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Abstract

The limaçon positive displacement technology has been featured in a number of patents over the last 100 years. The technology offers a two-lobe rotor that slides and rotates in a rounded cavity so as to create a pair of variable-volume chambers suited for fluid processing. As such, it can be employed to manufacture gas expanders to extract work from low-grade heat or other heat resources. Positive displacement gas expanders are applied to small-scale power generation plants which can be used for a number of applications such as to improve the energy efficiency of existing thermal processes.

This thesis features work undertaken to develop models to inform and enhance the design process such that an optimised limaçon machine is produced. The models developed in the thesis efficiently predict and characterise the performance of the limaçon gas expander irrespective of the working fluid used or the phase transformation that takes place during the working of the expander. The models developed are multiphysics in nature as they incorporate the vibration analysis of the apex seals with the thermodynamical differential description of the working medium together with the dynamical characterisation of an electro-mechanical control valve fitted to regulate the amount of fluid allowed into the working chamber.

Chapter 1 starts with a problem statement that addresses the questions which the author aims to answer upon the completion of this project. The chapter offers a detailed background on the limaçon technology and where it falls in relation to other fluid processing machines. Chapter 1 is also a literature review which spans such aspects as:

- types of fluid processing machines investigated in literature,
- types of cycles that can be utilised for work extraction,
- the mechanisms that can be used to produce the limaçon motion and
various two-phase flow models.

Chapter 2 presents the design process of a new limaçon machine embodiment proposed in this thesis and referred to as the limaçon-to-circular machine. In this chapter, the formulation of the rotor and housing profiles, the radial clearance, the rotor-housing interference, and the volumetric relations have been investigated. A constraint stochastic optimisation approach has been employed in order to produce optimum dimensions for a limaçon-to-circular machine based on certain geometric requirements and machine’s working conditions specified by the designer.

Chapter 3 investigates the geometry and vibration of limaçon machine apex seals. The chapter sets out by defining the rotor modified apex geometry, apex seal dimension and geometry, location of the seal in the seal groove, and the relationship between the seal, groove, and machine housing. Then descriptions are given for the motion of apex seal relative to the grooves and the machine housing under the dynamic loading from the seal spring and various contact and pressures forces acting on the seal. The problem is nonlinear in nature and a dynamical multi-degree of freedom one which features complex motion patterns and intricate seal-surroundings interactions. Also in Chapter 3, the seal-groove relative positions have been categorised into cases based on the seal-groove back-contact and front-contact types. Case studies to verify the accuracy of the seal dynamic response model has been investigated and detailed in this chapter.

In Chapter 4, the thermodynamic performance of the limaçon-to-circular machine with the effect of apex seal dynamics and dynamic response of inlet valve has been investigated. This chapter presents a model to calculate the angular positions of the leading and trailing edges of the rotor, the cross-sectional areas of the working chambers, and the velocities of fluid flow through the inlet and discharge ports. The model can be slightly altered to account for different machine embodiments and different working
fluids used. The effect of the changing phase of working fluid on the performance of the Limaçon machine has also been taken into account whereby the phase changes have been considered not only in the working chambers during the machine operation but also in relation to the flow through the inlet and discharge ports. The example presented at the end of Chapter 4 proves the validity of the proposed models and their suitability to describe and characterise the working of Limaçon gas expanders.

Chapter 5 details the conclusions which this thesis work has produced and offers an insight into future research projects which have been flagged by the work presented in the thesis.
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Chapter 1: Introduction*

The global drive of using energy more effectively and efficiently has fuelled the ever-increasing effort to obtain energy from low-grade heat resources by the research sector as well as the industry. Typically, in order to extract work from available heat resources, fluid processing machines (or expanders), which may include rotary or reciprocating embodiments, are utilised. However, for the specific case of work extracting applications from low-grade heat sources, positive displacement expanders are preferred due to their ability to operate at reasonably low speeds and handle relatively small mass flow rate (Lemort, Quoilin, Cuevas, & Lebrun, 2009).

* Sections 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 of this chapter have been published as a book chapter (Phung, Sultan, & Boretti, 2016)

Figure 1-1: Fluid processing machines hierarchy
The fluid processing machines can be divided into two main categories: positive displacement machines and turbomachines (also referred to as non-positive displacement machines); they may be categorised as volume type and velocity type fluid processing machines as well (Qiu, Liu, & Riffat, 2011). Within each of those two types, fluid processing machines are further divided into two sub-categories: one of which adds energy to the working fluids (i.e.: pumps and compressors) while the other extracts energy from the working fluids (i.e.: turbines and expanders). In each sub-category, those machines are further split into reciprocating and rotary branches. A fluid processing machinery hierarchy is depicted in Figure 1-1. Hence, it is obvious that the limaçon expanders and compressors investigated in this project belong to the rotary branch, nested under positive displacement machine type, which extracts energy from the working fluids.

One huge advantage of the positive displacement rotary machines over the reciprocating, oscillated piston and radial piston machines, Figure 1-3 and Figure 1-4, is that they have far less stationary and moving parts (Warren & Yang, 2013; Rose & Yang, 2014; Picard, Tian, & Nishino, 2015; Picard, Tian, & Nishino, 2015). This advantage makes them lightweight, less complex in terms of the number of components, and more compact in terms of physical size. Some examples of these rotary machines are the roots,
Wankel machines, and limaçon machines, which are shown in Figure 1-2. Of particular note is the well-known Wankel rotary engine, which consists of much fewer parts than the conventional piston engine. However, the unique housing-rotor arrangement of this machine requires accurate and sophisticated manufacturing methods, and more complex side and apex sealing arrangements. Thus far, Mazda is the only company known to put this Wankel engine design to mass-production and utilise for passenger car manufacturing (Mazda Motor Corporation, 2014).

Limaçon expanders, despite the weight and size advantages they offer over the other rotary designs, to a certain extent share the complexity in housing and rotor manufacturing as well as sealing arrangements with the Wankel engines. However, with the advancement of additive manufacturing, the development and fabricating of limaçon machines will be much simpler, more accurate, and more cost-efficient.

The limaçon machine’s housing and rotor are based on the limaçon curve (snail curve or limaçon of Pascal curve shown in Figure 1-5), which has the form of $r = b + a \cos \theta$ or $r = b + a \sin \theta$ in the polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$; the values of the limaçon parameters $a$ and $b$ determine the shape of the curve. The $r = b + a \cos \theta$ curve takes the x-axis as its mirror line, while the $r = b + a \sin \theta$ curve takes the y-axis as a mirror line. The profiles of the limaçon

Figure 1-3: Axial (rotary) piston pump
Source: http://img.directindustry.com

Figure 1-4: Radial engines
Source: sherline.com
housing and rotor can be defined by allowing a chord, $p_1p_2$, with length $2L$, to rotate and slide on a so-called base circle of radius $r$, as shown in Figure 1-6.

The working fluid, which is used to expand and transfer work to the machine’s shaft, can be in either of the following forms: gas, liquid, or two-phase (where liquid and gas phases coexist) (Sultan & Schaller, 2011). The same fluid will also be used in a closed cycle to carry heat from the boiler or evaporator to the expander to be processed.

![Limaçon curve family](image)

*Figure 1-5: Limaçon curve family*
1.1. Problem statement and research questions

The limaçon technology in comparison with other energy conversion technologies such as turbine, rotary, reciprocating piston, rotary piston, and rotary vane, is more compact in size, which can readily be designed to run at relatively low speed, and is capable of handling small mass flow rate. Additionally, the limaçon machines are capable of operating in two-phase flow conditions that can in fact damage non-positive displacement machines. Despite all the advantages offered by this technology, the unavailability of necessary manufacturing expertise to accurately machine the limaçon housing and rotor profiles had in the past deprived limaçon technology from gaining the publicity that it deserved. However, the global drive of using existing energy resources effectively and efficiently in conjunction with the rapid advancement of manufacturing technology will definitely boost industry and research interests in the limaçon technology.
This project is aimed to optimise the flow of single-phase and/or multiphase high-pressure working fluids through the inlet and outlet ports of limaçon expanders, the positions of the ports, the geometry of the ports, and the flow of fluids inside the expanding chamber. The work in this project will further investigate various embodiments of limaçon machines in terms of the mechanical characteristic and thermal dynamic performance. The effect of apex seal and its performance under dynamic load from the housing, seal spring, as well as the pressure difference from different machine’s chambers will also be examined. The optimisation process will be applied in the context of small to medium scale power-generation-plants such as CHP (Combined Heat and Power) systems or waste-heat recovery systems. The micro power-generation-plants that utilise limaçon technology can significantly reduce the emission footprint to as close as zero when solar power is integrated. Such system not only can generate electricity for domestic use but also can provide hot water service as well as space heating. Additionally, such plants are capable of utilising low-grade thermal resources, which previously has been overlooked or disregarded. As suggested by the literatures (Chen, Goswami, & Stefanakos, 2010; Fukuta & Yanagisawa, 2009; Hung, Shai, & Wang, 1997; Lemort, Quoilin, Cuevas, & Lebrun, 2009; Lemort, Lebrun, & Quoilin, 2010; Persson, 1990; Sutan, 2012), the overall efficiency of those micro power-generation systems depends considerably on the performance of the expanders.

This project will attempt to answer the following questions:

- Is it possible to employ different rotor and/or housing profiles (i.e.: circular curve) while still maintaining the limaçon motion between the rotor and housing for different limaçon machines’ embodiments?
- Is it possible to optimise the expanders’ embodiments, geometries, porting, and manifolds to maximise the machine’s overall efficiency?
• How will the apex seals, the seal-groove and seal-housing relative motion, and the seals’ performance affect the overall efficiency of the limaçon machines?
• Will the incorporation of inlet valve affect the performance of limaçon machine?

1.2. Methodology and research goals

The key objective of this project is to develop a limaçon expander suitable and efficient enough to be built into CHP or waste-heat recovery systems to generate mechanical or electrical power. The limaçon expander extracts the power from the working fluid by allowing that fluid to go through an expansion process, which converts the internal energy of the working fluid into the motion of the rotor. With all that in mind, this project will be carried out in the following phases:

• Investigating different types of mechanisms that produce the limaçon motion
• Exploring different housing-rotor embodiments with the view of proposing a more practical, more efficient and easier to manufacture paradigm
• Investigate the apex seal motion and the effect of sealing on the machine performance
• Developing the geometric and thermodynamic model for the proposed paradigm and hence use this model for optimisation purposes. Consider the effect of inlet valve to the machine’s thermodynamic performance
• Optimising the machine geometry and port angle and position for the best volumetric and thermodynamic performance

The outcome of the optimisation models in the above phases will depend on the working fluid properties as well as the inlet and outlet conditions.
1.3. Literature review

As has been mentioned above, the focus of this project is the limaçon technology, limaçon machines, and their applications especially in CHP and waste-heat recovery systems; therefore, the literature will also be mainly focused on these particular categories. In addition to that, various cycles that can utilise for power extracting, the optimisation procedure, and two-phase flow modelling will also play crucial roles and will be studied. Different types of gas expanders, other than limaçon, which have previously been and are currently being utilised in CHP or waste-heat recovery processes, together with their disadvantages, advantages, and efficiencies will be as well considered. This project aims to utilise the potentially higher efficiency and lower manufacturing cost of the limaçon expanders over other technologies in the power recovery practice.

1.3.1. Types of gas expanders and their roles in thermodynamic cycles

Over the years, various gas expander designs have been developed for power generation applications in general and waste-heat recovery in particular. In the context of this project, the focus is drawn towards the expanders which are more suitable for small-scale heat and power extracting applications such as: axial piston expanders, reciprocating piston expanders, rolling piston expanders, revolving vane expanders, screw expanders, scroll expanders, and limaçon expanders to name only a few. The chart shown in Figure 1-7 had been constructed by Persson (1990) to map out how various expander designs perform based on their shaft speed and flow capacity. The figure can be considered as a rough guide for the selection of expander type for further design and evaluation.
Reciprocating piston expanders

One of the most common type of expanders, which has been widely utilised, is the reciprocating piston machine; such a conventional design is featured in the crank-slider mechanism employed for car engines, and reciprocating compressors. Over the years, researchers from around the world have focused their attention on different geometric embodiments of the reciprocating piston machines. For instance, Gimstedt (1979), Glavatskaya et al. (2012) and Gridin et al. (1971) who have designed and evaluated single acting piston expanders, on the other hand, Zhang et al. (2007) have been interested in developing the double acting piston expanders. Those research along with much more published studies on the reciprocating technology can give us a sense of the popularity that this reciprocating piston technology has achieved. It has been claimed by the abovementioned authors that the expanders in this category can perform at a broad range of pressure ratios with an isentropic efficiency varies from 50 to 70% (62% in the case of double-acting piston expanders) and a volumetric efficiency ranges from 30 to 60%.

*Figure 1-7: Example of a common performance map of different geometry concepts (Persson, 1990)*
1.3.1.2. Axial piston expanders

Grip (2009), has offered a geometrically different variation to the reciprocating piston machine; the axial piston expander design, as shown in Figure 1-8, is claimed to be able to run on biofuel or solar resources and to have the potential to apply to low-temperature Rankine cycle plants. In this design, the traditional reciprocating engine has been rearranged so that the machines’ pistons are spaced evenly around the crankshaft and parallel to the shaft axis; similar approach can also be found in the Duke engine, which is proposed by Duke (1997). The axial reciprocating piston expanders’ output power, however, is limited to the power range below 1000 kW. Grip argues that although piston expanders suffer from higher mechanical losses, they tend to have lower leakage and high part-load efficiency; piston expanders are preferred in some particular applications due to their capability of operating at low speeds. The unique design of the axial piston machine offers a possibility to significantly reduce the lateral force between the piston and the cylinder liners compared to the conventional reciprocating piston engines. (Grip, 2009)

1.3.1.3. Rolling piston expanders

The next type of expander is the rolling piston expander, which has been designed and analysed by Li et al. (2009); the authors have also claimed that this type of expander can replace the throttling valve in the CO₂ cycle of refrigeration and air-conditioning systems. The expander is used to recover power during the CO₂ expansion process, hence, improve the system efficiency. The output work or energy generated by the expander can
be fed back to the system as a second-stage compressor or utilised to drive other mechanisms, machines, or applications.

Zheng et al. (2013) have put much effort to carry out experiments in which a rolling piston expander has been utilised in a low-temperature organic Rankine cycle to generate electricity. The proposed expander, shown in Figure 1-9, can operate between 350 and 800 revolutions per minute (rpm) with a maximum power output of 0.35 kW and a maximum isentropic efficiency of 43.3% when the temperature of the heat source is below 90°C.

**1.3.1.4. Rotary-vane and Revolving-vane expanders**

Amongst the potential expander concepts, the rotary-vane expanders have been developed and used for years in either waste-heat recovery or improving the process efficiency. Those expanders may include single-acting and double-acting expanders, which have inlet and outlet ports arranged symmetrically in the elliptical cylinder, the vane slots and vanes are located on the rotor, as shown in Figure 1-10. Rotary-vane expander received a significant amount of attention from researchers and manufacturers due to their simple structure and their simplicity in manufacturing compared to other types of expanders. The calculated volumetric and isentropic efficiencies of these machines vary from 20% to 70% and from 20% to 40%, respectively. With different operational
speeds, gap clearance values, and eccentricity ratios, the experimental values of rotary-vane expanders have been reported to be as high as 70% for volumetric efficiency and as high as 37% for isentropic efficiency (Fukuta & Yanagisawa, 2009; Yang, Peng, Sun, Guo, & Xing, 2009; Yang, Peng, Sun, Guo, & Xing, 2009; Jia X., Zhang, Yang, & Peng, 2009). Additionally, according to Jia et al. (2010), by introducing high-pressure gas into the vane slot, the isentropic efficiency of this machine may reach 45%.

Subiantoro and Ooi (2009; 2010) have reportedly designed and developed revolving vane (RV) expanders with an average power production of 650 W and mechanical efficiencies of 96.5% and 95.7% for RV-type I, and RV-type II respectively. Depicted in Figure 1-11 are the four variants of RV expanders, which have different allocations for the drive and driven components. With RV-type I and RV-type II, the rotors act as the drive components; while with RV-type Ia and RV-type IIa, the drive components are actually the machines’ cylinders.

1.3.1.5. Screw and scroll expanders

Screw expanders (helical rotor expanders), and scroll expanders, shown in Figure 1-12 and Figure 1-13, have been receiving considerable attention from researchers in the field of positive displacement machinery. Examples may be sought in the works of Wang, Wu, Ma, Liu, and Yu (2011), Tamura, Taniguchi, Sasaki, Yoshida, Sekiguchi, and Yokigawa
(1997), Bowman (United States Patent No. 4412796, 1983), and House (1976) who have designed and carried out experiments on both single and double screw expanders. Meanwhile, Wang, Peterson, and Herron (2009), Lemort, Quoilin, Cuevas, and Lebrun (2009), and Xiaojun, Liansheng, Yuanyang, and Pengcheng (2004) have put their thoughts to scroll expanders. In the aforementioned papers, the authors have theoretically and experimentally explored the performance of screw and scroll expanders in the Combined Heat and Power (CHP), micro-CHP, work-recovery, and waste-heat recovery systems.

Peterson, Wang, and Herron (2008), in their publication, have built and tested a small-scale regenerative cycle, which utilised moderate-grade heat source (150-400°C). They experimented with a regenerative Rankine cycle using a scroll expander, which produced 256 W of gross power. The author argued that, despite the lower than expected efficiency, the project was successful as an exploratory study of using scroll expander in small power generation plants. The authors also revealed that the proposed scroll expander suffered from excessive leakage; in fact, leakage is known to be the major unresolved problem with all scroll expanders in particular and rotary machine in general. This leakage problem significantly affects the volumetric, thermal efficiencies, the quality of recovered work of these expanders. Such quality of recovered work and other performance parameters of the scroll expanders have been previously addressed by Xiaojun, Liansheng, Yuanyang, Pengcheng, and Shu (2004) in their paper.
1.3.1.6. *Turboexpanders*

The turbomachines can be used as gas expanders in power extracting applications. This type of machine has also received much attention from researchers all over the world; specifically, Ghosh, Seshaih, Sahoo, and Sarangi (2005) have developed a design procedure for the main components of turbo expanders for cryogenic applications. These components are turbine wheel, nozzle, diffuser, shaft, brake, compressor, and bearing. Additionally, Hou, Zhu, and Chen (2004) experimented with different types of foil bearings for the turbo expanders, while Kucerija (United States Patent No. 5003782, 1991) and McClure (United States Patent No. 2529880, 1950) have had their turbo expander designs patented. In general, turbo expanders have received substantial attention from researchers in low-grade heat recovery.

Further to the expander, the type of theoretical cycle employed in the power generating process may affect the power output delivered by the expander. In the next sections, different theoretical cycles will be explored.

1.3.2. *The Rankine cycle*

Firstly, it is essential to review the Carnot cycle before going to the details of Rankine cycle. The Carnot cycle is a theoretical and reversible thermodynamic cycle proposed by Nicolas Leonard Sadi Carnot nearly two centuries ago. This is the most efficient cycle; the concept of which can be based on to build systems that can convert thermal energy to work or power. In reality, however, Carnot cycle is considered impractical (Sun, Chen, Chen, & Wu, 1997; Cheng, 2000) due to its exceptionally slow isothermal heat transfer process.

The concept proposed by Carnot has been employed to develop more realistic power generation cycles, one of which is the Rankine cycle. A basic Rankine cycle is made up of four main components: a pump, a boiler or an evaporator, an expander, and a
condenser. Rankine cycle utilises a working fluid to transfer thermal energy from one component to the other and employs an expander to extract work from the working fluid. Fluids that belong to one of the three groups, wet fluids, dry fluids, and isentropic fluids, may be utilised in the medium-scale and large-scale Rankine cycles. With the case of micro Rankine cycle power plants, the power generation process can also make use of organic fluids (refrigerants or hydrocarbons, e.g.: R134a, R234a, R410a to name only a few); such plants are often referred to as “Organic Rankine Cycles power plant” (Tchanche, Pétrissans, & Papadakis, 2014).

There are two main types of Rankine cycles, which are readily available to put to use i.e.: single fluid Rankine cycle and binary fluids Rankine cycle. A single fluid Rankine cycle utilises only one working fluid in the work-extracting process; different fluid states determined by the amount of energy they contain - saturated, superheated, transcritical or supercritical - can be used. The state of the working fluid then determines the name of the particular Rankine cycle i.e.: superheated Rankine cycle, supercritical Rankine cycle. Single fluid Rankine cycles are often less complex and have fewer components than the binary cycles. The binary Rankine cycles, on the other hand, with the purpose of increasing the output efficiency, have been developed with more than one working fluid loops. The Maloney and Robertson cycle, the Kalina cycle, the Uehara cycle, and the Goswami cycle depicted in Figure 1-14 are some members of the binary Rankine cycle category (Tchanche, Pétrissans, & Papadakis, 2014).

A basic single fluid Rankine cycle with four stages of change depicted by a T-s diagram (temperature-entropy diagram) is shown in Figure 1-15. In stage 1-2, the working fluid in liquid form is pressurised and transferred to the boiler by a feed pump, this stage requires power supplied for the pump (work-in, W-in). Stage 2-3 takes place in a boiler
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(heat exchanger) or a series of boilers where heat is added to the working fluid to bring it to a higher energy stage (heat-in, Q-in). Then in stage 3-4, the fluid is expanded in an expander or a series of expanders. The expander helps converting the internal energy of the working fluid to mechanical work (work-out, W-out). Lastly, in stage 4-1, the excess heat is rejected (heat-out, Q-out) via the heat exchanger(s) to bring the fluid back to its liquid form. In this form, the fluid can easily be transported to the boiler again by the feed pump. The cycle then can be repeated to provide a continuous flow of work at the expander’s shaft. The four-stage cycle is summarised as follows:

- 1-2: Liquid pressurised in a feed pump (W-in)
- 2-3: Continuous heat addition to the working fluid in a boiler or heat exchanger (Q-in)
- 3-4: Isentropic (constant entropy) expansion of the working fluid in an expander(s) (W-out)
- 4-1: Continuous heat rejection in a condenser (Q-out)

Figure 1-14: The Kalina and Goswami cycles (Tchanche, Pétrissans, & Papadakis, 2014)
Rankine cycle is employed in most of the current thermal power plants, which mainly burn fossil fuels to produce necessary heat; Rankine cycle-based plants can also make use of heat from other thermal energy resources such as biomass, biofuels, geothermal, solar thermal, and waste-heat. In recent years, the ever-increasing demand for renewable energy and efficient energy usage has become the main drive of research effort in improving the efficiency of existing power systems and utilising low-grade or waste heat. Hung, Shai, and Wand (1997), Gao, Liu, He, Xu, Wu, and Li (2012), Chen, Lundqvist, Johanson, and Platell (2006), Quoilin, Aumann, Grill, Schuster, Lemort, and Spliethoff (2011) are some of the researchers who have put much effort into waste-heat recovery systems with the applications of different types of expanders i.e.: scroll, screw, and rolling piston. (Tchanche, Pétrissans, & Papadakis, 2014; Guo, Du, Yang, & Yang, 2014; Hung, Shai, & Wang, 1997; Wang H., et al., 2011; Gao, et al., 2012; Chen, Lundqvist, Johanson, & Platell, 2006; Quoilin, et al., 2011).

