

University of Pennsylvania ScholarlyCommons

Publicly Accessible Penn Dissertations

1-1-2016

# Interplay of Il-4, Il-21, and Ifn $\gamma$ on Memory B Cell Fate Decisions

Martin Souren Naradikian University of Pennsylvania, smartin@mail.med.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/edissertations Part of the <u>Allergy and Immunology Commons</u>, <u>Immunology and Infectious Disease Commons</u>, and the <u>Medical Immunology Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Naradikian, Martin Souren, "Interplay of Il-4, Il-21, and Ifnγ on Memory B Cell Fate Decisions" (2016). *Publicly Accessible Penn Dissertations*. 1909. http://repository.upenn.edu/edissertations/1909

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/edissertations/1909 For more information, please contact libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu.

## Interplay of Il-4, Il-21, and Ifny on Memory B Cell Fate Decisions

#### Abstract

The ability to establish a durable pool of memory B (BMEM) cells is not only a key feature of adaptive immunity but also critical for host survival upon secondary infection. Depending on the nature of the pathogen, preimmune B cells differentiate into various BMEM cells associated with a particular immunoglobulin isotype. Moreover, cytokines dictate this process via the induction of transcription factors resulting in a stable lineage. Recently, the transcription factor, T-BET, has been implicated in reinforcing BMEM cells of the IgG2c isotype. Further, phenotypically similar cells express the integrin, CD11c, and appear in humoral autoimmunity and aged mice. However, the activation requisites and extrinsic cues driving T-BET and CD11c expression remain poorly defined. T follicular helper (TFH) cells instruct B cells to adopt various BMEM cell fates via the production of cytokines—specifically IL-4, IL-21 and IFNY. Here we reveal a novel interplay among these cytokines in determining T-BET+ B cell fate. We find that IL-21 or IFN $\gamma$  directly promote T-BET+ B cells in the context of TLR engagement. Further, IL-4 antagonizes IL-21-induced T-BET expression, but augments that of IFNY. Finally, IL-21, but not IFNY, promotes CD11c expression. Using welldefined infections that drive IL-21 and robust IFN $\gamma$  or IL-4 production, we show that these same cytokine interactions function in vivo to determine T-BET and CD11c expression. We elaborate a model in which abundant IFNγ will drive T-BET+ B cells; however, in the absence of IFNγ, IL-21 and IL-4 reciprocally regulate both T-BET and CD11c. Importantly, CD11c expression is restricted to BMEM cells, which phenotypically resemble Age-associated B cells (ABCs). In accord with our infection results, we show that T-BET+CD11c+ ABCs are likely a pool BMEM cells. Consistent with this idea, ABCs are somatically mutated, class- switched, and require the ability to present antigen and receive costimulation to form. These findings suggest that T-BET+ B cells seen in health and autoimmunity share the common initiating features of TLR driven activation within this circumscribed cytokine milieu.

#### **Degree Type** Dissertation

Dissertation

#### **Degree Name** Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

**Graduate Group** Immunology

**First Advisor** Michael P. Cancro

#### **Subject Categories**

Allergy and Immunology | Immunology and Infectious Disease | Medical Immunology

## INTERPLAY OF IL-4, IL-21, AND IFNγ ON MEMORY B CELL FATE DECISIONS

Martin Souren Naradikian

#### A DISSERTATION

in

#### Immunology

Presented to the Faculties of the University of Pennsylvania

in

Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

2016

Supervisor of Dissertation

Michael Paul Cancro, PhD Professor of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Graduate Group Chairperson

David Michael Allman, PhD Professor of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Dissertation Committee:

Terri Marilyn Laufer, MD, Associate Professor of Medicine Michael J. May, PhD, Associate Professor of Animal Biology Phillip Scott, PhD, BS, Professor of Microbiology and Immunology David Michael Allman, PhD, Professor of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

#### DEDICATION

I dedicate my thesis to my family.

To my parents, lordanka & Souren Naradikian, who taught me the value of unwavering perseverance in the face of incredibly difficult odds. I hope this work adequately memorializes their resolve in providing the best opportunities for my brother and me. Without their sacrifice by emigrating from Bulgaria, none of this would have been impossible.

To my brother, Markar Naradikian, who has always been there for me even though at times it results in permanent scars.

To my grandmother, Penka Naradikian, who as a lifelong primary school teacher always stressed the importance of education.

To the loved ones I lost during this journey. First, my grandmother, Mitra Stoyanova Shopova, passed away due to a hospital-acquired infection following surgery. Although her life as farmer in rural Bulgaria precluded access to the type of education and training I have received at Penn, she too always emphasized the importance of education above all else. Second, to Raymond Hill, who lost the fight against addiction. Although his life was tragically cut short, I am lucky to have known such a brilliant individual. I dedicate my thesis to you both in the hope that future scientific discoveries will prevent such loss.

ii

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I thank the Penn Immunology Graduate Group for the countless conversations, insights, reagents, and help I have received over the past 7 years. None of this work would have been possible without such a collegiate environment. Moreover, I would also like to give a special thanks to the organizers, administrators, and support staff that have facilitated scientific collaborations on campus.

I would also like to thank my friends and colleagues who believed in me more than I did in myself at times. I thank Irene Chernova especially for teaching me what a true friend is. To the class of 2009 with whom I began this journey, thank you for maintaining a steadfast trajectory toward excellence. I would also like to thank my fellow Philomatheans who taught me what Epistemology is, and why I as a scientist should care. Lastly, to Patrick Guetti, who loved and supported me these last few years, thank you for understanding this passion.

Scientifically, I would like to thank the Showe Lab at Wistar and specifically Calen Nichols, for not only being my surrogate big sister, but also teaching me how to use a micropipette. To my thesis committee, especially my chair Terri Laufer, thank you for being patient with me. Moreover, to past and present members of the Cancro lab, thank you for making the lab such a great place to do my thesis work.

Lastly, to my mentor, Michael Paul Cancro, thank you for taking a chance on me when others doubted my abilities.

iii

#### ABSTRACT

## INTERPLAY OF IL-4, IL-21, AND IFNY ON MEMORY B CELL FATE

#### DECISIONS

#### MARTIN SOUREN NARADIKIAN

#### MICHAEL PAUL CANCRO

The ability to establish a durable pool of memory B ( $B_{MEM}$ ) cells is not only a key feature of adaptive immunity but also critical for host survival upon secondary infection. Depending on the nature of the pathogen, preimmune B cells differentiate into various B<sub>MEM</sub> cells associated with a particular immunoglobulin isotype. Moreover, cytokines dictate this process via the induction of transcription factors resulting in a stable lineage. Recently, the transcription factor, T-BET, has been implicated in reinforcing B<sub>MFM</sub> cells of the IgG<sub>2c</sub> isotype. Further, phenotypically similar cells express the integrin, CD11c, and appear in humoral autoimmunity and aged mice. However, the activation requisites and extrinsic cues driving T-BET and CD11c expression remain poorly defined. T follicular helper ( $T_{FH}$ ) cells instruct B cells to adopt various  $B_{MEM}$  cell fates via the production of cytokines—specifically IL-4, IL-21 and IFNy. Here we reveal a novel interplay among these cytokines in determining T-BET<sup>+</sup> B cell fate. We find that IL-21 or IFNy directly promote T-BET<sup>+</sup> B cells in the context of TLR engagement. Further, IL-4 antagonizes IL-21-induced T-BET expression, but augments that of IFNy. Finally, IL-21, but not IFNy, promotes CD11c expression. Using well-defined infections that drive IL-21 and robust IFNy or IL-4 production, we show that these same cytokine interactions function in vivo to determine T-

BET and CD11c expression. We elaborate a model in which abundant IFN $\gamma$  will drive T-BET<sup>+</sup> B cells; however, in the absence of IFN $\gamma$ , IL-21 and IL-4 reciprocally regulate both T-BET and CD11c. Importantly, CD11c expression is restricted to B<sub>MEM</sub> cells, which phenotypically resemble Age-associated B cells (ABCs). In accord with our infection results, we show that TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs are likely a pool B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. Consistent with this idea, ABCs are somatically mutated, class-switched, and require the ability to present antigen and receive costimulation to form. These findings suggest that TBET<sup>+</sup> B cells seen in health and autoimmunity share the common initiating features of TLR driven activation within this circumscribed cytokine milieu.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
1. OVERVIEW OF HUMORAL IMMUNITY	1
1.1 The innate and adaptive immune responses	1
1.2 B lymphocyte commitment, development, and homeostasis	4
1.3 Thymus dependent and independent B cell activation	8
1.4 Activated B cells differentiate into discrete effector subsets	11
1.5 Scope	13
2. IL21 AND IL4 RECIPROCALLY REGULATE TBET IN B CELLS	14
2.1 INTRODUCTION	14
2.1.1 T-BET in immunity and B cells	14
2.1.2 Cytokines regulate B cell responses	15
2.1.3 CD11c expression in B cells	17
2.1.4 Summary of findings	18
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
2.3 RESULTS	24
2.3.1 IL-21, IL-4, and IFNγ differentially regulate T-BET and CD11c	

expression	24
2.3.2 Relative abundance of IL-21, IL-4, and IFNγ regulate T-BET	
expression in vivo	30
2.3.3 Influenza virus infection generates T-BET <sup>+</sup> CD11c <sup>+</sup> $B_{MEM}$ in the	
absence of IL-4 and IFNγ	35
2.3.4 II-4 deficiency is sufficient to induce T-BET <sup>+</sup> CD11c <sup>+</sup> B <sub>MEM</sub>	
independently of IFNy in Heligmosomoides polygyrus infection	40
2.4 DISCUSSION	46
3. ABCs IN PROTECTIVE AND AUTOREACTIVE RESPONSES	54
3.1 INTRODUCTION	54
3.1.1 Dysregulation of the immune system associates with age	54
3.1.2 ABCs emerge with age and have a unique surface marker	
phenotype	55
3.1.3 ABC activation and survival requirements differ from	
those of other B cell subsets	56
3.1.4 ABCs exhibit a characteristic set of effector attributes	58
3.1.5 ABCs are generated in response to intracellular infections	59
3.1.6 ABCs are associated with humoral autoimmune and	
autoinflammatory diseases	60
3.1.8 Summary of findings	61
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	62
3.3 RESULTS	64
3.3.1 ABCs are phenotypically heterogeneous and accumulate into	

B <sub>MEM</sub> cell pools	64
3.3.2 ABC formation requires MHCII and CD40 expression	67
3.3.3 ABCs have undergone SHM and correlate with increased	
IgG <sub>2c</sub> autoantibodies	67
3.3.4 $T_{\text{FH}}$ cells produce less IL4 and more IFN $\gamma$ in aged mice	71
3.4 DISCUSSION	74
4. PERSPECTIVE	79
5. BIBLIOGRAPHY	86

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table I. Features of developing and preimmune B cell subset	ts 6
Table II. Top 50 differentially expressed genes	29

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. IL-4 and IL-21 reciprocally regulate T-BET expression in vitro	25
Figure 2. IL-4 and IL-21 act in a cell intrinsic manner to regulate T-BET	
expression <i>in vitro</i>	26
Figure 3. IL-21 drives T-BET-dependent shifts in gene expression distinct	t
from IFNy	28
Figure 4. Genetic background determines T-BET expression in vivo	31
Figure 5. IL-21 overexpression generates T-BET*CD11c* pre-immune B	
cells	33
Figure 6. IL-21 overexpression generates T-BET <sup>+</sup> CD11c <sup>+</sup> antigen	
experienced B cells	34
Figure 7. Influenza virus infection drives T-BET <sup>+</sup> GC B cells formation in t	the
absence of IFNγ and IL-4	36
Figure 8. T <sub>FH</sub> cells in influenza virus infection produce IL-4 and IL-21	38
Figure 9. Influenza virus infection drives T-BET <sup>+</sup> CD11c+ B <sub>MEM</sub> cell formation	ion
in the absence of IFNγ and IL-4	39
Figure 10. <i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i> infection drives T-BET <sup>+</sup> GC B cel	ls
formation in the absence of IFNγ and IL-4	41
Figure 11. T <sub>FH</sub> cells in <i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i> infection make IL-21	44
Figure 12. <i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i> infection drives T-BET <sup>+</sup> CD11c <sup>+</sup>	
$B_{\text{MEM}}$ cell formation in the absence of IFNy and IL-4	45
Figure 13. Dominant IFNγ-driven T-BET expression	47
Figure 14. Model of IL-4, IL-21, and IFNγ interplay on T-BET expression	53

Figure 15. ABCs phenotypically resemble and accumulate into B <sub>MEM</sub> cell	
pools	66
Figure 16. Interactions with <i>I-a<sup>b</sup></i> and <i>Cd40</i> drive ABC accumulation	68
Figure 17. ABCs undergo SHM and correlate with IgG <sub>2c</sub> autoantibody	70
Figure 18. Aged $T_{FH}$ cells produce less IL-4 and more IFN $\gamma$	73
Figure 19. Both beneficial and pathogenic ABCs arise via a common tria	d
of signals	85

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

(c)DNA	(complementary) Deoxyribonucleic Acid
ABC	Age-associated B Cells
ADCC	Antibody-Dependent Cell mediated Cytotoxicity
AID	Activation-induced Deaminase
APC	Antigen Presenting Cell
APRIL	A Proliferation Inducing Ligand
BAFF	B cell activating factor
BAFFR	BAFF Receptor
BCMA	B Cell Maturation Antigen
BCR	B Cell Receptor
CDR	Complementarity Determining Region
CFSE	Carboxyfluorescein Succynimmidyl Ester
CSR	Class-Wwitch Recombination
CTL	Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte
DC	Dendritic Cell
dsDNA	double-stranded DNA
EAE	Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis
FACS	Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting
Fc	Fragment Crystallizable
FcR	Fragment Crystallizable Receptor
FcγR	Fragment Crystallizable gamma Receptor
FO	Follicular
GC	Germinal Center
HAU	Hemagglutination Units
HP	Heligmosomoides polygyrus
ICAM	Intercellular Adhesion Molecule
IFNγ	Interferon gamma
lg	immunoglobulins
i.n.	Intranasal
IL	Interleukin
IMM	Immature
i.p.	Intraperitoneal
i.v.	Intravenous
JAK	Janus kinase
KO	Knockout
LLPC	Long-Lived Plasma Cell
LPS	Lipopolysaccharides
MACS	Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting
MHC	Major Histocompatibility Complex
MZ	Marginal Zone
ΜΦ	Macrophage
NP-OVA	4-Hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl-Ovalbumin

PAMP	Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns
PR8	Influenza strain A/PR/8/34
PRR	Pattern Recognition Receptor
SHM	Somatic Hypermutation
SLE	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
SLPC	Short-Lived Plasma Cell
STAT	Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription
T-BET	T-box Expressed in T cells
TACI	Transmembrane activator and cyclophilin interactor
TCID <sub>50</sub>	50% Tissue Culture Infective Dose
TCR	T Cell Receptor
TD	Thymus-dependent
Т <sub>Н</sub>	T Helper
TI	Thymus-independent
TLR	Toll Like Receptors
TNF	Tumour Necrosis Factor
TR	Transitional
VCAM	Vascular Cell Adhesion Molecule
VCT	Violet Cell Trace

#### **1. OVERVIEW OF HUMORAL IMMUNITY**

#### 1.1 The innate and adaptive immune response

Protective immunity relies on establishing appropriate immune effector functions during primary responses, then sustaining these qualities in effector and memory cell pools. While appropriate effector functions promote lifelong immunity, aberrant effector choices can yield failed pathogen clearance, chronic inflammation, or autoimmunity. Current ideas suggest that cooperation between the innate and adaptive immune systems rids the vertebrate host of pathogens while maintaining tolerance to self. Indeed, cells in these two branches establish a complex network of activating and regulatory interactions that includes both cell-to-cell contacts and extracellular signals. Myeloid cells including DCs, eosinophils, basophils, neutrophils, MPs, mast cells, comprise the innate system and are considered the rapid, first line of defense against tissue-invading pathogens. Further, myeloid cells express germline-encoded PRRs imparting recognition of and specificity for evolutionarily conserved PAMPs (1). Importantly, although PAMPs are typically associated with microbes, mammalian cellular products can also trigger PRRs. Regardless of the source of PAMPs, engagement with PRRs communicates activation signals. Once initiated, these responses foster differentiative programs that direct particular effector choices for the subsequent activation and regulation of the adaptive immune response (2, 3).

In contrast to innate lineages, two lymphoid lineages, T and B cells, are the primary mediators of adaptive immune responses. Each builds a unique antigen receptor (TCRs and BCRs, respectively) via a process of gene

rearrangement. In accord with the clonal selection paradigm (4), these somatically generated receptors are clonally distributed among lymphocytes such that each T and B cell expresses only one antigen receptor specificity. Moreover, receptor engagement beyond a requisite threshold affords specificity and triggers robust intracellular signaling cascades. Once activated, lymphocytes possess the unique capacity to generate long-term memory cells of related pathogen-reactive clones, which often impart protection against secondary infection. Importantly, lymphocytes accomplish their effector functions while also maintaining tolerance to the host. Thus, the tenets of the adaptive immune system–specificity, inducibility, memory, and tolerance—are key aspects of cell-mediated and humoral immunity as established by T and B cells, respectively.

In order to orchestrate cell-mediated immunity, T cells recognize antigenic peptides presented on MHC molecules via the TCR. The coreceptors, CD4 and CD8, define two functionally distinct subsets of T cells that engage antigen in the context of MHCII and MHCI molecules, respectively. CD8 T cells, or CTL, are responsible for lysing cells that present non-self peptides in the context of MHCI on their surface. Further, nearly every nucleated cell expresses MHCI molecules, which are loaded with peptide via the cytosolic pathway, thus allowing for broad surveillance of intracellular protein synthesis. Conversely, CD4 T cells, or helper T cells, generally orchestrate immune responses by recognizing non-self peptides in the context of MHCII on the surface of APCs such as DCs, B cells, or MΦs. Importantly, CD4 T cells monitor extracellular proteins since

MHCII expression is restricted to phagocytic cell types and peptide loading occurs via the endocytic pathway. Furthermore, helper T cells have the capacity to differentiate into several effector subsets:  $T_H1$ ,  $T_H2$ ,  $T_H17$ ,  $T_{FH}$ , or  $T_{reg}$  (5-8). The cues guiding these cell fate decisions likely involve the nature of the pathogen, the PAMPs it carries, and how innate and adaptive cells integrate PRR signals. Further, master transcriptional regulators that reinforce particular fates frequently characterize these effector differentiation patterns. Thus, preimmune CD4 T helper cells adopt alternative effector fates based on TCR signal strength, costimulatory cues, and cytokine milieu (9-12).

Analogously, B cells can adopt a variety of distinct effector fates that are similarly guided by BCR signal strength coupled with additional cues that foster key transcriptional programs to establish humoral immunity. Importantly, B cells also express certain PRRs and respond to PAMPs. Coupled with the BCR, integration of these signals ultimately drives antibody production, antigen presentation and costimulatory interactions with CD4 T cells, and cytokine secretion. Principally among these functions, the Ig produced consists of identical sets of heavy and light chains joined together by disulfide bonds. Both chains combine to form the antigen binding or CDR, whereas only the heavy chain forms the Fc region which imparts antibody effector functions. Five main heavy chain isotypes,  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\alpha$ , combine with either a  $\lambda$  or  $\kappa$  light chain to make an IgM, IgD, IgG, IgE, or IgA respectively. Moreover, the IgG isotypes can be subdivided into IgG<sub>1</sub>, IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> (IgG<sub>2a</sub> for BALB/c and IgG<sub>2c</sub> for C57BL/6, (13)), IgG<sub>2b</sub>, or IgG<sub>3</sub>. Similar to cell fate decisions in T helper cells, B cells adopt an

isotype based on the prevailing cytokine meilieu and subsequently induced master transcriptional regulator. The nature, levels, and persistence of lg heavy chain isotypes that are provoked have profound implications for response quality. Indeed, nearly all heavy chain isotypes link adaptive humoral responses with a circumscribed group of innate inflammatory cells and systems important for pathogen clearance, such as complement fixation, opsonization, degranulation, and ADCC. These effector processes rely on isotype-specific Fc receptors that are differentially distributed among innate, myeloid, and other cell types. Since FcR can be either activating or inhibitory, Ig isotype engagement can either enhance or limit the activation and behavior of these cells (14). While marshaling these FcR effector systems is critical to effective humoral immunity, the same effector mechanisms mediate autoimmune and chronic inflammatory pathologies. Thus, the importance of these Ig:FcR interactions are apparent, yet to generate a protective antibody of the proper effector isotype requires strict regulation of B cell development, homeostasis, and activation.

#### 1.2 B lymphocyte commitment, development, and homeostasis

B cells can be separated into two lineages: B-1 and B-2. Debate remains as to whether B-1 and B-2 cells derive from a common progenitor and diverge based on antigen-driven selection, or instead reflect the products of distinct, lineage-restricted progenitors (15-17). Regardless of their exact origins, each lineage plays distinct yet overlapping roles in humoral immunity, reflecting differences in their generation, antigen receptor diversity, and anatomic niche. Murine B-1 cells are derived primarily from the fetal liver, and are sustained

largely by self-renewal in the periphery (15, 16, 18, 19). In contrast, continuous B-2 cell production yields a much larger steady-state pool throughout life (20). B-2 cell subsets are defined by surface marker criteria that are correlated with developmental stage, activation status, recirculation properties, and anatomic 
 Table 1
 summarizes
 these
 criteria,
 features,
 and
 transcriptional
 locale. regulators for developing and preimmune B cell subsets. In healthy adults, B cells are generated continuously from hematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow (BM), where Ig heavy and light chain gene rearrangements are completed during the pro- and pre-B cell stages respectively (21) (reviewed in (22, 23)). Commitment to the B lineage involves both the acquisition of a B cell transcriptional program and the suppression of programs leading to other hematopoietic fates (24). Accordingly, adoption of B lineage fate involves the expression of PAX5 (25-27), EBF1 (28-31), and E2A proteins (32, 33), which establish a transcriptional circuit that not only induces and reinforces B lineage genes, but also represses transcription factors driving alternate lineages (34). Upon acquisition of surface IgM, developing B cells enter the IMM subset. These cells migrate to the periphery via the blood and pass through the TR developmental stages before entering the comparatively long-lived mature FO or MZ B cell pools (35-40). The cues required to adopt the MZ instead of FO B cell fate include tonic BCR signal strength and transcriptional programs driven by Notch2 (41) interactions with Delta-Like-1 (42, 43) reviewed in (44).

