbythe *MediaMonitorNetwork* <sup>28</sup>duringthesecondhalfofthefirst yearoftheSecondIntifada --justbeforetheworldsawanupsurgeinsuicide/martyrdom bombings--suggeststhattheunreservedpublicadmissionbythemotherofIzzadinMasriof her(self-understood)maternalen -couragement,hadcaughtarareattitudeoncamera.Inthe openingsection,sub -titled"TheLossofaChild,"thereportread(allemphasesareadded):

Someone drapedaflag aroundMohammadAbuRahmanMahfuz'smotherasshe waitedinsilence forthe bodyofher15 -year-oldsontobebroughthomeforafinalgood -byebeforeproceedingtothegravesite.

Deepinsorrowofmourning,shebarelyseemedtonotice [thehonour]...ShotbyIsraelisoldiersduringa rock-throwingdemon strationintheirrefugeecamp,hersonwouldreceiveamartyr'sfuneral. Allshecould feel,however, wastheeternalemptinessthatlosingachildbrings.[I.e.,notjoyorpride,whichthelocaland idealviewattributesto,andsomeanalyseswouldsay, demandsfromthemotherofamartyr].

[...] Families face the dual pressures of trying to keep their childrens a fewhile supporting the national struggle. "The pressure is greatest on women, "said Aitemad Muhanna of the Gaza Women's Empowerment Project. "Of course we all know that 'theoretically' we change our situation through 'national struggle,' but in reality we are a fraid for our children to participate in the clashes ."[...]

IsraeliallegationsthatPalestinianparentspushtheirchildrentowardma rtyrdombyencouragingthemto throwstonesatthearmyparticularlyhaveangeredMuhanna."Therearemanythingspushingthese childrenintomartyrdom, "shesaid, "buttheideathatanymotherwouldriskherchild'slifeisabsurd.Ipush mychildrentog etaneducation,toraisetheirawarenessofPalestinianhistory --thisisourtoolofstruggle andthewaytogainindependence."

AccordingtoMuhanna,manyoftheyoungmartyrscomefrompoorerfamilieswhose circumstancesmakeit difficultforparentsto keepchildrensafelyathome. Shecitedtheexampleofarefugeefamilywith8 children,whosefatherworksalldayandwhose motheristoobusywiththesmallestchildrentokeepaclose eyeontheolderboys ,whogotothrowstonesafterschool.[...But ]Muhannamadeitclearthattheriskscut

acrosssocio -economicsegments...Awell -educatedandrelativelywell -offfriend[ofhers]...was unableto preventhersonfromtakingpart intheclashesafteraclosefriendofhiswasinjured.[...]"I wouldne vertell mysontogo,buthesayshewantstodosomething" [Muhannasays]... "Icantellmychildrennottogo throwstones,butthechildrenwillmaketheirowndecisions."

#### Underthesection -heading"TraumatizedChildren,"thereportcontinued,

...RawiaaHamam,apsychologist -socialworkerattheGazaCommunityMentalHealthProject,readfrom theessayofa14 -year-oldboy:"InthefirstintifadaIwasfive.IrememberwhentheIsraelisoldierscame intoourhouseandlinedupmyfatherandbrothers.The yhitmyfatherandIdon'tforgetthat.NowIwantto revengemyfather'sdignity. *Myfatherlocksthedoorbecausehedoesn'twantmetothrowstones,but1* climboutthewindow.' "[Hamamsays,] "Some[boys]actuallysaytheywanttobemartyrs...whileo thersare afraid.'[...]Oneboytookhis religious brothersasrolemodels... "Iwanttobeamartyrlikemybrothers." Anotherboywenttothrowstoneseventhoughhewasafraid.WhenHamam[thesocialworker]askedhim whyhewent,heexplainedthat he waspickingoliveswithhisfamilywhentheotherboysaskedhimtocome andthrowstones.Atfirsthetoldthemno,butwhentheystartedcallinghimacowardhefeltobligedto participate.

