## Pacific University CommonKnowledge

College of Optometry

Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects

3-1982

# Determining changes in visual behavior resulting from visual training

Steven C. Scruggs Pacific University

Robert A. Monetta Pacific University

Mike Hovander Pacific University

#### **Recommended Citation**

Scruggs, Steven C.; Monetta, Robert A.; and Hovander, Mike, "Determining changes in visual behavior resulting from visual training" (1982). *College of Optometry*. 629. https://commons.pacificu.edu/opt/629

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects at CommonKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Optometry by an authorized administrator of CommonKnowledge. For more information, please contact CommonKnowledge@pacificu.edu.

## Determining changes in visual behavior resulting from visual training

Abstract

Determining changes in visual behavior resulting from visual training

Degree Type Thesis

Degree Name Master of Science in Vision Science

Committee Chair Rocky Kaplan

Subject Categories Optometry

## Copyright and terms of use

If you have downloaded this document directly from the web or from CommonKnowledge, see the "Rights" section on the previous page for the terms of use.

## If you have received this document through an interlibrary loan/document delivery service, the following terms of use apply:

Copyright in this work is held by the author(s). You may download or print any portion of this document for personal use only, or for any use that is allowed by fair use (Title 17, §107 U.S.C.). Except for personal or fair use, you or your borrowing library may not reproduce, remix, republish, post, transmit, or distribute this document, or any portion thereof, without the permission of the copyright owner. [Note: If this document is licensed under a Creative Commons license (see "Rights" on the previous page) which allows broader usage rights, your use is governed by the terms of that license.]

Inquiries regarding further use of these materials should be addressed to: CommonKnowledge Rights, Pacific University Library, 2043 College Way, Forest Grove, OR 97116, (503) 352-7209. Email inquiries may be directed to:.copyright@pacificu.edu

(HESE) scruggs

Determining Changes in Visual Behavior Resulting From Visual Training

In partial fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Optometry from the College of Optometry, Pacific University.

March 1982

By Steve C. Scruggs Robert A. Monetta

Mike Hovander

Orthoptus ".

Determining Changes in Visual Behavior

Resulting From Visual Training

In partial fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Optometry from the College of Optometry, Pacific University.

March 1982

Steve C. Scruggs

Robert A. Monetta

Mille

Mike Hovander

plan Grade. B.

Rocky Kaplan, O.D. Advisor

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to extend our thanks and appreciation to all our subjects who participated in this study. We feel their cooperation and motivation helped us gain a further understanding of the field of visual therapy and we hope that they benefitted as much as we did.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	
Introduction	
Methodology	
Sample Subjective Symptom Listing	
Visual Therapy Procedural Program	
Data	
Individual Case Results	
Discussion and Conclusion	
Bibliography	

#### Introduction

Visual disorders can lead to many behavioral adaptations under nearpoint stress. Individuals may have normal visual acuity, but due to a binocular dysfunction they experience symptoms such as headaches, dizziness, nausea, blurred distance vision, and ocular fatigue after a short period of time when performing a nearpoint task. These symptoms categorize these individuals under the condition known as a General Binocular Dysfunction (GBD). A GBD can be a problem involving the convergence and accommodation facility's complex interactions resulting in unharmonious competition between the two systems or a decreased facility involving just one system which results in an uncomfortable near performance. This causes the person to adapt into various nearpoint behaviors or postures that further imbed their problem. Visual training attempts to break up these complex postures in order to alleviate the nearpoint stress. Visual training refers to a process whereby vision is improved through practice or visual learning. The process is implemented by arranging instrumental and environmental conditions which allow the patient to develop more efficient visual performance. In evaluating the success of a particular training technique, it is desirable to objectively and subjectively measure the change in performance over time. Consequently, criteria must be established to measure the degree to which a visual function was learned under training conditions. The level of performance achieved depends

-1-

upon such factors as the technique, test instructions, the subject's age, and motivation for improvement. Testing and training a visual function on the same task can be misleading because the performance change measured may be due only to the practice effect on that specific task. A requisite of a clinically valid training technique is the transference of practiced functions from the training situation to a different test condition. If we assume that behavioral symptoms change when objective findings change as a result of a visual training program, we can conclude that the training program was indeed valid. However, subjective behavioral conditions do not always change in accordance to changes in objective findings.

It is the purpose of this study to approach behavioral changes after a visual training program has been administered to a population showing a General Binocular Dysfunction. Objective findings before and after the training program will be taken into account, however, our procedure will determine if subjective asthenopic symptoms can be alleviated following a visual therapy program.

2

#### Methodology

A subjective sequencing survey will be given to a population known to have a General Binocular Dysfunction. The subjects will numerically rate themselves according to the survey list of behavioral anomalies. Each patient will receive the same sequence of visual therapy procedures. Following this therapy program, our patients will be administered the same sequencing survey list of behavioral characteristics. They will again numerically check off their symptoms in order of severity. A comparison of changes in their visual behaviors will determine whether or not our visual therapy program was effective.

Our subjects consisted of Pacific University student population and those in the surrounding area.

Patient criteria:

- 1. All subjects exhibited asthenopia at near.
- 2. All subjects were pre-presbyopic.
- 3. Habitual initial visual acuities 20/20 OD, OS, OU Far and Near.
- 4. Ophthalmoscopy unremarkable.