Stringent selection based on BCR specificity occurs during both the IMM and TR stages: only 10% of IMM cells produced survive to exit the marrow, and

Locale	B cell subset	Surface phenotype	Transcription Factors	BLyS receptors	Survival cytokine
	pro-B	CD19⁺, CD43⁺, CD93⁺, IgM⁻, IgD⁻	PAX5	none	IL7
BM	pre-B	CD19⁺, CD43⁻, CD93⁺, IgM⁻, IgD⁻	PAX5	none	?
	ІММ	CD19⁺, CD93⁺, IgM <sup>hi</sup> , IgD <sup>lo/-</sup>	PAX5	BR3/TACI	?
Spleen/ Blood	TR	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , CD93 <sup>+</sup> , CD43 <sup>-</sup> , IgM <sup>hi</sup> , IgD <sup>Io/-</sup> , CD21 <sup>-</sup> , CD23 <sup>-</sup>	PAX5	TACI/BR3	BLyS
Blood, lymph, spleen	FO	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , CD93 <sup>-</sup> , CD43 <sup>-</sup> , IgM <sup>Io</sup> , IgD <sup>hi</sup> , CD21 <sup>Io</sup> , CD23 <sup>+</sup>	PAX5	TACI/BR3	BLyS
·	MZ	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , CD93 <sup>-</sup> , CD43 <sup>-</sup> , IgM <sup>hi</sup> , IgD <sup>I₀</sup> , CD21 <sup>+</sup> , CD23 <sup>I₀</sup>	Notch targets	TACI/BR3	BLyS
Lymph nodes, Spleen	GC (DZ)	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , IgM <sup>-</sup> , IgD <sup>-</sup> , PNA <sup>+</sup> , CD95 <sup>+</sup> , CXCR4 <sup>+</sup> , CD83 <sup>-</sup>	BCL6	BR3	BLyS
	GC (LZ)	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , IgM <sup>-</sup> , IgD <sup>-</sup> , CD23 <sup>+</sup> , PNA <sup>+</sup> , CD95 <sup>+</sup> , CXCR4 <sup>-</sup> , CD83 <sup>+</sup>	BCL6	BR3	BLyS
Spleen Blood, BM	SLPC	CD19⁺, B220⁺, IgM⁺′-, IgD⁻, CD138 <sup>hi</sup>	BLIMP1	TACI/ BCMA	APRIL? BLyS?
	LLPC	CD19 <sup>-</sup> , B220 <sup>-</sup> , IgM <sup>-</sup> , IgD <sup>-</sup> , CD138 <sup>hi</sup>	BLIMP1	BCMA	APRIL?
	Sw Bmem	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , IgM <sup>-</sup> , IgD <sup>-</sup> , CD38 <sup>+</sup> , PDL2 <sup>+/-</sup> , CD73 <sup>+/-</sup> , CD80 <sup>+/-</sup>	?	BR3	?
	lgM Bmem	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , IgM <sup>+</sup> , IgD <sup>-</sup> , CD38 <sup>+</sup> , PDL2 <sup>+/-</sup> , CD73 <sup>+/-</sup> , CD80 <sup>+/-</sup>	?	BR3	?
Spleen. Blood, BM, Tissue	ABC	CD19 <sup>+</sup> , CD93 <sup>-</sup> , CD43 <sup>-</sup> , IgM <sup>+/-</sup> , IgD <sup>+/-</sup> , CD21 <sup>-</sup> , CD23 <sup>-</sup> , CD11c <sup>+/-</sup>	T-BET	BR3/TACI	?

 Table I: Features of developing and preimmune B cell subsets

only 30% of the TR cells thus generated survive to join the FO or MZ pools (36). These profound cell losses reflect both negative and positive selection based on BCR signal strength (45-51), presumably reducing the frequency of polyreactive and self-reactive specificities (46, 48, 52), as well as selecting for cells with optimal sub-threshold signal strength (47, 51, 53, 54). Indeed, multiple studies now indicate that the representation of frankly autoreactive B cell specificities in each pool decreases at each successive differentiation stage (55, 56). Thus, among peripheral B cells, the TR pool is a rich source of autoreactive BCR specificities compared to most other B cell subsets (57).

The steady-state sizes of preimmune FO and MZ B cell pools are controlled by the TNF family member, B cell activating factor (BAFF) (58, 59) (reviewed in (60)). This cytokine sustains FO and MZ B cell survival by signals delivered through BAFF receptor (BAFFR) (61-65). All TR, FO, MZ B cells express BAFFR (66, 67) and compete continuously for BAFF to survive, such that available systemic BAFF determines their lifespan and thus overall FO and MZ pool sizes ((62, 63), reviewed in (68)). Because the steady-state size of preimmune B cell pools is driven by the need for adequate surveillance and hence organismal size, the idea that BAFF governs this parameter predicts that total systemic BAFF must be relatively constant and that the predominant source must be tied to organism volume. Indeed, bone marrow radiation chimeras revealed that a radioresistant, non-hematopoietic sources of BAFF fully supported primary B cell homeostasis (69). More recent studies suggest that fibroblastic reticular cells are the primary source of system BAFF (70). These observations

strengthen the idea that systemic BAFF levels are a surrogate for biological space, since BAFF is produced by cells whose numbers will scale with organism size. Thus, BAFF depletion yields profoundly reduced TR, FO, and MZ B cell numbers, yet spares developing bone marrow B cell subsets. Further, the stringency of BCR-mediated selection among TR B cells is governed by BAFF; increasing BAFF lets TR B cells normally lost to negative selection survive (56, 71, 72). Together, these observations favored the notion that systemic BAFF governs pre-immune B cell numbers by controlling TR cell throughput and mature B cell survival, thereby acting as the molecular surrogate for homeostatic 'space' in the pre-immune B cell niche (68).

#### **1.3 Thymus dependent and independent B cell activation**

Among mature primary B cells, BCR ligation initiates downstream signaling systems that foster activation. The type of antigen, the participating B cell subset, the avidity of BCR cross linking, and the intercellular interactions involved dictate the characteristics of the subsequent humoral immune response. In general, B cell responses follow the two signal paradigm (73), whereby BCR ligation (signal one) must be followed by additional activation and differentiation cues (signal 2) that are delivered via other cells or molecules. Two broad categories of humoral responses are defined based on the source of second signals. The thymus-dependent (TD) response involves second signals that are delivered when B cells internalize, process, and present protein antigens to CD4 helper T cells. In contrast, the second signals in thymus-independent (TI) responses are delivered through innate immune receptors such as TLRs

expressed by the B cells themselves (TI-1), or through exceptionally intense BCR cross linking alone (TI-2).

Important differences between TD and TI responses include the naïve B cell populations that participate, the antibody isotypes generated, the response duration, the ultimate antibody affinity, and the extent of immunological memory established. In general, B-2 cells, particularly those in the FO compartment, are the major contributors to TD responses. In contrast, TI responses arise primarily from either B-1 cells or the B-2 lineage MZ subset (74). These differences likely reflect the BCR signaling characteristics and differentiative potential of these pools, as well as the nature of inducing signals. TI responses are of short duration and tend to be skewed towards IgM production, whereas TD responses are more protracted and will usually culminate in IgG. Within days after TI antigen challenge, substantial numbers of antibody secreting plasma cells (PCs) appear in the splenic extrafollicular regions (75, 76). The antibodies made by this extrafollicular response are largely IgM, and display comparatively low affinity for antigen. Conversely, TD responses display a gradual but profound increase in average antibody affinity – a process termed affinity maturation (77). Moreover, both types of responses can generate relatively long-lived antibody forming cells and memory B cells (78-81). As with TI responses, within days of TD antigen challenge, substantial numbers of PCs that generate low-affinity IgM appear in splenic extrafollicular regions. However, a few days later clusters of proliferating B cells appear at the borders of B cell follicles and T cell zones in the lymph nodes and spleen (82, 83). These are germinal centers (GCs), transient

structures wherein the unique functional features of TD responses emerge, including affinity maturation (84, 85), as well as efficient long-lived plasma cell generation (LLPC) (86).

GC formation requires a series of cognate, bi-directional interactions between activated CD4 T cells and activated and antigen-presenting B cells beyond the initial T cell priming event with a dendritic cell (87, 88). These include MHCII-restricted presentation by the B cell, costimulation via CD40-CD40L, and key cytokines. Together, these interactions result in the adoption of a GC B cell transcriptional program driven largely by Bcl-6 (89-92). A key gene upregulated in GC B cells is activation-induced deaminase (AID), which creates point mutations in Ig V regions (93, 94). This so-called somatic hypermutation (SHM) mechanism results in clonal variants of GC B cells with altered antigen affinity and specificity (95). Through selective competition and survival, clonal variants with higher affinity for antigen are preserved, whereas those with lower affinity are at a disadvantage and die (96). While these processes are thought to occur most efficiently and frequently in GCs, affinity maturation and class-switch recombination (CSR) in extrafollicular sites have been reported (97, 98). The details surrounding this preferential survival remain an area of intense investigation, but clearly involve competition for antigen and subsequently presenting said antigen (99). Currently popular models posit that the anatomically defined GC light zones are where competition for antigen and T helper cell survival factors and instructive cues occur; whereas proliferation and AID-mediated SHM occur in GC dark zones (100). Importantly, the CD4 helper T

cell subset responsible for proper GC reactions is transcriptionally and anatomically distinct from other T helper lineages (101). As the moniker suggests, T follicular helper ( $T_{FH}$ ) cells migrate to the B cell follicle via CXCR5 (102), select high affinity GC clones into effector pools via BLyS secretion (103) and direct immunoglobulin isotype determination.

#### 1.4 Activated B cells differentiate into discrete effector subsets

Circulating antibodies have biological half-lives in the range of days to weeks depending on heavy chain isotype; thus, long term protective immunity must rely on either LLPCs that persist indefinitely without seeding from  $B_{MEM}$ (104-106), or upon the sustained generation of SLPCs from  $B_{MEM}$  precursors driven by persistent antigen. To adopt activated and effector fates, preimmune B cells must integrate instructional cues from a variety of signals, sustain the mutational stress of CSR and SHM, and undergo profound morphological changes to produce antibody. To support these functions, activated B cells undergo significant transcriptional reprogramming based on the cues they receive. Though initially thought to be required for PC commitment (107), the transcription factor BLIMP1 is necessary for *bona fide* PC differentiation (108). Given that PCs bear little morphological resemblance to their clonal progenitors, they are transcriptionally distinct because BLIMP1 extinguishes the B lineage determining transcription factor PAX5 (109, 110). In this regard, these cross competing transcription factors facilitate a gene expression program to direct mature B cells through activation, selection, and terminal effector function. No master regulator of the B<sub>MEM</sub> cell lineage is yet identified; however, T-BET and

ROR $\alpha$  associate with IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> and IgA isotypes, respectively, are indeed critical for the formation and maintenance of some B<sub>MEM</sub> subsets (111).

The homeostatic regulation of antigen experienced B lineage pools is less well understood, and likely involves a more complex set of players than the comprehensive role assumed by BLyS for preimmune pools. Indeed, the heterogeneity in lifespan and composition of B<sub>MEM</sub> and PC subsets has only begun to be appreciated over the last several years, and will likely expand further. For example, recent conceptual advances challenge the notion that PCs are a monolithic population. Instead, T cell independent PCs are longer lived than previously thought (81); new subset markers have revealed phenotypic heterogeneity and complex turnover kinetics in BM PC pools (112, 113); and some PCs secrete cytokines and antimicrobial agents in addition to antibodies (114). Despite this growing complexity, several factors that govern PC longevity and homeostasis have nonetheless been identified. LLPC survival depends, at least in part, on BAFF and/or APRIL signaling via the BCMA and/or TACI receptors (115, 116). In this regard, LLPCs are thought to occupy survival niches independent of the primary pool. Homing to and occupation of these longevityfostering niches is still an active area of research especially given newly discovered subsets and isotype-associated functional differences (117).

Similarly, several  $B_{MEM}$  subsets have been defined, based on the presence of different isotypes and further markers (**Table 1**). The immunological role of  $B_{MEM}$  cells may appear ostensibly redundant with simultaneous, clonally similar, and elevated antibody titers; however, recent evidence highlights a

distinct  $B_{MEM}$  cell role in response to pathogenic variants that have escaped the neutralizing capacity of the primary antibody response (118). Moreover, delineating which  $B_{MEM}$  subsets rapidly differentiate into PCs or engage in further GC formation upon secondary antigen challenge is an area of intense investigation. While some evidence suggests that isotype determines  $B_{MEM}$  cell subset and properties (119, 120), more recent work shows that surface markers such as PD-L2 and CD80 predict functional outcomes irrespective of isotype (121). Furthermore, the maintenance of these pools is independent of BAFF, antigen, or T cells (122-124). *In toto*, these observations indicate that PCs and  $B_{MEM}$  cells play non-redundant roles in immunity and occupy distinct homeostatic niches.

#### 1.5 Scope

The work presented herein describes the cytokine signals sufficient for the acquisition of a  $B_{MEM}$  cell subset characterized by the expression of the transcription factor T-box Expressed in T cells (T-BET, encoded by the gene *Tbx21*). In the first section, we describe how novel interactions between canonical  $T_{FH}$  cell cytokines in concert with innate signals drive T-BET expression in the B lineage. Further, since T-BET<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells are phenotypically related to Age-associated B Cells (ABCs), we interrogated whether our model predicts age-related dysregulation of immune function in the second section.

## 2. IL-21 AND IL-4 RECIPROCALLY REGULATE T-BET IN B CELLS

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1.1 T-BET in immunity and B cells

The transcription factor T-BET is part of an ancient family of 18 T-box transcription factors important for regulating many tissues and organs during metazoan development (125). Although originally discovered in its role for T<sub>H</sub>1 lineage commitment and optimal IFN $\gamma$  production (126-128), T-BET expression arises in many immune cells and orchestrates cellular functions beyond specific cytokine production (129, 130). Indeed, NK cell maturation and homeostasis, and proper CD4 T cell chemotaxis all require T-BET expression (131, 132). Thus, this transcription factor's pleiotropic effects extend to a variety of immune cells. B cell intrinsic T-BET expression fosters switching to IgG<sub>2a</sub> (111, 133-135), an isotype associated with both T<sub>H</sub>1-driven antibody responses and humoral autoimmunity (126, 136). Moreover, T-BET is required for the generation of ABCs, which are transcriptionally distinct from other B cell subsets and have also been associated with both viral clearance and humoral autoimmunity (137-139). A more detailed introduction on ABCs is provided in chapter 3.

Despite growing appreciation for the importance of T-BET-driven B cell fates, the exact signals that yield B lineage effectors characterized by T-BET expression – as well as how these regulate appropriate versus pathogenic outcomes – remain poorly defined. Candidates include cell-intrinsic signals from adaptive and innate receptors, including the BCR and TLRs, as well as signals from  $T_{FH}$  cells. In this regard, several  $T_H1$  cytokines, including IL-12, IL-18, and

IFN $\gamma$ , can induce T-BET in activated B cells (126, 136). Nonetheless, the roles and interactions of canonical T<sub>FH</sub> cell cytokines – IL-21, IL-4, and IFN $\gamma$  – in regulating T-BET expression have not been systematically interrogated (101, 140, 141).

#### 2.1.2 Cytokines regulate B cell responses

Generally, intercellular communication occurs via cell-to-cell contact or secreted messengers. The latter includes a loosely categorized group of small proteins called cytokines (~5-20kDa). Importantly, these include interleukins, chemokines, tumor necrosis factors, and interferons but generally not hormones or growth factors (142). Thus, depending on type of cytokine produced, cytokinesecreting cells can regulate and instruct the behavior of other cells. In this regard,  $T_{FH}$  cells guide and direct B cell responses via the production of IFNy, IL-4, and IL-21. Beyond their capacity in driving affinity maturation,  $T_{FH}$  cells instruct isotype switching via these cytokines. Indeed, the effects of IFNy and IL-4 on antibody isotype determination have been long appreciated (140, 141, 143-145). However, in addition to the effects of the hallmark cytokine of the  $T_{FH}$ lineage, IL-21 (146-149), how B cells integrate cytokine signals to achieve appropriate isotype and fate decisions remains unclear (150, 151). Thus, careful consideration of each cytokine is necessary to understand its attributed effects on B cells.

While originally named for its capacity to promote antiviral activity in influenza virus infected chick embryos (152, 153), IFNγ-producing cells regulate a variety of cell-mediated immune responses. Indeed, natural killer (NK), CD8,

and T<sub>H</sub>1 cells all produce IFN $\gamma$  against intracellular pathogens and tumors (154). IFN $\gamma$  functions by binding the IFN $\gamma$ R- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  chains, which drives JAK-induced phosphorylation of STAT1 (pSTAT1). The resulting pSTAT1 homodimer translocates to the nucleus and initiates a change in gene expression (155). For B cells, IFN $\gamma$  drives CSR to the IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> and IgG<sub>3</sub> isotypes (145, 156-158). Moreover, IFN $\gamma$  receptor deficient mice fail to induce a normal intracellular pathogen IgG<sub>2a</sub> antibody response (159). These observations lead to the presumption that T<sub>FH</sub> cell-derived IFN $\gamma$  drives antiviral antibody responses; however, while global IFN $\gamma$  is necessary for normal IgG<sub>2a</sub> responses, T cell derived IFN $\gamma$  seems redundant in this capacity (140). Thus, while IFN $\gamma$  is important for normal antibody responses against intracellular pathogens, the cellular source acting directly on B cells remains unclear.

In contrast to how IFN $\gamma$  was discovered, IL-4's immunological role was first shown by its direct action on B cells. IL-4 signals by binding the IL-4R $\alpha$  and IL-2 common- $\gamma$  chain receptor, which drive JAK-mediated phosphorylation of STAT6 (pSTAT6). The resulting homodimer translocates to the nucleus and drives transcription (160). Two research groups independently discovered IL-4 via its capacity to enhance B cell activation and drive CSR to IgG<sub>1</sub> and IgE (161-163). Further, *in vivo* evidence also confirms and extends IL-4's role in inducing these isotypes against extracellular pathogens (141, 143, 164); however, IL-4 is not critical for host survival against these infections.

In addition to the well-characterized roles of IFNγ and IL-4 in isotype determination, IL-21 also regulates B cell responses (165). IL-21 functions by

binding the IL-21R and IL-2 common-γ chain receptor, which drives JAKmediated phosphorylation of STAT1, 3, 5a, 5b (pSTAT1, 3, 5a, 5b). The resulting pSTAT homodimers and heterodimers (pSTAT1:pSTAT3) translocate to the nucleus and initiate gene expression changes (166). While originally described for its role in NK cell expansion *in vitro* (167), subsequent serum isotype analysis revealed a critical role for in regulating IgG<sub>1</sub> production (168). In this regard, IL-21 was thought to account for the residual IgG<sub>1</sub> observed in IL-4 or STAT6 deficient mice. Moreover, subsequent reports demonstrated how IL-21 induces the mutually antagonistic transcription factors BLIMP1 and BCL6, which are critical for B cell fate determination (169). Indeed, given BCL6's association with the GC B cell fate, *in vivo* evidence also confirms IL-21's direct role in GC B cell maintenance presumably through BCL6 induction (150, 151). Thus, IL-21 regulates not only B cell fate decisions but also isotype determination.

#### 2.1.3 CD11c expression in B cells

In addition to guiding cell fate decisions, cytokines also direct immune cells to cites of inflammation via the induction of chemokine receptors and integrins. Indeed, IL-4, IFN $\gamma$ , and IL-21 have all been shown to modulate expression of trafficking proteins (170-173). Integrins are a family of heterodimeric cell adhesion receptors that mediate cellular migration, trafficking, and cell-to-cell contacts via binding to cell-surface or extracellular matric ligands. The heterodimers consist of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. CD11a, CD11b, CD11c, and CD11d are all  $\alpha$  subunits that heterodimerize with the  $\beta$ 2 subunit (CD18) (174, 175). Originally, CD11c was thought to demarcate DCs with high fidelity (176),

and thus later developed into a DC-ablative tool (177); however, it is now apparent that B and other cells normally express CD11c. Thus, it is important to consider what this integrin does beyond its capacity to identify specific cell types. Functionally, CD11c facilitates efficient phagocytosis and cell mobility via its binding capacity of a variety of ligands including adhesion molecules (e.g. ICAMs and VCAMs), LPS, complement protein, and matrix proteins such as fibrinogen and collagen (175). In accord with these capacities attributed to CD11c, deficient mice have compromised immune function. Indeed, CD11c deficient mice are resistant to models of disease such as EAE and atherosclerosis and conversely mount reduced responses to pathogen challenge (178-181). In the B lineage, CD11c expression associates with viral or bacterial infections, autoimmunity, and neoplasia (138, 182-187), although its function is unclear. Thus, the signals driving B cell intrinsic CD11c expression and the integrin's role in normal or aberrant immune responses are of interest.

#### 2.1.4 Summary of findings

Here, we show that B cells integrate IL-4, IL-21, and IFN $\gamma$  signals to adopt distinct TBET<sup>+</sup> effector fates. In the context of TLR engagement, both IL-21 and IFN $\gamma$  directly drive FO B cells to express T-BET *in vitro*. However, IL-4 antagonizes IL-21-driven TBET upregulation, but enhances IFN $\gamma$ -induced T-BET expression. Moreover, IL-21 but not IFN $\gamma$  promotes CD11c expression. Consistent with these *in vitro* results, the *in vivo* frequencies of GC B cells and B<sub>MEM</sub> cells expressing T-BET or CD11c vary based on the prevailing cytokine milieu. Finally, using viral and helminthic infections in single and double cytokine

KO mice, we show that the relative abundance of these cytokines governs whether GC and  $B_{MEM}$  cells generated during ongoing immune responses express T-BET and CD11c. Together, these findings reveal a previously unappreciated interplay between key  $T_{FH}$  cytokines that, in concert with innate sensors, controls the adoption of T-BET<sup>+</sup> fates among antigen-experienced B cells.

#### 2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Mice

*Tbx21<sup>-/-</sup>*, *Stat6<sup>-/-</sup>*, *Tbx21<sup>f/f</sup>Cd19<sup>Cre/+</sup>*, C57BL/6, and BALB/c mice were maintained and used in accordance with the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. University of Pennsylvania IACUC approved all animal experiments. *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* mice were a gift from Dr. Paula Oliver. *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice were a gift from Dr. Edward Behrens. *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* double deficient mice were bred in house. *IL21r<sup>/-</sup>* and *II21*Tg spleens and sera were shipped overnight on ice from Dr. Warren Leonard's mouse colony at the NIH. All experimental mice were 2-6 months of age.

