## **Trinity University**

# **Digital Commons @ Trinity**

Political Science Faculty Research

Political Science Department

8-2012

# Islamophobia, Euro-Islam, Islamism and Post-Islamism: Changing Patterns of Secularism in Europe

Peter O'Brien Trinity University, pobrien@trinity.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/polysci\_faculty



Part of the Political Science Commons

### **Repository Citation**

O'Brien, Peter, "Islamophobia, Euro-Islam, Islamism and Post-Islamism: Changing Patterns of Secularism in Europe" (2012). Political Science Faculty Research. 3. https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/polysci\_faculty/3

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Political Science Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Political Science Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.

# Islamophobia, Euro-Islam, Islamism and Post-Islamism:

Changing Patterns of Secularism in Europe

By

Peter O'Brien
Political Science
Trinity University
San Antonio, TX 78212
pobrien@trinity.edu

A paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association. New Orleans, 31 August, 2012.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Modern secularism, as theorized by prominent liberal philosophers such as John Rawls and Jürgen Habermas, prescribes that the state should treat all religions equally on condition that they and their adherents relinquish their theocratic aspirations and recognize the political sovereignty and superiority of man-made law. Convinced that the secular bargain undermines the moral virtue of society and its members, a small, fragmented, but nevertheless conspicuous number of Islamists in Europe prefers to observe Islamic law in all walks of life, private and public. Alarmed by Islamists and informed by Orientalist readings of Islam, an increasingly vehement and vociferous contingent of Islamophobes avers that Islam is inherently incompatible with democracy and urges European governments to treat neither Islam nor Muslims equally, but rather suspiciously as real or potential threats to the wellbeing of European societies. In contrast, advocates of Euro-Islam insist that Islam can be reformed, like Christianity, to meet the requirements of modern secularism. This paper contends that elements of all three of these vying positions have found their way into policymaking targeting Muslims in several European lands. The resulting inconsistency and contradiction – what I call policy "messiness" – corroborate the process of "mutual fragilization" theorized by Charles Taylor in which actors facing radical value pluralism develop solicitude regarding their own principles as well as greater tolerance for ambivalence. The latter, in particular, creates what Homi Bhabha terms a "third space" from which actors confronting cultural pluralism can freely and constructively explore crossfertilizations and hybrid combinations with the potential to yield yet unimagined approaches and solutions to the problems of "super-diversity." Just such creative hybridity does the paper identify among a younger generation of European Muslims whom many observers dub "Post-Islamists."

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Intensified efforts since 9/11 to incorporate Muslims and Islam into the European secular order have generated considerable resistance and controversy. Though church-state relations institutionally vary from one European land to the next, they supposedly converge normatively around a liberal understanding of secularism. Liberal secularism prescribes that believers depoliticize their religious convictions in exchange for equal treatment before the law.

Depoliticization does not have to mean that religious persons and beliefs disappear from politics altogether. After all, Christian political parties, pressure groups, politicians and activists abound in Europe. However, liberal secularism does demand that religions and their adherents jettison theocratic aspirations and recognize the political sovereignty and superiority of secular (that is, man-made, ideally democratic) law and government that treat all faiths and all citizens equally.

This minimal requirement is what Rawls means by an "overlapping consensus" despite "the fact of a plurality of reasonable yet incompatible comprehensive doctrines -- the fact of reasonable pluralism." Habermas similarly theorizes "a consensus on the process of legitimate legislation and exercise of power" by a "citizenry [that] can no longer be bound together by a substantive consensus of values." A small, fragmented, but nevertheless conspicuous number of Islamists in Europe reject this requirement, though not always for the same reasons or in the same manner.<sup>5</sup> Contending that Western secularism has shown itself to be spiritually vapid and ruinous, they prefer to observe Islamic law in all walks of life, private and public. At the same time, an increasingly vehement and vociferous contingent of Islamophobes avers that Islam is inherently incompatible with liberal secular democracy and urges European governments to treat neither Islam nor Muslims equally, but rather suspiciously as real or potential threats to the wellbeing of European societies. Expressed differently, while liberalism in theory aspires to eliminate prejudice, Islamism endorses prejudice in favor of Islam, Islamophobia prejudice against Islam. Long considered politically taboo in post-Holocaust Europe, prejudice based on (anti-)religious conviction is back in vogue, spawning, as a result, intensified value pluralism regarding the proper place of religion in politics.<sup>7</sup>

Religious and ideological diversity can and does produce discord, but it can also occasion "mutual fragilization." This is the term Charles Taylor, in *A Secular Age*, invents to describe "certainly one of the main features of the world of 2000, in contrast to that of 1500." The Canadian philosopher has in mind a widespread softening of individual convictions in the face of heightened awareness of opposing views.

We live in a condition where we cannot help but be aware that there are a number of different construals, views which intelligent, reasonably undeluded people, of good will, can and do disagree on. We cannot help looking over our shoulder from time to time, looking sideways, living our faith also in condition and uncertainty. 9

In an atmosphere of radical moral pluralism -- what Bauman has termed a "heterophilic age," <sup>10</sup> Beck, Giddens and Lash "reflexive modernization" <sup>11</sup> -- humans become bombarded with clashing normative outlooks. As Young cleverly puts it, "the deviant other is everywhere" but "everyone is a potential deviant." <sup>12</sup> Although exposure to radical moral pluralism leads some to harden their views, most "fragilize," that is, they develop conscious or unconscious solicitude regarding the moral stances they prefer. While fragilization can be unsettling and bewildering, it can also create what Taylor calls a "neutral zone," <sup>13</sup> Homi Bhabha a "third space," <sup>14</sup> from which actors confronting cultural pluralism can freely and constructively explore cross-fertilizations and hybrid combinations with the potential to yield yet unimagined approaches and solutions to the problems of "super-diversity." <sup>15</sup>

This paper seeks to document mutual fragilization at the level of ideology and policy. As regards the first, I draw attention to political actors who borrow and combine ideas from normative worldviews that, from a purely and purist philosophical perspective, collide and exclude one another. As regards the latter, I point to policy "messiness," the tendency to tolerate and enact policies whose intents and consequences run at cross purposes. <sup>16</sup> Both types of fragilization manifest new patterns of European secularism -- actually secularisms – in which the prospect of and perhaps even the need for an "overlapping consensus" are abating.

One preliminary caveat is in order. I do not for a moment want to imply that normative pluralism alone shapes policymaking. Analysts have identified a range of non-normative factors that influence immigration policy. These include demographics, <sup>17</sup> health of the economy, <sup>18</sup> political opportunity structures, <sup>19</sup> international crises, <sup>20</sup> media salience, <sup>21</sup> level of government, <sup>22</sup> courts, <sup>23</sup> institutional and legal heritage, <sup>24</sup> political access <sup>25</sup>, asymmetry of available resources, <sup>26</sup>

ethnic origin,<sup>27</sup> type of political actor such as (opportunistic, ideological) elected officials versus (pragmatic, problem-solving) administrators,<sup>28</sup> and administrative rationality or "governmentality."<sup>29</sup> That noted, several studies document the considerable influence of normative arguments and expectations on immigration policy making.<sup>30</sup> These and other studies of normative frames and schemas have tended to exaggerate the degree and extent of normative consensus in any given land, making the French out to be, for instance, uniformly republicans, the Germans ethno-nationalists, the British and Dutch multiculturalists.<sup>31</sup> By contrast, the concept of fragilization enables us to unpack the normative dimension in such a way as to reveal its polyvalent, dynamic, that is, messy character.

#### **EURO-ISLAM**

Most European governments have since 9/11 adopted a two-pronged approach to homeland security: 1) increase police efforts and powers to detect, thwart, arrest and convict terrorists and their enablers; and 2) more vigorously encourage the integration of Muslims into society so as to lessen their sense of alienation and presumed susceptibility to political extremism. The latter has entailed the pledge to combat the rampant Islamophobic discrimination that countless studies have documented in most European lands.<sup>32</sup> Prodded by the European Union's Race Directive of 2000, whose Article Seven denounces discrimination based on religion, member states have established anti-discrimination agencies such as the Commission for Equality and Human Rights in the United Kingdom (2004), the Haute Authorité de Lutte contre la Discriminations et pour l'Egalité in France (2005; since 2011 Défenseur des Droits) and Die Antidiskriminierungsstelle des Bundes in Germany (2006). Going a step farther, most European governments have sought to counterbalance inherited institutional favoritism by establishing formal, high-profile relations with Islam that aim to parallel and (eventually) emulate those already in place between the state

and Christianity (and often Judaism). While some states, such as Austria, Belgium, Sweden and the Netherlands, already had close ties with Islamic representatives long before 9/11, others deliberately moved to form them thereafter. Thus did France found the Conseil Français du Culte Musulman in 2003, Britain the Mosques and Imams National Advisory Board in 2005, and Germany the Deutsche Islam Konferenz in 2006. The following official mission statement of the Italian Consulta Islamica, set up in 2005, is typical. It is to be

a consultative body of the Interior Ministry that conducts research which formulates positions and proposals for the purpose of encouraging institutional dialogue with the Islamic communities in order to identify the most adequate solutions for a harmonious inclusion of Islam within the national community with respect to the laws of the Italian Republic.<sup>33</sup>

These and other efforts to embrace Muslims have been endorsed at the highest levels of government. As early as 1993, French Interior Minister Charles Pasqua posited: "It is no longer enough to talk of Islam in France. There has to be a French Islam. The French Republic is ready for this."<sup>34</sup> In 2009 in an editorial in *Le Monde* (9 December), French President Nicolas Sarkozy voiced his desire to "put the Muslim religion on an equal footing with all other great religions." German Interior Minister Wolfgang Schäuble has also maintained that his society and government must endeavor to transform the "Muslims in Germany" into "German Muslims." Tony Blair first visited a mosque at the outset of Ramadan in 1999, Her Majesty ordered a prayer room built at Windsor Castle for her lone Muslim servant in 2004, and Prince Charles has voiced his wish to be crowned "Defender of Faiths" rather than "Defender of the Faith."

