## Georgia State University ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University

**Chemistry Dissertations** 

Department of Chemistry

Summer 7-15-2013

# Design and Synthesis of HIF-1 Inhibitors as Anticancer Therapeutics

Sarah Burroughs Georgia State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/chemistry diss

**Recommended** Citation

Burroughs, Sarah, "Design and Synthesis of HIF-1 Inhibitors as Anti-cancer Therapeutics." Dissertation, Georgia State University, 2013. https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/chemistry\_diss/78

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Chemistry at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

### DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

by

### SARAH KATHRYN BURROUGHS

Under the Direction of Dr. Binghe Wang

### ABSTRACT

Cancer is responsible for one fourth of the total deaths and is the second leading cause of death, behind heart disease, in the United States. However, there are as many approaches to curing cancer as there are types of cancer. One important issue in solid tumors is hypoxia, a lack of oxygen, which promotes angiogenesis and anaerobic metabolism, which can increase cancer progression and metastasis. The HIF transcription factor is responsible for the mediation of many processes involved during hypoxia and is linked to poor patient prognosis, increased cancer progression, and invasiveness of tumors. With this in mind, the HIF pathway has become an attractive target for small molecule inhibition. Herein, we describe the design and synthesis of small molecules that inhibit the HIF pathway. These compounds are based off an initial "hit" compound, KCN-1, from screening of a 10,000 compound library. KCN1 is both highly effective and has a low toxicity profile. Over 200 compounds have been synthesized by the Wang lab,

with the best compound IVSR64b having an  $IC_{50}$  of 0.28  $\mu$ M. Of special interest is that these compounds do not appear to have any inherent toxicity toward healthy tissues, but only affect cancer cells. Moreover, x-ray crystal structures for both KCN-1 and IVSR64b were obtained and used as the basis for computational modeling, which is still in progress.

INDEX WORDS: Small-molecule inhibitors, HIF, Hypoxia, Anti-cancer therapeutics, Medicinal chemistry

## DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

by

## SARAH KATHRYN BURROUGHS

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the College of Arts and Sciences

Georgia State University

2013

Copyright by Sarah Kathryn Burroughs 2013

## DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

by

## SARAH KATHRYN BURROUGHS

Committee Chair: Dr. Binghe Wang

Committee: Dr. Alfons Baumstark

Dr. Giovanni Gadda

Electronic Version Approved:

Office of Graduate Studies

College of Arts and Sciences

Georgia State University

August 2013

### **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this work to my friends, family, and coworkers who all supported me throughout my time in graduate school. I would especially like to thank my parents, Rebecca and Spencer Johnson for their years of support and for instilling upon me the importance of education. I would also like to especially thank my fiancée Anthony Zingales for his patience, love, and encouragement during this process. Most of all, I would like to dedicate this dissertation to the previous generation of my family who are no longer here, but would be proud of this accomplishment, specifically my grandfather Mitchell R. Sharpe and my grandmother Virginia "Boom-Boom" Sharpe.

.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge and thank my advisor, Dr. Binghe Wang. Without his knowledge, guidance, encouragement, and support, I would not have even been in the program, let alone able to finish my degree. People say that group members pick up the personality of the advisor, and I sincerely hope that is the case. In addition, I would also like to thank those faculty members who mentored me throughout my career at GSU: Dr. Al Baumstark, who always made sure to give me a hard time in order to make sure I was prepared; Dr. Keith Pascoe, who taught me many tricks of the trade in teaching; Dr. Giovanni Gadda, who helped me navigate the intricacies of committees and service to the department; Dr. Jeremiah Harden, who encouraged me to hang in there and persevere; and Dr. Dabney Dixon, who is a role model for women in the sciences and encouraged me to network and advocate.

I would also like to thank all of my group members, past and present (Dr. Chaofeng Dai, Dr. Lifang Wang, Dr. Krishna Damera, Dr. Bowen Ke, Dr. Nanting Ni, Dr. Xiaochuan Yang, Dr. Jianmei Cui, Dr. Suazette Mooring, Dr. Jerry Cheng, Dr. Weixuan Chen, Dr. Hanjing Peng, Arpana Chaudary, Danzhu Wang, Alex Draganov, Ke Wang, Yueqin Zheng, Kai Xu, Jalisa Holmes, Hieu Dinh, Sarah Laughlin, and Zeus de los Santos). I would not have made it without you guys! I especially want to thank Dr. Suazette Mooring, who began this project, and without whose lab notebooks, papers, and assistance I would not have been able to continue this work. I also want to thank Hieu Dinh, Jalisa Holmes, and Zeus de los Santos. These 3 students who worked with me made significant contributions to this work in many ways, as well as kept me sane in the lab and I am glad to call them friends.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v
LIST OF TABLES x
LIST OF FIGURES xi
1 INTRODUCTION 1
2 HYPOXIA INDUCIBLE FACTOR PATHWAY INHIBITORS AS ANTI-
CANCER THERAPEUTICS 2
2.1 Abstract
2.2 Hypoxia in cancer
2.3 The HIF pathway 4
2.3.1 Distinct roles of HIF-α subunits
2.3.2 Distinct functions of HIF-1a and HIF-2a in certain types of cancer can be
explained by differential direct and indirect transcriptional effects
2.3.3 Genes activated by HIF12
2.4 Molecular targets of HIF-1 inhibitors15
2.5 HIF-1 inhibitors in the clinic: hits and misses
2.5.1 Camptothecins: camptothecin, topotecan, irinotecan
2.5.2 Bortezomib
2.5.3 Romidepsin
2.5.4 Temsirolimus
2.5.5 Perifosine
2.5.6 2-Methoxyestradiol

2.5.7 Echinomycin	2
2.5.8 Ansamycins: Geldanamycin, 17-AAG, 17-DMAG2.	3
2.6 Conclusions	4
2.7 Future Perspective20	6
2.8 References	8
<b>3</b> DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF WATER SOLUBLE HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS	
ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS	6
3.1 Abstract	6
3.2 Introduction	6
3.3 Design	7
3.4 Results and Discussion	8
3.5 Conclusions	2
3.6 Experimental	4
<b>3.7</b> References	6
4 DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF NOVEL BI-ARYL METHANOLIC HIF-1	
INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS	8
4.1 Abstract73	8
4.2 Introduction	8
4.3 Results and Discussion	1
4.3.1 Design	1
4.3.2 Chemistry	2
4.3.2.1 Class I: $Ar_1$ modifications	2
4.3.2.2 Class 2: $Ar_2$ modifications	5

	4.3.2.	3 Class 3: Linker modifications	85
4	.3.3	Biology	86
4.4	Con	clusion	91
4.5	Expo	erimental	91
4.6	Refe	erences	100
5 D	ESIGN	N AND SYNTHESIS OF NOVEL KCN1 ANALOGS AS ANTI-	
5 0	Lordi		
CAN	CER T	THERAPEUTICS	105
5.1	Abst	tract	105
5.2	Intro	oduction	105
5.3	Resu	ults and Discussion	107
5	.3.1	Design	107
5	5.3.2	Chemistry	109
	5.3.2.	1 Class 1A analogs	109
	5.3.2.	2 Class 1B analogs	110
	5.3.2.	3 Class 1C analogs	110
	5.3.2.	4 Class 1D analogs	111
	5.3.2.	5 Class 1E analogs	112
	5.3.2.	6 Class 1F analogs	113
	5.3.2.	7 Class 2A analogs	114
	532	8 Class 2B analogs	114
	527	<ul> <li>0 Class 2C analogs</li> </ul>	117
	5.3.2.	> Cluss 2C unalogs	113
	5.3.2.	10 Class 2D analogs	116
	5.3.2.	11 Class 3 analogs	117

	5.3.2.12	Class 4 analogs	118
5.	.3.3 Bio	logy	119
	5.3.3.1	Class 1A	119
	5.3.3.2	Class 1B	121
	5.3.3.3	Class 1C	122
	5.3.3.4	Class 1D	123
	5.3.3.5	Class 1E & 1F	124
	5.3.3.6	Class 2A	126
	5.3.3.7	Class 2B	126
	5.3.3.8	Class 2C	129
	5.3.3.9	Class 2D	130
	5.3.3.10	Class 3	131
	5.3.3.11	Class 4	133
5.4	Conclu	sion	. 133
5.5	Experi	mental	. 134
5.6	Refere	nces	. 169

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Selected HIF target genes and their effects on cancer progression
Table 2.2. Small anti-cancer molecules with HIF-1 inhibitory activity       25
Table 3.1. Analogs and data    62
Table 4.1. Class 1A compounds and IC50
Table 4.2. Class 1B compounds and IC50    87
Table 4.3. Class 1C compounds and IC50
Table 4.4. Class 1D compounds and IC50
Table 4.5. Class 2 compounds and IC50    90
Table 4.6. Class 3 compounds and IC50    90
Table 5.1. Classes of analogs    108
Table 5.2. Class 1A analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.3. Class 1B analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.4. Class 1C analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.5. Class 1D analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.6. Class 1E analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.7. Class 1F analogs and IC50 data    125
Table 5.8. Class 2A analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.9. Class 2B analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.10. Class 2C analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.11. Class 2D analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.12. Class 3A analogs and IC50 data
Table 5.13. Class 4 analogs and IC <sub>50</sub> data

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1 The HIF-1 Pathway
Figure 2.2. Mechanisms of HIF inhibition16
Figure 2.3. Trends of HIF inhibitors from 2000-2012
Figure 3.1. Lead Compound KCN-1 57
Figure 3.2. Classes of analogs 59
Figure 3.3 Synthesis of Class A & B Compounds 60
Figure 3.4. Synthesis of Class C compounds 61
Figure 3.5. Synthesis of Class D compounds 61
Figure 4.1. 4 lead compounds identified in the aryl sulfonamide class of HIF
inhibitors
Figure 4.2. Three classes of analogs
Figure 4.3. Synthesis of Class 1A compounds
Figure 4.4. Synthesis of Class 1B compounds
Figure 4.5. Synthesis of Class 1C compounds
Figure 4.6. Synthesis of Class 1C compounds
Figure 4.7. Synthesis of Class 2A compounds
Figure 4.8. Synthesis of Class 3 compounds
Figure 5.1. Lead compounds107
Figure 5.2. Synthesis of Class 1A analogs109
Figure 5.3. Synthesis of Class 1B analogs 110
Figure 5.4. Synthesis of Class 1C analogs111
Figure 5.5. Synthesis of Class 1D analogs

Figure 5.6. Synthesis of Class 1E analogs	113
Figure 5.7. Synthesis of Class 1F analogs	113
Figure 5.8. Synthesis of Class 2A analogs	114
Figure 5.9. Synthesis of Class 2B analogs	115
Figure 5.10. Synthesis of Class 2C analogs	116
Figure 5.11. Synthesis of Class 2D analogs	117
Figure 5.12. Synthesis of Class 3A analogs	118
Figure 5.13. Synthesis of Class 4 analogs	118
Figure 5.14. SAR of analogs	134

### **1** INTRODUCTION

When people as what I do for a living, and I say that I am researching anti-cancer drugs; they always ask if I think we will find a cure for cancer. I have to explain that there are as many different approaches to curing cancer as there are types of cancer. One of the major disadvantages to most cancer treatments is the toxicity to healthy cells and thus the unwanted side effects such as hair loss, nausea, fatigue, loss of appetite, and bone marrow suppression. In order to combat these undesired side effects, many researchers focus on trying to find new ways to target cancer itself and not healthy tissue. Our research is focused on one specific aspect of cancer, hypoxia (a lack of oxygen), which occurs in solid tumors. The major advantage to targeting hypoxia is that it is not typically found in healthy tissues and by only affecting hypoxia areas, we can selectively treat the cancer without damaging the healthy tissue. Detailed information on hypoxia and the HIF pathway is included in the first chapter of this dissertation.

This dissertation is broken up into 4 chapters: the first is a review paper on anti-cancer HIF therapeutics which has been published as an invited review in *Future Medicinal Chemistry*; the other 3 are manuscripts of papers in preparation for publication. For each chapter, I wrote the manuscript, designed and synthesized many of the compounds, and helped conceive the concept as a whole. The biological testing was carried out by the Dr. Erwin G. Van Meir laboratory at Emory University. Other group members, including Dr. Krishna Damera, Dr. Bowen Ke, Dr. Suazette Mooring, Jalisa Holmes, and Zeus de los Santos synthesized compounds that are included with their permission, as noted.

## 2 HYPOXIA INDUCIBLE FACTOR PATHWAY INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

This work is reproduced with permission from the review paper: "Hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors as anti-cancer therapeutics." Sarah Kathryn Burroughs, Stefan Kaluz, Danzhu Wang, Ke Wang, Erwin G. Van Meir, and Binghe Wang. *Future Medicinal Chemistry* (2013) 5 (5) 553-572.

### 2.1 Abstract

Hypoxia is a significant feature of solid tumor cancers. Hypoxia leads to a more malignant phenotype that is resistant to chemotherapy and radiation, is more invasive and has greater metastatic potential. Hypoxia activates the hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) pathway, which mediates the biological effects of hypoxia in tissues. The HIF complex acts as a transcription factor for many genes that increase tumor survival and proliferation. To date, many HIF pathway inhibitors indirectly affect HIF but there have been no clinically approved direct HIF inhibitors. This can be attributed to the complexity of the HIF pathway, as well as to the challenges of inhibiting protein–protein interactions.

### 2.2 Hypoxia in cancer

Cancer is the second most common cause of death in the USA, with practically one in every four deaths due to cancer [301]. Moreover, the most recent data report that in 2008 there were over 12.5 million new cases of cancer diagnosed worldwide and that by 2030 this number is anticipated to swell to over 20 million [302]. Many cancers involve solid tumor formation, and during periods of rapid growth, tumors outgrow existing blood supply, leading to the development of hypoxic (partial oxygen pressure of less than 5 Torr) and anoxic regions [1]. Tumors remedy this by producing angiogenic factors that lead to the formation of tumor vasculature, although, with structural and functional anomalies. These include arteriovenous shunts, blind ends, occlusions, high angle branching patterns, and broken, leaky vessels [2]. Abnormalities in the tumor vasculature limit oxygen delivery, leading to acute hypoxia [3].

Hypoxic tumors are more resistant to radiation and chemotherapy, are more invasive, are genetically unstable, resist apoptosis and have greater metastatic potential; all of which leads to poorer prognosis overall for patients [4,5]. It has been demonstrated that tumor irradiation is three-times more effective when carried out under oxygen-rich versus anoxic conditions. Moreover, the effectiveness of anticancer therapeutics that target rapidly dividing cells is reduced against hypoxic cells due to the decreased rate of cell proliferation that increases with distance from vasculature [6]. Another factor in treatment resistance is due to the cancer stemlike cells (CSCs), which are a relatively rare subpopulation of tumor cells with self- renewal capacity. CSCs reside in hypoxic niches of tumors and are more resistant to radio- and chemotherapy-induced DNA damage, allowing them to survive the treatment and repopulate the tumor with their progeny. Typically, prolonged hypoxia triggers cell apoptosis, but in tumors, it can lead to the selection of tumor cells with mutant p53, which are resistant to apoptosis and confer a more malignant phenotype [7]. Clinical studies have shown that many cancers with hypoxic tumors are more likely to be metastatic, including soft tissue sarcomas, squamous cell head and neck carcinomas, cervical carcinomas and malignant melanomas [8]. Therefore, hypoxia can either lead to cell death through apoptotic or necrotic pathways, or to cell proliferation through activation of various other pathways [3].



Figure 2.1 The HIF-1 Pathway

Under normoxic conditions, HIF-1a is rapidly hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylases, which mediates binding by the VHL ubiquitin ligase complex, addition of poly-Ub which tags it for proteosomal degradation. Under hypoxic conditions, prolyl hydroxylases cannot hydroxylate HIF-1a, preventing VHL binding which leads to HIF-1 $\alpha$  stabilization. HIF-1 $\alpha$  then heterodimerizes with HIF-1 $\beta$ , recruits the p300/CBP co-factors and forms an active HIF transcription complex in the nucleus that binds to HREs on target genes and activates their transcription. HIF: Hypoxia inducible factor; HRE: Hypoxia response element; Ub: Ubiquitin chains; VHL: von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein.

The hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) pathway is a major mediator of the biological effects of hypoxia in tissues (Figure 2.1) [9]. HIFs are basic-loop-helix-loop motif heterodimeric transcription factors composed of two subunits: an oxygen-regulated a subunit (HIF-1 $\alpha$ , -2 $\alpha$ , or -3 $\alpha$ ) and the constitutively expressed HIF-1 $\beta$  (also called aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator) [10]. Under normoxic conditions, HIF- $\alpha$  subunits are hydroxylated by Fe<sup>2+</sup>dependent HIF prolyl hydroxylases (PHD), mostly PHD2, at two proline residues (402 and 564 in human HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) located in the oxygen- dependent degradation domain [11,12]. This dihydroxylated form of HIF- $\alpha$  is bound by VHL, which is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that leads to the ubiquitination of HIF- $\alpha$  has been shown in some instances to be promoted by acetylation of HIF by the ARD1 acetyltransferase at lysine 532, increasing ubiquitination and degradation [15]. However, the role of acetylation of HIF is still somewhat controversial and needs further exploration.

Conversely, under hypoxic conditions, PHDs lose activity and HIF- $\alpha$  units are no longer degraded. As HIF-1 $\alpha$  or HIF-2 $\alpha$  accumulate, they bind to HIF1- $\beta$  and form the HIF heterodimers, which translocate to the nucleus and, along with co-activators (p300 and CBP), form the transcriptional complexes that bind to hypoxic response elements (HREs) in the regulatory regions of many genes [16]. HREs are composite *cis*-acting elements, comprising the necessary but not sufficient HIF- $\beta$ inding site with the consensus sequence RCGTG (where R = purine A or G) and a HIF- $\alpha$ ncillary sequence [17]. The transactivation potential of the HIF- $\alpha$ / p300 complexes is regulated via deacetylation of p300 by histone deacetylase [18].

HIF activity is also regulated in an oxygen- dependent manner by an asparaginyl hydroxylase, Factor Inhibiting HIF-1 (FIH1), which hydroxylates an asparagine in the C-

terminal activation domain (CAD) of the a subunit (N-803 in human HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) under normoxia and mild hypoxia. Hydroxylation of N-803 blocks interactions between HIF-1 $\alpha$  and p300/ CBP coactivators preventing HIF activation [19]. Because FIH1 is less sensitive to oxygen drop than PHDs, it remains active under moderate hypoxia and maintains the inactivity of the HIF- $\alpha$ molecules that avoid the PHD-mediated degradation that occurs in mild hypoxia [20].

PHDs and FIH1 are dioxygenases that, in addition to molecular oxygen, require  $Fe^{2+}$  and 2-oxoglutarate (2-OG) co-factors for activity [20]. This provides an opportunity for regulation of their activity and in turn HIF-1 $\alpha$  levels/ HIF activity. Iron chelation is frequently used for activation of HIF-1 in cell culture [20]. There has also been some evidence of mitochondrial involvement in HIF regulation in that, under moderately hypoxic conditions, mitochondria will produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) from complex III of the electron-transport chain, which inhibit the activity of PHDs by oxidizing  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$ , thus accelerating the stabilization of HIF [21,22]. 2-OG is an intermediate in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and structurally related compounds (e.g., dimethyloxalylglycine or other TCA cycle intermediates, fumarate and succinate) can inhibit activity of PHDs directly by reversibly competing for the active site with 2-OG or indirectly via increasing cellular levels of ROS [23]. Recently, heterozygous mutations in *IDH1* or *IDH2* genes were described in glioma and acute myeloid leukemia. Mutant proteins, acting in a dominant-negative fashion, are defective in their ability to oxidize isocitrate to 2-OG [24]. This initial report suggested that in cells with mutant IDH HIF-1 $\alpha$  is indirectly stabilized because reduced synthesis of 2-OG lessens PHD function [24]. However, further studies found comparable levels of 2-OG in both wild-type and mutant cells; instead, the mutant IDHs acquire a neomorphic activity, which reduces 2-OG to 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) [25]. Moreover, it was reported that (R)-2-HG, the product of mutant IDH, can be utilized in place of 2-OG in the active

7

center of PHDs. Here, (*R*)-2-HG (but not (*S*)-2-HG which acts as an inhibitor of PHDs) is oxidized into 2-OG, resulting in increased PHD activity and correspondingly decreased HIF- $\alpha$ levels and HIF activity [26]. More work will be required to finalize the functional consequences of IDH mutations on activity of the HIF pathway and tumor growth.

Finally, HIF- $\alpha$  is situated at the convergence of multiple oncogenic and tumor suppressor pathways, including the PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ ERK pathways, which regulate HIF nonspecifically in an oxygen-independent manner. Activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway has been demonstrated to increase translation of HIF-1α mRNA and HIF-1a production [27,28]. Tumor suppressors (p53, GSK3b, and so forth) interfere with HIF function by decreasing HIF-1 $\alpha$ stability or transcriptional activity [23]. One important type of regulation is that of HIF-1 $\alpha$ phosphorylation, which can affect both HIF-1 $\alpha$  stability and its transactivation potential. HIF-1 $\alpha$ can be phosphorylated by GSK3 at three serine residues (551, 555 and 589) within the human HIF-1a N-terminal transactivation domain [29,30]. This recruits Fbw7 and USP28, which then mediates HIF-1a ubiquitination and subsequent VHL- independent proteasomal degradation [31]. PLK3 also destabilizes HIF-1α by phosphorylation at two serine resides (576 and 657) [32]. Conversely, phosphorylation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  can have a stabilization effect. It has been demonstrated that ATM can phosphorylate HIF-1 $\alpha$  at Ser-696, which increases its stability [33]. HIF-1 $\alpha$  is also phosphorylated in its CAD by ERK1, which increases its transcriptional activity, but not its stability [34]. Thr-796 in HIF-1a is phosphorylated by CK2 and this phosphorylation is also important for its transactivation potential and not stability [35,36]. The p42 and p44 MAPK pathways regulate HIF-1α post-translationally by phosphorylating two serine residues (641 and 643). This phosphorylation promotes nuclear accumulation of HIF-1 $\alpha$ , which leads to an increase of HIF-1-activated transcription [37,38]. In addition, HIF-1a Ser 247 phosphorylation

coregulates the dimerization of HIF-1 $\alpha$ /HIF-1 $\beta$  complex. HIF-1 $\alpha$  can be phosphorylated on Ser-247 in the PAS-B domain by CK1, which destabilizes the HIF-1 $\alpha$ /-1 $\beta$  complex, and thus diminishes its transcriptional activity [39]. HIF-1 $\alpha$ /-1 $\beta$  dimerization is also regulated by means other than HIF-1 $\alpha$  phosphorylation. For example, COMMD1 binds to the N-terminal domain of HIF-1 $\alpha$ , competing with HIF-1 $\beta$  binding, and subsequently decreases the DNA binding and transcriptional activation of the complex [40]. Finally, the HIF-1 $\alpha$ /p300 interaction is also posttranslationally regulated. Both hydroxylation of asparagine 803 and S-nitrosylation of cysteine 800 in the C-TAD of HIF-1 $\alpha$  decrease p300 binding, while phosphorylation of Thr-796 in the C-TAD does not affect p300 binding [41].

#### 2.3.1 Distinct roles of HIF-α subunits

Among the three members of the HIF- $\alpha$  family, HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  (also called EPAS1, MOP2 or HLF) have been extensively studied, whereas significantly less is known about the third member, HIF-3 $\alpha$ . HIF- $\alpha$  proteins exhibit high conservation in overall amino acid sequence, as well as similar domain structure, mechanisms of activation, heterodimerization with HIF-1 $\beta$ , and binding to the same HIF-binding site [20]. HIF- 1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  each contain an N-terminal activation domain and CAD, and both act as positive transcriptional regulators. In contrast, the truncated HIF-3 $\alpha$  lacks a CAD and acts as a dominant negative regulator of transcription and its expression is suppressed in renal cell carcinoma [23].

Despite the above-mentioned similarities, there is mounting evidence that HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  subunits are functionally distinct. The fact that neither HIF-1 $\alpha^{-/-}$  nor HIF-2 $\alpha^{-/-}$  embryos can survive suggests a lack of functional complementation between the two isoforms [42]. The ubiquitous expression of HIF-1 $\alpha$ , as opposed to the more cell type-specific expression of HIF-2 $\alpha$ , also suggests different physiological roles. Knockdown of HIF-1 $\alpha$  or HIF-2 $\alpha$  by siRNA elicits

remarkably different cell-specific effects: in endothelial and breast cancer cells hypoxiainducible gene expression has been demonstrated to be critically dependent on HIF-1 $\alpha$  but not HIF-2 $\alpha$  [43]; whereas in renal carcinoma cells, expression was critically dependent on HIF-2 $\alpha$ [44]. HIF- $\alpha$  isoforms display unexpected reciprocal suppressive interactions in renal cell carcinoma: enhanced expression of HIF-2 $\alpha$  suppresses HIF-1 $\alpha$  and vice versa [44].

In certain cancers, HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  have been shown to play contrasting roles in tumorigenesis. Contrary to earlier conclusions, HIF- 1a expression was found to correlate with lower disease stages in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC), non-small-cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and neuroblastoma; whereas HIF-2 $\alpha$  expression was found to correlate with more advanced stages and was consistently scored as a negative prognostic factor [45]. The most compelling evidence about the distinct roles of HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  has been accumulated in the VHL-deficient ccRCC: while ccRCC always overexpress HIF-2a and sometimes overexpress HIF-1 $\alpha$ , only HIF-2 $\alpha$  is required for their growth [46]. In addition, both HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$ , stabilized upon VHL inactivation, can transcriptionally down-regulate transcription of HIF-1 $\alpha$  in ccRCC through a mechanism that involves binding of either sub- unit to a reverse HRE in the HIF-1 $\alpha$  proximal promoter followed by a series of repressive his- tone modifications [47]. This observation is in agreement with previous reports suggesting that in the early stages of ccRCC HIF-1a provides a metabolic advantage due to activating glycolytic enzymes, but hinders progression by inducing cell-cycle arrest or apoptosis. Inactivation of HIF- $1\alpha$  and its replacement with HIF- $2\alpha$  discontinues this inhibitory effect and ccRCC rapidly progresses [45,48,49]. Together, these data support the notion that HIF-2 $\alpha$  has a greater oncogenic potential than HIF-1 $\alpha$  in ccRCC [50].

## 2.3.2 Distinct functions of HIF-1α and HIF-2α in certain types of cancer can be explained by differential direct and indirect transcriptional effects

HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  activate different sets of transcriptional targets (direct transcriptional effect). Several studies have shown that HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  differ in their capability to transactivate hypoxia-inducible genes. Some genes were transactivated exclusively by HIF-1 $\alpha$ (notably glycolytic and proapoptotic genes) whereas others were transactivated by both isoforms [43,51]. HIF-2 $\alpha$ , on the other hand, is a more efficient activator of genes encoding stem cell markers (see below). In a report that used siRNA methodology, HIF-2a preferentially regulated a small group of genes that had in common binding sites for the ETS family of transcription factors in their promoter regions. Knockdown of *ELK-1*, the most prominent member of the ETS family, significantly reduced hypoxic induction of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ -dependent genes [52]. A number of regulatory elements (e.g., ATF-1, CREB-1, AP-1 and Sp1)have been described to cooperate with HREs, [16]; however data on whether their cognate factors preferentially engage in cooperation with HIF-1 $\alpha$  or HIF-2 $\alpha$  are scarce. Together, these studies suggest that, of the two isoforms, HIF-1 $\alpha$  is a more universal activator [51], whereas HIF-2 $\alpha$  is the more selective activator of transcription and the observed significant quantitative differences/specificity of activation could be accounted for by preferential cooperation of one isoform with transcription factors binding to the regulatory elements juxtaposed to HREs [23]. Sirtuins, a family of stressresponsive nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent histone deacetylases that serve as sensors of the cellular redox state, also control transcriptional activity of HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$ [23]. Sirt1 was reported to deacetylate both HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  with different functional outcomes: deacetylation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  decreases its transcriptional activity [53], whereas

deacetylation of HIF-2 $\alpha$  resulted in enhanced transcriptional activity [54]. Thus, conditions of cellular redox/metabolic stress fine-tune activity of HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$ .

HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  differentially modulate the activity of other transcription factors (indirect transcriptional effects). HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  have been reported to modulate (through direct or indirect interactions) the transcriptional activity of certain critical oncogenes/tumor suppressors with opposite outcomes. Notable in this respect is MYC, the function of which is antagonized by HIF-1 $\alpha$  but enhanced by HIF-2 $\alpha$  [46,55]. Differential regulation of the tumor suppressor protein p53 by HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  was also reported. On the one side, HIF-1 $\alpha$  directly binds p53, inducing its stabilization, and eventually causing cell death [56,57]. Conversely, inhibition of HIF-2 $\alpha$  has been shown to promote p53 activity and higher levels of HIF-2 $\alpha$  contribute to increased radio- and chemo- resistance [58]. In this way, HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  can achieve opposing effects on tumor behavior through indirect transcriptional effects.

HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-2 $\alpha$  also have different effects on CSCs, also known as tumor-initiating or tumor-propagating cells, which are undifferentiated cells with the capacity to self-renew and reconstitute tumors *in vivo* that are phenotypically similar to the parental tumor [59]. An important feature of CSCs is their enhanced resistance to radio- and chemo-therapy that limits DNA damage, allowing them to survive the treatment and repopulate the tumor with their progeny. CSCs thus represent an important therapeutic target and their complete elimination is expected to greatly enhance the treatment efficacy [59,60]. There are numerous reports implicating hypoxia and HIFs in CSC biology. For example, populations of cells enriched for CSCs can be isolated from glioblastoma tumors using the cell surface marker CD133 and hypoxia may promote the expansion of the CD133-positive cells through activation of HIF-1 [61]. Other reports highlight the prominent role of HIF-2 $\alpha$  in CSCs: this isoform is selectively expressed in CSCs, whereas HIF-  $1\alpha$  was expressed at comparable levels in both CSC and non-CSC (CD133-negative) populations [62]. In the same study, only targeting HIF-  $2\alpha$  by shRNA decreased the growth of CSC populations in vitro, inhibited CSC-mediated angiogenesis and significantly prolonged the survival of mice intracranially implanted with CSCs. In a separate study, HIF-2 $\alpha$  colocalized with neural crest markers in a subset of immature cells in neuroblastoma tumors and HIF-  $2\alpha$  knockdown induced differentiation of these cells [63]. HIFs thus help maintain an undifferentiated state in some populations of CSCs as well as other stem and progenitor cells [60]. HIFs apparently control self-renewal and differentiation processes in these cells by means of trans- activating critical genes and the key transcription factors involved in these processes. In this category, interaction of HIF-1 $\alpha$  with Notch1 helps maintain the undifferentiated cell state [64], whereas HIF- $2\alpha$  induces expression and transcriptional activity of the marker of the undifferentiated state – Oct4 [65]. HIF-2 $\alpha$  also regulates the transcription factor Sox2 [66], which, in turn, controls pluripotency by direct regulation of Oct4 levels [67]. Recently, reprogramming of somatic cells into induced pluripotent cells was achieved through transduction of four defined transcription factors (c-MYC, KLF4, SOX2 and Oct4) all of which are transactivated by HIF [55,65,66]. Together, these reports provide evidence that HIFs directly control markers of the undifferentiated state (stemness) and are necessary for maintaining CSCs. Due to its critical role and selective activation, HIF-2 $\alpha$  could be an important therapeutic target in CSCs.

### 2.3.3 Genes activated by HIF

Along with its co-activators, the HIF complex acts as a transcription factor for hundreds of genes, including *VEGF*, *NOS*, *GLUT1*, *LDH*, *CA9* and *MDR1* (Table 2.1) [69–107]. Many of these genes affect cancer progression through angiogenesis, erythropoiesis, increased glucose

metabolism, immune evasion, immortalization, genetic instability, increased invasion and metastasis, pH regulation, drug resistance, CSC maintenance and proliferation.

Effect on cancer progression	Genes activated by hypoxia inducible factor-1	Ref.
Angiogenesis	ANGPT2, C-MET, ID2, LEP, NOS, PDGF, SCF, SDF-1, VEGF	[74-82]
Drug resistance	MDR1	[83]
Erythropoiesis	EPO	[84]
Genetic instability	DEC1, DEC2, MSH2, MSH6	[85-86]
Glucose metabolism	GLUT1, GPI, HK1, HK2, LDH, MCT1, PGK1, PKM2	[87–92]
Immortalization	TERT	[93]
Immune evasion	NT5E	[94]
Invasion	C-MET, EDN-1, FN-1, MMP-2, MMP- 14, SDF-1	[75,81,95–98]
Metastasis	C-MET, CXCR4, LOX, TWIST1, ZEB1	[75,85,99–101]
pH regulation	CA-9, CA-12	[102]
Proliferation	C-MYC, ID2, IGF-2, NOS,	[76,78,103,104]
Stem cell maintenance	ABCG2, JARID1B, OCT4, NANOG, Sox2, KLF4, c-myc, miR302	[55,65–67,105–107]

Table 2.1. Selected HIF target genes and their effects on cancer progression

Two of the most essential functions of cancer biology that HIF-1 activates are angiogenesis and glucose metabolism [108];the former controls oxy- gen and nutrient delivery and the latter generates energy and synthetic intermediates for growth and survival. VEGF is an important growth factor in angiogenesis and vascularization and plays a significant role in hypoxic conditions and has been demonstrated to increase *in vivo* tumor size and vascularization [109]. VEGF also plays a critical role in embryonic development – deletion of a single allele of the gene in *VEGF*-knockout mice is lethal within two weeks of development. HIF-1 activates *VEGF* transcription by binding to its HRE and VEGF synthesis increases angiogenesis [110]. NOS produces nitric oxide, which promotes angiogenesis and cell proliferation, and increases cell survival by inhibiting apoptosis. HIF-1 binds to the *NOS* HRE and thus upregulates NOS

expression and increases cancer progression [111]. Histological studies have associated increased malignancy and aggressiveness in cancers with increased HIF-1 and VEGF expression, and NOS expression has been confirmed in many cancers, including breast, head and neck, prostate and colorectal [111,112].