## Sample Subjective Symptom Listing

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or rest- lessness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5)_

Our visual therapy program consisted of seven in office sessions ranging over a period of seven weeks. Each session lasted for one hour with one therapist per patient. Our visual therapy regimen was outlined in the following manner.

Session	1	- Monocular Accommodative Rocks - Monocular Pursuits (Thumb Rotations)
Session	2	<ul> <li>Review Session 1</li> <li>Introduce Brock String with Red-Green</li> <li>Loose Handheld Prism; 8 prism diopters, base-in and base-out, cover-uncover-recovery, and prism walkaways</li> </ul>
Session	3	<ul> <li>Biocular Accommodative Rocks</li> <li>Brock String</li> <li>Handheld 8 prism diopters, far-near jumps, base-in and base-out</li> </ul>
Session	4	<ul> <li>Review Biocular Accommodative Rocks</li> <li>Brock String with <sup>±</sup> 2.00 flippers and/or 6 loose handheld prism diopters, base-in and base-out</li> </ul>
Session	5	- Introduce Biocular Accommodative Rocks - Review Brock String - Introduce Lifesaver Cards, base-in and base-out
Session	6	- Lifesaver Cards, base-in and base-out - Introduce Aperture Rule, base-in and base-out

Session 7 - Aperture Rule, base-in through minus lens flippers and base-out through plus lens flippers

#### Female Age 20 - College Student No Previous Rx

P	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therap	y Findings
#3 13A	1 exo 5 exo		1 exo 6 exo	
7A	plano25 x 120 plano	20/20 20/20	+.25 DS +.25 DS	20/20 20/20
8	l exo		3 exo	
9	6		8	
10	10/3		30/27	
11	8/6		14/10	
12	ø		Ø	
13B	6 exo		8 exo	
14B	plano25 x 120 25 DS		plano plano	
15B	6 exo		8 exo	
16A	12	control	12	control
16B	12/0	7A	18/6	7A
17A	12	, 11	14	, 13
17B	18/14		20/14	
18	Ø		Ø	
20	-4.50/-4.25	7 eso	-4.50/-4.25	7 eso
21	+3.25/+3.00	15 exo	+3.25/+3.00	15 exo

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>X</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_

	Post V.T.									
Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response					
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixati	ng Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	05	Fi	xatin	g Eye
(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1	Differe when or 1-7	ence cluded	(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1			nce cluded
	по	no	Shiny			no	no	Sh	iny	
	no	no	Flicker	ring		no	no	F1	icker	ing
	yes	yes	Clearen occlude	1		yes	yes	1	earer clude	when d
BINOCULAR: Response			Thro SBV	ough #7BL	BINOCULAR: Response				Thr SBV	ough #7BL
Difference without pol			yes	yes	Difference without pol				yes	yes
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Letters dis	sappe	ar		no	no
Letters mov			no	no	Letters mov	7e			no	no
Letters run into each other			no	no	Letters run each other	n int	0		no	no
Other					Other					

Male Age 22 - College Student Previous Rx -.75 DS -.75 DS

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings				
#3 13A	3-1 exo 15 exo		4/Ø exo 13 exo				
74	-1.25 -1.0025 x 170	20/15 20/15	-1.0025 x 180 20/15 7525 x 180 20/15				
8.	1 exo 8		3 exo 9				
10 11	10/0 6/2		12/4 10/4				
12	ø		ø				
13B 14B	16-14 exo -1.00 5025 x 170		14 exo 7525 x 180 5025 x 180				
15B 16A	16 exo 8		16 exo 8				
16B 17A 17B	8/-6 16 24/20	control 7A	8/0 control 16 7A 26/20				
18 20	Ø -2.75/-2.50	8/6 eso	Ø -5.00/-4.75 8 eso				
21	50/25	12 exo	+2.00/+1.75 18 exo				

## Subjective Symptoms

Answer the following questions according to how often they occur, (1) being <u>never</u> and (5) being <u>always</u>.

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_

		Post V.T.							
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response				Polaroid Response				
MONOCULAR:	OD	os	Fixati	ng Eye	MONOCULAR:	. OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye
(use N or			Differ	ence	(use N or			Diffe	rence
F for Near	6	4	when o	ccluded	F for Near	5	4	when	occluded
or Far)			1-7		or Far)			1-7	
	no	no	Shiny			no	no	Shiny	
	no	no	Flicke	ring		no	no	Flick	ering
			Cleare	r when				Clear	er when
	yes	yes	occlud	ed		yes yes		occluded	
BINOCULAR:			Through		BINOCULAR:		Through		
Response			SBV	#7BL	Response			SBV	#7BL
Difference	with	and			Difference	ce with and		no	
without pol	aroi	ds	yes	no	without polaroids			yes	10
Letters dis	appe	ar	yes	yes	Letters dis	appe	ar	yes	no
Letters mov	e		yes	no	Letters move yes		yes	'nó	
Letters run	Letters run into		NOC	no	Letters rur	ı int	0	no	no
each other		yes	110	each other				110	
Other					Other				

9

## Female Age 34 - Bank Teller Previous Rx OD +.50 -.50 x 10 OS +.50 -1.50 x 42

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings
#3	2 exo		2 exo
13A	12 exo		10 exo
7A	+.2525 x 180 +.50 -1.25 x 45		+.7525 x 180 20/20 +.75 -1.25 x 45 20/20
8	Ø		ø
9	4		10
10	12/6		24/10
11	8/2		15/8
12	Ø		Ø
13B	16 exo		4 exo
14B	+1.7525 x 180 +1.75 -1.25 x 45		+1.5025 x 180 +1.50 -1.25 x 45
15B	8 exo		4 exo
16A	x		10
16B	8/-4	control	30/12 control
17A	x	7A	4 7A
17B	20/10	/	18/8
18	ø		ø
20	-2.25/-1.75	8 exo	-3.00/-2.50 Ø
21	+2.75/+2.25	13 exo	+3.25/+3.00 10 exo

