Pacific University

CommonKnowledge

College of Optometry

Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects

1952

A critical comparison of optometric findings of identical twins as a means of determining their cylindrical lens needs

Robert A. Brayman *Pacific University*

Byron E. Wentz Pacific University

Recommended Citation

Brayman, Robert A. and Wentz, Byron E., "A critical comparison of optometric findings of identical twins as a means of determining their cylindrical lens needs" (1952). *College of Optometry*. 165. https://commons.pacificu.edu/opt/165

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations and Capstone Projects at CommonKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Optometry by an authorized administrator of CommonKnowledge. For more information, please contact CommonKnowledge@pacificu.edu.

A critical comparison of optometric findings of identical twins as a means of determining their cylindrical lens needs

Abstract

A critical comparison of optometric findings of identical twins as a means of determining their cylindrical lens needs

Degree Type

Thesis

Degree Name

Master of Science in Vision Science

Committee Chair Subject Categories

Optometry

Copyright and terms of use

If you have downloaded this document directly from the web or from CommonKnowledge, see the "Rights" section on the previous page for the terms of use.

If you have received this document through an interlibrary loan/document delivery service, the following terms of use apply:

Copyright in this work is held by the author(s). You may download or print any portion of this document for personal use only, or for any use that is allowed by fair use (Title 17, §107 U.S.C.). Except for personal or fair use, you or your borrowing library may not reproduce, remix, republish, post, transmit, or distribute this document, or any portion thereof, without the permission of the copyright owner. [Note: If this document is licensed under a Creative Commons license (see "Rights" on the previous page) which allows broader usage rights, your use is governed by the terms of that license.]

Inquiries regarding further use of these materials should be addressed to: CommonKnowledge Rights, Pacific University Library, 2043 College Way, Forest Grove, OR 97116, (503) 352-7209. Email inquiries may be directed to:.copyright@pacificu.edu

A CRITICAL COMPARISON OF OPTOMETRIC FINDINGS OF IDENTICAL TWINS AS A MEANS OF DETERMINING THEIR CYLINDRICAL LENS NEEDS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

ROBERT A. BRAYMAN and BYRON E. WENTZ

A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRY PACIFIC UNIVERSITY

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE,
DOCTOR OF OPTOMETRY

A CRITICAL COMPARISON OF OPTOMETRIC FINDINGS OF IDENTICAL TWINS AS A MEANS OF DETERMINING THEIR CYLINDRICAL LENS NEEDS

CASE HISTORY:

Date: January 8, 1952

PATIENTS:

B. T. and S. T., females, age ten years, Newberg, Oregon.

COMPLAINT:

Original referral was made by their school teacher in a letter to this clinic stating that both girls read with their books held too close to their faces. They were not doing the kind of work in school that the teacher felt that they should be doing for girls their age. Both children complained of headaches. They are willing workers but their grades were not satisfactory according to their teacher. Neither twin had had a previous visual examination.

B. reported that she has trouble reading the black-board, sometimes seeing two blackboards. Her eyes get quite tired after reading for a short time. Childhood diseases: mumps. The mother stated that the child was believed to have had a slight case of rheumatic fever at one time. The mother also reported that she frequently

transposes numbers when copying them.

S. reported that her eyes tire and ache after about one half hour of reading. She also was reported to be skipping and reversing words and numbers. She enjoys reading and claims that she would read more if her eyes did not get so tired. Childhood diseases: mumps and the measles.

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

EXTERNAL EXAMINATION: (These are O.U. findings unless

noted as being otherwise.)