HIF-1 is a transcription factor for many genes involved in the glycolytic cascade, including *GLUT1* and *LDH*, which allow tumors to grow under hypoxic conditions by metabolizing glucose to lactate through anaerobic glycolysis [92,113]. One result of anaerobic glycolysis is decreased production of ROS generated by oxidative phosphorylation, which can prevent cellular senescence, and thus remove a constraint on tumor growth [114]. Another consequence of glycolysis and lactate buildup is hypoxic acidosis, which, if not prevented, would lead to a decrease in intra- cellular pH, cell damage and death. However, HIF-1 activates the synthesis of monocarboxylate transporters (e.g., MCT) that extrude lactate into the extracellular space [115] and CA-9 and CA-12, which use CO to generate HCO <sup>-</sup> to dampen the effects of acidosis and increase cell survival. Not surprisingly, higher GLUT1 levels are correlated with poor survival in many cancers – including breast, head and neck, esophageal, stomach, bladder, ovarian, colorectal, and non-small-cell lung carcinomas – and CA-9 is used as a marker for cancer progression [1,116].

In addition to activating gene expression for cancer progression, HIF-1 decreases the effectiveness of anticancer therapies such as radiation and chemotherapy. HIF-1 itself is stabilized by radiation, even doubling its activity in the two days following therapy, due to the re-oxygenation and release of ROS that follows radiation treatment [117,118]. The radiation-induced production of ROS increases HIF-1 $\alpha$  accumulation by reducing its degradation through an AMP- activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent pathway, where ROS-activated AMPK

inhibits the interaction between HIF-1 $\alpha$  and VHL, thus preventing ubiquitination and subsequent degradation [119,120]. Increased HIF-1 $\alpha$  levels have also been shown to decrease the effectiveness of chemo- therapy in various types of cancer [121–124], due to factors such as HIF-mediated regulation of drug efflux, cell proliferation and survival, metabolic reprogramming and inhibition of DNA damage [125]. One specific mechanism by which HIF-1 can decrease effectiveness of therapy is by activation of *MDR1* and the expression of the multiple drug resistance phenotype, which is present in the most aggressive cancers and correlates with the metastatic ability of multiple solid tumor cancers [126]. In addition, many anticancer therapeutics, such as doxorubicin, rely on the generation of ROS for cytotoxicity, but ROS stabilize HIF-1 $\alpha$  [127]. Moreover, many therapeutics contain weakly basic moieties that can react with the acidic tumor microenvironment and lose efficacy [128].

### 2.4 Molecular targets of HIF-1 inhibitors

There are many different strategies for inhibiting the HIF-1 pathway. Small molecules have been shown to inhibit HIF activity through a variety of mechanisms including HIF-1 $\alpha$  protein synthesis, HIF-1 $\alpha$  protein stabilization, HIF-1 $\alpha$ -HIF-1 $\beta$  dimerization, HIF-1 dimer DNA binding, and interactions with other proteins. There were two comprehensive reviews in 2012 by Semenza [129] and Xia *et al.* [130] that cover these mechanisms in detail and give fairly exhaustive lists of the small- molecules that inhibit at each level.

Many HIF-1 inhibitors affect the HIF-1 pathway upstream of HIF-1 $\alpha$  synthesis (Figure 2.2). Cancer metabolism and regulation is a very complex system and it can be difficult to separate the desired effects on one pathway from another. This complexity can work for medicinal chemists in a synergistic manner by up- or down-regulating multiple pathways/targets by producing similar net results in the design and implementation of therapeutics. Conversely,

the inhibitors may have unexpected counter-productive effects which may contribute to the high late-stage clinical failures for anticancer drugs, which is around 70% for Phase II trials and 60% for Phase III trials [131]. These high attrition rates can, moreover, be attributed to several factors, including the hypoxic and acidic tumor microenvironment; veracity and fidelity of *in vitro* preclinical models; drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity; drug delivery *in vivo*; and translation to the clinic [132].



### Figure 2.2. Mechanisms of HIF inhibition

HIF: Hypoxia inducible factor; HRE: Hypoxia response element; PHD: Prolyl hydroxylases; Ub: Ubiquitin chains; VHL: von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein.

In the field of HIF-1 inhibitors, the role of hypoxia is key. Tumor hypoxia has been touted as "the best validated target that has yet to be exploited in oncology" [5]. The definition of hypoxia has been well established at 0–5% oxygen, but there is a variance in the levels of oxygen defining normoxia. Atmospheric oxygen levels are approximately 20%, whereas tissues in the body have normal oxygen levels that range from 0 (bone marrow) to 14% (lung, liver, kidney and heart), with levels of approximately 10–12% in circulation [133]. Thus, *in vitro* assays that are carried out under 'normoxic' conditions, but are simply left open to the atmosphere ought to be considered 'hyperoxic' when compared to *in vivo* oxygen levels [134]. This difference in oxygen levels can contribute negatively to many preclinical studies and should be considered as a contributing factor to drug attrition.

Another factor in tumor hypoxia is the acidic extracellular environment due to the increased expression of the glycolytic phenotype. This creates a unique pH gradient for tumors that is the opposite of that of normal tissue [135]. It has been demonstrated that weakly basic anti- cancer therapeutics, such as doxorubicin, are excluded from acidic tumors [136]. This acidic microenvironment can also contribute negatively to *in vivo* studies and should be considered as another factor contributing to drug failure.

### 2.5 HIF-1 inhibitors in the clinic: hits and misses

Transcription factors have, for a long time, been considered undruggable targets, and to date, no specific inhibitor of HIF has been brought to market. Multiple levels of regulation and the fact that multiple signaling pathways converge on HIF- $\alpha$  explain the diversity of compounds that, among other targets, inhibit HIF. Although there are many challenges to targeting HIF, there have been a number of compounds that have made it to clinical trials as anticancer therapeutics, which have also been shown to inhibit HIF activity. Some of these have failed their

trials, while others have been US FDA approved for patient treatment. The following illustrates a few of these examples (Table 2.2) and what their outcomes say for the field as a whole.

### 2.5.1 Camptothecins: camptothecin, topotecan, irinotecan

In the 1960s, camptothecin was first isolated from the bark of a native Chinese tree, Camptotheca acuminata, by Wall and Wani. By the mid 1970s, camptothecin was in clinical trials due to its anticancer activity, but it was terminated because of its serious side effects [137]. Research on camptothecin was not resumed until the discovery of DNA topoisomerase 1 (TOP1) as its primary target and the successful development of water-soluble derivatives of camptothecin: topotecan (Hycamtin<sup>®</sup>) and irinotecan (Camptosar, CPT-11) [138]. The camptothecins have a unique mechanism of action that targets TOP1, an essential human enzyme that relaxes DNA supercoiling by forming single strand breaks, unwinding, then religating the strands back together. The camptothecins act as irreversible inhibitors to the TOP1-DNA complex by intercalating into DNA at the protein-DNA interface, which then prevents the religation of the DNA strands [139]. Both irinotecan and topotecan have similar mechanisms of action as camptothecin. TOP1 is an upstream regulator of the HIF-1 pathway. TOP1 inhibition leads to HIF-  $1\alpha$  down-regulation due to decreased protein accumulation and translation that is independent of oxygen or proteasomal degradation [140]. It has been suggested that this mechanism could be due to the activation of a novel antisense transcript from the 5' end of HIF $l\alpha$  and the removal of Pol II from the promoter-proximal pause site of the HIF- $l\alpha$  gene [141]. The mechanism of inhibition of HIF-1 $\alpha$  translation by camptothecin and its derivatives is TOP1dependent but DNA- damage independent. Irinotecan was approved for treatment of colorectal cancer in 1996 [142] and topotecan was approved for the treatment of Stage IVB recurrent carcinoma of the cervix in 2006 [303]. In 2007, the FDA approved Hycamtin for treatment of relapsed small cell lung cancer [304]. The successful development of these camptothecin derivatives would not have been possible without further elucidation of their mechanism of action. This case illustrates how drugs (and classes of drugs) that have unknown mechanisms of action and have previously failed clinical trials can be repurposed and brought to market once the mode of action is elucidated and the pharmacokinetics optimized.

### 2.5.2 Bortezomib

Bortezomib (Velcade<sup>®</sup>, PS-341) is a dipep- tide boronic acid-containing compound that reversibly inhibits the chymotryptic activity of the 20S subunit of the 26S proteasome due to the high binding affinity and specificity between the boron atom and the 20S subunit [143]. The proteasome regulates protein expression and function by degradation of ubiquitinated proteins, and cleanses the cell of unfolded or misfolded proteins. Bortezomib has been shown to directly inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis in multiple myeloma cell lines and patient tumor cells resistant to conventional therapies [144]. Proteasome inhibition by bortezomib leads to disruption of intracellular protein metabolism. The downstream biological effects of proteasome inhibition are numerous, with direct effects on both multiple myeloma cells and their microenvironment, including inhibition of cytokine secretion, suppression of adhesion molecule expression and inhibition of angiogenesis [145]. Because bortezomib's primary target is the proteasome, it prevents the degradation of ubiquitinated HIF $\alpha$  and leads to the accumulation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  under normoxia. However, the stabilized HIF-1(-2) is inactive due to upregulation of FIH activity, which inhibits recruitment of p300 [146]. There has been other evidence that bortezomib does not directly affect the formation of the HIF-1-p300 complex, but interferes with the C-terminal domain of HIF-1 $\alpha$  [147]. More recently, bortezomib was shown to inhibit the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway upstream of the HIF pathway in prostate cancer cells [148]. Due to

bortezomib's remarkable clinical activity against multiple myeloma, it was rapidly approved by the FDA in 2003 to treat relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma [149]. It is unknown whether HIF inhibition is critical to the clinical activity of bortezomib. Bortezomib is an excellent example of an FDA-approved anticancer therapeutic that indirectly inhibits HIF expression and function and that has differing modes of action in different cancer models, which illustrates the complexity of the field.

### 2.5.3 Romidepsin

Romidepsin (Istodax<sup>®</sup>, FK228, FR901228) is an anticancer agent isolated from the bacterium Chromobacterium violaceum that was first reported by Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company (now Astellas Pharma) in 1994 [65]. Its mechanism of action was demonstrated in 1998 [150,151]. As a prodrug with a disulfide bond, romidepsin under- goes reduction to release a zinc-binding thiol [152]. This thiol reversibly interacts with a zinc atom in the binding pocket of Zn-dependent histone deacetylases (HDACs) to block their activity, classifying romidepsin as a HDAC inhibitor (HDACI). HDACs affect gene expression by removal of acetyl groups from acetylated lysine residues in histones. HDACs also deacetylate nonhistone proteins, such as transcription factors. In vitro, romidepsin causes the accumulation of acetylated histones, thus inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of some cancer cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values in the nanomolar range [153]. HDAC activity is crucial for the transactivation potential of HIF-1a and most HDACIs regulate acetylation of the HIF-1a/p300 complex [154]. It has also been suggested that the class II isozyme HDACs are involved in direct acetylation and ubiquitination of HIF-1α [155]. Romidepsin was approved as a treatment for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma in 2009 [305]. Other HDACIs are also in preclinical and clinical development, but many in the field have moved to target other mechanisms of action due to high adverse effects associated with the HDACIs.

### 2.5.4 Temsirolimus

Temsirolimus (Torisel<sup>®</sup>, CCI-779), the ester version of rapamycin, is the first FDA approved inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR/TORC1), which is a serine/threonine- specific kinase in the phosphatidylinositol 3–kinase (PI3K) related protein family [156]. In RCC, temsirolimus was shown to inhibit tumor growth and HIF expression by inhibition of the mTOR-dependent kinase cascade needed for *HIF* mRNA translation [157]. Inhibition of PI3K and its downstream target mTOR was demonstrated in prostate cancer (PC-3) cells to decrease HIF-1-dependent gene expression and temsirolimus was shown to suppress HIF-1 activation by increasing HIF-1 $\alpha$  degradation rate in hypoxic PC-3 cells [158]. The FDA approved Torisel (temsirolimus) for the treatment of RCC in 2007 [306]. However, not all inhibitors of the P13K/AKT/mTOR pathway have had such success.

### 2.5.5 Perifosine

Perifosine is an alkylphospholipid analogue, which has demonstrated significant antiproliferative activity in several human tumor model systems both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. It has a similar structure to miltefosine, a drug that has been approved in Europe for the treatment of cutaneous lymphomas and metastasis from breast cancer. Perifosine's activity is also due to its effect on the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway, an upstream regulator of the HIF pathway. Many growth factors that upregulate HIF activate the PI3K–AKT–mTOR pathway, which leads to increased HIF-1 $\alpha$  protein translation and stability [159]. Perifosine, which inhibits AKT in a dose-dependent manner, entered Phase I clinical trials in 2003 [160] and, in 2010, reached Phase II trial due to significant growth inhibition *in vitro* and *in vivo* in the Waldenstrom
macroglobulinemia model [161]. In 2012, how- ever, perifosine failed a late-stage Phase III study on colorectal cancer due to lack of efficacy but will continue in another Phase III study as part of combination therapy with bortezomib against multiple myeloma [307]. Perifosine is one of many compounds indirectly affecting HIF expression that showed great promise in preclinical models, but has failed to exhibit efficacy in human trials.

#### 2.5.6 2-Methoxyestradiol

2-methoxyestradiol (2ME2) is a natural metabo- lite of estradiol and is a potent antitumor agent, due to its antiproliferative, antimetastatic and antiangiogenic activity. These antitumor activities result from its pro-apoptotic activity, micro- tubule activity and production of superoxides [162]. Its main potency appears to be derived from disruption of cellular microtubules that are necessary for HIF-1 $\alpha$  translocation to the nucleus. 2ME2 thus retards HIF-1 nuclear accumulation and activity in a manner that is both oxygen- and proteasomeindependent [163]. It was subsequently found that microtubule inhibitors such as 2ME2 and taxol also inhibit HIF-1 $\alpha$  mRNA translation [164]. A Phase I clinical trial of 2ME2 was successfully concluded in 2006 [165]. In 2011, 2ME2 nanocrystal dispersion failed to show a significant effect in a Phase II study against castration-resistant prostate cancer [166] and in 2012, in a second Phase II study against metastatic renal cell carcinoma due to both lack of objective effects and high toxicity. The cli- nicians recommended halting trials of 2ME2 in favor of a new 2ME2 analog in development [167]. Hopefully, this new analog, ENMD-1198, will have more favorable results.

## 2.5.7 Echinomycin

Echinomycin (quinomycin A, NSC 526417) is a cyclic peptide antibiotic agent of quinoxaline that was originally isolated from *Streptomyces echinatus* [168]. Echinomycin is

known to bind DNA in a sequence-specific manner. Binding sites for echinomycin contain the central sequence 5'-CG-3' and the key recognition elements are contained in the sequences 5'-ACGT-3' and 5'-TCGT-3', which are part of the DNA recognition motifs for several transcription factors [169]. Inhibition of HIF-1 binding to the HRE (RCGTG; where R = purine A or G), a step required for induction of transcription, is a potential mechanism by which echinomycin may inhibit HIF-1 activity [170]. Echinomycin was shown in chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments to selectively inhibit binding of HIF-1 to DNA [171]. Despite echinomycin's *in vitro* apoptosis-inducing activity and the initial report of its *in vivo* antitumor effect in mice, echinomycin's clinical development was halted in the late 1980s following extensive testing as a cytotoxic agent in Phase I–II trials, which failed to show significant activity [172].

## 2.5.8 Ansamycins: Geldanamycin, 17-AAG, 17-DMAG

Geldanamycin (GA) is a macrocyclicpolyketide antibiotic containing a benzoquinone moiety and was originally isolated from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* [173]. GA is a Hsp90 inhibitor, that acts by binding the N-terminal ATP-binding domain of Hsp90, leading to the destabilization and eventual degradation of Hsp90 client proteins [174–176]. Hsp90, one of the most abundant cellular proteins, assists in protein folding and degradation and is upregulated during cellular stress [177,178]. HIF is a client protein of Hsp90 and the inhibition of Hsp90 has been shown to destabilize HIF, leading to HIF degradation and decrease in transcriptional activity [179,180]. GA and its derivatives 17-AAG (tanespimycin) and 17-DMAG (alvespimycin) have demonstrated anti-tumorigenic and -angiogenic properties both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. However, GA was never brought to the clinic due to its poor pharmacological properties and hepatoxicity in animal models [181,182]. 17-AAG was the first- in-class Hsp90 inhibitor to

enter Phase I trials, where it showed promise; however, it showed poor results in Phase II trials, most likely due to its poor bioavailability and solubility [183–186]. 17-DMAG, an orally available agent, has shown promise in the clinic, with success in Phase I trials, but needs further evaluation [187,188].

## 2.6 Conclusions

Hypoxic conditions in the cancer micro- environment lead to increased resistance to both chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In most cases, the HIF pathway is the primary pathway responsible for this more malignant phenotype and its activation leads to increased cancer metastasis and poor patient prognosis. There has been much effort in this area to develop smallmolecule inhibitors of the HIF pathway (mostly focused on HIF-1) as well as upstream and downstream effects. There have been no approved drugs that directly inhibit the HIF pathway, but there have been a few that indirectly affect the HIF path- way, as well as many more that have failed to demonstrate therapeutic efficacy in clinical trials for cancer patients. Some of these failures can be accredited to a lack of specificity and/or redundancy in the complexity of tumor signaling/ metabolism that can overcome the inhibition effects. Another contributing factor to the failure of these compounds can be attributed to the lack of patient selection in clinical trials. Although many clinical trials evaluate the efficacy of anti- cancer therapeutics and examine their effects on HIF levels, patients are not selected based on their HIF-expression levels. If patients do not have elevated levels of HIF, therapeutics that tar- get the HIF pathway may be less effective. More work needs to be done to identify novel, potent and more specific inhibitors targeting clearly defined points in the HIF pathway. Such new agents should be used in combination therapy and will hopefully overcome resistance that may develop during the initial treatment.



Table 2.2. Small anti-cancer molecules with HIF-1 inhibitory activity

## 2.7 Future Perspective

Recently, the HIF pathway was touted as "technically undruggable or at the very least as extremely challenging to target by medicinal chemists using small molecules" [189]. This attitude can be attributed not only to the difficulty of targeting such a complex pathway, but also to the challenges of targeting protein-protein interactions [190]. Small molecules typically inhibit protein function by binding with high affinity and specificity to hydrophobic pockets on or near the protein's surface. When trying to disrupt interactions between proteins, these binding sites may no longer be accessible and the interactions between proteins are so multifarious that one small molecule may not be able to interrupt the key interactions. There have been advances in this field of targeting protein-protein interaction, such as stapled peptide inhibitors of transcription factors [191] and small-molecule inhibitors of the MDM2- p53 interaction as anticancer therapeutics [192]. Some interesting features of the small molecules identified are that they tend to be large, lipophilic, and rigid structures with complex 3D shape that form few hydrogen bonds [193,194]. These structural differences vary from the typical Lipinksi rule of five for drug-like properties [195] and could portend a new paradigm for drug-like qualities for small molecules that inhibit protein-protein interactions.



Figure 2.3. Trends of HIF inhibitors from 2000-2012

A) Number of patents issued by year. B) Number of publications by year. C) Number of clinical trials by year. D) Number of citations by year.

Interest in small-molecule inhibitors of the HIF pathway has steadily increased over the past 15 years. The number of patent applications, publications, citations and clinical trials has risen since the late 1990s and early 2000s (Figure 2.3). Although there have been few drugs that have made it all the way through clinical trials, the field is ripe and interest is increasing. It still remains to be seen whether inhibitors capable of distinguishing HIF-1 and HIF-2 complexes can be developed. In addition, new therapeutics are being developed against downstream HIF targets, such as MCT [91] and CA-IX [196]. Inhibitors of post-translational modifications of HIF-1 $\alpha$  have also shown some promise. Kaempferol was recently shown to inactivate the p44/42 MAPK phosphorylation of HIF-1 $\alpha$ , causing its mislocalization into the cytoplasm in hepatocellular carcinoma [197]. Results from high-throughput screenings and natural product discovery [198]

have also yielded new hits and lead compounds, but even more new methods need to be identified. Recently, novel HIF inhibitors from frankincense were identified through a new method using a molecularly imprinted polymer [199]. Acriflavine was identified as a HIFdimerization inhibitor from a screening of drugs that had previously made it to Phase II clinical trials [200]. In addition, targeting the HIF-2 $\alpha$ /-1 $\beta$  dimerization has been suggested as a valid target for anticancer therapeutics [201]. The well-known HIF/p300 interaction inhibitor chetomin [202] and its family, the epidithiodiketopiperazines, act via a mechanism of action involving disruption of the zinc-binding sites in the CH1 domain of p300 [203]. In addition, artificial ahelices have been demonstrated to interfere with the HIF/ p300 interaction [204]. Oncolytic viruses dependent upon HIF expression for their replication have also been developed and showed strong antitumor effects [205-207]. Recently, a group of collaborators, including the authors, reported a new class of HIF-1 inhibitors, that appears to target the interaction between HIF-1 and p300 and, therefore, HIF-mediated transcription [208–214]. The lead arylsulfonamide compound (KCN1) showed potent anticancer activity in several cancer models [215,216]. Moreover, these inhibitors do not show intrinsic cytotoxicity and thus are promising compounds for further clinical development.

#### 2.8 References

- Vaupel P, Mayer A. Hypoxia in cancer: significance and impact on clinical outcome. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 26(2), 225–239 (2007).
- Cairns RA, Kalliomaki T, Hill RP. Acute (cyclic) hypoxia enhances spontaneous metastasis of KHT murine tumors. *Cancer Res.* 61(24), 8903–8908 (2001).
- 3. Matsumoto S. Imaging cycling tumor hypoxia. Cancer Res. 70(24), 10019–10023 (2010).

- Belozerov VE, Van Meir EG. Inhibition of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 signaling. *Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs* 7(12), 1067–1076 (2006).
- Wilson W, Hay M. Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11(6), 393–410 (2011).
- Brown JM, Wilson WR. Exploiting tumor hypoxia in cancer treatment. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 4, 437–447 (2004).
- Graeber TG, Osmanian C, Jacks T *et al.* Hypoxia-mediated selection of cells with diminished apoptotic potential in solid tumors. *Nature* 379(6560), 88–91 (1996).
- Subarsky P, Hill RP. The hypoxic tumor microenvironment and metastatic progression. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 20(3), 237–250 (2003).
- Wang GL, Semenza GL. Purification and characterization of hypoxia-inducible factor 1. J. Biol. Chem. 270(3), 1230–1237 (1995).
- Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O<sub>2</sub> tension. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 92(12), 5510–5514 (1995).
- 11. Berra E, Benizri E, Ginouves A, Volmat V, Roux D, Pouyssegur J. HIF prolyl- hydroxylase
  2 is the key oxygen sensor setting low steady-state levels of HIF-1αlpha in normoxia. *EMBO J*. 22(16), 4082–4090 (2003).
- Hirsila M, Koivunen P, Gunzler V, Kivirikko KI, Myllyharju J. Characterization of the human prolyl 4-hydroxylases that modify the hypoxia-inducible factor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278(33), 30772–30780 (2003).

- Maxwell PH, Wiesener MS, Chang GW *et al.* The tumor suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen- dependent proteolysis. *Nature* 399(6733), 271–275 (1999).
- 14. Ivan M, Kondo K, Yang HF *et al.* HIF alpha targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: implications for O2 sensing. *Science* 292(5516), 464–468 (2001).
- 15. Jeong JW, Bae MK, Ahn MY *et al.* Regulation and destabilization of HIF-1α by ARD1mediated acetylation. *Cell* 111(5), 709–720 (2002).
- Wenger RH, Stiehl DP, Camenisch G. Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. *Sci. STKE* 2005(306), re12 (2005).
- 17. Kimura H, Weisz A, Ogura T *et al.* Identification of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 ancillary sequence and its function in vascular endothelial growth factor gene induction by hypoxia and nitric oxide. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276(3), 2292–2298 (2001).
- Fath DM, Kong X, Liang D *et al.* Histone deacetylase inhibitors repress the transactivation potential of hypoxia-inducible factors independently of direct acetylation of HIF-α. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281(19), 13612–13619 (2006).
- Dayan F, Roux D, Brahimi-Horn MC, Pouyssegur J, Mazure NM. The oxygen sensor factorinhibiting hypoxia-inducible factor-1 controls expression of distinct genes through the bifunctional transcriptional character of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *Cancer Res.* 66(7), 3688–3698 (2006).
- 20. Kaelin WG Jr, Ratcliffe PJ. Oxygen sensing by metazoans: the central role of the HIF hydroxylase pathway. *Mol. Cell* 30(4), 393–402 (2008).
- 21. Kaelin Jr WG. ROS: really involved in oxygen sensing. Cell Metab. 1(6), 357-358 (2005).

- 22. 22 Czibik G. Complex role of the HIF system in cardiovascular biology. *J. Mol. Med.* 88(11), 1101–1111 (2010).
- 23. Majmundar AJ, Wong WJ, Simon MC. Hypoxia-inducible factors and the response to hypoxic stress. *Mol. Cell* 40(2), 294–309 (2010).
- 24. Zhao S, Lin Y, Xu W *et al.* Glioma-derived mutations in IDH1 dominantly inhibit IDH1 catalytic activity and induce HIF-1 alpha. *Science* 324(5924), 261–265 (2009).
- 25. Dang L, White DW, Gross S *et al.* Cancer- associated IDH1 mutations produce 2hydroxyglutarate. *Nature* 462(7274), U739–U752 (2009).
- 26. Koivunen P, Lee S, Duncan CG *et al.* Transformation by the (R)-enantiomer of 2hydroxyglutarate linked to EGLN activation. *Nature* 483(7390), U485–U144 (2012).
- 27. Bardos J, Ashcroft M. Negative and positive regulation of HIF-1: a complex network. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 25(2), 107–120 (2005).
- 28. Déry M-A, Michaud MD, Richard DE. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1: regulation by hypoxic and non-hypoxic activators. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol.* 37(3), 535–540 (2005).
- 29. Flügel D, Görlach A, Michiels C, Kietzmann T. Glycogen synthase kinase 3 phosphorylates hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and mediates its destabilization in a VHL- independent manner. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 27(9), 3253–3265 (2007).
- 30. Mottet D, Dumont V, Deccache Y *et al.* Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α protein level during hypoxic conditions by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt/glycogen synthase kinase 3b pathway in HepG2 cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278(33), 31277–31285 (2003).
- 31. Flügel D, Görlach A, Kietzmann T. GSK-3b regulates cell growth, migration, and angiogenesis via Fbw7 and USP28-dependent degradation of HIF-1α. *Blood* 119(5), 1292– 1301 (2012).

- 32. Xu D, Yao Y, Lu L, Costa M, Dai W. Plk3 functions as an essential component of the hypoxia regulatory pathway by direct phosphorylation of HIF-1α. J. Biol. Chem. 285(50), 38944–38950 (2010).
- 33. Cam H, Easton JB, High A, Houghton PJ. mTORC1 signaling under hypoxic conditions is controlled by ATM-dependent phosphorylation of HIF-1α. *Mol. Cell* 40(4), 509–520 (2010).
- 34. Minet E, Arnould T, Michel G *et al.* ERK activation upon hypoxia: involvement in HIF-1 activation. *FEBS Lett.* 468(1), 53–58 (2000).
- 35. Gradin K, Takasaki C, Fujii-Kuriyama Y, Sogawa K. The transcriptional activation function of the HIF-like factor requires phosphorylation at a conserved threonine. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(26), 23508–23514 (2002).
- 36. Mottet D, Ruys SPD, Demazy C, Raes M, Michiels C. Role for casein kinase 2 in the regulation of HIF-1 activity. *Int J. Cancer* 117(5), 764–774 (2005).
- 37. 37 Richard DE, Berra E, Gothié E, Roux D, Pouysségur J. p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinases phosphorylate hypoxia- inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and enhance the transcriptional activity of HIF-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274(46), 32631–32637 (1999).
- 38. Mylonis I, Chachami G, Samiotaki M *et al.* Identification of MAPK phosphorylation sites and their role in the localization and activity of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281(44), 33095–33106 (2006).
- 39. Kalousi A, Mylonis I, Politou AS, Chachami G, Paraskeva E, Simos G. Casein kinase 1 regulates human hypoxia-inducible factor HIF- 1. *J. Cell Sci.* 123(17), 2976–2986 (2010).
- 40. VanDeSluisB,MaoX,ZhaiY*etal*. COMMD1 disrupts HIF-1alpha/beta dimerization and inhibits human tumor cell invasion. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120(6), 2119–2130 (2010).

- 41. Cho H, Ahn D-R, Park H, Yang EG. Modulation of p300 binding by posttranslational modifications of the C-terminal activation domain of hypoxia- inducible factor-1α. FEBS Lett. 581(8), 1542–1548 (2007).
- 42. Huang LE, Bunn HF. Hypoxia-inducible factor and its biomedical relevance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278(22), 19575–19578 (2003).
- Hu CJ, Wang LY, Chodosh LA, Keith B, Simon MC. Differential roles of hypoxia- inducible factor 1alpha (HIF-1alpha) and HIF- 2alpha in hypoxic gene regulation. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 23(24), 9361–9374 (2003).
- 44. Raval RR, Lau KW, Tran MGB *et al.* Contrasting properties of HIF-1 and HIF-2 in Von Hippel-Lindau-associated renal cell carcinoma. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25(13), 5675–5686 (2005).
- 45. Bertout JA, Patel SA, Simon MC. The impact of O<sub>2</sub> availability on human cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8(12), 967–975 (2008).
- 46. Gordan JD, Lal P, Dondeti VR *et al.* HIF- alpha effects on c-Myc distinguish two subtypes of sporadic VHL-deficient clear cell renal carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 14(6), 435–446 (2008).
- 47. Xu J, Wang B, Xu Y *et al.* Epigenetic regulation of HIF-1 alpha in renal cancer cells involves HIF-1 alpha/2 alpha binding to a reverse hypoxia-response element. *Oncogene* 31(8), 1065– 1072 (2012).
- 48. Giatromanolaki A, Koukourakis MI, Sivridis E *et al.* Relation of hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha and 2 alpha in operable non- small cell lung cancer to angiogenic/ molecular profile of tumors and survival. *Br. J. Cancer* 85(6), 881–890 (2001).
- 49. Franovic A, Holterman CE, Payette J, Lee S. Human cancers converge at the HIF-2α oncogenic axis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(50), 21306–21311 (2009).

- 50. Biswas S, Troy H, Leek R et al. Effects of HIF-1alpha and HIF-2alpha on growth and metabolism of clear-cell renal cancer 786-0 xenografts. *J. Oncol.* 2010, 757908 (2010).
- 51. Sowter HM, Raval RR, Moore JW, Ratcliffe PJ, Harris AL. Predominant role of hypoxiainducible transcription factor (HIF)-1alpha versus HIF-2alpha in regulation of the transcriptional response to hypoxia. *Cancer Res.* 63(19), 6130–6134 (2003).
- 52. Aprelikova O, Wood M, Tackett S, Chandramouli GV, Barrett JC. Role of ETS transcription factors in the hypoxia-inducible factor-2 target gene selection. *Cancer Res.* 66(11), 5641– 5647 (2006).
- 53. Lim JH, Lee YM, Chun YS, Chen J, Kim JE, Park JW. Sirtuin 1 modulates cellular responses to hypoxia by deacetylating hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha. *Mol. Cell* 38(6), 864–878 (2010).
- 54. Dioum EM, Chen R, Alexander MS *et al.* Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha signaling by the stress-responsive deacetylase sirtuin 1. *Science* 324(5932), 1289–1293 (2009).
- 55. Gordan JD, Bertout JA, Hu CJ, Diehl JA, Simon MC. HIF-2 alpha promotes hypoxic cell proliferation by enhancing c-Myc transcriptional activity. *Cancer Cell* 11(4), 335–347 (2007).
- 56. Moeller BJ, Dreher MR, Rabbani ZN *et al.* Pleiotropic effects of HIF-1 blockade on tumor radiosensitivity. *Cancer Cell* 8(2), 99–110 (2005).
- 57. An WG, Kanekal M, Simon MC, Maltepe E, Blagosklonny MV, Neckers LM. Stabilization of wild-type p53 by hypoxia- inducible factor 1 alpha. *Nature* 392(6674), 405–408 (1998).

