

Pacific University CommonKnowledge

College of Optometry

Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects

5-2002

Revising the vision screening forms

Rebecca J. Czerwinski Pacific University

Recommended Citation

Czerwinski, Rebecca J., "Revising the vision screening forms" (2002). *College of Optometry*. 1392. https://commons.pacificu.edu/opt/1392

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects at CommonKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Optometry by an authorized administrator of CommonKnowledge. For more information, please contact CommonKnowledge@pacificu.edu.

Revising the vision screening forms

Abstract

School screenings are used to identify children with possible optometric concerns as well as serve as an educational tool for parents. In an effort to effectively screen schoolchildren, an intake form was composed to be taken home for the parent/guardian of the child to complete and return to the individual(s) conducting the screening. A form was also composed for the children to take home to their parents explaining the specific areas of screenings and in which area the child may have failed. The forms were designed to be simple and easy to understand.

Degree Type Thesis

Degree Name Master of Science in Vision Science

Committee Chair Suzanne Scott

Subject Categories Optometry

Copyright and terms of use

If you have downloaded this document directly from the web or from CommonKnowledge, see the "Rights" section on the previous page for the terms of use.

If you have received this document through an interlibrary loan/document delivery service, the following terms of use apply:

Copyright in this work is held by the author(s). You may download or print any portion of this document for personal use only, or for any use that is allowed by fair use (Title 17, §107 U.S.C.). Except for personal or fair use, you or your borrowing library may not reproduce, remix, republish, post, transmit, or distribute this document, or any portion thereof, without the permission of the copyright owner. [Note: If this document is licensed under a Creative Commons license (see "Rights" on the previous page) which allows broader usage rights, your use is governed by the terms of that license.]

Inquiries regarding further use of these materials should be addressed to: CommonKnowledge Rights, Pacific University Library, 2043 College Way, Forest Grove, OR 97116, (503) 352-7209. Email inquiries may be directed to:.copyright@pacificu.edu

REVISING THE VISION SCREENING FORMS

By

REBECCA J. CZERWINSKI

A thesis submitted to the faculty of the College of Optometry Pacific University Forest Grove, Oregon For the degree of Doctor of Optometry May 2002

> Advisor: Suzanne Scott, OD, FAAO

> > PACIFIC UNIVERSITY LIBRARY FOREST GROVE, OREGON

REVISING THE VISION SCREENING FORMS

Author: Rebecca Czerwinski

Advisor:

lot-C Suzanne D. Scott, OD, FAAO

Rebecca Czerwinski Revising the Vision Screening Forms Advisor: Dr. Suzanne Scott

Biography

Rebecca Czerwinski is originally from Lisle, Illinois. She did her undergraduate work at Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri. On a full tuition scholarship for four years, she completed her degree in biology with a minor in Russian in 1996. Rebecca became interested in optometry while working at her optometrist's office throughout high school and college. She has been an active participant in the Amigos program and a recipient of the Peg Gilbert Award at Pacific University, College of Optometry. Rebecca plans to return to the Midwest after graduation and eventually work in a private practice.

Abstract

School screenings are used to identify children with possible optometric concerns as well as serve as an educational tool for parents. In an effort to effectively screen schoolchildren, an intake form was composed to be taken home for the parent/guardian of the child to complete and return to the individual(s) conducting the screening. A form was also composed for the children to take home to their parents explaining the specific areas of screenings and in which area the child may have failed. The forms were designed to be simple and easy to understand.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Suzanne Scott for her help throughout the project. With her experience and input, this project has been both helpful to myself as well as to the schoolchildren at our screening.

.

Introduction

The purpose of this project was to create a useful tool for the Pacific University Family Vision Center's screening procedure. As stated by the American Optometric Association on its guidelines for vision screenings:

"Vision screening should be part of a larger vision conservation program which has as its aim prevention of vision conditions which may preclude an individual from reaching his full personal or educational potential or performing satisfactorily in his environment."