1.3.3. The Trilateral Flash Cycle

As described by Smith (1993), an ideal Trilateral Flash Cycle or Triangle Flash Cycle (TFC), which is a modification of the ideal Carnot cycle - composed of three processes, two of which are the same as the Carnot cycle namely the isentropic expansion to produce...
work, and the isothermal heat rejection to the cooling medium. It is obvious that the ideal TFC would have a lower thermal efficiency compared to the ideal Carnot cycle using the same hot and cold reservoirs. DiPippo (2007) in a publication on thermal efficiency for geothermal binary plants has compared the efficiencies of a Carnot cycle with an ideal TFC and a practical TFC using the same hot source temperature of 150°C. DiPippo (2007) also referred to some recent attempts to build machines that approach the ideal TFC and argued that the ideal TFC is unachievable. In fact, a more realistic and irreversible version of the TFC, depicted in Figure 1-16, should often be considered.

Steffen, Löffler, and Schaber (2013), Fischer (2011), and Zamfirescu and Dincer (2008) revealed that TFC is amongst the three processes known for the conversion of low-temperature and waste heat resources to energy: the ORC, the Kalina cycle and the TFC. With its ability to recover most of the heat from the heat source, the TFC can easily outperform the ORC and the Kalina cycle. Additionally, with purposefully selected inlet temperatures at various points of the plant, it has been proven that the exergy efficiency for power production of the TFC, which is the ratio of the net power output to the incoming exergy flow of the heat carrier, is from 14% to 20% higher than that of the ORC. In addition, the total efficiency of the TFC, which is the ratio of all the outgoing

Figure 1-16: The ideal and practical Trilateral Flash Cycle (TFC)
exergy flows to all incoming exergy flows, is from 1% to 9% higher than the ORC’s in most cases. Moreover, Zamfirescu et al. (2008) have demonstrated that with certain setups and at given temperatures the calculated exergy efficiency of the TFC could be twice as much of the ORC’s. However, TFC is a rather new technology that will need further research and development (Zamfirescu & Dincer, 2008; Fischer, 2011; Steffen, Löffler, & Schaber, 2013).

1.3.4. Micro-CHP and CHP-ORC systems

According to Qiu, Liu, and Riffat (2011), expanders can be categorised as dynamic or velocity type, and displacement or volume type. Within these two main types, various designs with different output efficiency have covered a wide range of output power ranging from 1 kW to 50 kW, which falls within the realm of small-scale CHP systems. Additionally, Qiu et al. (2011) have also introduced and evaluated the performance of four different types of expanders such as turbine expander, screw expander, scroll expander, and air motors used as expanders for household applications.

In turbine expanders, the velocity of the working fluid in the vapour (gas) phase at high temperature and pressure drives the blades, which in turn drive the output shaft; the work can be used directly from the shaft or can be transformed to electrical power by the generators (alternators). Turbine type expanders are generally used when the output power greater than 50 kW is required. With the lower output power, turbine expander is less preferred because the efficiency of such expander deteriorates gradually with the decrease of output power, and an unacceptably low efficiency reached at the output power of 10 kW. Additionally, small size turbines are costly to make which prevents them from being utilised in small-scale power generation plants. (Qiu, Liu, & Riffat, 2011).

Another choice of expanders for small-scale organic Rankine cycle CHP systems is the screw expander; this type of expander utilises the meshing of a male and one or more
female rotors. As the rotors rotate, the volume trapped between the rotors and the machine housing or casing changes, high-pressure working fluid is allowed to enter the space between the rotors and casing on one end and discharge through the other. During the process, the working fluid expands and transfers its energy to the rotors of the expander. However, screw expanders are not widely used due to the difficulty in sealing the working fluid within the expanding chamber (Qiu, Liu, & Riffat, 2011).

CHP-ORC systems using scroll expanders have been successfully simulated and experimented by Lemort & Quoilin et al. (2009; 2010), and Wang et al. (2010). Wang et al. (2010) revealed that the efficiency of a scroll based ORC system, which uses R134a as a working fluid may exceed 70% over a wide range of rotor speeds and expansion ratios. Additionally, the CHP-ORC systems, which use scroll expander, are suitable for the output power range of 0.1 to 1 kW.

Vane type expanders can also be employed for CHP-ORC as has been featured in the work of Aoun (2008) who has reported an isentropic efficiency of 80% at 800rpm using refrigerant R11 as working fluid. On the other hand, the corresponding efficiency figures reported for the vane expanders vary from 50-55% within a speed range of 800 to 850 rpm. However, the efficiency figure reported by Aoun does not agree with the well-known fact that vane type expanders suffer from serious leakage problem. This problem has been highlighted by the comment of Qiu et al. (2011) that the rotational speed of a vane expander considerably influences the pressure and flow rate limit of the working fluid.

Interestingly, R11 and R113, which were employed for experimental evaluation of expanders as reported in many publications, have been phased out by the Montreal protocol (United Nation Environment Programme, 1987) as gases that deplete the ozone layer.
1.4. Limaçon of Pascal curve and Limaçon expanders

1.4.1. The limaçon curve from a mechanical point of view

With the recent development of manufacturing and the advancement in the 3D (three-dimensional) printing technology, the fabrication of the limaçon housing and rotor has never been more feasible. As previously mentioned, the polar coordinates form of the limaçon curve can be described as follows:

\[ r = b + a \cos \theta \]  
\[ \text{[1-1]} \]

or

\[ r = b + a \sin \theta \]  
\[ \text{[1-2]} \]

Such formulas in the polar coordinate can be transformed to the Cartesian coordinates by applying the two following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= r \cos \theta \\
    y &= r \sin \theta
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \text{[1-3]} \]

When the machine is in motion, the rotor chord, \( p_1p_2 \), rotates and slides about the pole, \( o \); the housing limaçon is formed by the traces of the apex, \( p_1 \) (or \( p_2 \)). Two coordinates \( XY \) and \( X_rY_r \) are attached to the housing and rotor respectively; The \( XY \) frame stays stationary with the housing while the \( X_rY_r \) frame rotates with the rotor chord, \( p_1p_2 \). The distance from the centre point, \( m \), of the chord, \( p_1p_2 \), to the pole, \( o \), is called the sliding distance, \( s \), which is formulated as follows:

\[ s = 2r \sin \theta \]  
\[ \text{[1-4]} \]

where \( r \) is the radius of limaçon base circle; \( \theta \) represents the angle rotated by the chord, \( p_1p_2 \), measured in the right-hand sense from the \( X \)-axis to the \( X_r \)-axis. The radial distance,
\[ R_h = 2r \sin \theta + L \]  

[1-5]

where \( L \) is half of the rotor chord length or half of the distance between \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) (as shown in Figure 1-6 earlier in this document). Applying [1-3] to [1-5], the machine housing can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_h &= r \sin 2\theta + L \cos \theta \\
    y_h &= r - r \cos 2\theta + L \sin \theta
\end{align*}
\]

[1-6]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_h &= L[b \sin 2\theta + \cos \theta] \\
    y_h &= L[b(1 - \cos 2\theta) + \sin \theta]
\end{align*}
\]

[1-7]

where \( b = \frac{r}{L} \) is the limaçon aspect ratio. The value of \( b \) has to be less than 0.25 in order to ensure that the limaçon profile is dimple and loop-free. A number of limaçon machine embodiments have been proposed since Wheildon (1896) was awarded a patent on a novel rotary engine design. Despite the fact that these embodiments employ different mechanical drives (mechanisms), they all follow the same kinematical constrains imposed by the equations [1-4], [1-5], [1-6], and [1-7]. As such, they all feature the similar profiles for the rotor and for the housing, and exhibit the same volumetric and thermodynamic characteristics. However, these embodiments can be differentiated in terms of their distinctive mechanical characteristics such as durability, dynamic force and vibration, manufacturability as well as ease of maintenance.
1.4.2. The port area calculation

To calculate the port areas for the limaçon machine, Sultan and Schaller (2011) have proposed a concept in which the two vectors $R_l$ and $R_t$ are used to define the port leading and trailing edges, respectively (Figure 1-17).

![Figure 1-17: Ports geometric particulars (Sultan & Schaller, 2011)](image)

This concept can be applied to both inlet and discharge ports. The port edges vector can be defined as:

$$R_l = L(2b \sin \theta_l + 1)\hat{R}_l \quad \text{and} \quad R_t = L(2b \sin \theta_t + 1)\hat{R}_t$$

[1-8]

where $\theta_l$ and $\theta_t = \theta_l + \Delta \theta_p$ are the angular locations of the leading and trailing edges, $\hat{R}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_l \\ \sin \theta_l \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\hat{R}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are unit vectors directed from the pole, $o$, to the leading and trailing edges, respectively.

The port length, $L_p$, constrained by the rotor depth, $H$; the port width, $W$, can be calculated from the two edge vectors as follow:
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\[ W = |R_t - R_l| \]  

[1-9]

If the ports take the semi-circular shape, as shown in Figure 1-7, the port area, \( A_f \), can be calculated as:

\[ A_f = L_p W - W^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \]  

[1-10]

The relationship between the rotor apices and the port edges can be represented by the two leading and trailing vectors \( \mathbf{P}_l \) and \( \mathbf{P}_t \) as follows:

\[ \mathbf{P}_l = L(2b \sin \theta + 1)\mathbf{X}_r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}_t = L(2b \sin \theta - 1)\mathbf{X}_r \]  

[1-11]

Hence, the relative locations between the rotor leading-apex with the port edges can be defined by the two scalar quantities \( s_l \) and \( s_t \) as follows:

\[ s_l = (\mathbf{R}_l \times \mathbf{X}_r) \cdot (\mathbf{X}_r \times \mathbf{Y}_r) \quad \text{and} \quad s_t = (\mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{X}_r) \cdot (\mathbf{X}_r \times \mathbf{Y}_r) \]  

[1-12]

where the unit vectors of the \( X_rY_r \) frame \( \mathbf{X}_r \) and \( \mathbf{Y}_r \) are given as:

\[ \mathbf{X}_r = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y}_r = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  

[1-13]

Therefore, Sultan and Schaller (2011) suggest that the instantaneous value of the port area, \( A_p \), can be determined by:

\[ \text{for } s_l \geq 0 \Rightarrow A_p = A_f \\
\text{for } s_t < 0 \Rightarrow A_p = \left( \frac{w_i}{w} \right) A_f \]  

[1-14]
and \[
\text{for } s_t < 0 \begin{cases} 
if s_t \leq 0 \Rightarrow A_p = 0 \\
if s_t > 0 \Rightarrow A_p = \left(\frac{W_t}{W}\right) A_f
\end{cases}
\]

[1-15]

where the leading-edge width and the trailing edge width are expressed as \( W_t = |P_l - R_l| \), and \( W_t = |P_t - R_t| \) respectively.

### 1.4.3. The limaçon mechanisms

This section will feature a number of mechanisms, which have been proposed by designers to produce the limaçon motion and create the profile suitable for fluid processing. In every design mentioned below, it is important to identify the elements of the mechanism, namely:

- the rotor chord, which is the centre line of the rotor or the line that connects the two rotor apices
- the chord centre point, \( m \)
- the limaçon base circle
- the pole, \( o \)
- the instantaneous centre, \( I \).

The pole, \( o \), and the centre point, \( m \), should always stay attached to the base circle so that the chord rotates at half of the angular velocity of the centre point, \( m \), about the base circle centre. The instantaneous centre, \( I \), should also fall on the base circle and is diametrically opposite to the centre point, \( m \). (Sultan, 2005)

#### 1.4.3.1. The cam-assisted limaçon mechanism

The cam-assisted limaçon mechanism depicted in Figure 1-18 has first been introduced by Wheildon (1896). In this concept, the lateral axis of the rotor is attached to the drive shaft at the pole, \( o \). The two flat-face cam followers are perpendicularly attached...
to the chord and allowed to slide on a stationary circular cam. During motion, the centre point, \( m \), stays on the base circle; the diameter of which is the distance between the centre of the stationary circular cam and the chord centre, \( m \), when the chord is at its lowest horizontal position. As previously mentioned, the instantaneous centre of the mechanism will always fall on the base circle at a point which is diametrically opposite to the chord centre, \( m \). (Sultan, 2005)

![Diagram of the cam-assisted limaçon mechanism](image)

*Figure 1-18: The cam-assisted limaçon mechanism*  
(Sultan, 2005)

### 1.4.3.2. The double slider limaçon mechanism

Figure 1-19 represents a double slider mechanism proposed by Feyens (1927), and Frager and Menard (1954). Originally, Planche (1920) introduced a similar mechanism in which round pins are utilised instead of the sliders. The double slider mechanism will certainly produce limaçon motion as the pole, \( o \), and the chord centre, \( m \), would always remain attached to the base circle. The diameter of the base circle is equal to the distance
between the two slider pivots; and the drive or driven shaft is connected to the slider at the pole, \( o \). This mechanism is also able to produce two compression-expansion cycles per shaft revolution. (Sultan, 2005)

![Diagram of the double-slider limaçon mechanism](image)

**Figure 1-19: The double-slider limaçon mechanism**

(Sultan, 2005)

### 1.4.3.3. The epicycloidal gear system

Planche (1920) proposed a mechanism, shown in Figure 1-20, which employed an epicycloidal gear system to produce the limaçon motion for fluid processing applications. The epicycloidal gear train utilised by Planche consists of a stationary gear and a ring gear of a diameter doubles that of the stationary gear. A crank is used to connect the centre of the ring gear to the centre of the driveshaft to ensure that the angular velocity of the chord is half that of the driveshaft. Obviously, the base circle of this system is the pitch circle of the stationary gear. Although this mechanism produces the desired limaçon motion, the constraints imposed by the combined effects of the aspect ratio

\[
\left( b = \frac{r}{L} \leq 0.25 \right)
\]

and the mechanical nature of gear design are likely to reflect themselves on the overall machine dimensions. Consequently, the limaçon machine made of
epicycloidal gear mechanism will be bulkier compared to the limaçon machines that employ other mechanisms. (Sultan, 2005)

![Image of epicycloidal gear mechanism]

*Figure 1-20: The epicycloidal gear mechanism (Sultan, 2005)*

1.4.4. Comparison between limaçon mechanisms

Each of the above-mentioned mechanism has its advantages and disadvantages. As for the case of the cam-assisted and the double slider mechanisms (United States Patent No. 553086, 1896; US Patent No. 1340625, 1920; US Patent No. 1802887, 1931; US Patent No. 3029741, 1954), both can produce two compression-expansion cycles every revolution of the drive shaft. Due to the nature of their sliding motion, however, these two mechanisms are likely to produce a considerable amount of heat and hence, require sophisticated bearing and lubrication design, which may influence their mechanical efficiency. Not to mention that the cam-assisted and the double slider mechanisms have to be manufactured to high levels of accuracy to ensure the roundness, parallelism, and/or perpendicularity between different components. The epicycloidal-gear mechanism of Planche (US Patent No. 1340625, 1920), on the other hand, employed the rolling motion to its design to accomplish much better mechanical efficiency and simplicity.
Nevertheless, the epicycloidal-gear mechanism can only produce one compression-expansion cycle per driveshaft revolution. Table 1-1 presents the comparison between those abovementioned limaçon mechanisms.

Table 1-1: Pros and cons of different limaçon mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The cam-assisted mechanism</th>
<th>The double-slider mechanism</th>
<th>The epicycloidal gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td>- Can perform two compression-expansion strokes per shaft revolution (2:1).</td>
<td>- Can perform two compression-expansion strokes per shaft revolution (2:1).</td>
<td>- Utilised rolling motion, hence better mechanical efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cons</strong></td>
<td>- Produce a significant amount of heat due to the use of sling motion on flat surfaces.</td>
<td>- Produce heat due to sliding motion on flat surfaces. Mechanical efficiency can be increased by introducing proper bearing and lubrication design.</td>
<td>- Can only produce one compression-expansion stroke per shaft revolution (1:1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Has to be manufactured to relatively high accuracy levels.</td>
<td>- Has to be manufactured to high accuracy levels</td>
<td>- Lower volume flow rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4.5. Works on limaçon technology and limaçon gas expanders

Despite the fact that limaçon technology has been developed over a century ago i.e.: the rotary engine by Wheildon (United States Patent No. 553086, 1896), it has not received the attention it deserved either from the research community or from industry. Recently, however, Sultan has drawn attention to this technology by developing the mathematical and design models to describe the working of such machines (2005, 2006, 2008 & 2011). Sultan also argued that the unavailability of the necessary technology to manufacture accurate limaçon housing and rotor profile had held back the progress in this area. Sultan has published various papers on limaçon-to-limaçon and circolimaçon machines, while Phung and Sultan have investigated the limaçon-to-circular machines and submitted a paper for publication (Phung & Sultan).
1.5. Two-phase working-fluid flow modelling

In two-phase flow, the interaction between different phases of working fluids such as mixing, evaporating and condensation makes it complicated to calculate the fluid properties. Those interactions are the reasons for a “semi-empirical” approach, which uses the simplified models in accordance with the correlations between phases, to be adopted. There are three basic models for two-phase fluid flow: the homogeneous model, the separated flow model, and the flow pattern model. The homogeneous model is the simplest method to use although its accuracy is limited; to get a more accurate solution, the separated flow model can be employed; however, the calculation process may be complicated due to the semi-empirical nature of the solution. The flow pattern model is the most recent approach to solving two-phase flow problems and its accuracy is gradually being refined (Fairhurst, 1983).

1.5.1. Homogeneous model

According to Fairhurst (1983), the homogeneous model assumes that the two-phase fluid can be represented by a single-phase fluid with its properties derived from those of the two-phase following the below assumptions:

- Equal flow velocities for liquid and gas phases
- Thermodynamic equilibrium has been established between the phases.

Hence, with the homogeneous model, the properties of the two-phase fluid can be represented as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_h} = \frac{x}{\rho_g} + \frac{1-x}{\rho_l}
\]  \[1-16\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\mu_h} = \frac{x}{\mu_g} + \frac{1-x}{\mu_l}
\]  \[1-17\]
and

\[ u_h = u_l = u_g = \frac{\dot{m}}{A\rho_h} \]  

[1-18]

where \( \rho_h, \rho_g, \) and \( \rho_l \) are densities of the homogenous model, density of the gas phase, and density of the liquid phase respectively.

\( \mu_h, \mu_g, \) and \( \mu_l \) are viscosities of the homogenous model, viscosity of the gas phase, and viscosity of the liquid phase respectively.

\( u_h, u_g, \) and \( u_l \) are velocities of the homogenous model, velocity of the gas phase, velocity of the liquid phase respectively.

\( \dot{m} \) is the working fluid mass flow rate

\( x \) is the dryness fraction

\( A \) is the flow cross-section area


The friction factor of the two-phase flow can be obtained by substituting the homogeneous fluid properties to a Moody diagram such as one given in Figure 1-21.

Alternatively, Haaland formula, \( \frac{1}{f} = -1.8 \log \left( \frac{\epsilon}{(3.7D)} \right)^{1.11} + \frac{6.9}{Re} \), can be used to calculate friction factor, \( f \), explicitly; or Colebrooke equation, \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} = -2.0 \log \left( \frac{\epsilon}{3.7D} + \frac{2.51}{Re\sqrt{f}} \right) \), can be utilised to find friction factor, implicitly (Cengel & Ghajar, 2011; Munson, Rothmayer, Okiishi, & Huebsch, 2013). In those two equations, \( f, \epsilon, D, \) and \( Re \) are respectively the friction factor, surface roughness, diameter, and Reynolds number.
1.5.2. The separated flow model

The separated flow model assumes that the two phases are separated and each one is governed by its own set of equations, which correspond to its phase; Fairhurst (1983) in his paper mentioned that it is crucial to have “a knowledge of the frictional interactions between the phases and the flow cross-section occupied by each phase”. With this model, the observed information is usually employed to determine the two-phase quantities at the regions of interest. Such quantities are otherwise not easily measured and usually unknown. The separated flow model also assumes that the thermodynamic equilibrium is achieved between phases and each phase has a constant velocity. Hence, the total flow is the flow of the liquid phase. The two-phase frictional gradient may be expressed in terms of the calculated single-phase gradient multiplied by a two-phase multiplier (Fairhurst, 1983; Lockhart & Martinelli, 1949; G.Iaccarino, A.Ooi, P.A.Durbin, & M.Behnia, 2003; Banerjee & Chan, 1980).
1.5.3. The flow pattern model

The flow pattern model assumes that the two-phase flow exists in one of the several configurations for which the basic equations of fluid mechanics can be solved. With this method, the knowledge of a particular flow regime must be obtained by visual observation or some other sophisticated technique (Fairhurst, 1983; Kawahara, Chung, & Kawaji, 2002; McQuillan & Whalley, 1985).
Chapter 2: Geometry of the rotary limaçon machines*

2.1. Introduction

Belongs to the rotary machine category, the limaçon machine shares the advantage of having less component and better power to weight ratio compared to other types of machines (Amrouche, Erickson, Park, & Varnhagen, 2014; Picard, Tian, & Nishino, 2015; Picard, Tian, & Nishino, 2015). In addition, limaçon machine is the positive displacement type, which can handle relative small mass flow and operate in both single and two-phase flow conditions.

Limaçon-to-limaçon and circolimaçon are the two current embodiments, which have been developed and investigated in terms of design, geometric optimisation, and thermodynamic performance by Sultan (2006; 2006; 2007). The housing of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine is manufactured to the limaçon curve; the rotor lobes are constructed of sections of the limaçon curves that are mirrored image of each other about the rotor chord. With the case of the circolimaçon machine, both the housing and rotor are manufactured to the circular curve. This chapter will present a new limaçon machine design. The new embodiment is referred to as the limaçon-to-circular machine in which the limaçon housing profile still takes the limaçon curve while the rotor lobes take the circular shape.

In the following sections of this chapter, the background of the limaçon machines, and the geometries, constructions, workings as well as the performance of limaçon-to-limaçon, circolimaçon, and the limaçon-to-circular machines will be presented. The focus

---

* Section 2.4 of this chapter has been submitted to Journal of Mechanical Design (ASME) for publication
will be drawn towards the new design limaçon-to-circular machine in the very last section of this chapter.

2.2. Limaçon-to-limaçon machines

As aforementioned, both housing and rotor of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine are manufactured to the limaçon curve. Sultan (2006) has utilised the mechanism shown in Figure 2-1 to generate such a curve. The mechanism consists of a chord, $p_1p_2$, whose length is $2L$, and a pole, $o$, on and about which the chord is allowed to slide and rotate. The midpoint of the chord, $m$, divides the chord into two equal sections of length $L$ and $m$ stays kinematically attached to a limaçon base circle of radius $r$ and centre point $c$. The pole, $o$, is the lowest point of the base circle along the $Y$ axis as shown in Figure 2-1.

As the chord performs its motion, the limaçon curve can be constructed by the traces of point $p_1$ (or $p_2$). During the chord motion, the sliding distance, $s$, between the pole, $o$, and the midpoint, $m$, changes with the angle, $\theta$, rotated by the chord. The angle $\theta$ is

![Figure 2-1: A limaçon mechanism (Sultan, 2006)](image-url)
measured between the $X$ and $X_r$ directions in a right-hand sense. Hence, the sliding distance, $s$, on the rotor chord can be expressed as a function of $\theta$ as follows:

$$s = 2r \sin \theta$$

[2-1]

in which $r$ is the radius of the limaçon base circle.