The desired end of such out-reach is "Euro-Islam," a neologism as telling as it is popular. It is telling because Euro-Islam is not only conceived as an Islam befitting life in Europe, but also as a Europeanized Islam, that is, an Islam that has been subjected to similar self-scrutiny and self-reform as the Christian denominations are alleged to have undergone in the modern age

(even if with formidable resistance at times, such as within Roman Catholicism prior to Vatican II). 36 As envisaged by its proponents, reformists such as Naser Khader (Denmark), Baroness Kishwer Falkner (UK), Malek Cheleb (France) and Bassam Tibi (Germany), Euro-Islam would relinquish its theocratic ambitions and embrace democracy. It would tolerate all other faiths, including atheism, and recognize the right of each individual to choose or craft his or her own creed. Freedom of religion further means that the prohibition of apostasy would have to be excised from Islamic doctrine. Furthermore, Euro-Islam would purge from conventional Islam all precepts and rituals which offend modern democratic sensibilities, such as the subordination of women to men or eye-for-eye justice. Likewise, this "enlightened Islam [which] is compatible with world civilization, with Europe, and with the spirit of the Republic" would systematically disavow Quranic interpretations of nature belied by modern science. Most importantly, it would entail subjecting the Quran to the same kind of rational scrutiny applied in biblical criticism since the nineteenth century. The sacred text would be read not as the inerrant and literal word of God but as the words of specific men formulated in specific times – indeed times very different from our own. French reformer Bassam Tahhan writes: "The tradition regards the Koran as onedimensional and fixed. This approach is not rationalist. To be a rationalist is to accept that each era, with its [particular] methods and discoveries, presents its own reading of the Koran, and this is the way it will be until the end of days."<sup>38</sup> Once Muslims are made to understand that there exists no real alternative to interpreting the Quran, they will become more comfortable customizing the creed to better jibe with modern rationalism, including democracy and pluralism. An Islam based on independent judgment (ijtihad) rather than on slavish obedience to authority is not only compatible with the Quran but likelier to survive and thrive in a culture such as Europe's that celebrates free choice.<sup>39</sup> Euro-Islam represents, then, a largely depoliticized

Islam which seeks to reinforce and accommodate rather than question or disrupt the perceived European secular order. The acknowledged Habermasian and Euro-Muslim Bassam Tibi summarizes:

By acknowledging cultural and religious pluralism, Euro-Islam would give up the claim of Islamic dominance. Thus defined, Euro-Islam would be compatible with liberal democracy, individual human rights, and the requirements of a civil society. It would also contrast sharply with the communitarian politics that result in ghettoization. To be sure, the politics of Euro-Islam would not allow complete assimilation of Muslims. Yet it could enable the adoption of forms of civil society leading to an enlightened, open-minded Islamic identity compatible with European civic culture.<sup>40</sup>

Mainstream European politicians officially pronounce that they will have no truck with any Islam except Euro-Islam. Indeed, they often opportunistically exploit relations with Islam to spotlight their unequivocally democratic credentials. For instance, a then still Interior Minister Nicolas Sarkozy said of the Conseil Français du Culte Musulman in 2003: "It is precisely because we recognize the right of Islam to sit at the table of the republic that we will not accept any deviation. Any prayer leader whose views run contrary to the values of the republic will be expelled."41 Tony Blair, while averring that the only sure strategy for defeating Islamist extremism had to embrace Islam, nonetheless emphatically added: "There has to be a shared acceptance that some things we believe in and we do together: obedience to certain values like democracy, rule of law, equality between men and women...This common space cannot be left to chance or individual decsion. It has to be accepted as mandatory."42 And in a unmistakable allusion to Muslims, Angela Merkel insisted that "anyone coming here must respect our constitution and tolerate our Western and Christian roots."43 Indeed, at one meeting of the German Islam Conference, the Muslim participants were asked to strike from their Qurans verses at odds with gender equality as a show of sincere commitment to democracy. 44

#### **ISLAMOPHOBIA**

Such hardline stances manifest fragilization toward Islamophobic prejudice. They hold Islam in greater suspicion and therefore to a higher standard than Christianity or Judaism. It is hard to imagine, for instance, a European politician (save perhaps a Communist) seeking to score popularity points by demanding that Christian clerics strike passages from their Holy Scripture. Invitees to such state-sponsored "dialogues" often include, in addition to imams, Muslim apostates and even atheists who have in one way or another made a name for themselves telling of the oppression they suffered as "Muslims," that is as "insider experts" of a sort. 45 As some indignant Muslim clerics who took part in the first German Islam Conference in 2006 sardonically complained regarding the invitees, the rough counterpart would be inviting "the Pope and pop star Madonna" to a purportedly serious meeting regarding relations between the state and the Roman Catholic Church. 46 Indeed, it has been practicing as opposed to lapsed Muslims who have frequently been expelled from such commissions (typically on suspicion of ties to the Muslim Brotherhood). 47 The (Bernard) Stasi Commission convened by Jacques Chirac in 2003, which recommended the ban on veils in French schools that passed into law in 2004, excluded testimony from veiled women on grounds that their views could not be trusted as their own. 48 Bans of various kinds on Islamic dress (not to mention mosques and minarets) exist or are being considered in many other European lands. <sup>49</sup> Equally prejudiced against or suspicious of Islam in particular are the numerous integration and civics courses and loyalty pledges that for all intents and purposes only Muslims are required to take in order to obtain citizenship or a visa. The Dutch course introduced in 1998, which has served as something of a model for the various types of loyalty tests that have subsequently sprung up in many European lands, subjects "students" to footage of gay men kissing and topless women sunbathing to teach and test the appropriate response, which, needless to say, is toleration rather than indignation. <sup>50</sup> Nor should

we overlook the fact that racial and ethnic profiling of Muslims by police has proliferated across Europe as have deport-first-prove-later measures for dealing with suspected criminals of Muslim heritage. Sadly, the preoccupation with Muslims may have contributed to the free reign exploited by "Christian" terrorists such as Norway's Anders Behring Breivik or Germany's National Socialist Underground Zwickau Cell.

The extra scrutiny is necessary, claim Islamophobes, because Islam is inherently antidemocratic and expansionist. European Islamophobia tends to manifest itself in two often overlapping, but nonetheless distinguishable strands. Mostly from the progressive Left stem concerns that the large presence of Muslims imperils the slow but steady progress of Reason and Democracy in Europe since the Enlightenment against the benighted forces of obscurantism and tyranny. The Quran preaches theocracy – "the dictatorship of the mullahs" <sup>52</sup> – and commands of its followers "submission" to divine law (Sharia) rather than self-determination through democracy. Particularly threatened are the most recent achievements of the ongoing Enlightenment project such as equal rights for women and for homosexuals. The former Islam treats as virtual slaves whose place in heaven can be secured only through obsequious obedience to men; the latter as base sinners whose destiny is eternal damnation. Typical of this common essentializing trope is Thierry Chervel's lament, versions of which could be quoted from any number of a chorus of prominent Islam-naysayers such as André Glucksmann, Emmanuell Todd, Fadela Amara, Herman Philipse, Alice Schwarzer, Necla Kelek, Ralph Giordano, and Farrukh Dhondy:

In the confrontation with Islamism, the Left has abandoned its principles. In the past it stood for cutting the ties to convention and tradition, but in the case of Islam it reinstates them in the name of multiculturalism. It is proud to have fought for women's rights, but in Islam it tolerates head scarves, arranged marriages, and wife-beating. It once stood for equal rights, now it preaches a right to difference – and thus different rights. It proclaims freedom of speech, but when it comes to

Islam it coughs in embarrassment. It once supported gay rights, but now keeps silent about Islam's taboo on homosexuality. The West's long-due process of self-relativisation at the end of the colonial era, which was promoted by postmodernist and structuralist ideas, has led to cultural relativism and the loss of standards.<sup>53</sup>

So convinced of Islam's inherent inclination toward dictatorship is prominent French intellectual Bernhard Henri Lévy that he refuses to refer to Islamists with anything but the neologism "fascislamist." Former German Foreign Minister Joschka Fischer prefers "the new totalitarianism." It seems an obvious conclusion that "one cannot consider Islam a religion among others, a religion that might have a right to exist under the big roof of European tolerance."

From the right side of the political spectrum one more often encounters grave concern regarding the purported adulteration of Europe's "Judeo-Christian character." Large-scale postwar immigration combined with higher birth rates among Muslims is said to have occasioned the "Islamization" of Europe to a point where natives feel "strangers in their own country."<sup>56</sup> While soberer observers raise doubts about dilution of the ethnic and linguistic homogeneity or "social glue" that any society supposedly requires to function well, <sup>57</sup> other more alarmist and vociferous Cassandras discern an Islamic conspiracy to transform Europe into "Eurabia" using Muslim migrants as the foot soldiers. Thus wrote Italian journalist Oriana Fallaci in her second bestselling diatribe against Islam, *The Force of Reason*: "Europe becomes more and more a province of Islam, a colony of Islam. In each of our cities lies a second city: a Muslim city, a city run by the Koran. A stage in the Islamic expansionism."<sup>58</sup> Indeed purporting to expose such a plot has become one of the surest ways since 9/11 to catapult oneself onto the bestseller list. <sup>59</sup> Like Fallaci's, the paranoid arguments typically contend that Islam harbors a built-in urge toward militant expansionism which stems back to its very founder, Muhammad, who established an Islamic theocracy in Mecca with the sword. Following the prophet's lead,

subsequent Islamic Empires have all deployed military might to spread the faith, including into Europe during the Middle Ages. Islamists and Jihadists will not stop until they have conquered Europe as their stepping stone to subduing the entire West. Tolerating their presence represents Europe's 21<sup>st</sup>-century counterpart to Munich of 1938.<sup>60</sup>

Both left and right Islamophobia draw from and reinforce (neo)Orientalist discourse. Said, of course, penned the classic study of *Orientalism* as a discourse that not only stigmatized the Orient but also legitimized its domination by Western powers. The discourse, created and conveyed by an interlocking network of artists, experts, administrators, journalists and politicians, represents Islam as a monolithic and static religion, culture, indeed, entire civilization that resists not only change but, in particular, rational persuasion. The discourse is furthermore reductionist and essentialist in that it portrays all Muslims as fully determined by an allencompassing Islamic ethos. The discourse thereby denies Muslims the free agency and reason to adapt, alter or reject their faith. This supposition, moreover, conveniently dismisses Muslims' self-representation as the nonsensical utterances of persons intellectually imprisoned by a benighted creed and culture. In the Orientalist discourse, Muslims are represented rather than heard, suspected rather than trusted and governed rather than empowered. Finally, by creating the proverbial Negative Other, the discourse self-servingly projects a positive counter-image of the West and Westerners as utterly other and therefore superior to unenlightened Orientals. "The Orient," writes Said, "has helped to define Europe (or the West) as its contrasting image, idea, personality, experience...European culture gained its strength and identity by setting itself off against the Orient as a sort of surrogate and even underground self."61