÷

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>X</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>X</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_

1					1				
	0		Post V.T.						
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response			
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye
(use N or			Diffe	rence	(use N or				rence
F for Near	3	4	when	occluded	F for Near	3	3	when (	occluded
or Far)			1-7		or Far)			1-7	
	no	no	Shiny	the second s		no	no	Shiny	
	no	no	watching to be shared as a second s	ering		no	no	Flick	water and the state of the second sec
1	no	no		er when		no	по	4	er when
			occlu	ded				occluded	
BINOCULAR:				rough	BINOCULAR:				rough
Response			SBV	#7BL	Response			SBV	#7BL
Difference without pol			yes	no	Difference without pol			yes	no
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no
Letters mov	е		no	no	Letters mov	е		no	no
Letters run into each other			no	no	Letters run each other	int	0	no	no
Other					Other				

#### Male Age 16 - College Student Previous Rx OD -1.25 -2.00 x 165 OS -1.00 -1.00 x 60

P	re Visual Therapy F	indings	Post	Visual Therapy Fi	ndings
#3	3 ехо			3 exo	
13A	6 exo			6 exo	
7A	75 -2.00 x 165 75 -1.00 x 60			75 -1.50 x 165 75 -1.00 x 60	-
8	Ø			ø	
9	x			4	
10	21/10			24/12	
11	9/6			10/6	
12	Ø			ø	
13B	8 exo			8 exo	
14B	+1.25 -2.00 x 165 +1.25 -1.00 x 60			+1.25 -1.50 x 165 +1.25 -1.00 x 60	
15B	8 exo			10 exo	
16A	20			15	
16B	34/8	control		40/24	control
17A	16	7A		14	7A
17B	18/10			18/12	
18	Ø			Ø	
20	-1.75/-1.00			-3.00/-2.50	
21	+2.00/+1.50			+1.75/+1.50	

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
<u>7</u> .	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_

Pre V.T.						Post V.T.				
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response				
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixa	ting Eye		MONOCULAR:	OD	OS		ing Eye
(use N or				erence		(use N or		. 1		erence
F for Near or Far)	1	1	when 1-7	occluded		F for Near or Far)	1	1	wnen 1-7	occluded
	no	no	Shin	У		or rur,	no	no	Shiny	7
	na	no	Flici	kering			no	no	Flick	cering
	no	по	Clearer when occluded				no	no	Clean	er when uded
BINOCULAR: Response			TI SBV	hrough #7BL		BINOCULAR: Response			Tł SBV	nrough ∦7BL
Difference wit <b>h</b> out pol			yes	little or none		Difference without pol			yes	little or none
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Π	Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no
Letters mov	e		no	no	Π	Letters mov	е		no	no
Letters run each other	int	:0	no no			Letters run each other	int	0	no	no
Other No F.D	•					Other				

Male	Age	18		Colle	ege	Student
	No	P1	e	vious	Rx	

Р	re Visual The	rapy Findings	Post Visual Therapy	Findings
#3	l exo		l exo	
13A	7 ехо		6 exo	
7A	75 sph 75 sph	20/20+ 20/20	75 sph 75 sph	20/20+ 20/20
8	1 exo		1 exo	
9	8		10	
10	8/5		18/12	
-11	8/4		8/4	
12	ø		ø	
13B	7 exo		7 exo	
14B	+.75 DS +.75 DS		+.75 DS +.50 DS	
15B	13 exo		12 exo	
16A	16		16	
16B	16/8	control	24/20	control
17A	12	7A	12	7A
17B	24/20		26/22	
18	Ø		Ø	
20	-4.50/-4.00	4 eso	-4.50/-4.00	4/6 eso
21	+2.25/+2.00	16 exo	+2.75/+2.25	18 exo

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_

			Pos	t V.	Τ.	1999 <b>- 1999 - 1999 - 1999</b>			
Polaroid Re	spon	se			Polaroid Response				
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye
(use N or			Diffe	the second s	(use N or			Diffe	
F for Near	6	2	when	occluded	F for Near	6	2	when	occluded
or Far)			1-7		or Far)			1-7	
	no	no	Shiny	and the second se		no	no	Shiny	and the second sec
	yes	no	Flick	ering		yes	no	Flick	ering
	yes	yes	Clearer when occluded			yes	yes	Clear occlu	er when ded
BINOCULAR:			Th	rouch	BINOCULAR:			ጥኬ	rough
			SBV	rough #7BL				SBV	#7BL
Response Difference	rri +h	and	SDV	4F / DL	Response Difference	with	and		
without pol			no	no	without pol			no	no
Letters dis	appe	ar	OD	OD	Letters dis	appe	ar	OD	OD
			yes	less	1			yes	less
Letters mov	e		yes	yes	Letters mov	re 🛛	-	yes	yes
Letters run each other	int	0	no no		Letters run each other	1 int	0	no	no
Other					Other				