ThestatementshowsPalestinianprofessionalsactiveinthet ransferofresponsibility fornurturingamilitantdispositiontothepointofareadinessformartyrdom(itstillmeant onlydeathsresultingfrominvolvementstreetactions),awayfromtheparentsandfromthe injuredorkilledboysthemselvesandtoward sawebofdirectandindirect *structural* "influences"whichoriginate,inthefinalanalysis,intheoccupationandtheoccupiers' persistentandviolentrepressionofPalestiniannationalaspirations.

Lessthanayearlater,aconcernedobserver(Rev .SandraOlewine,UnitedMethodist Liaison,Jerusalem)stillfounditnecessarytodefendPalestinianmothersagainstthe categoricalattribution,inhostilecounter -nationalnarratives,ofanexcessof"agency"to them--nowwithrespecttothephenomenon ofsuicide/martyrbombers:"Oneofthemost painfulexpressionsof...."inhumanity"hasbeenthevariouswaysinwhichPalestinian mothershavebeenportrayedassomehowlessthanothermothersaroundtheworld,asif

somedifferentbloodflowsthroughthe irveins."Rev.Olevinewasreferringtodamning commentsmadebyMrs.BarbaraBush.

time:secondintifada; typeofaction: suicidebombings; narrativemodality:neo-liberal&right-wingventriloquismof''thelocal''

ThereasonIcitedtheanalysisbya politically"progressive"Palestinianprofessionaland CivilSocietyactivistatsuchlengthisthatitdocumentstheU -turninthepoliticaland analyticalreceptionof"martyrdom"justbeforeitspracticalmeaningshiftedfromPalestinian youngstersstan dingupagainstatechnologicallysuperiormilitarymachinerywithoutletting <sup>30</sup>toyoungpeoplevolunteering"inthehundreds" fearofinjury, arrest, ordeathdeter them, toserveoract --theappropriateverbisoneofthedebatedissues --asunstoppableh uman bombsagainstIsraeliciviliantargets. <sup>31</sup>Assuchitforeshadowstheanalyticaldispositions which have become the hall mark of "empathetic" explanations of suicide/martyrdom bombingsin"international"analyses. The first is the determined disconnecti onoffamilial relationsandevenofthe"martyrs"themselves --theirhonorarytitleandstatusnowsuspended in quotationmarks -- from "agency." The second, and complementing the first, is there treat toa mechanicalexplanation, ofactor -reaction. In thi ssection I want to elaborate on the terms of both of the polemically opposed analytical positions, the host ileor cynical and the "empathetic."Thepromulgationofamechanicalmodelofaction -precipitationisan 32 sharedbyarecentlymooted"third"position). unexpectedcommonalitybetweenthem(also Theirmaindifference, analytically, lies in the specific mechanismstheycentrallyimplicate. Nonehastheanalyticalinterestviz.couragetoacknowledgeactors'courageasan ethnographicallyandanalytic allyrelevantfact.

ThereasonIamcallingtheoppositeofthe"empathetic"explanation cynicalisthatthis modalityischaracteristicallydismissiveoftheidea, which to the stress is a signature of the "empathetic" arguments, that the unique histor ical and political circumstances -- the protracted and apparently unshake ablemilitary occupation by Israel -- have been a significant influence in the "production" of suicide/martyr bombers. Instead, the seanaly seshold manipulative

politicalleadershipaccou ntable.Onemightsay,theyfavoura managerial and Machiavellian approach(cf.Law1999)wherein"actors"arereducedtomoreorless ingeniouslybrainwashed(materiallybribedaswellasideologically -spirituallymanipulated, aswewillsee)robotic"su bjects"whofollowtheimplicitsuggestionsifnotexplicit directivesfromirresponsibleor"insane"politicaland/orreligiousleaders.Awell -known statementbycurrentUSpresidentGeorgeBushisapoignantexampleofthisbrandof politicallyinstrume ntalandmechanicalformofanalyticalreasoning.Inwhatseemedan historicspeechheraldingthehyper -power'sinterventionintheregion'sconflictwitha demandforrestraintfrom bothsides,alsoIsrael,he orderedthePalestinianAuthorityandits chairman,Mr.Arafat(italicemphasesadded): 33

Stopincitingviolence byglorifyingterrorinstate -ownedmedia,or tellingsuicide bomberstheyaremartyrs. They'renotmartyrs. They'remurderers.