Case (B) Case (S)

Position Eyes are parallel Eyes are parallel

Cilia & Palpebral Straight & clear Straight & clear

Lid Margins &

Caruncle Clear Clear

Conjunctiva &

Puncta Clear & open Clear & open

Sclera Free of injection Free of injection

Cornea Clear Clear

Ant. Chambers Clear, adequate depth Clear, adequate depth

Irides Clear & regular Clear & regular

Crystalline lens No opacities No opacities

Lacrimal drainage Open Open

Tension Normal to touch Normal to touch

Pupillary Reactions

Direct Present Present

Consensual Present Present

Near point Present but slow Present

Case (B) Case (S)

Speed D. & C. rapid Rapid

Size About 4 mm. 5 mm. to 2 mm.

Stay Contracted No No

INTERNAL EXAMINATION:

Cornea No opacities No opacities

Ant. Chamber Clear, depth adequate Clear, depth adequate

Irides Clear Clear

Crystalline lens No opacities No opacities

Vitreous Clear & no floaters Clear & no floaters

Fundus color Reddish-orange Reddish-orange

Disc Margin O.D. not too definite Distinct with temporal

O.S. more sharply de- choroidal ring

fined than O.D.

Physiologic 0.D. 1.00 D. 2.00 D

cupping 0.S. No cupping

Vessel ratio 3/2 3/2

OPTOMETRIC FINDINGS:

See Tables I and II.

VISUAL SKILLS:

See Table III. (Case B) The cover test showed no deviations. Rotations were performed with great difficulty in all quadrants. She had great difficulty in following the target. The near point of binocularity was at 2 inches with doubling reported. This test was conducted twice: on the first attempt the left eye deviated out first while on the second attempt the right eye was first to deviate. Donder's Amplitude was: $0.D. 2\frac{1}{2}n$, $0.S. 2\frac{1}{2}n$, $0.U. 3\frac{1}{2}n$. Fixations

were good to the left while on the right side she had trouble maintaining fixation.

(Case S) No deviations revealed by cover test. Monocular and binocular rotations were very good in all quadrants. Near point of binocularity was at 4 inches with 0.D. turning out with no doubling reported. Donder's Amplitude was: 0.D. 5", 0.S. $4\frac{1}{2}$ ", 0.U. 4". DIAGNOSIS:

Because of the similarity of the retinscopic findings in both cases (near plano spheres), we were lead to believe that the major portion of the problem involved the cylindrical component of these corrections. However, despite the rather striking similarity in values of the cylinder as found in #2, #4, #5, and #7 in both cases, we found that application of the full cylinder as determined subjectively did very little to improve the visual acuity in the refracting room or in the skills situation. It was this factor that gave us great concern as to whether or not the full cylinder should be supplied.

In the case of B. the clock dial cylinder for O. D. chased all the way from -1.25 x 180 to no cylinder at all, while O.S. showed no cylinder on the clock dial. The first day's testing by the J.C.C. gave a -.50 x 15 cylinder for O.D. and a -.50 x 150 cylinder for O.S. The cylinder prescribed was that which was determined by the J.C.C. on the second day of testing. (Cylinder of #7 and #7A in Table I).

In the case of S. the clock dial cylinder resulted in a -1.00 x 10 cylinder for O.D. and a -.75 x 170 cylinder for O.S. The cylinder on both O.D. and O.S. did very little to improve acuity at either far or near. Consequently, careful consideration had to be given in determining the amount of cylinder to be prescribed. The clock dial, J.C.C., #2, #4, #5 and #7 all show the presence of considerable cylinder.

Thus it would appear from the examination of the two sets of findings that some mutual solution might be advantageous in the determination of the final Rx. Despite tests indicating that the tenative lenses (in trial frames) gave no clue that they would do anything in the way of improving the visual acuity of either of these patients, we felt that due to the comparatively similar findings throughout each case we should prescribe the full cylinder that was determined by the #7 analytical finding in each of our respective cases regardless of the lack of improvement in the visual acuity at this time.

It was interesting to note some of the differences that were observed in the visual skills of B., both through plano and through the tenative Rx: far stereopsis was lower through the tenative Rx (as compared to plano), far point visual discrimination was slightly increased in O.D. and reduced in O.S., near stereopsis was significantly increased through the tenative Rx, but near-point visual discrimination

showed no improvement whatsoever.