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings
#3 13A	2 exo 10 exo		2 exo 8 exo
7A	+.25 DS +.50 DS	20/20 20/20	+.75 DS 20/20 +.75 DS 20/20
8	3 exo		ø
9	20		13
10	28/10		28/12
11	8/6		8/6
12	ø		ø
13B	14 exo		8 exo
14B	+.50 DS +.75 DS		+1.50 DS +1.50 DS
15B	8 exo		8 exo
16A	x		8
16B	18/10	control	24/12 control
17A	x	7A	6 7A
17B :	9/9		12/6
18	ø		ø
20	-2.00/-1.50	8 exo	-3.25/-2.75 Ø
21	+1.25/+.75	12 exo	+3.00/+2.25 12 exo

## Male Age 22 - College Student No Previous Rx

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)x2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_

	e rekonomina (de la Para de la Par B	Post V.T.							
Polaroid Re	Pre V.T. Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response			
MONOCULAR: (use N or	OD	os	Fixatir Differe		MONOCULAR: (use N or	OD	os	Fixati Differe	
F for Near or Far)	3	1	when oc 1-7	cluded	F for Near or Far)	3	2	when oc 1-7	cluded
	yes	yes	Shiny			yes	yes	Shiny	
	yes	yes	Flicket	ing		yes	yes	Flicker	ring
	yes	no	Clearer			yes	no	Clearen occlude	
BINOCULAR: Response			Thro SBV	ough #7BL	BINOCULAR: Response			Thro SBV	ough #7BL
Difference without pol			yes	no	Difference without pol			yes	no
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Letters dis	appe	ar	no*	no
Letters mov	Letters move		a little	no	Letters move		a little	а	
Letters run each other	Letters run into ves no		Letters run each other		-	yes	no		
Other					Other - *on hides behin another		tter		

#### Female Age 17 - College Student Previous Rx OD +1.00 DS OS +1.00 DS

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings
#3 13A	10 eso 6/4 eso		10 eso 4/6 eso
7A	+1.00 sph +1.0025 x 165	20/20+ 20/20+	+1.50 sph 20/20+ +1.7550 x 165 20/20+
8	10/12 eso		Ø/2 eso
9	16		16
10	48/8		40/26
11	16/Ø		9/6
12	Ø		ø
13B	4 eso		ø
14B	+2.00 sph +2.0025 x 165		+2.00 +2.2525 x 165
16A	20		20
16B	24/8	control	24/18 control
17A	8	7A	10 7A
17B	12/-4		20/2
18	Ø		ø
20	-5.00/-4.75	20 eso	-5.00/-4.50 18 eso
21	+3.25/+2.75	2 exo	+3.50/+3.00 2 exo

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>X</u> 4)_5)_

	Pre V.T.						Pos	t V.]	Γ.	
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response						spon	se		
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixating Eye			MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye
(use N or F for Near or Far)	2	1		rence occluded		(use N or F for Near or Far)	2	1	Diffe: when o 1-7	rence occluded
	no	no	Shiny				no	no	Shiny	
	yes	no	Flick	ering			yes	no	Flick	ering
	yes	no	Clear occlu	er when ded			yes	no	Clear occlu	er when ded
BINOCULAR: Response			Th SBV	rough #7BL		BINOCULAR: Response			Th: SBV	rough #7BL
Difference without pol			yes	yes		Difference without pol			yes	yes
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no		Letters disappear no		no		
Letters mov	Letters move yes no		no		Letters mov	e		yes	no	
Letters run each other	Letters run into no no no			Letters run each other	int	0	no	no		
Other						Other				

P	Pre Visual Therapy	Findings	Post	Visual Therapy Fi	ndings
#3	ø			ø	
13A	2 exo			3 exo	
7A	+.25 DS p125 x 165			+.50 DS +.5025 x 165	
8	ø			2 exo	
9	x			x	
10	8/4			10/4	
11	6/2			6/2	
12	ø			Ø	
13B	4 exo			4 exo	
14B	+1.00 DS +1.2525 x 165		•	+1.00 DS +1.2525 x 165	
15B	4 exo			6 exo	
16A	12			12	
16B	18/6	control		18/8	control
17A	8	7A		6	7A
17B	12/8			12/8	
18	ø			Ø	
20	-1.75/-1.50	2 eso		-1.75/-1.50	Ø
21	+3.25/+2.75	7 exo		+3.00/+2.50	8 exo

## Female Age 28 - Dental Hygentist No Previous Rx

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_

	-				1	+				
	Pre V.T.						Post V.T.			
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response						se			
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	
(use N or			Diffe	rence	(use N or			Diffe	rence	
F for Near	6	5	when	occluded	F for Near	6	5	when	occluded	
or Far)			1-7		or Far)			1-7		
	yes	yes	Shiny			yes	yes	Shiny		
	yes	yes	Flick	ering		yes	yes	Flick	ering	
			Clear	er when				Clear	er when	
	yes	yes	occlu	ded		yes	yes	occlu	ded	
BINOCULAR:			Th	rough	BINOCULAR:			Th	rough	
Response			SBV	#7BL	Response			SBV	#7BL	
Difference	with	and	NOG	WOR	Difference	with	and	yes	yes	
without pol	aroi	ds	yes	yes	without pol	aroi	ds	yes	yes	
Letters dis	appe	ar	yes	no	Letters dis	appe	ar	yes	no	
Letters mov	e		yes no		Letters move yes		yes	no		
Letters run	int	0			Letters rur	n int	0			
each other			no no		each other			no	no	
Other			-		Other					