There now exists a plethora of studies documenting both the prevalence and sway of this type of neo-Orientalist discourse in European politics and media. 62 Gest's assessment is representative:

Interpretations of Islam that portray it as irreducible, impenetrable, undifferentiated and immune to processes of change have long obscured the complexities of the historical experience of Muslims across different societies. Today, these perceptions persist, overlooking the complicated process of acculturation and mutual adaptation by Muslims and institutions of Western Europe. They ignore Islam's plasticity and diversity, and instead allow exaggerated misimages – stemming from exotica or invented in a narrow historical context and augmented by selective episodic details – to constitute Muslim history and tradition. And by considering Islam as an undifferentiated whole, essentialist discourse is able to broad-brush Muslims as a threat to the equally undifferentiated "good" societies of the West. 63

The power of Islamophobia lies in transforming prejudice into prudence. The "Muslims" that vast numbers of non-Muslim Europeans "know" are for the most part virtual or fully "mediatized;" and these fictionalized Muslims are indeed sinister and threatening, for their imputed Islamic piety makes them immutably anti-democratic, regressive, misogynistic, militaristic, and, most worrisomely, irrational. Small wonder, then, that the 2011 *Pew Global Attitudes Survey* found that 36% of those polled in Britain and France, 55% in Germany, and 63% in Spain have an "unfavorable" attitude toward Muslims in general. European Muslims have become the victim (before but even more so after 9/11) of what Stanley Cohen has diagnosed as "moral panic." Moral panic obtains when opportunistic political agents manage to stigmatize a targeted group in such a way that the group's purported moral deviance becomes convincingly portrayed as an existential threat to the society as a whole. Furthermore, moral panic is all the likelier in the "risk society" of late modernity where persons become preoccupied more with potential than with actual dangers.

Moral panic feeds off exaggeration as much as distortion. Needless to say, neither European secularism nor Christianity lies imperiled, at least not from Muslims. Theocratic parties and politicians remain rare and largely unelectable. The schools, both private and public, overwhelmingly teach and socialize pupils to the core secularist tenet that democratic law is supreme. Indeed, studies reveal that the vast majority of European Muslims are assimilating to secular lifestyles that fore the most part relegate religious belief and practice to the private sphere. <sup>68</sup> At the same time, European lands remain fully saturated in Christian lore, ritual, and symbolism, from hourly ringing church bells to crucifixes in classrooms to religious oriented holidays, all of which Muslims must suffer. The (Gregorian) calendar itself is of Christian origin! Laborde labels such favoritism "soft rules," mostly unorchestrated, unofficial yet pervasive favoring of Christian norms, expectations and presuppositions as "normal" and Muslim ones as "abnormal." And yet, much Christian favoritism is officially and deliberately state-sponsored. Take the case of private but nonetheless state-subsidized religious schools. Germany has thousands of Christian schools compared to two Islamic schools; the Netherlands 5000 compared to 50; Britain 7000 compared to seven (despite the fact that more Muslims, in absolute not proportional terms, weekly attend mosque than Anglicans weekly attend church). <sup>70</sup> Even in laïque France, roughly a fifth of French pupils attend religious (mostly Christian) schools 85 percent of whose costs are covered by the state. <sup>71</sup> By contrast, only a few dozen Muslim pupils attend a handful of Muslim schools. 72 As Davie incisively observes, "in European society, the religious playing field is not level, nor is it likely to become so in the foreseeable future."<sup>73</sup> Not a single European land currently comes close to fulfilling Bauböck's elegant vision of genuine secular neutrality and fairness:

the state can live up to its obligation of equal concern and respect for all citizens by, on the one hand, extending whatever historical privileges the dominant religion has enjoyed to the minority congregations and, on the other hand, abolishing those that involuntarily subject non-believers to some religious authority.<sup>74</sup>

## **ISLAMISM & EUROPOBIA**

Both secular and Christian favoritism enrage and terrify Islamists. For them the issue is not simply maintaining a particular identity; it is about defending their access to eternal salvation. They resolutely believe that Christian (and Jewish) denominations have made a tragic, even suicidal mistake with grave consequences for all humanity in submitting to the conditions of Western secularism. By subordinating themselves to man-made law, they have forfeited the role of moral leadership, thereby opened the way for unbuttoned hedonism to become the ersatz religion for the masses, and left morally unguided and unchecked an economic and political elite that has ravaged the globe and its peoples through wanton pursuit of this-worldly profit and power. Secularism, for Islamists, is synonymous with the triumph of sin over morality, evil over good. They resolve to resist it, which they believe they do best by endeavoring to live by Islamic precepts as much as possible in all aspects of their life. One Copenhagen imam, for example, averred in 2004 that "secularism is a disgusting form of oppression...No Muslim can accept secularism, freedom, and democracy. It is for Allah alone to legislate how our society shall be regulated. Muslims wish and long for Allah's law to replace the law of man."<sup>75</sup> A zealous cybernaut at oumma.com, likewise, announces: "Laws made by men are made for them and therefore are always unjust, only Quranic law is good because it is impartial." Such words echo Sayyid Qutb's *Milestones*, a widely read and praised book among Islamists in which the venerated martyr denounces all man-made laws as the product of ignorance (jahilyya) and calls on his brethren to defy, depose and replace them with Islamic law wherever possible.<sup>77</sup> The organization Hizb ut-Tahrir, for example, claims that "Islam is a complete way of life that

provides guidance for man in all aspects of life. It is not defined in contradistinction to other ideologies or religions, but by being the truth revealed by the creator of man, life and the universe." This is what I mean by the term "Islamism," which I use broadly to envelop the beliefs of all those who strive toward a society in which Islamic precepts and laws -- typically understood as those enunciated in the Quran and Sunnah – predominate. Due to limited space, I gloss over the significant differences in strategy for achieving the Islamist goal -- differences ranging from pietist personal conversion stressed by such groups as Tablighi Jamaat and Jamaatunnur, to non-violent political action practiced by the Muslim Brotherhood and its European affiliate the Union of Islamic Organizations in Europe, to violent jihadi militant organizations such as Hizb ut-Tahrir, Supporters of Shariah and Groupe Islamique Armé. <sup>79</sup>

Islamists tend to engage in inverted othering. The concept parallels what others identify as a "duplication" or "mirror" effect whereby radicalized Muslims recast the stigma of themselves as Europe's negative Other to produce an equally reductionist counter-stereotype in which the West and Westerners appear evil and Islam and "true" Muslims good. <sup>80</sup> Inverted othering too reveals fragilization. Islamist activists shrewdly borrow from postcolonial and postmodern studies influential readings of the West in late modernity and customize them to undergird their Islamist agenda. The conventional image of Islamists as proverbial ostriches with their heads sunk deep in the sand of a medieval worldview misses the mark. I concur with Roy, who contends that Islamist activists are best understood as fully integrated into a single global political discourse whose successful ideas and tactics they keenly study and dexterously employ. <sup>81</sup>

Islamists, for instance, deftly exploit the postmodern argument that Muslims are Europe's latest Other, the newest group Europeans love to hate. As intimated above, this postmodern

argument that interprets Muslims as something like the new Jews, whose stigmatized popular image reinforces European superciliousness, has become a staple of European migration studies, particularly on the Left. 82 Like postmodernists, Islamists contend that the stigmatization of Muslims is not merely hypocritical, a double standard, but rather constitutive of European identity itself. Postmodernists, of course, offer up a wide variety of reasons, ranging from sublimated impulses and needs à la Freud to simple force of habit, for why Westerners engage in negative othering. Islamists, by contrast, contend that Westerners stigmatize Muslims because they have nothing to be proud of in their own civilization, having completely befouled it through rampant turpitude. Rotterdam-based imam, Khalil el-Moumni, for example, declares that "Western civilization is a civilization without morals," while the Islamic Party of Britain contends that "there is nothing in Western societies that remotely resembles good behaviour."83 According to the Muslim Parliament UK, Europe is "beginning to develop disorders of the mind, body and soul as a direct consequence of unmitigated secularism."84 Thankfully, claims Kalim Siddiqui, Islam "possesses moral precepts such as collective responsibility and moderation that liberate man from western-like materialism, egoism and money-grabbing corruption and overriding selfish individualism of the West."85 Westerners do not want to face this disturbing fact. The Swedish journal Salaam charges: "The ones who are behind this negative propaganda hate the message that Islam has brought, i.e. that all men are equal before Allah and that the best of us is the most devout." They aim to "make Islam look like a weird, horrible and strange faith so that no one ever should come to think of taking an interest in or convert to that faith."86

A second trope commonly employed by Islamists contends that Europe and Europeans have long been and remain bent on subjugating Muslims. With arguments unmistakably reminiscent of postcolonial luminaries from Fanon to Said, Islamists contend that the West has

long harbored and often realized (neo)imperialist designs on the Orient. From the Crusades through the colonialism of the "White Man's Burden" to the current "War on Terror," this urge to dominate Muslims has figured prominent in the Western psyche. Needless to say, the USA and Israel lead the "Crusaders and Zionists" of today, but Europe vitriolic recrimination for supporting this ongoing injustice and subjugation. <sup>87</sup> For example, in his "martyrdom video," 7/7 suicide bomber Mohammad Sidique Khan justified his actions with the following insinuation: "Your democratically elected governments continuously perpetuate atrocities against my people all over the world."