Similarly,thisU.S."expertonterrorism"appliedamanage rialmodelwhenhedeclared: 34

Onceuponatime,inthefirstyearsimmediatelyfollowing[the]firstbombingin1993,it
wasachallenge[for fieldleadersforHamas] torecruitsuicidebombers [...They]hadto
cajole--somemightsay brainwash--youngmen intobelievingthattherewardsof
paradiseoutweighedtheprospectsoflifeonearth .Butwiththebreakdownofthepeace
processinmid -2000andthestartofthelatestintifadehthatSeptember,themartyr
wannabeesstartedcomingtoHamas --and theydid n'trequirepersuading .

Basedonthisexcerptalone,onemightthinktheexpertrecognisedthemobilisingforceof historicalprocesses --thebreakdownofpeacein2000.Butwhenhesaidthe"martyr wannabees"nolongerrequiredpersuasionfromHamaslead ers,hemeantbecause"theTV [startedtodo]thatworkforthem;"andnotbecausePalestinianTVstationswereshowing IsraeliforcesinflictingviolenceonthePalestinianpopulation,whichaneo -liberalanalysis mightstress;becauseofincitementtovi olencebyPAleadershipwhichTVprogrammes(just asthePAwassaidtoinciteschoolchildrenviaitsstandardtext -books).

Accordingtoreifiedunderstandingsinsocialanalysisaboutwhichanalyticalconcepts lendthemselvestopoliticalmisuse(andwh ichnot), it is surprising that the stress on culture isactuallyweakinthepoliticallyhostilemodality. Thereactive rebuttals by "empathetic" counter-analyses of ten falsely attributea "culturalist" emphasis, or falsely attributea "old" understandingofculturetowhatinvocationsofculturedoappear(asanessential"given"). Maybethisisbecauseitistheonlycritiqueof"culture, "ortheonlypoliticalcritique, we haveatourdisposal? Certainisthatanthropologists have grown accustomed to recitingit <sup>35</sup>Considerthefollowingexchange mechanically, and are at a loss when it does not apply. between a proponent of the hostile and one of the "empathic" analytical modality, following the "Passovermassacre" in a Natanyahotel (Ajami 2002). Under thesub -heading Cultureof *Incitement*:,theformerwrote(theaddedemphasisismine):

The man of Tulkarm [the bomber] did not descend from the sky: He walked straight out of the culture of incitement let loose on the land, amenace hovering over Israel, agreat Palestinian and Arabre fusal to let that country be, to cede it aplace among the nations. He partook of the culture all around him -- the gleet hat greets those brutal deeds of terror, the cult that rises around the martyrs and their families.

Umm-al-shahid(themotherofthemartyr),hismotherwillhenceforthbeknown. Abual -shahidshallbethe appellationofhisfather. Honestmen and women will proclaim him and takehim as their own, more sly types will equivocate but then say that the good boy had been led there, all the way to Natanya, by the Israelioccupation...

 $The leaders of the Palestinian Authority, most notably Yasser Arafat, the figure at the centre of this cruel \\ whirl wind, would is sue at epid condemnation and then let the world know th at "armed struggle" and the shahids, the martyrs, are writing glorious chapters in the annals of the history of that national movement....$ 

[...] Byomissionandcommission, MrArafatfeedsthiscultofterror, this affliction ....