#### Infections

Mice were infected by oral gavage with 200 infectious larvae of *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* (HP), which were generated as previously described (188). Mice were infected by intranasal (i.n.) infection with 30 TCID<sub>50</sub> of influenza strain A/PR/8/34 (PR8) (ATCC). Spleens and sera were harvested on days indicated in figure legends.

#### In vitro cultures

CD23<sup>+</sup> splenic B cells were enriched by positive selection using MACS bead system (Miltenyi Biotec), labeled with either Violet Cell Trace (VCT, Invitrogen) or carboxyfluorescein succynimmidyl ester (CFSE, eBioscience) and were stimulated with 1uM ODN1826 (Invitrogen), 1ug/mL CLO97 (InvivoGen),  $F_{(ab)'^2}$  fragments of 10 µg/mL anti-IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), 10 µg/mL anti-CD40 (clone HM40-3; BL), 25 ng/mL IL21, 10 ng/mL IL4, 10 ng/mL

IFN $\gamma$  (Shenandoah Biotechnology) at 37°C and 5.5% CO<sub>2</sub> in RPMI supplemented with 10% characterized FBS (HyClone), 1% HEPES (Gibco), 1% glutamine (Invitrogen), 50  $\mu$ M 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 1% minimal essential amino acids (Gibco), and 1% OPI supplement (Sigma).

#### Flow Cytometry

Spleens were harvested at indicated days post immunization or infection, mashed between frosted glass slides, and filtered through 0.2 micron mesh. Antibodies or reagents reactive to the following antigens were purchased from BioLegend (BL), Beckton-Dickenson (BD), or eBioscience (eBio): T-BET (4B10, BL), CD11c (N418, BL), IgM (R6-60.2, BD), CD38 (90, eBio), CD138 (281-2, BL), IgD (11–26c.2a, BL), CD4 (RM4-5, BL), B220 (RA3-6B2, BL), CD62L (MEL-14, eBio), TCR-β (H57-597, BL), CD19 (6D5, BL), CXCR5 (L138D7, BL); PD-1 (RMP1-30, BL); and PNA-FITC (Sigma), CD8 (53-6.7, eBio), CD4 (H129.19, BL), F4/80 (BM8, eBio), Ly-6G/GR1 (RB6-8C5, eBio). Exclusion based on Zombie Aqua (BL) positivity was used to identify live cells, and doublets were excluded by forward and side scatter height versus width analyses. Cells were analyzed on an LSRII or sorted on an Aria II (BD), and data analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). Intracellular stains were performed using the eBioscience Foxp3 transcription factor kit.

#### Serum antibody titers and PR8-specific ELISA

For ELISA, Immunosorb plates (Corning) were coated with 20 hemagglutination units (HAU) PR8 or 10 µg/ml anti-mouse heavy and light chain, and blocked with PBS containing 2% BSA. Virus used for coating ELISA plates was inactivated by
incubation with 0.1% beta-propiolactone (BPL; Sigma) and 0.1 M HEPES (Cellgro) overnight at 4C followed by a 90 minute incubation at 37C. Diluted sera were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature, detected with horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti–mouse  $IgG_{2a}$ ,  $IgG_{2b}$ ,  $IgG_{2c}$ , or  $IgG_1$  antibodies (SouthernBiotech), and developed with using 3,3', 5,5' tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (BD). Color development was terminated with 2M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> then read on an E<sub>Max</sub> microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450nm.

#### *Quantitative PCR analysis*

RNA was extracted with the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) and reverse transcribed using SuperScript II Reverse transcription (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. cDNA was amplified using TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and Taqman probes for various genes (Applied Biosystems). Realtime PCR was performed with an ABI 7300 (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) was calculated using *Gapdh* (Mm99999915\_g1) expression as an endogenous control for cells that were FACS sorted *ex vivo* or stimulated *in vitro*. Probes: *II4* (Mm00445260\_m1), *Ifng* (Mm00801778\_m1), *II21* (Mm00517640\_m1), *Tbx21* (Mm00450960\_m1), *Aicda* (Mm00507774\_m1).

Transcriptional profiling and functional enrichment analysis

After 20 hours *in vitro* stimulation as described above, RNA was isolated using the miRNeasy kit (Qiagen). Biotin-labeled complementary RNA (cRNA) was generated using the Illumina TotalPrep RNA amplification kit (Ambion). Illumina MouseRef-8 v2.0 expression Beadarrays were hybridized with cRNA. Scanned images were converted to raw expression using GenomeStudio v1.8 software (Illumina). Data analysis was carried out using the statistical computing environment, R (v3.0.2). Differentially expressed genes (1.5-fold, FDR  $\leq$  0.05) were identified by linear modeling and Bayesian statistics using the Limma package (189). Clusters of co-regulated genes were identified by Pearson correlation using the hclust function of the stats package in R. Data have been deposited on the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database for public access (Accession # GSE77145).

### Statistics

Student's t-test was used to generate all P-values, \* P <0.05, \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\* P <0.001, \*\*\*\* P <0.0001. All error bars are S.E.M. based on biological replicates.

### 2.3 RESULTS

## 2.3.1 IL-21, IL-4, and IFNγ differentially regulate T-BET and CD11c expression

In preliminary *in vitro* studies, we established that IL-21 drives TBET expression in FO B cells responding to TLR9, but neither BCR ( $\alpha$ -IgM) nor CD40 signals (**Figure 1A**). To explore these interactions further, we cultured FO B cells with IL-4, IL-21, or IFN $\gamma$  in the presence of TLR7 or TLR9 agonists. Both *Tbx21* transcripts and TBET protein increased markedly in FO B cells cultured with IL-21 or IFN $\gamma$  (**Figures 1B and C**), but IL-4 influenced these outcomes differently. IL-4 blocked IL-21-driven TBET upregulation, but enhanced IFN $\gamma$ -mediated TBET upregulation (**Figures 1B and C**). Furthermore, IL-4 mediated these effects in a dose dependent manner when applied concomitantly with ODN1826 and IL-21 (**Figure 1D**).

To determine whether IL-21 and IL-4 directly regulate TBET in B cells, either  $II21r^{-}$  or  $Stat6^{-/-}$  B cells were co-cultured with wild type (WT) B cells and stimulated as above. Since STAT6 is the key signal transducer of IL-4 and IL-21R is required for IL-21 signaling (167, 190), we reasoned that co-culturing these mutants with WT cells would reveal any secondary *trans* effects of cytokine treatment. In order to track cell type and expansion, WT or KO cells were labeled with VCT or CFSE, respectively (**Figure 2A, top row**). While IL-21induced TBET expression in WT B cells, the co-cultured *II21r<sup>-/-</sup>* B cells remained TBET negative, despite dividing to a similar extent. Analogously, although IL-21driven TBET upregulation in WT B cells was reversed by IL-4, co-cultured *Stat6<sup>-/-</sup>* 



**Figure 1. IL-4 and IL-21 reciprocally regulate T-BET expression** *in vitro*. All in vitro experiments used magnetically enriched CD23<sup>+</sup> splenocytes (FO B cells). (**A**) WT or  $Cd19^{cre/+}Tbx21^{t/t}$  B cells were cultured with indicated stimuli for 48hrs and probed for T-BET ( $\Delta$ MFI=WT-mutant). (**B**) *Tbx21* Gene expression analysis ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) from WT B cells treated for 20hrs with indicated stimuli. (**C**) FO B cells treated as in (**B**) for 48h and probed for T-BET. \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\* P <0.001, n = 3 independent experiments. (**D**) WT FO B cells cultured with ODN1826 and IL-21 with IL-4 titration and probed for TBET. (**B-C**) Data are presented as means of biological replicates ± SEM.



Figure 2. IL-4 and IL-21 act in a cell intrinsic manner to regulate T-BET expression *in vitro*. All *in vitro* experiments used magnetically enriched CD23<sup>+</sup> splenocytes (FO B cells). (A and B) FO B cells from WT,  $II21r^{I-}$ , or  $Stat6^{-I-}$  spleens were labeled with either CFSE or Violet Cell Trace (VCT), treated with ODN1826 and indicated cytokine combinations for 48h, and assessed for CD11c and T-BET. n = 3 independent experiments.

cells were refractory to this negative regulatory effect (Figure 2A, bottom row).

These results show that B cell intrinsic IL-21 and IL-4 signals directly and reciprocally regulate TBET expression in the context of TLR9 driven activation. Importantly, IFN<sub>Y</sub> treatment induced TBET irrespective of *II21r* or *Stat6* deficiency (**Figure 2B**). The converse effects of IL-4 on IFN<sub>Y</sub>- vs IL-21-induced TBET expression suggests that unique, TBET-associated fates are likely facilitated by each cytokine. We further interrogated this possibility in several ways. First, since previous studies have linked TBET with CD11c expression (138), we asked whether IFN<sub>Y</sub> or IL-21 influence CD11c differently. The results show that whereas IL-21 drives CD11c expression, IFN<sub>Y</sub> does not (**Figure 2**). Further, similar to its effects on TBET, IL-4 blocks IL-21-induced CD11c expression. These findings indicate that IL-21 and IFN<sub>Y</sub> drive TBET expression through distinct mediators. Further, TBET expression is not sufficient for the induction of CD11c. Thus, TBET and CD11c are each directly but separately regulated by IL-21 and IFN<sub>Y</sub>.

To further interrogate the distinct TBET<sup>+</sup> B cell fates driven by IL-21 versus IFN $\gamma$ , as well as to distinguish TBET-dependent and -independent effects of each cytokine, we performed genome-wide transcriptional profiling on WT or *Tbx21<sup>-/-</sup>* B cells stimulated with either IFN $\gamma$  or IL-21. Principal components analysis shows that 82.7% of variance in these data was explained by the cytokine employed, while *Tbx21* genotype accounted for 6.3% of the variance (**Figure 3A**). Further, each cytokine induces a unique transcriptional profile, including some T-BET-dependent shifts in gene expression (**Figure 3B** and **Table I**). We confirmed that



**Figure 3. IL-21 drives T-BET-dependent shifts in gene expression distinct from IFNy.** All *in vitro* experiments used magnetically enriched CD23<sup>+</sup> splenocytes (FO B cells). WT or *Tbx21<sup>-/-</sup>* FO B cells were cultured for 20h with IL-21 (21) or IFNy (y) with ODN1826, RNA was harvested, and principal component (A) and *Z*-score (**B**) analysis performed according to materials and methods.

		WT		Thx21 KO	
diffSymbols	diffEntrez	21	IFNG	21	IFNG
Hbb-bt	101488143	10.72	9.22	9.96	8 72
Pld4	104759	12.26	10.23	11.88	9.63
Csprs	114564	9,13	9.81	9,79	10.17
Adssl1	11565	10.19	8 76	9.56	8 38
Aicda	11628	10.62	8.16	9.57	8.16
Alas2	11656	8 70	8 70	8 17	8.08
SIc7a3	11989	9.58	11.94	10.89	12.33
Camk2b	12323	8.77	9.35	8.47	8.34
Cd86	12524	11.94	11.29	11.48	10.21
Cebpb	12608	9.80	10.40	10.26	11.03
Cxcr3	12766	8.59	8.89	7.96	8.02
Dapk2	13143	8.42	10.36	8.29	9.05
Ddx6	13209	10.43	10.85	11.02	11.22
Dmwd	13401	9.25	9.53	8 79	8 56
lgf2hn3	140488	9.90	9.52	9,19	8.80
Gent1	14537	10 30	9.69	10.42	10.28
Gfi1	14581	8.96	10.06	8 90	9.03
SIc6a9	14664	8 70	9 74	9.32	10 30
Gpr65	14744	10.08	9.98	9.66	9.18
Hba-a1	15122	12.00	12 81	11 08	10.61
Hher	15242	12.03	11 50	11.00	10.01
Cxcl10	15945	8.07	11.30	8.05	9 70
Mrc1	17533	8.07	9 20	8.07	8 44
Ennn1	18605	8.96	10.10	9.03	9.44
Lgals3bp	19039	9.52	10.47	10.33	11.35
Sdc3	20970	8.69	8.48	9.34	9.22
Socs2	216233	8.25	9.21	8.31	8.39
Phf11a	219131	10.63	9.91	10.99	10.68
Trib3	228775	9.52	10.37	10.74	10.94
Oasl1	231655	8.69	9.41	9.08	10.03
Blyrb	233016	12.25	12.07	11.82	11.13
Rsad1	237926	8.98	9.49	8.36	8.71
Oas1g	23960	9.16	8.62	9.85	9.44
Usp18	24110	9.01	10.82	9.69	11.67
Ifnlr1	242700	8.45	10.02	8.60	10.64
Asns	27053	9.86	10.49	10.92	11.14
5031414D18Rik	271221	11.08	10.45	11.34	11.07
Abi2	329165	11.08	11.46	10.70	10.77
Tbx21	57765	10.68	12.07	8.29	8.51
Fkbp11	66120	9.11	9.92	8.93	9.26
lfitm3	66141	9.95	10.52	10.39	11.68
Serpinb1a	66222	9.26	9.64	9.24	10.42
Sec11c	66286	10.14	10.37	9.88	9.74
Entpd4	67464	11.25	11.29	11.96	11.96
Chac1	69065	10.34	11.39	11.82	12.07
Tmem110	69179	10.22	10.43	10.07	9.67
Endod1	71946	11.34	10.31	11.42	10.96
Hvcn1	74096	12.52	11.50	12.40	10.68
Ly6k	76486	9.26	9.57	8.67	8.97
Lbh	77889	13.53	12.33	13.72	12.93

### Table II: Top 50 differentially expressed genes.

Top 50 genes differentially expressed according to stimulation and genotype generating the heatmap in **Figure 3B**.

*Tbx21* expression was high in WT mice and low in KOs thus validating our dataset. We find that IFNγ strongly and uniquely induced some genes, including *Cxcl10* and *Socs2*, which were highly T-BET dependent. Moreover, IL-21 also induced its own unique set of T-BET dependent genes including *Aicda* and *Cd86*. Additionally, there are some T-BET sensitive genes, such as *Cxcr3*, that were induced by both cytokines. Lastly, in accord with T-BET's role as a transcriptional repressor (191, 192), we also found some genes that were potently induced in the absence of T-BET such as *Trib3*, *Csprs*, and *Slc7a3*. Thus, although IFNγ and IL-21 overlap in regulating some T-BET dependent genes, each drives a unique transcriptional profile.

## 2.3.2 Relative abundance of IL-21, IL-4, and IFNγ regulate T-BET expression *in vivo*

Our *in vitro* findings suggest that IFNy, IL4, and IL21 interact to modulate TBET and CD11c expression in B cells. As an initial assessment of whether this relationship exists *in vivo*, we surveyed GC B and B<sub>MEM</sub> cells for TBET expression in C57BL/6 (B6) versus BALB/c mice (**Figure 4A**), because these strains display inherent  $T_{H1}$  versus  $T_{H2}$  skewing, respectively (193). We reasoned that if TBET expression is promoted by milieus rich in IFNy, but repressed in those with plentiful IL-4 and little IFNy, then the frequencies of TBET<sup>+</sup> B cells in these two strains should differ. In agreement with this prediction, most GC B cells in B6 mice are TBET<sup>+</sup> (**Figure 4B**), and variable CD11c expression was restricted to B<sub>MEM</sub> cells (**Figure 4C**). In contrast, BALB/c mice lack TBET<sup>+</sup> B cells (**Figure 4B**), despite a small cohort of TBET<sup>-</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub>



**Figure 4. Genetic background determines T-BET expression** *in vivo*. (A) Representative FACS gating strategy for activated splenic B cell subsets including PCs, GC B, and  $B_{MEM}$  cells. (B) Representative intracellular T-BET staining on C57BL/6 (B6) or BALB/c GC B cells and (C) T-BET and CD11c on  $B_{MEM}$  cells. n = 3 experiments.

cells (Figure 4C). These findings are consistent with the notion that IFNy and IL-4 levels regulate TBET expression in GC B cells. To probe the impact of IL-21 on this overall relationship, we next asked whether extra-physiological levels of IL-21 would foster accumulation of TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B cells. Although the partially activated state of B cells in these mice confounds conventional phenotyping strategies (Figure 5A), nearly all mature B cells in *ll21*-Tg bear a CD23<sup>-</sup>CD21<sup>-</sup> phenotype identical to the TBET-dependent ABC subset (169, 194). Furthermore, surface IgD and IgM expression on B-2 B cells reveals that excess IL21 does not drive profound switching to other isotypes (Figure 5A, far right). Regardless, profound increases in both TBET and CD11c expression were seen in all splenic B-2 B cells in *ll21*-Tg mice (Figure 5B), which is consistent with our in vitro results suggesting that IL-21 drives both TBET and CD11c expression. Furthermore, analysis of antigen-experience subsets indicates that TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> accumulate in many different activated B cell subsets (Figures 6A&B). Finally, consistent with TBET's role in fostering class switch recombination to  $IgG_{2a/c}$ , we observed a marked increase of  $IgG_{2a/c}$  but not  $IgG_1$ serum antibody titers in *ll21*-Tg compared to WT mice (Figure 5C).

Together, our *in vitro* and *in vivo* observations prompt a model in which the relative availability of IL-4, IL-21, and IFN $\gamma$  govern the likelihood of establishing B<sub>MEM</sub> cells expressing TBET and CD11c. Further, they suggest that abundant IFN $\gamma$  will drive a TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>-</sup> B cell fate regardless of IL-4 or IL-21 levels, but that in the absence of IFN $\gamma$ , the TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> fate will be reciprocally regulated by IL-21 versus IL-4. We therefore evaluated these predictions by



**Figure 5. IL-21 overexpression in vivo generates T-BET**<sup>+</sup>**CD11c**<sup>+</sup> **pre-immune B cells.** (**A**) Representative FACS gating strategy for activated splenic B cells from WT and *II21*Tg mice including FO, MZ, and "ABCs". (**B**) Representative FACS staining for T-BET and CD11c on splenic B-2 B cells . n = 3 independent experiments with 3 mice per group. (**C**) Total serum IgG<sub>1</sub> or IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> (IgG<sub>2a</sub> + IgG<sub>2c</sub>) titers between WT and *II21*Tg mice. \*\* P <0.01, n = 2 independent experiments with 2-4 mice per group. Data are presented as means of biological replicates ± SEM.



Figure 6. IL-21 overexpression in vivo generates T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> antigen experienced B cells. (A) Representative FACS gating strategy for activated splenic B cells from WT and *ll21*Tg mice including PCs, GC B, and  $B_{MEM}$  cells. (B) Representative FACS staining for T-BET and CD11c on splenic from indicated subsets. n = 3 independent experiments with 3 mice per group.

tracking the immune responses to either influenza virus or *H. polygyrus* infections in mice where cytokine availability could be experimentally manipulated.

# 2.3.3 Influenza virus infection generates T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> in the absence of IL-4 and IFNy

Influenza virus infection results in a well-characterized T-dependent and T<sub>H</sub>1-skewed response, in which responding T<sub>FH</sub> cells produce copious IFN<sub>Y</sub> as well as IL-21 and IL-4 (140). Thus, we reasoned that IFN<sub>Y</sub> would induce T-BET expression in GC B and B<sub>MEM</sub> cells, but in the absence of IFN<sub>Y</sub>, IL-4 would prevent TBET expression. Accordingly, WT or *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice were infected with the A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1 influenza virus strain (PR8). As expected, WT animals mounted a robust GC B cell response to PR8 (**Figure 7A**), and these GC B cells expressed T-BET (**Figure 7B**). In contrast, GC B cells in *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice failed to express T-BET (**Figure 7B**) even though the magnitude of the GC B cell response was similar to WT (**Figures 7A&C**). Although the splenic PC numbers were reduced in *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice, B<sub>MEM</sub> cell numbers remained intact across genotypes (**Figures 7D & 7E**). Thus, although the magnitude of the response varied slightly across gentoypes, all infected mice mounted a response above non-infected controls.

To confirm the intracellular T-BET staining and assess the cytokine profile of  $T_{FH}$  cells, we sorted both GC B cells and  $T_{FH}$  cells from each genotype (**Figure 8A**). Indeed, gene expression of *Tbx21* from FACS sorted GC B cells in WT and *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice confirmed our intracellular T-BET stain (**Figure 8B**). Next, we confirmed that FACS sorted  $T_{FH}$  cells from WT and *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice expressed equal



**Figure 7.** Influenza virus infection drives T-BET<sup>+</sup> GC B cells formation in the absence of IFNy and IL-4. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes from non-infected or day 10 post i.n. 30 TCID50 PR8 infection in WT (n=21), *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=13), and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=13) mice across 3-7 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \*\* P <0.01. (**A**) Representative FACS gating strategy for PCs, GC B, and B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. (**B**) Representative FACS staining for T-BET on GC B cells. Enumeration of (**C**) GC B cells (**D**) PCs, and (**E**) B<sub>MEM</sub> cells.

levels of *II4* and *II21* transcripts (**Figures 8C & D**). Moreover, although reduced in magnitude, *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice mounted a comparable T<sub>FH</sub> cell response to WT controls (**Figure 8E**). These results are consistent with the idea that in the absence of IFNγ, IL-4 blocks T-BET expression in response to IL-21. To directly test this, we infected *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> double deficient mice with PR8. While *II4*<sup>-/-</sup>*Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice mounted a blunted GC B cell response (**Figures 7A&C**), these cells nonetheless clearly expressed T-BET (**Figures 7B & 8B**). Furthermore, only the GC B cell response was blunted in *II4*<sup>-/-</sup>*Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (**Figure 7C**), whereas the PC and B<sub>MEM</sub> were comparable to WT (**Figures 7D&E**). Lastly, *II4*<sup>-/-</sup>*Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice mounted a comparable T<sub>FH</sub> cell response with the same levels of *II21* transcripts as WT (**Figures 8D&E**).