PRESCRIBED TREATMENT:

(Case B.) The following lenses were prescribed for B. for fulltime wear: 0.D. / .25 - 1.25 x 5 0.S. / .50 - .50 x 155

The previous discussion of the cylindrical component of this prescription is justification for its being prescribed.

The small amount of plus and the .25D of anisometropia were prescribed because of the calculated lens requirements. The #7 and #7A findings show no anisometropia, however numerous other tests indicated that such a condition existed. The first day's testing resulted in a monocular #7 of 0.D. = Plano, 0.S. = / .25 D. The #4 and #5 scope findings indicated this difference, also. The plus found in #14A also justified its presence in the prescription. However, it need not be discussed as to whether or not it should have been prescribed, as the spherical equivalent of the two cylinders in themselves certainly creates an anisometropic situation!

(Case S.) The following prescription would satisfy the analytical findings at both near and far:

0.D.
$$\neq$$
 .50 - 1.00 x 10
0.S. \neq .50 - .75 x 170

The clock dial cylinder showed 0.U. =-.50 x 180. The cylinder determined by the retinoscope was 0.D. - .75 x 15, 0.S. - .50 x 180. The cylinder net as determined by Javal's modification of the gross ophthalmometer readings resulted in a cylinder for 0.D. = - 2.25 x 10 and 0.S. = - 2.50 x 180.

Thus it would appear that considerable variations were present.

The prognosis on this case was a subjective increase in cylinder, an increase in stereopsis and an increase in dot discrimination at far and near.

SUBSEQUENT PROGRESS REPORT: (Case B)

At this time the patient stated that she liked her glasses very much. No complaint of eyes hurting or headaches could be elicited from the patient.

The mother reported that B. was doing quite nicely in school (better grades) and that she had no complaints about her vision. No complaint of any kind could be elicited despite every effort to learn of any minute visual discomforts.

The analytical findings show very little change in the amount of acceptable plus. Subjectively, the cylinder powers and axes show some change but it is felt that her present Rx is adequate to the needs of the patient by reason of no complaint. The break and recovery findings have all dropped but now more nearly approximate the O.E.P. expecteds. The only significantly large change in the phorias is revealed in the shift of those in #20 and #21, but for the better.

It is of interest to note that all of the visual acuities (#4, #7, #7A, and entrance acuities) show no significant change since the original exam, however an important evaluation may be obtained by comparing the changes in the visual skills: far stereopsis has improved, far point

visual discrimination shows enhancement, near stereopsis is better and near point visual discrimination has improved. Thus it would seem that the skills battery may be a rather critical measuring device in this respect!!

(Case S.) The only statement from the patient was that she had found reading easier and more comfortable and her eyes didn't get as tired as before.

The mother of S. stated that she was making better marks in school than ever before.

The analytical findings showed slight improvement. A response to base out prism to blur at near and far was elicited which, previous to lens application, was absent. The acuity of the right eye increased from 20/25 to 20/20 thrugh the same lens power. Although the gross plus at near decreased considerably, the xo decreased correspondingly leaving nearly the same net plus. The ductions showed no significant change.

From the analytical findings alone there was not much indication of improvement. However, when comparison was made between the two skills findings, the change became quite obvious. The improvement in the far dot discrimination was one of equalization. The near dot discrimination went from 15 0.D. to 16 0.D. and from 11 0.S. to 16 0.S., which shows an increase in acuity as well as equalization. Stereopsis went from 0% to 40% at far and from 12% to 40% at near.

No change was made in the lenses at this time although the O.S. could have been increased by a -.25 D. cylinder.