Within Europe, Europeans perpetuate the imperialist tradition and mindset through a combined demonization and domination of Muslims that marginalize and exploit them as second-class citizens. Britain's Islamchannel, for instance, advertises itself as the "Voice of the Voiceless, Voice of the Oppressed." Siddiqui charges that "post-Christian secular society," including "the British Government," seeks "to destroy our values," while Abdul Wahid,

Chairman of the British Hizb ut-Tahrir, claims "the government's long-term objective is to manufacture a compliant, subdued, secular Muslim community in Britain." Such remarks are unmistakably informed by the postcolonial interpretation of immigration in Europe that discerns an ongoing attitude of "coloniality," that is, "a logic of governmentality that not only supports specific forms of historical colonialism but continues to structure a planetary hierarchy in terms of a distinction between West and the non-West...beyond the formal institutionalization of colonialism."

By stressing Islamists' fragilization toward postmodern and postcolonial analysis, I do not mean to dismiss their capacity for independent thought. I aim rather to proffer an interpretation of so-called "multiculturalism" that reads it as an outgrowth of mutual fragilization

as opposed to creeping Islamization. Policies of official or "de facto multiculturalism" have doubtless opened up spaces across Europe where Islamists preach and practice their anti-Western and anti-secular worldview. Scores of studies document the existence of transnational enclaves in which migrants live by norms and values significantly different from the majority population. These are not exclusively Islamist enclaves, but Islamists do figure prominently in many of them where they carve out what some have called "protection zones" or domains of "Islamic ambiance."

However, two caveats need to be interjected immediately. First, such Islamist zones of de facto autonomy represent tiny islands in a surrounding sea of both irreligious and Christian favoritism of the kinds discussed above. Second, and more importantly, Islamist "apartism" 95 does not reflect anything remotely resembling the Islamization of the policy-making process itself. European governments continue to be staffed by precious few elected or appointed Muslims with influence to shape policy and even fewer seeking to legitimize multicultural measures with reference to theocratic passages in the Quran or Hadith. Rather, through fragilizing exposure to widely circulated postmodern and postcolonial ideas, many officials have come to doubt the morality or the feasibility of insisting that Muslims assimilate to European secular norms and values. I am not suggesting that postmodernists and postcolonialists have usurped positions of power, though this presumably has occurred in some places. Far likelier is that officials who themselves generally prefer what we are calling liberal secular values consider plausible the postmodern nihilist notion that those same values are historically and culturally contingent rather than universal and, particularly in relation to Muslims, imposed rather than desired. 96 Resulting solicitude generates sympathy, conscious or unconscious, for the core multicultural tenet that migrants should not have to relinquish their particular culture as a

condition of migrating to European lands. In my reading, then, multiculturalism does indeed represent a certain softening toward Islamism, but not toward those elements that stem directly from Islamic doctrine per se, but rather toward those elements which Islamists, being insightful observers of current political discourse, have shrewdly if not disingenuously adopted from postmodern discourse precisely because they do cast doubt on liberal secular assimilationism.

We can broadly distinguish between two types of multiculturalist policies: direct and indirect support of Islamist organizations. Since the 1970s, European governments at various levels have regularly funded a variety of immigrant organizations dedicated to nurturing and preserving immigrants' language and culture in the receiving country. Thus have public monies flowed to countless Islamic organizations to erect mosques, establish community centers, found female support groups, fund private or public Islamic education, provide imams to undertake pastoral work with prisoners or patients, operate public access radio or TV stations, or open sports clubs. 97 Typically such multiculturalist funding has been provided with minimal strings attached. Often officials identify a small number of prominent community leaders to decide how to distribute and spend public funds. These "elders" of sorts may pay lip service to liberal democratic values but in reality are left to run their organizations with virtually no governmental oversight. 98 It is important to note that such support for multicultural measures has persisted and expanded over the past three decades not merely in countries with an official multicultural policy such as the Netherlands, Sweden, Belgium or Britain, but in practically every European land. Sometimes, multicultural policies were rationalized to the public under the rubric of "reintegration," that is, preparing the migrants to return to their homelands when, in fact, officials knew few planned to so. 99 More often, officials tasked with dealing with immigrants implemented multicultural measures underneath the political and media radar. <sup>100</sup> The

Multiculuralism Policy Index at Queens University finds that despite much recent political rhetoric denouncing multiculturalism as "failed," multiculturalist policies in fact increased not only from 1980 to 2000, but also from 2000 to 2010 across Europe and "more than offset" the high-profile rescinding of such policies in places like the Netherlands since 9/11. Moreover, much research shows that Islamists, employing their legendary social organizing skills, have proven adept at penetrating immigrant organizations and steering them to support an Islamist agenda. They spew Europhobic rhetoric, discourage or forbid their members to interact with "Europeans," preach the superiority of Islamic to secular law, and instruct adherents to follow the former even if it means transgressing the latter. There is, of course, much Islamophobic fearmongering and sensationalism in reporting that exposes such organizations, but it would nevertheless be naïve to think that state funding is not reaching Islamists. 102

Second, indirect support for Islamism in Europe occurs through toleration of Islamist organizations and mosques which have no relationship with government. All European constitutions guarantee religious freedom. As a result, Islamist organizations find much more congenial grounds for organizing than they do in most sending countries whose governments often repress them (especially before the Arab Spring) – a reason why so many Islamist exiles from Ruhollah Khomeini to Necmettin Erbakan to Ali Sadreddin al-Bayanouni took or take safe haven in Europe and organize their efforts from there. They are by and large left free to organize as they wish and to raise money from all manner of sources such as the Muslim World League bankrolled by Saudi Arabia. As mentioned in the introduction, modern European secularism implicitly demands that religious organizations eschew theocracy as a condition for exercising religious freedom. However, many Islamist groups quite openly espouse theocracy. To be sure, many of their strivings are directed toward establishing Islamic regimes in the sending

countries. However, their efforts can and do apply to European soil. Naturally, there are lunatic voices like Omar Bakri, who prophesizes imminent victory in Europe when "the black flag of Islam flies over Downing Street." But the lion's share of Islamist organizations works less flamboyantly but nevertheless assiduously to increase step by step and person by person the number of believers who value Islamic over secular law. They aspire, often successfully, to carve out "zones of exclusion." Within these areas of "self-imposed apartheid" ranging in size from the four walls of a flat or mosque to entire neighborhoods, "Islamic" law prevails, including when it transgresses secular law (for instance, polygamy or coerced confinement). Here those who violate *Sharia* rather than state law are the ones punished or harassed. <sup>107</sup>

Islamist organizations tend to spurn cooperation with Western governments. Indeed, they warn their adherents against "Westoxification" (*Gharbzadegi*), Iranian Jalal al-e Ahmad's widely influential notion that any contact with Westerners is *Kufr* (impious) and as such can initiate a contagion that leads the pious Muslim into sin and, worse, into apostasy. Islamist organizations often thwart the efforts of European governments to reach out to Muslims. In Germany, Spain, Britain, and France, Islamist associations have refused or withdrawn support for the high-profile national councils alluded to above. Within European Muslim communities, where Islamist organizations are often well organized and well respected, such disapprobation tends to undermine the legitimacy of governmental efforts. Programs sponsored by European governments are viewed with suspicion as potentially repressive and more often than not simply avoided or ignored. The proportion of Islamic organizations that eschew contact with European governments is estimated at between one-fourth and one-third. As Roy perspicaciously observes, so long as "Muslim identity is tinged with a strongly anti-imperialist hue," enthusiastic

support from a European government can often "amount to giving them [the sponsored Muslim organizations] the kiss of death." 110

I do not highlight Islamism with the intention of fueling the flames of Islamophobic hysteria. I aim instead to underscore the complex, polyvalent nature of European secularism. In theory, European secular states are supposed to be neutral toward religion. In reality, despite important institutional differences, <sup>111</sup> they all at once preach the supremacy of secular law that treats all believers and non-believers equally, oversee extensive Christian (and sometimes Jewish) favoritism, endeavor to combat discrimination against Muslims, reach out to Euro-Muslims, and support or tolerate Islamists who thwart efforts to strengthen Euro-Islam. In this vein, it is interesting to note Bader's observation in his major study of European secularism:

States are not monolithic. Aims and strategies vary, and the legislative, judicial and executive branches often follow contradictory policies. The differentiation only increases when comparing federal, state and local levels. Every state thus shows a variety of partly inconsistent institutional arrangements; and actual policies diverge from legal norms. 112

In reality, most European governments do not practice secularism, rather secularisms – a complex, dynamic intermingling and over-layering of policies whose intent and consequences often run deeply at odds with one another. Such policy messiness reflects widening mutual fragilization whereby policymakers and citizens alike become increasingly accustomed to, if not necessarily comfortable with, the co-existence of rival outlooks and approaches to religion in contemporary life and society. As mutual fragilization expands both the prospect of and perhaps need for an "overlapping consensus," let alone coherent policy, dwindle.

#### **POST-ISLAMISM**

Make no mistake. Mutual fragilization can prompt mutual demonization but it need not, as the example of post-Islamism attests. As conscious or unconscious apprehension regarding the

persuasiveness of one's preferred outlooks intensifies, the temptation to demonize one's perceived adversaries can strengthen. If the arguments for one's position seem less able to persuade adversaries on the basis of merit alone, then assailing one's opponents can present itself as an increasingly tempting strategy. Demonization can prove highly alluring, for it distracts attention away from one's own vulnerabilities, exaggerates the foes' shortcomings, and often garners considerable attention. As political actors follow the lead of media producers who know that bad news sells better than good news, the politics of immigration in Europe has increasingly degenerated into a politics of slighting, fear-mongering and scapegoating. <sup>114</sup> Indeed, the hate promoters tend not only to prey but also depend on one another. Thus Islamophobes' outlandish distortions of Islam and Muslims become the Europhobes' evidence that the entire West is evil and sadistic, and vice versa. The opposing camps become locked in a self-referencing and self-reinforcing war of manipulated words and images that becomes virtually hermetically sealed off from other more nuanced discussion and analysis. <sup>115</sup>

Rather than flee fragilization into essentialist and binary stereotypes, post-Islamists embrace the ambivalence of fragilization as an opportunity to discover new insights. The latter unpredictably emerge through the hybrid combination of worldviews presumed to be mutually exclusive: liberalism and Islamism. I apply the less-than-perfect label "post-Islamists" to refer to a new generation of Muslim intellectuals and activists in search of a "more sophisticated idiom of Islam." While their critique of many aspects of modern Western societies is unmistakably informed by the thought of earlier Islamists such as Qutb or Abul Ala Maududi, these "moderate Muslims" eschew the wholesale rejection of Western society associated with both the Islamist pioneers as well as their contemporary orthodox adherents. "I don't deny my Muslim roots," claims Tariq Ramadan, "but I don't vilify Europe either."