We next assessed  $B_{MEM}$  cells for T-BET and CD11c expression and probed the serum for  $IgG_{2c}$  or  $IgG_1$  PR8-reactive antibody given our initial phenotypic studies (**Figures 4C & 5C**). The composition of the  $B_{MEM}$  cell pool differed according to genotype in response to infection (**Figures 9A&B**). While WT mice generated some T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup>  $B_{MEM}$  cells, *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice produced almost none above non-infected controls, suggesting the dominance of IL-4 in the absence of IFN<sub>Y</sub>. Lastly, *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice produced the most TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup>  $B_{MEM}$  cells, presumably reflecting IL-21 activity in the absence of any repressive IL-4 effects. Furthermore, we assessed PR8 reactive serum antibody titers of the  $IgG_{2c}$  and  $IgG_1$  isotypes and asked whether they correlated with T-BET expression. Consistent with the role of  $IgG_{2c}$  in antiviral immunity (195, 196), WT mice produced the most PR8-reactive  $IgG_{2c}$  compared to *Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* 



**Figure 8.**  $T_{FH}$  cells in influenza virus infection produce IL-4 and IL-21. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes from non-infected or day 10 post i.n. 30 TCID50 PR8 infection in WT (n=21), *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> (n=13), and *II4*<sup>-/-</sup>*Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> (n=13) mice across 3-7 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \* P <0.05, \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\* P <0.001, \*\*\* P <0.001. (A) Representative sort strategy for GC B and T<sub>FH</sub> cells. Gene expression analysis ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) for (B) *Tbx21* from GC B cells and (C) *II4* (D) *II21* from T<sub>FH</sub> cells. (E) Enumeration of T<sub>FH</sub> cells.



Reciprocal Dilution (x10<sup>-2</sup>)

Reciprocal Dilution (x10<sup>-2</sup>)

Figure 9. Influenza virus infection drives TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cell formation in the absence of IFN<sub>Y</sub> and IL-4. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes from non-infected or day 10 post i.n. 30 TCID50 PR8 infection in WT (n=21), *lfng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=13), and *ll4<sup>-/-</sup>lfng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=13) mice across 3-7 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \* P <0.05, \*\* P <0.01 (**A**) Representative FACS staining for T-BET and CD11c on B<sub>MEM</sub> cells as defined in Figure 7. (**B**) Enumeration of T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. PR8 reactive serum antibody titers of (**C**)  $IgG_{2c}$  and (**D**)  $IgG_1$  isotypes.

mice (**Figure 9C**). Importantly, a substantial amount of antigen specific  $IgG_{2c}$  is independent of both IFN $\gamma$  and B cell intrinsic T-BET expression. Lastly, PR8reactive  $IgG_1$  was most prominent in *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, which is consistent with an IL-4 dominant response. Overall, these findings confirm and extend our *in vitro* findings, since the same interplay of cytokines directs T-BET expression among B effectors *in vivo*. Further, our observations suggest that T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells will be fostered in immune responses where IL-4 and IFN $\gamma$  are limited.

## 2.3.4 II-4 deficiency is sufficient to induce T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> $B_{MEM}$ independently of IFNy in *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* infection

Results with influenza virus infection are consistent with the notion that IFN $\gamma$  drives T-BET expression irrespective of concomitant IL-4 or IL-21, and that eliminating IFN $\gamma$  creates a situation where the relative levels of IL-4 and IL-21 govern the T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> phenotype. However, this subtractive approach does not necessarily show that in responses where IFN $\gamma$  is normally absent, the sole determinant of a T-BET<sup>+</sup> fate is IL-4 availability. Accordingly, we asked whether IL- deficiency is sufficient to permit T-BET expression in GC B cells during a T<sub>H</sub>2 response, using *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* (HP). This intestinal helminth induces IL-4 and IL-21 production by T<sub>FH</sub> cells, which drives a robust IgG<sub>1</sub> response (141). Thus, we hypothesized that in the absence of IL-4, IL-21 would be sufficient to induce T-BET expression in B effectors. To test this idea, we infected WT or *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* mice with HP, and probed GC B cells for T-BET. As expected, WT mice mounted a GC B cell response that lacked T-BET expression (**Figures 10A-C**). Conversely, although blunted in magnitude, *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* mice initiated



Figure 10. Heligmosomoides polygyrus (HP) infection drives T-BET<sup>+</sup> GC B cells formation in the absence of IFNy and IL-4. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes and sera from non-infected or day 14 post oral gavage of 200 HP in WT (n=20), *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=22), and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=11) mice across 3-6 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \*\*\*\* P <0.0001. (A) Representative FACS gating strategy for PCs, GC B, and B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. (B) Representative FACS staining for T-BET on GC B cells. Enumeration of (C) GC B cells (D) PCs, and (E) B<sub>MEM</sub> cells.

a TBET<sup>+</sup> GC B cell response. To eliminate the possibility that excess IFN $\gamma$  in *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* mice explains these phenotypes, we infected *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice with HP. The GC B cell response in *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice was restored to WT levels (**Figure 10C**) but maintained T-BET expression independently of IFN $\gamma$  (**Figure 10B**). Importantly, the magnitude of the PC and B<sub>MEM</sub> cell response remained intact across genotypes (**Figures 10D&E**).

To confirm the intracellular T-BET staining and assess T<sub>FH</sub> cell cytokine profile, we sorted both GC B and  $T_{FH}$  cells from each genotype (Figure 11A). Tbx21 gene expression from FACS sorted GC B cells in WT, Ifng-/-, and II4-/-Ifng-/mice confirmed the intracellular T-BET stain (**Figure 11B**). Further,  $T_{FH}$  cells from both *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice produced less *II21* even though these mice generated comparable or more T<sub>FH</sub> cells than WT (Figures 11C&D). Finally, we again assessed B<sub>MEM</sub> cells for TBET and CD11c expression and probed the serum for serum  $IgG_{2c}$  or  $IgG_1$ . We observed a similar alteration in the  $B_{MEM}$  pool according to cytokine availability. Whereas HP-infected WT mice did not generate T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells, both *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice did—again suggesting an IL-21-driven phenotype independent of IFNy (Figures 12A&B). Isotype representation varied with T-BET expression: whereas WT mice produced >95% IgG<sub>1</sub>, over half of the serum antibodies in  $II4^{-/-}Ifng^{-/-}$  and  $II4^{-/-}$  mice were  $IgG_{2b}$  and  $IgG_{2c}$  (Figure 10C). Overall, the HP infection data are consistent with our model inasmuch as in the absence of IFNy production, we observe T-BET and CD11c expression that is sensitive to IL-4. Moreover, the consistent relationship of these cytokines to T-BET and CD11c expression in both types of

infection suggest a common feature to most humoral immune responses.



**Figure 11.**  $T_{FH}$  cells in *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* (HP) infection produce IL-21. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes and sera from non-infected or day 14 post oral gavage of 200 HP in WT (n=20), *II4*-<sup>*t*-</sup> (n=22), and *II4*-<sup>*t*-</sup>*Ifng*-<sup>*t*-</sup> (n=11) mice across 3-6 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \* P <0.05, \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\*\* P <0.0001. (A) Representative sort strategy for GC B and  $T_{FH}$  cells. Gene expression analysis ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) for (B) *Tbx21* from GC B cells and (C) *II21* from  $T_{FH}$  cells. (D) Enumeration of  $T_{FH}$  cells.



Figure 12. Heligmosomoides polygyrus (HP) infection drives T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup>  $B_{MEM}$  cell formation in the absence of IFNy and IL-4. All figures based on FACS stained splenocytes and sera from non-infected or day 14 post oral gavage of 200 HP in WT (n=20), *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=22), and *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=11) mice across 3-6 experiments with ≥3 mice per group, \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\*\* P <0.0001. (A) Representative FACS staining for T-BET and CD11c on B<sub>MEM</sub> cells as defined in Figure 10. (B) Enumeration of T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. (C) Total serum IgG<sub>1</sub> and IgG<sub>2c</sub> + IgG<sub>2b</sub> titers.

#### 2.4 DISCUSSION

Together, these results show that in the context of TLR7 or TLR9 engagement, the aggregate of IFN $\gamma$ , IL-21, and IL-4 signals determines whether B cells adopt a T-BET<sup>+</sup> fate. We provide *in vitro* evidence for a novel route to T-BET induction via the hallmark T<sub>FH</sub> cell cytokine, IL-21. Importantly, this occurs robustly in the context of TLR engagement and independent of antigen receptor engagement or costimulation. Furthermore, concomitant IL-4 signals antagonize IL-21-induced T-BET expression but enhance IFN $\gamma$ -driven T-BET. Moreover, IL-21, but not IFN $\gamma$ , promotes CD11c expression independent of T-BET. Using influenza virus and *H. polygyrus* infections, we show that these interactions function *in vivo* to determine whether T-BET<sup>+</sup> and CD11c<sup>+</sup> B cells are formed. These findings suggest that T-BET<sup>+</sup> B cells seen in health and disease share the common initiating features of TLR driven activation within this circumscribed cytokine milieu.

TLR engagement appears necessary to position activated B cells for these fates upon subsequent IL-21 signaling. We have obtained similar results with the TLR2/4 ligand LPS (**Figure 13A**), suggesting pathways common to most TLRs, and perhaps other innate receptors, provide these key initial signals. We speculate that these innate signals alter gene loci accessibility for subsequent  $T_{FH}$  cytokine cues since there are reports of crosstalk between the TLR-MyD88 and IL-21r-STAT3 pathways in B cells (197, 198). Indeed, prior reports that CD11c<sup>+</sup> or TBET<sup>+</sup> B cells emerge in responses to a variety of viral and bacterial infections are consistent with this idea (137, 183). Furthermore, while BCR and



**Figure 13. Dominant IFNy-driven T-BET expression.** All *in vitro* experiments used magnetically enriched CD23<sup>+</sup> splenocytes (FO B cells). FO B cells treated with LPS (**A**) or ODN1826 (**B**&**C**) and indicated cytokine combinations for 48h, and assessed for T-BET and CD11c. n = 3 independent experiments.

CD40 engagement do not induce T-BET with IL-21, these signals do consistently down-modulate the levels of T-BET expression induced by ODN1826 and IL-21 (**Figure 1A**). This further suggests complexity among the NF-κB, STAT, and MyD88 signal cascades. Thus, understanding how B cells integrate these disparate signal transduction events should elucidate effector cell fate decisions.

The differential effects of IL4 on IL21 versus IFNy suggest a complex interplay of STAT-dependent transcriptional regulation. The clear dose-response relationship of IL4-mediated effects is consistent with the idea that competitive relationships are involved (Figure 1D). Furthermore, IL-21-driven T-BET remains sensitive to IL-4 signals even 20 hours after it is induced (Figure 13B). This suggests that IL-21-induced T-BET fates are plastic in vitro and still subject to the repressive activity of IL-4. Although IL-4R $\alpha$  and IL-21R both require common-y chain receptor to phosphorylate their associated STATs (199), our Stat6<sup>-/-</sup> coculture data indicate that competition for membrane proximal receptor components is unlikely to explain these findings (Figure 2). If this were the case, then  $Stat6^{-/-}$  cells would also be subject to IL4's repressive effects. Instead, downstream events are more likely candidates, including differential occupation of transcriptional regulatory sites, and altered stoichiometric relationships among the JAK-STAT proteins involved. In T cells, it is established that IL-21 can drive T-BET in a STAT1 dependent manner (200, 201); however, IL-21 transduced signals have not been so carefully characterized in the B lineage. Thus, assessing whether IL-21-driven pSTAT1 or pSTAT3 is altered by IL-4 or whether STAT6 binds the Tbx21 locus could elucidate the mechanism behind the

interplay of IL-21 and IL-4 on T-BET expression.

The preferential induction of CD11c and differential effects of IL-4 stimulation lead us to the conclusion that IL-21 and IFNy drive distinct T-BET<sup>+</sup> fates. Thus, since T-BET is a transcription factor, we explored how these two T-BET inducing stimuli differed in terms of gene expression in the presence or absence of T-BET (Figure 3). The most striking difference was between cytokine treatments as measured by principal component analysis (Figure 3A). However, each cytokine treatment induces and represses overlapping and distinct sets of genes in a T-BET dependent manner (Figure 3B). Specifically, in accord with its role in GC maintenance, IL-21 induced Aicda and Cd86, which are both critical for SHM and CSR (93, 202). Consistent with prior reports showing IL-21 driven Aicda and Cd86 expression, and we further show that IL-21 induced Aicda and Cd86 expression depends on T-BET (203, 204). Overall, IL-21 drives a gene expression profile most consistent with that of the GC B cell fate. Although overlap exists, the T-BET-dependent induction of Cxcl10 by IFNy and not IL-21 further confirms the notion that these two stimuli are distinct. Lastly, each cytokine represses a set of genes in a T-BET dependent manner. Although currently most of these genes have poorly defined immunological roles, Trib3 has been shown to directly bind and disrupt to Akt kinase activity suggesting it may have a role in nutrient sensing. Overall, these transcriptional analyses further confirm that IFNy and IL-21 drive distinct T-BET<sup>+</sup> fates.

Our findings reveal a  $T_{FH}$  cytokine network that governs T-BET<sup>+</sup> B cell fate decisions in the context of TLR stimulation. In accord with pervious reports, IFNy

drives T-BET expression and is not appreciably influenced by the presence of either IL-4 or IL-21 (Figure 13C). Conversely, in the absence of IFNy, IL-4 and IL-21 reciprocally regulate T-BET and CD11c expression both in vitro and in vivo. Since immune responses are rarely monolithic with regard to these three cytokines (140, 205), we suggest that the resulting multifunctional  $T_{FH}$  cells can generate a diverse set of B effectors. Consequently, altering the cytokine milieu affects the isotypes generated (Figures 9C&D and 12C) and the composition of the B<sub>MEM</sub> pools (Figure 9A&B and 12A&B) while largely maintaining the magnitude of the response. Since T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B cells are observed in autoimmunity, viral infections, and aging, it is tempting to speculate that an underlying common feature is endosomal TLR engagement coupled with either copious IFNy or abundant IL-21 with little IL-4. Indeed, both TLR7 and IL-21 deficiencies ameliorate disease in humoral autoimmunity models (206-209), and poor IL-4 production has been observed in  $T_{FH}$  from aged mice (210). Thus, understanding this interplay among IL-4, IL-21, and IFNy might better define the etiology of humoral autoimmunity where ABC-like cells are observed (138, 187, 211, 212).

While it is clear that IFN $\gamma$  and IL-21 differentially induce CD11c expression (**Figure 2**), the functional consequences of expressing this integrin remain elusive. Importantly, the restriction of CD11c expression to B<sub>MEM</sub> cells is consistent with prior B<sub>MEM</sub> subsetting studies in human tonsils and may thus define a tissue-homing population (185). While CD11c expression *per se* does not define tissue residency, future studies should assess whether altered integrin

and chemokine expression occurs on  $B_{MEM}$  cells generated in skewed cytokine milieus. Indeed,  $T_{FH}$  cells have been reported to produce every individual and combinatorial permutation of IL-4, IL-21, and IFN $\gamma$  (140, 205). Thus, since a normal humoral immune response generates various pools of cytokine-skewed  $T_{FH}$  cells, they consequently drive a diverse array of B cell effectors. This assortment of effectors may be beneficial to host protection because adopting a singular strategy of immunity may be deleterious for the organism.

Finally, the most prominent B cell role, Ig production, is significantly affected in our infection models. In accord with previous reports, we observe that B cell intrinsic TBET expression during PR8 infection correlates with IgG<sub>2c</sub> production in WT mice (111). However, even though *lfng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice did not express TBET in B cells (Figures 7B and 8B), they produced as much PR8-reactive IgG<sub>2c</sub> antibody as *II4<sup>-/-</sup>Ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* (**Figure 9C**) where prominent T-BET expression was observed. Thus, a substantial amount of  $IgG_{2c}$  is both IFNy and T-BET independent. These observations are consistent with a prior report showing that TI-generated IgG<sub>2c</sub> is T-BET-dependent whereas IgG<sub>2c</sub> from TD responses is not (133). If the remaining  $IgG_{2c}$  PR8-reactive antibodies detected in *Ifng*<sup>-/-</sup> or *II4*<sup>-/-</sup> Ifng<sup>-/-</sup> mice are solely a result of a TD response, then the B<sub>MEM</sub> clones and PR8 anti-sera in these mice should be of higher affinity than their WT counterparts. Although the HP infection experiments match current dogma regarding TBET expression and IgG<sub>2c</sub> production (Figure 12C), these data also suggest that IL-21 can drive IgG<sub>2c</sub> production independently of IFNy. Again, how IFNy and IL-21 drive different but related cell fates remains unclear but could reflect an

extrafollicular versus GC response. Overall, these studies expose a thus far poorly understood pathway to  $IgG_{2c}$  switching involving IL-21 and some transcriptional switch factor. Given role of  $IgG_{2c}$  autoinflammatory diseases (213), exploring how this pathway leads to  $IgG_{2c}$  production could underscore the etiology of some humoral autoimmune diseases.

Together, our *in vitro* and *in vivo* observations prompt a model in which the relative availability of IL-4, IL-21, and IFNγ govern the likelihood of establishing B<sub>MEM</sub> cells expressing T-BET and CD11c. Further, they suggest that abundant IFNγ will drive a T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>-</sup> B cell fate regardless of IL-4 or IL-21 levels, but that in the absence of IFNγ, the T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> fate will be reciprocally regulated by IL-21 versus IL-4 (**Figure 14**). Further, although both IFNγ and IL-21 induce TBET expression, IFNγ blunts IL-21-driven CD11c expression (**Figure 13C**). This reinforces the notion that IFNγ-induced TBET is refractory to the concomitant IL-4 or IL-21 signals, and therefore it is the dominant of the three cytokines for TBET induction.



Figure 14. Model of IL-4, IL-21, and IFNγ interplay on T-BET expression.

IL-21 uniquely induces both T-BET and CD11c expression. IL-4 blocks the capacity of IL-21 to drive TBET and CD11c expression but enhances the ability of IFNγ to induce T-BET. IFNγ either actively blocks IL-21-induced CD11c expression or dominantly drives T-BET expression alone.

### **3. ABCs IN PROTECTIVE AND AUTOREACTIVE RESPONSES**

### **3.1 INTRODUCTION**

### 3.1.1 Dysregulation of the immune system associates with age

Advancing age is accompanied by far-reaching shifts in immune system development and function, including reduced lymphopoiesis (214-217), blunted primary and recall immune responses (218-233), the appearance of autoantibodies (234-239), and increased frequencies of autoimmune and autoinflammatory conditions (240). Accordingly, shifts in the generation and relative representation of lymphocyte subsets have been scrutinized as potential mechanisms underlying these features. Within the T lymphocyte lineage, thymic involution, reduced T lineage specification, and an inversion in the ratio of naïve to memory T lymphocytes are established age-associated phenomena (215, 228, 236, 241-247), and more recent studies have linked these shifts to compromised vaccine and disease responses. Within the B lineage, similar reductions in early lineage specification and shifts in the sizes and kinetics of developing B cell pools have also been appreciated for some time (214, 216, 217, 222, 224, 226, 248-253). However, despite numerous clues indicating changes in the functional attributes of peripheral B cells with age (222, 223, 235, 252, 254-257), whether shifts in pre-immune and antigen experienced B cell subsets occur with age, as well as the functional consequences of such changes, remained unclear until recently. Within this context, a B cell subset with unique functional properties was identified and characterized.

#### 3.1.2 ABCs emerge with age and have a unique surface marker phenotype

ABCs were first described in simultaneous reports from Hao et al and Rubstov et al, using distinct but largely complimentary phenotypic and functional criteria (194, 258) (reviewed in (259)). As their name implies, the ABC pool emerges in mid-life and continuously enlarges with advancing age. Thus, in healthy adult mice, ABCs are either absent or comprise a negligible proportion of peripheral B cells until about 12 months of age, then increase steadily in both proportion and number (194, 258-260). ABCs are observed in multiple inbred strains and F1 combinations, suggesting they are a common feature of the aging B cell pool (194). Although the tempo with which ABCs emerge varies considerably among individuals, they generally comprise 30-40% of mature recirculating B cells by 24-30 months of age (260). Moreover, ABCs tend to appear sooner and achieve higher numbers and representation in females (258), although the exact basis for this sex-associated dichotomy is unclear [discussed in (259)].

ABCs display a distinct surface phenotype in mice (**Table I**). They are mature B cells, as evidenced by their lack of CD93. Further, while positive for both B220 and CD19, they lack the canonical FO, MZ or B1 B cell markers CD23, CD21 and CD43, respectively. Detailed phenotypic, functional, and transcriptional analyses confirmed that ABCs differ from all previously defined B cell subsets. Whereas Hao et al (194) used this combination of features to define the population, Rubtsov et al (258) employed CD11c as a singularly characteristic marker. Thus, while the B cell population circumscribed by the

criteria in Hao et al includes the CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells of Rubtsov et al, it also captures additional cells that lack CD11c. This distinction may underlie some apparent differences in functional attributes.

Anatomically, ABCs are found in the blood, spleen, and BM, but are rarely observed in lymph nodes (194). While these qualities suggest they are circulating cells, multiple observations suggest their trafficking and homing patters differ from FO B cells and other recirculating subsets. For example, expression of the follicle homing factor CXCR5 is reduced on ABCs (194), and more recent studies reveal they are enriched at the splenic T:B border, presumably reflecting migratory differences imparted by increased CCR7 expression (261). Based on these observations, it is tempting to speculate that ABCs may be involved in the age-associated alterations in MZ composition previously reported by Birjandi et al (262). Further, based upon their absence from the lymphatics, coupled with the lack of formal studies probing their circulatory properties, it remains possible that some ABCs are sessile tissue resident cells. In this regard, further phenotypic analysis of ABCs may reveal their origins and function in health and disease.

## 3.1.3 ABC activation and survival requirements differ from those of other B cell subsets

In addition to their distinct phenotype and localization characteristics, ABCs display unique activation requisites and functional attributes. Initial *in vitro* studies revealed that, unlike TR, FO, or MZ B cells, ABCs fail to proliferate after BCR cross-linking, but nonetheless survive (194). In contrast, they exhibit robust proliferative responses to stimulation via TLR9 or TLR7, and despite being refractory to BCR cross-linking alone, concomitant BCR engagement potentiates their proliferative responses to TLR ligands (194, 258). Consistent with these observations, both TLR9 and TLR7 expression are elevated in ABCs (194). There is probably heterogeneity among ABCs in terms of relative responsiveness to TLR7 versus TLR9 ligation; cells included by the surface marker criteria of Hao et al show more extensive proliferation to TLR9 than to TL7 agonists, whereas cells defined by the criteria of Rubtsov et al display the reverse. Whether this reflects alternative routes of generation, different stages of the ABC differentiation pathway, or other distinctions remains unclear.