Subsequently, the radial distance of the limaçon housing, $R_h$, can be formulated as follows:

$$R_h = 2r \sin \theta + L$$

[2-2]

The radial distance, $R_h$, can also be expressed in the Cartesian coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
(x &= r \sin 2\theta + L \cos \theta \\
y &= r - r \cos 2\theta + L \sin \theta
\end{align*}$$

[2-3]

The machine rotor has a two-lobe construction, each lobe is the mirrored image of the other about the rotor chord. The rotor lobe is also manufactured to the limaçon curve; the same method of curve formation as the machine housing is employed. In order to ensure smooth running condition and prevent housing-rotor interference, the length of the rotor chord is reduced by a small clearance value, $C$. As such, the rotor profile can be formulated as below:

$$R_r = 2r_r \sin \theta_r + (L - C)$$

[2-4]

where $\theta_r$ varies from $\pi$ to $2\pi$. The rotor radial distance, $R_r$, is measured from the midpoint, $m$, which is also referred to as the moving pole or the pole of the moving frame. The formulation of $R_r$ can also be written in the Cartesian coordinate as:
\[
\begin{align*}
  x_r &= r_r \sin 2\theta_r + (L - C) \cos \theta_r \\
  y_r &= r_r - r_r \cos 2\theta_r + (L - C) \sin \theta_r
\end{align*}
\]  

[2-5]

One important aspect that prevents the limacon curve from having loops and dimples is the aspect ratio, \( b \). The value of \( b \) is defined as the ratio between the radius of the limaçon base circle, \( r \), and the half rotor chord length, \( L \); such a value has to be below 0.25

\[
  \left( b = \frac{r}{L} < 0.25 \right). \quad (\text{Sultan, 2006})
\]

2.3. Circolimaçon machines

Sultan (2008) pointed out that the cost incurred and the technology required to accurately manufacture housings and rotors for the limaçon-to-limaçon machines might go beyond the means of some manufacturers. Moreover, for the applications and systems that require small and low-efficient machines, the hefty price tag may be difficult to absorb. Hence, Sultan (2008) has introduced a modification of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine, which is referred to as the circolimaçon machine. The profile of the housing and rotor of the circolimaçon machine are circular curves instead of limaçon curves while the relative motion between the machine rotor and housing still follows the limaçon motion.

Sultan (2008) has proposed a design for the circular housing and rotor as shown in Figure 2-2. Similar to the limaçon-to-limaçon machine, the limaçon curve is traced by the apices \( p_1 \) or \( p_2 \), however, the substitute housing design of radius \( R_h \) and centre \( C_h \) are as follows:

\[
  R_h^2 = Z^2 + (L - C + s + d)^2 - 2Z(L - C + s + d) \cos \psi
\]  

[2-6]

where the centre \( C_h \) is made to fall on the \( Y \)-axis a distance \( Z \), as shown in Figure 2-2. The distance, \( d = -L + C + L\sqrt{1 + 4b^2 \sin^2 \theta} \), represents the gap between the original
limaçon housing and it substituted circular housing. The value of $d$ is measured along the $X_r$-axis from the rotor apex $p_1$ to the corresponding point on the substituted housing $P_h$.

The machine rotor lobes take the circular shape of radius $R_r = \sqrt{4k^2r^2 + (L - C)^2}$ and centre $C_r$. The centre $C_r$ is set to be a distance $2rk$ from the moving pole, $m$, where the value of $k$ is to be determined by the equation $k = a \left(1 - \frac{C}{L}\right)$ with $a > 1$. The equation to determine the machine rotor can also be expressed in terms of $C$, $L$, $a$, and $b$ as follows:

$$R_r = L \left(1 - \frac{C}{L}\right) \sqrt{1 + 4b^2a^2}$$

[2-7]

In order to justify between cost and manufacturing complexity, a new embodiment of the limaçon machine has been proposed. This embodiment is referred to as the limaçon-to-circular machine. In the next sections, the mathematical model and geometric aspects of this new design will be presented in details.
2.4. Geometric design of the limaçon-to-circular fluid processing machines*

2.4.1. Abstract

A limaçon machine is a rotary positive displacement device, in which the housing and rotor are constructed of limaçon curves. Previous works have been published to investigate the working of these machines in two embodiments: gas expanders and compressors. This paper presents a theoretical investigation into the potential of modifying the rotor of the limaçon machines to simplify manufacture and reduce production cost. The proposed modification will produce new characteristic for the rotor-housing interaction. An outcome, which motivates the need to obtain new mathematical models to investigate the rotor-housing interference, and describe the volumetric relationships of the new machine.

This paper sets out by introducing a background on the limaçon technology in a simple yet adequate fashion. Rotor-housing inference has been discussed from two different mathematical standpoints. The paper then introduces the volumetric relationship for the proposed modified machine and combines all the models produced in an optimisation endeavour to design the best machine for a given set of operating conditions. Case studies of different fluid processing applications are considered in order to demonstrate the soundness of the proposed modification and models. The outcome of this study confirms the validity of the proposed modification and its potential to produce a limaçon machine with favourable characteristics.

Keywords: Rotary machine, Limaçon-to-circular; limaçon machine; limaçon motion; pump; expander; compressor; positive displacement; SPSA.

Article Type: Research paper.

* This section has been submitted to Journal of Mechanical Design (ASME) for publication
2.4.2. Introduction

Positive displacement machines, especially rotary type, are increasingly gaining attention from energy-efficient, energy-aware, sustainable development organisations as well as from industry and the research sector. Such machines can utilise low-grade thermal energy sources, operate at relatively low speed, and have the capability of handling small mass flow rate. Additionally, positive displacement machines are able to operate in multiphase flow conditions, which can damage non-positive displacement machines. With all the above-mentioned benefits and the advantage of having the fewest components compared to other classes of machines, positive displacement machines can be manufactured to suit compact power generation systems at relatively low cost.

Over the years, different types of rotary positive displacement machines - which include compressors, expanders, and pumps - have been developed and tested. Examples of these are: scroll expanders and compressors by Kim et al. (2011), Oralli et al. (2011) and Georges et al. (2013); vane expander by Jia et al. (2009); revolving vane expander by Subiantoro et al. (2009), and limaçon machines by Sultan et al. (2005, 2006, 2008, 2011) to name only a few. Among those diverse types, limaçon technology seems to receive the least in-depth focus from researchers despite the fact that it is a technology, which has been developed over a century ago (United States Patent No. 553086, 1896). Recently, Sultan (2006 & 2008) has drawn the attention to the limaçon technology by developing mathematical and geometric models to describe their workings. Sultan (2008) argued that the unavailability of the technology needed to machine accurate limaçon rotor and housing had previously inhibited the progress in this area.

Manufacturing technology has now progressed to a point where it is possible to manufacture products with complex geometry albeit at a cost. Hence, Sultan (2008) proposed a modification of the machine housing and rotor profile in which limaçon curves
Design and modelling of the limaçon positive displacement gas expander

are replaced by circular ones to reduce the manufacturing cost. With the “circolimaçon” design, the distance separating the rotor apices from the housing varied with the rotor’s angular positions. This variation reduces the effectiveness of the apex seals and adversely influences the machine performance. In fact, the apex-housing gap variation results from replacing the limaçon housing by a circular housing, the rotor circular flanks do not contribute to this gap. This understanding motivated the work presented in this paper that aims at maintaining the circular rotor profile while reverting the housing profile back to

Note the difference in the slope of rotor profile of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine, a), and the limaçon-to-circular machine, c).

Figure 2-3: a) Limaçon-to-limaçon machine, b) Circolimaçon machine, and c) Limaçon-to-circular machine
its limaçon original. Thus, maintaining the favourable sealing characteristic of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine while reducing the cost of manufacturing the rotor flanks.

With this concept in mind, this paper attempts to study the new proposed arrangement with the aim of eliminating rotor-housing interference and determining the volumetric characteristic in relation to various machine dimensions and the rotor angular arrangements. The difference between the three machine embodiments is shown in Figure 2-3.

2.4.3. Background on limaçon technology

Limaçon motion can be produced by a class of mechanisms as described by Sultan (2005) who also presented a number of positive displacement machines driven by these mechanisms. In further publications, Sultan suggested that both the rotor and the housing of these machines could be manufactured of either limaçon curves or circular ones, referred to as limaçon-to-limaçon and circolimaçon machines respectively (Sultan,
In this paper, the rotor of the limaçon machine is modified in a way that instead of using the same limaçon profile as the housing, circular segments are utilised in order to achieve favourable thermodynamic induction of saturated liquid suitable for the Trilateral Flash Cycle (TFC) (Fischer, 2011; Steffen, Löffler, & Schaber, 2013).

As shown in Figure 2-4, the chord $p_1p_2$ of length $2L$ rotates and slides about the limaçon pole $o$, the housing of limaçon machine is a curve formed by the traces of point $p_1$ (or $p_2$). The centre point $m$ of the chord $p_1p_2$ is restricted to move on a stationary circle of radius $r$, this circle be referred to as the base circle of limaçon. Two coordinate systems $XY$ and $X_rY_r$ are introduced; $XY$ is a stationary Cartesian frame attached to the pole $o$, while $X_rY_r$ is attached to the chord $p_1p_2$ at it centre point $m$ and rotates and slides with the chord. The angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ measured from $X$ to $X_r$ axis is the angle rotated by the chord $p_1p_2$ as the rotor performs its rotational motion. When the chord is sliding, its centre point $m$ slides a distance $s$ measured from the pole $o$ to the centre of chord $m$ as shown in Figure 2-4, this distance can be written as follows:

$$s = 2r \sin \theta$$

[2-8]

where $r$ is the radius of the limaçon base circle. The radial distance of the housing, $R_h$, is measured along the chord $p_1p_2$ from the pole $o$ to $p_1$ when the chord is in motion. This distance can be stated as follow:

$$R_h = 2r \sin \theta + L$$

[2-9]

Hence, the Cartesian position of point $p_1$ with respect to the $XY$ coordinate can be expressed as:
\[ x = R_h \cos \theta \]
and
\[ y = R_h \sin \theta \] \[\text{[2-10]}\]

Costa et al. (1998) have mentioned that in order for the limaçon curve to be looping and dimple free, the value of limaçon aspect ratio \( b = \frac{R}{L} \) has to be less than 0.25. This limit will be employed in this limaçon-to-circular machine. As such, equations [2-10] can be manipulated so that position of point \( p_1 \) can be expressed as functions of variables \( b \) and \( L \) (the half chord length):

\[ x = L(b \sin 2\theta + \cos \theta) \]
and
\[ y = L[b(1 - \cos 2\theta) + \sin \theta] \] \[\text{[2-11]}\]

The limaçon housing of the machine proposed in this paper can be manufactured following the equations [2-11]; the machine rotor consists of two circular segments of radius \( R \), which take the chord, \( p_1p_2 \), as the mirror line. The designer can introduce a small clearance, \( C \), between the housing and the rotor to prevent housing-rotor interference; this has been suggested by Sultan (2006). Therefore, half the rotor length, \( L_r \), can be introduced as:

\[ L_r = L - C \] \[\text{[2-12]}\]

where \( C \) has to be calculated using a suitable optimisation procedure.

The housing of the fluid processing machine can be manufactured using equations [2-8], [2-9], [2-10], and [2-11]. The rotor is comprised of a circular segment with a radius \( R = \sqrt{(L - C)^2 + (2rk)^2} \) mirrored about the rotor axis \( X_r \). The length of rotor chord is defined in equation [2-12]; and the distance from the centre of the lower circular segment to the rotor chord is \( 2rk \), where \( k \) is a factor employed to facilitate the design process as
detailed below. This is a major change in terms of housing-rotor arrangement. The motion of the rotor itself inside the limaçon housing nevertheless follows the limaçon curve (rotating and sliding motion). In order for the housing-rotor interference not to take place during the rotational motion, design procedures should be incorporated such as the tangent approach and the radial clearance approach used by Sultan (2006).

The procedure proposed in this paper to design limaçon-to-circular machine by applying the tangent approach and the radial clearance approach will be detailed below.

2.4.4. Housing-rotor interference: the tangent method

It is essential for the design of the limaçon-to-circular machine to employ a procedure that can prevent housing-rotor interference while the machine is operating. The tangent method is simple enough to be initially applied. This approach considers the slopes of tangent vectors on the rotor at the apices and the corresponding contact points on the housing. As per Sultan (2006), the lower part of the limaçon housing where \( \theta \in [\pi, 2\pi] \) and the corresponding lower lobe of the rotor are subject to housing-rotor interference. Figure 2-5 indicates the unit vector \( \hat{T}_r \) tangent to the lower lobe of rotor at the apex \( p_1 \) and the corresponding unit vector \( \hat{T}_h \) tangent to the housing. The condition for interference to be prevented can be defined as:

\[
(\hat{T}_r \wedge \hat{T}_h).\hat{z} > 0
\]

[2-13]

which can also be written in terms of the two angles \( \rho_h \) and \( \rho_r \) shown in Figure 2-4. These two angles can be calculated as in equations [2-14] and [2-15] below:

\[
tan \rho_h = \frac{2r \cos \alpha}{L-2r \sin \alpha}
\]

[2-14]

and

\[
tan \rho_r = \frac{2rk}{L-c}
\]

[2-15]
where $\alpha = \theta - \pi$, as shown in Figure 2-5. Consequently, the condition for housing-rotor interference prevention can be expressed as below:

$$\frac{2rk}{L-C} \geq \frac{2r \cos \alpha}{L-2r \sin \alpha}$$

[2-16]

It is possible to prove that value of $\alpha$ which maximises the right-hand side of expression [2-16] is given by $\sin \alpha = 2b$ and $\cos \alpha = \sqrt{1-4b^2}$. The expression can be manipulated to produce the following forms with clearance $C$, half chord length $L$ and $b$ as variables:

$$k > \frac{1-C}{L \sqrt{1-4b^2}}$$

[2-17]

or

$$k = \frac{a(1-C_L)}{\sqrt{1-4b^2}} \text{ with } a > 1 \text{ and } C_L = \frac{C}{L}$$

[2-18]
The limaçon-to-circular design factor, $a$, is introduced here as a tool to enable the designer to control the geometrical aspect of the rotor. The value of $a$ is selected by the designer such that it is always greater than one. Also from equation [2-18], the rotor radius $R$ can be manipulated and expressed as:

$$R = (1 - C_L) \sqrt{L^2 + \frac{4r^2a^2}{1-4b^2}}.$$ 

In the next section, the radial clearance problem will be presented.

### 2.4.5. The housing-rotor radial clearance method

If a sufficiently high value of factor $a$ is employed (e.g.: $a = 1.3$), as shown in the previous section, this will ensure that the interference between housing and rotor will not occur. However, a high value of $a$ may adversely affect the volumetric efficiency of the machine. Consequently, a small value of clearance, $C$, is introduced to the rotor geometry with the objective of ensuring that a minimum housing-rotor distance, $\Delta_{\text{min}}$, is accomplished. As such the problem can be represented as an optimisation endeavour undertaken to calculate the value of $C$ and $a$ to meet certain design requirements. The mathematical formulation of the optimisation problem will feature the calculation of the radial distance, $\Delta$, between any point on the lobe of the rotor and the corresponding point on the housing on the same radial line, $R_h$, as shown in Figure 2-6. Also in Figure 2-6, the distance from the pole, $o$, to the lower rotor lobe can be defined as $z$; $\beta = [0, \pi]$ is the angle between the rotor chord and $z$; $a_or = \sqrt{s^2 + (2r_k)^2}$ is the distance from the centre of rotor lobe to the pole $o$; $\gamma$ represents the angle between $a_or$ and the rotor chord. Hence, the rotor lobe radius $R$ can be expressed as:

$$R^2 = [s^2 + (2rk)^2] + z^2 - 2z\sqrt{s^2 + (2rk)^2} \cos(\gamma + \pi - \beta)$$

[2-19]

or

$$R^2 = s^2 + (2rk)^2 + z^2 + 2z(s \cos \beta + 2rk \sin \beta)$$

[2-20]
From equation [2-20] the rotor radial distance, \( z \), can be calculated as shown below, note that only the positive root is selected:

\[
z = -(s \cos \beta + 2rk \sin \beta) + \sqrt{R^2 - (s \sin \beta - 2r \cos \beta)^2}
\]

[2-21]

The value \( R_h \) given in equation [2-9] can also be expressed as:

\[
R_h = L + 2r \sin(\theta + \beta)
\]

[2-22]

which may be re-written in terms of \( s, r, \alpha = \theta - \pi, \) and \( \beta \) as given below:

\[
R_h = L - s \cos \beta - 2r \cos \alpha \sin \beta
\]

[2-23]

The radial distance from the pole \( o \) to any point on the lower rotor lobe is expressed in equation [2-21]; hence, the housing–rotor radial clearance, \( \Delta \), can be evaluated as:

\[
\Delta = R_h - z
\]

[2-24]

With \( R = \sqrt{(L - C)^2 + (2rk)^2} \), it is possible to express the radial clearance, \( \Delta \), as below:

\[
\Delta = L - 2r \cos \alpha \sin \beta + 2rk \sin \beta - \sqrt{(L - C)^2 + (2rk)^2 - (s \sin \beta - 2r \cos \beta)^2}
\]

[2-25]

The limaçon aspect ratio, \( b \), can also be applied to equation [2-25] to yield:

\[
\Delta = L \left[ 1 - 2b \cos \alpha \sin \beta + 2bk \sin \beta - \sqrt{(1 - C_L)^2 + (2bk)^2 - (2b \sin \alpha \sin \beta - 2bk \cos \beta)^2} \right]
\]

[2-26]

In order to calculate the required values of \( C_L, \alpha, \) and \( \beta \) to achieve the minimum radial clearance \( \Delta_{min} \) assigned by the designer, the following system of nonlinear equations has to be solved simultaneously:
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta - \Delta_{min} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \alpha} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \beta} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
[2-27]

The above system of equations can be solved for the angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) at which the radial clearance \( \Delta \) is at its minimum value. This system has been manipulated to produce the following outcome:

\[
\Delta_{min} = L\left[1 - 2b + 2bk - \sqrt{(1 - C_L)^2 + (2bk)^2}\right]
\]  
[2-28]

where \( k \) is a function of the factor \( a \) as shown in equation [2-18].

The volumetric relations of the limaçon-to-circular machine are conveyed in the following section.
2.4.6. The volumetric relations

The geometry previously described in Figure 2-4 can be used to obtain the volumetric relationship of the limaçon-to-circular machine. The cross-sectional area of the housing above the rotor chord, \( A_h \), suggested by Sultan (2005) is as follows:

\[
A_h = \left( r^2 + \frac{L^2}{2} \right) \pi + 4rL \cos \theta \tag{2-29}
\]

or

\[
A_h = L^2 \left[ \left( b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi + 4b \cos \theta \right] \tag{2-30}
\]

The rotor area, \( A_r \), can be calculated by the following expressions:

\[
A_r = [(L - C)^2 + (2rk)^2] \varphi - (L - C)2rk \tag{2-31}
\]

or

\[
A_r = L^2 (1 - C_L) \left[ \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}}{2ab} \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2b^2}{1 - 4b^2} \right) - \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}} \right] \tag{2-32}
\]

where \( \varphi = \tan^{-1} \frac{L - C}{2rk} \) or \( \varphi = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}}{2ab} \). Then the net area between the housing and rotor, \( A_{net} \), can be calculated by subtracting \( A_r \) from \( A_h \) as follows:

\[
A_{net} = L^2 \left\{ \left( b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi + 4b \cos \theta \right\} - (1 - C_L) \left[ \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}}{2ab} \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2b^2}{1 - 4b^2} \right) - \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}} \right] \tag{2-33}
\]

The rotor and housing depth \( H \), which is measured perpendicular to the \( XY \) coordinate, can be set as \( H = hL \), where, the multiplication factor, \( h \), can be selected by the designer. The volume \( V_{net} \) between the housing limaçon and rotor can be expressed as:

\[
V_{net} = A_{net}H \tag{2-34}
\]
The volumetric ratio, $R_{vol}$, which is described as the minimum chamber volume to the maximum chamber volume, has a critical impact on the efficiency of the positive displacement machine, particularly when gas is used as a working fluid. This volumetric ratio, $R_{vol}$, can be expressed in terms of the ratio of net chamber area when the angle $\theta = \pi$ to the net chamber area when $\theta = 0$:

$$R_{vol} = \frac{V_{\text{net}}|\theta=\pi}{V_{\text{net}}|\theta=0}$$ \[2-35\]

From equations [2-33] and [2-35], $R_{vol}$ can be expanded as follows:

$$R_{vol} = \frac{\left[\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi - 4b\right] - \left(1 - \frac{cL}{d}\right)\left[\tan^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{1-4b^2}}{2ab}(1 + \frac{4a^2b^2}{1-4b^2}) - \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{1-4b^2}}\right]}{\left[\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi + 4b\right] - \left(1 - \frac{cL}{d}\right)\left[\tan^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{1-4b^2}}{2ab}(1 + \frac{4a^2b^2}{1-4b^2}) - \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{1-4b^2}}\right]}$$ \[2-36\]

An important design criterion for a positive displacement machine is the volume of working fluid expected to be induced into the working chamber during the suction stroke, $V_{\text{ind}}$, which can be calculated as:

$$V_{\text{ind}} = V_{\text{net}}|\theta_{\text{cut,off}} - \mu V_{\text{net}}|\theta=0$$ \[2-37\]

Where $\theta_{\text{cut,off}} \in [0, \pi]$ is the angle at which the inlet port of the machine is closed. The fluid left in the working chamber from the previous cycle will have its effect onto the intake volume of the machine, this effect is taken care of by the clearance volume factor, $\mu$, in equation [2-37]. The value of $\mu$ can be assigned by the designer to match expected compressibility, valve losses and leakage. In less conservative designs, $\mu$ can be defined as the ratio between the average fluid density of the discharge and induction strokes as below (Sultan, 2008):
\[ \mu = \frac{\rho_{\text{out}}}{\rho_{\text{in}}} \]  

[2-38]

An optimisation procedure and some case studies for the limaçon-to-circular machine will be demonstrated in the following section.

2.4.7. Background on stochastic approximation

The optimisation process in this paper employs the use of the simultaneous perturbation stochastic approximation (SPSA) method introduced and detailed by Spall (1987, 1992, 1998, 2000), and since being refined (Bartkute & Sakalauskas, 2007; Spall, 2012; Wang, 2013). Such a method has been used in a wide range of publications such as tidal modelling (Altaf, Heemink, Verlaan, & Hoteit, 2011), automation, computing and control (Abdulsadda & Iqbal, 2011; Cao, 2011), and design (Sultan, 2007). The goal of this approach is to minimise a scalar-valued loss function, \( \Lambda(\Phi) \), in relation to a design vector, \( \Phi \). The measured value of the loss function available at any value of \( \Phi \) may be expressed as follow:

\[ F(\Phi) = \Lambda(\Phi) + \text{noise} \]  

[2-39]

From an initial guess value of \( \Phi \), the iteration process takes place following the approximation of the gradient \( G(\Phi) = \frac{\partial \Lambda(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi} \). Additionally, it is assumed that \( \Lambda(\Phi) \) is a differentiable function of \( \Phi \) and the minimum point \( \Phi_0 \) corresponds to the zero point of the gradient \( G(\Phi_0) = 0 \).