Theerebuttalbyaprofess ed"anthropologistlivinginBeirut"(Scheid -Idriss2002)read:

[Theauthor's]willfulness[ sic.] toascribeallpoliticalactionstoculture isirresponsible.In wavingthe languageofculturaldescription, youblatantlyignoretwoconceptsallcontempora ryanthropology

necessarilyincludes:historyandtransnationalinteraction. <sup>36</sup>Wearelongpastthedaysofanalyzing"culture gardens."Youcannotspeakof"acultureofincitement"withoutdiscussingtheneighbouringculturesof incitement, suchastheu ltra-fascist, Jewish -exclusivistsectionsofthe Israelipopulace. Norcanyoupresent currentlyextant "ideologies" commontoagroupofpeoplewithoutlooking at the events that cultivated these ideologies.... If indits hameful [for the author] to use [h is] academic position to further what amount to propagandistic writings

Surely, it is a Managerial - Machiavellian approach which we see the proponent of the hostilepositionwave, oratleast that is the meta -framework, with various other, not necessarilycompatiblesocialsciencemodelsbeingthrowninforgoodmeasuretoaccount for"subsidiary"connectionswhichthemeta -framedoesnotaccountfor. Thus, the seductive powerofpoliticalleadershipanditsrhetoric, viz. the susceptibility of the "masses "to political manipulation, is explained by appeal to a combination of tangible material, so cio economicincentivesandideologicallymanufacturedexpectationsof(intangible)spiritual rewardsinthenextworldandlife • Forexample, most analyses mentio n"financial bonus incentives,"bywhichtheymeanthesystemof dawa (anetworkofmosques, schools, orphanages, clinics, youthclubs, athletic teams and libraries) which there ligious movement Hamashasfunded, and the "hardship supports ervices" of whic hhavecometoincludein recentyearspaymentoflifetimepensions(\$300 -\$600U.S.amonth)tothefamiliesofits suicide-bombersandofthehealthcareandeducationcostsofabomber'schildren(ifthere areany). <sup>37</sup>Asonejournalistnoted, cynicallye nough(anddemonstratingtheuseofa confusingblendofanalyticalmetaphors):

 $The job of bomber comes with established cash bonuses and health benefits for the surviving family. How \\ else could the Palestinian boyor girlnext door hope to be pictured on \\ key chains and \\ T-shirts? \\ 38$ 

AHamasactivistintheWestBankaddedfuel(orice)tothiscoldpragmaticviewwhenhe concurredwiththeAmericanjournalist,"Theseguys[notonly]killIsraelis[effectively],but theyalsosecuretheirfamiliesfrompover ty."( *Ibid.*)

The "rational choice" model of explaining the political behaviour of "leaders" and "followers" which was influential during the 1960s and 1970s, which resonates at the subsidiarylevelsofthistypeofexplanation, was later criticised for i tsobliviontostructural constraints and power differences which are inherent in state power and/or in the classstructure(seeAsad1972). This is only half -trueinthiscase, however; afterall, there is considerablestressonthe"force"ofPalestinian leaders. It is just that some, namely, the historicalforceswhichpre -dateandsurroundthePA(whichpresumablyaddsignificantlyto the "rhetorical force" of the dawah system or of Chairman Arafat's oration), are left out of theequation.(ThePNAis inappropriatelytreatedasaclosedandself -organisingsystem). Historicalforcessuchasthesystematicde -developmentofthePalestiniansectorunderIsraeli occupation(1967 -1996),thePalestinianeconomy's"hostage -to-Israeli-whims"predicament still subsequently, and the massive economic destitution and general infrastructural devastationwreakedbyIsraeliretaliatorycampaignsrecently. Theopposite, "empathetic" calculations of "motivation" give extensive and indeed exclusive emphasis to these conditions.(Inthisapproachthe dawahisnotacold -calculatedsystemofmanipulationbut an commendable or at least necessary alternative network of community care and publicserviceprovisionwhichcatchesthefall -outfrompoliticalviolence,rathertha nprecipitating  $it.) \\ ^{39} The one thing the two opposed "traditions" have in common, however, is that neither$ leavesenoughroomforsubjective(andcross -subjective)calculationsof"agency."

