# Pacific University CommonKnowledge

College of Optometry

Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects

4-6-1987

# Line isolation versus letter isolation in distance phoria and duction testing: Is there a difference?

Behnam Fouladian Pacific University

Brad C. Richardson *Pacific University* 

# **Recommended Citation**

Fouladian, Behnam and Richardson, Brad C., "Line isolation versus letter isolation in distance phoria and duction testing: Is there a difference?" (1987). *College of Optometry*. 760. https://commons.pacificu.edu/opt/760

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects at CommonKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Optometry by an authorized administrator of CommonKnowledge. For more information, please contact CommonKnowledge@pacificu.edu.

# Line isolation versus letter isolation in distance phoria and duction testing: Is there a difference?

# Abstract

An isolated column of 20/20 letters is typically the target used to measure the distance lateral phoria and the distance lateral ductions. The above tests were run on 30 subjects and statistically compared with results of the same test procedures performed on the same subjects using an isolated 20/60 letter as the target. Analysis disclosed no statistical differences for either test target for distance lateral phorias or lateral ductions. The isolated 20/60 letter target provides not only sufficient phoria and duction information at the far point but also simplifies and facilitates the examination sequence for subject and examiner alike.

Degree Type Thesis

Degree Name Master of Science in Vision Science

**Committee Chair** Dr. William E. Preston, O.D.

Keywords lateral phoria, lateral duction

Subject Categories Optometry

# Copyright and terms of use

If you have downloaded this document directly from the web or from CommonKnowledge, see the "Rights" section on the previous page for the terms of use.

# If you have received this document through an interlibrary loan/document delivery service, the following terms of use apply:

Copyright in this work is held by the author(s). You may download or print any portion of this document for personal use only, or for any use that is allowed by fair use (Title 17, §107 U.S.C.). Except for personal or fair use, you or your borrowing library may not reproduce, remix, republish, post, transmit, or distribute this document, or any portion thereof, without the permission of the copyright owner. [Note: If this document is licensed under a Creative Commons license (see "Rights" on the previous page) which allows broader usage rights, your use is governed by the terms of that license.]

Inquiries regarding further use of these materials should be addressed to: CommonKnowledge Rights, Pacific University Library, 2043 College Way, Forest Grove, OR 97116, (503) 352-7209. Email inquiries may be directed to:.copyright@pacificu.edu Line Isolation Versus Letter Isolation in Distance Phoria and Duction Testing: Is There a Difference?

AND CLUDE OF

Ren manager street

5

C.1 .

Authors: Behnam Fouladian and Brad C. Richardson

Advisor: Dr. William E. Preston, O.D.

The following thesis was completed in fulfillment of a graduation requirement at Pacific University College of Optometry. Forest Grove, Oregon.

April 6, 1987.

PACIFIC UNIVERSITY LIBRARY FOREST GROVE, OREGON

## Line Isolation Versus Letter Isolation in Distance Phoria and Duction Testing: Is There a Difference?

Authors:

ç

Ê

Behnam Fouladian \_ Behnang Jarfadim

Brad C. Richardson Brad C. Richardson

Advisor:

Dr. William E. Preston, O.D. William & Pry

The Authors

Behnam Fouladian was born February 2, 1957 in Tehran Iran. After receiving a diploma in Biology in Iran, he continued his education in the United States. In 1980 he received an associate degree of Applied Science in Optical Technology from Portland Community College in Portland Oregon. Upon completion of his Pre-Optometry program at Portland State University, Behnam was accepted to Pacific University where he received a B.S. in Visual Science in 1984. Behnam is currently completing his Doctorate at the Pacific University College of Optometry.