S. was instructed to continue wearing her glasses at all times.

COMMENTS:

experience derived from these cases was to discover that the application of that amount of cylinder as determined subjectively was important to the visual performance of these patients, even though at the time of its application no change in the visual acuities could be noted. We do not wish to say that such is the case with all patients, but with the additional tests of an identical twin to aid in the evaluation of a cylindrical correction it was felt that the full cylinder should be supplied.

It is felt that these two girls are retarted in many ways: their apparent level of performance in school was lower than average, their lack of aggressiveness in the testing situation and their slowness to respond to all questions that were asked. It would appear that this may be in conjunction with or a result of the inability to get their visual acuities below a poor 20/20, even with lenses.

The progress reports bore out several interesting points that lead us to believe that the therapy supplied was not only giving considerable help to them but was also

beginning to bring about some changes in acuity - minute as they were. Although the analytical revealed little or no changes in acuity, the skills picture showed changes that were significant in that stereopsis and acuity showed improvement!!

The alleviation of the complaints of both patients is certainly not to be overlooked in the final analysis of their cases. Since we were planning to write up their cases, we deliberately questioned them for some type of complaint, but were finally convinced that no complaints were to be elicited.

A quotation from the teacher, who originally referred these children, will probably shed some light on the changes that she has observed in these children.

"I do think that both girls have been helped in various ways from their being fitted with glasses: no staying out of school with headaches. they take part in playground games and seem to be in a much happier frame of mind about school in general. Of course, both girls are very slow in oral reading, but they are doing much better work than they did in the fall in that respect. Their silent reading has come up from a 5 to a 3. Both of these girls have needed the glasses since they were in the third and fourth grades I am told by one of the other teachers. We do see a change, but in so short a time, it has been almost impossible for the girls to bring their grades up to where they should be yet. One of the girls took first prize in her 4-H sewing last week at their Achievement Night. Last year the leaders were unable to get them to even finish their projects. The fact that they are beginning to show interest in 4-H work and school work makes me think they are going to be helped by wearing the glasses, but both girls have a very low I.Q., and I don't think they will ever be able to make the advancements and grades in school that children of their age should make. Now, they are very pleasant girls to work with, but when I first went out their to teach in the fall they weren't very cooperative."

SUMMARY:

By a cross comparison of findings taken on identical twins a fruitful decision was arrived at concerning the application of the full subjective cylinder in cases where it was found that no differences could be found in the visual performances of these patients through the tenative lenses. Because of the similarity of the cases it was decided that the full cylinder should be supplied in each instance.

The progress reports were sufficient testimony that the major complaints were satisfied by the corrections supplied.

The visual skills were also found to be a more refined means of measuring acuity changes than in the standard analytical manner. Sufficient changes were noted to justify a careful appraisal of their usefulness.