- 58. Bertout JA, Majmundar AJ, Gordan JD *et al.* HIF2 alpha inhibition promotes p53 pathway activity, tumor cell death, and radiation responses. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(34), 14391–14396 (2009).
- 59. Hadjipanayis CG, Van Meir EG. Tumor initiating cells in malignant gliomas: biology and implications for therapy. *J. Mol. Med.* 87(4), 363–374 (2009).
- 60. Heddleston JM, Li Z, Lathia JD, Bao S, Hjelmeland AB, Rich JN. Hypoxia inducible factors in cancer stem cells. *Br. J. Cancer* 102(5), 789–795 (2010).
- 61. Soeda A, Park M, Lee D *et al.* Hypoxia promotes expansion of the CD133-positive glioma stem cells through activation of HIF-1 alpha. *Oncogene* 28(45), 3949–3959 (2009).
- 62. Li Z, Bao S, Wu Q *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factors regulate tumorigenic capacity of glioma stem cells. *Cancer Cell* 15(6), 501–513 (2009).
- 63. Pietras A, Hansford LM, Johnsson AS *et al.* HIF-2 alpha maintains an undifferentiated state in neural crest-like human neuroblastoma tumor-initiating cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(39), 16805–16810 (2009).
- 64. Gustafsson MV, Zheng XW, Pereira T *et al*. Hypoxia requires notch signaling to maintain the undifferentiated cell state. *Dev. Cell* 9(5), 617–628 (2005).
- 65. Covello KL, Kehler J, Yu HW *et al.* HIF-2 alpha regulates Oct-4: effects of hypoxia on stem cell function, embryonic development, and tumor growth. *Genes Dev.* 20(5), 557–570 (2006).
- 66. Moreno-Manzano V, Rodriguez-Jimenez FJ, Acena-Bonilla JL *et al.* FM19G11, a new hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) modulator, affects stem cell differentiation status. *J. Biol Chem.* 285(2), 1333–1342 (2010).

- Avilion AA, Nicolis SK, Pevny LH, Perez L, Vivian N, Lovell-Badge R. Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev.* 17(1), 126–140 (2003).
- 68. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 131(5), 861–872 (2007).
- 69. Ortiz-Barahona A, Villar D, Pescador N, Amigo J, del Peso L. Genome-wide identification of hypoxia-inducible factor binding sites and target genes by a probabilistic model integrating transcription-profiling data and *in silico* binding site prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38(7), 2332–2345 (2010).
- 70. Benita Y, Kikuchi H, Smith AD, Zhang MQ, Chung DC, Xavier RJ. An integrative genomics approach identifies hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1)-target genes that form the core response to hypoxia. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37(14), 4587–4602 (2009).
- 71. Tanimoto K, Tsuchihara K, Kanai A *et al.* Genome-wide identification and annotation of HIF-1α binding sites in two cell lines using massively parallel sequencing. *HUGO J.* 4(1–4), 35–48 (2010).
- 72. Schödel J, Oikonomopoulos S, Ragoussis J, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ, Mole DR. Highresolution genome-wide mapping of HIF- binding sites by ChIP-seq. *Blood* 117(23), e207– e217 (2011).
- 73. Mole DR, Blancher C, Copley RR *et al.* Genome-wide association of hypoxia- inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α DNA binding with expression profiling of hypoxia- inducible transcripts. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284(25), 16767–16775 (2009).

- 74. Simon MP, Tournaire R, Pouyssegur J. The angiopoietin-2 gene of endothelial cells is upregulated in hypoxia by a HIF binding site located in its first intron and by the central factors GATA-2 and Ets-1. J. Cell Physiol. 217(3), 809–818 (2008).
- 75. Pennacchietti S, Michieli P, Galluzzo M, Mazzone M, Giordano S, Comoglio PM. Hypoxia promotes invasive growth by transcriptional activation of the met protooncogene. *Cancer Cell* 3(4), 347–361 (2003).
- 76. Lofstedt T, Jogi A, Sigvardsson M *et al.* Induction of ID2 expression by hypoxia- inducible factor-1: a role in dedifferentiation of hypoxic neuroblastoma cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279(38), 39223–39231 (2004).
- 77. Grosfeld A, André J, Hauguel-De Mouzon S, Berra E, Pouysségur J, Guerre-Millo M. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 transactivates the human leptin gene promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(45), 42953–42957 (2002).
- 78. Melillo G, Musso T, Sica A, Taylor LS, Cox GW, Varesio L. A hypoxia-responsive element mediates a novel pathway of activation of the inducible nitric oxide synthase promoter.*J. Exp. Med.* 182(6), 1683–1693 (1995).
- 79. Huang Y, Hickey RP, Yeh JL *et al.* Cardiac myocyte-specific HIF-1α deletion alters vascularization, energy availability, calcium flux, and contractility in the normoxic heart. *FASEB J.* 18(10), 1138–1140 (2004).
- 80. Han Z-B, Ren H, Zhao H *et al.* Hypoxia- inducible factor (HIF)-1α directly enhances the transcriptional activity of stem cell factor (SCF) in response to hypoxia and epidermal growth factor (EGF). *Carcinogenesis* 29(10), 1853–1861 (2008).
- 81. Ceradini DJ, Kulkarni AR, Tepper OM *et al.* Progenitor cell trafficking is regulated byhypoxic gradients through HIF-1 induction of SDF-1. *Nat. Med.* 10(8), 858–864 (2004).

- 82. Levy AP, Levy NS, Wegner S, Goldberg MA. Transcriptional regulation of the rat vascular endothelial growth factor gene by hypoxia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270(22), 13333–13340 (1995).
- 83. Comerford KM, Wallace TJ, Karhausen J, Louis NA, Montalto MC, Colgan SP. Hypoxiainducible factor-1-dependent regulation of the multidrug resistance (MDR1) gene. *Cancer Res.* 62(12), 3387–3394 (2002).
- 84. Semenza GL, Nejfelt MK, Chi SM, Antonarakis SE. Hypoxia-inducible nuclear factors bind to an enhancer element located 3<sup>'</sup> to the human erythropoietin gene. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 88(13), 5680–5684 (1991).
- 85. Miyazaki K, Kawamoto T, Tanimoto K, Nishiyama M, Honda H, Kato Y. Identification of functional hypoxia response elements in the promoter region of the DEC1 and DEC2 genes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(49), 47014–47021 (2002).
- 86. Koshiji M, To KKW, Hammer S *et al.* HIF- 1α induces genetic instability by transcriptionally downregulating MutSa expression. *Mol. Cell* 17(6), 793–803 (2005).
- 87. Chen C, Pore N, Behrooz A, Ismail-Beigi F, Maity A. Regulation of glut1 mRNA by hypoxia-inducible factor-1: interaction between H-ras and hypoxia. J. Biol. Chem. 276(12), 9519–9525 (2001).
- 88. Yoon DY, Buchler P, Saarikoski ST, Hines OJ, Reber HA, Hankinson O. Identification of genes differentially induced by hypoxia in pancreatic cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 288(4), 882–886 (2001).
- 89. Mathupala SP, Rempel A, Pedersen PL. Glucose catabolism in cancer cells: identification and characterization of a marked activation response of the type II hexokinase gene to hypoxic conditions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276(46), 43407–43412 (2001).

- 90. Semenza GL, Jiang B-H, Leung SW *et al.* Hypoxia response elements in the aldolase A, enolase 1, and lactate dehydrogenase A gene promoters contain essential binding sites for hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271(51), 32529–32537 (1996).
- 91. Sonveaux P, Copetti T, De Saedeleer CJ *et al.* Targeting the lactate transporter MCT1 in endothelial cells inhibits lactate-induced HIF- 1 activation and tumor angiogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 7(3), e33418 (2012).
- 92. Semenza GL, Roth PH, Fang HM, Wang GL. Transcriptional regulation of genes encoding glycolytic enzymes by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. J. Biol. Chem. 269(38), 23757–23763 (1994).
- 93. Nishi H, Nakada T, Kyo S, Inoue M, Shay JW, Isaka K. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 mediates upregulation of telomerase (hTERT). *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24(13), 6076–6083 (2004).
- 94. Synnestvedt K, Furuta GT, Comerford KM *et al.* Ecto-5<sup>'</sup>-nucleotidase (CD73) regulation by hypoxia-inducible factor-1 mediates permeability changes in intestinal epithelia. *J. Clin. Invest.* 110(7), 993–1002 (2002).
- 95. Huang Y, Hickey RP, Yeh JL *et al.* Cardiac myocyte-specific HIF-1alpha deletion alters vascularization, energy availability, calcium flux, and contractility in the normoxic heart. *FASEB J.* 18(10), 1138–1140 (2004).
- 96. Krishnamachary B, Berg-Dixon S, Kelly B *et al.* Regulation of colon carcinoma cell invasion by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Cancer Res.* 63(5), 1138–1143 (2003).
- 97. Ben-Yosef Y, Lahat N, Shapiro S, Bitterman H, Miller A. Regulation of endothelial matrix metalloproteinase-2 by hypoxia/ reoxygenation. *Circ. Res.* 90(7), 784–791 (2002).

- 98. Petrella BL, Lohi J, Brinckerhoff CE. Identification of membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase as a target of hypoxia- inducible factor-2 alpha in von Hippel-Lindau renal cell carcinoma. *Oncogene* 24(6), 1043–1052 (2005).
- 99. Staller P, Sulitkova J, Lisztwan J, Moch H, Oakeley EJ, Krek W. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 downregulated by von Hippel- Lindau tumor suppressor pVHL. *Nature* 425, 307– 311 (2003).
- 100. Erler JT, Bennewith KL, Nicolau M et al. Lysyl oxidase is essential for hypoxia-induced metastasis. Nature 440(7088), 1222–1226 (2006).
- 101. Yang MH, Wu KJ. TWIST activation by hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1): implications in metastasis and development. Cell Cycle 7(14), 2090–2096 (2008).
- 102. Wykoff CC, Beasley NJP, Watson PH *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible expression of tumorassociated carbonic anhydrases. *Cancer Res.* 60(24), 7075–7083 (2000).
- 103. Koshiji M, Kageyama Y, Pete EA, Horikawa I, Barrett JC, Huang LE. HIF-1[alpha] induces cell cycle arrest by functionally counteracting Myc. *EMBO J.* 23(9), 1949–1956 (2004).
- 104. Feldser D, Agani F, Iyer NV, Pak B, Ferreira G, Semenza GL. Reciprocal positive regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and insulin-like growth factor 2. *Cancer Res.* 59(16), 3915–3918 (1999).
- 105. Krishnamurthy P, Ross DD, Nakanishi T *et al.* The stem cell marker Bcrp/ABCG2 enhances hypoxic cell survival through interactions with heme. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279(23), 24218–24225 (2004).

- Beyer S, Kristensen MM, Jensen KS, Johansen JV, Staller P. The histone demethylases JMJD1A and JMJD2B are transcriptional targets of hypoxia-inducible factor HIF. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283(52), 36542–36552 (2008).
- 107. Mathieu J, Zhang Z, Zhou W *et al.* HIF induces human embryonic stem cell markers in cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 71(13), 4640–4652 (2011).
- 108. Semenza GL. Defining the role of hypoxia- inducible factor 1 in cancer biology and therapeutics. *Oncogene* 29, 625–634 (2009).
- 109. Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM. Role of the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in tumor growth and angiogenesis. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 23(5), 1011–1027 (2005).
- Coultas L, Chawengsaksophak K, Rossant J. Endothelial cells and VEGF in vascular development. *Nature* 438(7070), 937–945 (2005).
- Liao D, Johnson R. Hypoxia. A key regulator of angiogenesis in cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 26(2), 281–290 (2007).
- 112. Jensen R, Ragel B, Whang K, Gillespie D. Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) decreases vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secretion and tumor growth in malignant gliomas. *J. Neurooncol.* 78(3), 233–247 (2006).
- Kim J-W, Gao P, Dang C. Effects of hypoxia on tumor metabolism. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 26(2), 291–298 (2007).
- Balaban RS, Nemoto S, Finkel T. Mitochondria, oxidants, and aging. *Cell* 120(4), 483–495 (2005).
- 115. Chiche J, Brahimi-Horn MC, Pouyssegur J. Tumor hypoxia induces a metabolic shift causing acidosis: a common feature in cancer. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 14(4), 771–794 (2010).

- 116. Potter C, Harris AL. Hypoxia inducible carbonic anhydrase IX, marker of tumor hypoxia, survival pathway and therapy target. *Cell Cycle* 3(3), 159–162 (2004).
- 117. Moeller BJ, Cao Y, Li CY, Dewhirst MW. Radiation activates HIF-1 to regulate vascular radiosensitivity in tumors: role of reoxygenation, free radicals, and stress granules. *Cancer Cell* 5(5), 429–441 (2004).
- 118. Moeller B, Richardson R, Dewhirst M. Hypoxia and radiotherapy: opportunities for improved outcomes in cancer treatment. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 26(2), 241–248 (2007).
- 119. Chandel NS, Mcclintock DS, Feliciano CE *et al.* Reactive oxygen species generated at mitochondrial complex III stabilize hypoxia-inducible factor-1α during hypoxia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275(33), 25130–25138 (2000).
- 120. Jung SN, Yang WK, Kim J *et al.* Reactive oxygen species stabilize hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha protein and stimulate transcriptional activity via AMP-activated protein kinase in DU145 human prostate cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* 29(4), 713–721 (2008).
- 121. Brown LM, Cowen RL, Debray C *et al.* Reversing hypoxic cell chemoresistance *in vitro* using genetic and small molecule approaches targeting hypoxia inducible factor-1. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 69(2), 411–418 (2006).
- 122. Yang DI, Chen SD, Yang YT, Ju TC, Xu JM, Hsu CY. Carbamoylating chemoresistance induced by cobalt pretreatment in C6 glioma cells: putative roles of hypoxia-inducible factor1. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 141(6), 988–996 (2004).
- 123. Liu L, Ning X, Sun L *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α contributes to hypoxia-induced chemoresistance in gastric cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 99(1), 121–128 (2008).

- 124. Sasabe E, Zhou X, Li D, Oku N, Yamamoto T, Osaki T. The involvement of hypoxiainducible factor-1α in the susceptibility to g-rays and chemotherapeutic drugs of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Int. J. Cancer* 120(2), 268–277 (2007).
- 125. Rohwer N, Cramer T. Hypoxia-mediated drug resistance: novel insights on the functional interaction of HIFs and cell death pathways. *Drug Resist. Updat.* 14(3), 191–201 (2011).
- 126. Comerford KM, Wallace TJ, Karhausen JR, Louis NA, Montalto MC, Colgan SP. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1-dependent regulation of the multidrug resistance (MDR1) gene. *Cancer Res.* 62(12), 3387–3394 (2002).
- 127. Seagroves TN. The complexity of the HIF-1- dependent hypoxic response in breast cancer presents multiple avenues for therapeutic intervention. In: *Pharmaceutical Perspectives of Cancer Therapeutics*. Springer Science and Business Media, LLC, NY, USA, 540 (2009).
- 128. Tan Z, Wu Y, Zhang H, Xiao X. CoCl<sub>2</sub>- induced chemotherapy resistance in SW480 cells and its mechanism. *Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 31(3), 345–349 (2006).
- 129. Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factors: mediators of cancer progression and targets for cancer therapy. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 33(4), 207–214 (2012).
- Xia Y, Choi H-K, Lee K. Recent advances in hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 inhibitors.
   *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 49, 24–40 (2012).
- Kola L, Landis J. Can the pharmaceutical industry reduce attrition rates? *Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov.* 3(8), 1474–1776 (2004).
- Adams DJ. The valley of death in anticancer drug development: a reassessment. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 33(4), 173–180 (2012).

- 133. Atkuri KR, Herzenberg LA, Herzenberg LA. Culturing at atmospheric oxygen levels impacts lymphocyte function. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 102(10), 3756–3759 (2005).
- 134. Ivanovic Z. Hypoxia or *in situ* normoxia: the stem cell paradigm. J. Cell. Physiol. 219(2), 271–275 (2009).
- 135. Gerweck LE, Seetharaman K. Cellular pH gradient in tumor versus normal tissue: potential exploitation for the treatment of cancer. *Cancer Res.* 56(6), 1194–1198 (1996).
- Gerweck LE, Kozin SV, Stocks SJ. The pH partition theory predicts the accumulation and toxicity of doxorubicin in normal and low-pH-adapted cells. *Br. J. Cancer* 79(5–6), 838– 842 (1999).
- Wall ME, Wani MC. Camptothecin and taxol: discovery to clinic-thirteenth Bruce F.
   Cain memorial award lecture. *Cancer Res.* 55(4), 753–760 (1995).
- 138. Hsiang YH, Hertzberg R, Hecht S, Liu LF. Camptothecin induces protein-linked DNA breaks via mammalian DNA topoisomerase I. *J. Biol. Chem.* 260(27), 14873–14878 (1985).
- Staker BL, Hjerrild K, Feese MD, Behnke CA, Burgin AB, Stewart L. The mechanism of topoisomerase I poisoning by a camptothecin analog. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99(24), 15387–15392 (2002).
- 140. Rapisarda A, Uranchimeg B, Sordet O, Pommier Y, Shoemaker RH, Melillo G.
  Topoisomerase I-mediated inhibition of hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Cancer Res.* 64(4), 1475–1482 (2004).
- 141. Baranello L, Bertozzi D, Fogli MV, Pommier Y, Capranico G. DNA topoisomerase I inhibition by camptothecin induces escape of RNA polymerase II from promoter-proximal pause site, antisense transcription and histone acetylation at the human HIF-1α gene locus. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38(1), 159–171 (2010).

- 142. Rothenberg ML. Irinotecan (CPT-11): recent developments and future directions colorectal cancer and beyond. *Oncologist* 6(1), 66–80 (2001).
- 143. Leblanc R, Catley LP, Hideshima T *et al.* Proteasome inhibitor PS-341 inhibits human myeloma cell growth *in vivo* and prolongs survival in a murine model. *Cancer Res.* 62(17), 4996–5000 (2002).
- 144. Hideshima T, Richardson P, Chauhan D *et al*. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 inhibits growth, induces apoptosis, and overcomes drug resistance in human multiple myeloma cells. *Cancer Res.* 61(7), 3071–3076 (2001).
- 145. Hideshima T, Richardson PG, Anderson KC. Mechanism of action of proteasome inhibitors and deacetylase inhibitors and the biological basis of synergy in multiple myeloma. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 10(11), 2034–2042 (2011).
- 146. Shin DH, Chun Y-S, Lee DS, Huang LE, Park JW. Bortezomib inhibits tumor adaptation to hypoxia by stimulating the FIH-mediated repression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1. *Blood* 111(6), 3131–3136 (2008).
- 147. Kaluz S, Kaluzova M, Stanbridge EJ. Proteasomal inhibition attenuates transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) via specific effect on the HIF-1α C-terminal activation domain. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26(15), 5895–5907 (2006).
- 148. Befani C, Vlachostergios P, Hatzidaki E *et al.* Bortezomib represses HIF-1α protein expression and nuclear accumulation by inhibiting both PI3K/Akt/TOR and MAPK pathways in prostate cancer cells. *J. Mol. Med.* 90(1), 45–54 (2012).
- 149. Bross PF, Kane R, Farrell AT *et al.* Approval summary for Bortezomib for injection in the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 10(12), 3954–3964 (2004).

- 150. Ueda H, Nakajima H, Hori Y *et al.* FR901228, a novel antitumor bicyclic depsipeptide produced by *Chromobacterium violaceum* No. 968. I. Taxonomy, fermentation, isolation, physico- chemical and biological properties, and antitumor activity. *J. Antibiot.* 47(3), 301– 310 (1994).
- Nakajima H, Kim YB, Terano H, Yoshida M, Horinouchi S. FR901228, a potent antitumor antibiotic, is a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor. *Exp. Cell Res.* 241(1), 126–133 (1998).
- 152. Shigematsu N, Ueda H, Takase S, Tanaka H, Yamamoto K, Tada T. FR901228, a novel antitumor bicyclic depsipeptide produced by *Chromobacterium violaceum* No. 968. II. Structure determination. *J. Antibiot.* 47(3), 311–314 (1994).
- 153. H, Manda T, Matsumoto S *et al.* FR901228, a novel antitumor bicyclic depsipeptide produced by *Chromobacterium violaceum* No. 968. III. Antitumor activities on experimental tumors in mice. *J. Antibiot.* 47(3), 315–323 (1994).
- 154. Fath DM, Kong X, Liang D *et al.* Histone deacetylase inhibitors repress the transactivation potential of hypoxia-inducible factors independently of direct acetylation of HIF-1α. J. Biol. Chem. 281(19), 13612–13619 (2006).
- 155. Qian DZ, Kachhap SK, Collis SJ *et al.* Class 167 II histone deacetylases are associated with VHL-independent regulation of hypoxia- inducible factor 1α. *Cancer Res.* 66(17), 8814–8821 (2006).
- 156. Rini BI. Temsirolimus, an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14(5), 1286–1290 (2008).

- 157. Thomas GV, Tran C, Mellinghoff IK et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor determines sensitivity to inhibitors of mTOR in kidney cancer. Nat. Med. 12(1), 122–127 (2006).
- 158. Hudson CC, Liu M, Chiang GG *et al.* Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α expression and function by the mammalian 170 target of rapamycin. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 22(20), 7004–7014 (2002).
- 159. Zhong H, Chiles K, Feldser D *et al.* Modulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α 171 expression by the epidermal growth factor/ phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/PTEN/AKT/ FRAP pathway in human prostate cancer cells: implications for tumor angiogenesis and therapeutics. *Cancer Res.* 60(6), 1541–1545 (2000).
- Kondapaka SB, Singh SS, Dasmahapatra GP, Sausville EA, Roy KK. Perifosine, a novel alkylphospholipid, inhibits protein kinase B activation. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2(11), 1093–1103 (2003).
- 161. Ghobrial IM, Leleu X, Rubin N *et al.* Phase II trial of the novel oral Akt inhibitor perifosine in relapsed and/or refractory Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia (WM). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26(Suppl. 15), 8546 (2008).
- Lakhani NJ, Sarkar MA, Venitz J, Figg WD. 2-Methoxyestradiol, a promising anticancer agent. *Pharmacotherapy* 23(2), 165–172 (2003).
- 163. Mabjeesh NJ, Escuin D, Lavallee TM *et al.* 2ME2 inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis by disrupting microtubules and dysregulating HIF. *Cancer Cell* 3(4), 363– 375 (2003)
- 164. Carbonaro M, O'Brate A, Giannakakou P. Microtubule disruption targets HIF-1α mRNA to cytoplasmic P-bodies for translational repression. J. Cell Biol. 192(1), 83–99 (2011).

- 165. Dahut WL, Lakhani NJ, Gulley JL *et al.* Phase I clinical trial of oral 2-methoxyestradiol, an antiangiogenic and apoptotic agent, in patients with solid tumors. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 5(1), 22–27 (2006).
- 166. Harrison MR, Hahn N, Pili R *et al.* Phase II study of 2-methoxyestradiol (2ME2) NanoCrystal Dispersion (NCD) in patients with taxane-refractory, metastatic hormonerefractory prostate cancer (HRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26(Suppl. 15), 5173 (2008).
- 167. Bruce J, Eickhoff J, Pili R *et al.* A Phase II study of 2-methoxyestradiol nanocrystal colloidal dispersion alone and in combination with sunitinib malate in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma progressing on sunitinib malate. *Invest. New Drugs* 30(2), 794–802 (2012).
- Formica JV, Waring MJ. Effect of phosphate and amino acids on echinomycin biosynthesis by *Streptomyces echinatus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 24(5), 735–741 (1983).
- 169. Van Dyke M, Dervan P. Echinomycin binding sites on DNA. *Science* 225(4667), 1122–1127 (1984).
- 170. Nickols NG, Jacobs CS, Farkas ME *et al*. Modulating hypoxia-inducible transcription by disrupting the HIF-1-DNA interface. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2(8), 561–571 (2007).
- 171. Kong D, Park EJ, Stephen AG *et al.* Echinomycin, a small-molecule inhibitor of hypoxiainducible factor-1 DNA-binding activity. *Cancer Res.* 65(19), 9047–9055 (2005).
- 172. Onnis B, Rapisarda A, Melillo G. Development of HIF-1 inhibitors for cancer therapy. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 13(9a), 2780–2786 (2009).
- 173. Deboer C, Meulman PA, Wnuk RJ, Peterson DH. Geldanamycin, a new antibiotic. *J. Antibiot.* 23(9), 442–447 (1970).

- Sato S, Fujita N, Tsuruo T. Modulation of Akt kinase activity by binding to Hsp90. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97(20), 10832–10837 (2000).
- 175. Whitesell L, Mimnaugh EG, De Costa B, Myers CE, Neckers LM. Inhibition of heat shock protein HSP90-pp60v-src heteroprotein complex formation by benzoquinone ansamycins: essential role for stress proteins in oncogenic transformation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 91(18), 8324–8328 (1994).
- 176. Dehner A, Furrer J, Richter K, Schuster I, Buchner J, Kessler H. NMR chemical shift perturbation study of the N-terminal domain of Hsp90 upon binding of ADP, AMP-PNP, geldanamycin, and radicicol. *ChemBioChem* 4(9), 870–877 (2003).
- 177. Taipale M, Jarosz DF, Lindquist S. HSP90 at the hub of protein homeostasis: emerging mechanistic insights. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11(7), 515–528 (2010).
- 178. Bagatell R, Whitesell L. Altered Hsp90 function in cancer: a unique therapeutic opportunity. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 3(8), 1021–1030 (2004).
- 179. Minet E, Mottet D, Michel G *et al.* Hypoxia- induced activation of HIF-1: role of HIF-1αlpha-Hsp90 interaction. *FEBS Lett.* 460(2), 251–256 (1999).
- 180. Mabjeesh NJ, Post DE, Willard MT, Kaur B *et al.* Geldanamycin induces degradation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1αlpha protein via the proteosome pathway in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 62(9), 2478–2482 (2002).
- Supko JG, Hickman RL, Grever MR, Malspeis L. Preclinical pharmacologic evaluation of geldanamycin as an antitumor agent. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 36(4), 305–315 (1995).
- Neckers LM. Chaperoning oncogenes: Hsp90 as a target of geldanamycin. *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol.* 172, 259–277 (2006).

- 183. Richardson PG, Chanan-Khan AA, Alsina M et al. Tanespimycin monotherapy in relapsed multiple myeloma: results of a Phase I dose- escalation study. Br. J. Haematol. 150(4), 438–445 (2010).
- 184. Solit DB, Osman I, Polsky D et al. Phase II trial of 17-allylamino-17demethoxygeldanamycin in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14(24), 8302–8307 (2008).
- 185. Ronnen EA, Kondagunta GV, Ishill N *et al.* A Phase II trial of 17-(allylamino)-17demethoxygeldanamycin in patients with papillary and clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Invest. New Drugs* 24(6), 543–546 (2006).
- 186. Heath EI, Hillman DW, Vaishampayan U et al. A Phase II trial of 17-allylamino-17demethoxygeldanamycin in patients with hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14(23), 7940–7946 (2008).
- 187. Pacey S, Wilson RH, Walton M *et al.* A Phase I study of the heat shock protein 90 inhibitor alvespimycin (17-DMAG) given intravenously to patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17(6), 1561–1570 (2011).
- 188. Kaufmann SH, Karp JE, Litzow MR *et al.* Phase I and pharmacological study of cytarabine and tanespimycin in relapsed and refractory acute leukemia. *Haematologica* 96(11), 1619–1626 (2011).
- Hoelder S, Clarke PA, Workman P. Discovery of small molecule cancer drugs: successes, challenges and opportunities. *Mol. Oncol.* 6(2), 155–176 (2012).
- 190. Valkov E, Sharpe T, Marsh M, Greive S, Hyvönen M. Targeting protein-protein interactions and fragment-based drug discovery. In: *Fragment-Based Drug Discovery and X*-

*Ray Crystallography*. Davies TG, Hyvönen M (Eds). Springer Berlin/ Heidelberg, Germany, 145–179 (2012).

- 191. Verdine GL, Hilinski GJ. All-hydrocarbon stapled peptides as synthetic cell-accessible mini-proteins. *Drug Discov. Today Technol.* 9(1), e41–e47 (2012).
- Shangary S, Wang S. Targeting the 204 MDM2-p53 interaction for cancer therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14(17), 5318–5324 (2008).
- 193. Higueruelo AP, Schreyer A, Bickerton GRJ, Pitt WR, Groom CR, Blundell TL. Atomic interactions and profile of small molecules disrupting protein–protein interfaces: the 205 TIMBAL database. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 74(5), 457–467 (2009).
- 194. Fry DC. Drug-like inhibitors of protein- protein interactions: a structural examination 206 of effective protein mimicry. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 9(3), 240–247 (2008).
- 195. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and 207 permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 46(1–3), 3–26 (2001).
- 196. M. Monti S, T. Supuran C, De Simone G. Carbonic anhydrase IX as a target for 208 designing novel anticancer drugs. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 19(6), 821–830 (2012).
- Mylonis I, Lakka A, Tsakalof A, Simos G. The dietary flavonoid kaempferol effectively
   inhibits HIF-1 activity and hepatoma cancer cell viability under hypoxic
   conditions. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 398(1), 74–78 (2010). 210
- 198. Nagle D-G, Zhou Y-D. Natural product- based inhibitors of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1). *Curr. Drug Targets* 7(3), 355–369 (2006). 211

- 199. Lakka A, Mylonis I, Bonanou S, Simos G, Tsakalof A. Isolation of hypoxiainducible factor 1 (HIF-1) inhibitors from frankincense using a molecularly imprinted polymer. *Invest. New Drugs* 29(5), 1081–1089 (2011). 212
- 200. Lee K, Zhang H, Qian DZ, Rey S, Liu JO, Semenza GL. Acriflavine inhibits HIF-1 dimerization, tumor growth, and vascularization. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(42), 17910– 17915 (2009).
- 201. Scheuermann TH, Tomchick DR, Machius M, Guo Y, Bruick RK, Gardner KH. Artificial ligand binding within the HIF2α PAS-B domain of the HIF2 transcription factor. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(2), 450–455 (2009). 213
- 202. Kung AL, Zabludoff SD, France DS *et al.* Small molecule blockade of transcriptional coactivation of the hypoxia-inducible factor pathway. *Cancer Cell* 6(1), 33–43 (2004).
- 203. Cook KM, Hilton ST, Mecinović J, Motherwell WB, Figg WD, Schofield CJ. Epidithiodiketopiperazines block the interaction between hypoxia-inducible factor- 1α (HIF-1α) and p300 by a zinc ejection mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284(39), 26831–26838 (2009).
- 204. Henchey LK, Kushal S, Dubey R, Chapman RN, Olenyuk BZ, Arora PS. Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor 1-transcription coactivator interaction by a hydrogen bond surrogate a-helix. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132(3), 941–943 (2009).
- 205. Post DE, Van Meir EG. A novel hypoxia- inducible factor (HIF) activated oncolytic adenovirus for cancer therapy. *Oncogene* 22(14), 2065–2072 (2003).
- 206. Post DE, Devi NS, Li ZC *et al.* Cancer therapy with a replicating oncolytic adenovirus targeting the hypoxic microenvironment of tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 10(24), 8603–8612 (2004).

- 207. Post DE, Sandberg EM, Kyle MM *et al.* Targeted cancer gene therapy using a hypoxia inducible factor-dependent oncolytic adenovirus armed with interleukin-4. *Cancer Res.* 67(14), 6872–6881 (2007).
- 208. Tan C, de Noronha RG, Roecker AJ *et al.* Identification of a novel small-molecule inhibitor of the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 pathway. *Cancer Res.* 65(2), 605–612 (2005).
- 209. Narita T, Yin S, Gelin CF *et al.* Identification of a Novel Small Molecule HIF-1 alpha Translation Inhibitor. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15(19), 6128–6136 (2009).
- 210. Tan C, de Noronha RG, Devi NS *et al.* Sulfonamides as a new scaffold for hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21(18), 5528–5532 (2011).
- 211. Mooring SR, Jin H, Devi NS *et al.* Design and synthesis of novel small-molecule inhibitors of the hypoxia inducible factor pathway. *J. Med. Chem.* 54(24), 8471–8489 (2011).
- 212. Mun J, Jabbar AA, Devi NS, Liu Y, Van Meir EG, Goodman MM. Structure–activity relationship of 2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene based arylsulfonamide analogs of 3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-[(2,2-dimethyl-2H- chromen-6-yl)methyl]-*N*- phenylbenzenesulfonamide, a novel small molecule hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) pathway inhibitor and anti-cancer agent. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 20(14), 4590–4597 (2012).
- 213. Mun J, Jabbar AA, Devi NS *et al.* Design and *in vitro* activities of *N*-alkyl-*N*-[(8-R-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl] heteroarylsulfonamides, novel, small-molecule hypoxia inducible factor-1 pathway inhibitors and anticancer agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 55(15), 6738–6750 (2012).
- 214. Shi Q, Yin S, Kaluz S *et al.* Binding model for the interaction of anticancer arylsulfonamides with the p300 transcription cofactor. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 3(8), 620–625 (2012).

- 215. Yin S, Kaluz S, Devi NS *et al.* Arylsulfonamide KCN1 inhibits *in vivo* glioma growth and interferes with HIF signaling by disrupting HIF-1 alpha interaction with cofactors p300/CBP. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 18(24), 6623–6633 (2012).
- 216. Wang W, Ao L, Rayburn ER *et al.* KCN1, a novel synthetic sulfonamide anticancer agent: *in vitro* and *in vivo* anti-pancreatic cancer activities and preclinical pharmacology. *PLoS ONE* 7(9), e44883 (2012).