Brad C. Richardson was born November 19, 1957 in Forest Grove Oregon. Brad attended Brigham Young University in Provo Utah for 2 years and completed his B.A. in French at Portland State University in 1984. While attending Portland State University, he was a member of the Phi Sigma Iota Honor Society in Foreign Languages. Brad is currently completing his Doctorate at the Pacific University College of Optometry. Abstract

An isolated column of 20/20 letters is typically the target used to measure the distance lateral phoria and the distance lateral ductions. The above tests were run on 30 subjects and statistically compared with results of the same test procedures performed on the same subjects using an isolated 20/60 letter as the target. Analysis disclosed no statistical differences for either test target for distance lateral phorias or lateral ductions. The isolated 20/60 letter target provides not only sufficient phoria and duction information at the far point but also simplifies and facilitates the examination sequence for subject and examiner alike.

Keywords: lateral phoria, lateral duction

A TO AN UNDER

#### Acknowledgments

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

A serve must be a

A LEAST LAND A

Arrest .

We appreciate the participation of all the students who cooperated as subjects in this project and took time away from their rigorous study schedules. We are also mindful of the rooms and equipment that were scheduled in our behalf. Finally, we are grateful to Dr. Preston as our advisor not only for our hypothesis but for his expert, practical and clinical insight.

#### Introduction

In the past, a column of 20/20 acuity letters has been used as a standard distance phoria and duction test target. A phoria describes the relative alignment of the eyes to a test target when the view of the left eye is prevented from fusing with the view of the right eye. This may be achieved through a variety of dissociation methods such as a maddox rod, polaroid filters, septums, occluders, prisms, mirrors and so forth. Ductions, on the other hand, are vergence tests which measure fusional reserves. Ductions measure the end point of fusion at a given distance when, under binocular conditions, prism is introduced base in (abduction) and base out (adduction) until diplopia is reported (i.e. the "break" measurement of the duction). At this point the prism power is reduced until "fusion" of the target is reported (i.e. the "recovery" measurement of the duction). Ductions are recorded in fractional form - break/recovery. During base out duction testing, a subjective "blur" often occurs before the "break"; this blur is termed "true advergence". In duction appraisal, as in phoria measurement, visual acuity is not a factor. Given this information, does an isolated 20/60 letter target provide the same phoria and duction information at far as the standard column of 20/20 letters?

ALCONTRACTOR ALCONTRA

Hypothesis: There is no statistical difference between a 20/20 column and a 20/60 isolated letter test target when measuring distance phorias and ductions.

The project consisted of a within subject design where test results were assumed to have a normal distribution. Because of the small number of subjects investigated (i.e. N=30), the small sample parametric t-test was used to analyze the data. Since the data was paired, each subject provided both the control data and the test data. The phoria and duction results obtained with the 20/20 column test target represented the control data. The test results obtained from the isolated 20/60 test target represented the test data. All subjects were randomly selected.

#### Subjects

30 optometry students were selected as the subject population. Their ages ranged from 22 to 42. Refractive errors ranged from +2.00D to -7.50D sphere with no more than 1.00D of cylinder. The population included 9 females and 21 males. None of the 30 subjects had ocular or systemic pathology or were currently taking any medication. The only exclusion criteria for the sample population was that a best corrected distance acuity of 20/20 or better be attainable

#### Methodology

Each subject was randomly selected from the Optometry Clinic patient files and screened for a minimum visual acuity potential of 20/20.

Each subject was personally contacted by Behnam Fouladian or Brad Richardson to arrange for a time of testing.

Each subject was scheduled for a 10 minute time slot in accordance with the availability of the Low Vision exam room in the Optometry Clinic. All subjects were tested in this room.

Each subject was asked to read and sign the Informed Consent form prior to testing

Each subject was seated in the examination chair and the format of the experiment briefly explained as follows:

"We will be performing 4 tests using two different test targets. You will be asked to view the target at the end of the room through the prisms in this instrument (phoroptor). You will be asked to make a response on each test based upon a set of instructions given to you prior to each test. You needn't be concerned about giving incorrect answers."

Each subjects best correction was then dialed into the phoroptor and their visual acuity verified by asking them to call out the letters of a 20/20 line. If a 20/20 visual acuity was not obtained, the following auxillary tests were then performed:

Retinoscopy
J.C.C. for cylinder axis and power check
Binocular balance

If a 20/20 visual acuity was still not obtained, the subject would be dismissed since a minimum 20/20 visual acuity is essential to the test. None of the subjects in the experiment were dismissed.