this "critical Islam" <sup>120</sup> tend to reside in Europe, to stem from the middle class, and to be highly and mostly Western educated. <sup>121</sup> They tend to adopt and become comfortable with many of the styles and rhythms of modern Western life regarding, for instance, education, professionalism, consumerism and individualism. <sup>122</sup> That said, they operate in a fully "transnational religious discourse" <sup>123</sup> that is profoundly in touch with and deeply colored by prominent reformist thinkers in the Middle East such as Abdolkarim Soroush, Nasr Hamid Abu Zayd, Rachid al-Ghannouchi and Yusuf al-Qaradawi. These mavericks in Europe are contesting for leadership, often with success, with an older more strictly anti-Western guard in Islamist associations such as the Union of Islamic Organisations in Europe (OIOE), Islamische Gemeinde Milli Görüş (IGMG) or the UK Islamic Mission. They publish their ideas in journals and magazines such as *Q-News*, *The Muslim News*, *La Medina* and *Die Islamische Zeitung* or on websites such as Islam21.net, oumma.com and huda.de. <sup>124</sup>

In contrast to Euro-Muslims, post-Islamists resist a wholesale endorsement of the modern West. To be sure, post-Islamists recognize and value certain aspects of Western society. Chief among these are democratic rights and liberties, particularly religious freedom. Thus does Ramadan remind readers that it is precisely the separation of church and state that can "protect the total independence of Muslims in France." The erstwhile leader of IGMG, Mehmet Erbakan, contends that European Muslims live in far superior conditions for freely exercising their religion than ninety percent of their Muslim brethren in the so-called "Islamic world," where authoritarian regimes have traditionally quashed religious freedom. Such authoritarian rule, even when done in the name of Islamic law, he maintains "is not a fulfillment of God's will rather its perversion." Tunisian exile (until 2011) al-Ghannouchi famously changed Europe's designation from the conventional *dar-al-harb* (space hostile to Muslims) to *dar-al-Islam* (space

congenial to Islam). Ramadan endorsed the Tunisian's re-categorization but augmented it to dar al-shahada (space of testimony). The Swiss activist and grandson of Muslim Brotherhood Founder Hassan al-Banna implores European Muslims to take advantage of the great opportunities and rights available there -- to abandon their "Pakistani, Turkish or Arab" "ghettos" (both "social and intellectual") and "integrate themselves into European culture" and thereby craft a "more self-critical" Islam as well as a model of "Islamic citizenship" that will stand as an example (testimony) to the rest of the Islamic world to emulate in the 21st century.

Post-Islamists simply do not discern the incompatibility between Islam and "Western" values postulated by Islamophobes and Islamists alike. The Union of Islamic Organizations of France (UOIF), the IGMG and the Muslim Council of Britain (MCB), despite their Islamist links, each publicly proclaims its fidelity to the constitution of France, Germany and Britain respectively. MCB, for example, officially "encourag[es] individual Muslims and Muslim organisations to play a full and participatory role in public life." Granted, European constitutions permit some things, such as usury and alcohol consumption, that Islam forbids. However, the critical point is that none of the constitutions *obliges* Muslims to engage in such activities. Hassan Safoui, the media manager of UOIF, sees, for instance, no reason why persons of different "beliefs or references" cannot "agree on shared values" that build a "mutual ethics between Islam and the West to fight social diseases in the European communities." 135

As intimated in the previous quotation, post-Islamists discern grave shortcomings in European societies. Moreover, they believe themselves to be particularly well situated both to recognize and remedy such profound problems. This more critical predisposition distinguishes them from Euro-Muslims, who, generally speaking, interpret the achievements of Western

society since the European Enlightenment as progressive and exemplary. In the eyes of post-Islamists, Muslims, as victims of European imperialism, are well suited to expose the darker side of European "greatness." They possess firsthand experience with the injustice and inequality that go hand in hand with European prosperity and power not only in the Third World, whence most European Muslims hail, but also in Europe itself, where they are exploited and discriminated against. Muslims can also help to correct the lopsided interpretation proffered by Eurocentrism, which views Western Civilization as the lone font of the world's greatest and lasting achievements. Ramadan chastises this supercilious combination of ignorance and arrogance with which Eurocentric secularists dismiss all but their own ideas:

Convinced that they are progressive, they give themselves the arbitrary right to proclaim the definitively reactionary nature of religions...In the end, only a handful of "Muslims-who-think-like-us" are accepted, while the others are denied the possibility of being genuinely progressive fighters armed with their own set of values. By doing this, the dialogue with Islam is transformed into an interactive monologue which massages "our ideological certainties" just as Huntington wanted to ensure "our strategic interests." <sup>137</sup>

Proud, educated, outspoken Muslims can shed light on not only the great accomplishments of Islamic civilization, but the latters' profound contribution to so-called "Western civilization" itself.<sup>138</sup> A genuinely open dialogue that includes Muslims and Islam as part of Europe past and present -- that undermines rather than perpetuates binary stereotypes -- can lead to a fuller if admittedly less self-congratulatory comprehension of Europeanness.<sup>139</sup>

Post-Islamists want, however, more than merely to be considered insiders rather than outsiders to Europe. They want to improve Europe; and they want to do so *qua* Muslims. They refuse to relegate their religion to the private sphere (like, say, a hobby) as the cost of fully participating in public life. Thus did the founding members of the Union des Jeunes Musulmans announce in 1987 their goal to "live our spirituality in the open and not in a reclusive way in the

private sphere."<sup>140</sup> IGMG maintains that "Islam is a social and individual way of living, the influence of which certainly does not end at a mosque's doorstep."<sup>141</sup> Likewise, MCB strives for "a multi-faith, pluralist society with a conscious policy of recognizing that people's cultural and faith identities are not merely a private matter but have public implications."<sup>142</sup> Each organization echoes the words of the influential leader of the London-based European Council for Fatwa and Research, al-Qaradawi, who asserts: "No Muslim who believes that Islam is the word of God can conceive that this great religion will ever accept being a mere appendix to socialism or any other ideology."<sup>143</sup>

In contrast to Islamophobes, Islamists, and Euro-Islamists, all of whom view Europe as essentially a finished product, post-Islamists see it as a work in progress, indeed, one in need of considerable work and progress. For them, Islam represents a wellspring of universal values such as the fundamental equality of all humans before God, humility and respect for God's creation (environment), individual responsibility and industry, but also sympathy, aid and justice for the downtrodden and unfortunate, that if adapted and applied to modern life can greatly improve it. 144 The spiritual, and thereby the ethical, dimension of life has been neglected as Europeans have become mesmerized by the admittedly impressive physical accomplishments and comforts of modernization. "We do not want modernization without soul or values; we want ethical reform. We want to transform the world in the name of the justice and human dignity that, sadly, are often forgotten in the current inhumane global (dis)order." For Ramadan, national modern identity represents a jejune substitute for genuinely religious identity. The former teaches humans "how" to exist but not, like Islam, "why" they exist. It leaves them lacking a deeper meaning and purpose with which to assess rather than merely accept the latest trends and fashions of modern life. It no less than robs humankind of its proper and proportionate

relationship to the rest of the universe by anthropomorphically and mistakenly placing man at the center of that universe. 146

Post-Islamists do not pretend to have all the answers. They underscore dialogue. UOIF's commitment to open dialogue is typical of other organizations with post-Islamist leanings: "Diversity is inherent in human nature. The UOIF believes that dialogue is the best way to achieve mutual recognition among members of a common society. The UOIF opposes a rupturing discourse based on the hatred and rejection of others...The only acceptable approach to dealing with the emergence of problems of misunderstanding is dialogue, explanation and education." Post-Islamists do not seek to Islamize Europe, but they do demand a prominent place for Islam at the dialogue table and therefore in public life. They do insist on the need to formulate through dialogue what Ramadan calls a "new 'We." This is a new understanding of what it means to be European that includes rather than excludes Islam, that views "Muslims – with their spirituality, ethics and creativity" -- as a "contribution" rather than a threat. This will demand recognition that

European societies have been changing, and the presence of Muslims has forced them to experience an even greater diversity of cultures. As a result, a European identity has evolved that is open, plural and constantly in motion, thanks to the cross-fertilisation between reclaimed cultures of origin and the European cultures that now include new (Muslim) citizens.<sup>149</sup>

#### **CONCLUSION**

Social scientists tend to prefer order to messiness. They are wont to design models and typologies that endeavor to make sense of a complicated reality. In the comparative study of both immigration and secularism the prevailing paradigm underscores national models and path dependency. As noted above, the French are said to follow a republican model of immigrant incorporation, the British a multicultural model, the Germans an ethno-national model. <sup>150</sup> As far

as secularism is concerned, France practices strict separation, Britain weak establishment, Germany institutionalized neutrality.<sup>151</sup>

Despite admitted advantages, such modeling with the nation-state as the central unit of analysis has at least two drawbacks. <sup>152</sup> By accentuating differences, it glosses over similarities across nation-states. As demonstrated above, most governments of Europe oversee multiple forms of secularism at once. Bias in favor of Christianity and against Islam exists everywhere, attempts to encourage Euro-Islam can be found in most lands, and both Islamism and post-Islamism are Europe-wide phenomena. The nation-state models paradigm also exaggerates the extent of normative consensus within each nation-state. Yet, above we encountered vehement debate in each land regarding how best to incorporate Islam and Muslims -- debate, moreover, that tends to take on similar expressions from one country to the next. Islamophobes are no more confined to, say, France than Islamists are to the United Kingdom or the Netherlands.