Websites:

- 300. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures 2011.
- 301. www.cancer.org/Research/ CancerFactsFigures/CancerFactsFigures/ cancer-factsfigures-2011 (Accessed 11 April 2012)
- 302. World Cancer Research Fund International. General world cancer statistics: GLOBOCAN 2008 database. <u>www.wcrf.org/cancer\_statistics/world\_cancer\_statistics.php</u> (Accessed 1 April 2012)
- 303. US FDA approves topotecan hydrochloride (hycamtin) in combination with cisplatin for the treatment of Stage IVB recurrent or persistent carcinoma of the cervix.
   www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/
   OfficeofMedicalProductsandTobacco/
   CDER/ucm095638.htm (Accessed 30 April 2012)
- 304. GlaxoSmith Kline receives approval for hycamtin (topotecan) capsules for the treatment of relapsed small cell lung cancer. www.drugs.com/newdrugs/gsk-receives- approval-hycamtin-topotecan-capsules- relapsed-small-cell-lung-cancer-671.html (Accessed 4 May 2012)
- 305. National Cancer Institute. Romidepsin. www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/druginfo/ romidepsin (Accessed 1 June 2011)

- 306. US FDA approves new drug for advanced kidney cancer.
   www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/ PressAnnouncements/2007/ucm108924.htm
   (Accessed 4 May 2012)
- 307. Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology News. Aeterna Zentaris regains North American rights to Akt inhibitor from Keryx. www.genengnews.com/gen-news-highlights/ aeternazentaris-regains-north-american- rights-to-akt-inhibitor-from-keryx/81246731/ (Accessed 11 May 2012)
- Patent Database Search Results: ISD 1/1/2000->12/31/2012 AND HIF AND cancer AND 308. inhibitor in US Patent Collection. http://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sec t1=PTO2&Sect2=HITOFF&u=%2Fnetaht ml%2FPTO%2Fsearch-adv.htm&r=0&f=S &l=50&d=PTXT&RS=%28%28%28ISD% 2F20120101-%3E20121231+AND+HIF%29 +AND+Cancer%29+AND+inhibitor%29& Refine=Refine+Search&Refine=Refine+Sear ch&Query=ISD%2F1%2F1%2F2000-%3E1 2%2F31%2F2012+AND+HIF+AND+Canc er+AND+inhibitor (Accessed 26 February 2013)
- 309. Web of Knowledge All Database Results: Topic=(HIF AND CANCER AND INHIBITOR) Timespan=2000-2012. http://apps.webofknowledge.com/summary.
  do?SID=1F83DLk7akON2aaKN9E&produc t=UA&qid=18&search\_ mode=GeneralSearch (Accessed 26 February 2013)
- 310. Search of: HIF and cancer List Results ClinicalTrials.gov.
   www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=hif+a nd+cancer+&Search=Search(Accessed 26
   February 2013)

# 3 DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF WATER SOLUBLE HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

The work presented in this chapter is based on a manuscript in preparation for submission to ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters. This chapter includes compounds synthesized by Jalisa Holmes and Zeus de los Santos.

## 3.1 Abstract

Cancer is a leading cause of death and while progress has been made in this field, we need more effective drugs to target cancer only and not healthy cells. Thus, our goal is to target hypoxic tumors and specifically the HIF pathway. Another important factor besides potency is drug-like properties. The compounds described herein have been designed for both of these purposes and evaluated.

## 3.2 Introduction

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death, second only to heart disease.<sup>1</sup> One of the hallmarks of cancer is the formation of hypoxic, low oxygen, areas inside of solid tumors.<sup>2</sup> This hypoxic tumor microenvironment leads to many changes such as the upregulation of proangiogenic and pro-glycolytic pathways, as well as increased cell proliferation, genetic instability, and metastatic potential.<sup>3</sup> A major mediator of the hypoxic response is the Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF) pathway.<sup>4</sup> HIF consists of two parts, HIF- $\alpha$ , which is regulated by oxygen, and HIF- $\beta$ , which is constitutively expressed.<sup>5</sup> There are 3 known isoforms of HIF- $\alpha$ : HIF-1 $\alpha$ , HIF-2 $\alpha$ , and HIF-3 $\alpha$ , with HIF-1 $\alpha$  being the most common and of which there has been the most study. Under normoxic conditions, HIF- $\alpha$  is hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) using molecular oxygen and then degraded via a VHL-dependent ubiquitination pathway.<sup>6</sup> Under hypoxic conditions, however, HIF- $\alpha$  and HIF- $\beta$  form a heterodimer, which, along with coactivators such as p300 and CBP, forms the active transcription complex, which binds to 5'-HRE (hypoxic response element) promoter regions that are found in hundreds of genes.<sup>7</sup> Increased levels of HIF- $\alpha$  have been linked to cancer progression and poor patient outcome. HIF is becoming an attractive target for anti-cancer therapeutics.<sup>8</sup>

## 3.3 Design

A library of 10,000 products containing the 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene moiety<sup>9</sup> was screened for HIF pathway inhibition, with the identification of one compound designated KCN-1 (Figure 3.1, 1, N-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide) showing potent inhibition activity (IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.59  $\mu$ M).<sup>10</sup>



Figure 3.1. Lead Compound KCN-1

1 was then taken on to further *in vivo* studies and demonstrated anticancer activity against brain, eye, and pancreatic cancers.<sup>11</sup> In addition, mice treated with 1 did not show any negative side effects. However, due to its poor solubility in water (0.009  $\mu$ M), 1 was used in a 1:1 Cremophor:ethanol formulation. This type of formulation is non-ideal and can cause problems with anti-cancer therapeutics.<sup>12</sup> Thus, we are interested in designing more water-soluble KCN-1 analogs.
#### 3.4 Results and Discussion

Based off of our previous studies, we decided to modify the structure of the lead compound **1** by incorporating the very water-soluble morpholine moiety into the structure. In order to accomplish this, we devised 4 classes of compounds (Figure 3.2): Class A, which incorporates a morpholinomethylphenyl or morpholinophenyl moiety instead of the 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene moiety and maintains the *N*-phenyl group; Class B, which incorporates either a morpholinomethylphenyl or morpholinophenyl moiety instead of the 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene moiety and substitutes the *N*-phenyl group for an *N*-cyclobutyl group; Class C, which has either a 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene or *N*-(2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl) moiety and either an *N*-ethylmorpholino or N-propylmorpholino group instead of the N-phenyl, and Class D, which has the 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene moiety with a *N*-phenyl-morpholine-4-sulfonamide.

Synthesis of Class A compounds (Figure 3.3) was afforded in 4 steps from 2, 3, or 4bromomethylbenzylbromide **6a-c** or in 2 steps from 4-morpholinobenzaldehyde **8d**. **6a-c** were substituted with morpholine to yield morpholinomethylbenzylbromides **7a-c** in quantitative yield. Next, the phenyl bromides **7a-c** were converted to benzaldehydes **8a-c** via lithium-halogen exchange followed by treatment with DMF as the electrophile. The aldehydes **8a-d** underwent reductive amination with aniline to afford the secondary amines **9a-d**. Finally, **9a-d** were reacted with 3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride to afford sulfonamides **2a-d**. Class B compounds (Figure 3.3) were synthesized in almost the same fashion as Class A, except that reductive amination of **8a-d** was with cyclobutylamine and was not catalyzed by any Lewis acid.



Figure 3.2. Classes of analogs.

A) Class A, morpholinomethylphenyl in ortho, meta, or para positions, or morpholinophenyl in para position; B) Class B, morpholinomethylphenyl in ortho, meta, or para positions, or morpholinophenyl in para position; C) Class C, n = 2 or 3; D) Class D.

Class C compounds were synthesized (Figure 3.4) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6carbaldehyde **11**, which was readily synthesized from published procedures.<sup>13</sup> The aldehyde **11** underwent reductive amination with either ethylaminomorpholine or propylaminomorpholine to give secondary amines **12a-b**, which were then reacted with 3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride to afford sulfonamides **4a-b**.

Class D compounds were synthesized (Figure 3.5) from 11 in 2 steps. First, 11 was underwent reductive amination with either aniline or cyclobutylamine to give secondary amines 13a-b. Next, the amines 13a-b were reacted with 4-morpholinosulfonyl chloride to afford sulfonamides 5a-b.



Figure 3.3 Synthesis of Class A & B Compounds

A) Synthesis of precursors; B) Synthesis of Class A. C) Synthesis of Class B; Reagents and conditions: (a) morpholine, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, ACN, room temperature, overnight. (b) BuLi, DMF, THF, - 78°C, 1 hr. (c) aniline, InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, ACN, 20 min. (d) 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, overnight. (e) cyclobutylamine, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, overnight.



Figure 3.4. Synthesis of Class C compounds

Reagents and conditions: (a) amine, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, overnight. (b) 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, overnight.

These 11 analogs were tested for their anti-HIF activity in a LN229-HRE-LUC assay.<sup>14</sup> Their activities are detailed in Table 3.1. The *in silico* logP and logS value of these compounds were also investigated with ALOGPS 2.1 (Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory, http://www.vcclab.org), with results in Table 3.1.



Figure 3.5. Synthesis of Class D compounds

Reagents and conditions: (a) aniline, InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, ACN, 20 min; (b) 4-morpholinosulfonyl chloride, pyridine, DCE, reflux 2 days.

#### 3.5 Conclusions

Based off of these findings, it can be seen that solubility is an important factor in the activity of these compounds. Even compounds without the seemingly crucial 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene moiety had moderate activity. This is most likely due to the increased logP and logS, which allow the therapeutics to be more biologically available. All of the analogs synthesized had more favorable logP and log S values compared to **1**, which has 4.07 and -6.05, respectively. In the future, we plan to incorporate more soluble moieties and further probe the SAR (structure-activity relationship) for locations to add in these soluble moieties.

Compound	Structure	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	logP	LogS
2a	ONCONCENSE OME ONCONCENSE OME	0.9	3.54	-4.51
2b	O N N S O 2 O Me O Me O Me O Me	TBD	3.53	-4.50
2c	OMe O O	TBD	3.52	-4.46
2d	O N N N S O 2 O Me O Me O Me	3.8	3.75	-4.64

Table 3.1. Analogs and data

<b>3</b> a	ON OME ON SO2 OME	1.0	2.81	-3.51
3b	O N N S O <sub>2</sub> O Me OMe OMe	>5	2.80	-3.50
3с	OMe OMe O	>5	2.79	-3.47
3d	O N N N S O 2 OMe OMe	TBD	3.05	-3.64
4a	O N N S O O Me O Me O Me	TBD	3.02	-3.71
4b	O N N S O O Me O Me O Me O Me	TBD	3.34	-3.97
5		>5	3.52	-4.51

#### 3.6 Experimental

General methods and materials: All commercial chemicals were reagent grade, obtained from VWR, Aldrich, and Oakwood Chemicals and were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 NMR spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, in deuterated solvent with TMS as internal reference ( $\delta = 0.00$  ppm). For all reactions, analytical grade solvent was used. Anhydrous solvents were used for all moisture-sensitive reactions. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained by the Mass Spectrometry Facilities at Georgia State University on a Waters Micromass Q-Tof (ESI).

Typical procedure for morpholine substitution: 1 equivalent of benzyl bromide was dissolved in acetonitrile. 1.1 equivalents of morpholine and 2 equivalents of  $K_2CO_3$  were added and the reaction stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was filtered through celite and concentrated to give the product.

Typical procedure for reductive amination with aniline: 1 equivalent of aldehyde, 1.5 equivalents of NaBH<sub>4</sub>, and 0.15 equivalents of InCl<sub>3</sub> were dissolved in anhydrous ACN under N<sub>2</sub>. 1.5 equivalents of aniline were added and the reaction stirred until completion as monitored by TLC (typically  $\sim$ 20 minutes). The reaction was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for reductive amination with cyclobutyl and alkylmorpholino amines: 1 equivalent each of aldehyde and amine was dissolved in anhydrous MeOH under  $N_2$  and the reaction stirred overnight at room temperature. 1.6 equivalents of NaBH<sub>4</sub> were added and the reaction stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with 1N NaOH, stirred for an hour, then taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and taken directly to the next step without further purification.

Typical procedure for sulfonylation with 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride: 1 equivalent of amine was dissolved in DCM. 2 equivalents of  $K_2CO_3$  were added. 2 equivalents of 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride were added. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, then washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for sulfonylation with 4-morpholinosulfonyl chloride: 1 equivalent of amine was dissolved in DCE. 3 equivalents of pyridine and 1.3 equivalents of 4-morpholinosulfonyl chloride were added. The reaction was refluxed for 2 days, then concentrated, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and brine, then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.



**3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)***-N***-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2a)** Yield: 11%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.37-7.35 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.22-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.04-7.02 (m, 2H), 6.97-6.94 (m, 2H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.44 (s, 2H), 2.40 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.6, 148.7, 139.2, 135.0, 130.2, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.8, 127.5, 121.4, 110.4, 110.4, 66.9, 63.0, 56.2, 56.01, 54.4, 53.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 483.1954, found 483.1956.



NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ



3,4-Dimethoxy-N-(2-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2c)



**3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-(4-morp***h***olinobenzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2d)** Yield: 40%.<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.36-7.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (m, 3H), 7.13-7.11 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.01-6.93 (m, 4H), 6.77-6.75 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 3.973 (s, 3H), 3.84-3.83 (m, 4H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.11-3.10 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.5, 150.5, 148.7, 139.2, 130.3, 129.6, 129.1, 128.7, 127.7, 127.1, 121.4, 115.3, 110.4, 66.8, 56.2, 56.1, 54.1, 49.1 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 469.1797, found 469.1796.



*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3a) Yield: 46%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.44-7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.25 (m, 5H),

6.95-6.93 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.32-4.23 (quintet, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.74-3.72 (t, J = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 4H), 1.99-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.57-1.52 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 149.0, 137.8, 132.0, 129.4, 127.1, 120.91, 110.6, 109.8, 66.9, 63.0, 56.2, 56.2, 53.5, 52.9, 48.1, 29.2, 15.0 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 461.2110, found 461.2102.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(3-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3b) Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.44-7.41 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.21 (m, 5H), 6.95-6.93 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.33-4.24 (quintet, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.72-3.69 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.43 (s, 4H), 1.99-1.92 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.48 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 149.0, 138.7, 137.9, 131.9, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 126.0, 120.9, 110.5, 109.7, 67.0, 63.3, 56.2, 56.2, 53.6, 52.9, 48.3, 29.2, 15.1 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 461.2110, found 461.2112.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(2-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3c) Yield: 63%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.57-7.55 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.46 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.16 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.94 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.51-4.40 (quintet, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.65 (m, 4H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 2.42 (s, 4H), 1.93-1.90 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.50 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 149.0, 138.4, 133.4, 132.1, 130.6, 128.0, 127.4, 126.5, 121.0, 110.5, 109.7, 67.1, 61.7, 56.3, 56.2, 53.5, 52.7, 44.5, 28.9, 15.1 ppm. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 461.2110, found 461.2905.



N-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(4-morpholinobenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3d)



N-((2,2-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(2-

morpholinoethyl)benzenesulfonamide (4a)



N-((2,2-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(3-

morpholinopropyl)benzenesulfonamide (4b)



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-phenylmorpholine-4-sulfonamide (5a) Yield: 17%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.32-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.92-6.90 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 6.66-6.64 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.26-6.24 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.61-5.59 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.702 (s, 2H), 3.626 (m, 4H), 3.165 (m, 4H), 1.41 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  131.0, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 127.9, 126.9, 122.1, 116.2, 66.3, 56.3, 46.5, 28.0 ppm. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 415.1695, found 415.1692.



**4-(4-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (7a)** Yield: quantitative. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.42-7.40 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.120-7.18 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.68-3.66 (t, J = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.40 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  136.9, 131.4, 130.8, 120.9, 66.9, 62.6, 53.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NOBr [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 256.0337, found 256.0346.



**4-(3-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (7b)** Yield: quantitative. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.47 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.20 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.11 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 3.66-3.64 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H), 3.40 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  140.5, 131.9, 130.2, 129.8, 127.6, 122.5, 65.9, 62.7, 53.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NOBr [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 256.0337, found 256.0347.



**4-(2-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (7c)** Yield: quantitative. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.53-7.51 (d, J = 8Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.45 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.23 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.06 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s Hz, 4H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.49 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  137.2,

132.8, 130.8, 128.5, 127.2, 124.7, 67.6, 66.8, 62.2, 53.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for  $C_{11}H_{15}NOBr [(M + H)^+] 256.0337$ , found 256.0348.



**4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8a)** Yield: 74%.<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.96 (s, 1H), 7.82-7.80 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.50-7.48 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.68-3.67 (m, 4H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.43 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 191.9, 145.2, 135.5, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 66.9, 63.0, 53.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 206.1181, found 206.1182.



**3-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8b)** Yield: 88%.<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.92 (s, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.70-7.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.53 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.43-7.39 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (m, 4H), 3.5 (s, 2H), 2.39 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 192.3, 138.8, 136.5, 135.2, 130.2, 129.0, 128.7, 66.7, 62.6, 53.42, 53.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 206.1181, found 206.1183.



**2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8c)** Yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 10.37, (s, 1H), 7.82-7.80 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.45-7.43 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.37-7.33 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H), 3.58-3.57 (m, 4H), 2.40-2.39 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):δ 192.0, 140.4, 135.0,

133.2, 130.6, 129.4, 127.9, 67.0, 66.9, 60.1, 55.5, 53.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for  $C_{12}H_{16}NO_2Na [(M + Na)^+] 206.1181$ , found 206.1186.



*N*-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (9a) Yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.23-7.17 (m, 4H), 6.79-6.66 (m, 5H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.74 (m, 4H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.74 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.2, 138.4, 136.8, 129.5, 129.3, 127.5, 118.6, 117.6, 115.1, 112.9, 67.0, 63.2, 53.6, 48.1 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 260.1651, found 260.1657.



*N*-(3-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (9b) Yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.3607.17 (m, 6H), 6.76-6.65 (m, 3H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 3.73-3.72 (m, 4H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.45 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 148.1, 139.5, 138.1, 129.3, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 126.5, 117.6, 112.9, 67.0, 63.4, 53.6, 48.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 283.1810, found 283.1809.



*N*-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (9c) Yield: 54%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.46-7.44 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.22 (m, 5H), 6.76-6.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 5.37 (bs, 1H), 4.39

(s, 2H), 3.75 (m, 4H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.51 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):148.6, 138.9, 135.8, 131.5, 130.0, 129.3, 128.2, 127.2, 113.1, 67.1, 61.7, 53.5, 46.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for  $C_{18}H_{23}N_2O$  [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 283.1810, found 283.1805.



*N*-(4-Morpholinobenzyl)aniline (9d) Yield: 25%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.34-7.32 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.23-7.21 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.95-6.93 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.78-6.75 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.69-6.69 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 4.00 (bs, 1H), 3.91-3.90 (m, 4H), 3.19-3.18 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.6, 148.3, 130.76, 129.3, 128.7, 117.5, 115.9, 112.9, 67.0, 49.5, 47.8 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 269.1648, found 269.1659.



*N*-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10a) Yield: 89% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.315-7.261 (m, 4H), 3.694-3.678 (m, 4H), 3.472 (s, 2H), 3.308-3.275 (m, 1H), 2.425 (m, 4H), 2.221-2.207 (m, 2H), 1.633-1.618 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  139.35, 136.31, 129.44, 128.13, 66.99, 63.17, 53.58, 50.75, 31.10, 14.79 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 261.1967, found 261.1961.



*N*-(3-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10b) Yield: 88% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.32-7.19 (m, 4H), 3.69 (m, 6H), 3.49-3.48 (m, 2H), 3.31-3.28 (quintet, *J* =

7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (m, 4H), 2.24-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.74-1.63 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 140.3, 137.9, 129.0, 128.6, 127.8, 127.1, 66.9, 63.1, 53.7, 53.6 51.0, 31.1, 14.8 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 261.1967, found 261.1963.



*N*-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10c) 94% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.32-7.18 (m, 4H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 3.67 (s, 4H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 3.33-3.30 (quintet, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 4H), 2.21-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.74-1.63 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  140.2, 135.7, 131.2, 130.5, 127.9, 126.7, 67.0, 61.6, 53.6, 53.5, 49.5, 30.9, 15.1 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 261.1967, found 261.1968.



*N*-(4-Morpholinobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (10d) Yield: 90% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.23-7.21 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.88-6.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.86-3.84 (m, 4H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 3.30-3.25 (quintet, *J* =6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.14-3.11 (m, 4H), 2.22-2.19 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.62 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.3, 131.9, 129.1, 115.7, 66.9, 53.5, 50.4, 49.5, 31.1, 14.8 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 247.1810, found 247.1819.



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (11)** Yield: 80%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.83 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.38-6.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.70-5.68 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 6H).



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-2-morpholinoethanamine (12a) Unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.20-7.12 (m, 1H), 7.01-6.99 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 6.70-6.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.28-6.26 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.59-5.56 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 2H), 3.66-3.64 (m, 4H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 2.66-2.64 (m, 2H), 2.47-2.44 (m, 2H), 2.35 (m, 4H), 1.39 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.0, 132.2, 130.9, 128.9, 126.2, 125.3, 122.4, 121.5, 116.1, 73.9, 66.9, 53.6, 53.2, 44.9, 27.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 303.2073, found 303.2063.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-morpholinopropan-1-amine (12b) Unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.18-7.10 (m, 1H), 6.99-6.97 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 6.68-6.66 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.26-6.23 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.56-5.54 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 3.63 (m, 4H), 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.35 (m, 4H), 1.67-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 1.37 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.9, 132.3, 130.9, 128.8, 126.1, 122.3, 121.5, 116.1, 73.9, 66.9, 57.3, 53.7, 47.9, 27.6, 27.9, 26.4 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 317.2229, found 317.2237.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-2-morpholinoethanamine (12c) Yield: 76% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.005-6.944 (m, 2H), 6.462-6.437 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.851-5.825 (d, J =10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.763 (s, 2H), 3.675-3.653 (m, 4H), 2.706-2.676 (m, 2H), 2.493-2.462 (m, 2H), 2.380 (m, 4H), 1.420 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 151.31, 148.38, 140.71, 135.26, 123.86, 123.30, 122.30, 76.78, 66.93, 58.24, 54.54, 53.63, 45.35, 28.23 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for  $C_{17}H_{26}N_3O_2$  [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 304.2025, found 304.2031.



#### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3-morpholinopropan-1-amine

(12d) Yield: 73% unpurified. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.988-6.928 (m, 2H), 6.450-6.425 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.834-5.809 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.730 (s, 2H), 3.650-3.641 (m, 4H), 2.676-2.643 (m, 2H), 2.381-2.344 (m, 6H), 1.694-1.659 (m, 2H), 1.407 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.18, 148.36, 140.70, 135.20, 123.89, 123.29, 122.24, 76.81, 57.29, 54.70, 53.71, 48.12, 28.10, 26.61. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 318.2182, found 318.2185.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (13a) Yield: 80%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 6.98-6.96 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.72 (m, 3H), 6.40-6.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.69-5.67 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 1H) 1.51 (s, 6H), ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.8, 148.5, 130.6, 129.2, 128.9, 126.5, 125.5, 122.5, 121.1, 120.5, 117.4, 113.2, 76.44, 43.11, 28.18 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 266.1545, found 266.1548.

#### 3.7 References

1. Cancer Facts & Figures 2012. American Cancer Society: Atlanta, 2012.

2. Ruan, K.; Song, G.; Ouyang, G., Role of hypoxia in the hallmarks of human cancer. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* **2009**, *107* (6), 1053-1062.

3. (a) Vaupel, P.; Mayer, A., Hypoxia in cancer: significance and impact on clinical outcome. *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews* **2007**, *26* (2), 225-239; (b) Melillo, G., Inhibiting Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 for Cancer Therapy. *Molecular Cancer Research* **2006**, *4* (9), 601-605; (c) Wilson, W. R.; Hay, M. P., Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. **2011**.

4. Wang, G. L.; Semenza, G. L., Purification and Characterization of Hypoxiainducible Factor 1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1995**, *270* (3), 1230-1237.

5. Wang, G. L.; Jiang, B. H.; Rue, E. A.; Semenza, G. L., Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O2 tension. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1995**, *92* (12), 5510-5514.

6. Maxwell, P. H.; Wiesener, M. S.; Chang, G.-W.; Clifford, S. C.; Vaux, E. C.; Cockman, M. E.; Wykoff, C. C.; Pugh, C. W.; Maher, E. R.; Ratcliffe, P. J., The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. *Nature* **1999**, *399* (6733), 271-275.

7. Wenger, R. H.; Stiehl, D. P.; Camenisch, G., Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. *Sci STKE* **2005**, *2005* (306), re12.

8. Semenza, G. L., Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2003, *3* (10), 721-732.

9. Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J. A.; Mitchell, H. J.; Roecker, A. J.; Barluenga, S.; Cao, G. Q.; Affleck, R. L.; Lillig, J. E., Natural Product-like Combinatorial Libraries Based on Privileged Structures. 2. Construction of a 10,000-Membered Benzopyran Library by Directed Split-and-Pool Chemistry Using NanoKans and Optical Encoding. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2000**, *122* (41), 9954-9967.

10. (a) Tan, C.; de Noronha, R. G.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; Kaluz, S.; Liu, Y.; Mooring, S. R.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Wang, B.; Van Meir, E. G., Sulfonamides as a new scaffold for hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21* (18), 5528-5532;
(b) Narita, T.; Yin, S.; Gelin, C. F.; Moreno, C. S.; Yepes, M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Identification of a Novel Small Molecule HIF-1α Translation Inhibitor. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15* (19), 6128-6136.

11. (a) Wang, W.; Ao, L.; Rayburn, E. R.; Xu, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, X.; Nag, S. A.; Wu, X.; Wang, M.-H.; Wang, H.; Van Meir, E. G.; Zhang, R., KCN1, a Novel Synthetic Sulfonamide Anticancer Agent: *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Anti-Pancreatic Cancer Activities and Preclinical Pharmacology. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7* (9), e44883; (b) Zhang, Q.; Kaluz, S.; Yang, H.; Van Meir, E. G.; Grossniklaus, H. E., Efficacy of the novel small molecule HIF pathway inhibitor KCN1 in the control of micrometastases in experimental ocular melanoma. *Unpublished results*; (c) Yin, S.; Kaluz, S.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; de Noronha, R. G.; Mun, J.; Zhang, Z.; Boreddy, P. R.; Wang, W.; Wang, Z.; Abbruscato, T.; Chen, Z.; Olson, J. J.; Zhang, R.; Goodman, M. M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Arylsulfonamide KCN1 Inhibits In Vivo Glioma Growth and Interferes with HIF Signaling by Disrupting HIF-1α Interaction with Cofactors p300/CBP. *Clinical Cancer Research* **2012**, *18* (24), 6623-6633.

# 4 DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF NOVEL BI-ARYL METHANOLIC HIF-1 INHIBITORS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

The work presented in this chapter is based on a manuscript in preparation for submission to the Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. This chapter includes compounds synthesized by Jalisa Holmes,<sup>§</sup> and Krishna Damera.<sup>‡</sup>

#### 4.1 Abstract

Although there has been much progress in the battle against cancer, there is still a need for anti-cancer therapeutics that are not cytotoxic. To this end, we are interested in targeting the HIF pathway. Based off of initial screening, the compounds described herein have been designed to specifically inhibit the HIF pathway and have desirable pharmacological properties.

#### 4.2 Introduction

Cancer is the second most prevalent cause of death in the United States, behind heart disease.<sup>1</sup> Many cancers are associated with hypoxia, a lack of oxygen. Hypoxic conditions in otherwise healthy cells can lead to cell necrosis and reoxygenation injuries. Cancer cells, however, survive and even thrive under hypoxic conditions due to their ability to turn on gene expression via multiple pathways that can change metabolism into the anaerobic, glycolytic

pathway; promote angiogenesis; turn on immortalization, increase metastatic potential, resist apoptosis, and increase resistance to radiation and chemotherapies.<sup>2</sup>

One such pathway is the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor 1 (HIF-1) pathway.<sup>3</sup> HIF is a basic-loop-helix-loop motif heterodimeric transcription factor composed of two subunits: HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-1 $\beta$ . HIF-1 $\beta$  is constitutively expressed, but HIF-1 $\alpha$  is regulated by oxygen levels.<sup>4</sup> Under normoxic conditions, when there is enough oxygen present in the system, HIF1- $\alpha$ is dihydroxylated by HIF prolyl hydroxylases, which use molecular oxygen as the source of the hydroxyls, at prolines 402 and 564.<sup>5</sup> The dihydroxylated form of HIF1- $\alpha$  binds to von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein (VHL), which leads to the ubiquitination of the complex and subsequent proteosomal degradation.<sup>6</sup> Under hypoxic conditions, however, HIF-1a hydroxylation does not occur and HIF-1 $\alpha$  is no longer degraded. As HIF-1 $\alpha$  accumulates, it binds to HIF1- $\beta$  and forms the heterodimeric complex, which, along with the co-activator p300, forms the HIF complex that acts as transcription factor for a multitude of genes. The HIF transcription complex binds to hypoxic response elements (HRE) in the regulatory regions of many genes, such as *VEGF* (vascular endothelial growth factor), *EPO* (eythropoietin), *GLUT1* (glucose transporter 1), *LDH* (lactate dehydrogenase), and *NOS* (nitric oxide synthase).<sup>7</sup>

Overexpression of HIF-1 $\alpha$  occurs in many cancers, such as cervical, ovarian, lung, oesophagel, colon, breast, pancreatic, prostate, renal, head and neck, and brain carcinomas. In many cases, increased HIF-1 $\alpha$  has been associated with increased mortality, cancer progression, and resistance to traditional chemotherapy, radiation, or photo-dynamic therapy.<sup>8</sup> This can be attributed mostly to the hypoxic environment created in solid tumors. As tumors grow, they need increased vasculature in order to receive oxygen and nutrients. Hypoxic conditions in the tumor can signal expression of pro-angiogenic genes such as VEGF. Although new vasculature

is established, it is often leaky and inadequate.<sup>9</sup> This leads to resistance to therapy because the tumor is not accessible to the chemotherapy or radiation. Given the role of the HIF pathway in hypoxia and cancer progression, it is an attractive target for cancer chemotherapy.



Figure 4.1. 4 lead compounds identified in the aryl sulfonamide class of HIF inhibitors.

As an initial step in the development of novel small molecule inhibitors of the HIF pathway, we initially screened a library of 10,000 compounds from a 2,2-dimethylbenzopyran combinatorial library using an LN229-HRE-alkaline phosphatase assay.<sup>10</sup> This initial screening yielded a few promising hits, with the lead compound identified as KCN-1 (**1**, Figure 4.1) having an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.59  $\mu$ M.<sup>11</sup> Since then, our collaborative team has identified additional small molecules such as *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (**2**, Figure 4.1), *N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-isopropyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (**3**, Figure 4.1), *N*-((8-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-isopropylpyridine-2-sulfonamide (**4**, Figure 4.1).<sup>12</sup> Moreover, computational studies have suggested a dual binding mode for these compounds to p300 and initial *in vitro* and *in vivo* results of **1** have shown very promising results, especially that these

classes of compounds do not have any inherent toxicity.<sup>13</sup> Due to poor solubility, however, **1** must be formulated in cremphor/ethanol, which has been shown to have toxicity problems in other anti-cancer therapeutics.<sup>14</sup> With all of this in mind, we began to search for novel structural features to broaden our SAR and perhaps discover a new lead compound in this series.

#### 4.3 **Results and Discussion**

#### 4.3.1 Design

Based off of our previous results, the SAR for the aryl sulfonamide HIF inhibitors has become somewhat flat, with many compounds exhibiting and IC<sub>50</sub> values in the 250-1000 nM range. We initially chose to keep the 2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene moiety and the 3',4'dimethoxyphenyl moiety from **1** and link them together via a methanolic linker, which was seen in some of the less potent hits of the initial screening. We also wanted to diversify the pool of analogs by making modifications in 3 places (Figure 4.2): Class 1 compounds vary in the left hand aryl system, Ar<sub>1</sub>; Class 2 compounds vary in the right hand aryl system, Ar<sub>2</sub>; and Class 3 compounds vary in the linker between the two aryl groups. Since these compounds were designed to be both more potent and more soluble, the *in silico* logP and logS values were calculated with the online software ALOGPS 2.1 (Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory, http://www.vcclab.org).<sup>15</sup>





**Figure 4.2. Three classes of analogs** 

#### 4.3.2 Chemistry

#### *4.3.2.1 Class I: Ar*<sub>1</sub> *modifications.*

Class 1A compounds were synthesized in 1 or 2 steps from readily synthesized aldehydes **5a-c** (Figure 4.3).<sup>12a, 16</sup> First, aldehydes **5a-c** were coupled with 4-bromo-1,2-dimethoxybenzene through lithiation and then addition reaction to yield alcohols **6a-c**. Next, the double bond was hydrogenated to a single bond to yield compound **7c**.



Figure 4.3. Synthesis of Class 1A compounds

R<sub>1</sub> = H, X = C, **5-7a**; R<sub>1</sub> = OMe, X = C, **5-7b**; R<sub>1</sub> = H, X = N, **5-7c**; Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromo-1,2-dimethoxybenzene, buLi, -78°C, 49-63%; (b) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, MeOH, overnight.

Class 1B compounds were synthesized in 2 steps from commercially available 1-bromo-4-(bromomethyl)benzene **8** (Figure 4.4). First, **8** underwent SN2 displacement with either tetrahydrofuran-3-ol or tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-ol to yield ethers **9a-c**. Next, after lithiation, **9a-c** were reacted with 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde to yield alcohol compounds **10a-c**.