ABCs are unusual – and again unlike other B cell subsets - because they express both the BR3 and TACI receptors for BLyS but are independent of BLyS for their survival (194). Thus, BLyS neutralization *in vivo* spares ABCs, despite eliminating all FO and MZ B cells. Because ABCs display the same spectrum of BLyS receptors as FO and MZ B cells, they bind and sequester BLyS equivalently and can act as super-competitors for this limiting cytokine, inasmuch as they consume BLyS but do not need it to survive. Accordingly, as ABCs are generated and accumulate, they capture a progressively larger proportion of the BLyS-dependent niche at the expense of the FO compartment. Moreover, this capacity for BLyS-independent survival capacity is similar to what has been reported for B<sub>mem</sub> cells, although currently defined B<sub>mem</sub> pools do not express BR3 (263). Given the recent advances in delineating murine B<sub>mem</sub> cell subsets (121, 264), exploring potential heterogeneity within the ABC pool may elucidate how ABCs fit into the broader immunological context.
## 3.1.4 ABCs exhibit a characteristic set of effector attributes

The unique functional attributes of ABCs extend to virtually all B cell effector mechanisms, including antigen presentation, cytokine secretion, kinetics of PC differentiation, and isotype switching preferences. Several studies have established that ABCs are effective APCs. Initial findings in vitro indicated that ABCs can serve as APCs, in accord with their comparatively high levels of MHC II, CD80, and CD86 (194). Further, ABC-mediated antigen presentation in vitro tends to skew naïve CD4 T cells to a  $T_H 17$  fate, although this was not exclusive of other CD4 T cell cytokine profiles (194). More recent findings indicate that ABCs are also potent APCs in vivo (261). When activated by either TLR7 or TLR9 agonists, ABCs secrete a variety of cytokines, including IFNy, IL-4, IL-6, and IL-10. Finally, upon activation, ABCs rapidly differentiate to antibody secreting PCs and tend towards  $IgG_{2a/c}$  class switching (137, 261), despite their broad surface IgM and IgD expression (194). Given their propensity to become antibody-secreting cells, ABCs may constitute a population of slowly accumulating B<sub>mem</sub> cells generated in response to nucleic acid containing antigens. While it is unclear whether isotype or costimulatory molecule expression governs  $B_{mem}$  cell responses (119-121), most ABCs are unswitched and remain uncharacterized for PD-L2 and CD73 expression. Lastly, ABCs also express CD95 and CD138 based on microarray analysis (258), which are markers associated with the GC and PC cell fates respectively (see Table 1). Further characterization should distinguish the possibility of distinct ABC subsets or an atypical state of activation. In toto, ABCs effector mechanisms seem to be

largely normal, although skewed toward a type-I response.

## 3.1.5 ABCs are generated in response to intracellular infections

Early adoptive transfer studies revealed that FO B cells give rise to ABCs. In these studies, CFSE labeled FO B cells were transferred to congenic hosts and harvested 30 days afterwards, revealing that transferred cells which had extensively proliferated had acquired the ABC phenotype (194). This observation linked the generation of ABCs with extensive division, although the stimulating conditions driving the phenotype were unclear, and further functional characterization of these recently formed ABCs was hampered by the small cell numbers recovered in such experiments. Nonetheless, these findings suggest that ABCs are likely an antigen-experienced pool. Since B cell responses against viruses result in a protective, T-BET dependent IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> response, it was hypothesized that a viral infection would drive T-BET in vivo. Indeed, mice infected with gamma herpes virus, vaccinia, or LCMV all produced T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B cells (137). Of the TLR agonists used, TLR7 stimulation is the most effective at driving T-BET expression in the context of BCR and IFNy stimulation. Lastly, B cell intrinsic T-BET expression played a non-redundant role in controlling viral and anti-viral IgG<sub>2a/c</sub> production. These findings have been extended to human studies where a subset of B cells in HIV-viremic individuals also display an ABC surface phenotype but are yet to be fully characterized for T-BET and CD11c (265). In toto, these data support the notion that ABCs are indeed antigen experience cells arising from immune responses characterized by nucleic acid containing antigens in the context of proinflammatory cytokines.

Given the similar nature of the antigens, nucleic acid containing self-antigens, like viruses, can drive a similar ABC-like program.

# 3.1.6 ABCs are associated with humoral autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases

Beyond their initial identification in aged mice, ABCs prematurely accumulate in a variety of autoimmune prone mouse strains. A considerable ABC population accumulates as early as 6 months of age in mice lacking the tyrosine kinase, Mer, as well as in NZB/WF1 animals (258). In accord with these findings, ABCs constitute a higher proportion of total B cells in female RA patients (258). Moreover, before their detailed characterization in mice, ABC-like cells were also observed in common variable immunodeficient (212) and Sjögren's syndrome patients (187). It is unclear if the CD21-/lo cells in these individuals constitute a bona fide ABC population, since they were not characterized for CD11c, T-BET, or other subsequently appreciated ABC makers. In contrast, a more recent investigation of an early-onset Evan's syndrome patient exhibited premature senescence and an increased number of  $CD11c^{+}TBET^{+}$  B cells that correlated with antinuclear antibodies (211). Interestingly, duplicating the tripeptidyl peptidase II (TPP2) frame shift mutation in mice recapitulated both the disease and ABC phenotype. Thus, ABCs are implicated in both human autoimmune syndromes and in murine models of humoral autoimmunity. These finding prompt the question of how the dichotomous roles of ABCs – providing beneficial and appropriate effector functions during intracellular infection but also being associated with humoral

autoimmunity – can be mechanistically reconciled.

# 3.1.7 Summary of findings

In light of IL-21's novel TBET-inducing capacity described in the previous chapter, we sought to investigate whether the proposed model of cytokine interplay on TBET<sup>+</sup> B cell fates also holds true for ABC phenotype, formation, and presumed function. Thus, we hypothesized that ABCs would adopt a cell surface phenotype most compatible with  $B_{MEM}$  cells and accumulate into this antigen-experienced pool. Further, adoptive transfer studies suggest that ABC formation depends on MHCII antigen presentation and CD40 costimulation. Consistent with these results, we find that ABCs are somatically mutated. Although causal relationships between ABC formation and autoantibody production have not been established, we also observe that aged mice have increased serum  $IgG_{2c}$ , and anti-dsDNA antibodies are primarily  $IgG_{2c}$ . Finally,  $T_{FH}$  cells in aged mice produce less IL-4, comparable IL-21, and more IFN $\gamma$  than adult counterparts in response to alum immunization. The implications of this skewed  $T_{FH}$  cytokine profile and resulting effects on B cells are discussed.

# 3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Mice

*I-a<sup>b-/-</sup>* C57BL/6 mice were gift from Dr. Terri Laufer; *Cd40<sup>-/-</sup>* spleens were shipped overnight from Dr. Mandy Ford's mouse colony; CD45.1 and CD45.2 C57BL/6, and NZBWF1/J mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory. *Aid<sup>-/-</sup>* mice were bred at the National Institute on Aging (NIA). All animal protocols were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees at the NIA and the University of Pennsylvania.

## Adoptive transfers

CD23<sup>+</sup> splenic B cells were enriched by positive selection using MACS bead system (Miltenyi Biotec), subsequently labeled with CFSE (eBioscience) according to manufacturer's instructions, and 8 million were adoptively transferred into congenic hosts.

### Flow cytometry

The same FACS reagents listed in chapter 2 were used with the following additions: CD45.1 (A20, BL), CD45.2 (104, BL), Fas/CD95 (clone Jo2).

#### Mutational Analyses

The following gating strategies were used for sorting: FO B cells, CD93 (AA4.1)<sup>-</sup> CD43<sup>-</sup> B220<sup>+</sup> CD21/35<sup>+</sup> CD23<sup>+</sup>; MZ B cells as CD93 (AA4.1)<sup>-</sup> CD43<sup>-</sup> B220<sup>+</sup> CD21/35<sup>+</sup> CD23<sup>Lo</sup>; and ABCs as CD93 (AA4.1)<sup>-</sup> CD43<sup>-</sup> B220<sup>+</sup> CD21/35<sup>-</sup> CD23<sup>-</sup>. Cells were lysed in Trizol and RNA was prepared. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Immunoglobulin heavy (IgH) chain variable, diverse, and joining (VDJ) genes, and kappa light (Ig<sub>K</sub>) chain VJ

genes were amplified using Taq polymerase (TaKaRa, Clontech) with 5' degenerate primers specific to the framework 1 region of V genes and 3' primers located in the IgM or Igκ constant regions as previously described. PCR products were then cloned into the Strataclone TA cloning vector (Agilent Technologies) and sequenced. Only sequences with unique VDJ or VJ joins were counted. The sequences were blasted against the mouse Ig loci using IgBLAST from NCBI to identify V, D, and J gene segment usage and mutations. Lisa M. Russell Knode in the Gearhart lab at NIA performed these experiments.

## Serum antibody titers and NP-specific ELISA

Same procedure as explained in chapter 2 except that ELISA plates were coated with 10 µg/ml NP<sub>4</sub>-BSA, NP<sub>33</sub>-BSA (Biosearch Technologies).

# Quantitative PCR analysis

Same procedure as explained in chapter 2.

## Statistics

Student's t-test was used to generate all P-values, \* P <0.05, \*\* P <0.01, \*\*\* P <0.001, \*\*\*\* P <0.0001. All error bars are S.E.M. based on biological replicates.

### 3.3 RESULTS

# 3.3.1 ABCs are phenotypically heterogeneous and accumulate into $B_{MEM}$ cell pools

Others and we previously established that ABCs are phenotypically distinct from other mature subsets and hypothesized that ABCs are a pool of antigen-experience, B<sub>MEM</sub> cells (194, 259, 261). However, ABCs were reported to express CD95, which suggests a GC B cell phenotype. To interrogate this point, we performed a phenotypic analysis on ABCs using a variety of B cell activation surface markers. We find that ABCs bifurcate for CD95 (Fas) expression, stain highly for CD38, but are negative for PNA (similar results obtained with GL7) (Figure 15A). As a control, we used mature naïve B cells (FO and MZ) and GC B cells (background GCs from a young mouse). Thus, we confirmed prior reports of elevated CD95 expression, but the lack of PNA and GL7 suggests that ABCs are not bona fide GC B cells. Further, CD38 expression is consistent with a  $B_{MEM}$  cell phenotype since mature B and  $B_{MEM}$ cells express CD38 while GC B cells and PCs do not (266, 267). Overall, these data not only reinforce the idea that ABCs are phenotypically heterogenous, but also that they likely inhabit B<sub>MEM</sub> cell pools.

In defining ABCs, other groups employed different gating strategies that included T-BET and CD11c. To assess how this phenotypic strategy differs from our own, we added these criteria to our FACS parameters. We find that our gating strategy encompasses T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs as described by Rubtsov et al (258). Furthermore, T-BET and CD11c expression defines 3 distinct groups of

ABCs: T-BET<sup>-</sup>CD11c<sup>-</sup>, T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11<sup>-</sup>, and T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> (**Figure 15B**, **left**). Thus, we asked whether T-BET and CD11c expression correlates with CD95, CD38, or IgM. The data show that all T-BET<sup>+</sup> ABC subsets express high levels of CD95 and CD38 regardless of CD11c levels, whereas the T-BET<sup>-</sup> subset is low to negative for both of these markers. Importantly, CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs had the lowest surface IgM expression, which is indicative of class switching (**Figure 15B**, **far right**). Overall, these data confirm that ABCs are phenotypically diverse with respect to isotype and canonical B cell activation markers. Further, the broad expression of surface IgM on ABCs previously reported can be attributed to distinct B<sub>MEM</sub> cell subsets.

A hallmark of immunoscenece is the progressive accumulation of memory T cells with age (268); however whether  $B_{MEM}$  cells increase with age has not been interrogated. If ABCs are  $B_{MEM}$  cells that slowly accumulate over the lifetime of the host, we reasoned that the switched T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs would be a significant part of the  $B_{MEM}$  cell pool in aged mice. Using the phenotypic criteria described above, we observed an increased frequency of T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> into the switched, splenic  $B_{MEM}$  cell compartment using the gating strategy in **Figure 4A** (**Figure 15C**). Importantly, while the total number of  $B_{MEM}$  cells increases by 5-fold, we observed a 13- and 22-fold increase of T-BET<sup>+</sup> and -BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup>, respectively (**Figure 15 C & D**). Thus, these switched, T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs phenotypically resemble the IL-21 driven  $B_{MEM}$  cells described in the previous chapter.



Figure 15. ABCs phenotypically resemble and accumulate into  $B_{\text{MEM}}$  cell pools. (A) Representative FACS plots for CD95, PNA, and CD38 stains on GC B, FO B, MZ B, and ABCs from 24 month old mice. GC B cells defined as GL7<sup>+</sup>IgM<sup>-</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup>B220<sup>+</sup>CD138<sup>-</sup>IgD<sup>-</sup>DUMP<sup>-</sup>; FO В cells defined as CD23+CD21/3510CD93-CD43-B220+CD19+; ΜZ В cells defined as CD23<sup>10</sup>CD21/35<sup>+</sup>CD93<sup>-</sup>CD43<sup>-</sup>B220<sup>+</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup>; ABCs (in red) defined as CD23<sup>Io</sup>CD21/35<sup>Io</sup>CD93<sup>-</sup>CD43<sup>-</sup>B220<sup>+</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup>. (B) FO B cells and ABCs from (A) were further probed for T-BET and CD11c. n = 3 independent experiments with >3 mice per group. (C) Representative T-BET and CD11c staining on  $B_{MEM}$  cells from adult and aged mice gated as in Figure 4C. (D) Enumeration of populations in (C) across 3 independent experiments with 12, 10, and 11 mice aged <6, 12-21, and 22-30 months, respecively.

## 3.3.2 ABC formation requires MHCII and CD40 expression

We previously showed that ABC-like cells can arise from FO B cells following extensive in vivo expansion in adoptive hosts 30 days after transfer (194). In these experiments, the extensively divided cells downregulated CD23 and CD21/35 and thus resembled ABCs (Figure 15A). Reasoning that this is a proxy for ABC formation, we next tested whether surrogates of cognate help are critical for ABC formation. Thus, we transferred MHC class II ( $I-a^{b-/-}$ ). Cd40<sup>-/-</sup>, or WT B cells into WT congenic recipients. A month later, we observe a population of donor-derived (CD45.2) B cells (Figure 16A). Consistent with prior results, CFSE<sup>hi</sup> cells represent the vast majority of transferred cells, whereas some B cells had extensively divided (CFSE<sup>10</sup>) and are low for CD23 (Figure 16B, WT). Moreover, these CFSE<sup>lo</sup> B cells adopt an ABC phenotype, including expression of T-BET and CD11c (Figure 16C, left panel). In contrast, both MHCII and CD40 deficient B cells yielded fewer extensively divided cells (Figure 16B), and among these there was negligible TBET expression (Figure 16C). These results are consistent with the view that ABCs arise from B cells involved in TD immune responses because both antigen-presenting capacity and CD40 costimulation are required.

# 3.3.3 ABCs have undergone SHM and correlate with increased IgG<sub>2c</sub> autoantibodies

The phenotypic similarity to IL-21 driven cell fates, accumulation into  $B_{MEM}$  cell pools, and requirement of cognate interactions to form suggests that ABCs originate from GC reactions. If so, then the V genes of ABCs should contain



**Figure 16. Interactions with** *I-a<sup>b</sup>* and *Cd40* drive the accumulation of ABCs. (A) CD23<sup>+</sup> FO B cells from C57BL/6 mice (CD45.2) were labeled using CFSE and adoptively transferred into young congenic CD45.1 hosts. Recipient mice were analyzed one month later. Shown is a representative plot of the gating strategy of live, singlets of the recipients (CD45.1) and donors (CD45.2), and (B) representative dot plots showing the dilution of CFSE in wild type (n=19), *I-a<sup>b-/-</sup>* (n=11), *Cd40<sup>-/-</sup>* (n=17) mice across 3 independent experiments. CFSE<sup>Io</sup> defined as more than 4 divisions. (C) Histograms show staining for T-BET in indicated populations.

increased frequencies of mutations compared to other subsets. In collaboration with the Gearhart lab, we counted the number of mutations in VDJ and VJ<sub>k</sub> exons amplified from sorted FO, MZ, and ABC B cell subsets, and compared the V, D, and J sequences to their germline counterparts to identify mutations. Sequences from ABCs had a significant, four-fold increase in mutations compared to FO cells and a significant two-fold increase compared to MZ cells (**Figure 17A**). As a control, V exons were sequenced from FO and MZ cells from young *Aid*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, which do not undergo hypermutation. The mutation frequency was approximately  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  mutations per bp for *Aid*<sup>-/-</sup> cells, which represents the background frequency of errors produced during cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification. Thus, ABCs have undergone AID-mediated somatic mutation.

In addition to SHM, AID also mediates CSR. Since B cell intrinsic T-BET expression fosters switching to  $IgG_{2c}$ , we reasoned that the accumulation of T-BET<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells would correlate with increased serum  $IgG_{2c}$  in aged mice. Indeed, analysis of serum IgG subtypes reveals that serum  $IgG_1$  concentrations remain unchanged between young and aged mice; however,  $IgG_{2c}$  is nearly 10-fold higher in aged mice (**Figure 17B**). Finally, the emergence of increased serum anti-DNA autoantibodies titers is another well-documented hallmark of immunosenescence (234). Thus, we reasoned these autoantibodies in aged mice would also be of the  $IgG_{2c}$  isotype. Using the autoimmune prone mouse, NZ(BxW)F1 as a positive control, we observe that most of the dsDNA reactive antibodies are of the  $IgG_{2c}$  rather than  $IgG_1$  isotype in aged mice, whereas young mice served as negative controls for both isotypes (**Figure 17C**). Overall, these



**Figure 17. ABCs undergo SHM and correlate with**  $IgG_{2c}$  **autoantibody.** (A) Only unique sequences were analyzed for mutations in the V, D, and J gene segments. Mean mutation frequencies (mutations/nucleotides) in the VDJ and VJk exons of each B cell subset were calculated. The dotted line indicates the background mutation frequency in *Aid*<sup>-/-</sup> FO and MZ B cells from young mice. \* = P < 0.0001, Chi-squared test. (B) Total serum IgG<sub>1</sub> and IgG<sub>2c</sub> in 4 (n=11) and 24 (n=15) month old mice. (C) dsDNA reactive IgG<sub>1</sub> and IgG<sub>2c</sub> antibody titers in aged (n=6), adult (n=5), and NZ(BxW)F1 (n=1) mice.

observations are consistent with the idea that ABCs are likely a population of somatically mutated, class-switched,  $B_{MEM}$  cells arising from interactions with IL-21 and IFN $\gamma$  producing  $T_{FH}$  cells. However, there is no clear causal connection between the increase of both T-BET<sup>+</sup> ABCs and IgG<sub>2c</sub> autoantibodies.

# 3.3.4 $T_{FH}$ cells produce less IL4 and more IFN $\gamma$ in aged mice

As discussed previously, T<sub>FH</sub> cells instruct antibody isotype determination via cytokine production. Therefore, given the skewing towards IgG<sub>2c</sub> observed in aged mice, we asked whether these T<sub>FH</sub> cells are skewed in their cytokine production profile. We reasoned that  $T_{FH}$  cells in aged mice would produce less IL4 or more IL21 and/or IFNy. Therefore, we immunized mice with NP-OVA precipitated in aluminum salts, which drive a robust IgG<sub>1</sub> antibody response (although other isotypes are also produced) against the immunizing agent via the production of IL-4 from T<sub>FH</sub> cells. Using the gating strategy shown in Figure 8A **& 11A**, we sorted T<sub>FH</sub> cells from immunized mice. Consistent with prior aging reports, we observed a substantial decrease of naïve, CD62L<sup>+</sup> CD4 T cells in aged mice compared to adults (Figure 18A). Next, we probed for IL-21, IL-4, and IFN $\gamma$  mRNA from sorted T<sub>FH</sub> cells. The data show that while IL-21 mRNA levels remained similar between aged and adult mice, T<sub>FH</sub> cells in aged mice produced far fewer IL-4 and substantially more IFNγ transcripts (Figure 18B). These data suggest that the resulting antibody response would be skewed towards  $IgG_{2c}$  rather than  $IgG_1$  in aged mice; however, this prediction does not hold true. Instead, consistent with many prior reports, the humoral immune response in aged mice is severely blunted. Using high (NP<sub>25</sub>, Figure 18C) and

low (NP<sub>4</sub>, **Figure 18D**) substitution ratios of hapten-carrier conjugates, we can detect low and high affinity antibodies, respectively. Thus, while adult mice produced affinity matured NP-reactive antibodies of both isotypes, aged mice produced far fewer antibodies of all affinities and isotypes. Thus, even though  $T_{FH}$  cells produce equivalent amounts of IL-21 between young and aged mice, reduced IL-4 production is not sufficient to drive  $IgG_{2c}$  in aged mice as observed in *II4<sup>-/-</sup>* mice and HP infection (**Figure 12C**).



**Figure 18. Aged T**<sub>FH</sub> **cells produce less IL-4 and more IFNy.** (**A**) FACS stained splenocytes from day 14 post NP-OVA immunized adult and aged mice. (**B**) Gene expression analysis ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) for *II21*, *II4*, and *Ifng* from sorted T<sub>FH</sub> cells from (**A**). Serum titers for (**C**) NP<sub>25</sub> (low affinity) and (**D**) NP<sub>4</sub> (high affinity) reactive IgG<sub>1</sub> or IgG<sub>2c</sub> antibodies from (**A**).. Figures are representative across 3 experiments with  $\geq$  3 mice per group.

### 3.4 DISCUSSION

Together these data suggest that ABCs constitute a pool of antigenexperienced, B<sub>MEM</sub> cells that tend to express TBET. Phenotypic analysis reveals that ABCs are heterogeneous and accumulate into B<sub>MEM</sub> cell compartments. Consistent with this idea, we used a proxy of ABC generation and determined that their formation is dependent on both antigen presentation via MHCII and costimulation through CD40. Moreover, the marked increase of somatic mutations in VDJ and VJ<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> exons further confirms that ABCs require T cell help for their formation and may originate from GC reactions. Furthermore, consistent with its role in class switching, T-BET expression in ABCs correlates with increased total and anti-dsDNA IgG<sub>2c</sub>. Finally, cytokine profiling of T<sub>FH</sub> cells in aged mice reveals that they lose the capacity to produce robust IL-4 but make substantial IFNy. However, the antigen-specific humoral response is significantly reduced and not skewed towards the IgG<sub>2c</sub> isotype as the T<sub>FH</sub> cytokine profile would suggest. Overall, these data suggest that ABCs constitute a pool of  $B_{MEM}$ cells and require IL-4-limited T cell help to form.