Furthermore, this pan-European discourse over the proper form secularism should take has a fragilizing effect. The diversity of avidly but plausibly defended stances weakens conviction and confidence. The resulting solicitude regarding one's preferred stance occasions philosophically inconsistent but politically pragmatic combinations of vying points of views. Official sponsors of Euro-Islam nevertheless adopt an Islamophobic suspicion of Muslims. The-West-hating Islamists employ tropes stemming from postmodern analysis rooted in Nietzschean nihilism. Post-Islamists combine approval with criticism of modern European life. Fragilization facilitates policy messiness as the prospect of and perhaps need for an overarching consensus fade. Once considered largely settled, secularism in Europe today represents a complex, contested, protean, social and political phenomenon that through ongoing transformation is profoundly altering the way Europeans view and experience religion in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

#### **ENDNOTES**

1 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Stephen Monsma and Christopher Soper. *The Challenge of Pluralism: Church and State in Five Democracies* (Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Veit Bader, *Democracy or Secularism? Associational Governance of Religious Diversity* (Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2007), 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> John Rawls, *Political Liberalism* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2005), 133 and xvii; see 133-73 for thorough discussion of overlapping consensus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Jürgen Habermas, "Anerkennungskämpfe in einem demokratischen Rechtsstaat," in *Multikulturismus und die Politik der Anerkennung*, ed. Charles Taylor (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1993), 179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jytte Klausen, *The Cartoons That Shook the World* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009), 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, for example, Alice Schwarzer, ed. *Die Gotteskrieger und die falsche Toleranz* (Cologne: Kieperheuer & Witsch, 2002); Bat Ye'or, *Eurabia: The Euro-Arab Axis* (Madison, NJ: Fairleigh Dickinson Press, 2005); Oriana Fallaci, *The Force of Reason* (New York: Rizzoli International, 2006); and Melanie Phillips, *Londonistan* (New York: Encounter Books, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Jürgen Habermas, "Religion in the Public Sphere," *European Journal of Philosophy* 14/1(2006): 2; Grace Davie, "Pluralism, Tolerance, and Democracy: Theory and Practice in Europe," in *Democracy and the New Religious Pluralism*, ed. Thomas Banchoff (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 238; and Nilüfer Göle, "Die sichtbare Präzens des Islam und die Grenzen der Öffentlichkeit," in *Islam in Sicht: Der Auftritt von Muslimen im öffentlichen Raum*, eds. Nilüfer Göle and Ludwig Ammann (Bielefeld: transcript, 2004), 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Charles Taylor, *A Secular Age* (Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2007), 303-04. <sup>9</sup> *Ibid.*. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Zygmunt Bauman, "The Making and Unmaking of Strangers," in *Debating Cultural Hybridity*, eds. Pnina Werbner and Tariq Modood (London: Zed), 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ulrich Beck, Anthony Giddens and Scott Lash, *Reflexive Modernization: Politics, Tradition and Aesthetics in the Modern Social Order* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Jock Young, *The Exclusive Society: Social Exclusion, Crime and Difference in Late Modernity* (London: Sage, 1999), 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Taylor, *Secular*, 351.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Homi Bhabha, *The Location of Culture* (London: Routledge, 1994); also see Martin Marty's notion of "risking hospitality" in *When Faiths Collide* (Malden: Blackwell, 2005), 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Steven Vertovec, "Super-Diversity and Its Implications," *Racial and Ethnic Studies* 30/6(2007), 1024-1054.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> I borrow but also augment this notion of policy messiness from Gary Freeman, "Immigrant Incorporation in Western Democracies," *International Migration Review* 38/146 (2004), 946.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Nonna Mayer, *Français qui votent Front National* (Paris: Librairie-Ernest-Flammarion, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> C.J. Anderson, "Economics, Politics, and Foreigners: Populist Party Support in Denmark and Norway," *Electoral Studies* 15/4(1994), 497-511.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ruud Koopmans, Paul Statham Marco Guigni and Florence Passy, *Contested Citizenship: Immigration and Cultural Diversity in Europe* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Daniel Tichenor, *Dividing Lines: The Politics of Immigration Control in America* (Princeton: Princeton University Press. 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Terri Givens and Adam Luedtke, "European Immigration Policies in Comparative Perspective: Issue Salience, Partisanship and Immigrant Rights," *Comparative European Politics* 3 (2005), 1-22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Rafaela M. Dancygier, *Immigration and Conflict in Europe* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Christian Joppke, "The Legal-Domestic Sources of Immigrant Rights: The United States, Germany, and the European Union," *Comparative Political Studies* 34/4(2001), 339-66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> William Rogers Brubaker, *Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Rogers Smith, *Civic Ideals: Conflicting Visions of Citizenship in U.S. History* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Gary Freeman, "Modes of Immigration Politics in Liberal Democratic States," *International Migration Review* 29/4(1995): 881-92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> John Rex, Ethnic Minorities in the Modern Nation State (Houndmills/Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Martin A. Schain, *The Politics of Immigration in France, Britain, and the United States* (New York: Palgrave, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Elizabeth Cohen, Semi-Citizenship in Democratic Politics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 116-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Paul Sniderman, Louk Hagendoorn and M. Prior, "Predisposing Factors and Situational Triggers: Exclusionary Reactions to Immigrant Minorities," *American Political Science Review* 98/1(2004), 35-49; Koopmans, et al., *Contested*, 182-209.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Adrian Favell, *Philosophies of Integration* (London: Macmillan, 1998); Joel Fetzer and Christopher Soper, *Muslims and the State in Britain, France and Germany* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Runnymede Trust Commission on British Muslims and Islamophobia, *Islamophobia – a Challenge for Us All* (London: Runnymede Trust, 1997); European Union Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia, *Muslims in the European Union: Discrimination and Islamophobia* (Vienna: EUMC, 2006); Open Society Institute, *Muslims in Europe: A Report on 11 EU Cities* (Budapest: Open Society Institute, 2010).

Quoted in Maurizia Russo Spena, "Muslims in Italy: Models of Integration and New Citizenship," in *Muslims in 21*st Century Europe: Structural and Cultural Perspectives, ed. Anna Triandafyllidou (London: Routledge, 2010), 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Quoted in Martin Schain, "Minorities and Immigrant Incoproration in France: The State and the Dynamics of Multiculturalism," in *Multicultural Questions*, eds. Christian Joppke and Steven Lukes (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999), 216.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, 27 September, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Olivier Roy, *Globalised Islam* (London: Hurst, 2004), 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Malek Cheleb, *Manifeste pour un Islam des lumières: 27 propositions pour faire bouger l'Islam* (Paris: Hachette Littératures, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Quoted in Philip Jenkins, *God's Continent: Christianity, Islam, and Europe's Religious Crisis* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Soheib Bencheikh, *Marianne et le Prophète: L'Islam dans la France laïque* (Paris: Bernard Grasset, 1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Bassam Tibi, "Muslim Migrants in Europe: Between Euro-Islam and Ghettoization," in *Muslim Europe or Euro-Islam: Politics, Culture, and Citizenship in the Age of Globalization*, eds. Nezar AlSayyad and Manuel Castells (New York: Lexington Books, 2002), 37-38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Quoted in Marc H. Ross, *Cultural Contestation in Ethnic Conflict* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 212.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Quoted in the Wall Street Journal, 9 November, 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Financial Post, 17 February, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Schirin Amir-Moazami, "Pitfalls of Consensus-Oriented Dialogue: The German Islam Conference (Deutsche Islam Konferenz)," *Approaching Religion* 1(May 2011), 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Samira Bellil, *Dans l'enfer des tournantes* (Paris: Denoël, 2002); Fadela Amara, *Breaking the Silence: French Women's Voices form the Ghetto*, trans Helen Harden Chenut. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Reported in *Die Tageszeitung*, 29 September, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Bader, *Democracy*, 337-38; Valérie Amiraux, "Speaking as a Muslim: Avoiding Religion in French Public Space," in *Politics of Visibility: Young Muslims in European Public Spaces*, eds. Gerdien Jonker and Valérie Amiraux (Bielefeld: transcript, 2006), 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Christian Joppke, *Veil: Mirror of Identity* (Cambridge: Polity, 2009), 47; Stasi Commission, *Rapport au Président de la Republique* (Paris: Commission de réflexion sur l'application du principe de la la la république, 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Amnesty International, *Choice and Prejudice: Discrimination against Muslims in Europe* (London: Amnesty International, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Elspeth Guild, Kees Groenendijk and Sergio Carrera, eds. *Illiberal Liberal States; Immigration, Citizenship and Integration in the EU* (Farnham: Ashgate, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Tahir Abbas, "Introduction: Islamic Political Radicalism in Western Europe," in *Islamic Political Radicalism: A European Perspective*, ed. Tahir Abbas (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2007), 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Mina Ahadi and Sina Vogt, *Ich habe abgeschworen. Warum ich für die Freiheit und gegen den Islam kämpfe* (Munich: Heyne, 2008), 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Der Tagesspiegel, 9 February, 2009.

Lévy quoted in Isabelle Rigoni, "Access to Media for European Muslims," in *European Islam: Challenges for Public Policy and Society*, eds. Samir Amghar, Amel Boubekeur, and Michael Emerson (Brussels: Centre for European Policy Studies, 2007), 109-110; Fischer's remark came in a speech given at Princeton University on 19 November, 2003 entitled "Europe and the Future of Transatlantic Relations."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Peter Michalzek, "Wohltemperiertes Weltbild: Das unauflösliche Paradox des Multikulturalismus," *Frankfurter Rundschau*, 23 march, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Pim Fortuyn, *Tegen de islamisering van onze cultuur* (Utrecht: A.W. Bruno, 1997). "Strangers" quotation stems from Eunoch Powell's infamous "Rivers of Blood Speech," Birmingham, 20 April, 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Dominique Schnapper, *Community of Citizens: On the Modern Idea of Nationality*, trans. S. Rosée (New Brunswick: Transaction, 1998). Concern for ethnic homogeneity is not confined to the political Right. See David Miller, *Citizenship and National Identity* (Cambridge: Polity, 2000). Likewise, Fortuyn was a strong voice against homophobia, as is Ayaan Hirsi Ali against misogyny: Ayaan Hirsi Ali, *The Caged Virgin: An Emancipation Proclamation for Women and Islam* (New York: Free Press, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Oriana Fallaci, *The Force of Reason* (New York: Rizzoli International, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Phillips, *Londonistan*; Ye'or, *Eurabia*; René Marchand, *La France en danger d'Islam: entre jihâd et Reconquista* (Lausanne: Age d'Homme, 2003).