Figure 4.4. Synthesis of Class 1B compounds

 $R_2 = (S)$ -tetrahydrofuran-3-ol, **10a**;  $R_2 = (R)$ -tetrahydrofuran-3-ol, **10**;  $R_3 =$  tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-ol, **10c**. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, alcohol, 0°C to room temperature, overnight, 48-85% (b) 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde, buLi, -78°C, 58-94%.

Class 1C compounds were synthesized in 2 steps from commercially available 1-bromo-4-(bromomethyl)benzene **8** (Figure 4.5). First, **8** underwent SN2 displacement with morpholine, or N-methylpipirizine to yield compounds **11a-b**. Next, **11a-** were reacted with butyl lithium and then 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde to yield alcohols **12a-c**.



Figure 4.5. Synthesis of Class 1C compounds

 $R_3$  = morpholine, **11-12a**;  $R_3$  = methylbromo, **12b**;  $R_3$  = 4-methylpiperazin-1-yl, **11-12c**. Reagents and conditions: (a) 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde, BuLi, -78 °C, 85%. (b) 3,4-dimethoxybromobenzenee, BuLi, -78 °C, 89%.

Class 1D compounds were synthesized in 1 step from commercially available starting materials **13** and **14**, which consist of an aryl bromide and an aryl aldehyde, which were coupled together to yield alcohols **15a-d** (Figure 4.6).



Figure 4.6. Synthesis of Class 1C compounds

 $R_4 = H$ , Y = Br, Z = CHO, **15a**;  $R_4 = 3,4$ -dimethoxy, Y = Br, Z = CHO, **15b**;  $R_3 = 2,4$ -dimethoxy, Y = Br, Z = CHO, **15c**;  $R_4 = 4$ -methylbromide, Y = Br, Z = CHO, **15d**;  $R_4 =$  benzofuran, Y = CHO, Z = Br, **15e**. Reagents and conditions: (a) buLi, -78°C, 46-65%.

#### 4.3.2.2 Class 2: Ar<sub>2</sub> modifications.

Class 2 compounds were synthesized in 1 facile step from commercially available starting materials **16** and **17**, which consist of an aryl bromide and an aryl aldehyde, which were coupled to yield alcohols **18a-d** (Figure 4.7).



Figure 4.7. Synthesis of Class 2A compounds

 $R_5 = H, R_6 = H, X = C, Y = CHO, Z = Br, 18a; R_5 = OMe, R_6 = H, X = C, Y = CHO, Z = Br,$ 18b;  $R_5 = H, R_6 = OMe, X = C, Y = Br, Z = CHO, 18c, R_5 = H, R_6 = H, X = N, Y = CHO, Z = Br,$ 18d. Reagents and conditions: (a) BuLi, -78 °C.

#### 4.3.2.3 Class 3: Linker modifications.

Class 3 compounds were synthesized in 1 step from alcohol compounds **6a**, **10a**, and **12b**. Each alcohol was oxidized to its corresponding ketone **19a-c** (Figure 4.8).



Figure 4.8. Synthesis of Class 3 compounds

Ar<sub>1</sub> = 6-(2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromenyl), **6a**, 19a; Ar<sub>1</sub> = 1-(4-((((*S*)-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl, **10a**, **19b**; Ar<sub>1</sub> = 1-(4-bromomethyl)phenyl, **12b**, **19c**. Reagents and conditions: (a) DDQ, 10:1 DCM/AcOH, rt, overnight, 46-99%

#### 4.3.3 Biology

For Class 1A compounds (Table 4.1), the best compound was the original compound **6a**, with the 2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.6  $\mu$ M. The addition of the methoxy group resulted in a 5.2-fold decrease from **6a**.

	Structure	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	logP	logS
6a	OMe OH OH	0.6	3.68	-4.43
6b <sup>§</sup>	OMe OMe OMe OH	3.1	3.52	-4.42

Table 4.1. Class 1A compounds and IC<sub>50</sub>

Our overall best compound was **10a** of Class 1B (Table 4.2), with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.32  $\mu$ M. It is interesting to note that the diasteromer of **10a**, **10b**, was not as active, and, in fact, suffered a 10-fold loss of activity with the change of the stereocenter in the tetrahydrofuran moiety. In addition, exchanging the *(S)*-tetrahydrofuran for the larger tetrahydropyran moiety, compound **10c**, also resulted in a 10-fold decrease in activity. This demonstrates that this position is very important to the activity of the compound and small changes in this position are not well-tolerated.



Table 4.2. Class 1B compounds and IC<sub>50</sub>

Unfortunately, only one of the compounds in Class 1C showed any activity (Table 4.3). **12b** had a moderate activity of 1.0  $\mu$ M. It seems that the bulky bromo substituent and morpholine moiety are not well-tolerated in that position. This is unusual because the addition of morpholine moieties in various position in the aryl sulfonamide series was tolerated. It is possible that the increased activity seen in morpholine-substituted aryl sulfonamides comes from an increase in solubility, but the methanolic compounds are already quite soluble, so the same increase is not observed.



Table 4.3. Class 1C compounds and IC<sub>50</sub>

In addition, only one of the compounds in Class 1D showed any activity (Table 4.4). **15a** demonstrated low activity of 2.1  $\mu$ M. Methoxy groups and a fused benzopyran system were not tolerated. Unfortunately, the Class 2 compounds have not yet been evaluated for biological activity, so cannot be analyzed at this time. In addition, none of the compounds in Class 3 demonstrated any activity. This decrease in activity can be ascribed to either loss of solubility (as demonstrated by increased logP and logS values when compared to the reduced compounds) or lower affinity for the target protein, p300.

		1		
	Structure	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	logP	logS
15a	OMe OH	2.1	2.55	-3.01
15b	MeO MeO OH	>5	2.32	-3.41
15c	OMe OMe OMe OMe OH	>5	2.46	-3.39
15d	O O Me O H	>5	2.61	-3.65

## Table 4.4. Class 1D compounds and $IC_{50}$



### Table 4.5. Class 2 compounds and IC<sub>50</sub>

Table 4.6. Class 3 compounds and IC<sub>50</sub>

	Structure	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	logP	logS
19a	OMe OMe	>5	4.03	-5.08
19b	O O O O O O O Me O O O O O O O O O O O O	>5	2.75	-4.49
19c	Br OMe OMe	>5	3.78	-5.14

#### 4.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, 21 novel bi-aryl methanolic compounds were synthesized. However, only 2/3 of these compounds have been evaluated to date for biological activity. Of those 16 that have been evaluated, 9 showed activity, with the best compound **10a** demonstrating an activity of 0.32  $\mu$ M, which is a 1.8-fold increase in activity from the original compound **1**. In addition, all of the compounds have better logP and logS values than those of **1**, 4.97 and -6.05, respectively. The best compound **10a** has the second lowest logP value, 2.29, which is 2.17-fold lower than **1**. In fact, **10a** has the lowest logP value of all compounds except for **12a**, which has the same logP.

#### 4.5 Experimental

#### General methods and materials

All commercial chemicals were reagent grade, obtained from VWR, Aldrich, and Oakwood Chemicals and were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 NMR spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, in deuterated solvent with TMS as internal reference ( $\delta = 0.00$  ppm). For all reactions, analytical grade solvent was used. Anhydrous solvents were used for all moisture-sensitive reactions. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained by the Mass Spectrometry Facilities at Georgia State University on a Waters Micromass Q-Tof (ESI).

General procedure for coupling between aryl bromide and aryl aldehyde to form alcohols: 1.0 equivalents of aryl bromide was dissolved in anhydrous THF under argon and cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath. After 20 min, 1.4 equivalents of BuLi was added. After 20 min, 1.4 equivalents of aryl aldehyde was added. The reaction was stirred in the dry ice/acetone bath for 40 min before the reaction temperature was brought to room temperature and then quenched with

saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The reaction mixture was taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and purified by flash column chromatography.

General procedure for *SN*2 displacement of bromide by alcohols: 1.0 equivalents of 1-bromo-4-(bromomethyl)benzene was dissolved in anhydrous THF and cooled in an ice bath. 1.0 equivalents of alcohol reagent and 1.5 equivalents of NaH were added and the reaction stirred overnight and the ice bath was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brined, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and purified by flash column chromatography.



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde** (5a). Synthesized in 2 steps from 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde, 23%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.83 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.70-5.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 6H) ppm.



8-Methoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (5b).<sup>§</sup> Synthesized in 2 steps from vanillin, 26%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.78 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 6.36-6.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.69-5.67 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 190.8, 148.9, 147.9, 131.2, 129.4, 123.3, 121.4, 121.3, 110.6, 78.2, 56.2, 28.3 ppm.



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-pyrano[3,2-***b***]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde (5c).** Synthesized in 3 steps from 2bromo-5-hydroxylpyridine, 23% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.91 s, 1H), 7.75-7.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.10 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.58-6.56 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.00-5.98 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 191.9, 153.7, 145.8, 141.3, 136.3, 123.4, 123.2, 123.0, 78.67, 28.7 ppm.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methanol (6a). Yield: 63%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.10-7.08 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.91-6.89 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.85-6.83 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.76-6.74 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.31-6.28 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (s, IH), 5.63-5.60 (s, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 1H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 149.0 148.4, 136.7, 136.3, 130.9, 127.4, 124.6, 122.3, 121.1, 118.8, 116.2, 110.9, 109.7, 76.3, 75.5, 55.9, 55.9, 28.0, 28.0 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 349.1416, found 349.1419.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(8-methoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methanol (6b).<sup>§</sup> Yield: 49%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.94-6.82 (m, 4H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.298-6.26 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 149.0, 148.4, 148.2, 141.4, 136.5, 136.0, 131.0, 122.4, 121.7, 118.9, 117.0, 110.9, 110.8, 109.8, 75.7, 60.4, 56.3, 55.9, 55.9, 27.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 355.1545, found 355.1543.
o ...O Br

(*S*)-3-((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)tetrahydrofuran (9a). Yield: 48%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.36-7.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.11-7.09 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 3.85-3.69 (m, 4H), 1.90-1.88 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 137.3, 131.4, 129.2, 121.4, 79.3, 72.8, 70.2, 67.0, 32.6 ppm.



(*R*)-3-((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)tetrahydrofuran (9b). Yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.44-7.42 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19-7.17 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 3.93-3.74 (m, 4H), 2.03-1.94 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  137.3, 131.5, 129.2, 121.4, 79.3, 72.7, 70.2, 67.0, 32.6 ppm. GCMS (EI) *m/z* 256 (M)<sup>-+</sup>.



**4-((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)tetrahydro-2***H***-pyran (9c).** Yield: 82%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.45-7.43 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.22-7.20 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 3.95-3.91 (m, 2H), 3.58-3.53 (m, 1H), 3.44-3.39 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 2H), 1.92-1.89 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.58 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 137.9, 131.4, 129.1, 121.3, 73.6, 68.8, 65.6, 32.4 ppm. GCMS (EI) *m/z* 270 (M).<sup>+</sup>.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-((((*S*)-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10a). Yield: 94%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.26-7.24 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19-7.17 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 6.76-6.74 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.69-6.67 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 3.73-3.60 (m, 10H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.9, 148.2, 143.9, 137.1, 136.9, 127.6 126.5, 118.9, 110.9, 109.8, 78.9, 75.3, 72.6, 70.7, 66.9, 55.8, 55.7, 32.4 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M - H)<sup>-</sup>] 343.1545, found 343.1532.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-((((*R*)-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10b). Yield: 58%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.32-7.30 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.24 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.83-6.81 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.77-6.75 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 4.14 (s, 1H), 3.86-3.73 (m, 10 H), 3.39 (bs, 1H), 1.99-1.94 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.9, 148.3, 143.8, 137.7, 136.9, 127.7, 126.5, 118.9, 110.9, 109.8, 78.9, 75.5, 72.7, 70.8, 67.0, 55.9, 55.8, 32.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M - H)<sup>-</sup>] 343.1545, found 343.1553.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10c). Yield: 64%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.30-7.28 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.24 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.81-6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74-6.72 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (s, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 3.85-3.79 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.51 (m, 1H), 3.35-3.30 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 1.85-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.57-1.55 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.9, 148.3, 143.7, 137.6, 137.0, 127.5, 126.5, 118.9, 110.9, 109.8, 75.4, 73.2, 69.2, 65.6, 55.9, 55.8, 32.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M - H)<sup>-</sup>] 357.1702, found 357.1692.



(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-morpholinophenyl)methanol (12a). Yield: 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.26-6.24 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.87-6.80 (m, 4H), 5.70 (s, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.82 (m, 4H), 3.12-3.10 (m, 4H), 2.80 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.6, 148.9, 148.2, 136.9, 135.7, 127.5, 118.8, 115.6, 110.8, 109.7, 75.4, 66.8, 66.5, 55.9, 55.87, 49.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 330.1705, found 330.1710.



(4-(Bromomethyl)phenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (12b). Yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.45-7.43 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.31-7.29 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.05-7.03 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.99-6.97 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.04 (s, 2H), 2.57 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.1, 148.4, 142.3, 140.9, 137.5, 128.5, 126.7, 119.1, 111.1, 110.1, 75.6, 56.0, 55.9, 37.7 ppm.



**(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl)methanol (12c)** Yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.26-7.24 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.80 (m, 4H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 3.17-3.15 (m, 4H), 2.60-2.57 (m, 4H), 2.34 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 150.5, 148.9, 148.2, 137.0, 135.6, 127.5, 118.7, 116.0, 110.8, 109.6, 75.4, 55.9, 55.8, 54.9, 48.7, 45.9 ppm.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methanol (15a). Yield: 48%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.41-7.271 (m, 5H), 6.941 (s, 1H), 6.91-6.89 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.85-6.83 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.1, 148.5, 143.9, 136.6, 128.5, 127.5, 126.4, 119.0, 111.0, 109.8, 76.0, 55.2, 55.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 267.0997, found 267.0993.



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (15b). Yield: 48%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.95 (s, 1H), 6.91-6.89 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.86-6.84 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.78 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 2.19 (bs, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.0, 148.5, 136.6, 118.9, 110.9, 109.7, 75.8, 55.93, 55.87 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M - H)<sup>-</sup>] 303.1232, found 303.1224.



(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (15c). Yield: 46%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.02 (s, 1H), 6.89-6.78 (m, 5H), 5.99 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.08 (s, 1H), ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.8, 151.0, 148.8, 148.2, 135.7, 133.3, 118.8, 114.1, 112.7, 111.8, 110.8, 110.0, 72.0, 56.0, 55.9, 55.8, 55.7 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 327.1208, found 327.1200.



(2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (15d). Yield: 65%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.16 (s, 1H), 7.10-7.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.82-6.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (s, 1H), 4.55-4.51 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.16-3.12 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (bs, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.5, 148.9, 148.2, 137.0, 136.4, 127.3, 126.6, 123.3, 118.6, 110.8, 109.5, 108.9, 75.7, 71.4, 55.9, 55.8, 29.7 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 309.1103, found 309.1107.



(2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (18a). Yield: 66%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.299-7.28 (m, 5H), 7.11, 7.09 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.76-6.74 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.31-6.29 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 152.4, 143.9, 136.2, 131.0, 128.4, 127.5, 127.4, 126.6, 126.5, 124.7, 122.3, 121.2, 116.2, 75.8, 73.9, 28.1 ppm.



(2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (18b). HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 295.1334, found 295.1327.



(2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methanol (18c). HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 295.1334, found 295.1334.



(2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(pyridin-3-yl)methanol (18d).



(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methanone (19a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.62-7.69 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.51 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.47- 7.45 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.38 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.30 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.93-6.91 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.84-6.82 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.39-6.36 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.71-5.68 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 6H) ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 325.1440, found 325.1449.



(*S*)-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(((tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanone (19b) Yield: 46%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.75-7.73 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.47-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.90-6.88 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (m, 2H), 4.26 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.90 (m, 2H), 3.87-3.83 (m, 2H), 2.08-2.05 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 195.3, 153.0, 149.0, 142.4, 137.5, 130.2, 130.0, 127.1, 125.5, 112.1, 109.7, 79.5, 72.8, 70.5, 67.1, 56.1, 56.1, 32.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 343.1545, found 343.1555.



(4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (19c) Yield: 99%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.74-7.72 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.40-7.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.28 (m, 2H), 6.93-6.91 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.08 (s, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 152.9, 145.7, 130.1, 128.3, 125.4, 116.0, 112.1, 109.7, 56.1, 37.4 ppm. MS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Br [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 336.19, found 336.00.

## 4.6 References

1. FASTSTATS - Deaths and Mortality. <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/deaths.htm.</u>

2. (a) Harris, A. L., Hypoxia--a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. *Nature Reviews*. *Cancer* **2002**, *2* (1), 38-47; (b) Melillo, G., Inhibiting Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 for Cancer Therapy. *Molecular Cancer Research* **2006**, *4* (9), 601-605; (c) Wilson, W. R.; Hay, M. P.,

Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. **2011**; (d) Matsumoto, S., Imaging Cycling Tumor Hypoxia. *Cancer Research* **2010**, *70* (24), 10019.

3. Wang, G. L.; Semenza, G. L., Purification and Characterization of Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1995**, *270* (3), 1230-1237.

4. Wang, G. L.; Jiang, B. H.; Rue, E. A.; Semenza, G. L., Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O2 tension. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1995**, *92* (12), 5510-5514.

5. (a) Semenza, G. L., Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* **2003**, *3* (10), 721-732; (b) Bruick, R. K.; McKnight, S. L., A Conserved Family of Prolyl-4-Hydroxylases That Modify HIF. *Science* **2001**, *294* (5545), 1337-1340; (c) Berra, E.; Benizri, E.; Ginouves, A.; Volmat, V.; Roux, D.; Pouyssegur, J., HIF prolyl-hydroxylase 2 is the key oxygen sensor setting low steady-state levels of HIF-1alpha in normoxia. *Embo J* **2003**, *22* (16), 4082-90; (d) Hirsila, M.; Koivunen, P.; Gunzler, V.; Kivirikko, K. I.; Myllyharju, J., Characterization of the human prolyl 4-hydroxylases that modify the hypoxia-inducible factor. *J Biol Chem* **2003**, *278* (33), 30772-80.

Maxwell, P. H.; Wiesener, M. S.; Chang, G.-W.; Clifford, S. C.; Vaux, E. C.; Cockman,
 M. E.; Wykoff, C. C.; Pugh, C. W.; Maher, E. R.; Ratcliffe, P. J., The tumour suppressor protein
 VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. *Nature* 1999, *399* (6733), 271-275.

 (a) Levy, A. P.; Levy, N. S.; Wegner, S.; Goldberg, M. A., Transcriptional Regulation of the Rat Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Gene by Hypoxia. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1995**, *270* (22), 13333-13340; (b) Semenza, G. L.; Nejfelt, M. K.; Chi, S. M.; Antonarakis, S. E., Hypoxia-inducible nuclear factors bind to an enhancer element located 3' to the human erythropoietin gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1991**, *88* (13), 5680-5684; (c) Chen, C.; Pore, N.; Behrooz, A.; Ismail-Beigi, F.; Maity, A., Regulation of glut1 mRNA by Hypoxia-inducible Factor-1: INTERACTION BETWEEN H-ras AND HYPOXIA. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2001**, *276* (12), 9519-9525; (d) Semenza, G. L.; Jiang, B.-H.; Leung, S. W.; Passantino, R.; Concordet, J.-P.; Maire, P.; Giallongo, A., Hypoxia Response Elements in the Aldolase A, Enolase 1, and Lactate Dehydrogenase A Gene Promoters Contain Essential Binding Sites for Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1996**, *271* (51), 32529-32537; (e) Melillo, G.; Musso, T.; Sica, A.; Taylor, L. S.; Cox, G. W.; Varesio, L., A hypoxia-responsive element mediates a novel pathway of activation of the inducible nitric oxide synthase promoter. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* **1995**, *182* (6), 1683-1693; (f) Wenger, R. H.; Stiehl, D. P.; Camenisch, G., Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. *Sci STKE* **2005**, *2005* (306), re12.

8. Zhong, H.; De Marzo, A. M.; Laughner, E.; Lim, M.; Hilton, D. A.; Zagzag, D.; Buechler, P.; Isaacs, W. B.; Semenza, G. L.; Simons, J. W., Overexpression of Hypoxiainducible Factor 1 alpha in Common Human Cancers and Their Metastases. *Cancer Research* **1999**, *59* (22), 5830-5835.

9. Brown, J. M.; Giaccia, A. J., The Unique Physiology of Solid Tumors: Opportunities (and Problems) for Cancer Therapy. *Cancer Research* **1998**, *58* (7), 1408-1416.

10. (a) Post, D. E.; Van-Meir, E. G., Generation of bidirectional hypoxia/HIF-responsive expression vectors to target gene expression to hypoxic cells. *Gene Ther* **2001**, *8*, 1801-7; (b) Post, D. E.; Devi, N. S.; Li, Z.; Brat, D. J.; Kaur, B.; Nicholson, A.; Olson, J. J.; Zhang, Z.; Van-

Meir, E. G., Cancer therapy with a replicating oncolytic adenovirus targeting the hypoxic microenvironment of tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2004, 10, 8603-12; (c) Post, D. E.; Van-Meir, E. G., A novel hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) activated oncolvtic adenovirus for cancer therapy. Oncogene 2003, 22, 2065-72; (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J. A.; Mitchell, H. J.; Roecker, A. J.; Barluenga, S.; Cao, G. Q.; Affleck, R. L.; Lillig, J. E., Natural Product-like Combinatorial Libraries Based on Privileged Structures. 2. Construction of a 10,Äâ000-Membered Benzopyran Library by Directed Split-and-Pool Chemistry Using NanoKans and Optical Encoding. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2000, 122 (41), 9954-9967; (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J. A.; Mitchell, H. J.; Roecker, A. J.; Barluenga, S.; Cao, G. Q.; Affleck, R. L.; Lillig, J. E., Natural Product-like Combinatorial Libraries Based on Privileged Structures. 2. Construction of a 10,000-Membered Benzopyran Library by Directed Split-and-Pool Chemistry Using NanoKans and Optical Encoding. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2000, 122 (41), 9954-9967; (f) Tan, C.; de Noronha, R. G.; Roecker, A. J.; Pyrzynska, B.; Khwaja, F.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, H.; Teng, Q.; Nicholson, A. C.; Giannakakou, P.; Zhou, W.; Olson, J. J.; Pereira, M. M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Identification of a Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitor of the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Pathway. Cancer Research 2005, 65 (2), 605-612.

Tan, C.; de Noronha, R. G.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; Kaluz, S.; Liu, Y.; Mooring, S. R.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Wang, B.; Van Meir, E. G., Sulfonamides as a new scaffold for hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 2011, *21* (18), 5528-5532.

(a) Mooring, S. R.; Jin, H.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; Kaluz, S.; Liu, Y.; Van Meir, E.
G.; Wang, B., Design and Synthesis of Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitors of the Hypoxia
Inducible Factor Pathway. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2011, *54* (24), 8471-8489; (b) Mun,

J.; Jabbar, A. A.; Devi, N. S.; Liu, Y.; Van Meir, E. G.; Goodman, M. M., Structure–activity relationship of 2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene based arylsulfonamide analogs of 3,4-dimethoxy-N-[(2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl]-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide, a novel small molecule hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) pathway inhibitor and anti-cancer agent. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* **2012**, *20* (14), 4590-4597; (c) Mun, J.; Jabbar, A. A.; Devi, N. S.; Yin, S.; Wang, Y.; Tan, C.; Culver, D.; Snyder, J. P.; Van Meir, E. G.; Goodman, M. M., Design and in Vitro Activities of N-Alkyl-N-[(8-R-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl]heteroarylsulfonamides, Novel, Small-Molecule Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 Pathway Inhibitors and Anticancer Agents. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **2012**, *55* (15), 6738-6750.

(a) Shi, Q.; Yin, S.; Kaluz, S.; Ni, N.; Devi, N. S.; Mun, J.; Wang, D.; Damera, K.; Chen, W.; Burroughs, S.; Mooring, S. R.; Goodman, M. M.; Van Meir, E. G.; Wang, B.; Snyder, J. P., Binding Model for the Interaction of Anticancer Arylsulfonamides with the p300 Transcription Cofactor. *ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 2012, *3* (8), 620-625; (b) Yin, S.; Kaluz, S.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; de Noronha, R. G.; Mun, J.; Zhang, Z.; Boreddy, P. R.; Wang, W.; Wang, Z.; Abbruscato, T.; Chen, Z.; Olson, J. J.; Zhang, R.; Goodman, M. M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Arylsulfonamide KCN1 Inhibits In Vivo Glioma Growth and Interferes with HIF Signaling by Disrupting HIF-1α Interaction with Cofactors p300/CBP. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2012, *18* (24), 6623-6633; (c) Wang, W.; Ao, L.; Rayburn, E. R.; Xu, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, X.; Nag, S. A.; Wu, X.; Wang, M.-H.; Wang, H.; Van Meir, E. G.; Zhang, R., KCN1, a Novel Synthetic Sulfonamide Anticancer Agent: *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Anti-Pancreatic Cancer Activities and Preclinical Pharmacology. *PLoS ONE* 2012, *7* (9), e44883.

Liebmann, J.; Cook, J.; Mitchell, J., Cremophor EL, solvent for paclitaxel, and toxicity.
 *The Lancet* 1993, *342* (8884), 1428.

15. VCCLAB Virtual computational chemistry laboratory. <u>http://www.vcclab.org</u> (accessed 01/02/2013).

16. Prado, S.; Janin, Y. L.; Saint-Joanis, B.; Brodin, P.; Michel, S.; Koch, M.; Cole, S. T.; Tillequin, F.; Bost, P.-E., Synthesis and antimycobacterial evaluation of benzofurobenzopyran analogues. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* **2007**, *15* (5), 2177-2186.

# 5 DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF NOVEL KCN1 ANALOGS AS ANTI-CANCER THERAPEUTICS

The work presented in this chapter is based on a manuscript in preparation for submission to ChemMedChem. This chapter includes compounds synthesized by Jalisa Holmes,<sup>§</sup> Zeus de los Santos,<sup> $\delta$ </sup> Bowen Ke,<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> Suazette Mooring,<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> and Krishna Damera.<sup> $\neq$ </sup>

#### 5.1 Abstract

In the field of cancer therapeutics, a change needs to be made from cytotoxic agents to direct, targeted therapy. Herein, we describe the design and synthesis of such agents that selectively target the HIF pathway.

#### 5.2 Introduction

One of the environments in which cancerous cells thrive is hypoxia, a condition in which the presence of oxygen is very low or nonexistent. This condition is present in many types of cancers, as the cells in solid tumor masses will have low oxygen pressure due to minimized vasculature<sup>1</sup>. Under these hypoxic conditions, the Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF) pathway activates a number of genes that eventually lead to the upregulation of many other biological pathways including cell growth, glycolysis and angiogenesis<sup>2</sup>. The basic-loop-helix-loop motif heterodimeric transcription factor HIF-1 complex is comprised of two subunits: HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF-1 $\beta$ . Normally, in cells where oxygen is present, HIF-1 $\alpha$  is oxidized by the enzyme prolyl hydroxylase (PHD). The dihydroxylated form of HIF-1 $\alpha$  is then bound by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein (VHL), which leads to ubiquitination of HIF-1 $\alpha$  and its subsequent proteosomal degradation.<sup>3</sup> In contrast, under hypoxic conditions the dihydroxylated form of HIF-1 is not formed. Instead, HIF-1 $\alpha$  binds with HIF-1 $\beta$  to form a heterodimer, which binds to coactivator p300. At this point, the entire complex acts as a transcription factor of many genes, such as *VEGF* (vascular endothelial growth factor), *EPO* (eythropoietin), *GLUT1* (glucose transporter 1), *LDH-A* (lactate dehydrogenase), and *NOS* (nitric oxide synthase) by binding to 5'-HRE (hypoxic response element) promoter regions.<sup>4</sup> The expression of this HIF-1 pathway is associated with several types of cancer and is also related to low success rates of various treatment methods<sup>5</sup>.

Toward the goal of finding small-molecule inhibitors of the HIF pathway, our collaborators at Emory University, the Erwin van Meir laboratory, developed an HRE-alkaline phosphatase assay to screen a library of 10,000 compounds from a 2,2-dimethylbenzopyran combinatorial library.<sup>6</sup> The HRE-alkaline phosphatase assay uses LN229 glioblastoma cells transfected with the alkaline phosphatase reporter and 6 copies of the HRE (hypoxia response element) for the VEGF gene.<sup>7</sup> This initial screening yielded a few promising hits, with the lead compound identified as KCN-1 **1** (Figure 5.1a) having an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.59  $\mu$ M.<sup>8</sup> KCN-1 was then taken to preliminary *in vivo* studies, where nude mice were implanted with LN229 glioblastoma cells on their hind flanks. After 1 week, the mice were either injected with KCN-1 (60mg/kg; 5 days/week) or vehicle (DMSO) only. The KCN-1 mice had tumors that were 6-fold decreased in

size compared to the vehicle only mice and some of the tumors had disappeared completely. The KCN-1 mice did not appear to suffer negative side effects from the KCN-1 treatment.<sup>9</sup> It is important to note that these compounds do not decrease levels of HIF-1 and are not cytotoxic, in contrast to most anti-cancer therapeutics. With KCN-1 as the original lead compound, our laboratory began synthesis of a library of analogs. Over 100 compounds were synthesized. This initial library of analogs was screened against a human glioblastoma cell line LN229-HRE-Luc, with luciferase replacing alkaline phosphatase. From this initial study, *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide **2** (Figure 5.1b), with IC<sub>50</sub> 0.28  $\mu$ M was chosen as the optimized lead for further study.<sup>10</sup> With such promising results from theses initial studies, we pursued further lead optimization and broadening of the library for hope of in more potent compounds and to further develop our SAR (structure-activity relationship).



Figure 5.1. Lead compounds.

a) Lead compound 1, b) Lead compound 2

#### 5.3 **Results and Discussion**

#### 5.3.1 Design

Lead compounds **1** and **2** were divided into 4 parts (Figure 5.1): the left-hand core (A, red), the N-substituent (B, green), the right-hand ring (C, blue), and the linker (D, violet).



#### Table 5.1. Classes of analogs

Analogs were designed and synthesized based off of modifications of these 4 parts. In total, 11 classes of analogs, for a total of 50 compounds, were devised as described in Table 5.1. Class 1A-1F are KCN analogs: Class 1A has the A ring modified; Class 1B has the B ring modified; Class 1C has the C ring modified; Class 1D has a hydrogenated 2,2-dimethylpyranobenzene A ring, and modifications to both the B and C rings; Class 1E has both the A and B rings modified; and Class 1F has both the A and C rings modified. Class 2A-2D are 64b analogs: Class 2A has A ring modifications; Class 2B has B ring modifications; Class 2C has C ring modifications; and

Class 2D has a hydrogenated 2,2-dimethylpyranopyridine A ring. Class 3 compounds are hybrid 1/2 analogs with the A ring from 1, the B ring from 2, and modifications to the C ring. Class 4 is a new class of aryl sulfonamides with the A and B rings fused together and constrained in a ring.

## 5.3.2 Chemistry

## 5.3.2.1 Class 1A analogs

Class 1A analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.2) from either commercially available aldehydes or aldehydes readily synthesized from literature procedures (see supporting information S1).<sup>11</sup> These aldehydes **15** underwent reductive amination with aniline. The subsequent secondary amines **16** were next reacted with 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride to yield the sulfonamide final products **3a-3m**.



Figure 5.2. Synthesis of Class 1A analogs

 $R_{1} = 6-(2,2-\text{dimethylchroman-yl}) (3a)^{*}, 3-(7-((\text{tetrahydro-}2H-\text{pyran-}2-\text{yl})\text{oxy})-2H-\text{chromen-yl}) (3b), 6-(7-\text{bromo-}2,2-\text{dimethyl-}2H-\text{chromen-yl}) (3c), 6-(2,2,8-\text{trimethyl-}2H-\text{chromen-yl}) (3d), 6-(1)$   $(\text{trimethyl-}2H-\text{chromen-yl}) (3e), 6-(2,2-\text{dimethyl-}2,7b-\text{dihydro-}1aH-\text{oxireno}[2,3-c]\text{chromen-yl}) (3f)^{*}, 4-(\text{benzo}[d][1,3]\text{dioxol-yl}), 5-(\text{benzo}[c][1,2,5]\text{oxadiazol-yl}) (3h), 4-(1)$  (morpholinomethyl)phenyl (3i), 5-(2,3-dihydrobenzofuranyl) (3j), 2-bromophenyl (3k), 6-(5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-yl) (3l), 6-(5-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-yl) (3l), 6-(5-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-yl) (3h), 4-(1)

room temperature, 20 minutes, 23-91%; (b)  $K_2CO_3$ , DCM, room temperature, overnight, 11-84%.\***3a** and **3f** were synthesized from **1**, see Schemes 4 and supporting information

#### 5.3.2.2 Class 1B analogs

Class 1B analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.3) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde 17, which was synthesized from literature procedure (see supporting information S2).<sup>11</sup> 17 underwent reductive amination with various amines to form secondary amines 18, which were next reacted with 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride to yield the final products sulfonamides 4a.