With this thought in mind, a new intake and results from was created to identify more children at risk as well as educate parents on the necessity of eyecare for their children. In the Baltimore Vision Screening Project, one of the possibilities listed for the lack of adequate follow-up care to a screening was the lack of understanding by the parent of the importance of a failed vision screening.² The intent of the intake form was to provide individuals screening children more information and enhance their ability to detect potential problems. By sending the form home, parents/guardians are more aware of the vision screening as well. Very few other screening projects in the literature describe any sort of intake form or history form. But, efforts have been made by Dr. Thomson and Dr. Evans in England who constructed a vision screening program utilizing a computer program to analyze case history information and data taken from testing on the computer.³ The computer then produces a summary describing the areas of deficiency, the different possible causes and timeline of when a child should see an eyecare professional. They found that the high return rate of the questionnaires indicated strong support by the parents. This is also the hope of our endeavour. In an effort to increase parent/guardian awareness of the need for early optometric care, a "Results of Vision Screening" form was created. The results form would better explain a failed screening in that it informs the parent/guardian which area was failed and how soon the child should receive a comprehensive vision exam from a healthcare professional. In a study performed in Rochester, Minnesota, the examiners found that the "average lag time between a first failed screen and a visit to an eye care professional was almost two years."⁴ Our results form was created in an effort to combat that problem.

Methods

The intake and results form were created from previous forms used for screenings and forms used in the pediatric clinic at Pacific University Family Vision Centers. The intake form (see appendix A) compiled various symptoms that could be identified by parents that would aid the screening process. Questions were chosen to heighten the screener's awareness to signs that could indicate a potential problem for the child who is screened. The results form (Appendix B) was created as an educational tool as well. Two texts *Eye Care for Infants and Young Children* and *Clinical Pediatric Optometry*, were used to construct the areas of concern. In addition, the AOA website <u>www.aoa.com</u> supplied the information as to what ages a child should receive a comprehensive vision exam.

Results

In the test run, the forms were used at a screening of fifteen schoolchildren between 3 and 6 years of age. A questionnaire (Appendix C) was given to the five optometry students screening these children. Results of the questionnaire were as follows:

- 5 of 5 respondents had been on a screening before
- 5 of 5 respondents found the intake form useful
- 5 of 5 respondents thought the intake form should be used on future screenings
- 5 of 5 respondents thought the results form was useful
- 5 of 5 respondents thought the results form was easy for the parents to understand
- 5 of 5 respondents felt the results form should be used on future screenings

Comments on the intake form were as follows:

- "good to know what to look for"
- useful because "you didn't have to ask all of the history questions. You could just start testing and that is good for kid exams."
- "clear and easy to read. Quick summary of patient's history."

Comments on the results form were as follows:

- "good for parents to see results."
- "It explained the exam in writing."

 "it summarizes the child's complete visual symptom. Gives parents a better understanding."

Recommended changes to either form were as follows:

 "if you use the screening form for parents to fill out, they may not always be truthful since they want their kid to pass the screening."

Discussion

I feel the forms proved useful in our screening process. All five respondents who participated in the screening found both forms useful and recommended little to no change in either one. In criticism, it would have been better to test the forms at more than one screening, but time did not permit. Feedback on the results form also showed the educational use of the form to be sent home to the parents. Although testing of the forms was limited, it is highly recommended that these forms continue to be used at all screenings in the future.

References

- American Optometric Association, Vision and School Health Committee. American Optometric Association Guidelines on Vision Screening. May 1979.
- Preslan K., A. Novak. Baltimore Vision Screening Project Phase 2. Ophthalmology 1998; 105: 150-153.
- Thomson W., B. Evans. A New Approach to Vision Screening in Schools. Ophthal. Physiol. Opt. 1999; 19: 192-209.
- Yawn B., E. Lydick, R. Epstein, S. Jacobsen. Is School Vision Screening Effective? Journal of School Health 1996; 66: 171-175.
- Press L., B. Moore. Clincal Pediatric Optometry. Boston: Butterworth-Heineman, 1993.
- Moore, B. Eye Care for Infants and Young Children. Boston: Butterworth-Heineman, 1997.