Female A	ge 23	- Se	cretary
No	Previ	lous	Rx

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings			
#3	2 eso		2 eso			
13A	Ø		Ø -1 eso			
7A	+.7525 x 80 +1.0025 x 95	20/15- 20/15-	+1.0025 x 85 20/20+ +1.2525 x 95 20/20+			
8	1 exo		4 exo			
9	16		14			
10	16/8		24/14			
11	6/3	3	8/4			
12	Ø		Ø			
13B	8 exo		10 exo			
14B	+1.5025 x 80 +1.7525 x 95		+2.0025 x 85 +2.5025 x 95			
15B	8 exo		12 exo			
16A	16		14			
16B	16/12	control	38/22 contro	1		
17A	8	7A	10 7A			
17B	8/4		18/16			
18	ø		Ø			
20	25/plano	l exo	-3.00/-2.75 18 eso			
21	+3.00/+2.50	10 exo	+4.00/+3.50 14 exo			

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)x2)_3)_4)_5)_

	Pre	e V.T			Post V.T.					
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response						Polaroid Response			
MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixati	ing E <b>y</b> e	
(use N or F for Near or Far)	2	2	Differ when a 1-7	rence occluded	(use N or F for Near or Far)	3	2	Differ		
	yes	yes	Shiny			yes	yes	Shiny		
	no	10	Flick	aring	1. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	no	no	Flicke	ering	
	yes	yes	Clear	er when ied		yes	yes	Cleare occlud	er when le <b>d</b>	
BINOCULAR: Response			Th: SBV	rough ∦7BL	BINOCULAR: Response			Thi SBV	rough #7BL	
Difference without pol			yes	no	Difference without pol			yes	no	
Letters die	appe	ar	no	no	Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	
Letters mov	re 🛛		yes	no	Letters mov	7e		yes	no	
Letters run each other	ı int	0	yes	no	Letters run each other	ı int	0	yes	no	
Other					Other					

#### Female Age 30 - Secretary Previous Rx OD +.50 -.75 x 180 OS +.50 -2.00 x 180

P	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post	Visual Therapy Fin	ndings
#3	6 exo			6 exo	
13A	8 exo			6 exo	
7A	+.75 -1.00 x 180 +.75 -2.25 x 160			+.75 -1.00 x 180 +.75 -2.25 x 160	
8	Ø			ø	
9	20			20	
10	24/10			24/10	
11	10/4			10/4	
12	ø			Ø	
13B	8 exo			4 exo	
14B	+1.75 -1.00 x 18 +1.25 -2.25 x 16		1	+1.75 -1.00 x 180 +1.50 -2.25 x 160	
15B	12 exo			8 exo	
16A	х			x	
16B	16/Ø	control		24/8	control
17A	x	7A		x	7A
17B	20/8			22/8	
18	ø			ø	
20	-1.75/-1.00	6 ехо		-2.00/-1.50	3 exo
21	+3.00/+2.50	10 exo		+3.00/+2.50	12 exo

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_

	Pre	V.Т	•		Post V.T.				
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response			
MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye				MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixati	ng Eye	
(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1	Differ		(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1	Differ when o 1-7	ence ccluded
	no	no	Shiny			no	no	Shiny	
	yes	no	Flicke	ring		yes	no	Flicke	ring
	yes	yes	Cleare occlud	r when ed		yes	yes	Cleare occlud	r when ed
BINOCULAR: Response	•		Thr SBV	ough #7BL	BINOCULAR: Responce			Thr SBV	ough #7BL
Difference without pol			yes	yes	Difference without pol			yes	yes
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no
Letters mov	e		no	no	Letters mov	re		no	no
Letters run each other	int	0	no	no	Letters run into each other		no	no	
Other					Other				

## <u>Case #11</u>

Р	re Visual Them	apy Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings			
P 3 13A 7A 8 9 10 11 12 13B 14B	re Visual Then 8 eso 6/4 eso +1.00 sph +1.00 sph 4/8 eso 12 12/1 3/-3 Ø 4 eso +1.25 sph +1.75 sph	20/15 20/15	8 eso 4 eso +1.25 sph +1.50 sph 2/4 eso 10 16/4 4/2 Ø 2 eso +2.00 sph +2.25 sph	Findings 20/20+ 20/20+		
15B 16A 16B 17A 17B 18 20	2/3 eso 12 18/4 4 4/-3 Ø -1.50/-1.25	control 7A 15 eso	Ø/1 eso 16 40/24 10 18/16 Ø -2.50/-2.25	control 7A 20 eso		
21		2 exo/2 eso	+4.25/+4.00 6			

## Female Age 22 - College Student No Previous Rx

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_

Pre V.T.						Post V.T.					
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response					Polaroid Response					
MONOCULAR:	MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye					MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye	
(use N or F for Near or Far)	3	3	Difference when occluded 1-7			(use N or F for Near or Far)	3	3	Difference when occluded 1-7		
	no	no	Shiny				no	no	Shiny		
	no	no	Flickering				no	no	Flick	ering	
	yes	yes	Clearer when occluded				yes	s yes Clearer whe occluded			
BINOCULAR: Response	Darroothint			ough #7BL		BINOCULAR: Response			Th SBV	rough #7BL	
Difference with and without polaroids			yes	no		Difference with and yes without polaroids			no		
Letters disappear			no	no		Letters disappear no r			no		
Letters move			yes	no		Letters move yes			no		
Letters run each other	Letters run into each other			no		Letters run into each other yes no			no		
Other					Other						