Figure 5.3. Synthesis of Class 1B analogs

 $R_2$  = oxetan-3-ylmethyl (4a). Reagents and conditions: (a) InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 20 minutes, 92%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 69%

## 5.3.2.3 Class 1C analogs

Class 1C analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.4) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*chromene-6-carbaldehyde **17**, which underwent reductive amination with aniline to yield *N*- ((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline **19**. **19** was next reacted with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield the final products sulfonamides **5a-5d**.



Figure 5.4. Synthesis of Class 1C analogs

 $R_3 = 3$ -methoxyphenyl (5a), 4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl (5b), 4-(carboxymethyl)phenyl (5c), 3,4-difluorophenyl (5d), 4-methoxyphenyl (5e), Reagents and conditions: (a) InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 20 minutes, 80%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 6-69%

## 5.3.2.4 Class 1D analogs

Class 1D analogs were synthesized in three steps (Figure 5.5) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde **17**, which underwent reductive amination with various amines to yield secondary amines **20**. **20** were next reacted with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield sulfonamides **21**, which were then hydrogenated to yield final products **6a-6c**.



Figure 5.5. Synthesis of Class 1D analogs

 $R_4$  = phenyl,  $R_5$  = 4-methoxyphenyl (**6a**),  $R_4$  = oxetan-3-ylmethyl,  $R_5$  = 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl (**6b**),  $R_4$  = cyclobutyl,  $R_5$  = 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl (**6c**). Reagents and conditions: (a) InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 20 minutes, 80%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 69-75%; (c) H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, overnight, 70-99%

## 5.3.2.5 Class 1E analogs

Class 1E analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.6) from commercially available aldehyde **22. 22** underwent reductive amination with various amines to yield secondary amines **23**, which were next reacted with 3,4-dimethoxyl sulfonyl chloride to yield the final product sulfonamide **7a**.



## Figure 5.6. Synthesis of Class 1E analogs

 $R_6 = R_7 = 4$ -(3',4'-dimethoxybenzenesulfonyl)phenyl (7a). Reagents and conditions: (a) InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 20 minutes, 86%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 73%.

## 5.3.2.6 Class 1F analogs

Class 1F analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.7) from commercially available aldehydes **24. 24** underwent reductive amination with aniline to yield secondary amines **25**, which were next reacted with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield the final product sulfonamide **8a**.



Figure 5.7. Synthesis of Class 1F analogs

 $R_8 = 5$ -(2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-yl),  $R_9 = 2$ ,4-dihydroxyphenyl (**8a**),  $R_8 =$  phenyl,  $R_9 = 4$ -2'bromoacetylphenyl (**8b**). Reagents and conditions: (a) InCl<sub>3</sub>, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 20 minutes, 87-97%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 15-58%.

## 5.3.2.7 Class 2A analogs

Class 2A analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.8) from commercially available or previously synthesized aldehydes **26**. **26** underwent reductive amination with cyclobutylamine to yield secondary amines **27**, which were next reacted with 3,4-dimethoxy sulfonyl chloride to yield the final products sulfonamides **9a-n**.



Figure 5.8. Synthesis of Class 2A analogs

 $R_{10} = 4$ -methoxyphenyl (9a), phenyl (9b), 2-nitrophenyl (9c), 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl (9d), 1*H*pyrrol-2-yl (9e), 4-nitrophenyl (9f), 6-(5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromenyl) (9g), 4-(morpholinomethyl)phenyl (9h), 5-benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-yl (9i), 5-(2,3-dihydrobenzofuranyl), (9j) 6-(2,2,8-trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-yl) (9k), 3-(7-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)-2*H*-chromen-yl) (9l), 6-(5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-yl) (9m), 6-(5-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromenyl) with 6-(7-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-yl) (9n). Reagents and conditions: (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, overnight, 26-90%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 11-72%.

#### 5.3.2.8 Class 2B analogs

Class 2B analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.9) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde **28**, which was synthesized from literature procedure (see supporting information S2). **28** underwent reductive amination with various amines and the

subsequent secondary amines **29** were next reacted with 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride to yield the final products sulfonamide **10a-b**.



Figure 5.9. Synthesis of Class 2B analogs

 $R_{11} = 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl (10a), 2-morpholinoethyl (10b).Reagents and conditions:$ (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, overnight, 38%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 29-35%.

## 5.3.2.9 Class 2C analogs

Class 2C analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.10) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde **28**. **28** underwent reductive amination with cyclobutylamine to yield N-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine **30**, what was next reacted with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield the final products sulfonamides **11a-c**.



Figure 5.10. Synthesis of Class 2C analogs

 $R_{12} = 4$ -methoxyphenyl (11a), 4-(bromomethyl)phenyl (11b), 4-trifluoromethoxyphenyl (11c), 2-methoxyphenyl (11d). Reagents and conditions: (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, overnight; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 53-78%

#### 5.3.2.10 Class 2D analogs

Class 2D analogs were synthesized in three steps (Figure 5.11) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde **28**. **28** underwent reductive amination with various amines to yield secondary amines **31**, which were next sulfonylated with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield sulfonamides **32**. The sulfonamides were then hydrogenated to yield final products **12a-b**.



Figure 5.11. Synthesis of Class 2D analogs

 $R_{13}$  = cyclobutyl,  $R_{14}$  = 3,4-dimethoxylphenyl (**12a**);  $R_{13}$  = cyclobutyl,  $R_{14}$  = 4-methoxylphenyl (**12b**). Reagents and conditions: (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, overnight; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 73-78%; (c) H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, overnight, 89-98%

## 5.3.2.11 Class 3 analogs

Class 3 analogs were synthesized in two steps (Figure 5.12) from 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde **18**. **18** underwent reductive amination with cyclobutylamine to yield N-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine **33**, which was next reacted with various sulfonyl chlorides to yield the final products sulfonamides **13a-e**.



Figure 5.12. Synthesis of Class 3A analogs

 $R_{15} = 3,4$ -dimethoxyphenyl (13a), 4-bromophenyl (13b), 4-trifluoromethylphenyl (13c), 4-(3,5dimethylisoxazol-yl) (13d), 3,4-difluorophenyl (13e) 2-methoxyphenyl (13f). Reagents and conditions: (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, overnight, 90%, (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, room temperature, overnight, 56-77%

## 5.3.2.12 Class 4 analogs

Class 4 analogs were synthesized in 1 step (Figure 5.13) from commercially available secondary amines **34**, which were reacted with 3,4-dimethoxysulfonyl chloride to yield the final products sulfonamide **14a**.



Figure 5.13. Synthesis of Class 4 analogs

 $R_{16}$  = 4-benzyl-pipirazinyl- (14a). Reagents and conditions: (a)  $K_2CO_3$ , DCM, room temperature, overnight,

## 5.3.3 Biology

38 of the analogs were evaluated for their inhibitory effects on HIF-1-mediated transcription under hypoxic conditions on human glioma cells LN229-HRE-Lux. Each compound was tested against **2** as positive controls and  $IC_{50}$  values were determined as listed in tables 2-10.

#### 5.3.3.1 Class 1A

Class 1A analogs were designed to probe the importance of the features of the phenyl A ring to the activity of **1**. The first part of the A ring examined was the double bond in the pyran ring. In many cases, double bonds are not preferred in therapeutics because of the possibility of activation and/or metabolism by CYP proteins in the liver, which can lead to hepatoxicity in vivo. In our case, removal of the double bond, **3a**, results in only a small decrease (1.5-fold) in activity whereas the epoxidation product, 3f, has dramatically (>13-fold) less activity. These observations let us know that our compounds do not likely require epoxidation by the liver for activity, and that, in fact, the double bond can be removed from the compound to decrease any hepatotoxicity that may arise in the future. Next, the point of attachment of the chromene ring was examined by changing it from carbon 6 to carbon 8, **3f**, which resulted in a 3-fold decrease in activity, confirming attachment at carbon 6 to be important. Next, substituents were introduced on the phenyl ring, 3c-3e, which decreased the activity by 5-fold, which suggests a somewhat size constrained binding pocket. Finally, various other fused and open ring systems were synthesized, **3g-3i**, of which, only **3i** displayed any activity, which is most likely due to the solubility effects of the morpholine moiety.



Table 5.2. Class 1A analogs and  $IC_{50}$  data



## 5.3.3.2 Class 1B

Class 1B analogs were designed to further probe the activity of the B ring and to try to introduce more polar groups and lower the logP to below 5. Although the analog **4a** had a

milogP 3.7 it was not very active, with an  $IC_{50}$  value of 4  $\mu$ M. This suggests that the B ring could be pointing into a hydrophobic pocket and adding polar moieties in this position is thus unfavorable.



Table 5.3. Class 1B analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

## 5.3.3.3 Class 1C

Class 1C analogs were designed to probe the activity of the moieties on the C ring. We probed the activities of this site by removing the 4'-methoxy to give **5a**, which resulted in a small 1.7-fold decrease in activity. We also removed the 3'-methoxy to give **5e**, (which was previously tested and described in our earlier work)<sup>10</sup> which resulted in a very small 1.1-fold decrease in activity. We next substituted the 4'-monomethoxy for a 4'-trifluoromethoxy moiety, **5b**, which resulted in a loss of activity. Thus, the 4'-methoxy moiety seems to be important for the biological activity and could possibly play a role in hydrogen bonding. We also made the 4'-methylcarboxylic acid derivative **5c** and the 3',4'-difluorophenyl derivative **5d**, but both had IC<sub>50</sub>

values greater than 5  $\mu$ M, respectively. Thus, the methoxy substituent in the 4' position seems to be needed for best activity while the 3'-methoxy is not as important.



Table 5.4. Class 1C analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

## 5.3.3.4 Class 1D

Class 1D analogs were designed to further probe the requirement of the double bond in the A ring. All class 1D analogs contain the hydrogenated chromene in the A ring position that was originally reported in compound **3a**. Compounds **3a**, **6a**, **6b** all demonstrated decreased activity in comparison to their non-hydrogenated counterparts. However, hybrid **6c**, was more potent than its non-hydrogenated counterpart **16a** (discussed below).



Table 5.5. Class 1D analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

## 5.3.3.5 Class 1E & 1F

Class 1E and 1F analogs did not display any activity. It seems that too many changes to the structure at once are deleterious and should be avoided.



Table 5.6. Class 1E analogs and  $IC_{50}$  data





## 5.3.3.6 Class 2A

Class 2A analogs were designed to probe the importance of the features of the phenyl A ring to the activity of **2**. The first strategy was to remove the fused ring feature altogether and see whether a simple phenyl ring with various substituents would have activity. Unfortunately, only one such compound, **9a**, with a 4-methoxyphenyl A ring had any activity, and this activity was diminished by almost 14-fold from **2**. **9b-g** all had activities greater than 5  $\mu$ M. This demonstrates the importance of the fused ring system to the activity. Next, a phenyl rings with a cyclic morpholine moiety in the 4-position was synthesized, **9h**, with the design of the substituent filling the space occupied by the fused ring. **9h** did show moderate activity, 1  $\mu$ a. This compound contains a morpholine ring, which can increase the solubility of the compound. It is highly possible that increased solubility is responsible for the activity of this compound. Next, other fused ring systems were tried, **9i-j**. Both of these compounds showed moderate activity, but decreased by 2.5-fold to 6.0-fold with respect to **2**.

## 5.3.3.7 Class 2B

Class 2B analogs were designed to further probe the importance of the B ring to the activity of **2**. Many such compounds had been made in our previous work, but the new compound **10a** demonstrated no activity.



Table 5.8. Class 2A analogs and  $IC_{50}$  data

9g	F F	2.3
9h		1
9i		1.25
9j		3.5
9k		Not tested
91		Not tested
9m	F F	2.3
91	F F	Not tested



Table 5.9. Class 2B analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

## 5.3.3.8 Class 2C

Class 2C analogs were designed to further probe the importance of the C ring to the activity of **2**. None of the compounds **11a-c** showed improved activity over **2**, with the best compound **11a** having activity of 1.8  $\mu$ c. It is interesting to note, moreover, that **13a**, with the 3'-methoxy removed lost potency by 6.4-fold, whereas the similar analog to **1** did not lose activity. This suggests that **1** and **2** may bind to different sites or in different poses.


Table 5.10. Class 2C analogs and  $IC_{50}$  data

### 5.3.3.9 Class 2D

Class 2D analogs were designed to further probe the requirement of the double bond in the A ring. All class 2D analogs contain the hydrogenated chromene in the A ring position. It is interesting that **12a**, the hydrogenated form of **2**, demonstrated slightly better activity at 0.25  $\mu$ M compared to 0.29  $\mu$ M, which is a 1.1-fold increase in potency, as well as **12b**, which demonstrated slightly better activity at 1.2  $\mu$  than its non-hydrogenated counterpart **11a**, at 1.8  $\mu$ M, which is a 1.5-fold increase in activity and makes **11a** the most potent compound described in this paper. Both of these compounds demonstrate that the double bond in the A ring is not required for potency, and may be eliminated with no loss of activity for **2** analogs.



Table 5.11. Class 2D analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

## 5.3.3.10 Class 3

Class 3 analogs are hybrid 1/2 compounds, with the A ring from 1 and the B ring from 2. This combination seems to be acceptable, with 13a, 13b, and 13e demonstrating activity, with 16a being the best at 0.75  $\mu$ M. However, the hydrogenated counterpart to 16a, 6c, demonstrated activity at 0.39  $\mu$ M, which is almost a 2-fold increase. This makes compound 6c the second best overall compound synthesized herein.

$ \begin{array}{c c}                                    $		
	R <sub>15</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)
13a	OMe	0.75
13b	Br	8
13c	OCF <sub>3</sub>	>5
13d	N O	>5
13e	F	4.6
13f	OMe	Not tested

Table 5.12. Class 3A analogs and  $IC_{50}\ data$ 

### 5.3.3.11 Class 4

Class 4 analogs are a new class of aryl sulfonamides with the A and B rings constrained together into various ring structures. The design was to add more heteroatoms and possible have a new backbone that could easily begin a new class of compounds. Unfortunately, this type of compound was not active and no further synthesis was pursued.



Table 5.13. Class 4 analogs and IC<sub>50</sub> data

# 5.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, 50 analogs were synthesized, bringing the total number of analogs synthesized by this lab to over 200. As illustrated in Figure 5.14, a structure-activity relationship (SAR) was developed. For the A ring, the 2,2-dimethyl chromene with either a N or C in the 5 position is important to the activity. Open ring structures are not well tolerated, with the exception of some 4-position moderately polar substituents. The double bond is not crucial for activity of compounds and can be eliminated with the result of better or only slightly decreased activity. For the B ring, only hydrophobic groups, such as aromatics or small aliphatic rings or chains are acceptable. Introduction of polar moieties in this position dramatically decreases the

activity. For the C ring, 3',4'-dimethoxy is still the best, with the 4'-methoxy more crucial to activity than the 3'-methoxy. As for the D linker, aryl sulfonamides are still the best and combining the A and B rings was not successful.

The overall best compound was 12a, with activity of 0.25  $\mu$ M. This is slightly better than the previous best compound 2, which had activity of 0.28  $\mu$ M. After comparison of over 200 compounds, it appears that small structural modifications are not yielding orders of magnitude increased potency. In the future, other avenues will be pursued, such as dramatically changing the aryl sulfonamide backbone or adding water-soluble moieties to increase desired pharmacokinetic properties.



Figure 5.14. SAR of analogs

### 5.5 Experimental

Typical procedure for reductive amination with cyclobutyl amine: 1 equivalent of aldehyde was dissolved in anhydrous MeOH under  $N_2$ . 1.05 equivalents of cyclobutyl amine were added and the reaction stirred overnight at room temperature. 1.6 equivalents of NaBH<sub>4</sub> were added and the

reaction stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and taken directly to the next step without further purification.

Typical procedure for reductive amination with aniline: 1 equivalent of aldehyde, 1.5 equivalents of NaBH<sub>4</sub>, and 0.15 equivalents of InCl<sub>3</sub> were dissolved in anhydrous ACN under N<sub>2</sub>. 1.5 equivalents of aniline were added and the reaction stirred until completion by TLC (typically ~20 minutes). The reaction was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for sulfonylation: 1 equivalent of amine was dissolved in DCM. 2 equivalents of K2CO3 were added. 2 equivalents of sulfonylchloride were added. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, then washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for hydrogenation: 1 equivalent of alkene, 10 mol% Pd/C was dissolved in anhydrous MeOH. The reaction vessel was flushed 3 x  $H_2$  and then stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography.

*N*-((2,2-Dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3a) Yield: 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36-7.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 3H), 7.02-6.93 (m, 5H), 6.89-6.87 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.62-6.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.70-2.67 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 1.77-1.74 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.5, 152.5, 148.7, 129.8, 129.3, 129.2, 128.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.9, 122.2, 121.4, 120.8, 117.0, 110.4, 110.4, 74.22, 56.2, 56.1, 54.3, 32.7, 26.8, 22.3 ppm. HRMS (ESI) calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 490.1664, found 490.1666. HPLC ret time 17.550 min, 100%.



### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide

(**3b**) Yield: 84%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.37-7.34 (dd, J = 8, 2Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.20 (m, 4H), 7.08-7.06 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.98-6.98 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.93 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.82-6.80 (m, 1H), 6.76-6.24 (m, 1H), 6.24-6.22 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.54-5.51 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s. 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 150.6, 148.7, 139.6, 130.5, 130.5, 129.9, 128.9, 128.6, 127.6, 125.7, 123.2, 122.2, 121.4, 120.9, 120.2, 110.4, 110.4, 76.3, 56.2, 56.1, 47.9, 27.8 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 417.1848, found 417.1848



### N-((7-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-

phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3c) Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.34-7.32 (dd, J = 8 Hz, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 7.01-6.93 (m, 4H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 6.21-6.19 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.6, 149.2, 148.8, 139.0, 132.3, 131.7, 130.2, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.0, 125.5, 122.5, 121.8, 121.4, 110.4, 110.4, 110.1, 76.7, 56.2, 53.7, 28.0 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>27</sub>BrNO<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 544.0793, found 544.0790. HPLC ret time 25.347 min, 97%.



**3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-phenyl-***N***-((2,2,8-trimethyl-2***H***<b>-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide** (**3d**) Yield: 18% over 2 steps. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.36-7.33 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.02-6.97 (m, 4H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.21-6.19 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.56-5.54 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.5, 150.4, 148.7, 139.2, 130.7, 130.5, 129.2, 128.8, 127.7, 127.3, 125.4, 124.2, 122.5, 121.4, 120.5, 118.5, 110.4, 110.3, 76.0, 56.2, 56.1, 54.3, 28.0, 15.4 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 502.1664, found 502.1657.



**3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-phenyl-***N***-((2,2,5-trimethyl-2***H***-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide and <b>3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-phenyl-***N***-((2,2,7-trimethyl-2***H***-chromen-6yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (2:1) (3e)** Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.37-7.34 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 3H), 7.20-7.18 (m, 9H), 6.98-6.91 (m, 12 H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 2H), 6.56-6.54 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.40-6.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.15-6.13 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.65-5.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.50-5.47 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 4H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 9H), 3.78 (s, 9H), 2.35 (s, 6H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 18H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 152.7,152.5, 148.7, 138.9, 138.7, 138.5, 133.6, 131.4, 130.7, 130.0, 129.8, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 127.8, 125.5, 121.9, 121.6, 121.5, 120.2, 119.5, 118.7, 118.0, 113.7, 110.6, 110.6, 110.4, 75.0, 56.2, 56.1, 52.9, 52.0, 28.0, 27.6, 19.2, 14.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 502.1664, found 502.1657. HPLC ret time 20.921 min, 100%.



N-((2,2-Dimethyl-2,7b-dihydro-1a*H*-oxireno[2,3-*c*]chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3f) 86.5 mg KCN-1 was dissolved in 2 mL DCM and cooled to 0°C. 60 mg MCPBA was added. Reaction stirred 21 hours at room temperature. Washed 2 x 1 M NaOH. Concentrated. Purified by column chromatography, 40:1 DCM/methanol, to yield 119 mg of off-white semi-solid.



*N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3g) Yield: 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.36-7.34 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.03-6.93 (m, 4H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.63-6.60 (m, 2H), 5.91 (s, 2H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.5, 148.9, 147.1, 139.1, 130.3, 129.8, 129.6, 129.1, 128.8, 127.9, 122.0, 121.5, 110.4, 109.0, 107.9, 101.0, 56.2, 56.1, 54.5 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 450.0987, found 450.0991. HPLC ret time 8.047 min, 98%.



*N*-(Benzo[*c*][1,2,5]oxadiazol-5-ylmethyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3h) Yield: 84%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.82-7.80 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.39-7.36 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.26 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 2H), 7.07-7.05 (m, 2H), 6.99-6.96 (m, 2H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.0, 149.0, 148.7, 140.3, 138.6, 132.3, 129.6, 129.2, 128.7, 128.3, 121.5, 116.8, 114.9, 110.6, 110.5, 56.2, 56.1, 54.5 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 426.1124, found 426.1104. HPLC ret time 27.317 min, 100%.



**3,4-Dimethoxy-***N***-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)***-N***-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3i)** Yield: 11%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.37-7.35 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.22-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.04-7.02 (m, 2H), 6.97-6.94 (m, 2H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.44 (s, 2H), 2.40 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.6, 148.7, 139.2, 135.0, 130.2, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.8, 127.5, 121.4, 110.4, 110.4, 66.9, 63.0, 56.2, 56.01, 54.4, 53.5. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 483.1954, found 483.1956.



*N*-((2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3j) Yield: 27%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.35-7.28 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.02-6.94 (m, 4H), 6.88-6.86 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.61-6.59 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.55-4.53 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.17-3.12 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.7, 152.5, 148.8 139.2, 130.6, 129.2, 128.8 128.6, 128.0, 127.8, 127.2, 125.4, 121.4, 110.5, 110.4, 108.8, 71.3, 56.2, 56.1, 54.5, 29.6 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 448.1195, found 448.1198.



*N*-(2-bromobenzyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3k) Yield: 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.63-7.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.44-7.42 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.37-7.35 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.13-7.11 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.09-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.97-6.95 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ152.7, 148.8, 139.4, 135.4, 132.6, 130.3, 129.7, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 127.9, 127.5, 123.1, 121.6, 110.4, 56.2, 56.1, 54.1 ppm.



N-((2,2-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(oxetan-3-

ylmethyl)benzenesulfonamide (4a) Yield: 69%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.70-7.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.22-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.96-6.94 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.51-6.48 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.88-5.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.72-4.67 (m, 2H), 4.44-4.35 (m, 2H), 4.38 (s, 2H), 4.20 (s, 3H), 4.17 (s, 3H), 3.63-3.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.32-3.22 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.0, 152.9, 149.5, 131.7, 131.2, 128.9, 128.6, 126.2, 122.1, 121.8, 121.8, 121.3, 116.7, 111.0, 110.0, 76.7, 75.3, 75.3, 56.5, 56.5, 53.0, 51.8, 34.8, 28.2 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 460.1794, found 460.1801.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5a) Yield: 13%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.00-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.91-6.88 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.62-6.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.25-6.23 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.59-5.57 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.7, 139.9, 138.9, 132.3, 130.9, 129.9, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.0, 127.9, 126.7, 122.1, 121.1, 119.8, 119.4, 116.1, 112.1, 76.3, 55.6, 54.5, 28.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 458.1402, found 458.1409.



N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-N-phenyl-4-

(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (5b) Yield: 19%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14-8.12 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.70 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.47-7.45 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.33-7.24 (m, 5H), 6.98-6.97 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H), 6.90-6.87 (m, 2H), 6.62-6.61(d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.24-6.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.60-5.58 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 2H), 1.40 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.0, 151.0, 138.6, 137.3, 131.0, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 129.1, 129.0, 128.1, 127.7, 126.7, 122.0, 121.2, 121.1, 120.6, 116.1, 76.32, 54.6, 28.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SF<sub>3</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 512.1119, found 512.1106.



**2-(4-(***N***-((2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-***N***-phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl)acetic acid (<b>5c**) Yield: 6%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.18 (m, 3H), 6.91-6.76 (m, 7H),

6.36-6.33 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.74-5.72 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (s, 2H), 3.28 (s, 2H), 1.34 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  194.6, 181.2, 171.2, 148.6, 147.8, 141.6, 141.6, 140.5, 137.3, 135.5, 129.6, 129.1, 128.5, 126.5, 123.5, 123.5, 123.4, 77.4, 54.2, 40.6, 29.6 ppm. MS *m*/*z* found for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S [(M+H)<sup>+</sup>] 465.2.



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-difluoro-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.29-7.26 (m, 4H), 6.98-6.96 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.87 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.63-6.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.25-6.23 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.61-5.58 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.6, 138.4, 131.0, 129.4, 129.1, 129.1, 128.3, 127.5, 126.7, 122.0, 121.2, 118.0, 117.8, 116.2, 76.4, 54.7, 28.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SF<sub>2</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 464.1108, found 464.1097.



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-4-methoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5e) Yield: 75%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.76-7.74 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.59-7.57 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (m, 3H), 6.98-6.87 (m, 3H), 6.60-6.58 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.24-6.21 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.58-5.56 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 14.61 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  162.9, 152.4, 139.1, 130.5, 129.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.1, 127.8, 126.6, 122.1, 121.1, 116.0, 114.1, 114.0, 76.24, 55.6, 55.6, 54.3, 42.0, 28.0, ppm.



*N*-((2,2-dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-4-methoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (6a) Yield: 88%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.60-7.58 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.26 (m, 3H), 7.01-6.94 (m, 4H), 6.87-6.85 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.61-6.59 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.71-2.67 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.77-1.74 (t, *J* = 8 Hz), 1.30 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  162.8, 162.6, 153.5, 139.3, 130.6, 129.8, 129.1, 129.1, 128.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.9, 120.7, 116.9, 114.1, 113.9, 74.2, 55.6, 55.6, 54.4, 41.9, 32.7, 26.8 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 460.1559, found 460.1537. HPLC ret time 24.296 min, 96%.



N-((2,2-dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(oxetan-3-

ylmethyl)benzenesulfonamide (6b) Yield: 70%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.57-7.45 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.15 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.99-6.97 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.93-6.92 (m, 2H), 6.74-6.72 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.50-4.42 (m, 1H), 4.21-4.14 (m, 3H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.41-3.98 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.09-3.05 (m, 1H), 2.75-2.72 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.83-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.34 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 153.9, 152.6, 149.2, 131.1, 129.2, 127.1, 121.3, 121.1, 119.4, 117.4, 110.7, 109.8, 75.2, 74.4, 56.2, 52.8, 51.4, 34.6, 32.6, 26.8, 22.4 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 462.1950, found 462.1959.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (6c) Yield: 99%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43-7.41 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.05-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.27-4.19 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.70-2.74 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.06-1.96 (m, 4H), 1.82-1.78 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.55-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.34 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.2, 148.9, 132.3, 129.3, 128.4, 126.3, 120.9, 117.0, 110.5, 109.8, 74.2, 56.2, 56.1, 52.9, 48.1, 32.8, 29.3, 26.9, 22.5, 15.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 468.1821, found 468.1810.



**4-(N-Benzyl-3,4-dimethoxyphenylsulfonamido)phenyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonate (7a)** Yield: 73%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.28 (s, 2H), 7.24-7.17 (m, 6H), 6.97-6.93 (m, 4H), 6.87-6.85 (m, 3H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H) ppm.<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 153.9, 152.8, 149.1, 148.9, 148.7, 137.9, 135.5, 130.1, 129.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 126.3, 122.8, 121.5, 110.5, 110.4, 110.4, 110.3, 56.3, 56.2, 56.2, 54.6 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>S<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 600.1362, found 600.1365.



*N*-((2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-2,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (8a) Yield: 58%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.67-7.65 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.14 (m, 4H), 7.04-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.88-6.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.62-6.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.43-6.41 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (s, 2H), 4.56-4.52 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.18-3.14 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  164.7, 159.5, 158.2, 139.3, 133.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 127.3, 127.2, 125.3, 120.1, 108.6, 99.3, 71.3, 56.0, 55.7, 55.7, 29.6 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 448.1195, found 448.1180.



**4-(***N***-benzyl-***N***-phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl 2-bromoacetate (8b)** Yield: 14%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.41-7.07 (m, 14H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 3.70 (s, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  141.1, 136.5, 129.8, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 53.8, 27.2 ppm. MS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SBr [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 459.0, found 460.0.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9a) Yield: 47% over 2 steps. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.43-7.42 (d, *J* = 4Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.40 (d, *J* = 4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.87-6.85 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 4.27-

4.18 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.00-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.49 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  158.8, 152.3, 149.0, 132.1, 130.6, 128.5, 120.9, 113.8, 110.5, 109.7, 56.2, 56.2, 55.3, 48.0, 29.3, 15.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 414.1351, found 414.1355. HPLC ret time 9.539 min, 96%.



*N*-Benzyl-*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9b) Yield: 17% over 2 steps. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.45-6.96 (m, 7H), 6.96-6.94 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.28-4.26 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.00-1.96 (m, 4H), 1.54-1.53 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.4, 149.0, 138.7, 132.1, 128.5, 127.2, 127.1, 120.9, 110.6, 109.7, 56.2, 56.2, 52.9, 48.4, 29.3, 15.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 362.1426, found 362.1426. HPLC ret time 8.673 min, 100%.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(2-nitrobenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9c) Yield: 42% over 2 steps. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.12-8.10 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.97-7.95 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.73-7.69 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.45 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, 2H) 7.30-7.30 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.99-6.97 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 2H), 4.52-4.44 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 1.99-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.56 ppm (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.8, 149.2, 147.2, 135.5, 134.0, 131.1, 129.6, 128.0, 124.9, 121.0, 110.8, 109.6, 56.3, 56.2, 52.6, 45.4, 28.9, 14.9ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 407.1277, found 407.1269. HPLC ret time 9.048 min, 98%.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-(2,4-dimethoxybenzyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9d) Yield: 11% over 2 steps. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.45-7.7.42 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 2H), 7.42-7.40 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.29-7.27 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.93 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.52-6.49 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.42-6.42 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 4.40-4.36 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.02-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.50 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.9, 157.0, 152.2, 148.9, 132.3, 129.1, 120.9, 119.2, 110.5, 109.8, 104.1, 98.0, 56.2, 56.1, 55.4, 55.2, 52.7, 42.2, 29.0, 14.9 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 444.1457, found 444.1451. HPLC, ret time 11.057 min, 97%.



*N*-((1*H*-Pyrrol-2-yl)methyl)-*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9e) Yield: 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 7.41-7.39 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.19 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.79-6.79 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.12-6.10 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 4.25-4.19 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.13-2.02 (m, 4H), 1.65-1.54 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.5, 149.1, 131.7, 128.0, 120.9, 118.5, 110.6, 109.5, 107.8, 107.1, 56.2, 56.2, 52.6, 41.2, 28.9, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 373.1198, found 373.1184. HPLC ret time 6.325, 100%.



*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-nitrobenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9f) Yield: 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 7.41-7.39 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.19 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1 H), 6.79-6.79 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.12-6.10 (s, 1H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 4.25-4.19 (quintet, *J* = 7.2, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.13-2.02 (m, 4H), 1.65-1.54 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.5, 149.1, 131.7, 128.0, 120.9, 118.5, 110.6, 109.5, 107.8, 107.1, 56.2, 56.2, 52.6, 41.2, 28.9, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 407.1277, found 407.1258. HPLC ret time 8.366 min, 99%.



N-cyclobutyl-N-((5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-

dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9g)



# *N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9h) Yield: 46%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 7.44-7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.95-6.93 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.32-4.23 (quintet, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.74-3.72 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 4H), 1.99-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.57-1.52 (m, 2H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 152.4, 149.0, 137.8, 132.0, 129.4, 127.1, 120.91, 110.6,

109.8, 66.9, 63.0, 56.2, 56.2, 53.5, 52.9, 48.1, 29.2, 15.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for  $C_{24}H_{33}N_2O_5S$  [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 461.2110, found 461.2102.



*N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9i) Yield: 75%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43-7.40 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.23 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.92-6.91 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.81-6.74 (m, 2H), 5.96 (s, 2H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 4.26-4.21 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.96 (m, 4H), 1.57-1.50 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.4, 149.0, 147.9, 146.8, 132.5, 132.1, 120.9, 120.3, 110.6, 109.8, 108.1, 101.0, 56.2, 56.2, 52.9, 48.3, 29.3, 15.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 406.1324, found 406.1315. HPLC ret time 8.912 min, 97%.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9j) Yield: 53%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.42-7.40 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.22 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.05-7.03 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.72-6.670 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.58-4.54 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.22-4.18 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.21-3.17 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.04-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.51 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.4, 152.3, 149.0, 132.2, 130.5, 127.4, 127.0, 124.2, 120.9, 110.5, 109.7, 108.8, 71.3, 56.2, 56.2, 52.9, 48.3, 41.9, 29.7, 29.3, 15.1 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 404.1532, found 404.1547. HPLC ret time 9.124 min, 97%.



### N-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-N-((2,2,8-trimethyl-2H-chromen-6-

yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (9k) Yield: 45%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43-7.40 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.23 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.94-7.92 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.30-6.28 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.62-5.60 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (s, 2H), 4.27-4.25 (m, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.04-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. MS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 480.2, found 480.2.



## N-cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-8-yl)methyl)-3,4-

dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (91) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.47-7.45 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, 2H), 7.35-7.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.94 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.89-8.85 (m, 2H), 6.34-6.32 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.62-5.60 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (s, 2H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.03-1.96 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H) ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>2</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 244.1701, found 244.1707.