<sup>60</sup> Mathias Döpfner, "Europa – Dein Name ist Feigheit," *Die Welt*, 20 November, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Edward Said, *Orientalism* (New York: Basic Books, 1978), 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Elizabeth Poole, *Reporting Islam: Media Representations of British Muslims* (London: I.B. Taurus, 2002); Thomas Deltombe, *L'islam imaginaire. La construction médiatique de l'islamophobie en France, 1975-2005* (Paris: La Découverte, 2005); Laura Navarro, *Contra el Islam. La visión deformada del mundo árabe en Occidente* (Cordoba: Almuzara, 2008); and Thorsten Schneiders, ed. *Islamfeindlichkeit: Wenn die Grenzen der Kritik verschwimmen* (Wiesbaden: VS Verlag fűr Sozialwissenschaften, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Justin Gest, *Apart: Alienated and Engaged Muslims in the West* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2010), 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Thijl Sunier, "Islam in the Netherlands, Dutch Islam," in Triandafyllidou, *Muslims in 21*<sup>st</sup>, 133.

<sup>65</sup> www.pewresearch.org.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Stanley Cohen, Folk Devils and Moral Panics: The Creation of the Mods and Rockers (New York: St. Martin's, 1980), 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Ulrich Beck, *Risk Society: Toward a New Modernity* (London: Sage, 1992); on the application of Beck's ideas to Muslim immigration in Europe, see Peter O'Brien, "Migration and Its Risks," *International Migration Review* 30/4 (1996): 1067-77.

<sup>(1996): 1067-77.

68</sup> Michèle Tribalat, *De l'immigration à l'assimilation. Enquéte sur les populations d'origine étrangère en France*(Paris: La Decouverte, 1996); Ronald Inglehart and Pippa Norris, "Muslim Integration into Western Cultures:
Between Origins and Destinations," *Faculty Research Working Paper Series RWP09-007* (Cambridge, MA: Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, March 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Cécile Laborde, *Critical Republicanism: The Hijab Controversy and Political Philosophy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008), 17; also Bader, *Democracy*, 153-56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Monsma and Soper, *Challenge*, 196 (Germany), 59 (Netherlands), 151 (UK). Anglican-Muslim attendance from Peter Berger, "Pluralism, Protestantization, and the Voluntary Principle," in Banchoff, *Democracy*, 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup>Jørgen Nielsen, *Muslims in Western Europe* (New York: Pinter Publishers, 1992), 21; Melanie Adrain, "A Common Life amidst Fragmentation: A Consideration of German and French Approaches to the Integration of Muslims" *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 31/3(2011): 422.

<sup>72</sup> Olivier Roy, *Secularism Confronts Islam*, trans. George Holoch (New York: Columbia University Press, 2007), 105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Davie, "Pluralism," 238.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Rainer Bauböck, "Cultural Minority Rights in Public Education: Religious and Language Instruction for Immigrant Communities in Western Europe," in *West European Immigration and Immigrant Policy in the New Century*, ed. Anthony M. Messina (Westport: Praeger, 2002), 170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Quoted in Bruce Bawer, While Europe Slept: How Radical Islam Is Destroying the West from within (New York: Broadway Books, 2006), 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Quoted in Giles Kepel, *The War for Muslim Minds: Islam and the West* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2004), 260.

<sup>77</sup> Sayyid Qutb, *Milestones* (Chicago: Kazi Publications, 2007), 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Quoted in Shahram Akbarzadeh and Joshua Roose, "Muslims, Multiculturalism and the Question of the Silent Majority," *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 31/3(2011): 313.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Jørgen Nielsen, "Transnational Islam and the Integration of Islam in Europe," in *Muslim Networks and Transnational Communities in and across Europe*, eds. Stefano Allievi and Jørgen Nielsen (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 34-41; Roy, *Secularism*, 58-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Schirin Amir-Moazami and Armando Salvatore, "Gender, Generation, and the Reform of Tradition: From Muslim Majority Societies to Western Europe," in Allievi and Nielsen, *Muslim Networks*, 68; Mark LeVine, "'Human Nationalisms' versus 'Inhuman Globalisms': Cultural Economies of Globalisation and the Re-imagining of Muslim Identities in Europe and the Middle East," in Allievi and Nielsen, *Muslim Networks*, 102; also see Werner Schiffauer, *Nach dem Islamismus: Eine Ethnographie der Islamischen Gemeinschaft Milli Görüş* (Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 2010), 183.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Roy, *Globalized Islam*; also John Gray, *Al Qaeda and What It Means to Be Modern* (London: Faber and Faber, 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Tal Asad, "Trying to Understand French Secularism," in *Political Theologies: Public Religions in a Post-Secular World*, eds. Hent de Vries and Lawrence E. Sullivan (New York: Fordham University Press, 2006), 494–526; Schirin Amir-Moazami, *Politisierte Religion. Der Kopftuchstreit in Deutschland und Frankreich* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2007); Bryan Turner, "Orientalism and Otherness," in *Islam in the European Union: Transnationalism, Youth and the War on Terror*, eds. Yunas Smad and Kasturi Sen (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 60-71; Katherine Pratt Ewing, *Stolen Honor: Stigmatizing Muslim Men in Berlin* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Quoted in Jenkins, *God's Continent*, 188 and Marie Macey, "Islamic Political Radicalism in Britain: Muslim Men in Bradford," in *Islamic Political Radicalism: A European Perspective*, ed. Tahir Abbas (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2007), 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Quoted in LeVine, "Human," 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Giles Kepel, *Allah in the West: Islamic Movements in America and Europe* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1997), 142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Quoted in Jonas Otterbeck, "Local Islamic Universalism: Analyses of an Islamic Journal in Sweden," in *Paroles d'Islam: Individus, societies et discours dans l'Islam européen contemprain*, ed. Felice Dassetto (Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose, 2000), 261.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Quoted in Scientific Council for Government Policy, *Dynamism in Islamic Activism. Reference Points for Democratization and Human Rights* (Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam Press, 2006), 119; also see Kepel, *War*, 289; Robert S. Leiken, *Europe's Angry Muslims: The Revolt of the Second Generation* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), 232.

<sup>88</sup> www.news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk news/4206800.stm (accessed 15 May, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Quoted in Kepel, *Allah*, 143 and in Akbarzadeh and Roose, "Muslims," 314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Bobby S. Sayyid, "Contemporary Politics of Secularism," in *Secularism, Religion and Multicultural Citizenship*, eds. Geoffrey Brahm Levey and Tariq Modood (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 198-99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Christian Joppke and Ewa Morawska, "Integrating Immigrants in Liberal Nation-States: Policies and Practices," in *Toward Assimilation and Citizenship: Immigrants in Liberal Nation-States*, eds. Christian Joppke & Ewa Moawska (New York: Palgrave, 2003), 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Wetenschappelijke Raad voor het Regeringsbeleid, Allochtonenbeleid.Rapporten aan de Regering, No. 36 (Gravenhage: SDU Uitgeverij, 1989); Haut Conseil à l'Intégration, *Affaiblissement du lien social, enfermement dans les particularisms et intégration dans la cite* (Paris: Documentation Française, 1997); Cantle Report, *Community Cohesion* (London: Government Printing Office, 2001); Emmanuel Brenner, ed. *Les territoires perdus de la république* (Paris: Mille et Une Nuits, 2002); Koopmans, et al., *Contested*; Paul M. Sniderman and Louk Hagendoorn, *When Ways of Life Collide: Multiculturalism and Its Discontents in the Netherlands* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007); Thomas Faist, "Diversity – a New Mode of Incorporation?" *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 32/1(2009), 171-90.

Sozialwissenschaften, 2006), 249-51; Nermin Abadan-Unat, *Turks in Europe: From Guest Worker to Transnational Citizen* (New York: Berghahn, 2011), 128.

Giles Kepel, Allah in the West: Islamic Movements in America and Europe (Mestizo Spaces) (Paris: Broché, 1999), 152; Schiffauer, Islamismus, 213; Leiken, Europe's, 87.

<sup>104</sup> Kepel, *Allah*; Leiken, *Europe's*; Nielsen, "Transnational;" Lorenzo Vidino, "The Muslim Brotherhood in Europe," in *The Muslim Brotherhood: The Organization and Policies of a Global Islamist Movement*, ed. Barry Rubin (New York: Palgrave, 2010), 106-116.

<sup>105</sup> Quoted in Quintan Wiktorowicz, *Radical Islam Rising: Muslim Extremism in the West* (New York: Rowan and Littlefield, 2005), 9.

<sup>106</sup> Riva Kastoryano, "French Secularism and Islam: France's Headscarf Affair," in *Multiculturalism, Muslims and Citizenship: A European Approach*, eds. Tariq Modood, Anna Triandafyllidou and Ricard Zapata-Barrero (London: Routledge, 2006), 66-67.

<sup>107</sup> Kepel, War, 253; also Jenkins, God's, 182 & 252; Roy, Globalised, 282-84.

For Germany, Amir-Moazami, "Pitfalls," 10; for France, Carolyn M. Warner and Manfred W. Wenner, "Religion and the Political Organization of Muslims in Europe," *Perspectives on Politics*, 4/3(2006), 458; for Spain, Isabelle Rigoni, "Media and Muslims in Europe," in *Yearbook of Muslims in Europe: Volume 1*, Jørgen Nielsen, ed. (Leiden: Brill, 2009), 483; for Britain, Gest, *Apart*, 92; for elsewhere in Europe, Bernard Godard, "Official Recognition of Islam," in Amghar, Boubekeur, and Emerson, *European Islam*, 183-203; and Sara Silvestri, "Public Policies towards Muslims and the Institutionalization of 'Moderate Islam' in Europe: Some Critical Reflections," in Triandafyllidou, *Muslims in 21*<sup>st</sup>, 53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Werner Schiffauer, *Parallelgesellschaften. Wie viel Wertkonsens braucht unsere Gesellschaft? Für eine kluge Politik der Differenz* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2008), 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> John R. Bowen, *Can Islam Be French? Pluralism and Pragmatism in a Secularist State* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010), 105; also see Jean Pierre Obin, *Les signes et manifestations d'appartenance religieuse dans les établissements scolaires* (Paris: Ministère de l'education nationale, 2004); and Bundesamt für Verfassungsschutz, *Annual Report of the Office for the Protection of the Constitution* (Cologne: Bundesamt für Verfassungsschutz, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Gest, *Apart*, 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> See Peter O'Brien, "Making (Normative) Sense of the Headscarf Debate in Europe," *German Politics and Society* 27/3 (2009), 50-76, which in addition to postmodernism also analyzes a differentialist or particularist strand of European thought stretching back to Herder that too undermines liberal confidence.