 $Almost as a last consideration, and without belief in the analytical relevance of this level of information, hostile or "empathetic" analyses might rehear sethe "potent" eschatological rewards which "are said" (by influential religious and political figures) or "believed" (by the masses), based on "Islamic" calculations and the information of the martyre will be for given in stantaneously, on shedding the first drop of blood; that the martyris assured a privile ged place in paradise, at the right-hand side of God; or that seven ty relatives of the martyre gain admission to Paradise with him/her. $40 \text{In as far assuch calculations are not taken seriously analytically, none of the modalities can be accused of using an over determining, mechanical or essential is tview of the same of$ 

theinfluence of "Islam" or, more specifically, of Islamics criptures, on social and cultural practice. Meaning, noteven the cynical explanation is an aive freeze of old Orientalist knowledgepractices; on the contrary, it finds the post -Orientalistunderstandinghelpf ulin makingitscase.Briefly,post -Orientalistknowledgepracticeisaregionally -focusediteration of critical and post -structural is theoretical activity. Its major contribution has been to refute earlierassumptionsthatsacredtextsinandofthemsel vesarethe"cause"(andexplanation)of allobservablepracticeamongpeoplewhodeclarethemselves"Muslims,"practitionersof Islam.Instead,thestressisplacedonthemediatoryinfluenceofhistorically -specificsocial and indeed political processes, notably interpretation and the dissemination and authorisation ofdiverseinterpretations. Especially inefforts to explain oppressive or violent political practices, the dominant trendincritical, including Post -Orientalistanalyseshasbeentocast laysubjectsorgrassrootsmechanicallyasunequal"partners"intextualinterpretation,under theinfluenceofmanipulative, if not coercive, authoritarian political and/or religious regimes orfigures.Inthiscase,thePNAand/or(where "empathetic" explan ationsareconcerned, it is or)<sup>41</sup>theleadersofHamasandIslamicJihad;butaswellincluding,wherethehostileviewis concerned, parents in the category of "pedagogic leaders" (rather than aspart of the manipulatedmasses). Without using the words, t hehostileanalysesattributetothemasses (the practitioners and supporters of suicide -martyrdombombings)a"falseconsciousness" which combines irrational belief (in irresponsibly manipulated doctrine) with excessive economicrationality. Mypointis, theoretical positions or analytical concepts are not inand ofthemselves "hostile," "cynical, ""empathetic, "or "politically correct" (just as instruments can be used to play a widerange of musical styles.) Their specific political charge arises from thepurposeorinstrumentalityforwhichtheyaremanipulated, as well as from the care with whichtheyarehandled.(Mightthesamebesaidfortheknowledgeresourceswhichcultural actorsmanipulate?)

Unusually,theprovidersofthepoliticallyempatheti cexplanationhaveheldincheck theimpulsewhichisnormallyassociatedwithformerly"left"andlatterlyneo -liberaland humanitarianpoliticalandsocialscienceanalysesindealingwithsituationswheregrassroots

actorsexercisepoliticalviolence.T heyhaverefrainedfrominvokingtheirown,Foucauldian inspiredversionofamanagerialmodelthatholdsmostlyleaderstoaccount. 42AsIsaid,they haveconcentratedinsteadintheformativeinfluenceofthecircumstanceoftheoccupation. Evencommenta torswhodonotsparetheleadershipofthereligiousmovementsremain adamantthat

[Primarily] thedangerousstatusquoofdespair thatexistsinPalestiniansocietytoday --sodeepanddark thatithasspawnedthemonstrousphenomenonofthesecularsuici debomber --is primarilytheresponsibility ofIsrael ..(Parry2002,emphasisadded). 43

NoticethatIalsohighlightedareferenceto"despair"inthisexcerptfroman

"empathetic"explanation.Iintendtodrawattentiontothesecondaryorsubsidiaryten dency
inthismodalityto psychologisetheprocessof"local"andsubjectivemediationofstructural
andpoliticalfactors,inanefforttorenderituniversallyrationalandhuman(e)ly
understandable.Thistendencymanifestsitselfintheformulaicinvoc ationofarangeof
emotivepsychologicalconceptssuchas desperation, despair, hopelessness, frustration,
understandablerage, or desireforrevenge.Inthisrespect,too(asinitsrestrained
applicationoftheMachiavellianapproach),theanalyticalac tivitysurroundingthePalestinian
suicide-martyrdommissionsisoutofcharacterwiththeusualneo -liberaldisposition.The
followingexcerptsgivesomeexamplesofthesetermsinuse:

Palestiniansexistinanenvironmentsodirethattheprospectsofde athovershadowtheirprospectsforlife.