*N*-(3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (10a) Yield: 29%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.45-7.42 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.26 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.00 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.93-9.91 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.74-6.72 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.60-6.57 (m, 3H), 6.43-6.41 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.90-5.87 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H)4.41 (s, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.41-3.37 (m, 2H), 2.69-2.65 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.66 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 152.5, 149.1, 149.0, 148.8, 148.2, 147.6, 140.3, 135.5, 131.5, 131.0, 123.8, 123.6, 123.1, 121.1, 120.7, 112.0, 111.2, 110.6, 109.8, 77.3, 60.4, 56.2, 56.2, 55.9, 55.8, 53.5, 50.4, 34.8, 28.2, 21.1, 14.2 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 555.2165, found 555.2151.



### N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(2-

**morpholinoethyl)benzenesulfonamide (10b)** Yield: 35%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.48-7.46 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.05-7.03 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.93 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.39-6.36 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.89-5.86 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.61-3.60 (m, 4H), 3.34-3.31 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 2.40-2.37 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (m, 4H), 1.47 (s, 6H) ppm.



### N-Cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4-

methoxybenzenesulfonamide (11a) Yield: 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.71-7.69 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31-7.29 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.01-6.99 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.92-6.90 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.40-6.37 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H), 5.84-5.82 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 4.30-4.26 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 1H), 1.90-1.85 (m, 4H), 1.47-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 163.8, 150.3, 148.5, 140.1, 135.4, 131.5, 129.1, 129.0, 123.7, 123.6, 121.8, 114.2, 76.8, 55.6, 52.7, 49.3, 41.9, 28.8, 29.2, 27.9, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 415.1692, found 415.1682. HPLC ret time 15.143 min, 95%.



# 4-(Bromomethyl)-N-cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-

yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (11b) Yield: 53%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.81-7.79 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.55-7.53 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.44 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 6.69-6.67 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.00-5.98 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.36-4.32 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (m, 4H), 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.51 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.1, 148.7, 142.7, 139.3, 129.8, 129.2, 129.0 127.7, 127.6, 126.5, 122.73, 78.0, 52.8, 47.9, 32.7, 29.0, 28.3, 14.9 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SBr [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 477.0848, found 477.0852.



# *N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (11c) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 7.88-7.86 (d, *J* =

8 Hz, 2H), 7.36-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.14-7.12 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.54.-6.51 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.93 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (2, 2H), 4.34-4.33 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.57-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.1, 148.9, 139.5, 138.2, 136.5, 129.3, 127.9, 124.9, 122.3, 120.9, 120.5, 76.7, 52.7, 48.6, 29.0, 28.2, 14.9 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SF<sub>3</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 467.1409, found 469.1409.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (11d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.44-7.27 (m, 5H), 7.10-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.48-6.45 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.91-5.89 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 4.40-4.36 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.97-1.92 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.9, 150.0, 148.6, 141.1, 135.6, 130.1, 121.9, 119.3, 119.1, 1198.9, 111.9, 111.2, 55.9, 55.6, 52.8, 49.3, 29.7, 28.9, 28.2, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 415.1692, found 415.1688.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (12a) Yield: 98%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.45-7.43 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.38-7.26 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.10-7.08 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 1H), 4.40-4.43 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.91-2.87 (t, *J* = 10 Hz, 2H), 1.96-1.91 (m, 6H), 1.57-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.33 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.4, 149.5, 149.2, 149.0, 141.3, 131.8, 125.3, 121.0, 120.7, 110.5, 109.6, 74.8, 56.2, 52.7, 49.4, 32.8, 28.9, 26.7, 25.6, 15.0 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 447.1954, found 447.1958.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4methoxybenzenesulfonamide (12b) Yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.76-7.73 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.37-7.35 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.07 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.94 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.35-4.29 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.90-2.87 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 1.96-1.89 (m, 6H), 1.52-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.36 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 162.8, 149.6, 149.2, 141.2, 131.7, 129.2, 125.2, 120.7, 114.1, 74.8, 55.6, 52.7, 49.4, 32.8, 28.9, 26.7, 25.6, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 417.1848, found 417.1848. HPLC ret time 15.036 min, 95%.



N-Cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-

**dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (13a)** Yield: 56%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.43-7.42 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.40 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.23 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.07-7.05 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.73-6.31 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 4.27-4.20 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.47 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.3, 152.2, 149.0, 132.2, 131.0, 130.6, 127.9, 125.3, 122.3, 121.2, 120.9, 116.1, 111.0, 109.7, 76.2, 56.2, 56.2, 52.9, 48.0, 29.3, 28.0, 15.1 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 466.1664, found 466.1678.



**4-Bromo-***N***-cyclobutyl-***N***-((2,2-dimethyl-2***H***<b>-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide** (**13b)** Yield: 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.64 (m, 4H), 7.05-7.03 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.96 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.74-6.72 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.95-5.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.30-4.28 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.96 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  132.2, 131.1, 130.0, 125.6, 127.9, 125.3, 122.2, 121.3, 116.2, 76.3, 52.9, 48.2, 29.7, 29.3, 28.0, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>BrNO<sub>3</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 484.0558, found 484.0561. HPLC ret time 26.138 min, 96%.



N-Cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-4-

(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (13c) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.83-7.81 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.33-7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.04-7.02 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.72 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.31-6.29 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.64-5.62 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (s, 2H), 4.26-4.18 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.03-1.99 (m, 4H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.3, 151.9, 139.0, 131.1, 129.9, 129.1, 127.9, 125.3, 122.2, 121.3, 120.8, 116.2, 76.3, 52.9, 48.2, 29.3, 28.0, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SF<sub>3</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 490.1276, found 490.1278.



N-Cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,5-dimethylisoxazole-4-

sulfonamide (13d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.03-7.01 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.31-6.28 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.64-5.62 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.28-4.20 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.09-2.01 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  172.8, 157.3, 152.4, 131.2, 129.5, 127.8, 125.2, 122.1, 121.4, 117.5, 116.3, 76.3, 52.2, 47.8, 29.3, 27.9, 15.0, 12.8, 11.1 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 425.1511, found 425.1529.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-difluorobenzenesulfonamide (13e) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.61-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.04-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.29 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.65-5.63 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, 2H), 4.23-4.19 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.06-1.99 (m, 4H), 1.58-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.4, 148.9, 137.4, 131.1, 129.7, 128.0, 125.3, 124.0, 122.1, 121.3, 118.1, 117.9, 117.0, 116.8, 116.3, 76.33, 52.8, 48.2, 29.3, 28.0, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SF<sub>2</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 442.1264, found 442.1255.



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (13f) Yield: 77%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.46-7.43 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (s, 1H), 7.07-7.05 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 4.24-4.20 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.00-1.97 (m, 4H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 436.1559, found 436.1573.



**1-Benzyl-4-((3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)piperazine (14a)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 7.39-7.37 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 6.98-6.96 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 3.05 (s, 4H), 2.55 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 152.7, 149.1, 137.5, 129.1, 128.3, 127.3, 127.3, 121.8, 110.6, 110.4, 62.6, 56.3, 56.2, 52.1, 46.1. 53.3 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 377.1535, found 377.1531.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)aniline (16b) Yield: 91%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 6.98-6.96 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.79-6.72 (m, 3H), 6.40-6.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.69-5.67 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 1.52 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 150.8, 148.5, 130.6, 129.2, 128.8, 126.5, 125.5, 122.5, 121.1, 120.5, 117.4, 113.2, 76.4, 43.1, 28.2 ppm.



*N*-((7-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16c) Yield: 48%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.19-7.18 (m, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.76-6.73 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.65-6.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.29-6.26 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.68-5.65 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 1H), 1.49 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 148.9, 148.0, 132.8, 131.8, 131.3, 129.3, 124.5, 122.7, 122.0, 117.7, 112.9, 110.5, 76.7, 47.4, 28.0 ppm.



*N*-((2,2,8-Trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.25-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.89-6.88 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.79-6.77 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.70-6.68 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.35-6.33 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.67-5.64 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.2, 148.4, 130.8, 10.7, 129.9, 129.3, 125.7, 123.3, 122.6, 120.8, 117.5, 112.9, 76.08, 48.1, 28.1, 15.6 ppm.



*N*-((2,2,5-Trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline with *N*-((2,2,7-Trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (2:1) (16e) Yield: 23%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.24-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.11-7.09 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.77-6.73 (m, 3H), 6.67-6.61 (m, 11H), 6.30-

6.28 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.72-5.70 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.58-5.56 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (s, 4H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 9H), 1.45 (s, 12H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm.



*N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)aniline (16g)<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.27-7.23 (m, 2H), 6.93-6.78 (m, 4H), 6.70-6.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.99 (s, 2H), 4.29, (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.1, 148.0, 146.8, 133.5, 129.3, 120.9, 120.7, 117.7, 112.9, 108.4, 108.1, 48.2 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 228.1025, found 228.1023.



*N*-(Benzo[*c*][1,2,5]oxadiazol-5-ylmethyl)aniline (16h) Yield: 32%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.84-7.80 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.42 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.21 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 2H), 6.80-6.77 (m, 1H), 6.66-6.64 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 4.28-4.24 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H) ppm.



*N*-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (16i) Yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.23-7.17 (m, 4H), 6.79-6.66 (m, 5H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.74 (m, 4H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.74 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.2, 138.4, 136.8, 129.5, 129.3, 127.5, 118.6, 117.6, 115.1, 112.9, 67.0, 63.2, 53.6, 48.1 ppm. HRMS *m*/*z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 260.1651, found 260.1657.



*N*-((2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)aniline (16j) Yield: 87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.27-7.13 (m, 4H), 6.83-6.69 (m, 4H), 4.63-4.59 (m, 2H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 4.00 (s, 1H), 3.25-3.21 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.5, 148.3, 131.5, 129.3, 127.5, 127.5, 124.5, 117.5, 112.9, 109.2, 71.4, 65.4, 48.2, 29.8, 29.7 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 226.1232, found 226.1230.



*N*-((5,7-Difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16l) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.23-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.03-6.99 (m, 1H), 6.77-6.63 (m, 5H), 6.38-6.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.64-5.62 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 4.34 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 6H) ppm.



*N*-((5-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline with *N*-((7-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (1:1) (16m) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.22-7.18 (m, 4H), 7.12-7.08 (m, 1H), 6.99-6.97 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.74-6.52 (m, 9H), 6.27-6.25 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.70-5.67 (d, *J*=10 Hz, 1H), 5.57-5.55 (d, *J*=10 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 4.29 (s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 2H), 1.44 (s, 12H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 148.5, 148.0, 148.0, 131.0, 129.7, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 126.9, 126.9, 121.5, 117.7, 117.7, 117.1, 115.1, 115.1, 113.0, 112.7, 112.5, 112.0, 111.9, 104.2, 103.9, 44.0, 41.8, 41.8, 41.6, 41.5, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 28.0, 27.9 ppm.



**1-(2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromen-6-yl)-***N***-(oxetan-3-ylmethyl)methanamine (18a)** Yield: 92%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.04-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.74-6.72 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.62-5.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.81-4.78 (m, 2H), 4.42-4.39 (m, 2H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 3.13-3.09 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (s, 2H), 1.68-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.0, 132.2, 131.0, 128.8, 128.6, 126.1, 122.2, 121.5, 121.2, 116.2, 76.2, 76.0, 53.5, 52.4, 35.3, 29.6, 28.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 260.1651, found 260.1657.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (19) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 6.98-6.96 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.72 (m, 3H), 6.40-6.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.69-5.67 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 4.06 (bs, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.8, 148.5, 130.6, 129.2, 128.9, 126.5, 125.5, 122.5, 121.1, 120.5, 117.4, 113.2, 76.44, 43.11, 28.18. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 266.1545, found 266.1548.



**4-(Benzylamino)phenol (23a)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.39-7.32 (m, 5H), 6.71-6.69 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.60-6.58 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (s, 1H), 4.29 (s, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  148.1, 142.1, 139.5, 128.7, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 116.4, 114.8, 49.6 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 200.1075, found 200.1079.



*N*-((2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)aniline (25a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.27-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.17-7.13 (m, 1H), 6.83-6.77 (m, 2H), 6.71-6.69 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.63-4.59 (m, 2H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 4.00 (s, 1H), 3.25-3.21 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.5, 148.3, 131.5, 129.3, 127.5, 127.5, 124.5, 117.5, 112.9, 109.2, 71.4, 65.4, 48.2, 29.7 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 226.1232, found 226.1230.



*N*-Benzylaniline (25b) Yield: 97% <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.61-7.53 (m, 5H), 7.44-7.42 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.02-6.982(m, 1H), 6.87-6.85 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.14 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 148.5, 139.8, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 127.5, 117.8, 113.2, 48.5 ppm.



*N*-(4-Methoxybenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27a) Not isolated. Crude product taken directly to next step.



*N*-Benzylcyclobutanamine (27b) Not isolated. Crude product taken directly to next step.



*N*-(2-Nitrobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27c) Not isolated. Crude product taken directly to next step. MS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 207.1, found 207.1.



*N*-(2,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27d) Not isolated. Crude product taken directly to next step.



*N*-((1*H*-Pyrrol-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27e) Yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.41 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.88 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 6.15-6.15 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H), 3.58-3.50 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.21 (m, 4H), 2.00-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.79 (m, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  121.1, 118.9, 112.3, 108.8, 50.6, 42.2, 26.6, 15.4 ppm. MS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 151.1, found 151.1.



*N*-(4-Nitrobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27f) Yield: 26%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.20-8.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.54-7.52 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.33-3.28 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 1H), 2.27-2.21 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.65 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 121.1, 118.9, 112.2, 108.8, 50.6, 42.2, 26.6, 15.4 ppm.



*N*-((5,7-Difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27g) Not isolated. Crude product taken directly to next step.



*N*-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (27h) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.32-7.26 (m, 4H), 3.69-3.68 (m, 4H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 3.31-3.28 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 4H), 2.22-2.21 (m, 2H), 1.63-1.62 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  139.4, 136.3, 129.4, 128.1, 67.0, 63.2, 53.6, 50.8, 31.1, 14.8 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 261.1967, found 261.1961.



*N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)cyclobutanamine (27i) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.83 (s, 1H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 5.93 (s, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 3.30-3.26 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.23-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.83 (s, 1H), 1.72-1.62 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  147.6, 146.5, 134.3, 121.3, 108.8, 108.0, 100.9, 53.4, 50.8, 44.7, 31.3, 31.0, 15.0, 14.8 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 206.1181, found 206.1181.



*N*-((2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27j) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.14 (s, 1H), 7.01-6.99 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.70-6.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.53-4.49 (t, *J* = 8 Hz,
2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 3.29-3.25 (m, 1H), 3.16-3.12 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.21-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.1, 132.4, 127.9, 127.1, 125.0, 108.8, 71.2, 53.4, 50.7, 31.1, 29.7, 14.8 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 204.1388, found 204.1392.



*N*-((2,2,8-trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27k) Not isolated. Taken on to next step crude.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27l) Yield: 90%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.09-7.07 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.89 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.82-6.78 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.34-6.32 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.63-5.61 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 3.32-3.28 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.19-2.18 (m, 4H), 1.73-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.8, 130.3, 129.7, 125.3, 122.5, 120.9, 120.3, 76.2, 53.7, 46.2, 31.1, 28.1, 15.0 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 244.1701, found 244.1707.



*N*-((5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27m) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 6.51-6.48 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 6.36-6.35 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.62-5.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (d, 2H), 3.30-3.29 (m, 1H), 2.18 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 6H) ppm.



*N*-((5-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine with *N*-((7-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (1:1) (27n) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.23-7.21 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.08 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.00-6.98 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.53-6.50 (m, 1H), 6.31-6.29 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.68-5.66 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.59-5.56 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 4H), 1.45-1.44 (m, 8H), 1.27-1.25 (m, 4H), 1.28 (s, 12H) ppm.



## 2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-

yl)methyl)ethanamine (29a) Yield: 38%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.02-6.97 (m, 2H), 6.81-6.75 (m, 3H), 6.45-6.43 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.88-5.86 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 2.95-2.92 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.85-2.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.46 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.2, 148.9, 136.0, 135.3, 132.2, 123.8, 123.4, 122.4, 120.7, 120.7, 112.1, 111.4, 111.4, 76.7, 55.9, 55.8, 54.1, 50.7, 35.6, 28.2 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 355.2022, found 355.2025.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (31) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.97-6.95 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.92-6.90 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.45-6.43 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.80-5.78 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 3.27-3.23 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 1H), 2.15-2.11 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.52 (m, 4H), 1.38 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.4, 148.3, 140.6, 135.1, 123.9, 123.3, 122.3, 76.8, 53.9, 51.9, 31.1, 30.9, 28.1, 27.8, 14.8 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 245.1654, found 245.1651.



## N-Cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-

**dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (2), (32a)** Yield = 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.44-7.42 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.36-7.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.07-7.05 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.45-6.43 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.90-5.87 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 7.37-7.35 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 1.99-1.92 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 6H) ppm.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (32) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.04-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.61-5.59 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.32-3.26 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.69 (m, 4H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.9, 132.5, 130.8,

128.9, 126.2, 122.3, 121.2, 116.1, 76.1, 53.5, 50.5, 31.1, 27.9, 14.8 ppm. HRMS m/z (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>2</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 244.1701, found 244.1697.

#### 5.6 References

1. Kaur, B. K., F.W.; Severson, E. A.; Matheny, S.L.; Brat, D.J.; Van Meir, E. G., *Neuro-Oncol.* 2005, 7, 134.

2. Tan, C. e. a., Sulfonamides as a new scaffold for hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* **2011**, *21*, 5528-5532.

3. Maxwell, P. H.; Wiesener, M. S.; Chang, G.-W.; Clifford, S. C.; Vaux, E. C.; Cockman, M. E.; Wykoff, C. C.; Pugh, C. W.; Maher, E. R.; Ratcliffe, P. J., The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. *Nature* **1999**, *399* (6733), 271-275.

4. (a) Wenger, R. H.; Stiehl, D. P.; Camenisch, G., Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. *Sci STKE* **2005**, *2005* (306), re12; (b) Harris, A. L., Hypoxia--a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **2002**, *2* (1), 38-47.

5. Bos, R. v. d. G., P.; Greijer, A.E.; Shvarts, A.; Meijer, S.; Pinedo, H.M.; Semenza, G.L.; van Diest, P.J.; van der Wall, E., Cancer. *Levels of hypoxia-inducible factor-lalpha independently predict prognosis in patients with lymph node negative breast carcinoma.* **2003**, *97* (6), 1573-1581.

6. Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J. A.; Mitchell, H. J.; Roecker, A. J.; Barluenga, S.; Cao, G. Q.; Affleck, R. L.; Lillig, J. E., Natural Product-like Combinatorial Libraries Based on Privileged Structures. 2. Construction of a 10,000-Membered Benzopyran Library by Directed Split-and-Pool Chemistry Using NanoKans and Optical Encoding. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2000**, *122* (41), 9954-9967.

Tan, C.; de Noronha, R. G.; Roecker, A. J.; Pyrzynska, B.; Khwaja, F.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang,
H.; Teng, Q.; Nicholson, A. C.; Giannakakou, P.; Zhou, W.; Olson, J. J.; Pereira, M. M.;
Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Identification of a Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitor of the
Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Pathway. *Cancer Research* 2005, 65 (2), 605-612.

8. Tan, C.; de Noronha, R. G.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; Kaluz, S.; Liu, Y.; Mooring, S. R.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Wang, B.; Van Meir, E. G., Sulfonamides as a new scaffold for hypoxia inducible factor pathway inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* **2011**, *21* (18), 5528-5532.

9. Yin, S.; Kaluz, S.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; de Noronha, R. G.; Mun, J.; Zhang, Z.; Boreddy, P. R.; Wang, W.; Wang, Z.; Abbruscato, T.; Chen, Z.; Olson, J. J.; Zhang, R.; Goodman, M. M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Van Meir, E. G., Arylsulfonamide KCN1 Inhibits In Vivo Glioma Growth and Interferes with HIF Signaling by Disrupting HIF-1α Interaction with Cofactors p300/CBP. *Clinical Cancer Research* **2012**, *18* (24), 6623-6633.

10. Mooring, S. R.; Jin, H.; Devi, N. S.; Jabbar, A. A.; Kaluz, S.; Liu, Y.; Van Meir, E. G.; Wang, B., Design and Synthesis of Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitors of the Hypoxia Inducible Factor Pathway. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **2011**, *54* (24), 8471-8489.

11. Prado, S.; Janin, Y. L.; Saint-Joanis, B.; Brodin, P.; Michel, S.; Koch, M.; Cole, S. T.; Tillequin, F.; Bost, P.-E., Synthesis and antimycobacterial evaluation of benzofurobenzopyran analogues. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* **2007**, *15* (5), 2177-2186.

## **APPENDICES: STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPOUNDS**

# Appendix A: Structural Characterization of Compounds from Chapter 3

3,4-Dimethoxy-N-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2a)





11:09:27 23-May-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-54d\_BWANG-ACCU\_05-23-2012\_ESI-POS02 37 (0.686) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm 488.1523 1.06e4



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

6053 formula(e) evaluated with 64 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 20.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 483.1954 483.1956 0.2 0.4 12.5 12.4 C26 H31 N2 O5 S



# 3,4-Dimethoxy-*N*-(3-(mor*p*holinomethyl)benzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2b)



#### 3,4-Dimethoxy-N-(4-morpholinobenzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (2d)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

2331 formula(e) evaluated with 5 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-6 O: 1-30 S: 1-10 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 469.1796 469.1797 C25 H29 N2 O5 S -0.1 -0.2 12.5 0.3



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3a)



12:30:52 17-May-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 4674 formula(e) evaluated with 14 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass mDa PPM i-FIT Formula Calc. Mass DBE 461.2102 461.2110 -0.8 -1.7 5.9 C24 H33 N2 O5 S 9.5



*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(3-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3b)





Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

4668 formula(e) evaluated with 10 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

С: 1-150 Н	[: 1-150 N: 1-	30 O:	1-60	S: 1-1	0			
Minimum:			-	1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPN	И D	BE i-	FIT	Formula	
461.2112	461.2110	0.2	0.4	9.5	243.0	C24	H33 N2 C	05 S

<sup>13:51:56 15-</sup>Mar-2013



# *N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(2-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (3c)



Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 4668 formula(e) evaluated with 21 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-30 O: 1-60 S: 1-10 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 50.0 5.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 461.2095 461.2110 -1.5 -3.3 9.5 11.1 C24 H33 N2 O5 S



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-phenylmorpholine-4-sulfonamide (5a)



Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 1658 formula(e) evaluated with 7 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-6 O: 1-30 S: 1-10 Minimum: -1.5 5.0 5.0 50.0 Maximum: Calc. Mass Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 415.1695 415.1692 0.3 0.7 10.5 C22 H27 N2 O4 S 1.3

## 4-(4-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (7a)





13:20:16 21-Feb-2012



Maximum.		5.0	5.0	30.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
256.0333	256.0337	-0.4	-1.6	4.5	1.6	C11 H15 1	N O Br

#### 3-(2-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (7b)





Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 106 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-20 O: 1-30 Br: 1-5

Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
256.0348	256.0337	1.1	4.3	4.5	0.7	C11 H15	N O Br





Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 106 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Br: 1-5

Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
256.0348	256.0337	1.1	4.3	4.5	0.5	C11 H15	N O Br



## 4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8a)

in 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH 16:01:58 24-Apr-2012 SARAH\_SB-IV-30\_BWANG-ACCU\_04-24-2012\_ESI-POS01 66 (1.224) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Sm (SC 206.1182 4.78e3 100-СНО % 556.2771 311.1400 383.1760 499.2428 0 100 فالالهالا m/z 1000 600 700 800 200 300 400 500 900 **Elemental Composition Report** Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 181 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-35 80Se: 0-1 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass PPM i-FIT Formula Calc. Mass mDa DBE 206.1182 206.1181 0.1 0.5 5.5 5.0 C12 H16 N O2

#### **3-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8b)**





Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 105 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-6 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 206.1183 206.1181 0.2 5.5 8.5 C12 H16 N O2 1.0

#### 2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (8c)







Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 145 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-20 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Massage Cells Massage PDM = DDE = i ELT = Elements

Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
206.1186	206.1181	0.5	2.4	5.5	5.5	C12 H16 1	N O2

# *N*-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (9a)

SB-IV-37a









(9b)



14:18:33 15-Mar-2013



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 363 formula(e) evaluated with 3 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-30 O: 1-60 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 5.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 283.1809 283.1810 -0.1 -0.4 8.5 1.7 C18 H23 N2 O



## *N*-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (9c)

in 90%MeOH

17:58:03 05-Mar-2013



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

363 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-150 H	I: 1-150 N: 1-	30 O:	1-60				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
283.1805	283.1810	-0.5	-1.8	8.5	12.4	C18 H23	N2 O


diluted in MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

17:08:59 12-Feb-2013

SARAH\_ZD-1-75C\_BWANG-ACCU\_02-12-2013\_ESI-POS01 126 (2.508) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Sm (SG, 269.1659 5.93e3



*N*-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10a)





Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

284 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100 N: 1-	15 O:	1-100				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
261.1961	261.1967	-0.6	-2.3	5.5	7.3	C16 H25	N2 O



### *N*-(3-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10b)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

286 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

С: 1-150 Н	: 1-150 N: 1-	30 O:	1-60				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
261.1963	261.1967	-0.4	-1.5	5.5	2.5	C16 H25	N2 O

#### *N*-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)cyclobutanamine (10c)

SB-V-91





Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

286 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

С: 1-150 Н	: 1-150 N: 1-	30 O:	1-60				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
261.1962	261.1967	-0.5	-1.9	5.5	1.6	C16 H25	N2 O

## N-(4-Morpholinobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (10d)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 153 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-6 O: 1-30 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass PPM DBE i-FIT Formula Calc. Mass mDa 70.6 247.1810 C15 H23 N2 O 247.1819 0.9 3.6 5.5



# 2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (11)



#### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-2-morpholinoethanamine (12a)

212

**MeOH** 14:26:13 26-Feb-2013 SARAH\_SB-V-84\_BWANG-ACCU\_02-26-2013\_ESI-POS01 58 (1.156) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm (56:70) 2.05e4 100-% NΗ 173.0970 0-⊓ m/z 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 **MeOH** 14:26:13 26-Feb-2013 SARAH\_SB-V-84\_BWANG-ACCU\_02-26-2013\_ESI-POS01 58 (1.156) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm (56:70) 2.05e4 100-% 304.2091 m/z 0 299 300 301 302 303 310 304 305 306 307 308 309 311 312 **Elemental Composition Report** Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0

Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

234 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-150	H: 1-150 N: 1-	6 O: 1	-30				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
303.2063	303.2073	-1.0	-3.3	6.5	22.6	C18 H27	N2 O2



### N-((2,2-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-morpholinopropan-1-amine (12b)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

255 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-6 O: 1-30

Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
317.2237	317.2229	0.8	2.5	6.5	3.5	C19 H29	N2 O2



### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (13a)

100%MeOH+HCOOH

13:24:05 11-May-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 293 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula mDa i-FIT 266.1548 266.1545 0.3 9.5 3.1 1.1 C18 H20 N O

# Appendix B: Structural Characterization of Compounds from Chapter 4



## 2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (5a)



# 8-Methoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (5b)



## 2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde (5c)



### (3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methanol

(6a)



Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 1.2 325.1452 325.1440 3.7 10.5 1.1 C20 H21 O4



### (3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(8-methoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromen-6-yl)methanol (6b)



18:30:09 27-Mar-2013

JALISA\_JH-1-66B\_BWANG-ACCU\_03272013\_ESI-NEG 281 (5.607) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,554.26,0.70); Sm (SG, 3x3.1 1007 233.0751 2.43e5



Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions

62 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-150	H: 1-150 O: 1-	60					
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
355.1543	355.1545	-0.2	-0.6	10.5	695.3	C21	H23 O5



## (R)-3-((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)tetrahydrofuran



(9b)





## 4-((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran

(9c)





(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-((((S)-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10a)



Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 107 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-30 Na: 0-1 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 20.0 Mass Calc. Mass PPM i-FIT Formula mDa DBE 343.1532 343.1545 -1.3 -3.8 9.5 19.1 C20 H23 O5



# (3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-((((*R*)-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10b)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions

57 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200 O: 1-	30					
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
343.1553	343.1545	0.8	2.3	9.5	2.3	C20	) H23 O5



(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (10c).

80%ACN+0.5%NH4OH

17:02:09 06-Feb-2013

SARAH\_SB-V-67C\_BWANG-ACCU\_02-05-2013\_ESI-NEG01 111 (2.209) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,554.26,0.70); Cm (105 100 357.1692 7.37e3



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 66 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 357.1692 -1.0 -2.8 357.1702 9.5 C21 H25 O5 4.0



# (3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-morpholinophenyl)methanol (12a).



16:13:37 25-Mar-2013

SARAH\_SB-V-102D\_BWANG-ACCU\_03152013\_ESI-POS02 64 (1.275) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm (64:77 100 330,1710 1.68e4



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 540 formula(e) evaluated with 5 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 N: 1-30 O: 1-60 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 50.0 5.0 5.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 330.1705 5.0 C19 H24 N O4 330.1710 0.5 1.5 8.5





(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl)methanol (12c)






Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions

58 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200 H: 1-200 O: 1-30 Na: 1-2

Minimum:			-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50	0.0		
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula	
267.0993	267.0997	-0.4	-1.5	7.5 1.2	C15	H16 O3	Na

(15b)





Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

46 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200	O: 1-30					
Minimum:			-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. N	Mass mL	Da PF	PM DE	BE	i-FIT	Formula
303.1224	303.12	-0.3	8 -2.6	8.5	131.7		C17 H19 O5



## (2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol

(15c)



Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 85 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200 H: 1-200 O: 1-30 Na: 1-2

Minimum:			-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DE	<b>B</b> E	i-FIT	Formula
327.1200	327.1208	-0.8	-2.4	7.5	42.4	C17	H20 O5 Na



(2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (15d)



16:24:40 14-Nov-2012

SARAH\_SB-V-34C\_BWANG-ACCU\_11-14-2012\_ESI-POS01 167 (1.773) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm (165:179) 100 - 309.1111 1.80e4



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

76 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200 O: 1-	-30 Na	: 1-2				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
309.1111	309.1103	0.8	2.6	8.5	46.0	C17 H1	8 O4 Na



# (2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (18a).

### (2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (18b) 90%ACN 16:52:53 22-Feb-2013

SARAH\_SB-V-74\_BWANG-ACCU\_02-22-2013\_ESI-NEG02 3 (0.060) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,607.27,0.70); Cm (1:9) 100 295.1327 5.44e3 OMe



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 44 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 295.1327 295.1334 -0.7 -2.4 10.5 76.3 C19 H19 O3

#### (2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methanol (18c) 90%ACN 16:32

 90%ACN
 16:32:19
 22-Feb-2013

 SARAH\_SB-V-75\_BWANG\_02-22-2013\_ESI-NEG
 132 (2.632) AM (Top,2, Ar,5000.0,554.26,0.70); Sm (SG, 3x3.00); Cm (132
 3.52e4

 100 γ
 295.1334
 3.52e4



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 44 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-30 Minimum: -1.5 5.0 5.0 50.0 Maximum: Calc. Mass PPM Mass mDa DBE i-FIT Formula 295.1334 C19 H19 O3 295.1334 0.0 0.0 10.5



(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methanone (19a)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 56 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-60 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Calc. Mass Formula 325.1449 325.1440 0.9 2.8 10.5 10.3 C20 H21 O4

250



(S)-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(4-(((tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanone (19b)



SARAH\_SB-V-101C\_BWANG-ACCU\_03152013\_ESI-POS01 70 (1.393) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Sm (SG, 343.1555 1.12e4



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 57 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-150 H: 1-150 O: 1-60 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 5.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 343.1555 343.1545 1.0 2.9 9.5 1.3 C20 H23 O5



# (4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (19c)



## **Appendix C: Structural Characterization of Compounds from Chapter 5**

## General methods and materials

All commercial chemicals were reagent grade, obtained from VWR, Aldrich, and Oakwood Chemicals and were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 NMR spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, in deuterated solvent with TMS as internal reference ( $\delta = 0.00$  ppm). For all reactions, analytical grade solvent was used. Anhydrous solvents were used for all moisture-sensitive reactions. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained by the Mass Spectrometry Facilities at Georgia State University on a Waters Micromass Q-Tof (ESI).

Synthesis of aldehyde compounds: Aldehydes were synthesized from either commercially available bromides or aldehydes in 1 to 3 steps (Scheme S1). First, the phenol was alkylated with 3-chloro-3-methylbut-1-yne, then the compound was cyclized at high temperature to give the chromene moiety. The bromide was converted to aldehyde via lithium halogen exchange, followed by formylation with DMF.





X = bromo or aldehyde; Y = C or N. Reagents and conditions: (a); 3-chloro-3-methylbut-1-yne, DBU, ACN, overnight, 0°C to room temperature; (b) reflux in N,N-dimethylaniline, 3 hours; (c) BuLi, DMF, THF -78°C.

Typical procedure for o-alkylation: 1 equivalent of phenol was dissolved in ACN and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. 2 equivalents of DBU were added, then 2 equivalents of 3-chloro-3-methylbut-1-yne. The reaction was stirred overnight from  $0^{\circ}$ C to room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated, then taken up in ethyl acetate, washed 3 x 1N HCl, 1 x saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 x brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for pyran ring-closing: The alkyne was refluxed 3 hours in N,Ndimethylaniline. The reaction was taken up in EA, washed 5 x 1 N HCl, 1 x saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 x brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.