Phenotypic analysis of ABCs provides important clues about their presumed function and origin. Using well-defined markers associated with GC B and  $B_{MEM}$  cell fates (**Table I**), we find that ABCs express CD95, but not PNA or GL7 suggesting they are not GC B cells (**Figure 15A**). Moreover, high expression of CD38 is consistent with a  $B_{MEM}$  cell phenotype, and CD95 expression has been previously reported on  $B_{MEM}$  cells (269). Importantly, TBET<sup>+</sup> ABCs uniformly express CD95. While we speculate that CD95

expression may functionally sensitize ABCs to FasL-mediated cell death, many other survival factors may overcome this extrinsic apoptosis pathway (270). Furthermore, initial ABC phenotypic analysis revealed that they bear broad surface IgM expression (194). Dividing them further by T-BET and CD11c reveals that the TBET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> subset is mostly negative for IgM (**Figure 15B**). Although it is unclear whether this is due to a TD or TI response, the data indicate these ABCs have undergone CSR. Consistent with this, T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> ABCs accumulate into the switched B<sub>MEM</sub> compartment (**Figure 15C & 15D**). Overall, these phenotypic data support the notion that ABCs are a heterogeneous population of B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. However, the origins and necessary cellular interactions for ABC formation remain unclear.

When first described, ABCs were found to be sensitive to sub-lethal ionizing radiation and did not rebound from aged progenitor B cells in the BM (194). Moreover, ABC accumulation was proportional to a decrease in mature FO B cells. Thus, ABCs were thought to originate from mature B cell pools. Adoptive transfers of FO B cells into congenic hosts revealed that extensive division was sufficient to generate an ABC phenotype (i.e. CD23<sup>-</sup>CD21/35<sup>-</sup>). Positive staining for T-BET and CD11c further confirmed that these extensively divided B cells phenotypically resemble ABCs (**Figure 16C**). Importantly, extensive division and T-BET staining were largely abrogated when B cells could not present antigen or receive costimulation. In these cases, some cells did divide likely reflecting homeostatic expansion. Assuming that this assay is a proxy of ABC generation, these data indicate that cognate interactions between T

and B cells are required for ABC formation. In addition to the mutational analysis (**Figure 17A**), we further conclude that ABCs may originate from GC reactions. However, recent reports highlight an important role for the extrafollicular response in autoimmune etiology (271, 272). These responses also require IL-21 and MHCII expression on B cells for SHM and disease progression (273, 274). Therefore, since ABCs tend to accumulate more quickly in these autoimmune mice, both the extrafollicular and GC responses must be considered as sources of ABC generation. While the data presented here cannot distinguish between the two possibilities, we suggest that ABCs are a product of cognate T cell interactions with limited IL-4 and abundant IFNγ and IL-21.

A long-standing observation of age-related immune dysfunction is the emergence of autoantibodies (234). Although murine autoantibodies were reported to be lgG (237), subtype analysis was never performed. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the increase in total and anti-dsDNA lgG<sub>2c</sub> antibodies is due to T-BET<sup>+</sup> ABC accumulation (**Figure 17B & 17C**); however, currently these associations are purely correlative. While the tempo of ABC accumulation dramatically increases in autoimmune prone mice, *bone fide* ABCs in otherwise healthy aged mice are yet to be rigorously tested for overt self-reactivity. Nonetheless, if ABCs constitute a  $B_{MEM}$  cell pool of autoreactive specificities, then it would also be worthwhile to investigate whether they maintain autoantibody titers by feeding into the PC compartment. Moreover, the preferential accumulation of  $IgG_{2c}$  autoantibodies is important because the type of IgG generated has profound effects on subsequent antibody effector function.

Indeed, while antigen-binding capacity is crucial, the heavy chain isotype determines functionality via binding to Fc $\gamma$ Rs, which are expressed on a variety of myeloid cells and enhance effector functions such as ADCC, phagocytosis, and opsonization (14). Moreover, Fc $\gamma$ Rs can be inhibitory or activating depending on whether the cytoplasmic tail bears an ITIM or ITAM, respectively (275). Importantly, IgG<sub>2c</sub> binds to all 3 activating and 1 inhibitory Fc $\gamma$ Rs whereas IgG<sub>1</sub> only binds to one of each (276). Therefore, the result that most anti-dsDNA antibodies in aged mice are IgG<sub>2c</sub> (**Figure 17C**) suggests that myeloid cells receive increasingly proinflammatory signals when DNA-IgG<sub>2c</sub> complexes engage ITAM-bearing Fc $\gamma$ Rs receptors. Although the lack of standards makes absolute quantification impossible, whether the nearly 10-fold increase of total serum IgG<sub>2c</sub> in aged mice is significantly composed of autoreactive specificities is an important future consideration (**Figure 17B**).

Overall, these data indicate that ABCs likely represent a pool of  $B_{MEM}$  cells resulting from cognate interactions with IFNy or IL-21 producing T cells. Because these cytokines may originate from  $T_{FH}$  cells, we wanted to know whether  $T_{FH}$  cells in aged mice have a cytokine production profile that is permissive to the ABC phenotype. Indeed,  $T_{FH}$  cells from aged mice made less IL-4 and equivalent IL-21 to adults (**Figure 18B**), and thus we reasoned that the resulting NP-reactive antibodies would be  $IgG_{2c}$  instead of  $IgG_1$  as we had observed with HP infection in  $II4^{-/-}$  mice (**Figure 12C**). Unsurprisingly, the most consistent result was a diminished antibody response both in terms of quantity and affinity regardless of isotype (**Figures 18C & 18D**). While it is difficult to

dissect cause and effect, there exist a number of possibilities. We cannot conclude that aged  $T_{FH}$  cells are intrinsically incapable of producing IL-4, since improper priming in the aged microenvironment could also explain these data. Importantly, T<sub>FH</sub> cells require two independent antigen presentation events from both a DC and B cell to adopt full effector status (87). Thus, currently it is impossible to know whether the aged T cell itself, DC, or B cell explain the decrease in IL-4 and robust IFNy production. However, when used as APCs in vitro, ABCs have been shown to aberrantly skew T cells (194); therefore, it is tempting to speculate that ABCs may contribute to this shift in cytokine production in vivo. Lastly, aged  $T_{FH}$  cells made substantially more IFN $\gamma$  than adults. It is documented that anti-IFNy treatment reinvigorates the aged humoral response back to adult levels (277), thus we consider that excess IFNy in aged mice may hinder the GC response. Overall these data indicate that T<sub>FH</sub> cells in aged mice have a skewed cytokine profile, but that does not correlate with expected antibody isotype outcomes.

### **4. PERSPECTIVE**

ABCs impact a broad spectrum of immunological phenomena, including protective immunity to some pathogen classes, immunosenescence, and autoimmunity. In mice, ABCs constitute an accumulating population of B cells with unique surface phenotype, signaling properties, and effector status. While initially described in aged mice, phenotypically and transcriptionally identical cells are observed in humoral autoimmunity and immune responses against intracellular pathogens in both mice and humans. These observations lead us to propose that ABCs are a memory B cell population, and that the signals and interactions that yield ABCs in both normal and autoreactive humoral responses are comparable; these consist of concomitant signals from the BCR, nucleic acid sensing TLRs, and inflammatory cytokines. Thus, understanding how responses to endogenous versus exogenous nucleic acid-bearing ligands are regulated in order to maintain tolerance yet allow appropriate responses to pathogens should yield insights relevant to both protective and autospecific humoral immunity.

Inasmuch as ABCs were first detected by virtue of their progressively increasing representation with age, the question arises as to whether they contribute to age-associated alterations in immune activity and immune responsiveness. This seems likely based on their unique spectrum of activation requirements, antigen presenting capacity, and effector cytokine profile, and several recent findings support this notion.

First, recent studies suggest that ABCs may be instrumental in the decreased B lymphopoiesis associated with age. Ratliffe *et al* assessed the

proportion of ABCs in mice at different ages, and found that the degree of B lymphopoiesis depression was proportional to ABC representation with age (260). Moreover, they showed that ABCs are a potent source of  $TNF\alpha$ , and that this cytokine was in part responsible for inhibiting the survival of B lineage precursors *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These observations, coupled with the ability of ABCs to occupy homeostatic space to the detriment of preimmune FO B cells, are particularly intriguing in light of reports that B lymphopoiesis and robust humoral responses can be rejuvenated in aged individuals by B ablative regimes (278-282).

Second, recent studies have revealed aspects of  $T_{FH}$  generation that rely on cognate B cell interactions differ in young and aged mice. For example, several laboratories have shown that optimal IL-21 and IL-4 production among dendritic cell-primed  $T_{FH}$  cells only occurs following antigen presentation by B cells (87, 283, 284). However, under *in vivo* immunization conditions that normally foster this  $T_{FH}$  profile, aged individuals fail to generate  $T_{FH}$  cells with the characteristic upregulation of IL-4 (210). While causal links have not yet been forged, it is tempting to speculate that ABC antigen presentation may foster at  $T_{FH}$  cytokine profile that lacks IL-4. Alternatively,  $T_{FH}$  cells generated in aged individuals may intrinsically skew towards a cytokine profile lacking IL-4, which might be instrumental in driving ABC generation.

Third, in addition to the decline of B lymphopoiesis and functional T cell alternations, an increase in anti-dsDNA, anti-nuclear, and other autoantibodies occurs in both mice and humans (234, 237, 285, 286). Indeed, ABC

accumulation correlates with serum autoantibody levels, and ABCs isolated from aged mice and stimulated with a TLR7 agonist produced anti-chromatin (258). While not PCs, ABCs could constitute a pool of  $B_{MEM}$  cells that feed a population of autoantibody secreting PCs. Thus, while ABCs accumulation has a number of correlative associations with B cell development, altered T cell functionality, and autoantibody production, causality is yet to be established.

ABCs in aged, virally infected, and autoimmune-prone mice and humans share a common triumvirate of stimuli for their origin: BCR signals, nucleic acid sensor ligation, and a type-I inflammatory cytokine milieu. This commonality is likely the link that connects beneficial and pathogenic ABC formation. In particular, the role of endosomal nucleic acid sensors driven by BCR-acquired antigens may prove the most important feature. Over the past decade the contribution of nucleic acid sensing PRRs, particularly TLR7 and TLR9, to the etiology of autoimmune disease have come to forefront. Beginning with the seminal observation that BCR delivered nucleic acid ligands have distinct survival and proliferative potentials for B cells (287), it has become increasingly apparent that TLR7 and TLR9 both promote and regulate the progression of humoral autoimmunity. To test this idea, Shlomchik's group bred SLE-prone mice to either TLR7 or TLR9-deficient mice (208, 288). Because extra Tlr7 gene copies results in an SLE-like disease (289), it was expected that TLR7 deficiency would ameliorate disease in autoimmune-prone mice. While this hypothesis was indeed validated, TLR9 deficiency unexpectedly exacerbated disease (206, 208). This suggests not only a regulatory role for TLR9 but also differential disease

outcomes based on whether autoreactive B cells can sense RNA or DNA moieties. These ideas are further confounded by the fact that TLR9 deficiency in other models of autoimmunity ameliorates disease (290). Though the mechanism by which TLR9 limits while TLR7 promotes disease remains unclear, recent findings suggest a role for type-I interferon signaling for disease etiology (291). Whether ABC formation occurs in these models of autoimmunity and whether TLR7 and TLR9 modulate ABC accumulation is yet to be established. Thus, the signals from the BCR, nucleic acid sensing TLRs, and inflammatory cytokines – while exactly those involved in appropriate ABC-mediated immunity – are exactly those that, when dysregulated, foster humoral autoimmunity.

While the interplay of IL-4, IL-21, and IFN $\gamma$  dictate the assumption of T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B cells in the context of TLR engagement, the functional consequences of making such cells remains elusive. Our infection and aging studies reveal that ABCs phenotypically resemble B<sub>MEM</sub> cells; however, *bona fide* B<sub>MEM</sub> cell function is not shown. Adoptive transfers of T-BET<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells from PR8 primed *II4<sup>-/-</sup>ifng<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (**Figure 9A**) into naïve recipients followed by lethal PR8 challenge would begin to address whether these cells are sufficient to mediate protection. If the recipients survive, then ABC-like cells observed in viral infection do indeed constitute a functional pool of B<sub>MEM</sub> cells. Moreover, challenge with a heterologous virus may also elucidate a non-redundant role for these cells independent of high affinity antibody. Indeed, it is suggested that B<sub>MEM</sub> cells are less somatically mutated than their PC counterparts and are thus more promiscuous in their specificity. In this regard, the established PCs and

antibodies are blind to escape variants. Thus,  $B_{MEM}$  cells may be better suited to protect the host against variants of the original infectious agent.

T-BET expression may also provide further clues about ABC function. While T-BET's role in fostering CSR to  $IgG_{2a/c}$  is well-established in B cells, other studies (133) and our data show that a substantial amount of PR8-specific  $IgG_{2c}$ is independent of T-BET (Figure 9C). Thus, while B cell intrinsic T-BET expression is necessary to control viremia (137), T-BET may be orchestrating other key cellular programs independent of CSR to IgG<sub>2a/c</sub>. Indeed, T-BET has been shown to drive many cellular processes including proper chemotaxis, metabolism, maintenance, and homeostasis (111, 129, 131, 132, 292). Our transcriptionally analysis (Figure 3B) confirm T-BET's pleiotropic effects since many genes involved in trafficking and metabolism are affected by T-BET deficiency in addition to those necessary for SHM and CSR. Thus, does T-BET deficiency alter B<sub>MEM</sub> cell trafficking patterns or homeostatic maintenance? To answer this question, Cd19<sup>Cre/+</sup>Tbx21<sup>f/f</sup> mice infected with PR8 would reveal whether protective B<sub>MEM</sub> cells traffic properly to sites of infection without T-BET, i.e. the lung. Moreover, establishing a pool of T-BET<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cell pool via PR8 infection in hCD20-TamCre x  $Tbx21^{f/f}$  mice followed by tamoxifen-mediated deletion of T-BET would address their maintenance requirements. Furthermore, long-term studies could also assess whether T-BET<sup>+</sup> B<sub>MEM</sub> cells are necessary for the maintenance of PR8 specific PC numbers and antibody titers. In each experiment, adoptive transfers B<sub>MEM</sub> cells from PR8 immune mice where T-BET has been deleted into naïve recipients would be necessary to prove whether they

are protective independent of pre-established antibody titers. Thus, while our data establish a role for IL-21 in mediating this cell fate, more studies are necessary to determine functionality.

These observations and future directions lead us to propose the model depicted in **Figure 19**. In general, any nucleic acid containing antigen harbors the capacity to drive an ABC phenotype. However, growing evidence suggests that T cell derived proinflammatory cytokine signals, as well as cognate help, may be required to engender a long-lived ABC fate. In this regard, an activated B cell presenting viral peptides can efficiently receive all three signals required for recruitment into long-lived effector subsets. In contrast, autoreactive B cells binding nucleic acid containing self molecules, such as apoptotic debris or other sources, while receiving BCR and TLR signals will fail to receive further cues for survival, resulting in short-lived responses or death. However, inadvertent or aberrant recruitment of such short-lived autoreactive cells into long-lived effectors would thwart this peripheral tolerance system, and yield sustained autoantibody and auto inflammation driven by  $IgG_{2a/c}$  production. Multiple routes to such dysregulation could be envisioned, including overabundance of autoantigen or deficiencies in the molecules that mediate these regulatory circuits.



Figure 19. Both beneficial and pathogenic ABCs arise via a common triad of signals. Preimmune FO, MZ, and/or TR B cells bind, internalize and traffic antigens to endocytic compartments via the BCR. Pathogen degradation leads to viral nucleic acids engaging TLR7 or TLR9. Processing and loading of viral peptides onto MHCII molecules results in cognate CD4 T cell interactions and T cell derived inflammatory cytokine secretion. The combination of these signals leads to T-BET<sup>+</sup> memory and effectors, and to  $IgG_{2a/c}$  isotype switching. Normally, B cells that internalize nucleic acid containing self-antigens fail to survive because they lack the cognate T cell interactions and appropriate cytokine signals to further differentiate and survive. However, inadvertent or aberrant receipt of these signals can afford survival of these self-reactive cells and enable their recruitment into a long-lived memory and effector ABC pool.

#### 5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Medzhitov R, Janeway CA, Jr. Decoding the patterns of self and nonself by the innate immune system. Science.2002;296:298-300.

2. Matzinger P. The danger model: a renewed sense of self. Science.2002;296:301-305.

3. Schenten D, Medzhitov R. The control of adaptive immune responses by the innate immune system. Advances in immunology.2011;109:87-124.

4. Burnet FM. A modification of Jerne's theory of antibody production using the concept of clonal selection. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians.1976;26:119-121.

5. Ho IC, Glimcher LH. Transcription: tantalizing times for T cells. Cell.2002;109 Suppl:S109-120.

6. Murphy KM, Reiner SL. The lineage decisions of helper T cells. Nature reviews Immunology.2002;2:933-944.

7. Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Peng SL, Glimcher LH. Molecular mechanisms regulating Th1 immune responses. Annu Rev Immunol.2003;21:713-758.

8. Mosmann TR, Cherwinski H, Bond MW, Giedlin MA, Coffman RL. Two types of murine helper T cell clone. I. Definition according to profiles of lymphokine activities and secreted proteins. J Immunol.1986;136:2348-2357.

9. Constant S, Pfeiffer C, Woodard A, Pasqualini T, Bottomly K. Extent of T cell receptor ligation can determine the functional differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells. J Exp Med.1995;182:1591-1596.

10. van Panhuys N, Klauschen F, Germain RN. T-cell-receptor-dependent signal intensity dominantly controls CD4(+) T cell polarization In Vivo. Immunity.2014;41:63-74.

11. Smith-Garvin JE, Koretzky GA, Jordan MS. T cell activation. Annu Rev Immunol.2009;27:591-619.

12. Sharpe AH. Mechanisms of costimulation. Immunol Rev.2009;229:5-11.

13. Zhang Z, Goldschmidt T, Salter H. Possible allelic structure of IgG2a and IgG2c in mice. Mol Immunol.2012;50:169-171.

14. Nimmerjahn F, Ravetch JV. Fcgamma receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nature reviews Immunology.2008;8:34-47.

15. Ghosn EE, Sadate-Ngatchou P, Yang Y, Herzenberg LA. Distinct progenitors for B-1 and B-2 cells are present in adult mouse spleen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2007;108:2879-2884.

16. Berland R, Wortis HH. Origins and functions of B-1 cells with notes on the role of CD5. Annu Rev Immunol.2002;20:253-300.

17. Montecino-Rodriguez E, Dorshkind K. B-1 B cell development in the fetus and adult. Immunity.2012;36:13-21.

18. Hardy RR. B-1 B cell development. J Immunol.2006;177:2749-2754.

19. Haughton G, Arnold LW, Whitmore AC, Clarke SH. B-1 cells are made, not born. Immunol Today.1993;14:84-87; discussion 87-91.

20. Krop I, de Fougerolles AR, Hardy RR, Allison M, Schlissel MS, Fearon DT. Self-renewal of B-1 lymphocytes is dependent on CD19. Eur J Immunol.1996;26:238-242.

21. Hardy RR CC, Shinton SA, Kemp JD, Hayakawa K. Resolution and characterization of pro-B and pre-pro-B cell stages in normal mouse bone marrow. J Exp Med.1991 May 1;173:1213-1225.

22. Osmond DG. Proliferation kinetics and the lifespan of B cells in central and peripheral lymphoid organs. Curr Opin Immunol.1991;3:179-185.

23. Hardy RR, Hayakawa K. B cell development pathways. Annu Rev Immunol.2001;19:595-621.

24. Northrup DL, Allman D. Transcriptional regulation of early B cell development. Immunol Res.2008;42:106-117.

25. Urbanek P, Wang ZQ, Fetka I, Wagner EF, Busslinger M. Complete block of early B cell differentiation and altered patterning of the posterior midbrain in mice lacking Pax5/BSAP. Cell.1994;79:901-912.

26. Mikkola I, Heavey B, Horcher M, Busslinger M. Reversion of B cell commitment upon loss of Pax5 expression. Science.2002;297:110-113.

27. Nutt SL, Heavey B, Rolink AG, Busslinger M. Commitment to the Blymphoid lineage depends on the transcription factor Pax5. Nature.1999;401:556-562.

28. Kee BL, Murre C. Induction of early B cell factor (EBF) and multiple B lineage genes by the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor E12. J Exp Med.1998;188:699-713.

29. Roessler S, et al. Distinct promoters mediate the regulation of Ebf1 gene expression by interleukin-7 and Pax5. Mol Cell Biol.2007;27:579-594.

30. Seet CS, Brumbaugh RL, Kee BL. Early B cell factor promotes B lymphopoiesis with reduced interleukin 7 responsiveness in the absence of E2A. J Exp Med.2004;199:1689-1700.

31. Pongubala JM, et al. Transcription factor EBF restricts alternative lineage options and promotes B cell fate commitment independently of Pax5. Nat Immunol.2008;9:203-215.

32. Bain G, et al. E2A proteins are required for proper B cell development and initiation of immunoglobulin gene rearrangements. Cell.1994;79:885-892.

33. Zhuang Y, Soriano P, Weintraub H. The helix-loop-helix gene E2A is required for B cell formation. Cell.1994;79:875-884.

34. Banerjee A, Northrup D, Boukarabila H, Jacobsen SE, Allman D. Transcriptional repression of Gata3 is essential for early B cell commitment. Immunity.2013;38:930-942.

35. Allman DM, Ferguson SE, Cancro MP. Peripheral B cell maturation. I. Immature peripheral B cells in adults are heat-stable antigenhi and exhibit unique signaling characteristics. J Immunol.1992;149:2533-2540.

36. Allman DM, Ferguson SE, Lentz VM, Cancro MP. Peripheral B cell maturation. II. Heat-stable antigen(hi) splenic B cells are an immature developmental intermediate in the production of long-lived marrow-derived B cells. J Immunol.1993;151:4431-4444.