Male Age 22 - College Student Previous Rx OD -1.00 -.75 x 90 OS -1.00 -.75 x 100

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings					
#3	ø		ø					
13A	3-4 eso		3 eso					
7A	-1.0075 x 90 -1.0075 x 100		-1.0075 x 90 20/20 -1.0075 x 100 20/20					
8	Ø		ø					
9	6		10					
10	12/4		12/8					
11	10/4		10/4					
12	ø .		ø					
13B	4 eso		2 eso					
14B	5075 x 90 5075 x 100		p175 x 90 p175 x 100					
15B	Ø		2 exo					
16A	12		14					
16B	20/14	control	22/18 control					
17A	6	7A	12 7A					
17B	12/6		20/10					
18	ø		ø					
20	-1.75/-1.50	4 eso	-2.50/-2.00 8 eso					
21	+.75/+.25	10 exo	+.75/+.25 8 exo					

## Subjective Symptoms

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_

			the state of the state of the state of the		-							
Pre V.T.						Post V.T.						
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response						Polaroid Response					
MONOCULAR:	MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye					MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat:	ing Eye		
(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1	Difference when occluded 1-7			(use N or F for Near or Far)	1	1	Difference when occluded 1-7			
	no	no	Shiny				no	no	Shiny			
	yes	no	Flicke	ring			yes	no	Flick	ering		
	yes	yes	Clearer when				yes	yes Clearer when occluded				
BINOCULAR: Response			Thr SBV	ough #7BL		BINOCULAR: Response			Thi SBV	rough #7BL		
Difference with and without polaroids			yes	yes		Difference with and without polaroids yes yes			yes			
Letters disappear			no	no	T	Letters disappear no no			no			
Letters move			no	no		Letters move no n		no				
Letters run into each other			no	no	Letters run into no no			no				
Other						Other						

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings				
#3	4 exo		4 exo				
13A	2 exo/2 eso		2 exo/2 eso				
7A	+1.0050 x 175 +1.0050 x 175		+1.0050 x 175 $15^{-2}$ +1.0050 x 175 $15^{-2}$				
8	3-2 exo		4 exo				
9	8		10				
10	22/12		24/16				
11	8/2		12/6				
12	ø		ø				
13B	13 exo		14 exo				
14B	+1.2550 x 175 +1.2550 x 175		+1.2550 x 175 +1.2550 x 175				
15B	10/12 exo		12 exo				
16A	12		12				
16B	14/6	control	24/16 control				
17A	6	7A	6 7A				
17B	24/18	, 11	24/18				
18	ø		ø				
20	-2.25/-1.75	2 eso	-3.00/-2.75 4 eso				
21	+3.50/+3.00	20 exo	+3.50/+3.25 20 exo				

## Female Age 33 - College Student No Previous Rx

# Subjective Symptoms

Answer the following questions according to how often they occur, (1) being <u>never</u> and (5) being <u>always</u>.

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
6.	Words run together.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2) <u>X</u> 3)_4)_5)_

	Pre	e V.T	1		Post V.T.						
Polaroid Re	espor	ise			Polaroid Response						
MONOCULAR:	MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye						MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye				
(use N or F for Near or Far)	5	3	Differ when c 1-7	ence ccluded	(use N or F for Near or Far)	3 2 Difference when occlu 1-7					
	yes	yes	Shiny			yes	yes	Shiny			
	yes	yes	Flicke	ring		no	no	Flicke	ring		
	yes	lit tle		r when led		yes	no		Clearer when occluded		
BINOCULAR: Response				ough #7BL	BINOCULAR: Response			Thr SBV	ough #7BL		
Difference	Difference with and without polaroids		no	no	Difference with and without polaroids		no	no			
Letters dis	sappe	ear	no	no	Letters disappear		no	no			
Letters mov	Letters move			no	Letters move		yes	no			
Letters run into each other			yes	no	Letters run each other	int.	0	yes	no		
Other		<u></u>			Other						

Р	re Visual Therapy	Findings	Post Visual Therapy Findings				
#3	ø		Ø				
13A	2 exo		2 exo				
7A	+.7525 x 90 +.50 D.S.	20/20 20/20	+.7525 x 90 20/20 +.50 D.S. 20/20				
8	2 exo		2 exo				
9	6		6				
10	14/8		14/10				
11	12/6		12/6				
12	ø		Ø				
13B	2 exo		2 exo				
14B	+1.2525 x 90 +1.00 D.S.		+1.2525 x 90 +1.00 D.S.				
15B	8 exo		8 exo				
16A	8		8				
16B	16/12	control	24/12 control				
17A	6	7A	8 7A				
17B	18/14		20/16				
18	Ø		ø				
20	75/25	ø	-1.25/-1.00 Ø				
21	+1.25/+1.00	8 exo	+1.50/+1.00 8 exo				

Male Age 29 - Attorney No Previous Rx

# Subjective Symptoms

Answer the following questions according to how often they occur, (1) being <u>never</u> and (5) being always.