Contact lens wearers were tested through their contact lenses.

Each subject drew 4 cards in sequence. Each card designated the test target and the instruction set to be used with the target. The order in which the cards were drawn represented the testing order. This randomized the testing sequence so as to avoid systematic errors and learning effects.

.....

#### Phoria Testing

Each subject was dissociated with rotary prisms for all phoria tests in the following manner:

12-14 prism dioptors base in OD 6-8 prism dioptors base up OS

Each subject while viewing the distal test target was presented the following sequence of instructions:

 "How many targets do you see?" (Two)
"Which target disappears?" The examiner then covered the prism over the right eye. (The one on the right)
"Keep the bottom target clear and say 'now' when the two targets line up one directly over the other." (Now)

This procedure was repeated until two measurements had been recorded from both the base in and base out side.

Subjects exhibiting supression were tested using the flash phoria technique.

#### Duction Testing

Each subject viewed the distal target with zero prism power in the rotary prisms before each eye.

Each subject while viewing the distal target was presented the following sequence of instructions:

"How many targets do you see?" (One)
"Keep the target single and clear and tell me when it first blurs, then doubles, and then becomes single again." The examiner added base out prism then reduced it. (Blurs, Doubles, Single)
"Now this time tell me when the target doubles and then is single again." The examiner added base in OU and then reduced it. (Doubles, Single)

The above procedure was repeated until two blur, break and recovery values were recorded for both the base out ductions and the base in ductions.

All tests were performed under standard room illumination using the same A.O. Ultramatic Phoroptor, the same examination room, the same instruction sets and the same examiner. This was intended to standardize the tests and testing conditions to minimize confounding variables.

#### Data

Four phoria test readings were obtained on each test target: two from the base out side and two from the base in side. For the purpose of this experiment, all four values were averaged and recorded on the Subject Phoria Data Sheet. Each of the 30 subjects initials are found in the first column of the Data Sheet. The second column, column X, corresponds to each subjects averaged phoria values for the 20/20 column test target. The third column, column Y, corresponds to each subjects averaged values for the isolated 20/60 test target. The phoria data was then analyzed using the McIntosch STAT 512+ program for:

Statistical differences between phoria test targets (t-statistic).
Correlation coefficient between phoria test targets.

The duction findings were analyzed in a like manner. Four duction readings for each subject were averaged and recorded on the appropriate Data Sheets. Separate t-statistics were run on each blur/break/recovery on base out ductions and on each break/recovery on base in ductions.

The data and an analysis of the data are compiled on six Data Sheets on the pages that follow. The Data Sheets are organised as follows:

Data Sheet #1: 1) Subject Phoria Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Lateral Phoria Comparisons 3) Phoria Correlation and Scattergram

Data Sheet #2: 1) Subject Duction Base Out Blur Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Base Out Blur Comparisons 3) Base Out Blur Correlation and Scattergram

Data Sheet #3: 1) Subject Duction Base Out Break Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Base Out Break Comparisons 3) Base Out Break Correlation and Scattergram Data Sheet #4: 1) Subject Duction Base Out Recovery Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Base Out Recovery Comaprisons 3) Base Out Recovery Correlation and Scattergram

Data Sheet #5: 1) Subject Duction Base In Break Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Base In Break Comparisons 3) Base In Break Correlation and Scattergram

Data Sheet #6: 1) Subject Duction Base In Recovery Data Sheet 2) T-Statistic for Base In Recovery Comparisons 3) Base In Recovery Correlation and Scattergram

#### Results

For all six sets of data, a p-value was calculated so that it may be left to the reader to determine the significance of the results. The advantage of this is that it allows the reader to choose the maximum value they are willing to tolerate. For all six sets of data, the experimenters found the associated p-values to be much greater than .05 (p>.05). This means the experimenters can be sure that 95 times out of 100 there is no statistical difference between the results obtained using a 20/20 letter column test target versus an isolated 20/60 letter test target for distance phoria and duction testing. Furthermore, all six sets of data showed strong correlations.