37. Carsetti R, Kohler G, Lamers MC. Transitional B cells are the target of negative selection in the B cell compartment. J Exp Med.1995;181:2129-2140.

38. Allman D, Lindsley RC, DeMuth W, Rudd K, Shinton SA, Hardy RR. Resolution of three nonproliferative immature splenic B cell subsets reveals multiple selection points during peripheral B cell maturation. J Immunol.2001;167:6834-6840.

39. Cancro MP. Peripheral B-cell maturation: the intersection of selection and homeostasis. Immunol Rev.2004;197:89-101.

40. Carsetti R, Rosado MM, Wardmann H. Peripheral development of B cells in mouse and man. Immunol Rev.2004;197:179-191.

41. Saito T, et al. Notch2 is preferentially expressed in mature B cells and indispensable for marginal zone B lineage development. Immunity.2003;18:675-685.

42. Hozumi K, et al. Delta-like 1 is necessary for the generation of marginal zone B cells but not T cells in vivo. Nat Immunol.2004;5:638-644.

43. Tan JB, et al. Lunatic and manic fringe cooperatively enhance marginal zone B cell precursor competition for delta-like 1 in splenic endothelial niches. Immunity.2009;30:254-263.

44. Pillai S, Cariappa A. The follicular versus marginal zone B lymphocyte cell fate decision. Nature reviews Immunology.2009;9:767-777.

45. Goodnow CC, et al. Altered immunoglobulin expression and functional silencing of self-reactive B lymphocytes in transgenic mice. Nature.1988;334:676-682.

46. Nemazee DA, Burki K. Clonal deletion of B lymphocytes in a transgenic mouse bearing anti-MHC class I antibody genes. Nature.1989;337:562-566.

47. Gu H, Tarlinton D, Muller W, Rajewsky K, Forster I. Most peripheral B cells in mice are ligand selected. J Exp Med.1991;173:1357-1371.

48. Hartley SB, Crosbie J, Brink R, Kantor AB, Basten A, Goodnow CC. Elimination from peripheral lymphoid tissues of self-reactive B lymphocytes recognizing membrane-bound antigens. Nature.1991;353:765-769.

49. Radic MZ, Erikson J, Litwin S, Weigert M. B lymphocytes may escape tolerance by revising their antigen receptors. J Exp Med.1993;177:1165-1173.

50. Fulcher DA, et al. The fate of self-reactive B cells depends primarily on the degree of antigen receptor engagement and availability of T cell help. J Exp Med.1996;183:2313-2328.

51. Lam KP, Kuhn R, Rajewsky K. In vivo ablation of surface immunoglobulin on mature B cells by inducible gene targeting results in rapid cell death. Cell.1997;90:1073-1083.

52. Nossal GJ, Pike BL. Evidence for the clonal abortion theory of Blymphocyte tolerance. J Exp Med.1975;141:904-917.

53. Levine MH, et al. A B-cell receptor-specific selection step governs immature to mature B cell differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2000;97:2743-2748.

54. Cancro MP, Kearney JF. B cell positive selection: road map to the primary repertoire? J Immunol.2004;173:15-19.

55. Yurasov S, et al. Defective B cell tolerance checkpoints in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Exp Med.2005;201:703-711.

56. Hondowicz BD, et al. The role of BLyS/BLyS receptors in anti-chromatin B cell regulation. Int Immunol.2007;19:465-475.

57. Wardemann H, Yurasov S, Schaefer A, Young JW, Meffre E, Nussenzweig MC. Predominant autoantibody production by early human B cell precursors. Science.2003;301:1374-1377.

58. Schneider P, et al. BAFF, a novel ligand of the tumor necrosis factor family, stimulates B cell growth. J Exp Med.1999;189:1747-1756.

59. Moore PA, et al. BLyS: member of the tumor necrosis factor family and B lymphocyte stimulator. Science.1999;285:260-263.

60. Cancro MP. The BLyS family of ligands and receptors: an archetype for niche-specific homeostatic regulation. Immunol Rev.2004;202:237-249.

61. Yan M, et al. Identification of a novel receptor for B lymphocyte stimulator that is mutated in a mouse strain with severe B cell deficiency. Curr Biol.2001;11:1547-1552.

62. Schiemann B, et al. An essential role for BAFF in the normal development of B cells through a BCMA-independent pathway. Science.2001;293:2111-2114.

63. Harless SM, et al. Competition for BLyS-mediated signaling through Bcmd/BR3 regulates peripheral B lymphocyte numbers. Curr Biol.2001;11:1986-1989.

64. Lentz VM, Hayes CE, Cancro MP. Bcmd decreases the life span of B-2 but not B-1 cells in A/WySnJ mice. J Immunol.1998;160:3743-3747.

65. Lentz VM, Cancro MP, Nashold FE, Hayes CE. Bcmd governs recruitment of new B cells into the stable peripheral B cell pool in the A/WySnJ mouse. J Immunol.1996;157:598-606.

66. Stadanlick JE, et al. Tonic B cell antigen receptor signals supply an NFkappaB substrate for prosurvival BLyS signaling. Nat Immunol.2008;9:1379-1387.

67. Hsu BL, Harless SM, Lindsley RC, Hilbert DM, Cancro MP. Cutting edge: BLyS enables survival of transitional and mature B cells through distinct mediators. J Immunol.2002;168:5993-5996.

68. Miller JP, Stadanlick JE, Cancro MP. Space, selection, and surveillance: setting boundaries with BLyS. J Immunol.2006;176:6405-6410.

69. Gorelik L, Gilbride K, Dobles M, Kalled SL, Zandman D, Scott ML. Normal B cell homeostasis requires B cell activation factor production by radiation-resistant cells. J Exp Med.2003;198:937-945.

70. Cremasco V, et al. B cell homeostasis and follicle confines are governed by fibroblastic reticular cells. Nat Immunol.2014;15:973-981.

71. Thien M, et al. Excess BAFF rescues self-reactive B cells from peripheral deletion and allows them to enter forbidden follicular and marginal zone niches. Immunity.2004;20:785-798.

72. Lesley R, et al. Reduced competitiveness of autoantigen-engaged B cells due to increased dependence on BAFF. Immunity.2004;20:441-453.

73. Bretscher P, Cohn M. A theory of self-nonself discrimination. Science.1970;169:1042-1049.
74. Martin F, Oliver AM, Kearney JF. Marginal zone and B1 B cells unite in the early response against T-independent blood-borne particulate antigens. Immunity.2001;14:617-629.

75. Tarlinton DM. Evolution in miniature: selection, survival and distribution of antigen reactive cells in the germinal centre. Immunol Cell Biol.2008;86:133-138.

76. Gourley TS, Wherry EJ, Masopust D, Ahmed R. Generation and maintenance of immunological memory. Semin Immunol.2004;16:323-333.

77. Eisen HN, Siskind GW. Variations in Affinities of Antibodies during the Immune Response. Biochemistry.1964;3:996-1008.

78. Obukhanych TV, Nussenzweig MC. T-independent type II immune responses generate memory B cells. J Exp Med.2006;203:305-310.

79. Inamine A, et al. Two waves of memory B-cell generation in the primary immune response. Int Immunol.2005;17:581-589.

80. Blink EJ, Light A, Kallies A, Nutt SL, Hodgkin PD, Tarlinton DM. Early appearance of germinal center-derived memory B cells and plasma cells in blood after primary immunization. J Exp Med.2005;201:545-554.

81. Bortnick A, Chernova I, Quinn WJ, 3rd, Mugnier M, Cancro MP, Allman D. Long-lived bone marrow plasma cells are induced early in response to T cell-independent or T cell-dependent antigens. J Immunol.2012;188:5389-5396.

82. Nieuwenhuis P, Opstelten D. Functional anatomy of germinal centers. Am J Anat.1984;170:421-435.

83. Jacob J, Kassir R, Kelsoe G. In situ studies of the primary immune response to (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl. I. The architecture and dynamics of responding cell populations. J Exp Med.1991;173:1165-1175.

84. Jacob J, Kelsoe G, Rajewsky K, Weiss U. Intraclonal generation of antibody mutants in germinal centres. Nature.1991;354:389-392.

85. Berek C, Berger A, Apel M. Maturation of the immune response in germinal centers. Cell.1991;67:1121-1129.

86. Takahashi Y, Dutta PR, Cerasoli DM, Kelsoe G. In situ studies of the primary immune response to (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl. V. Affinity maturation develops in two stages of clonal selection. J Exp Med.1998;187:885-895.

87. Goenka R, et al. Cutting edge: dendritic cell-restricted antigen presentation initiates the follicular helper T cell program but cannot complete ultimate effector differentiation. J Immunol.2011;187:1091-1095.

88. Victora GD, Nussenzweig MC. Germinal centers. Annu Rev Immunol.2012;30:429-457.

89. Allman D, et al. BCL-6 expression during B-cell activation. Blood.1996;87:5257-5268.

90. Dent AL, Shaffer AL, Yu X, Allman D, Staudt LM. Control of inflammation, cytokine expression, and germinal center formation by BCL-6. Science.1997;276:589-592.

91. Shaffer AL, Yu X, He Y, Boldrick J, Chan EP, Staudt LM. BCL-6 represses genes that function in lymphocyte differentiation, inflammation, and cell cycle control. Immunity.2000;13:199-212.

92. Basso K, Dalla-Favera R. BCL6: master regulator of the germinal center reaction and key oncogene in B cell lymphomagenesis. Adv Immunol.2010;105:193-210.

93. Muramatsu M, Kinoshita K, Fagarasan S, Yamada S, Shinkai Y, Honjo T. Class switch recombination and hypermutation require activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID), a potential RNA editing enzyme. Cell.2000;102:553-563.

94. Pavri R, et al. Activation-induced cytidine deaminase targets DNA at sites of RNA polymerase II stalling by interaction with Spt5. Cell.2010;143:122-133.

95. Pavri R, Nussenzweig MC. AID targeting in antibody diversity. Adv Immunol.2011;110:1-26.

96. Zotos D, Tarlinton DM. Determining germinal centre B cell fate. Trends Immunol.2012;33:281-288.

97. Cattoretti G, Buttner M, Shaknovich R, Kremmer E, Alobeid B, NiedobitekG. Nuclear and cytoplasmic AID in extrafollicular and germinal center B cells.Blood.2006;107:3967-3975.

98. Di Niro R, et al. Salmonella Infection Drives Promiscuous B Cell Activation Followed by Extrafollicular Affinity Maturation. Immunity.2015;43:120-131.

99. Gitlin AD, Shulman Z, Nussenzweig MC. Clonal selection in the germinal centre by regulated proliferation and hypermutation. Nature.2014;509:637-640.

100. MacLennan IC. Germinal centers. Annu Rev Immunol.1994;12:117-139.

101. Chtanova T, et al. T follicular helper cells express a distinctive transcriptional profile, reflecting their role as non-Th1/Th2 effector cells that provide help for B cells. J Immunol.2004;173:68-78.

102. Ansel KM, et al. A chemokine-driven positive feedback loop organizes lymphoid follicles. Nature.2000;406:309-314.

103. Goenka R, et al. Local BLyS production by T follicular cells mediates retention of high affinity B cells during affinity maturation. J Exp Med.2014;211:45-56.

104. Manz RA, Thiel A, Radbruch A. Lifetime of plasma cells in the bone marrow. Nature.1997;388:133-134.

105. Slifka MK, Antia R, Whitmire JK, Ahmed R. Humoral immunity due to longlived plasma cells. Immunity.1998;8:363-372.

106. Amanna IJ, Carlson NE, Slifka MK. Duration of humoral immunity to common viral and vaccine antigens. N Engl J Med.2007;357:1903-1915.

107. Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin KI, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Liao J, McHeyzer-Williams MG, Calame K. Blimp-1 is required for the formation of immunoglobulin secreting plasma cells and pre-plasma memory B cells. Immunity.2003;19:607-620.

108. Kallies A, et al. Initiation of plasma-cell differentiation is independent of the transcription factor Blimp-1. Immunity.2007;26:555-566.

109. Lin KI, Angelin-Duclos C, Kuo TC, Calame K. Blimp-1-dependent repression of Pax-5 is required for differentiation of B cells to immunoglobulin M-secreting plasma cells. Mol Cell Biol.2002;22:4771-4780.

110. Shaffer AL, et al. Blimp-1 orchestrates plasma cell differentiation by extinguishing the mature B cell gene expression program. Immunity.2002;17:51-62.

111. Wang NS, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Okitsu SL, Burris TP, Reiner SL, McHeyzer-Williams MG. Divergent transcriptional programming of class-specific B cell memory by T-bet and RORalpha. Nat Immunol.2012;13:604-611.

112. Chernova I, et al. Lasting antibody responses are mediated by a combination of newly formed and established bone marrow plasma cells drawn from clonally distinct precursors. J Immunol.2014;193:4971-4979.

113. Halliley JL, et al. Long-Lived Plasma Cells Are Contained within the CD19(-)CD38(hi)CD138(+) Subset in Human Bone Marrow. Immunity.2015;43:132-145.

114. Fritz JH, et al. Acquisition of a multifunctional IgA+ plasma cell phenotype in the gut. Nature.2012;481:199-203.

115. O'Connor BP, et al. BCMA is essential for the survival of long-lived bone marrow plasma cells. J Exp Med.2004;199:91-98.

116. Peperzak V, et al. Mcl-1 is essential for the survival of plasma cells. Nat Immunol.2013;14:290-297.

117. Radbruch A, et al. Competence and competition: the challenge of becoming a long-lived plasma cell. Nature reviews Immunology.2006;6:741-750.

118. Purtha WE, Tedder TF, Johnson S, Bhattacharya D, Diamond MS. Memory B cells, but not long-lived plasma cells, possess antigen specificities for viral escape mutants. J Exp Med.2011;208:2599-2606.

119. Dogan I, et al. Multiple layers of B cell memory with different effector functions. Nat Immunol.2009;10:1292-1299.

120. Pape KA, Taylor JJ, Maul RW, Gearhart PJ, Jenkins MK. Different B cell populations mediate early and late memory during an endogenous immune response. Science.2011;331:1203-1207.

121. Zuccarino-Catania GV, et al. CD80 and PD-L2 define functionally distinct memory B cell subsets that are independent of antibody isotype. Nat Immunol.2014;15:631-637.

122. Scholz JL, et al. BLyS inhibition eliminates primary B cells but leaves natural and acquired humoral immunity intact. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2008;105:15517-15522.

123. Maruyama M, Lam KP, Rajewsky K. Memory B-cell persistence is independent of persisting immunizing antigen. Nature.2000;407:636-642.

124. Vieira P, Rajewsky K. Persistence of memory B cells in mice deprived of T cell help. Int Immunol.1990;2:487-494.

125. Naiche LA, Harrelson Z, Kelly RG, Papaioannou VE. T-box genes in vertebrate development. Annual review of genetics.2005;39:219-239.

126. Szabo SJ, Kim ST, Costa GL, Zhang X, Fathman CG, Glimcher LH. A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. Cell.2000;100:655-669.

127. Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Stemmann C, Satoskar AR, Sleckman BP, Glimcher LH. Distinct effects of T-bet in TH1 lineage commitment and IFN-gamma production in CD4 and CD8 T cells. Science.2002;295:338-342.

128. Lugo-Villarino G, Ito S, Klinman DM, Glimcher LH. The adjuvant activity of CpG DNA requires T-bet expression in dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2005;102:13248-13253.

129. Harms Pritchard G, et al. Diverse roles for T-bet in the effector responses required for resistance to infection. J Immunol.2015;194:1131-1140.

130. Lazarevic V, Glimcher LH, Lord GM. T-bet: a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Nature reviews Immunology.2013;13:777-789.

131. Townsend MJ, et al. T-bet regulates the terminal maturation and homeostasis of NK and Valpha14i NKT cells. Immunity.2004;20:477-494.

132. Lord GM, et al. T-bet is required for optimal proinflammatory CD4+ T-cell trafficking. Blood.2005;106:3432-3439.

133. Gerth AJ, Lin L, Peng SL. T-bet regulates T-independent IgG2a class switching. Int Immunol.2003;15:937-944.

134. Liu N, Ohnishi N, Ni L, Akira S, Bacon KB. CpG directly induces T-bet expression and inhibits IgG1 and IgE switching in B cells. Nat Immunol.2003;4:687-693.

135. Xu W, Zhang JJ. Stat1-dependent synergistic activation of T-bet for IgG2a production during early stage of B cell activation. J Immunol.2005;175:7419-7424.

136. Peng SL, Szabo SJ, Glimcher LH. T-bet regulates IgG class switching and pathogenic autoantibody production. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2002;99:5545-5550.

137. Rubtsova K, Rubtsov AV, van Dyk LF, Kappler JW, Marrack P. T-box transcription factor T-bet, a key player in a unique type of B-cell activation essential for effective viral clearance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2013;110:E3216-3224.

138. Rubtsov AV, et al. Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7)-driven accumulation of a novel CD11c(+) B-cell population is important for the development of autoimmunity. Blood.2011;118:1305-1315.

139. Naradikian MS, Hao Y, Cancro MP. Age-associated B cells: key mediators of both protective and autoreactive humoral responses. Immunol Rev.2016;269:118-129.

140. Luthje K, et al. The development and fate of follicular helper T cells defined by an IL-21 reporter mouse. Nat Immunol.2012;13:491-498.

141. King IL, Mohrs M. IL-4-producing CD4+ T cells in reactive lymph nodes during helminth infection are T follicular helper cells. J Exp Med.2009;206:1001-1007.

142. Lackie JM. A dictionary of biomedicine. 1st ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

143. Reinhardt RL, Liang HE, Locksley RM. Cytokine-secreting follicular T cells shape the antibody repertoire. Nat Immunol.2009;10:385-393.

144. Snapper CM, Paul WE. B cell stimulatory factor-1 (interleukin 4) prepares resting murine B cells to secrete IgG1 upon subsequent stimulation with bacterial lipopolysaccharide. J Immunol.1987;139:10-17.

145. Snapper CM, Paul WE. Interferon-gamma and B cell stimulatory factor-1 reciprocally regulate lg isotype production. Science.1987;236:944-947.

146. Vogelzang A, McGuire HM, Yu D, Sprent J, Mackay CR, King C. A fundamental role for interleukin-21 in the generation of T follicular helper cells. Immunity.2008;29:127-137.

147. Nurieva RI, et al. Generation of T follicular helper cells is mediated by interleukin-21 but independent of T helper 1, 2, or 17 cell lineages. Immunity.2008;29:138-149.

148. Suto A, et al. Development and characterization of IL-21-producing CD4+ T cells. J Exp Med.2008;205:1369-1379.

149. Vinuesa CG, et al. A RING-type ubiquitin ligase family member required to repress follicular helper T cells and autoimmunity. Nature.2005;435:452-458.

150. Zotos D, et al. IL-21 regulates germinal center B cell differentiation and proliferation through a B cell-intrinsic mechanism. J Exp Med.2010;207:365-378.

151. Linterman MA, et al. IL-21 acts directly on B cells to regulate Bcl-6 expression and germinal center responses. J Exp Med.2010;207:353-363.

152. Isaacs A, Lindenmann J. Virus interference. I. The interferon. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences. 1957;147:258-267.

153. Isaacs A, Lindenmann J, Valentine RC. Virus interference. II. Some properties of interferon. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences.1957;147:268-273.

154. Schoenborn JR, Wilson CB. Regulation of interferon-gamma during innate and adaptive immune responses. Advances in immunology.2007;96:41-101.

155. Bach EA, Aguet M, Schreiber RD. The IFN gamma receptor: a paradigm for cytokine receptor signaling. Annu Rev Immunol.1997;15:563-591.

156. Severinson E, Fernandez C, Stavnezer J. Induction of germ-line immunoglobulin heavy chain transcripts by mitogens and interleukins prior to switch recombination. Eur J Immunol.1990;20:1079-1084.

157. Collins JT, Dunnick WA. Germline transcripts of the murine immunoglobulin gamma 2a gene: structure and induction by IFN-gamma. Int Immunol.1993;5:885-891.

158. Snapper CM, et al. Induction of IgG3 secretion by interferon gamma: a model for T cell-independent class switching in response to T cell-independent type 2 antigens. J Exp Med.1992;175:1367-1371.

159. Huang S, et al. Immune response in mice that lack the interferon-gamma receptor. Science.1993;259:1742-1745.

160. Nelms K, Keegan AD, Zamorano J, Ryan JJ, Paul WE. The IL-4 receptor: signaling mechanisms and biologic functions. Annu Rev Immunol.1999;17:701-738.

161. Howard M, et al. Identification of a T cell-derived b cell growth factor distinct from interleukin 2. J Exp Med.1982;155:914-923.

162. Isakson PC, Pure E, Vitetta ES, Krammer PH. T cell-derived B cell differentiation factor(s). Effect on the isotype switch of murine B cells. J Exp Med.1982;155:734-748.

163. Coffman RL, Ohara J, Bond MW, Carty J, Zlotnik A, Paul WE. B cell stimulatory factor-1 enhances the IgE response of lipopolysaccharide-activated B cells. J Immunol.1986;136:4538-4541.

164. Kuhn R, Rajewsky K, Muller W. Generation and analysis of interleukin-4 deficient mice. Science.1991;254:707-710.

165. Ettinger R, Kuchen S, Lipsky PE. The role of IL-21 in regulating B-cell function in health and disease. Immunol Rev.2008;223:60-86.

166. Spolski R, Leonard WJ. Interleukin-21: basic biology and implications for cancer and autoimmunity. Annu Rev Immunol.2008;26:57-79.

167. Parrish-Novak J, et al. Interleukin 21 and its receptor are involved in NK cell expansion and regulation of lymphocyte function. Nature.2000;408:57-63.

168. Ozaki K, et al. A critical role for IL-21 in regulating immunoglobulin production. Science.2002;298:1630-1634.

169. Ozaki K, et al. Regulation of B cell differentiation and plasma cell generation by IL-21, a novel inducer of Blimp-1 and Bcl-6. J Immunol.2004;173:5361-5371.

170. Lazarski CA, Ford J, Katzman SD, Rosenberg AF, Fowell DJ. IL-4 attenuates Th1-associated chemokine expression and Th1 trafficking to inflamed tissues and limits pathogen clearance. PLoS One.2013;8:e71949.

171. Voehringer D, Shinkai K, Locksley RM. Type 2 immunity reflects orchestrated recruitment of cells committed to IL-4 production. Immunity.2004;20:267-277.