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
6.	Words run together.	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_	1)x2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_

		Post V.T.							
Polaroid Re	spon	se			Polaroid Response				
MONOCULAR:	MONOCULAR: OD OS Fixating Eye					OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye
(use N or			Diffe	rence	(use N or			Diffe	
F for Near	4	2		occluded		5	2		occluded
or Far)			1-7		or Far)			1-7	
	no	no	Shiny			no	no	Shiny	the second s
	yes	no	Flick	ering		yes	no	and the second se	ering
	1100		Clear	er when		TAR	yes	Clearer when	
	yes	yes	occlu	ded		yes	yes	occluded	
	-								
BINOCULAR:			Through		BINOCULAR:		Through		
Response			SBV	#7BL	Response		·····	SBV	#7BL
Difference	with	and	yes no		Difference with and			yes	no
without pol	aroi	ds			without polaroids			yes	
Letters dis	appe	ar	no	no	Letters disappear no		no	no	
Letters mov	Letters move			no	Letters move yes		no		
Letters run into			no	no	Letters rur	n int	0	no	no
each other				110	each other			10	110
Other					Other				

\_

## Subjective Symptoms

Answer the following questions according to how often they occur, (1) being <u>never</u> and (5) being <u>always</u>.

		Pre V.T.	Post V.T.
1.	Blur at far after near work.	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_	1)_2) <u>x</u> 3)_4)_5)_
2.	Can't sustain near work.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>X</u> 4)_5)_
3.	Hold reading material up close.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
4.	Unusual fatigue or restless- ness after maintaining visual concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_
5.	Read slowly.	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>	1)_2)_3)_4)_5) <u>x</u>
6.	Words run together.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1) <u>x</u> 2)_3)_4)_5)_
7.	Loses place while reading with poor concentration.	1)_2)_3)_4) <u>x</u> 5)_	1)_2)_3) <u>x</u> 4)_5)_

1				r	1						
		Post V.T.									
Polaroid Re	Polaroid Response						Polaroid Response				
MONOCULAR:	OD	os	Fixati	ng Eye	MONOCULAR:	OD	OS	Fixat	ing Eye		
(use N or		1	Differ	ence	(use N or	1		Diffe	rence		
F for Near	5	4	when o	ccluded	F for Near	-	-	when	occluded		
or Far)			1-7		or Far)		1-7				
	no	no	Shiny			no	no	Shiny			
	yes	yes	Flicke	ring		no	no	Flick	ering		
			Cleare	r when				Clearer when			
	yes	yes	occlud	ed		no no		occluded			
BINOCULAR:			Through		BINOCULAR:		Through				
Response			SBV	#7BL	Response	and the second second second		SBV	#7BL		
Difference	with	and	yes yes		Difference with and		NOS	no			
without pol	aroi	.ds			without polaroids			yes	110		
Letters dis	appe	ar	yes	no	Letters disappear ye		yes	no			
Letters mov	Letters move			no	Letters move yes		no				
Letters run into					Letters run	int	0		20		
each other			yes	no	each other			yes	no		
Other					Other						

#### Individual Case Results

- Case #1 This patient listed a lack of nearpoint sustainment and fatigue with visual concentration as occurring always. After our visual therapy program, these visual behaviors decreased moderately. This patient also listed a losing of place with poor concentration as being moderate before and after our visual therapy program. This particular behavior did not change.
- Case #2 Patient showed a moderate change in blurring at far after near work. An inability to sustain near work also changed moderately after visual therapy. These changes, we feel, are a result from loosening accommodation and increasing accommodative facility. Less rivalry occurred between right and left eyes at far and more stability was noted when dissociated.
- Case #3 Patient showed moderate improvement in nearpoint sustainment and also visual concentration. These changes were due to increased fusional ranges far and near. No subjective change was reported during polaroid response.
- Case #4 Although this patient showed increased accommodative facility, his accommodative posture remained unchanged as seen in the cross cylinder. This, we believe, led to his unchanged subjective symptoms. Thus, our visual therapy did not improve this patient's symptoms. We feel that this in itself is a very important finding. This patient has taught us that visual therapy may not always change findings and more importantly may not change behaviors.
- Case #5 Patient exhibited lack of nearpoint sustainment and a loss of place while reading with poor concentration. Objective and subjective findings did not change after our visual therapy administration. Polaroid response of intermittent right eye suppression continued after therapy.
- Case #6 There was a moderate change in blur at far after near work after therapy. Patient also gave a subjective score of four on read slowly category prior to therapy and a five on post visual therapy. We do not believe there is significance to this finding since lack of motivation played a large role in this patient's progress. This patient has taught us that motivation can be a stronger prognostic sign than therapy itself.
- Case #7 Patient showed a change on objective findings through visual therapy; however, subjective findings did not change. Patient still listed holding reading material up close as occurring though to a lesser degree. Unusual fatigue after maintaining visual concentration still occurred always. We expected subjective findings to change in accordance with

Case #7 - objective findings, yet this is not always the case as (cont.) exhibited here.