With the above understanding, the SPSA procedure starts at \( k = 0 \) with a guess design vector \( \Phi_0 \) (the \( \sim \) symbol represents estimates) and a given set of five non-negative parameters, \( \Psi, \Omega, A, \Psi_s, \text{and} \omega_s \). The values of \( \Psi_s \) and \( \omega_s \) have been suggested by Spall as 0.602 and 0.101 respectively, and the value of \( A \) is one-tenth the number of iterations.
allowed by the user. The remaining two parameters, $\Psi$ and $\Omega$, are selected to match a given application. The gain sequences $\Psi_k$ and $\omega_k$ are calculated at iteration step number $k$ using the following equations:

$$\Psi_k = \frac{\Psi}{(A+k+1)^{\Psi_s}}$$  \[2-40\]

and

$$\omega_k = \frac{\Omega}{(k+1)^{\omega_s}}$$  \[2-41\]

At each iteration, an $n$-dimensional perturbation vector $D_k$ is generated where each of its components is randomly assigned the value of $\pm 1$ using a binary Bernoulli distribution. As such, vector $D_k$ can be expressed as:

$$D_k = \pm 1 \text{ with probability of } \frac{1}{2}$$

To this end, two measurements of the loss function at $\Phi_k$ can be obtained as $F(\Phi_k + \omega_k D_k)$ and $F(\Phi_k - \omega_k D_k)$. Hence, the gradient of the loss function with respect to the vector $\Phi$ can be written as:

$$G(\Phi_k) = \frac{F(\Phi_k + \omega_k D_k) - F(\Phi_k - \omega_k D_k)}{2\omega_k} \begin{bmatrix} D_{k1}^{-1} \\ D_{k2}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ D_{kn}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$  \[2-42\]

where $D_{kl}$ is the $i^{th}$ component of the $D_k$ vector. At the end of iteration $k$, the estimated value $\Phi_k$ is replaced by $\Phi_{k+1}$ by using the follow equation:

$$\Phi_{k+1} = \Phi_k - \Psi_k G_k(\Phi_k)$$  \[2-43\]
In the next section, the SPSA approach is used to optimise the design process of the limaçon-to-circular machine where an objective function will be established to encompass the desired performance characteristic.

2.4.8. The optimisation method

The optimisation procedure is undertaken to ensure that the designed machine will meet certain geometric requirements. These requirements are minimum clearance required by the designer to suit a specific application, $\Delta_{\text{min}, \text{req}}$; a required volumetric ratio, $R_{\text{vol, req}}$ and a required induce volume, $V_{\text{ind, req}}$. The design vector which will realise these requirements include $L, a, b$ and $C_L$. The expected outcome of the optimisation procedure is that the achieved values of $\Delta_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{vol}}$, and $V_{\text{ind}}$ are related to their corresponding required values as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\text{min}} - \Delta_{\text{min, req}} &> 0 \\
R_{\text{vol, req}} - R_{\text{vol}} &> 0 \\
V_{\text{ind}} - V_{\text{ind, req}} &> 0
\end{align*}$$

[2-44]

The manner in which the variable design parameters affect $\Delta_{\text{min}}$ and $R_{\text{vol}}$ is shown in Figure 2-7. It is obvious that the settings of factors $a$ and $b$ affect the values of $R_{\text{vol}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{min}}$ considerably.

The integration of the above inequalities into the optimisation process will introduce slack variables $d$, $R$, and $v$, as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
d &= \Delta_{\text{min}} - \Delta_{\text{min, req}} \\
R &= R_{\text{vol, req}} - R_{\text{vol}} \\
v &= V_{\text{ind}} - V_{\text{ind, req}}
\end{align*}$$

[2-45]

Equations [2-45] can also be expressed in terms of zero-valued functions as follows:
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\[
\begin{align*}
F_\Delta &= (\Delta_{\text{min}} - \Delta_{\text{min,req}} - d)^2 \\
F_R &= \left(R_{\text{vol}} - R_{\text{vol,req}} + R\right)^2 \\
F_V &= \left(V_{\text{ind}} - V_{\text{ind,req}} - v\right)^2
\end{align*}
\]

[2-46]

To this end, the design vector \( Y \) can be constructed as \( Y = [L \ a \ b \ C_L \ d \ R \ v]^T \).

Functions of \( \Delta_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{vol}}, \text{and} V_{\text{ind}} \) in equation [2-46] can then be incorporated into an overall zero-valued objective function, \( E \), as given below:

\[
E = w_1 F_\Delta + w_2 F_R + w_3 F_V
\]

[2-47]

where \( w_1, w_2, \text{and} w_3 \) are the weighting factors assigned to highlight the significance of the various terms in the objective function, \( E \). The values of these weighting factors can be selected by the designer based on the type of limaçon machine and its working conditions. By way of an example, \( w_1 \) will be given higher values than \( w_2 \) and \( w_3 \) for

\[\text{Figure 2-7: The effect of factors a and b on radial clearance and volumetric ratio}\]
cases where the machine is designed to handle gaseous fluids. On the other hand \( w_3 \) will be given higher values for pumps which are expected to handle liquid where the flow rate is of particular importance. In cases where space limitation impose size constrains on the design, \( w_2 \) is given higher values to ensure that the produced machine falls within specified dimensions.

Applying the SPSA approach to this specific problem, at iteration \( k \) the updated values \( Y_{k+1} \) can be expressed as:

\[
Y_{k+1} = Y_k - \Psi_k \frac{E(Y_k + \omega_k D_k) - E(Y_k - \omega_k D_k)}{2\omega_k} \begin{bmatrix} D_{k_1}^{-1} \\ D_{k_2}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ D_{k_n}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}
\]

[2-48]

In case of an entry number \( j \), \( Y_{k+1}^j \) of \( Y_{k+1} \) falls outside its allowable domain, an adjustment process has been introduced as suggested by Kothandaraman et al. (2005) to re-assign this entry to its the nearest boundary value. The process can be expressed mathematically as follows:

\[
Y_{k+1}^j = \begin{cases} Y_{\min}^j & \text{if } Y_{k+1}^j < Y_{\min}^j \\ Y_{\max}^j & \text{if } Y_{k+1}^j > Y_{\max}^j \end{cases}
\]

[2-49]

2.4.9. Numerical illustrations

The design procedure presented above is employed in this section to calculate limaçon-to-circular machine dimensions (half rotor length, \( L \), designing factor, \( a \), aspect ratio, \( b \), and ratio \( C_\ell \) ) which will correspond to the desired performance characteristics (\( \Delta_{\min}, R_{vol}, \text{ and } V_{ind} \)). A measured constrain impose in all designs is \( b \in (0,0.25] \).
In order to validate the design model presented in this paper, case studies of such machine in different operating conditions have been established and fed to the optimisation process.

Case study 1: This case study features a limaçon-to-circular compressor with $H = hL$ where $h = 1.5, \mu = 5, \theta_{cut,off} = \pi, \Delta_{min,req} \geq 0.2 \text{ mm}, R_{vol,req} \leq 0.03, V_{ind,req} \geq 1.056 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3$. The above data has been fed to the design and optimisation procedure to obtain the required results: half chord length $L = 222.688 \text{ mm}$, designing factor $a = 1.052$, aspect ratio $b = 0.09$, the ratio $C_L = 9.385 \times 10^{-3}$, induce volume $V_{ind} = 1.032 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3$, volume metric ratio $R_{vol} = 0.027$ and minimum radial clearance $\Delta_{min} = 0.395 \text{ mm}$.

Figure 2-8 shows how the value of the objective function steadily diminished during the iterative procedure.

![The reduction of the objective function](image)

**Figure 2-8:** The reduction of the objective function $E$ during iterations

Case study 2: This case study features a limaçon-to-circular expander with $H = hL$ where $h = 1.5, \mu = \frac{1}{6}, \theta_{cut,off} = \frac{5\pi}{6}, \Delta_{min,req} \geq 0.2 \text{ mm}, R_{vol,req} \leq 0.03, V_{ind,req} \geq$
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1.056 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3. The above data has been fed to the design and optimisation procedure to obtain the required results: half chord length \( L = 194.177 \text{ mm} \), designing factor \( a = 1.142 \), aspect ratio \( b = 0.127 \), the ratio \( C_L = 2.87 \times 10^{-3} \), induced volume \( V_{ind} = 0.9848 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3 \), volume metric ratio \( R_{vol} = 0.024 \) and minimum radial clearance \( \Delta_{min} = 0.793 \text{ mm} \).

Case study 3: This case study features a limaçon-to-circular pump with \( H = hL \) where \( h = 1.112 \), \( \mu = 1.15 \), \( \theta_{cut\_off} = \pi \), \( \Delta_{min\_req} \geq 0.2 \text{ mm} \), \( R_{vol\_req} \leq 0.03 \), \( V_{ind\_req} \geq 1.056 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3 \). The above data has been fed to the design and optimisation procedure to obtain the required results: half chord length \( L = 204.169 \text{ mm} \), designing factor \( a = 1.149 \), aspect ratio \( b = 0.141 \), the ratio \( C_L = 3.674 \times 10^{-3} \), induced volume \( V_{ind} = 1.245 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3 \), volume metric ratio \( R_{vol} = 0.024 \) and minimum radial clearance \( \Delta_{min} = 0.592 \text{ mm} \).

Table 2-1: Limaçon-to-circular machine case studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results obtained for case studies</th>
<th>Case 1: Compressor</th>
<th>Case 2: Expander</th>
<th>Case 3: Pump</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{min_req} \geq 0.2 \text{ mm}, R_{vol_req} \leq 0.03, V_{ind_req} \geq 1.056 \times 10^7 \text{ mm}^3 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{cut_off} ) (rad)</td>
<td>( \pi )</td>
<td>5( \pi/6 )</td>
<td>( \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L ) (mm)</td>
<td>222.688</td>
<td>194.177</td>
<td>204.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_L )</td>
<td>9.385 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.87 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.674 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{ind} ) (mm(^3))</td>
<td>1.032 \times 10^7</td>
<td>0.9848 \times 10^7</td>
<td>1.245 \times 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{vol} )</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{min} ) (mm)</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.10. Conclusion

This paper presents a background on the rotary positive displacement machines, which are based on the limaçon technology. A modification has been proposed for the rotor design with the aim of simplifying manufacture and reducing the production cost. This proposed modification results in new rotor-housing interactions, which require an in-depth geometric modelling in relation to rotor-housing interference and volumetric characteristics. The rotor-housing interference has been discussed using two mathematical approaches: a tangent based technique, and a radial clearance based method. Moreover, an optimisation procedure has been employed to calculate the optimum dimensions for the machine to meet certain operational requirements. The outcome of the study confirms the validity of the proposed modification and the suitability to describe the geometric characteristics of the new machine. This was highlighted by the three case studies presented at the end of the paper. Future work planned on this topic will feature the inclusion of the thermodynamical behaviour of the working fluid and the effects of various geometric parameters on the machine performance.

2.4.11. Nomenclatures

SPSA : Simultaneous Perturbation Stochastic Approximation
TFC : Trilateral Flash Cycle
$p_1$ and $p_2$ : points on the rotor chord
$L$ : half rotor width
$C$ : housing-rotor clearance
$C_L$ : the ratio of $\frac{C}{L}$
$L_r$ : half the rotor width with clearance $C$
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- \( r \): radius of limaçon base circle
- \( a \): the limaçon-to-circular machine design factor (selected by designer)
- \( b \): the limaçon ratio of \( r/L \)
- \( XY \): stationary coordinate located at pole \( o \)
- \( X_rY_r \): coordinate attached to rotor
- \( s \): sliding distance measured along \( X_r \)
- \( \theta \): angle rotated by rotor measured from \( X_r \) to \( X \) axis
- \( R_h \): radial distance from pole \( o \) to limaçon housing
- \( z \): radial distance from pole \( o \) to rotor lobe
- \( T_r \): tangent to the rotor at the apex
- \( T_h \): correspondent tangent to the limaçon housing
- \( \rho_h \): angle between \( X_r \) and radius of curvature at the contact point on the housing
- \( \rho_r \): angle between \( X_r \) and the radius of the rotor lobe
- \( R \): radius of the rotor lobe
- \( \Delta \): radial clearance between housing and rotor
- \( \Delta_{min} \): minimum radial clearance introduced by the designer
- \( A_h \): cross-section area of the housing
- \( A_r \): cross-section area of the rotor
- \( A_{net} \): area between limaçon housing and rotor
- \( R_{vol} \): volumetric ratio
- \( V_{ind} \): induced volume
H : housing and rotor depth

µ : clearance volume factor

ρ_{out} : average discharge density

ρ_{in} : average intake density
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Chapter 3: On the apex seal analysis of limaçon positive displacement machines*†

3.1. Abstract

Rotary machines, and limaçon machines in particular, offer a better power to weight ratio compared to reciprocating machines; however, leakage due to improper apex and side sealing have prevented rotary machines from thriving. In this paper, a modelling approach is presented to analyse the vibration of apex seal during the machine operation and the power loss caused by the seal friction. The seal and spring are modelled as a spring-mass system in which the seal deformation is negligible. The seal-groove relative positions have then been categorised into nine different possible cases based on the number of contact points between the seal and the seal groove. A case study has been presented to demonstrate the reliability of the model.

Keywords: Rotary machine; limaçon-to-circular; limaçon; circolimaçon; limaçon motion; pump; gas expander; compressor; positive displacement; seal; apex seal; seal vibration

Article type: research paper

3.2. Introduction

In fluid processing machines, leakage through gaps separating different machine components is a major problem which adversely impacts machine performance. In rotary machines, leakage occurs mainly through the rotor-housing clearance and side gaps. Amrouche et al. (2014) argue that sealing problem is a major disadvantage of the rotary

* A more in-detail version of Sections 3.5.3 and 3.5.4 of this chapter had been presented at Sydney ACAM 9 (November 2017) conference and published (Phung & Sultan, 2017).
† The seal dynamics model section of this chapter has been submitted to Mechanism and Machine Theory journal for publication.
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engines. In order to prevent fluid leakage, limaçon rotary machines are equipped with apex seals and side seals. During machine operation, variable forces from the pressure differential between the working chambers, combined with the elastic, inertial and frictional effects, excite vibratory modes into the seals. The resulting vibration or “lift-off” and “poor contact” has been noticed by Matsuura, Terasaki, and Watanabe (1978) who investigated the behaviour of apex seal against the housing surface. Seal vibration will vary the loading pattern between the seal, seal groove and the machine housing which will result in power loss due to friction. On the other hand, Pennock and Beard (1997) considered that the friction between the side seal and the machine rotor is insignificant and can be ignored. The authors then went on to investigate the effect of crankshaft speed fluctuations on apex seal forces and concluded that this effect is also insignificant. Of note is that Pennock and Beard (1997) did not include the gas pressure difference in their study but suggested that such a problem along with different machine starting conditions should be further investigated.

The work by Handschuh and Owen (2010) suggested that the power loss of rotary machines is drastically affected by the crankshaft rotational speeds and the friction drag coefficient of the apex seal. The seal and rotary machine performance have been investigated from the viewpoint of lubrication and the trajectory of the seal’s centre of mass (Drogosz, 2011; Zhang, Liu, Zuo, & Zhang, 2017). These authors concluded that the increase of the rotor rotational speed is likely to decrease the range of maximum to minimum oil film thickness and will decrease the apex seal vibration amplitude. An outcome which reduces the wear of seal and housing surface.

From a different perspective, Warren and Yang (2013) have proposed a deviation-function method for designing the rotary machine based on the apex seal geometry. The authors suggest that this approach will help improve the sealing capability and...
effectiveness which would impact the machine performance favourably. On top of that, the newly designed housing reduces forces on apex seal and reduces the wear of the seal and the housing surfaces. Knowing that the apex seal is prone to damage and failure, Rose and Yang (2014) took on the challenge of redesigning the seal. They presented two approaches, a wider apex seal and a multi-apex-seal, designed to provide more stable configurations and improve seal effectiveness.

In the aforementioned literature, the focus had been drawn towards the Wankel engine. In the present paper, however, the limaçon-machine apex seal linear and angular vibrational modes, as well as the dynamic forces acting on the seals from the housing and the seal groove will be investigated. Additionally, the influence of the instantaneous pressure values present in the upper (above the rotor) and lower (below the rotor) working chambers will be duly taken into account. The first attempt at modelling the dynamic performance of limaçon machine apex seals has been based on rigid body dynamics as reported in the work by Phung and Sultan (2017). The current paper, however, presents a more sophisticated formulation where the seal contact with surroundings has been modelled as spring-damper system. As such, a nonlinear three degree of freedom vibration model is constructed and solved numerically using Euler method as the numerical example given at the end of the paper will demonstrate.

3.3. Background on the limaçon positive displacement machines

Belongs to the rotary positive displacement machine category, the limaçon machine has gained its name from the unique motion of the machine rotor inside the housing; such motion always follows the limaçon curve (also referred to as Pascal’s snail or snail curve), which can be produced by a number of mechanisms, some of which have been described to a reasonable level of detail by Sultan (2005). In further work by Sultan (2006; 2008) and Phung et al. (2016) it was pointed out that the rotor and housing of limaçon machines
can be manufactured to either limaçon or circular curves; hence, the names: limaçon-to-limaçon machines (Sultan, 2005; Sultan, 2006; Sultan, 2007), circolimaçon machines (Sultan, 2008), and the limaçon-to-circular machines (Phung, Sultan, & Boretti, 2016).

As shown in Figure 3-1, the three machine embodiments share some similar operational characteristics. The rotor chord, \( p_1p_2 \), of length \( 2L \) rotates and slides about the limaçon pole, \( o \). As for the cases of the limaçon-to-limaçon and the limaçon-to-circular machines, the housings are the curves formed by the traces of point \( p_1 \) (or \( p_2 \)).
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namely limaçon curve, while the housing of the circolimaçon is a circular curve. The machines’ rotor takes the two-lobe form. The two lobes are mirrored images of each other in which each lobe is manufactured to either limaçon or circular curves. The centre point of the rotor chord, \( m \), is restricted to move on a stationary circle of radius \( r \), this circle is referred to as the limaçon base circle. A stationary Cartesian frame \( X_0Y_0 \) is introduced and attached to the pole \( o \); at the same time, a rotating frame \( X_rY_r \) is attached to the rotor chord, \( p_1p_2 \), at its centre point, \( m \), as shown in Figure 3-1. The rotor angular displacement, \( \theta \in [0,2\pi] \), is the angle rotated by the chord as the rotor performs its rotational motion, this angle \( \theta \) is measured from the \( X_0 \)-axis to \( X_r \)-axis in the anticlockwise direction. When the chord is sliding, its centre point, \( m \), slides a distance \( s \) as a function of the rotor angular displacement, \( \theta \), with respect to the pole, \( o \). This sliding distance can be formulated as follows (Sultan, 2005; Sultan, 2008):

\[
s = 2r \sin \theta
\]  

[3-1]

The radial distance of the housing, \( R_h \), measured from the pole, \( o \), to the apex, \( p_1 \), can be expressed as:

\[
R_h = 2r \sin \theta + L
\]  

[3-2]

which can also be described in the Cartesian coordinates, \( X_0Y_0 \), as:

\[
\begin{align*}
   x_{p_1} &= R_h \cos \theta = r \sin 2\theta + L \cos \theta \\
   y_{p_1} &= R_h \sin \theta = r (1 - \cos 2\theta) + L \sin \theta
\end{align*}
\]  

[3-3]

In order for the limaçon curve to be looping and dimple free, Costa et al. (1998) explained that the limaçon aspect ratio \( b = \frac{r}{L} \) has to be less than 0.25, \( b = \frac{r}{L} \leq 0.25 \).
By employing the definition of limaçon aspect ratio to the limaçon machine, equation [3-3] can be manipulated to produce:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{p_1} &= L(b \sin 2\theta + \cos \theta) \\
    y_{p_1} &= L[b(1 - \cos 2\theta) + \sin \theta]
\end{align*}
\]

[3-4]

During the machine operation, any radial clearance introduced between the two apices, \(p_1\) and \(p_2\), on the rotor chord and their corresponding points on the housing will allow working fluid to escape from the higher-pressure chamber to the lower-pressure chamber, which can inversely affect the machine performance. As such, the rotor apices can be equipped with grooves so that apex seals can be mounted to prevent leakage and improve the machine’s efficiency.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 3-2: Radius of the lower rotor lobe \(R_{lc}\) of the circolimaçon and limaçon-to-circular machines*

Whilst the radial distance from the rotor centre point to the lobe of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine varies as per equation [3-2], for the circolimaçon and the limaçon-to-
circular machines, the centre point, $O_r$, of each rotor circular lobe is placed on the $Y_r$-axis at a distance $k$, from the pole $m$, set by the designer. As such, the radius, $R_{lc}$, of the rotor lobe is calculated, as suggested by Figure 3-2, as follows

$$R_{lc} = \sqrt{(L - C)^2 + (k)^2}$$  \[3-5\]

In the following sections, the forces and pressures, as well as the motion of the seal will be investigated.

### 3.4. Apex seal kinematics model formulation

The apex seal is modelled as a simple spring-mass-damper system in which the seal is positioned inside a groove measured $W_g$ in width. A spring is attached to the inner end of the groove and one end of the seal; the other end of the seal pushes against the housing. The cross-sectional area of the seal is almost rectangular with one rounded end, dimensions and geometry of which is depicted in Figure 3-3. The seal width and height are denoted $W_c$ and $a_c$, respectively. With the case of limaçon-to-limaçon machine, the truncation distance, $z$, can be calculated as:

$$z = L - (r \sin 2\theta_0 + L \cos \theta_0)$$  \[3-6\]

where $\theta_0$ is the constant angle between the rotor axis and a line connecting the rotor centre point to edge point 3, which is shown in Figure 3-3. The angle $\theta_0$ can be derived from the limaçon equation [3-3] or [3-4] as follows:

$$\theta_0 = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{-L}{4r} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{L}{4r}\right)^2 + \frac{W_g}{4r}}\right) = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{-1}{4b} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4b}\right)^2 + \frac{W_g}{4Lb}}\right)$$  \[3-7\]
With the case of the circolimaçon and the limaçon-to-circular machines, where the rotor lobes are manufactured of circular arcs, the truncation, \( z \), can be calculated as:

\[
z = L - C_r - \sqrt{R_{lc}^2 - \left(k + \frac{W_g}{2}\right)^2}
\]

[3-8]

Let \( k_c \) be the seal spring stiffness, and \( k_w \) be the equivalent stiffness of the wall of the machine housing (\( k_w \gg k_s \)); and let \( I \) be the instantaneous centre as shown in Figure 3-4. Of note is that local deformation at the seal-housing contact point has been taken into account in the equivalent stiffness coefficient, \( k_w \). Given that the initial deflection of the seal spring, \( \delta_c \), is known at the rotor radial displacement \( \theta = 0 \); \( \lambda \) measures the angle between the rotor chord, \( p_1p_2 \), (as shown in Figure 3-1a) and a line that connects the correspondent point of \( p_1 \) on the housing to the instantaneous centre, \( I \) (which is shown in Figure 3-4). If \( \mu_1 \) was the coefficient of friction between the housing and the seal, the initial forces exerted by the machine housing and the spring on to the seal can be calculated as:

---
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\[
\begin{align*}
F \cos \lambda (1 - \mu_1 \tan \lambda) &= k_w \delta_w \\
F_s &= k_s \delta_s
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \lambda = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2r \cos \theta}{2r \sin \theta + L} \right) \). At the position \( \theta = 0 \), \( \lambda = \lambda_0 = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2r}{L} \right) \).

\( \delta_w \) and \( \delta_s \) are the initial deflections of the housing wall and the spring, respectively. Initially \( k_s \delta_s = k_w \delta_w \).

Prior to the formulation of seal kinematics, some reasonable approximations need to be made. The first approximation is that the seal cross-sectional area is considered to be constant (rigid or no deformation) due to the fact that the seal deformation is much smaller than the clearances and seal’s dimensions change under applied forces. Secondly, all forces acting on the seal, rotor, and housing are considered two-dimensional, which means that none of the forces is acting along the axial direction (perpendicular to the page).