Suicidebombingsareactsofdesperationandmeanthatpeoplehavebeenpushedtothebrink.

Thephenomenonofsuicidebombersagainstciviliansis[undoubtedly]tragic,immoral,andinsupportable. [But]aPalest inianchildwhowatchedthedreadfulscenesinthecampofJeninwillprobablynotthinkmuch beforebecomingthesuicidebomberoftomorrow. The state of entire despair and the feelingth at this young generation has nothing to lose anymore should immediat elycometoan end. 45

Suchclaims[thatPalestinianschooltextbooksfantheflamesofhatredandviolentrevengetodestroy

Israel]aresimplyanattemptbyIsraeltofindsomealternativeexplanationto[ sic.]the understandablerage

feltbyPalestinians whosufferedthroughdecadesofcontinuingdispossession,occupation, disenfranchisement,violence,tortureandhumiliationatthehandsofIsrael.

 $\label{terms} Eventhe faint concessions in this approach to strategic pragmatism $47$ are couched in these terms. Thus, John Pilger described suicide -martyr domasa" desperate attempt "to make up "with what means one has at one 's disposal" for the vast technological superiority of the opponent. $48$ The most common form of empirical evidence used to measure the influence of "rage" (leading to "understandable desire for revenge") is the frequency with which traumatic events linked to the Israelioccupation cropup in the biographies of suicide martyrs or martyr "wannabees," which are routinely searched for experiences such as the death or in jury or imprisonment and tor ture of a close family member or of a friend in either the First or the Second Intifada; own experience (s) of humiliation and/or tor ture; or having generally witnessed the violence of Israelioccupation, over along period and/or extreme cases of it (during recent campaigns as that on Jenin).$ 

Letusrecallthattheappealsto"revenge"asanexplanationofsocialandpolitical actionwouldnormally(underanyothercircumstances, anywhereelseinthe Arab World, or related to any other phenomenon in the current setting) be interpreted as either a failure to distinguishlocal/colloquialandacademic"theoriesofaction";orastheinsinuationofa "tribalist"anatavisticstyleoflocal/nationalpolitics,layingth eanalystopentochargesof workingintheOrientalisttradition.(Orboth.)Curiously,thisisnotthecasehere.Onemight saythatjustasthepost -Orientalistwarinessof"culture"doesnotimpedeandisevenhelpful tothecynical analysis, what mig htotherwise count as a shard of old -Orientalist *or* of local knowledgepractice(theproblemis,theconceptisnotmuchexplainedortheorised)has slippedintothebagofresourceswithwhich"empathetic"commentariesattempttoabsolve the "actors" fro mresponsibility and to redeem their moral subjectivity. (How they them selves establishtheirownagencyandmoralityinrelationtotheseandotheractionsremains, in the meantime, anyone's guess.)

The families of martyrs, are absolved by determined ef fortstoputontherecordtheir lackofpriorknowledgeofason's,daughter's,brother'sorsister'ssuicide/martyrdommission, wherebythelackofknowledgeservesasevidenceofalackofencouragementandsupport from close ones. Thus, Britishnewsre ports(bothtelevisedandprintedversions)ofthe suicide/martyrdombombinginDecember2001byMaherHabashi,a21 -year-oldplumber from Nablus, madeitapoint to stress that the bomber's parents had said they had been unawareoftheirson'sintentions. Thefatherhadonlylearntofhisson'sfate, itwas 50 However. elaborated, when Hamas supporters came to the house to congratulate him.-over"),he whilethefatherallowedhimselfbrieflytobeshownoncamera(andtobe"voiced didnotspeak to or fort hecamera. Generally, attempts to document parental sentiments and attitudesrarelysucceededincapturingtheparents'directspeech, and reliedlargely on reportedspeech. The following report of the suicide/martyr dombombing of a Jerusalem commuterbus on 20.6.2002, attributed to Mohammed al -Ghoul, illustrates an exceptionally forthcomingfamily. Note, however, that the mother and the sister, who feature centrally in thephotograph(holdingupalargeportraitandthedegreecertificateofthebomber),d onot speak.<sup>51</sup>Thereportread:

Hisfriendsandfamilysayinthedaysbeforehisattack...heshowednosignofwhatheplannedtodo.He watchedtheWorldCupontelevisionwithhisfriendsandhisbrother...Twoweeksearlierhehadattended thewedding ofhisolderbrother...Heseemedhappyatthewedding,pesteringhisfatheraboutwhenhe wouldfindabrideforhimsohecouldmarry,buildhisownhouseandstartafamily.Twodaysbeforehe died,hereturnedtothesubjectofhisplansforhisownhou sehold. Thatiswhyhisfamilyissobaffledbyhis decision...hisfatherandbrotherssaidtheycouldnotbelievethathehadkilledhimself..."Ifhehadasked meformyadvicelwouldhavetoldhimnottodoit"[hefathersaid].Hisbrother....agree[d]:"Iwouldhave triedtostophimifIknew." Thestorythattheytellisofastudiousman hopingtoearnamaster'sdegreein Islamicstudies[...]"Hesaidhedidnotliketheideaofciviliansbeingkilled.Butnooneforcedhimtothis.

Bothmodalities, Iwanted to show, are in their own theoretical fashion, and for different political reasons, perpetuating a mechanical explanation. Both denyagency to

"martyrs."Thesystematicobviationofthepossibilitythatpersonal(andrelational)effort, and courage, might be required to elicit what is by all accounts an "extreme action" could not be stated more explicitly than in the analytical assessment by a Ga zanpsychiatrist when he said, "The difficult thing is not to be come a martyr; it is how not to be come a martyr." 52 Meanwhile, however, there is considerable evidence, some of which Inow want to bring into the foreground, which indicates that the martyrs themselves and a considerable segment of the Palestinian community make it apoint to put the martyrs 'own and specifically related subjects' agency on record.

# e. time:secondintifada; typeofaction :suicide -martyrdombombings; narrative modality:procl amationsofagency

Inadvertently,commentariesinbothpolitical -analyticalmodalitieshavesuppliedtheoutside withdatawhichtheaccompanyinganalysesdonotexplain(ornot seriously). Glimpsesof momentswhenlocalactorsassumeandevenproclaimag ency, and claimitas apersonal asset of sorts, transpiremore of teninimages than inwords. Moreover, they have been allowed to transpire mostly through the cynical analytic, which makes it that much more difficult to engageseriously with the mifonei nnot intent on political deconstruction (or construction for that matter).

Inthebackgroundofpoliticallyempatheticreportseven, one sees that every martyr's death invited as ocial gathering at the martyr's family homeor, when the family home had been destroyed as part of the Israelic ampaign of "connective punishment," in a special funerary tent (which to erector attend was eventually also made illegal). The rethefamily would receive the condolences and congratulations from members of the community and from party delegates. The families' own repeated viewing - and-showing of the video - recorded statement of intent by their martyr with visitors are, or ought to be, a well - known ethnographic fact because they frequently formed the backdropagains twhich ich journalists were trying to interview the parents. It might standas a fact, but what the seprocesses stand for (or "achieve") has yet to be seriously explained. As an anthropologist, Isee a parallel