James Hollifield, "Immigration and Integration in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis," in *Immigration into Western Societies*, eds. Emek Ucarer and Donald Puchala (London: Pinter, 1997), 53; R.D.,Grillo, *Pluralism and the Politics of Difference* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998); Schain, *Politics*, 107-09; Jonas Otterbeck, "Sweden: Cooperation and Conflict," in Triandafyllidou, *Muslims in 21*<sup>st</sup>, 105-07; Sunier, "Islam," 123; Anna Triandafyllidou "Greece: The Challenge of Native and Immigrant Muslim Populations," in Triandafyllidou, *Muslims in 21*<sup>st</sup>, 202. 98 John Rex, *Ethnic Minorities in the Modern Nation State* (Houndmills/Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1996), 2; Christian Joppke, "Multiculturalism and Immigration: A Comparison of the United States, Germany, and Great Britain," *Theory and Society* 25(4) 1996, 440; Irka-Christin Mohr, *Islamischer Religionsunterricht in Europe. Lehrtexte als Instrumente muslimischer Selbstverortung im Vergleich* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2006), 268; Gest, *Apart*, 87-92. 99 Peter O'Brien, *Beyond the Swastika* (London: Routledge, 1996), 99-101; Schain, *Politics*, 92; Maarten Vink, "Dutch 'Multiculturalism' Beyond the Pillarisation Myth," *Political Studies Review* 5(2007), 340 & 345. 100 Joppke and Morawska, "Integrating," 19; Virginie Guiraudon, "Different Nation, Same Nationhood: The Challenges of Immigration Policy," in *Changing France: The Politics That Markets Make*, eds. Pepper Culpepper, Peter Hall and Bruno Palier (Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2006), 137-40; Schain, *Politics*, 281-82. 101 www.queenssu.ca/mcp/Findings.html (accessed 12 June, 2012).

Mohammad de Zeeuw, Islamistisch godsdienstlesmaterial in Nederland. Nieuwegein: IPC, 1998); Rachel Briggs, Catherine Fieschi, and Hannah Lownsbrough, Bringing it Home: Community-Based Approaches to Counter-Terrorism (London: Demos, 2006), 27; Mohr, Islamischer, 38; Gest, Apart, 121; Rauf Ceylan, Ethnische Kolonien: Entstehung, Funktion und Wandel am Beispiel türkischer Moscheen und Cafés (Wiesbaden: VS Verlag

- <sup>114</sup> Sayyid, "Contemporary," 186-99; European Commission against Racism and Intolerance, *Annual Report on ECRI's Activities* (Council of Europe: Strasbourg, 2011); Amnesty International, *Choice*; Schneiders, *Islamfeindlichkeit*; and Thorsten Schneiders, ed., *Islamverherrlichung. Wenn die Kritik zum Tabu wird* (Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, 2010).
- LeVine, "Human," 102; Amir-Moazami and Salvatore, "Gender," 68; Tariq Ali, *The Clash of Fundamentalisms: Crusades, Jihads and Modernity* (London: Verso, 2003).
- <sup>116</sup> Olivier Roy, "Le post-islamisme," *Revue des mondes musulmans et de la Méditerranée* 85-86(1998), 11-30; and Schiffauer, *Islamismus*.
- <sup>117</sup> Peter Mandaville, "Towards a Critical Islam: European Muslims and the Changing Boundaries of Transnational Religious Discourse," in Allievi and Nielsen, *Muslim Networks*, 134.
- <sup>118</sup> Akbarzadeh and Roose, "Muslims," 318.
- <sup>119</sup> Quoted in *Time*, 11 December, 2000.
- <sup>120</sup> Mandaville, "Towards," 130.
- <sup>121</sup> Farhad Khosrokhavar, "The Muslim Brotherhood in France," in Rubin, *Muslim*, 143-44; Katherine Pratt Ewing, *Stolen Honor: Stigmatizing Muslim Men in Berlin* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2008), 79; Pnina Werbner, "The Making of Muslim Dissent: Hybridized Discourses, Lay Preachers and Radical Rhetoric among British Pakistanis," *American Ethnologist* 23/1 (1996): 115.
- <sup>122</sup> Nilüfer Göle, "Die sichtbare Präzens des Islam und die Grenzen der Öffentlichkeit," in *Islam in Sicht: Der Auftritt von Muslimen im öffentlichen Raum*, eds. Nilüfer Göle and Ludwig Ammann (Bielefeld: transcript, 2004), 12-13.

  <sup>123</sup> Mandaville, "Towards," 129.
- Gerdien Jonker, "Islamic Television 'Made in Berlin,'" in Dassetto, *Paroles*, 265-80; Peter Mandaville, "Information Technology and the Changing Boundaries of European Islam," in Dassetto, *Paroles*, 281-94; Amel Boubekeur, "Political Islam in Europe," in Amghar, Boubekeur and Emerson, *European Islam*, 20-28.
- <sup>125</sup> Tariq Ramadan, *To Be a European Muslim: A Study of Islamic Sources in the European Context* (Leicester: The Islamic Foundation, 1999), 18.
- <sup>126</sup> Quoted in Schiffauer, *Islamismus*, 258; also see 90-91.
- <sup>127</sup> Kepel, *Allah*, 152.
- <sup>128</sup> Ramadan, *To Be*, 150.
- Tariq Ramadan, "Islam and Muslims in Europe: Change and Challenges," in *Political Islam: Context versus Ideology*, ed. Khaled Hroub (London: SAQI, 2010), 259-60.
- <sup>130</sup> Ibid., 257.
- <sup>131</sup> Ramadan, *To Be*, 142-50.
- <sup>132</sup> For IGMG, see <a href="https://www.igmg.de/nachrichten/artikel/2007/11/25/formale-true-zur-verfassung-reicht-nicht-man-muss-sie-auch-leben-duerfen.html">www.igmg.de/nachrichten/artikel/2007/11/25/formale-true-zur-verfassung-reicht-nicht-man-muss-sie-auch-leben-duerfen.html</a> (accessed 9 July, 2012); for UOIF, <a href="https://www.uoif-online.com/v3/spip.php?article19">www.uoif-online.com/v3/spip.php?article19</a> (accessed 10 July, 2012); Muslim Council of Britain, *Code of Conduct and Governance Protocols*, (London: Muslim Council of Britain, 2010), 4; in general, see Ramadan *To Be*, 164.
- <sup>133</sup> See www.mcb.org.uk/aboutmcb.php (accessed 12 July, 2012)..
- <sup>134</sup> Ramadan, *To Be*, 171.
- <sup>135</sup> Quoted in *Paris Vision News.com*, 25 April, 2011.
- <sup>136</sup> Ramadan, "Islam," 262-63.
- <sup>137</sup> Tariq Ramadan, "Globalisation Critics Are Na®ve," *Qantara.de*, 15 September, 2009, http://en.quantara.de/Globalisation-Critics-Are-Na%C3%AFve/9571c9670i1p227/index.html (accessed 2 November, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Gema Martin Muñoz, Francisco Javier Garcia Castaño, Ana López Sla and Rafael Crespo, *Marroquies en España, Estudio sobre su integración* (Madrid: Fundación Repsol, 2003), 119; Jytte Klausen, *The Islamic Challenge* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005), 87; and Otterbeck, "Sweden," 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Roy, *Secularism*, 49; also Scientific Council, *Dynamism*, 202.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Monsma and Soper. *Challenge*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Bader, *Democracy*, 53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> See Werner Schiffauer, *Migration und kulturelle Differenz* (Berlin: Büro der Ausländerbeautragten des Senats, 2002), 15; Otterbeck, "Sweden," 112; and Sunier, "Islam," 125.

www.mcb.org.uk/downloads/MCB%20ReDoc%20Briefing%20Paper%20 (accessed 5 May, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Nadia Fadil, "'We Should Be Walking Qurans': The Making of an Islamic Political Subject," in Jonker and Amiraux, *Politics*, 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Ramadan, "Islam," 257-58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Quoted in Bowen, *Islam*, 22.

www.igmg.de/gemeinschaft/wir-ueber-uns (accessed 10 July, 2012).

Muslim Council of Britain, "Our Stand on Multiculturalism, Citizenship, Extremism & Expectations from the Commission of Integration and Cohesion." (January, 2007)

Quoted in Ana Belén Soage, "Yusuf al-Qaradawi: The Muslim Brothers' Favorite Ideological Guide," in Rubin, *Muslim*, 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> This theme of the appropriateness of transcendental Islamic values for modern life figures prominently in the websites of organizations with post-Islamist leanings. See www.igmg.de; www.uoif-online.com; and www.mcb.org. <sup>145</sup> Tariq Ramadan, "The Way toward Radical Reform," *NewStatesman*, 6 April 2006. Also see Jocelyn Cesari, "The Hybrid and Globalized Islam of Western Europe," in *Islam in the European Union: Transnationalism, Youth and the War on Terror*, eds. Yunas Smad and Kasturi Sen (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 117-18; Ewing, *Stolen*, 79. <sup>146</sup> Ramadan, *To Be*, 172; also see Rashid al-Ghannouchi, "Arab Secularism in the Maghreb," in *Islam and Secularism in the Middle East*, eds. Azzam Tamimi and John L. Esposito (New York: New York University Press, 2000), 117.

www.uoif-online.com/v3/spip.php?article19 (accessed 10 July, 2012).

Ramadan, "Islam," 262.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> Ibid., 258-59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Brubaker, *Citizenship*; Grete Brochmann and Tomas Hammar (eds.), *Mechanisms of Immigration Control: A Comparative Analysis of European Regulation Policies* (Oxford: Berg, 1999); Koopmans, *et al.*, *Contested*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> See Monsma and Soper, *Challenge*; Bader, *Democracy*; José Casanova, "Immigration and the New Religious Pluralism: A European/United States Comparison," in Banchoff, *Democracy*, 59-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Christophe Betrossi and Willem Jan Duyvendak, "National Models of Immigrant Integration: The Costs for Comparative Research," *Comparative European Politics* 10(2012): 237-47.