It is also important to point out that the angular and linear variables of the seal cannot be geometrically related; hence, to find those variables, one must solve systems of differential equations.
3.5. A model for seal dynamics

In this section, the contacts between the apex-seal and seal-groove, the seal and machine-housing, and the seal and seal-spring are modelled as spring-mass-damper systems as detailed in Figure 3-5. In Figure 3-5, the groove-attached $XY$ frame and its origin, $O_g$, is defined at the initial position of a seal-attached frame, $X_sY_s$ whose origin, $C$, is the centre of gravity of the seal. During the rotational motion of the rotor, the origin, $C$, of the $X_sY_s$ frame is displaced from its initial position, $O_g$, to a new position, $(x,y)$, measured in the groove frame, $XY$. As such, the position of the seal centre of gravity, $C$, can be described by the position vector $\mathbf{r}_c$ as follows,

$$\mathbf{r}_c = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

[3-10]
Also, the seal frame $X_sY_s$ undergoes an angular displacement, $\varphi$, measured from the groove frame in a right-handed sense. Consequently, the unit vectors, $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$, along the groove frame axes can be related to the unit vectors, $\hat{X}_s$ and $\hat{Y}_s$ using the following frame transformation equation,

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{X}_s \\
\hat{Y}_s
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\
\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{X} \\
\hat{Y}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

[3-11]

The location of point $C$ with respect to the base frame, $X_1Y_1$, whose origin is fixed at the origin of the base frame, $X_0Y_0$, but rotates with the rotor at a constant angular velocity, $\omega$, can be expressed as follows:

$$
r_c^1 = (2r \sin \theta + L_i + x)i + yj
$$

[3-12]
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*Figure 3-5: Seal dynamics - the elastic contact approach*
where \( \mathbf{i} \) and \( \mathbf{j} \) are unit vectors in the directions of \( X_1 \) and \( Y_1 \) respectively and \( L_i \) is the distance from the rotor centre point and the seal centre of gravity at the initial position. It is worthy of note here that the frame \( XY \) is parallel to the frame \( X_1Y_1 \) except the origins of the two frames are separated by a distance \( 2r \sin \theta + L_i \) along the \( X_1 \) axis. With the rotating at a constant angular velocity, \( \omega \), the Cartesian frame \( X_1Y_1 \) does not experience any form of acceleration and is used in this paper as the frame of reference with respect to which the seal equations of motions will be written.

The velocity of the seal in the \( X_1Y_1 \) frame now takes the form:

\[
v_{c1} = (2\omega r \cos \theta + \dot{x} - \omega y)\mathbf{i} + (2\omega r \sin \theta + \omega L_i + \omega x + \dot{y})\mathbf{j} \tag{3-13}
\]

where \( t \) denotes time and \( \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \omega \). In equation [3-13] above, (.) signifies differentiation with respect to time where \( \frac{d\mathbf{i}}{dt} = \omega \mathbf{j} \), and \( \frac{d\mathbf{j}}{dt} = -\omega \mathbf{i} \). The acceleration of the seal’s centre of gravity is expressed as follows:

\[
a_{c1} = \ddot{X}_1 \mathbf{i} + \ddot{Y}_1 \mathbf{j} \tag{3-14}
\]

where the acceleration components, \( \ddot{X}_1 \) and \( \ddot{Y}_1 \), are given as follows;

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{X}_1 &= \ddot{x} - 4r\omega^2 \sin \theta - \omega^2 L_i - \omega^2 x - 2\omega \dot{y} \\
\ddot{Y}_1 &= \ddot{y} + 4r\omega^2 \cos \theta - \omega^2 y + 2\omega \dot{x}
\end{align*}
\tag{3-15}
\]

The seal axial length, \( d_s \), is defined as the combination of \( d_{s1} \) and \( d_{s2} \) as follows:

\[
d_s = d_{s1} + d_{s2} \tag{3-16}
\]

where \( d_{s1} \) and \( d_{s2} \) are measured from the seal centre of gravity to the outside and inside ends of the seal, respectively, as shown in Figure 5, which also shows the seal circular-
arc face of radius $r_o$ and its centre, $C_o$. The angle $\beta_o$ is measured from the seal centre line to the radial line $C_o c_3$ (or $C_o c_1$) while the angle $\beta$ is measured from the seal centre line to the radial line $C_o s_5$. These angles, $\beta$ and $\beta_o$, will later on in this paper help determining the locations of points $s_5$, $s_8$, and $s_9$.

It is essential to determine the special points on the seal onto which the forces and pressures from the seal-groove, seal spring, housing wall, and the working chambers would act. The expressions describe the positions of those points will depend on the relative positions, $x$ and $y$, of the seal centre of gravity, $C$, and the seal angular position, $\phi$. Such expressions will be determined in the below sections.

### 3.5.1. Vector representation of the critical contact points

As shown in Figure 3-5, the contact points on the seal groove are labelled from $g_1$ to $g_4$, in which points $g_1$ and $g_3$ are fixed on the groove and located near the tip of the rotor apex while the actual positions of points $g_2$ and $g_4$ vary with the seal’s motion. The position vectors from $O_g$ to $g_1$ and to $g_3$ can be expressed, respectively, as follows:

\[
\vec{r}_{g_1} = \left\{ \begin{align*}
  x_{g_1} &= d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w \\
  y_{g_1} &= -\frac{W_g}{2}
\end{align*} \right. \tag{3-17}
\]

and

\[
\vec{r}_{g_3} = \left\{ \begin{align*}
  x_{g_3} &= d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w \\
  y_{g_3} &= \frac{W_g}{2}
\end{align*} \right. \tag{3-18}
\]

Where the constant $\zeta_0$ is the initial value of the seal protrusion outside the apex groove.
In the $X_s Y_s$ frame, the contact points $c_2$ and $c_4$ fixed on the seal correspond to the moving points $g_2$ and $g_4$ on the groove. The position vectors of these points with respect to the moving origin, $C$, can be expressed respectively as,

$$\vec{r}_{c_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_{s_2} \hat{x}_s \\ -\frac{W_s}{2} \hat{y}_s \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{r}_{c_4} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_{s_4} \hat{x}_s \\ \frac{W_s}{2} \hat{y}_s \end{bmatrix}$$  \[3-19\]

The contact points on the seal correspond to points $g_1$ and $g_3$ are $i_1$ and $i_2$, respectively; the position vectors of these points in the $XY$-frame are shown as follows:

$$\vec{r}_{i_1} = \left[ (l_2 - d_{s_2}) \cos \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \sin \varphi \right] \hat{x} + \left[ (l_2 - d_{s_2}) \sin \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} \cos \varphi \right] \hat{y}$$  \[3-20\]

$$\vec{r}_{i_2} = \left[ (l_4 - d_{s_2}) \cos \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} \sin \varphi \right] \hat{x} + \left[ (l_4 - d_{s_2}) \sin \varphi + \frac{W_s}{2} \cos \varphi \right] \hat{y}$$  \[3-21\]

Where $l_2$ and $l_4$ are given by the following expressions:

$$l_2 = -x \cos \varphi - y \sin \varphi + d_{s_2} + (d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w) \cos \varphi - \frac{W_g}{2} \sin \varphi$$  \[3-22\]

and

$$l_4 = -x \cos \varphi - y \sin \varphi + d_{s_2} + (d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w) \cos \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \sin \varphi$$  \[3-23\]

Figure 3-5 depicts the contact point $s_5$ between the seal tip and the machine housing; the vector representation of this point will be defined below. As the seal slides on the machine housing, point $s_5$ moves between the points $c_1$ and $c_3$ on the seal tip. The
positions of $c_1$ and $c_3$ with respect to the origin, $C$, of the moving frame $X_sY_s$ are as follows:

$$\vec{r}_{c_1}^s = \begin{bmatrix} d_{s_0}\hat{x}_s \\ -\frac{W_s}{2}\hat{y}_s \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{r}_{c_s}^s = \begin{bmatrix} d_{s_0}\hat{x}_s \\ \frac{W_s}{2}\hat{y}_s \end{bmatrix}$$

[3-24]

Consequently, the position of $s_5$ in frame $XY$ can be found as:

$$\vec{r}_{s_5} = \vec{r}_c + (d_{s_1} - a_o)\hat{x}_s + b_5\hat{y}_s$$

[3-25]

which can be re-written as,

$$\vec{r}_{s_5} = (x + (d_{s_1} - a_o)\cos\varphi - b_5\sin\varphi)\hat{x} + (y + (d_{s_1} - a_o)\sin\varphi + b_5\cos\varphi)\hat{y}$$

[3-26]

where $a_o$ and $b_5$ are shown in Figure 3-5 and can, respectively, be calculated as,

$$a_o = r_o(1 - \cos\beta)$$

[3-27]

and

$$b_5 = r_o\sin\beta$$

[3-28]

Since point $s_5$ always stays attached to $X$-axis, the $Y$ component of point $s_5$ has to be zero. Hence, the coefficient of the $\hat{Y}$ component of equation [3-26] can be written as:

$$y + (d_{s_1} - a_o)\sin\varphi + b_5\cos\varphi = 0$$

[3-29]

which can be substituted and rearranged to give

$$y + d_{s_1}\sin\varphi - r_o(1 - \cos\beta)\sin\varphi + r_o\sin\beta\cos\varphi = 0$$

[3-30]
Value of angle $\beta$ can then be found by solving equation [3-30] as:

$$\beta = \sin^{-1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{d_{s_1}}{r_o} \right) \sin \varphi - \frac{y}{r_o} \right] - \varphi \tag{3-31}$$

Of note is that if the radius of curvature, $r_o$, of the seal tip is large, the value of $\beta$ will approach zero ($\beta \approx 0$), the pressure forces on the seal tip will be acting parallel to the $X_s$ axis.

Equation [3-26] can now be simplified as

$$\vec{r}_{s_5} = \left( x + (d_{s_1} - a_o) \cos \varphi - b_5 \sin \varphi \right) \hat{X} \tag{3-32}$$

The difference in the $X$ component of $\vec{r}_{s_5}$ and the initial value of this component, $d_{s_1} - \delta_w$, will determine the value of the contact force at this point. This difference, $\Delta x_{s_5}$, can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta x_{s_5} = x + (d_{s_1} - a_o) \cos \varphi - b_5 \sin \varphi - d_{s_1} + \delta_w \tag{3-33}$$

where $\Delta x_{s_5}$ determines the elastic contact force between the seal and the housing as follows,

$$F_w = \begin{cases} k_w \Delta x_{s_5} & \text{if } \Delta x_{s_5} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \Delta x_{s_5} \leq 0 \end{cases} \tag{3-34}$$

It has been assumed that sliding contact exists between the seal and the seal spring. When the seal is at its initial position, the seal-spring contact point is $s_7$. Point $s_7$ is on the surface of the seal on the negative side of the $X_s$-axis as shown in Figure 3-5, this point can be expressed as
During the motion of the apex seal, the seal-spring contact point shifts away from \( F_7 \), this new contact point is labelled \( F_6 \). The distance \( b_6 \) measured from \( c_2 \) to \( s_6 \) can be expressed as

\[
b_6 = \frac{W_6}{2} + b_5 - (d_{s_1} - a_o) \tan \phi - d_{s_2} \tan \phi
\]

[3-36]

The distance from the seal centre of gravity to point \( s_6 \) can be calculated as:

\[
x_{s_6} = \frac{(d_{s_1} - a_o) + d_{s_2}}{\cos \phi} - x_{s_5}
\]

[3-37]

The position of point \( s_6 \) when compared to its initial position, \( d_{s_2} - \delta_w \), will determine the spring deflection, \( \Delta x_{s_6} \), and this will in turn be used to calculate the force exerted by the spring, \( F_{sp} \), on to the inner end of the seal. The spring deflection is given as follows:

\[
\Delta x_{s_6} = x_{s_6} - (d_{s_2} - \delta_w) = \frac{(d_{s_1} - a_o) + d_{s_2}}{\cos \phi} - x_{s_5} - (d_{s_2} - \delta_w)
\]

[3-38]

The following spring force exits only if \( \Delta x_{s_6} > 0 \),

\[
F_{sp} = k_s (\Delta x_{s_6} + \delta_s)
\]

[3-39]

It is now essential to determine the distances between the points \( g_1, g_2, g_3, \) and \( g_4 \) and their corresponding contact points on the seal – shown as \( b_1, b_2, b_3, \) and \( b_4 \) in Figure 3-5. If the distances are positive, there are no forces between the seal and the groove;
otherwise, contact forces will exist. The distances $b_1, b_2, b_3,$ and $b_4$ can be calculated as follows:

\[ b_1 = (\vec{r}_c + \vec{r}^s_{c_2} - \vec{r}_{g_1}) \cdot \vec{Y}_s \]
\[ = -x \sin \varphi + y \cos \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} + (d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w) \sin \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \cos \varphi \]  
\[ [3-40] \]

\[ b_2 = (\vec{r}_c + \vec{r}^s_{c_2} - \vec{r}_{g_1}) \cdot \vec{Y} = y - d_{s_2} \sin \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} \cos \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \]
\[ [3-41] \]

\[ b_3 = -(\vec{r}_c + \vec{r}^s_{c_4} - \vec{r}_{g_3}) \cdot \vec{Y}_s \]
\[ = x \sin \varphi - y \cos \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} - (d_{s_1} - \zeta_0 - \delta_w) \sin \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \cos \varphi \]  
\[ [3-42] \]

\[ b_4 = -(\vec{r}_c + \vec{r}^s_{c_4} - \vec{r}_{g_3}) \cdot \vec{Y} = -y + d_{s_2} \sin \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} \cos \varphi + \frac{W_g}{2} \]  
\[ [3-43] \]

The contact forces between the seal and the seal groove at points $g_1, g_2, g_3,$ and $g_4$ are denoted by $F_{g_1}, F_{g_2}, F_{g_3},$ and $F_{g_4},$ respectively. The forces $F_{g_2}$ and $F_{g_4}$ act along the lines that are perpendicular to the walls of the seal groove at points $g_2$ and $g_4,$ respectively; while the forces $F_{g_1}$ and $F_{g_3}$ act along the lines that are perpendicular to the seal side surfaces at points $i_1$ and $i_2,$ respectively. Those lines of action are shown in Figure 3-5. The conditions from which the magnitudes and directions of the seal and seal-groove forces can be determined are shown in Algorithm 3-1 below:
Algorithm 3-1: Conditions to determine the magnitude and direction of the seal and seal-groove forces

1. Begin
2. if \( b_1 < 0 \) then
3. \( \vec{F}_{g_1} = |b_1| k_{g_1} (-\gamma_1 \mu_2 \vec{r}_s + \vec{r}_s) \)
4. else \( \vec{F}_{g_1} = \vec{0} \)
5. end if
6. if \( b_2 < 0 \) then
7. \( \vec{F}_{g_2} = |b_2| k_{g_2} (-\gamma_2 \mu_2 \vec{r}_s + \vec{r}_s) \)
8. else \( \vec{F}_{g_2} = \vec{0} \)
9. end if
10. if \( b_3 < 0 \) then
11. \( \vec{F}_{g_3} = |b_3| k_{g_3} (-\gamma_3 \mu_2 \vec{r}_s - \vec{r}_s) \)
12. else \( \vec{F}_{g_3} = \vec{0} \)
13. end if
14. if \( b_4 < 0 \) then
15. \( \vec{F}_{g_4} = |b_4| k_{g_4} (-\gamma_4 \mu_2 \vec{r}_s - \vec{r}_s) \)
16. else \( \vec{F}_{g_4} = \vec{0} \)
17. end if
18. End

where \( k_{g_i} \) is the stiffness of the groove material at location \( g_i \) and \( \gamma_i \) signifies the sign of the relative velocity at the same location \( (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \); \( \mu_2 \) is the friction coefficient between the seal and seal-groove.

When the value of \( b_i \) is greater than zero \( (b_i > 0) \) pressures from the working chambers are able to enter the cavity between the seal and seal groove, which will affect the seal dynamics. These forces will act at points \( s_1, s_2, s_3, \) and \( s_4 \) as shown in Figure 3-5, where \( s_1, s_2, s_3, \) and \( s_4 \) are the mid-points between \( i_1 - c_1, c_2 - i_1, i_2 - c_3, \) and \( c_4 - i_2, \) respectively. The positions of such points can be expressed in the \( XY \) frame as follows:

\[
\vec{r}_{s_1} = \left[ \left( d_{s_1} - \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \cos \varphi + \frac{W_s}{2} \sin \varphi \right] \vec{X} + \left[ \left( d_{s_1} - \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \sin \varphi - \frac{W_s}{2} \cos \varphi \right] \vec{Y}
\]

[3-44]
\[ \vec{r}_{s_2} = \left[ \frac{l_2}{2} - d_{s_2} \right] \cos \varphi + \frac{W_c}{2} \sin \varphi \hat{X} + \left[ \frac{l_2}{2} - d_{s_2} \right] \sin \varphi - \frac{W_c}{2} \cos \varphi \hat{Y} \]  

[3-45]  

\[ \vec{r}_{s_3} = \left[ d_{s_1} - \frac{l_3}{2} \right] \cos \varphi - \frac{W_c}{2} \sin \varphi \hat{X} + \left[ d_{s_1} - \frac{l_3}{2} \right] \sin \varphi + \frac{W_c}{2} \cos \varphi \hat{Y} \]  

[3-46]  

\[ \vec{r}_{s_4} = \left[ \frac{l_4}{2} - d_{s_2} \right] \cos \varphi - \frac{W_c}{2} \sin \varphi \hat{X} + \left[ \frac{l_4}{2} - d_{s_2} \right] \sin \varphi + \frac{W_c}{2} \cos \varphi \hat{Y} \]  

[3-47]  

where \( l_1 = d_{s_2} + d_{s_0} - l_2 \) and \( l_3 = d_{s_2} + d_{s_0} - l_4 \)  

It worthy of note here that the distance \( d_{s_0} \) is measured along \( X_s \) from the centre of gravity of the seal to the point where the curved end starts. In the next section, the forces and pressures on the apex seal at the special points featured here will be calculated.  

### 3.5.2. Forces acting on the apex seal  

From the position expressions for points \( i_1, i_2, s_5, s_6, c_2, \) and \( c_4 \), it is critical to work out the relative velocities between the seal and the rotor, \( \vec{v}_{s_r} = \vec{v}_s - \vec{v}_r \), at those contact points; as such the frictional and material damping forces can be calculated. The analysis starts below with point \( i_1 \), at which the seal velocity can be expressed as  

\[ \vec{v}_{s_{i_1}} = \vec{v}_{OG} + \bar{\omega} \times \vec{r}_c + \vec{r}_c + \left( \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \right) \times \left[ \left( d_{s_0} - l_1 \right) \hat{X}_s - \frac{W_c}{2} \hat{Y}_s \right] \]  

[3-48]  

where \( \vec{v}_{OG} \) is the velocity of point \( O_g \) referred to above. The velocity of point \( i_1 \), on the rotor, is  

\[ \vec{v}_{r_{i_1}} = \vec{v}_{OG} + \bar{\omega} \times \vec{r}_c + \bar{\omega} \times \left[ \left( d_{s_0} - l_1 \right) \hat{X}_s - \frac{W_c}{2} \hat{Y}_s \right] \]  

[3-49]
Hence, the relative velocity of point \( i_1 \) can be found from the difference of equations [3-48] and [3-49] as follows:

\[
\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} = \vec{v}_{s_{i_1}} - \vec{v}_{r_{i_1}} = \vec{v}_c + \vec{\phi} \times \left[ (d_{s_0} - l_1)\vec{X}_s - \frac{W_s}{2}\vec{Y}_s \right]
\]

which can be manipulated to give

\[
\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} = \dot{x}\vec{X} + \dot{y}\vec{Y} + \frac{W_s}{2} \phi\vec{X}_s + (d_{s_0} - l_1) \phi\vec{Y}_s
\]

[3-51]

From the above equation, the relative velocity of \( i_1 \) can be separated into \( \vec{X}_s \) and \( \vec{Y}_s \) components

\[
\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} \cdot \vec{X}_s = \dot{x} \cos \varphi + \dot{y} \sin \varphi + \frac{W_s}{2} \phi
\]

[3-52]

and

\[
\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} \cdot \vec{Y}_s = -\dot{x} \sin \varphi + \dot{y} \cos \varphi + (d_{s_0} - l_1) \phi
\]

[3-53]

These components will assist the sign convention of the frictional and damping force, \( \vec{F}_{f_{i_1}} \) and \( \vec{F}_{d_{i_1}} \), respectively as

\[
\gamma_1 = sign(\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} \cdot \vec{X}_s)
\]

[3-54]

and

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\vec{P}_{d_{i_1}} = -c_r (\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} \cdot \vec{Y}_s)\vec{Y}_s \quad \text{if} \quad (\Delta \vec{v}_{i_1} \cdot \vec{Y}_s) < 0 \\
\vec{P}_{d_{i_1}} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{array}
\right.
\]

[3-55]

where \( c_r \) is the equivalent damping coefficient of the seal-groove material.
Likewise, relative velocities of points $c_2, c_4,$ and $i_2$ of the seal with respect to the groove can be determined. For point $c_2$:

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{c_2} = \vec{v}_{sc_2} - \vec{v}_{rc_2} = \vec{r}_c - \vec{\phi} \times \left[ d_{s_2} \vec{X}_s + \frac{W_s}{2} \vec{Y}_s \right]$$

[3-56]

Which can be simplified as,

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{c_2} = \dot{x} \vec{X} + \dot{y} \vec{Y} + \frac{W_s}{2} \phi \vec{X}_s - d_{s_2} \phi \vec{Y}_s$$

[3-57]

For point $c_4$:

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{c_4} = \vec{v}_{sc_4} - \vec{v}_{rc_4} = \vec{r}_c + \vec{\phi} \times \left[ -d_{s_2} \vec{X}_s + \frac{W_s}{2} \vec{Y}_s \right]$$

[3-58]

Which can be simplified as follows,

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{c_4} = \dot{x} \vec{X} + \dot{y} \vec{Y} - \frac{W_s}{2} \phi \vec{X}_s - d_{s_2} \phi \vec{Y}_s$$

[3-59]

For point $i_2$:

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{i_2} = \vec{v}_{si_2} - \vec{v}_{ri_2} = \vec{r}_c + \vec{\phi} \times \left[ (d_{s_0} - l_3) \vec{X}_s + \frac{W_s}{2} \vec{Y}_s \right]$$

[3-60]

Which can be simplified as follows;

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{i_2} = \dot{x} \vec{X} + \dot{y} \vec{Y} - \frac{W_s}{2} \phi \vec{X}_s + (d_{s_0} - l_3) \phi \vec{Y}_s$$

[3-61]

From equations [3-57], [3-59], and [3-61], the sign convention of the frictional and damping forces at those points can be found by utilising the following expressions.
Design and modelling of the limaçon positive
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\[ \gamma_2 = \text{sign}(\Delta \vec{v}_{c_2} \cdot \vec{X}) \]
\[ \begin{cases} 
\vec{F}_{dc_2} = -c_r(\Delta \vec{v}_{c_2} \cdot \vec{Y}) \vec{Y} & \text{if } (\Delta \vec{v}_{c_2} \cdot \vec{Y}) < 0 \\
\vec{F}_{dc_2} = \vec{0} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]
\[ \gamma_3 = \text{sign}(\Delta \vec{v}_{i_2} \cdot \vec{X}_s) \]
\[ \begin{cases} 
\vec{F}_{di_2} = -c_r(\Delta \vec{v}_{i_2} \cdot \vec{Y}_s) \vec{Y}_s & \text{if } (\Delta \vec{v}_{i_2} \cdot \vec{Y}_s) > 0 \\
\vec{F}_{di_2} = \vec{0} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]
\[ \gamma_4 = \text{sign}(\Delta \vec{v}_{c_4} \cdot \vec{X}) \]
\[ \begin{cases} 
\vec{F}_{dc_4} = -c_r(\Delta \vec{v}_{c_4} \cdot \vec{Y}) \vec{Y} & \text{if } (\Delta \vec{v}_{c_4} \cdot \vec{Y}) > 0 \\
\vec{F}_{dc_4} = \vec{0} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