#### Discussion

Since the test target results are not statistically different and are highly correlated, this implies that either test target may be used for distance phoria and duction testing. The use of an isolated 20/60 letter target provides several advantages over the standard 20/20 column letter target. These advantages are discussed below:

An isolated letter target makes phoria and duction testing more efficient. Since the horizontal and vertical dimensions of the test target are essentially the same, one test target can be used for both lateral and vertical phoria testing as well as both lateral and vertical duction testing. Generally, the examiner in the past has had to continually change the projected letter chart targets back and forth depending on the the phoria or duction being performed. This is not only confusing to the patient and cumbersome for the examiner, it is extremely inefficient from an ergonomic stand point and can result in a substantial loss of time over the course of a day.

An isolated letter target provides a simpler visual display for the patient. This especially becomes important when performing phoria tests on younger children. An isolated "O" of 20/60 visual acuity can be used metaphorically to instruct the patient to tell the examiner when the "circles" line up "like buttons on a shirt" (lateral phorias) or "wheels on a bike" (vertical phorias). It is naturally and perceptually easier for children to visualize what the examiner is asking for when the phoria tests are presented in this fashion.

and an end of the second

In summary, an isolated 20/60 letter target makes distance phoria and duction testing more efficient by reducing extraneous target changes, facilitating the examination sequence, and simplifying the test target thereby enhancing patient understanding and compliance.

### PHORIA

#### T- STATISTIC FOR LATERAL PHORIA COMPARISONS

-

DF:     Mean X - Y:     Paired t value:     Prob. (2-tail):       29     .225     1.221     .2319		60 ISOLATED	UMN Y1: 2	X1: 20/20 CO	Paired t-Test	
29 .225 1.221 .2319	_	Prob. (2-tail):	Paired t value:	Mean X - Y:	DF:	
		.2319	1.221	.225	29	

#### PHORIA CORRELATION

Corr. Coeff.	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y1:	20/60 ISOLATED	
Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	R-squared:	- <u>.</u>
30	15.529	.976	.953	٦ ·
2	1			



	SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
		X 1	Y 1
1	B.F.	-1.000	0
2	B.R.	.500	1.500
3	D.C.	500	750
4	K.B.	-3.000	-3.000
5	L.S.	-3.000	-4.000
6	S.M.	-1.500	750
7	S.B.	18.250	15.500
8	N.G.	-1.500	-1.500
9	C.D	6.250	3.250
10	M.T.	1.000	1.250
11	т.ш.	5.250	5.750
12	J.C.	-1.000	-1.000
13	G.E.	.750	.500
14	S.G.	250	500
15	M.H.	-1.500	-1.250
16	D.L.	1.000	1.250
17	J.S.	7.250	6.750
18	T.S	1.750	.750
19	M.M.	.250	.750
20	P.C.	500	250
21	T.J.	500	-1.750
22	G.Y.	500	500
23	G.E.	2.250	.500
24	B.C.	-2.500	~1.500
25	J.D.	750	500
26	i S.D.	250	250
2	M.0.	-5.250	-4.250
28	P.K.	250	-1.250
29	P.G.	-1.250	-1.000
30	) L.F.	-2.750	-3.750

### BASE OUT BLUR

#### T-STATISTIC FOR DUCTION BASE OUT BLUR COMPARISONS

 Paired t-Test	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y1:	20/60 ISOLATED	)
DF:	Mean X - Y:	Paired t value	e: Prob. (2-tail):	-
29	-1.233	-1.774	.0865	

#### BASE OUT BLUR CORRELATION

Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	A-squared:
30	40.713	.897	.805

.



	SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
		X 1 Martine	www.www.www.Y1
1	B.F.	10.000	14.000
2	B.R.	17.500	23.000
3	D.C.	15.000	21.000
4	K.B.	15.000	16.500
5	L.S.	30.500	27.000
6	S.M.	6.000	6.500
7	S.B.	18.000	22.500
8	N.G.	7.500	9.000
9	C.D	10.500	12.500
10	M.T.	7.000	12.000
.11	T.W.	13.000	14.500
12	J.C.	8.000	18.000
13	G.E.	6.500	10.500
14	S.G.	11.000	10.000
15	M.H.	9.000	9.500
16	D.L.	12.000	11.000
17	J.S.	25.000	18.000
18	T.S	9.500	16.000
19	M.M.	14.000	15.000
20	P.C.	11.500	10.000
21	T.J.	13.000	17.000
22	G.Y.	5.000	3.500
23	G.E.	12.500	12.000
24	B.C.	7.500	6.000
25	J.D.	7.500	7.500
26	S.D.	9.000	10.500
27	M.0.	12.500	13.500
28	Р.К.	40.000	36.000
29	P.G.	12.000	16.500
30	L.F.	6.500	0

#### BASE OUT BREAK

reneration in the

#### T-STATISTIC FOR DUCTION BASE OUT BREAK COMPARISONS

Fallen (-)war	A1: 20/20 CO	LOWIN 11. K	UNU IUULAIED	
DF:	Mean X - Y:	Paired t value:	Prob. (2-tail):	
29	.35	.594	.5568	
29	.35	.594	.5568	1

#### BASE OUT BREAK CORRELATION

Corr. Coeff.	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y1: 2	0/60 ISOLAT
Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	R-squared:
30	87.409	.944	.891



ſ		SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
				With the second se
ſ	1	B.F.	23.000	24.000
]	2	B.R.	40.000	40.000
-[	3	D.C.	40.000	40.000
	4	K.B.	26.000	20.000
	5	L.S.	38.000	40.000
	6	S.M.	24.000	22.000
I	7	S.B.	40.000	40.000
	8	N.G.	20.000	20.500
ſ	9	C.D	16.000	9.500
.[	10	M.T.	18.000	17.000
1	11	T.W.	19.000	19.000
I	12	J.C.	23.500	25.000
	13	G.E.	12.000	15.000
-	14	\$.G.	19.000	18.000
	15	M.H.	15.000	18.500
	16	D.L.	18.000	17.000
	17	J.S.	24.000	23.000
	18	T.S	19.000	24.000
	19	M.M.	24.000	22.500
	20	P.C.	16.500	15.000
	21	T.J.	18.500	20.000
	22	G.Y.	7.000	6.000
	23	G.E.	18.000	16.000
•	24	B.C.	15.500	7.500
	25	J.D.	15.000	16.500
	26	S.D.	24.500	20.500
	27	M.0.	40.000	40.000
	28	P.K.	40.000	36.000
	29	P.G.	21.000	26.500
	30	L.F.	12.000	17.000

#### BASE OUT RECOVERY

#### T-STATISTIC FOR DUCTION BASE OUT RECOVERY COMPARISONS

Paired t-Test	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y	1: 20/60 ISOLA	TED
DF:	Mean X - Y:	Paired t va	alue: Prob. (2-tai	l):
29	.001	.002	.9985	

#### BASE OUT RECOVERY CORRELATION

Corr. Coett.	X1: 20/20 COI	UMN Y1: 2	0/60 ISOLATED
Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	R-squared:
30	97.439	.929	.863



	SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
		x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	WINDOW Y 1
1	B.F.	12.000	15.000
2	B.R.	33.500	33.500
3	D.C.	40.000	40.000
4	К.В.	20.000	15.500
5	L.S.	24.000	16.500
6	S.M.	6.000	7.500
7	S.B.	31.000	31.000
8	N.G.	7.500	9.000
9	C.D	4.500	5.000
10	M.T.	13.000	11.500
11	T.W.	13.500	14.000
12	J.C.	17.500	23.000
13	G.E.	10.000	8.000
14	S.G.	11.000	5.500
15	М.Н.	8.000	13.000
-16	D.L.	10.000	12.500
17	J.S.	16.500	15.500
18	T.S	12.500	22.000
19	M.M.	18.000	13.500
20	P.C.	8.000	7.500
21	T.J.	14.500	14.500
22	G.Y.	3.500	3.000
23	G.E.	10.500	6.500
24	B.C.	11.540	7.500
25	J.D.	11.500	12.500
26	S.D.	10.500	6.500
27	M.0.	30.000	37.000
28	P.K.	40.000	36.000
29	P.G.	21.000	23.500
30	L.F.	4.500	8.000