betweenthesocial preoccupation with video -recording sevidenther eand that which I (and others)havenotedwithrespectto weddings. Weddingvideos, too, areviewed again and againbythebride's and groom's families with every new visitor who comesto convey congratulations.Indeed,thereareaseriesof parallelsbetweenmartyrdeathsandweddings (which also have incommon that each renders an eventor an act which is normally considered polluting -- deathin one case, sexual intercourse in the other --productiveand purifying). Whatismore, the connection nwas made explicitly in local/colloquial discourses (in every day talk, political rhetoric, and in various artistic forms) during the First Intifada, asmartyrs'funeralswerereferredtoas"nationalistweddings"and(male)martyrsas"brides"in relationtothehomelandorto"thecause, "itsliberation(seeJean -Klein1997,2001).Other parallelsthathaveappearedaretheformalandpublicreceptionofcongratulations(whichin ordinaryormundaneweddingsmayprecedethemarriageconsummation);orthe distribution ofsweetsandfiringofgunsincommunaldisplaysofacelebratoryspiritafterthesuicide martyrdombombings, <sup>53</sup> whichgesturesmarkthestreetcelebrationsofquotidianweddings (and which had been with political deliberations uspended durin gtheFirstIntifada);orthe formal(andproud?)publicannouncementoftheperson's(anfamily's)statuschange,as 54 recordedinthiscynicalnewsreport(emphasesinitalicsadded):

ThesedaysPalestinians celebratethesuicidesinnewspaperannounce mentsthatread, perversely,like weddinginvitations."TheAbdelJawadandAssadfamiliesandtheirrelativesinsidetheWestBankandin theDiasporadeclarethemartyrdomoftheirson,themartyrAhmedHafezSa'adat,"readsaMarch30notice forthe22 -year-oldkilleroffourIsraelisinashootingattack.

Theanalyticallyunaccounted -foranddifficulttorecountformofcalculatinglosses and gains sometimes turns up in the most unexpected information contexts. For example, in the following assessment of women's participation in suicide -bombings 55 as a step towards women's greaters ocial equality as part of aspecial report published in a Palestinian paper on International Women's Day, authored by a progressive and feminist Palestinian professional. 56T hereport intended to register the violence which Palestinian women were suffering from Israelioccupation and siege (the early loss of a husband or father, 57 or the

abysmaleconomiccircumstances) and from "disturbing components of Palestinians ociety" (pressure on girls from their families to marry young, the concomitant forced discontinuation of their formale ducation, and the expected practice of "marriage among relatives" -- parallel cross-cousin marriage -- which the authors ays "stands in the way of awo man's freedom to choose her life partner"). Then, taking stock of recent "gains, "the authornoted:

Althoughallthesewomen[theinterviewees]agreedthattheyhaveexperiencedtremendousproblems andviolence,theyalsosaythatthePalestinianwomanhas provenherabilitytobearresponsibility. This,theyaver,isclearfromherroleinthestruggleandinsuicideoperationsagainstIsraelis.

#### **Afterthoughts**

-Lughod 1989)thatit wasforalongtimestagnantanalyticallybecauseitwasensconcedinthetaskof debunkingtheinadequatetermsofknowledgeproductionassociatedwithanolderand politicallycompromisedlineofscholarshipknownasOrientalism. Tenyearsonwardsit appearsthedebunkingisneverfinished;"theworld"keepsrechargingoldandinventingnew Orientalistimaginaries, and the perpetually defensive analytical posture is also are statement. TheethnographicmaterialsIhavepresentedmightsuggestthatasimi lar"inertia" <sup>58</sup>might havetakenholdinlocal(self -)knowledgepractice. Orperhapsthe "stereopractice" --whereby peopleact"locally"but intermittently framelocal/own action inforeign terms --shouldbe recognisedasacreativemovementinitsownrigh t.Thefactisthatwhilewedoknowthat "agency"isinthiscaseanegativeassetandahugeliabilityandthatthismustproblematise thesocialtransactionof"agency"(tothatextentculturalpracticeandculturalanalysissharea similarproblem), we knowverylittleabouthowcultural practitioners attempt to resolve this problem. In a vetried to draw attention to the way cultural analysis has handled the problem.Myhopeisthatthepresentexercisewillstimulatefurtherreflectiononeveryday, act ivist, and analytical knowledge practices and their intersections in politically charged settings.