[3-62]

The four side contact forces which correspond to the \( g_i \) locations can now be expressed as follows:
\[ \begin{align*}
\vec{F}_{i_1} &= \vec{F}_{g_1} + \vec{F}_{di_1} \\
\vec{F}_{c_2} &= \vec{F}_{g_2} + \vec{F}_{dc_2} \\
\vec{F}_{i_2} &= \vec{F}_{g_3} + \vec{F}_{di_2} \\
\vec{F}_{c_4} &= \vec{F}_{g_4} + \vec{F}_{dc_4}
\end{align*} \]

[3-63]

At point \( s_5 \), where the seal touches the machine housing, the seal velocity can be expressed as
\[ \vec{v}_{s_5} = \vec{v}_o + \omega \times \vec{r}_c + \vec{r}_c + (\omega + \vec{\phi}) \times [(d_{s_5} - a_o) \vec{X}_s + b_5 \vec{Y}_s] \]

[3-64]

This velocity can be re-written as follows,
\[ \vec{v}_{s_5} = (2r_\omega \cos \theta + \dot{x} - \omega y) \vec{X} + (\dot{y} + 2r_\omega \sin \theta + \omega L_i + \omega x) \vec{Y} - (\omega + \phi)b_5 \vec{X}_s \\
+ (\omega + \phi)(d_{s_1} - a_o) \vec{Y}_s \]

[3-65]

which can be rewritten in terms of \( \vec{X} \) and \( \vec{Y} \) as
\[
\vec{v}_{s_5} = \left[ 2r\omega \cos \theta + \dot{x} - \omega y - (\omega + \phi) \left( (d_{s_5} - a_o) \sin \phi + b_5 \cos \phi \right) \right] \hat{X} \\
+ \left[ \dot{y} + 2r\omega \sin \theta + \omega (L_i + x) \right] + (\omega + \phi) \left( (d_{s_5} - a_o) \cos \phi - b_5 \sin \phi \right) \hat{Y}
\]

[3-66]

The \( \hat{X} \) component of the seal velocity at point \( s_5 \) shown in equation [3-66] will determine the sign convention and magnitude of the damping force from the machine housing, \( F_{d_{s_5}} \). Such a condition can be expressed as

\[
\begin{cases}
\vec{F}_{d_{s_5}} = -c_w (\vec{v}_{s_5} \cdot \hat{X}) \hat{X} & \text{if } (\vec{v}_{s_5} \cdot \hat{X}) > 0 \\
\vec{F}_{d_{s_5}} = \vec{0} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

[3-67]

where \( c_w \) is the wall damping coefficient. As pointed out previously, if the value of \( \Delta x_{s_5} \) is positive (\( \Delta x_{s_5} > 0 \)), the seal and the housing wall come into contact, hence, there exists a contact force at point \( s_5, \vec{F}_{s_5} \). Furthermore, if the magnitude of relative velocity between the seal and housing is positive, the seal also experiences a damping force from the wall. These conditions can be expressed as follows:

---

Algorithm 3-2: Conditions for the seal-wall contact and damping forces

1. **Begin**
2. \( \textbf{if } \Delta x_{s_5} > 0 \textbf{ then} \)
3. \( F_w = k_w \Delta x_{s_5} \)
4. \( \textbf{if } (\vec{v}_{s_5} \cdot \hat{X}) > 0 \textbf{ then} \)
5. \( F_w = k_w \Delta x_{s_5} + c_w (\vec{v}_{s_5} \cdot \hat{X}) \)
6. \( \textbf{end if} \)
7. \( \textbf{end if} \)
8. \( \vec{F}_{s_{5x}} = -F_w \hat{X} \)

---
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The force acting on the seal at point $s_5$, $\vec{F}_{s_5}$, and the moment $\vec{M}_{s_5}$ about centre of gravity can now be expressed as:

$$\begin{align*}
\{ \vec{F}_{s_5} &= \vec{F}_{s_{5x}} + \vec{F}_{s_{5y}} \\
\vec{M}_{s_5} &= \vec{r}_{s_5} \times \vec{F}_{s_5} \}
\end{align*}$$

[3-68]

Similarly, at point $s_6$ the seal and groove velocities are given, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\vec{v}_{s_{6x}} &= \vec{v}_{Og} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_c + \vec{r}_c + (\vec{\omega} + \vec{\phi}) \times \left[ -d_{s_2} \vec{X}_s - \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \vec{Y}_s \right] \\
\vec{v}_{r_{6x}} &= \vec{v}_{Og} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_c + \vec{\omega} \times \left[ -d_{s_2} \vec{X}_s - \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \vec{Y}_s \right]
\end{align*}$$

[3-69]

Hence, the relative velocity of the seal with respect to the groove can be calculated as

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{s_6} = \vec{v}_{s_{6x}} - \vec{v}_{r_{6x}} = \vec{r}_c + (\vec{\omega} + \vec{\phi}) \times \left[ d_{s_2} \vec{X}_s + \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \vec{Y}_s \right]$$

[3-70]

This velocity is simplified as follows,

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{s_6} = \dot{x} \vec{X} + \dot{y} \vec{Y} + \vec{\phi} \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \vec{X}_s - \vec{\phi} d_{s_2} \vec{Y}_s$$

[3-71]

Which can be expressed in terms of $\vec{X}$ and $\vec{Y}$ components as

$$\Delta \vec{v}_{s_6} = \left[ \dot{x} + \vec{\phi} d_{s_2} \sin \phi + \vec{\phi} \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \cos \phi \right] \vec{X} + \left[ \dot{y} - \vec{\phi} d_{s_2} \cos \phi + \vec{\phi} \left( \frac{W_s}{2} - b_6 \right) \sin \phi \right] \vec{Y}$$

[3-72]
The friction and damping forces, \( F_{ds6} \) and \( F_{fs6} \), can be determined from the following conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{F}_{ds6} &= -c_s(\Delta \vec{v}_{s6} \cdot \vec{X}) \vec{X} \quad \text{if} \quad (\Delta \vec{v}_{s6} \cdot \vec{X}) < 0 \\
\vec{F}_{ds6} &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \\
\vec{F}_{fs6} &= \text{sign}(\Delta \vec{v}_{s6} \cdot \vec{Y}) \mu_s (F_{sp} - c_s(\Delta \vec{v}_{s6} \cdot \vec{X})) \vec{Y}
\end{align*}
\]

[3-73]

where \( c_s \) is the damping coefficient near the seal-spring contact point,

\( \mu_s \) is the friction coefficient between the spring and seal.

The force acting on the seal at point \( s_6 \), \( \vec{F}_{s6} \), and the moment \( \overrightarrow{M}_{s6} \) about centre of gravity can now be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{F}_{s6} &= F_{sp} \vec{X} + \vec{F}_{ds6} + \vec{F}_{fs6} \\
\overrightarrow{M}_{s6} &= \vec{r}_{s6} \times \vec{F}_{s6}
\end{align*}
\]

[3-74]

Having calculated the contact forces acting on the seal, it remains to calculate the pressure forces as shown in the next section.

### 3.5.3. Forces exerted on the apex seal by pressure from the chambers

Pressure from the machine’s chamber above and below the rotor chord, \( P_a \) and \( P_b \), will result in forces acting on the seal at points \( s_1, s_2, s_3, \) and \( s_4 \); the force caused by pressure within the gaps between the seal and the seal groove will act on point \( s_7 \); the pressure forces from the chambers act on the seal tips on point \( s_8 \) and \( s_9 \). These forces, shown in Figure 3-6, can be expressed as follows:
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\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{F}_{s_1} &= P_b A_{s_1} \hat{Y}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_2} &= P_{s_2} A_{s_2} \hat{Y}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_3} &= -P_a A_{s_3} \hat{Y}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_4} &= -P_{s_4} A_{s_4} \hat{Y}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_7} &= P_{end} A_s \hat{X}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_8} &= P_b A_{s_8} \hat{X}_s \\
\vec{F}_{s_9} &= P_{a} A_{s_9} \hat{X}_s 
\end{align*}
\]

[3-75]

where \( \vec{f}_{s_8} \) and \( \vec{f}_{s_9} \) are unit vectors along the lines of action of their corresponding forces, and the areas of various sections are given as

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{s_1} &= l_1 B_s \\
A_{s_2} &= l_2 B_s \\
A_{s_3} &= l_3 B_s \\
A_{s_4} &= l_4 B_s \\
A_{s_7} &= W_s B_s \\
A_{s_8} &= 2r_o \sin \left( \frac{\beta_o + \beta}{2} \right) B_s \\
A_{s_9} &= 2r_o \sin \left( \frac{\beta_o - \beta}{2} \right) B_s 
\end{align*}
\]

[3-76]

\( B_s \) in equation [3-76] is the seal depth measured perpendicular to the \( XY \) plane. Of note is that the pressure \( P_{end} \) is assumed to be half that of the difference of \( P_a \) and \( P_b \), and the pressures \( P_{s_2} \) and \( P_{s_4} \) will depend on the seal-groove relative positions. The pressure forces \( \vec{F}_{s_8} \) and \( \vec{F}_{s_9} \) act on points \( s_8 \) and \( s_9 \) respectively. These points can be expressed in the \( X_sY_s \) frame as:

\[
\vec{r}_{s_8} = (d_{s_1} - r_o) \hat{X}_s + r_o e^{j \left( \frac{\beta - \beta_o}{2} \right)} \\
= \left( d_{s_1} - r_o + r_o \cos \left( \frac{\beta - \beta_o}{2} \right) \right) \hat{X}_s + r_o \sin \left( \frac{\beta - \beta_o}{2} \right) \hat{Y}_s
\]

[3-77]
and

\[
\vec{r}_{s_9} = (d_{s_1} - r_o)\hat{X}_s + r_o e^{j(\frac{\beta + \beta_o}{2})}
\]

\[
= \left( d_{s_1} - r_o + r_o \cos\left(\frac{\beta + \beta_o}{2}\right) \right)\hat{X}_s + r_o \sin\left(\frac{\beta + \beta_o}{2}\right)\hat{Y}_s
\]

[3-78]

Figure 3-6: Forces acting by pressures from the chambers

At this stage, the moments of the above pressure forces about the seal centre of gravity can be expressed in the vector from as

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{M}_{s_1} &= \left( d_{s_0} - \frac{l_1}{2} \right)\hat{X}_s - \frac{W_6}{2}\hat{Y}_s \times \vec{F}_{s_1} \\
\vec{M}_{s_2} &= \left( \frac{l_2}{2} - d_{s_2} \right)\hat{X}_s - \frac{W_6}{2}\hat{Y}_s \times \vec{F}_{s_2} \\
\vec{M}_{s_3} &= \left( d_{s_0} - \frac{l_3}{2} \right)\hat{X}_s + \frac{W_6}{2}\hat{Y}_s \times \vec{F}_{s_3} \\
\vec{M}_{s_4} &= \left( \frac{l_4}{2} - d_{s_2} \right)\hat{X}_s + \frac{W_6}{2}\hat{Y}_s \times \vec{F}_{s_4} \\
\vec{M}_{s_7} &= -d_{s_2}\hat{X}_s \times \vec{F}_{s_7}
\end{align*}
\]

[3-79]

The pressure and contact forces acting on the seal and their moments about the seal centre of gravity can now be expressed in a general equation of motion as follows;
where $\ddot{X}_1$ and $\ddot{Y}_1$ are defined in equation [3-15] and $\ddot{\phi}$ is the angular acceleration of the seal,

$$m_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{CG} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{X}_1 \\ \ddot{Y}_1 \\ \ddot{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma F_x \\ \Sigma F_y \\ \Sigma M_{CG} \end{bmatrix}$$

[3-80]

$m_s$ is the seal’s mass and $I_{CG}$ is its mass moment of inertia about the centre of gravity,

$\Sigma F_x$ is the sum of forces acting on the seal in the $x$-direction

$\Sigma F_y$ is the sum of forces acting on the seal in the $y$-direction

$\Sigma M_{CG}$ is the sum of moments acting on the seal about its centre of gravity.

At every rotor angle, $\theta$, the three non-linear simultaneous differential equations given in [3-80] are solved numerically to find the seal displacements, being $x$, $y$ and $\phi$.

3.5.4. Possible seal-groove interactions

As pointed out by Phung and Sultan (2017), the interactions between the seal and seal groove can be categorised into nine different cases. To differentiate between the cases, seal-groove contact points have been numbered as shown in Figure 3-3. Points $n$, $p$ and $q$ respectively represent the negative, neutral, and positive sides of the seal groove inner end; while points 1, 2, and 3 represent the negative, neutral, and positive sides of the seal groove outer end, respectively. The negative side of the groove is on the negative side of the negative side of $X_1$ axis, while positive side of the groove is on the positive side of $Y_1$ axis. The two imaginary points 2 and $p$, point 2 sits between points 1 and 3 while point $p$ sits between points $n$ and $q$, are also shown in Figure 3-3 above. The seal cases can now be categorised by a letter, which represents the position of back-contact point, followed by a number, which represents the position of front contact point. i.e.:
Case q1 is when the top left-hand corner of the seal contacts with the positive side of the groove, denoted $q$, and the negative side of the seal contacts with the negative inner side of the groove modified apex, denoted 1, as shown in Figure 3-7a. Of note is that back-contact happens when the back corner of the seal contacts with the groove surface; front-contact is when either the negative or positive side of the seal comes into contact with the inner side of the modified apex. The nine seal-groove interaction cases are shown below.

Case q1: two-point contact, seal tilting right (Figure 3-7a) occurs when
\[
\begin{align*}
  b_1 & \leq 0 \\
  b_2 & > 0 \\
  b_3 & > 0 \\
  b_4 & \leq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Case n3: two-point contact, seal tilting left (Figure 3-7b) is when
\[
\begin{align*}
  b_1 & > 0 \\
  b_2 & \leq 0 \\
  b_3 & \leq 0 \\
  b_4 & > 0
\end{align*}
\]

In these two cases, there are two points of contact between the seal and the seal groove. Different values of $b_1, b_2, b_3,$ and $b_4$ determine the seal tilting directions.

The common factor of Case p1 (Figure 3-8a), Case n2 (Figure 3-8b), Case p3 (Figure 3-8c), and Case q2 (Figure 3-8d) is that between the seal and the seal groove exists only one contact point. This contact point can either be back-contact or front-contact. The conditions that determine these contact cases are shown in Figure 3-8 and the Algorithm 3-3 below.
Algorithm 3-3: Conditions to determine the seal-groove contact cases

1. Begin
2. if \((b_1 \leq 0 \& b_2 > 0 \& b_3 > 0 \& b_4 > 0)\) then
3.   Case = Case p1
4. end if
5. if \((b_1 > 0 \& b_2 \leq 0 \& b_3 > 0 \& b_4 > 0)\) then
6.   Case = Case n2
7. end if
8. if \((b_1 > 0 \& b_2 > 0 \& b_3 \leq 0 \& b_4 > 0)\) then
9.   Case = Case p3
10. end if
11. if \((b_1 > 0 \& b_2 > 0 \& b_3 > 0 \& b_4 \leq 0)\) then
12.   Case = Case q2
13. end if
14. End

Figure 3-8: Seal-groove interactions: a) Case p1, b) Case n2, c) Case p3, d) Case q2
Case q3 happens when the positive side of the seal contacts with the positive side of the groove, as shown in Figure 3-9a. The conditions for this case to happen are

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_1 &> 0 \\
    b_2 &> 0 \\
    b_3 &\leq 0 \\
    b_4 &\leq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, Figure 3-9b shows that when

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_1 &\leq 0 \\
    b_2 &\leq 0 \\
    b_3 &> 0 \\
    b_4 &> 0
\end{align*}
\]

the seal-groove interaction falls under Case n1.

Another special case, Case p2, occurs when there is no actual contact between the seal and the seal groove. The seal floats within the groove as shown in Figure 3-10. In this case, values of \(b_1, b_2, b_3,\) and \(b_4\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_1 &> 0 \\
    b_2 &> 0 \\
    b_3 &> 0 \\
    b_4 &> 0
\end{align*}
\]

It is worth pointing out that when the seal-groove relative position falls under Case p2, the gap between the seal and the groove will form a passage and allow working fluid to flow from higher-pressure chamber to lower-pressure one. The leakage through the gap area, \(A_{gap} = b_{gap}H\) where \(b_{gap}\) is the minimum gap between the seal and the groove and \(H\) is the machine depth measured perpendicular to the page, can be included in the thermodynamic performance of the
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machine is considered. The distance $b_{gap}$ can be determined from the seal dynamics model and with the aid of Algorithm 3-4 shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 3-4: Conditions to determine the seal-groove gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Begin
| 2 if $\begin{cases} b_1 > 0 \\ b_2 > 0 \\ b_3 > 0 \\ b_4 > 0 \end{cases}$ then
| 3 $b_{gap} = \min(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$
| 4 else $b_{gap} = 0$
| 5 end if
| 6 End

3.6. Numerical illustration

To validate the reliability of the seal dynamics model presented above, a case study has been considered. In this case study, it is crucial to use the actual upper and lower chamber pressures that the limaçon machine experiences during its operation. The seal response, performance, effectiveness, and leakages, and power loss have been observed from the thermodynamic performance point of view. As such the chamber pressures at different the crank angles, as shown in Figure 3-11, which have been calculated from the thermodynamic model have been utilised. The upper chamber and lower chamber pressures are shown as blue and red graphs, respectively. Of note is that the pressure profiles in the two chambers are similar to each other; due to the distinct shape of the rotor and housing, however, the pressure profiles are $\pi (180^\circ)$ different in phase.

In Table 3-1, the key geometries i.e.: angular positions for, angular width, and length of the inlet and outlet ports are shown. The modelled machine has been allowed to run at a constant angular velocity of 1500 rpm so that the seal performance, leakages, seal-
housing friction and damping forces, seal-groove friction and damping forces can be verified. The forces acting on the seal and the seal response at different angular positions of the rotor are shown as polar plots in Figure 3-12; the positions of the inlet and outlet ports are also presented in this figure.

Table 3-1: Geometries of limaçon machine’s inlet and outlet ports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Angular position (degree)</th>
<th>Angular width (degree)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet port</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet port</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 3-11: The upper and lower chamber pressure profiles for a limaçon machine as functions of the crank angle*
Figure 3-12a, Figure 3-12b, and Figure 3-12c show the linear displacements along $X$ and $Y$ axes and the angular displacement, $\phi$, of the seal, respectively, during the machine operation. Figure 3-12 also shows the angular position of the machine rotor at which the seal linear and angular displacements occur; this informs the designer locations on the seal groove and the housing that need reinforcing to extend the machine reliability. The forces between the seal and the seal groove walls, $F_{s1}, F_{s2}, F_{c2},$ and $F_{c4}$, the spring force, $F_{s6}$, due to the seal spring deflection $\Delta x_{s6}$, and the seal-housing contact force, $F_{s5}$, are shown in Figure 3-13; these forces can be calculated as demonstrated in section 3.5. Of note is that the initial position of the machine rotor is at the inlet port and the rotor rotates in the counter-clockwise direction. The two sides of the seal are subjected to two different pressures; such differences in pressure directly affect the seal dynamics, which is noticeable in Figure 3-12. As a result of that, it is critical to reinforce the housing at these angular positions and strengthen the contact surface between the seal and the seal groove, to prevent failure during the machine operation and increase the reliability of the limaçon machine.

It is also noticeable that the seal displacement in $X$ direction (Figure 3-12a) is of significant magnitude when the apex seal is approximately at the $\frac{3\pi}{2}$ ($270^\circ$) position on the housing. This is due to the geometry of the limaçon machine. Based on Figure 3-1c,
it is apparent that the rotor experiences a sliding motion in the positive $X$ direction in the first quarter of the $X_0Y_0$ frame. When the rotor chord approaches the second quarter of the $X_0Y_0$ frame, the direction of the sliding motion shifts towards the negative $X$ direction. At this instance, the left-hand side seal is now located at the $\frac{3\pi}{2}$ position on the housing. Due to inertia, the seal tends to move in the positive $X$ direction and dig into the housing wall. This results in substantial seal displacement along $X$ direction, which would require reinforcement to the correspondent section of the housing wall.

![Figure 3-13: Forces acting on the apex seal](image)

From the seal-housing force, $F_{s5}$, in time domain, a frequency spectrum has been generated and shown in Figure 3-14. Force, $F_{s5}$, is the response of apex seal to the pressure difference between the machine chambers and the rotor rotational motion; the major frequency of the force $F_{s5}$ centres at around $6 \times 10^4 \text{ rad/s}$ follows by smaller peaks at around $0.01 \times 10^4$, $0.05 \times 10^4$, and $0.78 \times 10^4 \text{ rad/s}$. It is important to avoid
resonance at these frequencies when considering material used for the seal and housing surface, spring stiffness as well as damping coefficient.

![Graph showing frequency spectrum](image)

*Figure 3-14: Frequency (rad/s) spectrum of the seal-housing force, $F_{ss}$*

### 3.7. Conclusion

The paper demonstrated an approach to model the dynamical behaviour of apex seals in a limaçon gas expander. The model uses a spring-damper representation to describe the characteristics of the contact between the seal and the surrounding elements, namely the housing and the rotor apical groove. A detailed mathematical description of the seal kinematics made it possible to calculate the contact forces accurately and hence formulate three nonlinear differential equations for the multi-degree of freedom seal vibration. The variable pressure in the chambers of the gas expanders were accounted for in the differential equations and these were then solved numerically to calculate the seal position and orientation at any angle of the machine rotor. The seal-groove interaction has been categorised into nine distinct cases. Those cases are labelled as two-digit numbers, the
first digit represents seal-groove back-contact position while the second digit shows the front-contact position. This seal dynamical behaviour model can be modified to suit different seal materials, spring and housing surface stiffness, as well as different types of lubrication. A numerical example was presented to confirm the validity of the presented models and their suitability for the problem at hand. The results of the presented example are indeed promising and the models presented in the paper are suitable to incorporate in the thermodynamic model to account for possible leakage past the apex seals and assess the level of surface stresses occurring in the expander housing and apical grooves.

3.8. Nomenclatures

\( p_1p_2 \) : limaçon chord

\( 2L \) : limaçon chord length

\( C \) : centre of gravity of the seal

\( X_oY_o \) : stationary frame

\( X_rY_r \) : frame fixed at the centre point of the rotor and moves with it.