TABLE I SUBMARY OF Optometric Findings*

Techniques		Dates Given	
or		Case B	
Skills		January 8, 1952	April 1, 1952
	00	-1.L0x180	-1.75x130
2 Op thalmometer	05	-1.25x180	-1.25 x 80
Lateral phoria			
*3 at 16"		1 xp (plano)	ortho (4.50).
Lateral phoria			
34 at 16"	-	2 xb (plane)	3½ xo 4 50
	OD	711.50x180	P11.00x180
h "Static" retinoscopy		₹ .25-1 00× 50	P1 -1 -2 x150
"Dyna: ic"	OD	7.75-1.50x130	775-1.00x180
Sretinosco v at 20"	03	41 00-1 VOX 50	41 00-7 25v 50
"Dynamic"	OD	750-1.50x180	7.50-1.00x180
6 retinoscopy at 10"	03	4-75-1.0x160	41 0-1 25× 50
Subjective to 20/20	OD	7.50-1.25x5	4.50-1.00x7
7 at 13!	os.	¥-51-50(155	
Subjective to best	OD GO	P11.25x5	F1 -1.00x7
74 - V 1 at 131	03	₹21 - 50 21.55	4-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-
Lateral phoria at	1 1	Ortilo:	1. x0
8 13 through No. 7 Base out to blur	-	OTURO	
9 at 13! through No.	4	12	10
B.O. break and re-	1-1		
10 covery (13') No. 7		27/15	21/13
B.1. break and re-	-	51/1/2	
ll. covery (13') To. 7		17/10	8/\$
Vertical phoria at	+-+	1-1/1-1-1-1-1-1	
12 13! through No. 7		Ortho	Ortho
Vertical ductions	+		
l2 at 13! through No.	7	6/2 S.D. 1/1 1.D.	9/1 3.D. 5/1 T.D.
Lateral phoria at	+	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
3B 16" through No. 7		3 40	3 70
Dissociated cross	ΟĎ	/1.25-1.25x9	/1.25-1.00x7
A cylinder at 16"	bs .	√1.4040x145	# /1 50- 75x160
Lat ral phoria at	1		
54 16" through 11A		5 %	6 %
Binocular cross	QD	√1.10-1.25×9	# 7.75-1.00x7
B cylinder at 16"	08	/1.00-1 25x5 /1.2590x195	7.75-1.00x7 71.00-75x160
Lateral phoria at	1		
38 16" through all B		5 xc	5 0
B.O. blur out at			
4.160 through No. 7		23	17
B.O. break and re-	1		
6B covery(16") No. 7	1 1	28/8	19/10
B.I. blur out st			
7A 16" thrungh No. 7		28	12
3.I. breat and re-			
7B covery (16") No. 7		304/8	17/10
Vertical phoria at			
16" throng #7	1	Ortho	Ortho

A double ruling indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

TABLE I SUMMARY OF Optometric Findings*

	Dates Given	
	Case S 1952	April 1, 1952
		111-2:90×19
	0.0 -225x10 0 -2.50x180	-2.90x15 -2.90x130
	U =2.30x10U	L. Onles
a Flano	1 20	2 to (thru F1.)
La Lako		
Rs II-20	2 70	2 xo (thru /.50) P1-1.23x10
	2 30 OD y . 25 - 75 x 15	P1-1.25x10
	05 F150 ft 80 05 /-15-75x15	25100x170 -1.00-1.25x1.0
	OD 7.75-79x15	#1.00-1.25x1.0
	03 /7450x180	/1.00-1.00x170
	CD /.9C-1.0 xl0 CD9C-1.1 170	7.50-1.70-1 4.76-1.00x170
	0F1eFF0P 2G	7. 75-1. COX 1/ U
	Ou lame	F1-1.00k0 05-1.00x1170
	C3 Same	
	1 30	1 2 40
		9
	11/3	11477
	12/5	9/4
	Ortio	Ortho
	0/3	3/2 3/3
	.3/3 2/1	3/2 3/4
		2 xo
hru	OD 72 25-1 00 10	7 75 7 00 10
	05 /2.25- 75x170	≠1.75-1.00x10 ≠1.75-1.00x170
	OS FEEL INSTITUTE	F1 15-4-854-15
	10 20	7 761
	00 42 00-11-00 10	¥1.0∪-1.0∪.10'
	IS /2 DO- 75= Yo	√1.d0-1.co.d70
	11 /2	2 2:
1 m: 4.50	N	1 2
		1272
IN 4.50	11/4	13.7
1.10		1. 8
hm 4.50	7	
1 00	19/1	16/10
1111 F.50	<u> </u>	10/20
1 17 / .50	Ortio	Ortho

ing indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

.