- Case #8 Categories "read slowly" and "loses place while reading with poor concentration" both improved moderately with visual therapy. Polaroid response slowed suppressions which after visual therapy remained. This case illustrates the importance and the need for a binocular refraction using polaroid responses.
- Case #9 Patient reported a "lack of nearpoint sustainment" along with "unusual fatigue after maintaining visual concentration" as occurring always. Both these behaviors changed with therapy. Objective findings also changed after therapy which we expected.
- Case #10 This patient exhibited lack of nearpoint sustainment along with unusual fatigue after maintaining visual concentration prior to therapy. These visual disorders drastically changed with therapy. This patient was highly motivated and we believe that her desire to improve visual behavior was a great asset.
- Case #11 Results show that near asthenopic symptoms have decreased with therapy. Objective findings such as ductions showed great improvement; however, behaviors only changed moderately. Objective and subjective findings indicate a need for more plus at near.
- Case #12 Patient reported blurring at far after near work both before and after therapy. Unusual fatigue with visual concentration also remained after visual therapy. An inability to sustain nearpoint work improved with therapy. This case illustrates that visual therapy can improve some visual disorders more rapidly than others. Again this is highly individualistic.
- Case #13 This patient exhibited many subjective complaints all which have changed after visual therapy except for "reads slowly". This patient was an uncorrected hyperope and we feel that by putting plus on for the hyperopia the behaviors changed.
- Case #14 Objective findings pre and post visual therapy indicate a very rigid accommodative visual system. Subjective findings did not change due to visual therapy. An uncorrected hyperopia is shown and this we feel attributed to the unchanged visual behavior.
- Case #15 This patient demonstrated improvement in accommodative and convergence facilities on objective findings. Subjective behaviors have not changed as one would expect. Unchanged reading speed indicates a need for further tests to determine vocabulary level and eye-tracking skills.

Case #15 - Also shown in this case is a dramatic improvement on (cont.) polaroid responses which leaves questions in our minds.

#### Discussion and Conclusion

The term General Binocular Dysfunction (GBD) covers a large area of the more common symptoms in this study. All subjects were given the same questionnaire before and after therapy to identify those visual behaviors that were changed by therapy. All therapy was administered in weekly intervals so that time between visits was held constant for all patients.

The regiment of visual therapy was chosen to start the patient off gradually in order to keep motivation. Our first therapy procedure was monocular accommodative rocks. This involved having patients clear letters through maximum minus power. Our goal was to increase the accommodative facility at a monocular level to ensure equality between the two eyes. At the same time monocular pursuits were trained in order to balance the two eyes' tracking ability. Brock String was introduced next to demonstrate physiological diplopia and to increase near to far and far to near convergence speed. Prism jumps were then introduced to compliment Brock String in further reinforcement in convergence speed and facility. We then advanced accommodative demands from a monocular level to a biocular level. This was intended to bring about a more accurate accommodative system by utilizing voluntary control. Brock String was reviewed and difficulty increased to a higher level using plus and minus flippers. This brought about changes in accommodation while holding convergence constant. By combining Brock String with loose prisms, convergence was trained while holding accommodation constant. The importance of this higher level of training is to break up the rigid accommodative and convergence interactions and have

39

them exist in a more harmonious nature. We then trained accommodation in a binocular made along with loose prisms to further enhance voluntary visual skills. The next phase of therapy was extending fusional ranges by fusing lifesaver card circles with different divergent or convergent demands. Once fusion is obtained, using this procedure, patients must be able to voluntarily relax accommodation when building base out fusional ranges and voluntary accommodate when building base in ranges. Lastly we introduced Aperture Rule to further reinforce voluntary control of accommodation and convergence. By increasing target separation, convergence or divergence demand was increased while accommodative demand remained constant. Adding plus and minus lenses to this procedure held convergence constant while accommodative demand changed.

Improvements in objective findings verse subjective findings indicate there is no direct correlation between visual behaviors and the routine exam. By increasing one's accommodative and convergent facilities, we might conclude that subjective symptoms should improve proportionately. This however was not found to be true in our study. We did find that 90 percent of our subjects showed some degree of visual improvement from our therapy program. The amount of improvement was dependent on patient motivation, therapist variability, time of day administered, and individual understanding of task instruction. Variability could also be due to patient's understanding of the subjective symptoms rating questionnaire in their ability to accurately rate their own degree of visual difficulty. Since this study dealt largely with a subjective rating scale, we must accept the possibility of placebo in drawing results and conclusions. The placebo effect is real and we believe

40

it exists in visual therapy. We cannot accurately determine how much is due to placebo but we feel it is worthy of mention.

When we take these variables into account, we must conclude that visual therapy is highly individualistic in that each person is his own unique entity. If we were training a pair of eyes only, we could more accurately predict the outcome from visual therapy; however, this is not the case. We are teaching and training the whole person. Many variables that do exist, would not, if visual therapy was eye therapy. The fact that we are training people and their individual behaviors demonstrates that we cannot accurately predict an exact outcome from visual therapy. Nonetheless, we can hypothesize and make statements as to achievements and benefits to be derived from visual therapy.

#### Bibliography

- 1. Anapolle, Louis, Visual training and reading performance. <u>The</u> <u>Reading Teacher</u>, Vol. 21, March 1968, pp. 547-551.
- Evanes, Uahes P., Relationships between visual skills and behavioral disorders. Journal of the American Optometric Association, 1968, pp. 39-79, 632-640.
- 3. Flax, Nathan, Visual factors which affect reading achievement. American Optometric Association, 1968, p. 16.
- 4. Krippner, Stanley. <u>Research in Visual Training and Reading</u> <u>Disability</u>, April 1968.
- Raskind, R.H., Problems at the reading distance. <u>American Orthop-</u> tics Journal, 26, 1976, pp. 53-59.
- Visual training and reading performance. Journal of Reading, Vol. 10, March 1967.
- Weisz, Caren L., Clinical therapy for accommodative responses. Journal of the American Optometry Association, Vol. 50, February 1979, pp. 209-216.