\( X_1Y_1 \) : rotating frame

\( \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \) and \( \hat{k} \): unit vectors of the \( X_1, Y_1, \) and \( Z_1 \) axes

\( X_sY_s \) : the frame attached to the seal centre of gravity with the unit vectors \( \hat{Y}_s \) and \( \hat{X}_s \)

\( XY \) : a frame fixed to the groove. Also signifies the initial position of the seal-attached frame \( (X_sY_s) \) with unit vectors \( \hat{Y} \) and \( \hat{X} \)

\( o \) : limaçon pole

\( O_g \) : the seal origin

\( \theta \) : crank angle
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\( r \): radius of the base circle

\( m \): centre point of the chord

\( R_h \): housing radial distance

\( b \): limaçon aspect ratio

\( H \): machine’s depth measured perpendicular to the page

\( \omega \): rotor angular velocity

\( L_i \): distance from the rotor chord centre point, \( m \), to the seal’s centre of gravity

\( \delta_s \): initial deflection of seal spring

\( \delta_w \): initial deflection of housing due to contact with seal

\( k_s \): spring stiffness

\( k_w \): the equivalent spring stiffness of the limaçon housing wall

\( c, c_r, c_w, \) and \( c_s \): damping coefficients (All typed in lowercase)

\( m_s \): mass of the seal

\( F \): force acting on the seal

\( P_a, P_b \): pressure of the chamber above and below the rotor chord, respectively

\( A_p, A_f \): areas of the seal that are exposed to the machine chambers

\( \rho_s \): density of seal material

\( W_g \): width of the seal groove

\( W_s \): width of the seal

\( d_{sl} \): seal height \((i = 1, 2, or \ blank)\)
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$C_r$ : rotor-housing clearance (Uppercase $C$)

$z$ : portion of the rotor apex truncated to machine the seal groove

$\zeta_0$ : seal protrusion at the initial position

$x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}$: seal linear displacement, velocity, and acceleration along $X_r$-axis

$y, \dot{y}, \ddot{y}$: seal linear displacement, velocity, and acceleration along $Y_r$-axis

$\varphi, \dot{\varphi}, \ddot{\varphi}$: seal angular displacement, velocity, and acceleration

$s_i, c_i, i_i$: special points on the apex seal ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$)

$g_i$ : points on the seal groove ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$)

$B_s$ : seal depth measured perpendicular to $XY$ plane
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Chapter 4: Thermodynamic-based optimisation of the limaçon-to-circular expanders*

4.1. Abstract

Limaçon machine, of which the relative motion between the rotor and housing follows the limaçon curve, belongs to a class of rotary positive displacement machines. The profiles of rotors and housings of those machines can be constructed of either limaçon or circular curves, hence the names: limaçon-to-limaçon (Sultan, 2005), circolimaçon (Sultan, 2008), and limaçon-to-circular machines (Phung, Sultan, & Boretti, 2016). This paper presents the investigation into the thermodynamic performance of the limaçon-to-circular machines with the presence of apex seals and inlet valve.

This paper sets out by briefly introducing the limaçon technology and the construction of the limaçon-to-circular machine working volume. The mathematical descriptions of ports’ positions and areas have also been introduced. The paper then discusses the flow and phase composition of working fluid through the working chambers as well as how the fluid velocity is modelled and calculated. Then the seal dynamic model and response of inlet valve are presented followed by the machine thermodynamic model. A case study has also been presented to show the responses of seals and inlet valve during the machine operation.
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4.2. Introduction

Over the past years, the consumption of fossil fuel continues to grow (International Energy Agency, 2015; Department of Industry and Science, 2015) due to the increase in energy demand in both heat and electricity forms. The growth in non-renewable resource consumption together with the low efficiency of the current energy conversion processes result in enormous amount of excess heat is wasted and more greenhouse gas makes its way to the atmosphere. The energy generating activities are definitely key factors that drive the changes in our climate system and escalating risk for different aspect of life (IPCC, 2015; Climate Council, 2015).

From both the environmental and the technological point of view, it is essential to reduce the consumption of non-renewable resources by increasing the efficiency of energy conversion processes as a short-term strategy. The process efficiency can be increased by means of heat recuperation – a practice that captures the previously overlooked waste heat to produce extra mechanical or electrical power. Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) based micro power plants that utilise organic fluids to transfer heat and expand in the expander to give off work are often employed (Mathias, Johnston, Cao, Priedeman, & Christensen, 2009). Due to the relatively low temperature of low-grade heat, components of ORC micro power plants are often exposed to multi-phase flow; such a condition allows fluid droplets to be formed, which is not suitable for turbine technology. Lemort et al. (2009) and Sultan and Schaller (2011; 2012) in their published work have pointed out that the perfect candidates for low working fluid temperature, multi-phase flow, and low flow rate conditions are positive displacement machines, which include different embodiments of limaçon machines.

This paper investigates the output power and the efficiency of the limaçon-to-circular machine. A mathematical model has been developed based on the thermodynamic
4.3. The limaçon-to-circular machine’s working volume

Detailed in Figure 4-1 is a limaçon-to-circular machine and its geometrical aspects. As shown in the figure, the limaçon chord, $p_l p_t$, whose length is $2L$ is allowed to rotate and slide about the limaçon pole, $o$, which is the origin of a stationary frame $XY$. The profile of the limaçon housing is formed by the trace of the apices, $p_l$ or $p_t$. Additionally, another frame namely $X_rY_r$ is firmly attached to the rotor chord at its centre point, $m$, and moves with the chord. The angle $\theta$ measured from $X$-axis to $X_r$-axis followed the right-hand sense is the angular displacement of the machine rotor. When the rotor is in motion, its centre point, m, stays kinematically attached to the base circle of radius, $r$. It is worth noticing that, the two lobes of such a limaçon-to-circular machine is constructed of two circular segments of radius, $R$, which take the chord, $p_l p_t$, as the mirror line; hence, the machine received the name limaçon-to-circular machine. Phung et al. (2016) have pointed
out that the housing and rotor of such a machine have distinct profiles (i.e.: limaçon housing, circular segment rotor) and the rotation of the rotor inside the housing follows the limaçon housing; therefore, during operations this machine may encounter rotor-housing interference. As such, Phung et al. (2016) have introduced a clearance value, $C_L$, to their calculation to yield the value of $R$ as follows:

$$
R = (1 - C_L) \frac{L^2}{\sqrt{L^2 + \frac{4r^2a^2}{1 - 4b^2}}}
$$

[4-1]

where $0 < b = \frac{r}{L} = 0.25$ is the limaçon aspect ratio, $a > 1$, and $C_L = \frac{c}{L}$. Consequently, the volume of the working chamber below the rotor chord, $V_{net}$, at any rotor angle, $\theta$, can be expressed as follows:

$$
V_{net,b} = HL^2 \left\{ \left( b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi - 4b \cos \theta \right\} - (1 - C_L) \left\{ \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}}{2ab} \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2b^2}{1 - 4b^2} \right) \right) - \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{1 - 4b^2}} \right\}
$$

[4-2]

where $H$ is the expander’s housing depth measured perpendicular to the page.

The next section introduces a model to calculate the instantaneous port area of the limaçon-to-circular machine as seen from the working chamber.

### 4.4. Inlet and outlet ports’ cross-sectional areas calculation

Sultan and Schaller (2011) have developed a concept to calculate the cross-sectional areas of the inlet and outlet ports of the limaçon-to-limaçon machine. The proposed concept treats both ports without discrimination; hence, no specific reference has been
made to either port. As such, this paper will employ the similar approach to calculate the port areas for the limaçon-to-circular machine.

The radial positions of the port leading and trailing edges are defined by the two position vectors, $R_l$ and $R_t$, which are described in Figure 4-2. The leading edge is defined as the one that the rotor chord leading apex, $p_l$, meets first during one cycle of operation. These port edges vectors $R_l$ and $R_t$, can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
R_l &= L(2b \sin \theta_l + 1) \hat{R}_l \\
R_t &= L(2b \sin \theta_t + 1) \hat{R}_t
\end{align*}$$

[4-3]

where $\theta_l$ is the leading edge angle, $\theta_t$ is the trailing edge angle, $\hat{R}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_l \\ \sin \theta_l \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\hat{R}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are the unit vectors.

The angular location of the leading edge, $\theta_l < \frac{\pi}{2}$, is defined first, followed by the port angular width, $\Delta \theta_p$. Hence, the angular position of the trailing edges can be calculated as: $\theta_t = \theta_l + \Delta \theta_p$. The port length, $L_p$, is given within the condition bounded by the rotor depth, $H$, in which $L_p < H$. The port width, $W$, is calculated from the two edges vectors, $R_l$ and $R_t$, as:

$$W = |R_l - R_t|$$

[4-4]

In this paper, the authors have utilised the semicircular shape for the port ends as described in Figure 4-2; hence, the full cross-sectional area of the port, $A_f$, can be calculated as follows:

$$A_f = L_p W - W^2 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$$
In order to study the rotor-port interaction, which is the relation between the leading apex of the rotor and the port edges, the position of the rotor leading and trailing edges are defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}_l &= L(2b \sin \theta + 1) \hat{X}_r \\
\mathbf{P}_t &= L(2b \sin \theta - 1) \hat{X}_r
\end{align*}
\]

[4-6]

where \( \hat{X}_r = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \) is a unit vector along the rotor chord, which is shown in Figure 4-2.

The relative locations of the rotor leading apex with respect to the port edges can now be defined using the two scalar quantity, \( s_l \) and \( s_t \), as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{s}_l &= (\mathbf{R}_l \times \hat{X}_r) \cdot (\hat{X}_r \times \hat{Y}_r) \\
\mathbf{s}_t &= (\mathbf{R}_t \times \hat{X}_r) \cdot (\hat{X}_r \times \hat{Y}_r)
\end{align*}
\]

[4-7]

where \( \hat{Y}_r = \begin{bmatrix} - \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \) is a unit vector along the axis perpendicular to the rotor chord (Figure 4-2).

Figure 4-2: The limaçon-to-circular port area
The port areas can now be determined from one of the following four cases:

- Case 1: when $s_t \geq 0$ and $s_t \geq 0$, it is suggested that the port is fully open to the control volume. In this case the port instantaneous area, $A_p$, can be set equal to the full area, $A_f$.

- Case 2: when $s_t \geq 0$ and $s_t < 0$, it is suggested that the port is being progressively opened to the control volume. In this case the port area, $A_p$, can be approximated using the equation $A_p = A_f \frac{|P_l - R_t|}{W}$.

- Case 3: when $s_t < 0$ and $s_t \geq 0$, it is suggested that the port is being progressively shut off from the control volume. In this case the port area, $A_p$, can be approximated using the equation $A_p = A_f \frac{|P_t - R_t|}{W}$.

- Case 4: when $s_t > 0$ and $s_t < 0$, it is suggested that the port is totally shut off from the control volume. The port area, $A_p$, in this case is equal to zero (i.e.: $A_p = 0$).

The above four cases of the port areas can be summarised as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } s_t \geq 0 \text{ and } s_t \geq 0 & \quad A_p = A_f \frac{|P_l - R_t|}{W} \\
\text{if } s_t < 0 \text{ and } s_t > 0 & \quad A_p = A_f \frac{|P_t - R_t|}{W} \\
\text{if } s_t < 0 \text{ and } s_t < 0 & \quad A_p = 0
\end{align*}
\]

[4-8]

The velocity of fluid flow through the port will be studied in the next section.

4.5. Fluid velocity through ports

The flow of the working fluid through the ports of the limaçon machine encounters a sudden expansion and a change in direction, this type of flow is similar to that of the
globe valves; therefore, these limaçon-to-circular machines’ ports can be approximated as globe valves rather than orifices. McNeil (2000), who also referred to the work of Fairhurst (1983) on globe valves, has published work on the approximation of flow in orifice plates, gate valves as well as globe valves.

The flow through ports undergoes an energy conversion process, which can be described as an equation to calculate the velocity at the downstream side of the ports:

\[ U = \sqrt{2(h_u - h_d)} = \sqrt{2\Delta h} \]

[4-9]

where \( h_u \) and \( h_d \) are the up-stream and down-stream isentropic enthalpy, respectively

\( \Delta h \) is an isentropic enthalpy drop along the flow direction

When the losses due to friction and the change in cross-sectional area are taken into account, equation [4-9] can be re-written as a function of the enthalpy drop and the loss coefficient, \( K_p = N_p f \), as follows (Massoud, 2005):

\[ U = \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta h}{K_p}} \]

[4-10]

where \( N_p \) is a specific number given for a specific type of flow and \( f \) is a friction factor for turbulent flow. The friction factor, \( f \), can be calculated using the Colebrook correlation (Munson, Rothmayer, Okiishi, & Huebsch, 2013) as follows:

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} = -2.0 \log \left( \frac{\varepsilon/D}{3.7} + \frac{2.51}{Re\sqrt{f}} \right) \]

[4-11]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the surface roughness, \( D \) is the diameter or hydraulic diameter of the pipe or the flow container, and \( Re \) is the Reynolds number. Equation [4-11], however, requires
iteration to solve for the friction factor, \( f \). Hence, Massoud (2005) suggested that in most engineering applications where smooth pipes are utilised and the flow is fully developed turbulent, the friction factor can be expressed using the McAdams formulation:

\[
f = \frac{0.184}{Re^{0.2}} = \frac{0.184}{\left(\frac{\rho UD}{\mu}\right)^{0.2}}
\]

[4-12]

From the definition of Reynolds number, \( Re = \frac{\rho UD}{\mu} \), equations [4-10] and [4-12] can be utilised to find the velocity of flow through the inlet port of the limaçon-to-circular machine as:

\[
U_i = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{2\Delta h}{0.184N_p} \right)^{\frac{5}{9}} \left( \frac{\rho_{id} D_{id}}{\mu_{id}} \right)^{\frac{1}{9}} & \text{if } \sqrt{2\Delta h} < U_s \\
\frac{U_s^{11}}{\sqrt{0.184N_p}} \left( \frac{\rho_{id} D_{id}}{\mu_{id}} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}} & \text{if } \sqrt{2\Delta h} > U_s 
\end{cases}
\]

[4-13]

where subscript \( i \) represents the flow through the inlet port.

\( \rho_{id}, D_{id}, \text{ and } \mu_{id} \) are the down-stream density, hydraulic diameter, and viscosity, respectively.

\( U_s \) is the speed of sound on the down-stream side of the port.

The above equation can also be used to calculate the velocity of flow through the outlet port by replacing the subscript \( i \) for the inlet, by \( o \) for the outlet. Equation [4-13] can be utilised for both single and two-phase flow situations. In two-phase flow condition, the homogeneous flow model (Fairhurst, 1983) is to be employed and used in line with equations [4-12] and [4-13], in which the equivalent viscosity is obtained using the McAdams’ equation as follows:
\[
\frac{1}{\mu_{id}} = \frac{x}{\mu_{vap}} + \frac{1-x}{\mu_{lid}}
\]

[4-14]

where \( \mu_{id} \) is the equivalent down-stream viscosity of the inlet port

\( \mu_{vap} \), and \( \mu_{lid} \) are the viscosities of the vapour and liquid phase, respectively

\[
x = \frac{\text{mass flowrate of the gas phase}}{\text{total mass flowrate}} = \frac{m_{gas}}{m_{liquid} + m_{gas}}\] is the dryness fraction (Ryley, 1964)

Additionally, the speed of sound of the two-phase flow can be calculated either by using the available REFPROP functions offered by Lemmon et al. (2010) or based on the equilibrium of mechanical, thermal, and fluid phase assumptions, such an approach is detailed in the published work by Lund and Flatten (2010). The accuracy of the speed of sound can also be improved by using the two methods simultaneously.

With the utilisation of the loss coefficient, \( K_p \), and the McAdams formulation, the velocity of fluid flows through the inlet and outlet ports can be calculated using equation [4-13] in a non-iterative manner. Moreover, the employment of the enthalpy difference instead of pressure difference has excluded the need of calculating the expansion factor during the expansion process in two-phase flow condition. Hence, in the following section, the flow velocity equation [4-13] will be put in to use in accordance with the continuity and energy equations in order to obtain a thermodynamic model for the limaçon-to-circular machine.

4.6. The seal dynamics model

It is important to take into account the effect of apex sealing in the thermodynamic performance model of this limaçon-to-circular machine. The apex seal model presented in Chapter 3 has been used to calculate possible leakages between the upper and lower...
chambers through the seal-housing gap. The apex seal model, presented in Chapter 3, has also been utilised to calculate friction between the seal and the machine housing.

4.7. Dynamic response of inlet valve

To control the amount of fluid entering the machine chamber to be expanded, a control valve is fitted at the inlet, as shown in Figure 4-3. The inclusion of an inlet valve has subsequently created an antechamber, $V_3$, which separates the inlet passage and the machine working chambers. Hence, during the machine operation, fluid will need to fill this antechamber before entering the upper chamber instead of flowing directly from the inlet to the upper chamber as in the case of no-inlet-valve design. Figure 4-3 shows that depending on the angular position of the rotor, the antechamber can be exposed to either the upper chamber, the lower chamber, or both at the same time. The inlet valve is of a normally open type and kept open by a spring, $k_v$, as shown in Figure 4-3. The spring force, $k_v\delta_v$, is large enough to keep the valve open even when the top surface of the valve is exposed to pressure from the supply tank. The valve stem is connected to a lever which is then connected to a plunger the motion of which controls the valve opening and closing. Movement of the plunger is controlled by two solenoids installed at the two ends of the stem (Figure 4-3). One solenoid helps closing the valve to cut off fluid supply, the other assists the spring during the valve opening phase. This design aims to reduce the valve response time and ensures that the machine can still functioning in case one or both of the solenoids fail. Of note is the position of the pivot point, this point is located closer to the valve stem than to the plunger; the distances from pivot point to the valve stem and plunger are $a$ and $b$, respectively. The ratio of the arms will help increasing the amount of force acting on the valve stem at the same time reducing the current load on the solenoid coils.
It is now essential to derive the equation of motion of this plunger-lever-valve system. The mass of the plunger, the lever, and the valve are defined as $m_1$, $m_2$, and $m_3$ respectively. The distances the valve and the plunger travel in vertical direction are $z_v$ and $x_v$, respectively; linear motion of the centre of gravity (CG) of the lever in the vertical direction is $y_v$. The potential energy and kinetic energies of the system can be expressed as:

$$PE = \frac{1}{2} k_v (\delta_v + x_v)^2 + m_1 g x_v + m_2 g y_v - m_3 g z_v$$

[4-15]

and
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\[ KE = \frac{1}{2} m_1 \dot{x}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \dot{y}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_3 \dot{z}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_2 \dot{\theta}_v^2 \]  

[4-16]

Equation [4-15] and [4-16] can be rewritten in terms of \( z_v \) and \( \dot{z}_v \) as follows:

\[ PE = \frac{1}{2} k_v \left( \delta_v + z_v \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 + \left[ m_1 \frac{b}{a} + m_2 \left( \frac{b - a}{2a} \right) - m_3 \right] g z_v \]  

[4-17]

and

\[ KE = \frac{1}{2} m_1 \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 \dot{z}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \left( \frac{a + b}{2a} \right)^2 \dot{z}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_3 \dot{z}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{I_2}{a^2} \dot{\theta}_v^2 \]

\[ KE = \frac{1}{2} \left[ m_1 \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 + m_2 \left( \frac{a + b}{2a} \right)^2 + m_3 + \frac{I_2}{a^2} \right] \dot{z}_v^2 \]  

[4-18]

where \( k_v \) is the spring stiffness; \( \delta_v \) is the spring initial deflection. The spring is aligned with the solenoids plungers

\( I_2 \) is the moment of inertia of the lever about the pivot point

\( \dot{x}_v, \dot{y}_v, \) and \( \dot{z}_v \) are the velocity of \( m_1, m_2, \) and \( m_3, \) respectively.

Differentiating the equation of potential energy with respect to \( z_v \) and kinetic energy with respect to \( \dot{z}_v \) give:

\[ \frac{\partial PE}{\partial z_v} = k_v \frac{b}{a} \left( \delta_v + z_v \frac{b}{a} \right) + \left[ m_1 \frac{b}{a} + m_2 \left( \frac{b - a}{2a} \right) - m_3 \right] g \]

[4-19]

and

\[ \frac{\partial KE}{\partial \dot{z}_v} = \left[ m_1 \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 + m_2 \left( \frac{a + b}{2a} \right)^2 + m_3 + \frac{I_2}{a^2} \right] \dot{z}_v \]

[4-20]
Based on the Lagrange energy method, the equation of motion of this system can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ m_1 \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 + m_2 \left( \frac{a + b}{2a} \right)^2 + m_3 \frac{l_2}{a^2} \right] \ddot{z}_v + \dot{c}_{eq} \dot{z}_v + k_z \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 \dot{z}_v &= -k_v \delta_v \left( \frac{b}{a} \right) - \left[ m_1 \frac{b}{a} + m_2 \left( \frac{b-a}{2a} \right) - m_3 \right] g + \Delta P C_d A_v + F_{sl} \frac{b}{a} + F_{sur} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c_{eq}\) is the equivalent damping coefficient;

\(\Delta P = P_{in} - P_3\) is the difference in pressure between the inlet and antechamber;

\(C_d\) is the drag coefficient of the valve, \(0.6 \leq C_d \leq 0.9\)

\(A_v\) is the area of the valve that is subjected to the effect of pressure difference;

\(F_{sl}\) is the force exerted by the solenoids; and

\(F_{sur}\) is the contact force that the valve experiences either from the seat or from the mechanical stopper.

The force \(F_{sur_f}\) can be calculated by using the following algorithm:

\[
\text{if } z_v > s_{st} \quad F_{sur} = -k_{seat} (z_v - s_{st}) - c_{seat} \dot{z}_v \\
\text{else if } z_v < 0 \quad F_{sur} = -k_{stop} z_v - c_{stop} \dot{z}_v \\
\text{else} \quad F_{sur} = 0 
\]

where \(s_{st}\) is the stroke or the maximum motion of the valve stem

\(k_{seat}\) and \(k_{stop}\) are the stiffness of the seat and mechanical stopper, respectively
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$c_{\text{seat}}$ and $c_{\text{stop}}$ are the damping coefficient of the seat and mechanical stopper, respectively.

Yuan and Li (2004), in their paper, present a mathematical model from which force exerted by a solenoid can be calculated. Based on such a model, the solenoid force, $F_{sl}$, for this inlet valve model can be calculated as follows:

$$F_{sl} = \frac{\beta_{s1}}{2} \left( \frac{i_{s1}}{z_{s1} + d_{0s}} \right)^2 - \frac{\beta_{s2}}{2} \left( \frac{i_{s2}}{z_{s2} + d_{0s}} \right)^2$$

[4-22]

where subscript $i = 1$ or 2 are for two-solenoid set up; $i_{s}$ are solenoid currents; $z_{s}$ are the displacements of the plunger relative to the solenoids 1 and 2; $\beta_{s1} = \beta_{s2} = \beta_{s}$ and $d_{0s}$ are given by Yuan and Li (2004);

The displacement of the plunger can be calculated from the relationship with the valve stem displacement as $z_{s1} = \frac{b}{a} z_{v}$ and $z_{s2} = \frac{b}{a} (s_{st} - z_{v})$. The velocities $\dot{z}_{s1}$ and $\dot{z}_{s2}$ depends on the velocity of the valve as follows

$$\dot{z}_{s1} = \frac{b}{a} \dot{z}_{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{z}_{s2} = -\frac{b}{a} \dot{z}_{v}$$

[4-23]

The solenoids are controlled based on the angular position of the rotor. When the inlet valve is required to be opened or closed at a certain rotor angular position, $\theta$, a electrical voltage is applied to the opening or closing solenoids. Before the solenoids can effectively close or open the inlet valve, current flow within the coils need time to build up. This current build-up can be derived from the relationship between voltage and current as follows:
\[ V_{s1} = i_{s1} R_{s1} - \frac{i_{s1} \beta_s}{(z_{s1} + d_{0s})^2} \dot{z}_{s1} + \frac{\beta_s}{z_{s1} + d_{0s}} \frac{d i_{s1}}{dt} \]  

\[ [4-24] \]

which can be rearranged for the change of current with respect to time as:

\[ \frac{d i_{s1}}{dt} = \frac{z_{s1} + d_{0s}}{\beta_s} \left[ V_{s1} - i_{s1} R_{s1} + \frac{\beta_s i_{s1} \dot{z}_{s1}}{(z_{s1} + d_{0s})^2} \right] \]  

\[ [4-25] \]

Similarly, the build-up of current in the second solenoid can be expressed as:

\[ \frac{d i_{s2}}{dt} = \frac{z_{s2} + d_{0s}}{\beta_s} \left[ V_{s2} - i_{s2} R_{s2} + \frac{\beta_s i_{s2} \dot{z}_{s2}}{(z_{s2} + d_{0s})^2} \right] \]  

\[ [4-26] \]

The nonlinear differential equations given by [4-21], [4-25], and [4-26] can be solved simultaneously in an iterative fashion to calculate the valve position and the solenoid currents at every rotor angle, \( \theta \). The voltages that drive the solenoids are given as shown in Figure 4-4 below to open and close the inlet valve at angles which are meant to match the power requirements of the expander for a given demand.