TABLE 1 0 2 tt.
SUMMARY OF Optometric Findings

echniques or Skills					P 3					- 7	7	300	0			
	_			canu	87	Fl, 3.	-25	1	14.5	11	1,]95	2		-	_
Vertical ductions 13 at 16" through No.7				6.10	S.	6/	7 7	1	7/	1	,	1	1./2		1	ı
Finus to blur at	0.)	-	-	7.0	.25	1	200	1		1.5	0).	72	41.0	-	┝
1 Thus to olde at	US			49.	75			1	-3	2.0	0				ļ	1
× 1"0	ÖÜ	-		-7.		-	-			10.			 	-		t
	0.0			-,.	,0			1		1						
Lateral phoris at	1			-		1		1	1	1	-					T
20 16" through,				1	KQ (-1.2	6)		7	150		(-3.	00)			L
ins to blue out																Γ
Plus to blur out	_			-1.	50				-	. 50					_	L
flus in blur out								1 1	1 /-							1
21 st 16"	-			+2	.00	<u> </u>	_		f-1	.25			_	-	-	L
2 2 4 2 4 4				1		41.7	41		7	160		(/1.	663			1
21 Lateral pleria At. 16" through				1: 5	3	1.1	23	1 -		201,0	-	7.	277	-	-	ŀ
19. Chrones																l
	-	-		-			-		-	-	-			-		۳
· Ta muhari sigan s	1 :	in.	Cont Young	State	de.	ien	11 to	150	Phor	1 he	. d	enio	oa 1.e	t te	sta	ALE
The state of the s	1				-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		t
adopted by the Opto	net.	do:	Ente	sic	n er	pers	ne.	1								
	1	-		_		-	1	T								Γ
all fer point to to	995	1 1	REGIS	41	13 [twi	p(1)	er.	t. ar	20	2	et	18. 1	515	is t	Ŀ
							-									İ
length of our refra	41,12	5 1	0000		-		ļ	╄	-	_	_				-	1
	1				l		!	1		1			Į.			ì
	-	-			ļ	-	-	-	+-							٠
					!	1		1								i
	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+	-		_	-		t
	1															l
	1		-	-				-	1		-	-				t
	1				!			1		1					1	L
	1					1										Т
					!		<u>i</u>			1					_	L
	T							1	1	-						T
		_				_			_	1				_	_	L
						1			1	1						
	-	-						_	-	_				ļ		Ļ
								ì								-
~ (~) (~) (~) (~) (~) (~) (~) (~) (~) (~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+			-	-	<u> </u>	+
	1	i					1							1		-
	+	-	-	-	-	+-	-	-	-	-			-		-	+
	1			1			1								1	1
	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		t
		1	1		1			1	1							l
		L			L		I	1		_	-	-	-	-	-	÷
		-	1		1											•

A double ruling indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

TABLE I Oction

		-	Da	tes O	iven								
			<u> </u>	enuali	8	1945			At ref	;7 7.	105	2	
tima 4.50				3/							3/1		
	103 101	Line	9/1 25 25						3/3 -5, -5,	75 75			
	QU	-	25						-5.	75			
		-4	35						-3.	25			
thru-3.50	_	7	Eso						6.1	<u>50</u>	tion	-2.	50)
	_	É	.50	-	-	-			<i>f</i> ?.	25		-	
toru A. 3		6	20		+				7 2	0 (ineur	1.25	
	-	-		-	-	-				-			
	_	-	-	-	+-					-	-		
	_	_		_		-				-			
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-		_	
	-	-		-	-	-		_		<u> </u>	-		
	-	-		-	-	-				-		-	
	-	-	-	-	+-	-	_	-		-	-		
	-	-	-		+-	-		-		-			
	-	-		-	-	+-	-			-			
	-	-			-	-		-		-			
	-	-	-	-	+	-			-				
	-	-			-	-				-			
	-	-		-	+	1	-			-	-		
	\vdash	-		-	+-	1		_		-		-	
tota return Bi	-	-		-	+	-			_	-			
******	-	-	-		-	+				-	1-		

ing indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

11

ħ.