#### BASE IN BREAK

#### T-STATISTIC FOR DUCTION BASE IN BREAK COMPARISONS

Paired t-Test	X1: 20/20 CC	LUMN Y1: 2	0/60 ISOLATED
DF:	Mean X - Y:	Paired t value:	Prob. (2-tail):
29	067	- 227	.8218

#### BASE IN BREAK CORRELATION

Corr. Coett.	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y1:	20/60 ISOLATED
Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	R-squared:
30	3.717	.745	.556

.



	SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Y 1
1	B.F.	-8.000	-7.500
2	B.R.	-10.000	-7.000
3	D.C.	-8.000	-8.000
4	K.B.	-8.000	-7.000
5	L.S.	-12.500	-12.500
6	S.M.	-6.000	-5.500
7	S.B.	-6.000	-6.000
8	N.G.	-6.000	-6.000
9	C.D	-4.500	-6.500
10	M.T.	-5.000	-5.000
11	Т.Ш.	-6.500	-12.000
12	J.C.	-8.000	-8.000
13	6.E.	-4.000	-4.000
14	<b>S.G.</b>	-6.500	-6.000
15	M.H.	-6.500	-5.500
16	D.L.	-6.500	-6.000
17	J.S.	-8.500	-12.000
18	T.S	-6.000	-6.000
19	M.M.	-4.000	-4.000
20	P.C.	-6.000	-6.000
21	T.J.	-6.000	-7.500
22	G.Y.	-6.000	-5.500
23	G.E.	-5.500	-5.000
24	B.C.	-7.000	-4.000
25	J.D.	-6.000	-6.000
26	S.D.	-11.000	-10.500
27	M.0.	-12.000	-10.500
28	P.K.	-9.000	-7.500
29	P.G.	-6.000	-6.000
30	L.F.	-6.000	-6.000

#### BASE IN RECOVERY

#### T-STATISTIC FOR DUCTION BASE IN RECOVERY COMPARISONS

DC.		Delevel 4 sints	0
	Mean X - Y:	Paired I valu	ie: Prob. (2-tall):
29	167	823	.4171

#### BASE IN RECOVERY CORRELATION

Corr. Coeff.	X1: 20/20 CO	LUMN Y1:	20/60 ISOLATE
Count:	Covariance:	Correlation:	R-squared:
30	3.977	.868	.751

.



	SUBJECTS	20/20 COLUMN	20/60 ISOLATED
		X1	Y 1
1	B.F.	-6.000	-6.000
2	B.R.	-6.500	-3.500
3	D.C.	-6.000	-6.000
4	K.B.	-3.500	-6.000
5	L.S.	-9.500	-10.000
6	S.M.	-1.500	-1.500
7	S.B.	-2.500	-4.000
8	N.G.	-4.000	-4.000
9	C.D	-2.000	-3.000
10	M.T.	-3.500	-2.000
11	τ.Ψ.	-2.500	-4.000
12	J.C.	-7.000	-6.000
13	G.E.	~1.000	-2.000
14	S.G.	-3.500	-2.500
15	M.H.	-3.000	-2.000
16	D.L.	-4.000	-4.000
17	J.S.	-1.500	500
18	T.S	-1.000	-1.000
19	М.М.	-1.000	-1.500
20	P.C.	-4.000	-4.000
21	T.J.	-4.000	-4.500
22	G.Y.	-4.000	-3.000
23	G.E.	-4.000	-2.500
24	B.C.	-3.000	-2.000
25	J.D.	-4.000	-2.500
26	S.D.	-7.500	-7.500
27	M.0.	-7.000	-7.000
28	P.K.	-6.000	-6.000
29	P.G.	-4.000	-3.000
30	L.F.	-3.500	-4.000