![Figure 4-4: Solenoid control voltage](image-url)
The effective orifice area or the equivalent area of the valve that allows the fluid to flow through during the valve opening phase can be calculated based on a simplified version of Hunt and Davis’s equation as follows (Tuymer & Machu, 2001):

\[
A_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{0.85 \pi D_s(s_{st}-z_v)}{A_v^2}\right)^2}} + \frac{1}{A_v^2}
\]  

[4-27]

Where \( A_v = \frac{\pi}{4} D_s^2 \) and \( D_s \) is the diameter of the valve seat.

The thermodynamic model of limaçon machine is detailed in the next section.

### 4.8. The thermodynamic model

The performance of a limaçon-to-circular machine depends on the derivative \( \frac{dV_{net,b}}{d\theta} \), which can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{dV_{net,b}}{d\theta} = 4bHL^2 \sin \theta
\]  

[4-28]

where \( V_{net,b} \) is volume of the working chamber below the rotor chord previously described in equation [4-2]. Hence, the mass flow rate, \( \dot{m} = \frac{dm}{dt} \), of the fluid inside the working chamber in relation with the fluid density, the available chamber volume, and the rotor angular position can be obtained as:

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = \left(4b\rho HL^2 \sin \theta + V_{net,b} \frac{d\rho}{d\theta} \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \left(4b\rho HL^2 \sin \theta + V_{net,b} \frac{d\rho}{d\theta} \right) \omega
\]  

[4-29]

where \( \rho \), and \( m \) are in turn the instantaneous density and mass of the fluid inside the working chamber at any given rotor angle \( \theta \). The term \( \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \omega \) is the rotor angular
velocity given in $\frac{\text{rad}}{s}$. The change of the fluid mass in the working chamber below the rotor chord can be obtained by rearranging equation [4-29] as follows:

$$\frac{d\rho_b}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{V_{net,b}} \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{dm}{dt} - 4b\rho_bHL^2 \sin \theta \right)$$

[4-30]

where the subscript $b$ denotes “below the rotor”.

When the continuity equation is utilised and the effect of leakage through the sides of the machine and the apex seals are taken into account, equation [4-30] can then be re-written for the change of fluid mass in the two chambers below and above the rotor chord, respectively, as:

$$\frac{d\rho_b}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{V_{net,b}} \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \left( A_{ib\rho_{idb}}U_{ib} - A_{ob\rho_{oadb}}U_{ob} - \dot{m}_{sb} \pm \dot{m}_{asb} \right) - 4b\rho_bHL^2 \sin \theta \right)$$

[4-31]

$$\frac{d\rho_a}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{V_{net,a}} \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \left( A_{ia\rho_{ida}}U_{ia} - A_{oa\rho_{oad}}U_{oa} - \dot{m}_{sa} \mp \dot{m}_{asa} \right) - 4b\rho_aHL^2 \sin \theta \right)$$

[4-32]

where subscripts $i, o, d, a,$ and $b$ denote “inlet”, “outlet”, “down-stream”, “above the rotor chord”, and “below the rotor chord”, respectively.

$\dot{m}_s$ and $\dot{m}_{as}$ are the mass flowrate of leakages of working fluid through the sides of the machine and apex seals, respectively.

The velocity of the fluid through the inlet and outlet ports, $U_i$ and $U_o$, can be calculated by utilising equation [4-13]. The signs $\pm$ show the directions of such leakages, which are governed by the instantaneous value of pressures in the upper and lower chambers.
When the energy transfers to and from the fluid inside the working chamber is considered to occur as an adiabatic process, the following equation can be utilised:

$$\frac{dh_i}{dt} - \frac{dh_o}{dt} = \frac{d(me)}{dt} + \frac{PdV}{dt}$$

[4-33]

where $h_i$ and $h_o$ are the total enthalpy moving in and out of a working chamber, respectively; $e$ is the specific internal energy available in the working chamber. Since, the term internal energy, $e$, can be substituted by $h - pv$, and $m \frac{dh}{dt} = mT \frac{ds}{dt} + V \frac{dp}{dt}$, equation [4-33] can be re-written as:

$$\frac{dh_i}{dt} - \frac{dh_o}{dt} = mT \frac{ds}{dt} + hdm$$

[4-34]

or

$$mT \omega \frac{ds}{d\theta} = (h_i - h) \frac{dm_i}{dt} - (h_o - h) \frac{dm_o}{dt}$$

[4-35]

The above equation can then be manipulated to produce equations, which show the rate of entropy change in the two working chambers per rotor angular rotational angle as below:

$$\frac{ds_b}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\omega \rho_b V_b T_b} \left[ A_{ib} U_{ib} \rho_{idb} (h_{iwb} - h_b) - A_{ob} U_{ob} \rho_{odb} (h_{oub} - h_b) - \dot{m}_s (h_{sb} - h_b) \right]$$

$$\pm \dot{m}_{asb} (h_{asb} - h_b)$$

[4-36]

$$\frac{ds_a}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\omega \rho_a V_a T_a} \left[ A_{ia} U_{ia} \rho_{ida} (h_{iua} - h_a) - A_{oa} U_{oa} \rho_{oda} (h_{oua} - h_a) - \dot{m}_s (h_{sa} - h_b) \right]$$

$$\mp \dot{m}_{asa} (h_{asa} - h_a)$$

[4-37]
where the subscripts $i$, $o$, $d$, $u$, $a$, and $b$ denote “inlet”, “outlet”, “down-stream”, “up-stream”, “above the rotor chord”, and “below the rotor chord”, respectively

$m_s$ and $m_{as}$ are the mass flowrate of leakages of working fluid through the sides of the machine and apex seals

$h_s$ and $h_{as}$ are the enthalpy on the upstream side of the sides and apex seals

Equations [4-31], [4-32], [4-36], and [4-37] can be solved simultaneously to the find the instantaneous values of densities, and entropies below and above the rotor chord, $\rho_b$, $\rho_a$, $s_b$, and $s_a$, of fluid in the two working chambers at each crank angle, $\theta$. At each calculation step, the corresponding values of pressure, $P$, density, $\rho$, and viscosity, $\mu$, can be calculated using the above equations. The instantaneous values for temperature, $T$, and enthalpy, $h$, can be calculated with the assistance of the functions $T(P, s)$, and $h(P, s)$ offered in REFPROP, which can be linked to Matlab for fluid properties calculation (Lemmon, Huber, & McLinden, 2010; National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2016). The REFPROP functions deliver the fluid properties for not only single but also two-phase flows that are accurate enough for the calculations in this paper. In order to achieve further accuracy for the speed of sound in two-phase flow, the REFPROP functions has been utilised in conjunction with the algorithm offered in the published work by Lund and Flatten (2010).

In this paper, the authors investigate one of the embodiments of the limaçon machines; hence, the instantaneous crankshaft torque value can be calculated based on the equation suggested by Sultan (2005), as shown below:

$$\tau = 4bL^2H(P_{cb} - P_{ca}) \sin \theta$$

[4-38]
where the subscript $c, b,$ and $a$ are “chamber”, “below the rotor”, and “above the rotor” respectively.

The calculation process for the machine crankshaft torque has been approached iteratively by applying the thermodynamic model and compute at small crank angle increments within the range $\theta_{li} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{li} + \pi$ in which the $\theta_{li}$ is angular position of the inlet port leading edge. The values of pressure and density below the rotor at the start of the cycle, $P_{cb}(\theta_{li})$ and $\rho_{cb}(\theta_{li})$, respectively, are compared with the corresponding values of pressure and density above the rotor chord at the end of the cycle, $P_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)$ and $\rho_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)$. At the same time, the values of pressure and density above the rotor at the start of the cycle, $P_{ca}(\theta_{li})$ and $\rho_{ca}(\theta_{li})$, respectively, are compared with the corresponding values of pressure and density below the rotor at the end of the cycle, $P_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)$ and $\rho_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)$. The comparison process is done by using the dimensionless error expression shown below:

$$
\epsilon = \left[ \left( \frac{P_{cb}(\theta_{li}) - P_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{P_l} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\rho_{cb}(\theta_{li}) - \rho_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{\bar{\rho}_l} \right)^2 
+ \left( \frac{P_{ca}(\theta_{li}) - P_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{P_u} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\rho_{ca}(\theta_{li}) - \rho_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{\bar{\rho}_u} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
$$

[4-39]

where $P_l = \frac{P_{cb}(\theta_{li}) + P_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{2}, \rho_l = \frac{\rho_{cb}(\theta_{li}) + \rho_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{2}, P_u = \frac{P_{ca}(\theta_{li}) + P_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{2}, \text{ and } \bar{\rho}_u = \frac{\rho_{ca}(\theta_{li}) + \rho_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)}{2}$ are the pressure and density coefficients used to calculate the error.

If the value of error expression, $\epsilon$, is larger than a small value defined by the designer, the values of $P_{cb}(\theta_{li}), P_{cb}(\theta_{li}), P_{ca}(\theta_{li}), \text{ and } \rho_{ca}(\theta_{li})$ are set to be equal to $P_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi), \rho_{ca}(\theta_{li} + \pi), P_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi), \text{ and } \rho_{cb}(\theta_{li} + \pi)$, respectively. The calculation process is then repeated over the range of $\theta \in [\theta_{li}, \theta_{li} + \pi]$ until the outcome of the error expression
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falls below the predefined value, which reflects the cyclical nature of the thermodynamic process. Once the convergence is achieved, the total energy per one cycle, $E_{cycle}$, can be calculated by utilising the following equation:

$$E_{cycle} = 2 \delta \theta \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{sn} - \frac{\tau_{sn} + \tau_{s1}}{2} \right)$$

[4-40]

where $\delta \theta$ is the size of the angular interval, $n \in [1, N]$ is the counter for the successive points on the torque curve, and $N$ is the total number of intervals on the torque curve.

With the same approach, the total mass flow through the machine in one cycle, $M_{cycle}$, can be calculated using the expression below:

$$M_{cycle} = 2 \frac{\delta \theta}{\omega} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} [(A_{ib} \rho_{idb} U_{ib})_n + (A_{ia} \rho_{ida} U_{ia})_n] - \frac{(A_{ib} \rho_{idb} U_{ib})_n + (A_{ib} \rho_{idb} U_{ib})_1}{2} - \frac{(A_{ia} \rho_{ida} U_{ia})_n + (A_{ia} \rho_{ida} U_{ia})_1}{2} \right\}$$

[4-41]

The machine overall efficiency can be calculated from the total energy per one cycle and total mass flow through the machine as follows:

$$\eta_0 = \frac{E_{cycle}}{M_{cycle} \Delta h_{is}}$$

[4-42]

Where $\Delta h_{is}$ is the difference between the enthalpy in the inlet manifold and its isentropically reduced value in the discharge manifold. It should be highlighted that in order to account for the fact that for each full rotation of the shaft, the limaçon-to-circular
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machine has two fluid expanding cycles, hence, a multiplication by two is incorporated in equations [4-40] and [4-41].

4.9. Numerical illustrations

The study of this limaçon-to-circular expander utilises organic gas R-245fa as a working fluid. Saturated R-245fa is made available at an absolute pressure of 10 bars and temperature of 145°C. The working fluid will be expanded from 10 bars to the pressure of 1 bar at the outlet manifold. The expander is allowed to run at various angular velocity i.e.: 800, 1200, 1500, and 1800 RPM and various values of antechamber, $V_3$. Some of the machine dimensions such as rotor half-chord length, $L$, limaçon aspect ratio, $b$, limaçon-to-circular design factor, $a$, radial clearance, $\Delta_{min}$, rotor depth multiplication factor, $\beta$, and clearance volume factor, $\mu$, will be used as constant in this study. These dimensions, which have been previously optimised for limaçon-to-circular machine (Phung, Sultan, & Boretti, 2016), are shown in Table 4-1 below. The limaçon rotor axial depth is given as $H = \beta L$.

Table 4-1: Dimensions of the limaçon-to-circular machine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor half-chord length, $L$</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limaçon aspect ratio, $b$</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The design factor, $a$</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial clearance, $\Delta_{min}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor depth multiplication factor, $\beta$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance volume factor, $\mu$</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the following table, the values for inlet and outlet port angular positions, angular widths, and lengths are set as:
Table 4-2: Limaçon machine’s port geometries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet port angular position, $\theta_{li}$</td>
<td>$-25^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet port angular position, $\theta_{lo}$</td>
<td>$140^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet port angular width, $\Delta \theta_i$</td>
<td>$31.78^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet port angular width, $\Delta \theta_o$</td>
<td>$32.9^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet port length, $L_o$</td>
<td>$71 \text{ mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet port length, $L_i$</td>
<td>$107 \text{ mm}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A representation of chamber pressure and temperature against the crank angle, $\theta$, and the pressure-volume relationship can be found in Figure 4-5 and Figure 4-6 as below:

*Figure 4-5: Chamber pressure and temperature against crank angle*
Volume of the antechamber, $V_3$, also affect the pressure within the machine working chamber as shown in Figure 4-7. When volume of antechamber is small, pressure inside the machine working chamber tends to drop further compared to the case where antechamber volume is large. This is also true with the temperature drop in the working chamber (Figure 4-7). The larger the antechamber gets, fluid supply to the limaçon machine is more sufficient which can help the machine utilising the pressure difference between the inlet and outlet more effectively. Moreover, increasing the antechamber volume, of course to a certain extent, also means that the inlet valve doesn’t need to stay open for a long period of time to supply working fluid; this effect will be shown later in this section.
The magnitude of solenoid voltages $V_{c1}$ and $V_{c2}$ can be set by the designer based on the operational condition of limaçon machine; here, these voltages were set equal as $V_{c1} = V_{c2} = V_c = 75\, \text{Volt}$. The valve opening and closing with respect to rotor angular displacement at different RPMs and antechamber volumes are shown in Figure 4-8; of note is that the valve stroke is set at 5 mm (Figure 4-8).
In this design, the valve is fully open when valve motion, $z_v = 0$; when $z_v = 5 \text{ mm}$, the valve is fully closed. Figure 4-8 shows the valve action with the help from solenoids at various angular velocities. It is worth noting that when voltage is supplied to the coils, the solenoid currents need to build-up before the solenoids can jump into action to close the valve and cut off fluid supply or assist the valve spring in the vale opening phase. Such current build-ups are shown in Figure 4-9. Obviously, the valve displacement, $z_v$, increases or decreases gradually according to solenoid responses. Figure 4-8 also shows that the valve can stay open for a much longer period at lower RPM – shown as solid blue curve – compared to higher RPM – shown as red or solid black curve. This results in better fluid supply for the antechamber and consequently the machine working chamber. The solid magenta curve shown in Figure 4-8, in particular, is an indication that the inlet valve cannot achieve fully close position. This is due to the relatively slow response time of the solenoids compared to the machine angular velocity. This problem can be easily overcome when faster response time solenoids are used in limaçon machines that operate at higher RPM.
Figure 4-10 and Figure 4-11 show linear and angular magnitudes of the seal motion as well as the seal-housing forces at 800 and 1800 RPM, respectively. The forces exerted between the seal and housing when the machine runs at 1800 RPM is significantly higher than that of the 800 RPM. In fact, this behaviour is expected since at lower RPM the difference in pressure between the machine chamber and the outlet is much greater as shown in Figure 4-7. This pressure difference tends to push the seal against one side of the seal groove and help reducing both linear and angular displacement of the seal. At higher RPM, the centrifugal force on the seal together with the lower in pressure difference have seen seal motion inside the seal groove and seal-housing force increasing. Such an increase in seal-housing force will result in higher friction force between the seal tip and the machine housing surface which will adversely affect the machine performance. Hence it is critical to find an optimum seal spring stiffness, inlet valve settings, volume of antechamber, and RPM to maximise the machine output power.

**Figure 4-10: Seal displacements and seal-housing force @800 RPM and \( V_3 = 2.85 V_{\text{max}} \)**
The overall efficiencies of the limaçon machine at different RPM and values of antechamber $V_3$, as shown in Figure 4-12, can be calculated using equations [4-40], [4-41], and [4-42]. It is worth noting that although the amount of friction loss between seal and housing increases with the increase of RPM, the overall efficiency of the machine improves significantly at higher speed.

Figure 4-12: Limaçon machine overall efficiencies at different RPM
4.10. Conclusion

This paper investigates the thermodynamic performance of the limaçon-to-circular machines; the inlet and outlet ports’ angular positions, angular widths, and lengths of the limaçon-to-circular machines have been previously optimised based on the operational condition. The seal dynamic models as well as the inlet valve dynamics response have been taken into account to demonstrate and compare the effectiveness and efficiency of the machine due to sealing and inlet valve inclusion.

Nomenclatures

\[ 2L \] : the limaçon chord length

\[ XY \] : stationary frame

\[ X_r, Y_r \] : moving frame

\[ o \] : limaçon pole

\[ p_l, p_t \] : leading and trailing apices

\[ \theta \] : crank angle

\[ r \] : radius of the base circle

\[ m \] : centre point of the chord

\[ R \] : radius of the circular segment

\[ C \] : clearance value

\[ b \] : limaçon aspect ratio

\[ V_{net} \] : volume of the working chamber

\[ H \] : the expander’s housing depth

\[ R_l, R_t \] : position vector of the ports’ leading and trailing edges
\( \mathbf{R}_l, \mathbf{R}_t \) : leading and trailing unit vectors

\( \theta_l, \theta_t \) : angular positions of the leading and trailing edges

\( L_p \) : port length

\( W \) : port width

\( A_f \) : cross sectional area of the port

\( A_p \) : instantaneous value of the port area

\( P_l, P_t \) : position of the rotor’s leading and trailing edges

\( \mathbf{X}_r \) : unit vector along the rotor chord

\( \mathbf{Y}_r \) : unit vector along the axis perpendicular to the rotor chord

\( s_l, s_t \) : relative locations of the rotor leading and trailing apices with respect to the port leading and trailing edges

\( U \) : velocity of the fluid

\( h_u, h_d \) : upstream and downstream isentropic enthalpy

\( \Delta h \) : isentropic enthalpy drop along the flow direction

\( K_p \) : the loss coefficient

\( f \) : friction factor

\( Re \) : Reynolds number

\( \rho \) : density of the working fluid

\( \mu \) : viscosity

\( x \) : dryness fraction
\( \dot{m} \): mass flow rate

\( \tau \): crankshaft torque

\( \epsilon \): error expression used to end the cyclical iteration

\( \Phi \): design vector

\( E_{cycle} \): total energy per cycle

\( \delta \theta \): size of the angular interval

\( M_{cycle} \): total mass flow per cycle

\( \omega \): angular velocity of the rotor

\( m_1, m_2, m_3 \): mass of the plunger, the lever, and the inlet valve

\( k_v, k_{stop}, k_{seat} \): stiffness of the valve spring, the mechanical stopper, and the seat

\( c_{seat}, c_{stop} \): damping coefficient of the seat and mechanical stopper

\( \delta_v \): valve spring initial deflection

\( I_2 \): moment of inertia of the lever about the pivot point

\( x_v, y_v, z_v \): displacement of the plunger, lever, and valve

\( \dot{x}_v, \dot{y}_v, \dot{z}_v \): velocity of the pluger, lever, and valve

\( \ddot{z}_v \): acceleration of the valve

\( F_{si} \): force exerted by the solenoids

\( F_{sur} \): contact force that the valve experiences

\( V_3 \): volume of the antechamber

\( \Delta P \): difference in pressure between the inlet and antechamber
\( A_v \) : area of the vale that is subjected to the effect of pressure difference

\( i_{sl} \) : solenoid currents

\( V_{sl} \) : solenoid supplied voltage

\( z_{si} \) : displacements of the plunger relative to the solenoids 1 and 2
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Chapter 5: Conclusions and recommendations for future work

In this thesis, a new embodiment of the limaçon machine has been proposed in Chapter 2. This embodiment is referred to as the limaçon-to-circular machine, which reflects the profiles of the machine rotor and housing, respectively. Prior to this, Sultan (2007; 2008) had introduced the details of the limaçon-to-limaçon and circolimaçon designs, which are the other embodiments of this technology. The limaçon-to-limaçon design requires both the rotor and housing to be manufactured to the limaçon curve. Due to the complexity of the limaçon profile, the machine rotor and housing fabrication process has been subjected to technical challenges. The rotor and the housing of the circolimaçon machine are both manufactured of circular arcs, a feature which presents a sealing challenge due to the fact that the clearance between the rotor apex and the machine housing varies periodically as the machine operates. The limaçon-to-circular design developed in this thesis reduces the complexity present in the fabrication of limaçon rotors, hence reducing the production cost associated with the limaçon-to-limaçon design. At the same time, the proposed design maintains excellent sealing efficiency of the limaçon-to-limaçon design.

The limaçon-to-circular design requires an in-depth geometric modelling in relation to the rotor-housing interference and volumetric characteristics. Two mathematical approaches, tangent based and radial clearance based methods, have been developed and utilised to study the rotor-housing interference challenge. Subsequently, in the Chapter 2, the volumetric characteristics of such a design has been discussed in detail. In addition to that, an optimisation procedure has been employed to calculate the optimum dimensions for the machine based on certain operational requirements. The results obtained in that chapter confirmed the validity of the prosed geometric models and their suitability to
produce embodiments optimised for certain operating constraints and capacity requirements.

The examples presented in Chapters 3 and 4 confirm that the dynamic model developed in Chapter 3 to describe the non-linear multidegree of freedom vibration of the apex seal is useful to investigate the seal behaviour as well as the machine thermal performance. The contact forces between the seal and machine housing and between the seal and seal groove, the spring and damping forces as well as the pressure forces from the upper and lower working chambers exert on the seal surfaces have been taken into account in this seal vibration model. From the developed seal dynamic model, the seal-groove interaction has been categorised into nine different cases based on the type of seal-groove back-contact and front-contact. The cases have been labelled as two-digit numbers in which the first digit represents the type of back-contact and the second digit is the type of front-contact as detailed in section 3.5.4. The results obtained from the seal model highlight the potential for modifying some design characteristics in order to ensure more reliable operation for the machine.

In Chapter 4, the seal performance and its influence on the overall performance of the machine has been examined by incorporating the seal dynamic model presented in Chapter 3 to the limaçon-to-circular machine thermodynamic model. Additionally, the dynamic model of inlet valve, which includes its time response to a reference input voltage has also been developed and incorporated into the machine thermodynamic characterisation model. The effectiveness of the seal and response of the inlet valve have been demonstrated in this chapter.

The work presented in the thesis has been successful in answering research questions in relation to the design and characterisation of the limaçon positive displacement gas expander. However, some new questions have emerged, in the process of demonstrating
the results arrived at in the thesis, and these questions present great opportunities for future research projects. For example, the effect of seal vibration on the surface quality and material selection of both the housing and the seal groove stands out as interesting research project to undertake. Since gas expanders are meant to handle gasses at high temperatures, the manner in which the heat transfers from the rotating chambers via the round housing to surrounding should be investigated in a future research project as well. Another interesting future research project is the manner in which the inlet valve can be used reliably to control the expander performance at variable loads and minimise the amount of working fluid needed to achieve a certain outcome. Also the angular locations of the inlet and discharge ports and the effect of these locations on the machine performance are to be investigated. These are only some examples of future research project which were flagged by the outcome of the thesis.
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