Appendix A



PACIFIC UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRY

VISION SCREENING FORM

Child's Name	hild's Name					Date		
Person completing form								
Relationship to child								
Child History								
When was child's last eye	exam by	an opto	ometrist	or other ey	vecare profes	sional?		
Does she/he wear glasses					for how long	?		
When was his/her last me	dical exan	n?						
Is the child taking any me	dications	?				-		
Has the child had (circle	one)							
eye surgery	Yes	No	If so,	when?				
	Yes	No	If so,	when?				
head injury	Yes	No	If so,	when?				
eye infections		No	If so,	when?				
eye that turns		No	If so,	when?				
red eyes		No	If so,	when?				
watery eyes	Yes	No	If so,	when?				
headaches			If so,	when?				
	Yes	No	If so,	when?				
Does the child (circle one)								
	avoid reading/close work				Sometimes			
rubbing of eyes				3 5 5	Sometimes			
have difficulty remembering what is read				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sometimes	- C.		
	lose her/his place when reading				Sometimes			
close/cover one eye when reading				Always	Sometimes	Never		
whisper to self while reading				Always	Sometimes	Never		
take longer for homework than should					Sometimes			
move tongue/head often while reading				Always	Sometimes	Never		
hold reading material close					Sometimes			
complain of not being able to see far away				Always	Sometimes	Never		
complain of car sickness				Always	Sometimes	Never		
tires while reading				Always	Sometimes	Never		
theo while roughing				the second s	Sometimes			

Appendix B



PACIFIC UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRY

Results of Vision Screening

Age:_		Grade: School:
Crite	eria	Date:
Met	Not	
	Met	
		Distance Visual Acuity: (clarity of distant objects)
		Poor distance vision gives children difficulty in seeing the blackboard, for example. The child may also get carsick or have trouble recognizing face on the playground.
		Near Visual Acuity: (clarity of near objects)
		Poor near vision gives children difficulty in reading and other such near tasks that can result in headaches, eye pain, and even poor performance in school, for example.
		Refractive Condition: (nearsighted, farsighted, astigmatism)
		A measurement of the amount of nearsightedness, farsightedness or astigmatism you child has. Nearsightedness causes reduced distance visual acuity. Farsightedness causes a person to work harder than others to see up close. Astigmatism may cause a person to see poorly both far away and near.
		Eye Health
		This is the screening of the health of the eyeball itself. A person may have perfect acuity both far and near but an eyeball that shows disease.
		Binocularity (how well the two eyes work together)
		Failure in this area can lead to difficulties in reading, poor comprehension when reading and a decrease in visual acuity in one eye. It can also result in headaches and eye aches. Symptoms may include covering an eye while reading, losing place while reading and pointing while reading. Other

Recommendation:

_____All criteria are met. A professional comprehensive vision exam is recommended in the next ____ year(s.)

____Criteria are not met. A comprehensive vision exam is recommended as soon as possible.

*The American Optometric Association recommends a comprehensive vision exam by an optometrist or other eyecare professional at 6 months of age, 3 years, 5 years, and every two years after that for school age children

NORTHEAST 5329 NE M.L.K. Jr. Blvd. Portland, OR 97217 Phone (503) 248-3821 Fax (503) 248-3809 FOREST GROVE 2043 College Way Forest Grove, OR 97116 Phone (503) 357-5800 Fax (503) 359-2261 SOUTHEAST 3653 SE 34th Avenue Portland, OR 97202 Phone (503) 248-3506 Fax (503) 248-3887 PORTLAND 511 SW 10th Avenue Suite 500 Portland, OR 97205 Phone (503) 224-2323 Fax (503) 241-0222 Appendix C

QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Have you been on a screening before?	Yes	No
2. Did you find the new intake form useful? If yes, how useful was it? If no, why not?	Yes	No

3. Do you think the new intake form should be used on future screenings? Yes No

4. Did you think the Results form was useful? Yes No If yes, how useful was it? If no, why not?

5. Did you think the Results form was easy for a parent to understand? Yes No6. Do you think the Results form should be used on future screenings? Yes No7. What changes would you recommend to either of the forms?