172. Luster AD, Unkeless JC, Ravetch JV. Gamma-interferon transcriptionally regulates an early-response gene containing homology to platelet proteins. Nature.1985;315:672-676.

173. Jin H, et al. IL-21R is essential for epicutaneous sensitization and allergic skin inflammation in humans and mice. J Clin Invest.2009;119:47-60.

174. Hynes RO. Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell.2002;110:673-687.

175. Sadhu C, et al. CD11c/CD18: novel ligands and a role in delayed-type hypersensitivity. J Leukoc Biol.2007;81:1395-1403.

176. Metlay JP, Witmer-Pack MD, Agger R, Crowley MT, Lawless D, Steinman RM. The distinct leukocyte integrins of mouse spleen dendritic cells as identified with new hamster monoclonal antibodies. J Exp Med.1990;171:1753-1771.

177. Jung S, et al. In vivo depletion of CD11c+ dendritic cells abrogates priming of CD8+ T cells by exogenous cell-associated antigens. Immunity.2002;17:211-220.

178. Wu H, et al. Deficiency of CD11b or CD11d results in reduced staphylococcal enterotoxin-induced T cell response and T cell phenotypic changes. J Immunol.2004;173:297-306.

179. Bullard DC, Hu X, Adams JE, Schoeb TR, Barnum SR. p150/95 (CD11c/CD18) expression is required for the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Am J Pathol.2007;170:2001-2008.

180. Wu H, et al. Functional role of CD11c+ monocytes in atherogenesis associated with hypercholesterolemia. Circulation.2009;119:2708-2717.

181. Allen SJ, et al. CD11c controls herpes simplex virus 1 responses to limit virus replication during primary infection. J Virol.2011;85:9945-9955.

182. Racine R, Chatterjee M, Winslow GM. CD11c expression identifies a population of extrafollicular antigen-specific splenic plasmablasts responsible for CD4 T-independent antibody responses during intracellular bacterial infection. J Immunol.2008;181:1375-1385.

183. Yates JL, Racine R, McBride KM, Winslow GM. T cell-dependent IgM memory B cells generated during bacterial infection are required for IgG responses to antigen challenge. J Immunol.2013;191:1240-1249.

184. Molica S, Dattilo A, Mannella A, Levato D. CD11c expression in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. A comparison of results obtained with different monoclonal antibodies. Haematologica.1994;79:452-455.

185. Ehrhardt GR, Hijikata A, Kitamura H, Ohara O, Wang JY, Cooper MD. Discriminating gene expression profiles of memory B cell subpopulations. J Exp Med.2008;205:1807-1817.

186. Postigo AA, Corbi AL, Sanchez-Madrid F, de Landazuri MO. Regulated expression and function of CD11c/CD18 integrin on human B lymphocytes.

Relation between attachment to fibrinogen and triggering of proliferation through CD11c/CD18. J Exp Med.1991;174:1313-1322.

187. Saadoun D, et al. Expansion of autoreactive unresponsive CD21-/low B cells in Sjogren's syndrome-associated lymphoproliferation. Arthritis Rheum.2013;65:1085-1096.

188. Herbert DR, et al. Intestinal epithelial cell secretion of RELM-beta protects against gastrointestinal worm infection. J Exp Med.2009;206:2947-2957.

189. Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology.2004;3:Article3.

190. Takeda K, et al. Essential role of Stat6 in IL-4 signalling. Nature.1996;380:627-630.

191. Kao C, et al. Transcription factor T-bet represses expression of the inhibitory receptor PD-1 and sustains virus-specific CD8+ T cell responses during chronic infection. Nat Immunol.2011;12:663-671.

192. Oestreich KJ, Huang AC, Weinmann AS. The lineage-defining factors Tbet and Bcl-6 collaborate to regulate Th1 gene expression patterns. J Exp Med.2011;208:1001-1013.

193. Heinzel FP, Sadick MD, Holaday BJ, Coffman RL, Locksley RM. Reciprocal expression of interferon gamma or interleukin 4 during the resolution or progression of murine leishmaniasis. Evidence for expansion of distinct helper T cell subsets. J Exp Med.1989;169:59-72.

194. Hao Y, O'Neill PJ, Naradikian MS, Scholz JL, Cancro MP. A B-cell subset uniquely responsive to innate stimuli accumulates in aged mice. Blood.2011.

195. Coutelier JP, van der Logt JT, Heessen FW, Warnier G, Van Snick J. IgG2a restriction of murine antibodies elicited by viral infections. J Exp Med.1987;165:64-69.

196. Markine-Goriaynoff D, Coutelier JP. Increased efficacy of the immunoglobulin G2a subclass in antibody-mediated protection against lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus-induced polioencephalomyelitis revealed with switch mutants. J Virol.2002;76:432-435.

197. Liu BS, Stoop JN, Huizinga TW, Toes RE. IL-21 enhances the activity of the TLR-MyD88-STAT3 pathway but not the classical TLR-MyD88-NF-kappaB pathway in human B cells to boost antibody production. J Immunol.2013;191:4086-4094.

198. Bessa J, Kopf M, Bachmann MF. Cutting edge: IL-21 and TLR signaling regulate germinal center responses in a B cell-intrinsic manner. J Immunol.2010;184:4615-4619.

199. Leonard WJ. Role of Jak kinases and STATs in cytokine signal transduction. Int J Hematol.2001;73:271-277.

200. Wan CK, et al. Opposing roles of STAT1 and STAT3 in IL-21 function in CD4+ T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2015;112:9394-9399.

201. Sutherland AP, Joller N, Michaud M, Liu SM, Kuchroo VK, Grusby MJ. IL-21 promotes CD8+ CTL activity via the transcription factor T-bet. J Immunol.2013;190:3977-3984. 202. Borriello F, et al. B7-1 and B7-2 have overlapping, critical roles in immunoglobulin class switching and germinal center formation. Immunity.1997;6:303-313.

203. Avery DT, et al. B cell-intrinsic signaling through IL-21 receptor and STAT3 is required for establishing long-lived antibody responses in humans. J Exp Med.2010;207:155-171.

204. Attridge K, et al. IL-21 promotes CD4 T cell responses by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-dependent upregulation of CD86 on B cells. J Immunol.2014;192:2195-2201.

205. Shulman Z, et al. Dynamic signaling by T follicular helper cells during germinal center B cell selection. Science.2014;345:1058-1062.

206. Nickerson KM, et al. TLR9 regulates TLR7- and MyD88-dependent autoantibody production and disease in a murine model of lupus. J Immunol.2010;184:1840-1848.

207. Bubier JA, et al. A critical role for IL-21 receptor signaling in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus in BXSB-Yaa mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2009;106:1518-1523.

208. Christensen SR, Shupe J, Nickerson K, Kashgarian M, Flavell RA, Shlomchik MJ. Toll-like receptor 7 and TLR9 dictate autoantibody specificity and have opposing inflammatory and regulatory roles in a murine model of lupus. Immunity.2006;25:417-428.

209. Berland R, et al. Toll-like receptor 7-dependent loss of B cell tolerance in pathogenic autoantibody knockin mice. Immunity.2006;25:429-440.

210. Goenka R, Scholz JL, Naradikian MS, Cancro MP. Memory B cells form in aged mice despite impaired affinity maturation and germinal center kinetics. Exp Gerontol.2014;54:109-115.

211. Stepensky P, et al. Early-onset Evans syndrome, immunodeficiency, and premature immunosenescence associated with tripeptidyl-peptidase II deficiency. Blood.2015;125:753-761.

212. Rakhmanov M, et al. Circulating CD21low B cells in common variable immunodeficiency resemble tissue homing, innate-like B cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2009;106:13451-13456.

213. Eisenberg RA, Winfield JB, Cohen PL. Subclass restriction of anti-Sm antibodies in MRL mice. J Immunol.1982;129:2146-2149.

214. Miller JP, Allman D. The decline in B lymphopoiesis in aged mice reflects loss of very early B-lineage precursors. J Immunol.2003;171:2326-2330.

215. Zediak VP, Maillard I, Bhandoola A. Multiple prethymic defects underlie age-related loss of T progenitor competence. Blood.2007;110:1161-1167.

216. Riley RL, Kruger MG, Elia J. B cell precursors are decreased in senescent BALB/c mice, but retain normal mitotic activity in vivo and in vitro. Clin Immunol Immunopathol.1991;59:301-313.

217. Stephan RP, Sanders VM, Witte PL. Stage-specific alterations in murine B lymphopoiesis with age. Int Immunol.1996;8:509-518.

218. Goidl EA, Innes JB, Weksler ME. Immunological studies of aging. II. Loss of IgG and high avidity plaque-forming cells and increased suppressor cell activity in aging mice. J Exp Med.1976;144:1037-1048.

219. Zharhary D, Klinman NR. Antigen responsiveness of the mature and generative B cell populations of aged mice. J Exp Med.1983;157:1300-1308.

220. Zharhary D, Segev Y, Gershon HE. T-cell cytotoxicity and aging: differing causes of reduced response in individual mice. Mech Ageing Dev.1984;25:129-140.

221. Zharhary D. T cell involvement in the decrease of antigen-responsive B cells in aged mice. Eur J Immunol.1986;16:1175-1178.

222. Nicoletti C, Borghesi-Nicoletti C, Yang XH, Schulze DH, Cerny J. Repertoire diversity of antibody response to bacterial antigens in aged mice. II. Phosphorylcholine-antibody in young and aged mice differ in both VH/VL gene repertoire and in specificity. J Immunol.1991;147:2750-2755.

223. Nicoletti C, Yang X, Cerny J. Repertoire diversity of antibody response to bacterial antigens in aged mice. III. Phosphorylcholine antibody from young and aged mice differ in structure and protective activity against infection with Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Immunol.1993;150:543-549.

224. Weksler ME. Changes in the B-cell repertoire with age. Vaccine.2000;18:1624-1628.

225. Ginaldi L, Loreto MF, Corsi MP, Modesti M, De Martinis M. Immunosenescence and infectious diseases. Microbes Infect.2001;3:851-857.

226. Johnson SA, Rozzo SJ, Cambier JC. Aging-dependent exclusion of antigen-inexperienced cells from the peripheral B cell repertoire. J Immunol.2002;168:5014-5023.

227. Han S, et al. Enhanced differentiation of splenic plasma cells but diminished long-lived high-affinity bone marrow plasma cells in aged mice. J Immunol.2003;170:1267-1273.

228. Haynes L, Eaton SM, Burns EM, Randall TD, Swain SL. CD4 T cell memory derived from young naive cells functions well into old age, but memory generated from aged naive cells functions poorly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2003;100:15053-15058.

229. Frasca D, Riley RL, Blomberg BB. Humoral immune response and B-cell functions including immunoglobulin class switch are downregulated in aged mice and humans. Semin Immunol.2005;17:378-384.

230. Hakim FT, Gress RE. Immunosenescence: deficits in adaptive immunity in the elderly. Tissue Antigens.2007;70:179-189.

231. Grubeck-Loebenstein B, Della Bella S, Iorio AM, Michel JP, Pawelec G, Solana R. Immunosenescence and vaccine failure in the elderly. Aging Clin Exp Res.2009;21:201-209.

232. Ongradi J, Stercz B, Kovesdi V, Vertes L. Immunosenescence and vaccination of the elderly, I. Age-related immune impairment. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung.2009;56:199-210.

233. Sambhara S, McElhaney JE. Immunosenescence and influenza vaccine efficacy. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.2009;333:413-429.

234. Rowley MJ, Buchanan H, Mackay IR. Reciprocal change with age in antibody to extrinsic and intrinsic antigens. Lancet.1968;2:24-26.

235. Borghesi C, Nicoletti C. Increase of cross(auto)-reactive antibodies after immunization in aged mice: a cellular and molecular study. Int J Exp Pathol.1994;75:123-130.

236. Franceschi C, Passeri M, De Benedictis G, Motta L. Immunosenescence. Aging (Milano).1998;10:153-154.

237. Eaton-Bassiri AS, Mandik-Nayak L, Seo SJ, Madaio MP, Cancro MP, Erikson J. Alterations in splenic architecture and the localization of anti-double-stranded DNA B cells in aged mice. Int Immunol.2000;12:915-926.

238. Franceschi C, Bonafe M, Valensin S. Human immunosenescence: the prevailing of innate immunity, the failing of clonotypic immunity, and the filling of immunological space. Vaccine.2000;18:1717-1720.

239. Kamminga LM, et al. Impaired hematopoietic stem cell functioning after serial transplantation and during normal aging. Stem Cells.2005;23:82-92.

240. Johnson SA, Cambier JC. Ageing, autoimmunity and arthritis: senescence of the B cell compartment - implications for humoral immunity. Arthritis Res Ther.2004;6:131-139.

241. Nishioka T, Shimizu J, Iida R, Yamazaki S, Sakaguchi S. CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ T cells and CD4+CD25-Foxp3+ T cells in aged mice. J Immunol.2006;176:6586-6593.

242. Chambers SM, Shaw CA, Gatza C, Fisk CJ, Donehower LA, Goodell MA. Aging hematopoietic stem cells decline in function and exhibit epigenetic dysregulation. PLoS Biol.2007;5:e201.

243. Dykstra B, de Haan G. Hematopoietic stem cell aging and self-renewal. Cell Tissue Res.2008;331:91-101.

244. Lages CS, et al. Functional regulatory T cells accumulate in aged hosts and promote chronic infectious disease reactivation. J Immunol.2008;181:1835-1848.

245. Ahmed M, Lanzer KG, Yager EJ, Adams PS, Johnson LL, Blackman MA. Clonal expansions and loss of receptor diversity in the naive CD8 T cell repertoire of aged mice. J Immunol.2009;182:784-792.

246. Tsukamoto H, et al. Age-associated increase in lifespan of naive CD4 T cells contributes to T-cell homeostasis but facilitates development of functional defects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.2009;106:18333-18338.

247. Waterstrat A, Van Zant G. Effects of aging on hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Curr Opin Immunol.2009;21:408-413.

248. Riley SC, Froscher BG, Linton PJ, Zharhary D, Marcu K, Klinman NR. Altered VH gene segment utilization in the response to phosphorylcholine by aged mice. J Immunol.1989;143:3798-3805.

249. Nicoletti C, Cerny J. The repertoire diversity and magnitude of antibody responses to bacterial antigens in aged mice: I. Age-associated changes in antibody responses differ according to the mouse strain. Cell Immunol.1991;133:72-83.

250. Stephan RP, Lill-Elghanian DA, Witte PL. Development of B cells in aged mice: decline in the ability of pro-B cells to respond to IL-7 but not to other growth factors. J Immunol.1997;158:1598-1609.

251. Stephan RP, Reilly CR, Witte PL. Impaired ability of bone marrow stromal cells to support B-lymphopoiesis with age. Blood.1998;91:75-88.

252. Kline GH, Hayden TA, Klinman NR. B cell maintenance in aged mice reflects both increased B cell longevity and decreased B cell generation. J Immunol.1999;162:3342-3349.

253. Frasca D, Nguyen D, Riley RL, Blomberg BB. Decreased E12 and/or E47 transcription factor activity in the bone marrow as well as in the spleen of aged mice. J Immunol.2003;170:719-726.

254. Frasca D, Riley RL, Blomberg BB. Effect of age on the immunoglobulin class switch. Crit Rev Immunol.2004;24:297-320.

255. Frasca D, Van der Put E, Riley RL, Blomberg BB. Reduced Ig class switch in aged mice correlates with decreased E47 and activation-induced cytidine deaminase. J Immunol.2004;172:2155-2162.

256. Frasca D, Landin AM, Alvarez JP, Blackshear PJ, Riley RL, Blomberg BB. Tristetraprolin, a negative regulator of mRNA stability, is increased in old B cells and is involved in the degradation of E47 mRNA. J Immunol.2007;179:918-927.

257. Frasca D, et al. Aging down-regulates the transcription factor E2A, activation-induced cytidine deaminase, and Ig class switch in human B cells. J Immunol.2008;180:5283-5290.

258. Rubtsov AV, et al. TLR7-driven accumulation of a novel CD11c+ B-cell population is important for the development of autoimmunity. Blood.2011

.

259. Rubtsova K, Rubtsov AV, Cancro MP, Marrack P. Age-Associated B Cells: A T-bet-Dependent Effector with Roles in Protective and Pathogenic Immunity. J Immunol.2015;195:1933-1937.

260. Ratliff M, Alter S, Frasca D, Blomberg BB, Riley RL. In senescence, ageassociated B cells secrete TNFalpha and inhibit survival of B-cell precursors. Aging Cell.2013;12:303-311.

261. Rubtsov AV, Rubtsova K, Kappler JW, Jacobelli J, Friedman RS, Marrack P. CD11c-Expressing B Cells Are Located at the T Cell/B Cell Border in Spleen and Are Potent APCs. J Immunol.2015;195:71-79.

262. Birjandi SZ, Ippolito JA, Ramadorai AK, Witte PL. Alterations in marginal zone macrophages and marginal zone B cells in old mice. J Immunol.2011;186:3441-3451.

263. Benson MJ, et al. Cutting edge: the dependence of plasma cells and independence of memory B cells on BAFF and APRIL. J Immunol.2008;180:3655-3659.

264. Tomayko MM, Steinel NC, Anderson SM, Shlomchik MJ. Cutting edge: Hierarchy of maturity of murine memory B cell subsets. J Immunol.2010;185:7146-7150.

265. Moir S, et al. Evidence for HIV-associated B cell exhaustion in a dysfunctional memory B cell compartment in HIV-infected viremic individuals. J Exp Med.2008;205:1797-1805.

266. Oliver AM, Martin F, Kearney JF. Mouse CD38 is down-regulated on germinal center B cells and mature plasma cells. J Immunol.1997;158:1108-1115.

267. Ridderstad A, Tarlinton DM. Kinetics of establishing the memory B cell population as revealed by CD38 expression. J Immunol.1998;160:4688-4695.

268. Lerner A, Yamada T, Miller RA. Pgp-1hi T lymphocytes accumulate with age in mice and respond poorly to concanavalin A. Eur J Immunol.1989;19:977-982.

269. Anderson SM, Tomayko MM, Ahuja A, Haberman AM, Shlomchik MJ. New markers for murine memory B cells that define mutated and unmutated subsets. J Exp Med.2007;204:2103-2114.

270. Rothstein TL. Inducible resistance to Fas-mediated apoptosis in B cells. Cell research.2000;10:245-266.

271. Odegard JM, et al. ICOS-dependent extrafollicular helper T cells elicit IgG production via IL-21 in systemic autoimmunity. J Exp Med.2008;205:2873-2886.

272. William J, Euler C, Christensen S, Shlomchik MJ. Evolution of autoantibody responses via somatic hypermutation outside of germinal centers. Science.2002;297:2066-2070.

273. Giles JR, Kashgarian M, Koni PA, Shlomchik MJ. B Cell-Specific MHC Class II Deletion Reveals Multiple Nonredundant Roles for B Cell Antigen Presentation in Murine Lupus. J Immunol.2015;195:2571-2579.

274. Rankin AL, et al. IL-21 receptor is required for the systemic accumulation of activated B and T lymphocytes in MRL/MpJ-Fas(lpr/lpr)/J mice. J Immunol.2012;188:1656-1667.

275. Hulett MD, Hogarth PM. Molecular basis of Fc receptor function. Advances in immunology.1994;57:1-127.

276. Barrington R, Zhang M, Fischer M, Carroll MC. The role of complement in inflammation and adaptive immunity. Immunol Rev.2001;180:5-15.

277. Dobber R, Tielemans M, Nagelkerken L. The in vivo effects of neutralizing antibodies against IFN-gamma, IL-4, or IL-10 on the humoral immune response in young and aged mice. Cell Immunol.1995;160:185-192.

278. Melamed D. Homeostatic regulation of aging and rejuvenation in the B lineage cells. Crit Rev Immunol.2013;33:41-56.

279. Melamed D, Scott DW. Aging and neoteny in the B lineage. Blood.2012;120:4143-4149.

280. Mehr R, Melamed D. Reversing B cell aging. Aging (Albany NY).2011;3:438-443.

281. Keren Z, et al. B-cell depletion reactivates B lymphopoiesis in the BM and rejuvenates the B lineage in aging. Blood.2011;117:3104-3112.

282. Keren Z, et al. Chronic B cell deficiency from birth prevents age-related alterations in the B lineage. J Immunol.2011;187:2140-2147.

283. Kerfoot SM, et al. Germinal center B cell and T follicular helper cell development initiates in the interfollicular zone. Immunity.2011;34:947-960.

284. Choi YS, et al. ICOS receptor instructs T follicular helper cell versus effector cell differentiation via induction of the transcriptional repressor Bcl6. Immunity.2011;34:932-946.

285. Teague PO, Friou GJ, Myers LL. Anti-nuclear antibodies in mice. I. Influence of age and possible genetic factors on spontaneous and induced responses. J Immunol.1968;101:791-798.

286. Hallgren HM, Buckley CE, 3rd, Gilbertsen VA, Yunis EJ. Lymphocyte phytohemagglutinin responsiveness, immunoglobulins and autoantibodies in aging humans. J Immunol.1973;111:1101-1107.

287. Leadbetter EA, Rifkin IR, Hohlbaum AM, Beaudette BC, Shlomchik MJ, Marshak-Rothstein A. Chromatin-IgG complexes activate B cells by dual engagement of IgM and Toll-like receptors. Nature.2002;416:603-607.

288. Christensen SR, Kashgarian M, Alexopoulou L, Flavell RA, Akira S, Shlomchik MJ. Toll-like receptor 9 controls anti-DNA autoantibody production in murine lupus. J Exp Med.2005;202:321-331.

289. Pisitkun P, Deane JA, Difilippantonio MJ, Tarasenko T, Satterthwaite AB, Bolland S. Autoreactive B cell responses to RNA-related antigens due to TLR7 gene duplication. Science.2006;312:1669-1672.

290. Ehlers M, Fukuyama H, McGaha TL, Aderem A, Ravetch JV. TLR9/MyD88 signaling is required for class switching to pathogenic IgG2a and 2b autoantibodies in SLE. J Exp Med.2006;203:553-561.

291. Nickerson KM, Cullen JL, Kashgarian M, Shlomchik MJ. Exacerbated autoimmunity in the absence of TLR9 in MRL.Fas(lpr) mice depends on Ifnar1. J Immunol.2013;190:3889-3894.

292. Oestreich KJ, et al. Bcl-6 directly represses the gene program of the glycolysis pathway. Nat Immunol.2014;15:957-964.