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF Visual acuity

echniques or				1		Giv	SII									
Skills					(38)	se B				T			_			Ţ
Visual Acuity	on Admi	sin	1)	(No	Rx)				_	_					_	1
Far						Wea	g.		_	_					-	1
gp 20/20		-				OD	20/	3G		_				_		1
03 20/25						03	20/	₄ O		_		-				-
OU 20/20						OU	20	/30	_	_					_	-
		Ja		ry 8	19	52					11 1		52			1
No. 4 Acusty		_	520	/25 /25						00 00		125				1
		OU		/25						OU	1	/25				
No. 7 Acusty		0D 03	20	/20 /20						0D 05	20	/25 /25				
		OU.		/20					_	OÛ.		20				
No. 74 Acuity		0D 03	20	/20 /20						OD Os	20					1
		OU	2	0/20						OU	20)	20				
Visual acuity	- Frostr	SS	Rep	ort	intr	nce										
Without Rx						41	h R									-
Far	Mear					Fai	,				Nea					
OD 20/30	20/2 מה	5				OD	20/	5			OD	20,	20			
05 20/30	03 20/1	0				03	20/	5			03	20,	20			
DU 20/30	OU 20/2	0				OU	20/	5			90	20,	20			
······································									T	T						
										T						-
1000 Hany 1000/100/100										T						
		1	1		-	-	1	1	+	1	1-			1-	-	-

A double ruling indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

TABLE 11 SUMMARY OF Visual Acuity

echniques	Dat	es Given		
or Skills		Case 3		
Visual Acuity of	Admission			
Раг		Near		
OD 20/25		00 20/30		
03 20/25		03 20/30-2		
OU 20/25 7		OU 20/30		
	0 00/20		05 20/30	
We. h Acuity	0. 20/30 0 21/25 01 20/50		23 20/25	
Fo. 7 Acuity	0D 20/25 08 20/20		ลบ 20/25 ดร 20/26	
	00 2u/20		OU 20/20	
No. 7a aguity	00 20/25 08 20/20		OD 20/20 OS 20/20	1
	⊉ U 20∕ 20		θυ 20/20 ^{F1}	
		111		
	1111	++++		
	1111			

A double ruling indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.

TABLE	III	
SUMMARY OF	Visual	Skills

chniques			Date									
or	Case					Case S						
Skills	1-9-			1:-1-52		1-8-52	1-1-5					
Accomodative Rock	OD 03	F		P		Ē	1 1					
Simultaneous		1		F								
reception	-	-	-		+-	1						
Far-Foint	1			É		12						
Sinocularity		- 2	-	-	-	+		++-				
Far-Foint	I	F			P		N.					
itereopsis.			-	-	-	1	-	-				
Far-Point Fericentral		þ	1	1	1							
Suppression	-	I P	-	1	-	-	-					
Far-Foint Central Suppression		P		P		F	-					
Far-Point Visual					1							
Discrimination		F		F		F	F					
Hand and ye		1			1							
Coordination		Ĩ		Ā		P	1					
	T											
Color Vision		P		P		F	2					
Far-Foint Lateral												
Phoria		P		F		P	16 15					
Far Foint Vertical		1					1					
Fhoria		8	-7	7		1	1 1					
Wear-roint Vertical							li l					
Phoria		F	-6	1		-	P					
Neer-Point		1			1							
Binocularity	_	14	-	I	-		P	-				
Nerr-Point Steremsis		F		F	1	F	ŀ					
Ne: r-Foint Lateral Ph	ori			P		P						
Near-Point Pericentra		-			-		7	1				
Snouression		F		F	1	F	F					
Near-Point Central		-			1			1				
Superession		1	1	4		P	7	i				
Mear-Point Visual												
Discrimination		Ŧ		F		D.	F					
Cover Test		P		F			1					
		F	1	F.								
Rotations & Versions		1	-	E.	-	-	35					
Saccadic Fixations		F		F								
Near-Point of							iii -					
Birocularity		P		F			15					
	T						1	T				
······································			-	-	-							
	1		1	1	1			1 1				

A double ruling indicates a progress report was taken at this point. See Table I.