Typical procedure for formylation: 1 equivalent of aryl bromide was dissolved in anhydrous THF under  $N_2$  and cooled to -78°C. 1.4 equivalents of butyl lithium were added. After 30 minutes, 1.4 equivalents of DMF were added and then the reaction stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was then quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, taken up in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Purified by column chromatography.



**2-((2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2b)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 10.57 (s, 1H), 8.00-7.98 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.67-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 1H), 2.76 (s, 1H), 1.87 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 190.5, 158.5, 135.0, 128.9, 128.2, 122.9, 120.9, 85.1, 75.6, 74.0, 29.7 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>] 211.0735, found 211.0734.



**2-Bromo-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2c)** Yield: 12%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.79 (s, 1H), 7.88-7.88 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.46 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.37-6.34 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.75-5.73 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 189.6, 155.2, 134.8, 132.1, 130.5, 126.4, 122.3, 121.1, 111.2, 69.5, 28.5 ppm.



**3-Methyl-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2d)** Yield: 87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.84 (s, 1H), 7.67-7.63 (m, 3H), 2.67 (s, 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 191.2, 159.6, 131.8, 130.0, 130.0, 129.3, 128.9, 116.5, 85.1, 74.7, 72.3, 29.6, 16.6 ppm.



**1-Bromo-2-methyl-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzene** (S2e) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.48-7.45 (m, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.05-7.02 (m, 1H), 2.65 (s, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 6H) ppm.



**4-((2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2j)** Yield: 84%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.84 (s, 1H), 7.77-7.75 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (s, 1H), 1.66 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 190.9, 161.1, 131.2, 130.6, 119.4, 84.9, 75.2, 72.4, 29.5 ppm.



**2-Bromo-1,3-difluoro-5-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzene (S2I)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 6.91-6.82 (m, 2H), 2.68 (s, 1H), 1.58 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.0, 160.9, 158.5, 158.5, 156.4, 156.3, 156.1, 104.8, 104.8, 104.6, 104.5, 90.8, 90.6, 90.3, 84.7, 75.3, 75.2, 73.3, 29.3, 29.3 ppm.



**2-fluoro-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2m)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.44-7.40 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.10-7.06 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.93-6.90 (m, 1H), 2.63 (s, 1H), 1.66 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 160.1, 157.7, 156.2, 156.1, 132.8, 132.8, 118.1, 118.1, 109.1, 109.1, 101.9, 101.7, 85.2, 74.8, 73.0, 29.7, 29.4 ppm.



**2-Bromo-5-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)pyridine (S2n)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.24-8.24 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.45-7.42 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.37-7.35 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 1.64 (s, 6H) ppm.



6-bromo-2,2,5-trimethyl-2*H*-chromene with 6-bromo-2,2,7-trimethyl-2*H*-chromene (1:2) (S3e) Yield: 70%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.31-7.28 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.60-6.55 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 2H), 6.27-6.25 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.72-5.69 (m, 2H), 5.62-5.60 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 6H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 20H) ppm.



**4-(4-bromobenzyl)morpholine (S3i)** 500 mg 1-bromo-4-(bromomethyl)benzene (2.0 mmol), 191 mg morpholine (2.2 mmol), and 455 mg K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.3 mmol) were dissolved in ACN and stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite to give a quantitative yield of a solid (512 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.42-7.40 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.20-7.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.68-3.66 (m, 4H), 3.41 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  137.0, 131.4, 130.8, 120.9, 66.9, 62.6, 53.6 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NOBr [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 256.0337, found 256.0346.



**6-bromo-5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-chromene (S3I)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 6.91-6.89 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H) 5.66-5.63 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 160.5, 160.5, 158.1, 158.0, 156.5, 156.5, 154.1, 154.0, 153.7, 153.6, 153.6, 153.5, 130.4, 130.4, 130.4, 114.2, 114.2, 114.2, 114.2, 101.2, 101.2, 100.9, 100.9, 88.5, 88.2, 88.0, 76.7, 27.8 ppm.

**6-bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-pyrano[3,2-***b***]pyridine (S3n) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.16-7.14 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 692-6.90 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 6.47-6.44 (d,** *J* **= 10 Hz, 1H), 5.89-5.86 (d,** *J* **= 10 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (s, 6H) ppm.** 



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-8-carbaldehyde (15b)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.48 (s, 1H), 7.67-7.65 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.93-6.89 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.38-6.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.73-5.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  189.4, 156.2, 132.1, 131.3, 127.1, 124.2, 122.3, 121.5, 120.5, 77.6, 28.2 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 189.0916, found 189.0912.



**5-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde** (15c) Yield = 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 7.90-7.89 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 4.48-4.47 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.38-6.36 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.77-5.75 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (s, 6H) ppm.



**2,2,8-Trimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (15d)** Yield: 87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.75 (s, 1H), 7.48-7.48 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.33-7.32 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.33-6.30 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.65-5.63 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  191.0, 156.7, 132.5, 131.0, 129.2, 126.4, 126.2, 121.7, 120.5, 77.7, 28.5, 15.4 ppm.



**2,2,5-Trimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde with 2,2,7-Trimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (1:2) (15e) Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): \delta 10.11 (s, 2H), 10.08 (s, 1H), 7.62-7.60 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 6.77-6.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.64-6.61 (m, 3H), 6.36-6.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.75-5.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.65-5.62 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 6H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 18) ppm.** 



**Benzo**[*c*][1,2,5]oxadiazole-5-carbaldehyde (15h) Yield: 19%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 10.15 (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.00-7.98 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.96-7.94 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H) ppm.



**4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (15i)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.96 (s, 1H), 7.82-7.80 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.50-7.48 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.68-3.67 (m, 4H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.43 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 191.9, 145.3, 135.6, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 66.9, 63.0, 53.6 ppm. HRMS *m/z* (ESI) calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 206.1181, found 206.1182.



**5,7-Difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (15l)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 10.14 (s, 1H), 6.50-6.48 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.37-6.34 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.68-5.66 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.60-5.78 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H) ppm.



**6-Bromo-5-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-chromene with 6-Bromo-7-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-<b>chromene (1:1) (S3m)** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.25-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.12 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.61-6.51 (m, 3H), 6.25-6.23 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.72-5.70 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.62-5.60 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H), 1.44 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 160.1, 157.7, 155.8, 153.6, 153.5, 153.3, 153.2, 131.8, 131.8, 131.7, 131.6, 130.7, 129.8, 120.6, 119.2, 119.1, 114.9, 114.8, 113.5, 113.4, 111.4, 111.2, 105.4, 105.2, 99.3, 99.0, 98.9, 76.6, 28.0, 27.8 ppm.



5-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde with 7-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*chromene-6-carbaldehyde (1:1) (15m)



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (17)** Yield: 84%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.83 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.38-6.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.70-5.68 (d, *J* = 8Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 6H) ppm.



**6-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2***H***-pyrano[3,2-***b***]pyridine (S3n) Yield: 64%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.15-7.13 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.89 (dd,** *J* **= 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 6.46-6.43 (dd,** *J* **= 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.88-5.85 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H) ppm.** 



**2,2-Dimethyl-2***H***-pyrano[3,2-***b***]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde (28) Yield: 67%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.88 (s, 1H), 7.72-7.70 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.07 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 1H), 6.55-6.53 (d,** *J* **= 10 Hz, 1H), 5.98-5.95 (d,** *J* **= 10 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (s, 6H) ppm.** 



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (19) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 6.98-6.96 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.86 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.72 (m, 3H), 6.40-6.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.69-5.67 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 4.06 (bs, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.8, 148.5, 130.6, 129.2, 128.9, 126.5, 125.5, 122.5, 121.1, 120.5, 117.4, 113.2, 76.44, 43.11, 28.18. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 266.1545, found 266.1548.



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (32) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.04-7.02 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.32-6.30 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 5.61-5.59 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.32-3.26 (quintet, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.69 (m, 4H), 1.43 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.9, 132.5, 130.8, 128.9, 126.2, 122.3, 121.2, 116.1, 76.1, 53.5, 50.1, 31.1, 27.9, 14.8. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>] 244.1701, found 244.1697.



*N*-((2,2-Dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3a)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

13307 formula(e) evaluated with 42 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-30 Na: 0-3 S: 1-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 490.1666 490.1664 0.2 0.4 12.5 1.7 C26 H29 N O5 Na S



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3b)



Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 20.0 Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula Mass mDa i-FIT 466.1694 466.1688 0.6 1.3 13.5 2.4 C26 H28 N O5 S



*N*-((7-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3c)



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 6479 formula(e) evaluated with 30 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-50 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-30 S: 1-6 Br: 1-5

Minimum	1:		-1.5								
Maximun	n:	5.0		5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-I	FIT	Form	ula		
544.0790	544.0793	-0.3		-0.6	13.5	n/a	C26	H27 N	V 05	S	Br



3,4-Dimethoxy-*N*-phenyl-*N*-((2,2,8-trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (3d)

270

3,4-Dimethoxy-*N*-phenyl-*N*-((2,2,5-trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide and 3,4-Dimethoxy-*N*-phenyl-*N*-((2,2,7-trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (2:1) (3e)

SB-III-154R



### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

#### 16:57:34 07-Feb-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

14388 formula(e) evaluated with 47 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6			
Minimum:	:			-	1.5			
Maximum	:	5.0	)	5.0	50.0			
Mass (	Calc. Mass	mDa		PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula	
502.1657 :	502.1664	-0.7		-1.4	13.5	3.1 C27	7 H29 N O5	Na S



*N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3g)


Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 10028 formula(e) evaluated with 46 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-30 S: 1-6 Na: 0-3

Minimum:				-1.5						
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DB	E i	i-FIT Formula				
450.0991 45	0.0987	0.4	0.9	12.5	2.1	C22 H21 N	N (	)6	S	Na



N-(Benzo[c][1,2,5]oxadiazol-5-ylmethyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3h)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

8255 formula(e) evaluated with 34 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-30 Na: 0-3 S: 1-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 426.1104 426.1124 -2.0 -4.7 13.5 122.1 C21 H20 N3 O5 S



### 3,4-Dimethoxy-N-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3i)

100%MeOH

11:09:27 23-May-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 6053 formula(e) evaluated with 64 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 20.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 483.1956 483.1954 0.2 12.5 12.4 C26 H31 N2 O5 S 0.4



*N*-((2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3j)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 3015 formula(e) evaluated with 10 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Na: 1-1 S: 1-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula Mass mDa i-FIT 448.1198 448.1195 0.3 0.7 12.5 10.2 C23 H23 N O5 Na S

280



*N*-(2-bromobenzyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (3k)

SB-III-148d



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 2971 formula(e) evaluated with 8 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 S: 1-6 Br: 1-5

Minimum:		-1.5								
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FI	Г	Fo	rmula		
462.0375	462.0375	0.0	0.0	11.5	6.1	C21	H21	N 04	S	Br



*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(oxetan-3-ylmethyl)benzenesulfonamide (4a)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

10:25:00 23-May-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-70C\_BWANG-ACCU\_05-23-2012\_ESI-POS01 80 (1.485) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm 460.1801 2.36e4 100-SO<sub>2</sub> % OMe ÓMe 173.0998 941.3447 632.2732 0<del>|.</del> 100 ₁ m/z 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 **Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 5060 formula(e) evaluated with 66 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 20.0 Mass Calc. Mass PPM Formula mDa DBE i-FIT 460.1794 460.1801 0.7 1.5 10.5 4.4 C24 H30 N O6 S



## *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5a)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

9185 formula(e) evaluated with 36 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Na: 0-1 S: 0-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 458.1409 458.1402 0.7 876.2 C25 H25 N O4 Na S 1.5 13.5



# *N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-phenyl-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (5b)

ZD-I-33

### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

13:53:44 31-Aug-2012

SARAH\_ZD-33\_BWANG-ACCU\_08-31-2012\_ESI-POS01 119 (1.256) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28 100- 512.1106 3.09e3



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 29508 formula(e) evaluated with 118 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 F: 1-6 S: 1-50 Na: 1-2

Minimum:		-1.5	
Maximum:		5.0 5.0 50.0	
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa PPM DBE i-FIT	Formula
512.1106	512.1119	-1.3 -2.5 13.5 16.4	C25 H22 N O4 F3
S Na			



2-(4-(*N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-*N*-phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl)acetic acid (5c)





*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-difluoro-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5d)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

### 13:45:26 31-Aug-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

18747 formula(e) evaluated with 66 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 F: 1-6 S: 1-50 Na: 1-2

Minimum:			-1	.5							
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0							
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	I DE	BE	i-FIT			Fe	ormu	la
464.1097	464.1108	-1.1	-2.4	13.5	5.7	C24	H21	Ν	03	F2	S Na



*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-4-methoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5e)



N-((2,2-dimethyl chroman-6-yl) methyl)-4-methoxy-N-phenyl benzenesul fon a mide (6a)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

10789 formula(e) evaluated with 35 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1	-30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6			
Minimum:					-1.5				
Maximum:		5	.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. N	Mass n	nDa		PPM	D	BE	]	Formula
460.1537	460.15	559 -2	2.2	-4.8	12.5	С	25 H27	N C	04 Na S





### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

#### 15:37:58 08-Jun-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-76B\_BWANG-ACCU\_06-08-2012\_ESI-POS01 66 (1.224) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cn



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 4033 formula(e) evaluated with 8 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 1-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 462.1950 462.1959 0.9 1.9 9.5 137.9 C24 H32 N O6 S



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethylchroman-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (6c)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 3713 formula(e) evaluated with 7 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 1-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula Mass mDa i-FIT 447.1958 447.1954 0.9 9.5 C23 H31 N2 O5 S 0.4 5.4



## 4-(N-Benzyl-3,4-dimethoxyphenylsulfonamido)phenyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonate (7a)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

9247 formula(e) evaluated with 53 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-100	S: 0-6				
Minimum:				-	1.5			
Maximum:		4	5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. N	Mass r	nDa	PPM	DBE	Formula		
600.1365	600.13	62 (	).3	0.5	15.5	C29 H30	N 09	S2



*N*-((2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-2,4-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (8a)



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 5917 formula(e) evaluated with 27 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 S: 1-6 23Na: 0-1

Minimum:			-1	.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DB	E	i-FIT		Formula
448.1180	448.1195	-1.5	-3.3	12.5	9.5	C23	H23 1	N O5 S Na



# 4-(*N*-benzyl-*N*-phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl 2-bromoacetate (8b)





N-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9a)



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

7428 formula(e) evaluated with 25 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	0:1-	30 Na:	: 0-3 S:	1-6		
Minimum:				-]	1.5			
Maximum:		5.	.0 5	.0 5	0.0			
Mass	Calc. N	Mass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula	
414.1355	414.13	51 0.	.4 1	.0 8	.5 9.3	C20	H25 N	O5 Na S



# N-Benzyl-N-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9b)



16:38:03 07-Feb-2012

SARAH\_SB-II-100B\_BWANG\_02072012\_POS\_ACCUMASS566 88 (1.637) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); ( 1007 362.1426 1.07e3



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

4422 formula(e) evaluated with 9 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6				
Minimum:				-	1.5				
Maximum:		5.	0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. N	lass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Formu	la
362.1426	362.14	26 0.	0	0.0	8.5	1.7	C19	H24 N	O4 S


*N*-Cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(2-nitrobenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9c)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

16:34:04 07-Feb-2012

SARAH\_SB-II-114E\_BWANG\_02072012\_POS\_ACCUMASS566 56 (1.043) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); 100- 429.1089 1.00e4



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

6985 formula(e) evaluated with 22 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1 <b>-</b> 30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6						
Minimum:					-1.5						
Maximum:		5.	0	5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. M	lass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Form	nula		
407.1269	407.1277	-0	.8	-2.0	9.5	15.9	C19	H23 ]	N2 (	06 \$	5



N-Cyclobutyl-N-(2,4-dimethoxybenzyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9d)

### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

15:39:25 07-Feb-2012

SARAH\_SB-II-79B\_BWANG\_02072012\_POS\_ACCUMASS56601 77 (1.445) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); 100\_ 444.1451 2.16e3



Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

9546 formula(e) evaluated with 32 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6	5			
Minimum:				-	1.5				
Maximum:		5	.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. N	/lass n	nDa	PPM	DBE			i-FIT	Formula
444.1451	444.14	57 -(	).6	-1.4	8.5	8.7	C21	H27 N	O6 Na S



*N*-((1*H*-Pyrrol-2-yl)methyl)-*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9e)



Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

4999 formula(e) evaluated with 11 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1 <b>-</b> 30	Na: 0-3	S: 1-6					
Minimum:				-	1.5					
Maximum:		5.	0	5.0	50.0					
Mass	Calc. N	Aass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-F	IT	For	mula	
373.1184	373.11	98 -1	.4	-3.8	7.5	1.4	C17	H22 N	2 04	Na S



### *N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-nitrobenzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9f)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

16:10:35 07-Feb-2012

SARAH\_SB-III-6R\_BWANG\_02072012\_POS\_ACCUMASS566 224 (4.166) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); ( 100 407.1258 429.1080 8.33e3



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

6985 formula(e) evaluated with 19 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-30 Na: 0-3 S: 1-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 407.1258 407.1277 -1.9 -4.7 9.5 343.6 C19 H23 N2 O6 S



*N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9g)



*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxy-*N*-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide (9h)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 4674 formula(e) evaluated with 14 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 461.2102 461.2110 -0.8 -1.7 9.5 5.9 C24 H33 N2 O5 S



### *N*-(Benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-*N*-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9i)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

2340 formula(e) evaluated with 10 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 S: 1-6 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 50.0 5.0 Calc. Mass i-FIT Mass mDa PPM DBE Formula C20 H24 N O6 S 406.1315 406.1324 -0.9 2.2 9.5 11.6



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9j)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

14:11:55 06-Mar-2012

SARAH\_SB-III-110B\_BWANG-ACCU\_03-06-2012\_POS01 51 (0.950) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); C 100 100 1 100



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

2301 formula(e) evaluated with 8 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-1	5 C	: 1-20	S: 1-6					
Minimum:					-1.5					
Maximum:			5.0	5.0	50.0					
Mass	Calc.	Mass	mDa	a	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Formula	
404.1547	404.	1532	1.5		3.7	9.5	3.4	C21	H26 N O5	5 S







(9k)



### *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9l)



12:39:52 17-May-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-59\_BWANG-ACCU\_05-17-2012\_ESI-POS01 66 (1.226) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm ( 100 244.1707 5.48e3



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

556 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-10	0 S: 0-6		
Minimum:				-1.5		
Maximum:		5.	0 5.0	50.0		
Mass	Calc. M	lass m	Da P	PM DB	E i-FI	Г Formula
244.1707	244.170	0.0	6 2.5	6.5	33.5	C16 H22 N O



*N*-(3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (10a)



Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

7830 formula(e) evaluated with 21 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200	N: 1-15	O: 1-100	S: 1-5	0						
Minimum:					-1.5						
Maximum:		5.	0	5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc.	Mass m	nDa PPM	I DE	BE i-l	FIT	Formula				
555.2151	555.2	165 -1	.4	-2.5	13.5	23.6	C29	H35	N2	07	S



N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-(2-morpholinoethyl)benzenesulfonamide (10b)



# *N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (11a)

### 14:24:39 06-Mar-2014

 100 #INECONTO. 1 #FIGOON

 SARAH\_SB-III-109\_BWANG-ACCU\_03-06-2012\_POS03 91 (1.691) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cn

 415,1682

 100



Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 2593 formula(e) evaluated with 7 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 S: 1-6 -1.5 Minimum: 50.0 5.0 Formula Maximum: 5.0 i-FIT DBE PPM mDa Calc. Mass Mass C14 H31 N4 O6 S2 92.9 -0.7 1.5 -0.3 415.1685 415.1682 C15 H27 N8 O2 S2 6.5 47.9 -1.6 -3.9 415.1698 C15 H35 N4 O S4 417.5 0.5 -2.9 415.1694 -1.2 17.2 C18 H23 N8 O2 S 4.1 11.5 1.7 415.1665 C22 H27 N2 O4 S 10.5 3.4 -1.0 -2.4 415.1692 C6 H27 N10 O9 S 307.4 -0.2 -1.5 -0.1 415.1683 C7 H23 N14 O5 S 225.3 -1.5 -3.6 3.5 415.1697



# 4-(bromomethyl)-*N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (11b)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 4890 formula(e) evaluated with 10 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-6 Br: 1-5

Minimum:			-1	.5					
Maximum:	5.0	5.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPN	A DI	ЗE	i-FIT	Formul	a	
477.0852	477.0848	0.4	0.8	10.5	3.8	C22	H26 N2	03 5	S Br



# *N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (11c)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 18673 formula(e) evaluated with 254 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 F: 1-6

Minimum:			-1.5							
Maximum:		5.0	20.0	50.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	[ D]	BE	i-FIT	Formula			
469.1409	469.1409	0.0	0.0	10.5	33.3	C22	H24 N2	O4	S	F3



# N-cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (11d)

337



### 



*N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (12a)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

3713 formula(e) evaluated with 7 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200	N: 1-15	O: 1-100	S: 1-50	C					
Minimum:					-1.5					
Maximum:		5.	.0	5.0	50.0					
Mass	Calc. N	Aass m	nDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		For	mula	
447.1958	447.19	54 0.	.4	0.9	9.5	5.4	C23	H31	N2 O5	S



# *N*-Cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (12b)



Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 2613 formula(e) evaluated with 13 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 S: 1-6 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula mDa i-FIT C22 H29 N2 O4 S 4.1848 417.1848 0.0 0.0 9.5 0.5

#### SB-IV-63b-HPLC-B BF JK ÉR Current Data Parameters NAME SB-IV-63b-HPLC-B EXPNO 1 PROCNO 1 $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}$ F2 Acquisition Parameters Date\_ 20120622 Time 15.00 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PULPROG zg30 TD 65536 SOLVENT CDC13 NS 9 Date\_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS CENU OMe O 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9845889 sec 128 60.800 usec 6.50 usec 298.0 K 1.0000000 sec 1 SWH FIDRES `Ś 0₂ OMe AQ RG DW DE TE D1 TD0 ----- CHANNEL f1 ------400.1424710 MHz 1H 13.50 usec 16.00000000 W SFO1 NUC1 P1 PLW1 F2 - Processing parameters SI 65536 SF 400.1400000 MHz WDW EM SSB LB GB PC 0 0.30 Hz 0 thall I 1.00 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 ppm 4.10 6.34 1.27 0.96 8 SB-IV-63b-HPLC-B 152.32 152.32 152.15 133.16 133.16 130.58 130.58 120.51 122.23 123.24 123.25 123.24 123.25 123.55 125.55 125.55 125.55 12 56.20 56.15 52.89 48.03 BRUKER Current Data Parameters NAME SB-IV-63b-HPLC-B NAME EXPNO PROCNO 2 1 F2 - Acquisition Parameters Date\_ 20120622 Time 15.03 Time INSTRUM 5 mm PABBO BB-zgpg30 65536 CDCl3 42 PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES 4 24038.461 Hz 0.366798 Hz 1.3631488 sec AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 TD0 20.800 usec 20.800 usec 298.6 K 2.00000000 sec 0.03000000 sec 1 1 CHANNEL f1 -----SF01 NUC1 13C 9.00 usec 62.0000000 W P1 PLW1 CHANNEL f2 --------400.1416006 MHz H waltz16 6.00000000 W 0.36000001 W 0.29159999 W SF02 NUC2 CPDPRG[2 PCPD2 PLW2 PLW12 PLW13 F2 - Processing parameters 32768 100.6152830 MHz EM SF WDW SSB LB GB PC 0 1.00 Hz 0 1.40 200 180 160 140 100 80 60 40 20 120 0 ppm

### *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (13a)

### in 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

12:37:07 26-Jun-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-63B-B-HPLC\_BWANG-ACCU\_06-26-2012\_ESI-POS02 132 (2.452) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 3521 formula(e) evaluated with 16 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Na: 1-1 S: 1-50 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 466.1678 466.1664 1.4 3.0 10.5 3.2 C24 H29 N O5 Na S



4-bromo-*N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (13b)


Minimum:				1.5								
Maximum	:	5.0	5.0	50.0								
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPN	A DI	<b>B</b> E	i-FIT			Fo	ormu	ıla	
484.0561	484.0558	0.3	0.6	10.5	16.2	C22	H24	Ν	03	Na	S	Br



## *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzenesulfonamide (13c)

347

**100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH** SARAH\_ZD-34\_BWANG-ACCU\_08-31-2012\_ESI-POS01 110 (1.158) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28) 490.1278 +90.1278 +0 +0 +0 +1 +0 +1 +0 +1+1

547.1091 500.2293 524.1284 567.3386 h 0 500 490 520 470 480 510 530 540 550 560 570 580 **Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 24118 formula(e) evaluated with 80 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 F: 1-6 S: 1-50 Na: 1-2

Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 490.1278 490.1276 0.2 0.4 10.5 1.0 C23 H24 N O4 F3 S Na

578.2567



*N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,5-dimethylisoxazole-4-sulfonamide (13d)

100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH 13:36:08 31-Aug-2012 SARAH\_ZD-35\_BWANG-ACCU\_08-31-2012\_ESI-POS01 139 (1.475) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28 425.1529 3.35e3 100-% 556.2771 535.0654 441.1146 575.2623 466.5377 503.0883 0 <sup>կ.,.,.</sup>, m/z 460 440 480 500 580 4<u>2</u>0 520 540 560

**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 4305 formula(e) evaluated with 20 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 1-50 Na: 1-2

Minimum:				-1.5							
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0							
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-	FIT	F	orm	ula		
425.1529	425.1511	1.8	4.2	9.5	1.4	C21	H26	N2	04	S	Na



*N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3,4-difluorobenzenesulfonamide (13e)

100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

13:41:23 31-Aug-2012

SARAH\_ZD-39\_BWANG-ACCU\_08-31-2012\_ESI-POS01 66 (0.694) AM (Cen,2, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,C 442.1255 6.34e3 100δ₂ % 556.2771 458.0974 476.1253 517.2688 492.0959 575.2536 m/z 535.0977 .....thurthurt 450 460 470 480 490 500 510 520 530 540 570 440 550 560 580 **Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 14806 formula(e) evaluated with 47 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 F: 1-6 S: 1-50 Na: 1-2

Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Calc. Mass Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 442.1255 442.1264 -0.9 -2.0 10.5 0.7 C22 H23 N O3 F2 S Na



## *N*-cyclobutyl-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (13f)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

7783 formula(e) evaluated with 25 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 S: 0-50 Na: 0-1

Minimum:				-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	E i-FIT		Forn	nula
436.1573	436.1559	1.4	3.2	10.5	4.6 C2	3 H27	N O4	S Na



## **1-Benzyl-4-((3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)piperazine (14a)**



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions

2076 formula(e) evaluated with 11 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1 <b>-</b> 200	N: 1-20	O: 1 <b>-</b> 30	S: 1-10			
Minimum:					-1.5		
Maximum:		5.	0	5.0	50.0		
Mass	Calc. N	Aass m	Da	PPM	DBE	E i-FIT	Formula
377.1531	377.15	35 -0	.4	-1.1	8.5	2.2 C19	H25 N2 O4 S

#### 2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-8-carbaldehyde (15b)

SB-IV-4C2







### 5-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (15c)





with

# 2,2,5-Trimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde carbaldehyde (1:2) (15e)

2,2,7-Trimethyl-2H-chromene-6-

360



#### 



#### 4-(Morpholinomethyl)benzaldehyde (15i)

in 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH 16:01:58 24-Apr-2012 SARAH\_SB-IV-30\_BWANG-ACCU\_04-24-2012\_ESI-POS01 66 (1.224) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Sm (SC 206.1182 4.78e3 100-СНО % 556.2771 311.1400 383.1760 499.2428 0 100 m/z 1000 600 700 800 200 300 400 500 900 **Elemental Composition Report** Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 181 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-35 80Se: 0-1 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0

PPM

0.5

DBE

5.5

i-FIT

5.0

Formula

C12 H16 N O2

Mass

206.1182

Calc. Mass

206.1181

mDa

0.1



## 5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (15l)



### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)aniline (16b)



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

293 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-10	0					
Minimum:					-1.5				
Maximum:		5.	.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. M	lass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		For	mula
266.1548	266.154	45 0.	.3	1.1	9.5	3.1	C18	H20	N O



## *N*-((7-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16c)

SB-III-51b



*N*-((2,2,8-Trimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16d)

KC14b





#### *N*-(benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)aniline (16g)



```
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
```

485 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-30	Na: 0-3					
Minimum:					-1.5				
Maximum:		5	.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. N	Mass m	nDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		For	mula
228.1023	228.10	-(	).2	-0.9	8.5	6.2	C14	H14	N O2



## *N*-(Benzo[*c*][1,2,5]oxadiazol-5-ylmethyl)aniline (16h)

#### N-(4-(morpholinomethyl)benzyl)aniline (16i)

SB-IV-37a







**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

181 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: 1 100 -1 1 -

C: 1-100	H: I-100 N: I	-15 O: 1-20					
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Formula
226.1230	226.1232	-0.2	-0.9	8.5	0.3	C15	H16 N O



*N*-((5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (16l)

*N*-((5-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline with *N*-((7-fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (1:1) (16m)





1-(2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)-*N*-(oxetan-3-ylmethyl)methanamine (18a)

100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

10:51:20 23-May-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-68\_BWANG-ACCU\_05-23-2012\_ESI-POS01 116 (2.156) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm 260.1657 6.72e3



Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

271 formula(e) evaluated with 5 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-200 H: 1-200 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 20.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 260.1657 260.1651 0.6 2.3 6.5 52.6 C16 H22 N O2



#### *N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)aniline (19)

100%MeOH+HCOOH

13:24:05 11-May-2012



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

293 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-100 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass PPM DBE Formula mDa i-FIT 266.1548 266.1545 0.3 9.5 3.1 1.1 C18 H20 N O


# 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromene-6-carbaldehyde (21)

JH-I-50ca



#### 4-(Benzylamino)phenol (23a)









# N-(2-nitrobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27c)





N-((1H-Pyrrol-2-yl)methyl)-N-cyclobutyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (9e)



### N-(4-nitrobenzyl)cyclobutanamine (27f)





17:49:34 26-Apr-2012



Minimum.		-1.3							
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0					
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	<b>.</b>	Fo	ormul	la
261.1961	261.1967	-0.6	-2.3	5.5	7.3	C16	H25	N2	0





**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 145 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 -1.5 Minimum: 50.0 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 206.1181 0.0 5.5 1.9 C12 H16 N O2 206.1181 0.0





Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 143 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 -1.5 Minimum: Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 204.1392 5.5 C13 H18 N O 204.1388 0.4 2.0 1.5



# *N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-8-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27l)

SB-IV-59



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

556 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100	N: 1-15	O: 1-100	S: 0-6				
Minimum:					-1.5			
Maximum:		5.	.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. N	Mass m	Da	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Formula
244.1707	244.17	01 0.	.6	2.5	6.5	33.5	C16	H22 N O



N-((5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (27m) \$B-1I-150\$



*N*-((5-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine with *N*-((7-Fluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (1:1) (27n)



# 2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine-6-carbaldehyde (28)



# 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-*N*-((2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)ethanamine (29a)

#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

#### 10:33:36 23-May-2012

SARAH\_SB-IV-56C\_BWANG-ACCU\_05-23-2012\_ESI-POS03 36 (0.671) AM (Cen,4, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,556.28,0.70); Cm 100-355.2025 9.31e3



Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

2194 formula(e) evaluated with 15 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-200	H: 1-200 N	: 1-15 O: 1-100	S: 0-50				
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	20.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mas	ss mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula	
355.2025	355.2022	0.3	0.8	9.5	126.5	C21 H27 N2	03



## N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (30)



Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

238 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used:

C: 1-100	H: 1-100 N: 1-	15 O: 1-20					
Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT		Formula
245.1651	245.1654	-0.3	-1.2	6.5	24.4	C15	H21 N2 O



# N-cyclobutyl-N-((2,2-dimethyl-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridin-6-yl)methyl)-3,4dimethoxybenzenesulfonamide (2), (32a)



#### *N*-((2,2-Dimethyl-2*H*-chromen-6-yl)methyl)cyclobutanamine (33)



**Elemental Composition Report** 

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 227 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass) Elements Used: C: 1-100 H: 1-100 N: 1-15 O: 1-20 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 5.0 50.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 244.1697 244.1701 -0.4 6.5 2.7 C16 H22 N O -1.6

## 2-((2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2b)

SB-III-156c



#### 100%MeOH+0.1%HCOOH

#### 17:01:50 13-Apr-2012



## 2-Bromo-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2c)

SB-III-44b





3-Methyl-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2d)

410



# 1-bromo-2-methyl-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzene (S2e)





# 2-bromo-1,3-difluoro-5-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzene (S2l)



2-fluoro-4-((2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)benzaldehyde (S2m)





6-bromo-2,2,5-trimethyl-2*H*-chromene with 6-bromo-2,2,7-trimethyl-2*H*-chromene (1:1) (S3e)

416

#### 4-(4-Bromobenzyl)morpholine (S3i)




13:20:16 21-Feb-2012



## 6-bromo-5,7-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene (S3I)











## 6-Bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine (S3n)



## 6-bromo-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*b*]pyridine (S3n)

SB-I-131a