The Classical Review

http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR

Additional services for The Classical Review:

Email alerts: Click here
Subscriptions: Click here
Commercial reprints: Click here
Terms of use: Click here



Papillon and Haigh's Text of Vergil *P. Vergili Maronis opera omnia* recensuerunt T. L. Papillon, A.M. et A. E. Haigh, A.M. Oxonii e prelo Clarendoniano. 1895. The Oxford Text of Virgil. Price 5s.

S. G. Owen

The Classical Review / Volume 9 / Issue 07 / October 1895, pp 366 - 367 DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X00202412, Published online: 27 October 2009

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract S0009840X00202412

How to cite this article:

S. G. Owen (1895). The Classical Review, 9, pp 366-367 doi:10.1017/S0009840X00202412

Request Permissions: Click here

upon Dr. Holden's pedagogic throne the defects which I have mentioned stand out the more plainly. When one remembers what the ordinary English school edition was ten years ago, and considers the improvement that has been made, and how much Dr. Holden has contributed to that improvement, it would be the basest ingratitude not to recognize to the full the debt we owe him. But

if he would only bethink him that even in editing a classical author the law of diminishing returns is valid, if he would only add to the German thoroughness which characterizes him the brevity which characterizes, say, Sintenis' school editions of Plutarch, our obligations to him would be doubled.

F. ARTHUR HIRTZEL.

PAPILLON AND HAIGH'S TEXT OF VERGIL.

P. Vergili Maronis opera omnia recensuerunt T. L. Papillon, A.M. et A. E. Haigh, A.M. Oxonii e prelo Clarendoniano. 1895. The Oxford Text of Virgil. Price 5s.

This small text of Vergil is printed on paper so thin that the ink already shows through the pages, and in type so minute that those who read it can hardly hope long to enjoy eyesight with which to read at all. The book is unduly expensive, and is bound in fragile cardboard, with a back of white buckram that easily catches dirt. The paper is described as writing paper for MS. notes; but this is illusory, as the marginal space is scanty. The text is a reprint of that of Messrs. Papillon and Haigh. But what gives the book great importance is that the Minor Poems have been revised by Professor Robinson Ellis, who is engaged in editing the Appendix Vergiliana for Professor Postgate's Corpus. As the present work contains a bare text with no sort of apparatus criticus, we have to seek in periodicals, chiefly the American Journal of Philology, for the justifications of Professor Ellis' changes. His recension is mainly conservative; and he has brought new material to his task, particularly the valuable Corsini MS. of the Culex, a collation of which he has published in this Review, vol. vi. p. 203. From that MS. two excellent restorations have been made: Culex 88 illi Panchaia tura | floribus agrestes herbae uariantibus addunt (for adsunt); and ibid. 137 hic magnum Argoae naui decus addita pinus Comparing Ellis' text with (for edita). those of Ribbeck and Baehrens, and, for the Culex, Leo, we find a quantity of changes, many of which are felicitous restorations of MS. readings, while many are masterly emendations of the editor, who in

this sphere has confined himself to passages generally admitted to be corrupt. Of rash and unnecessary emendation there is no trace; the sound judgment shown in the new text will greatly add to Professor Ellis' reputation for critical acumen. His wide learning, nice taste, and fertile ingenuity enable him to grapple with the difficulties of a Latin writer with peculiar success; and of difficulty these poems contain an amount out of all proportion to their length.

It is hard to estimate fully the large number of novelties here brought together; but some may be at once accepted as con-Such are in the Catalepton (so Professor Ellis prints the title) 5 (7) 3 et uos Selique Tarquitique Varroque (for se liquitar quinque and other corruptions): cp. Cic. Acad. Prior. 4 § 11. Catalept. 10 (8) 10 nequa torridum iugo | premente dura unlnus ederet inba (for nequid orion). Catalept. 14 (6) 9 marmoreusque tibi caput, ignicolorius alas (for marmoreusque tibi aut mille coloribus ales). Culex 5 doctumque uoces (for ducum uoces), though perhaps doctumque uocet would be better on account of invidus. Culex 37 haec tibi, sancte puer, memorabimus: haec tibi crescet | gloria perpetuum lucens (for memorabilis et tibi Culex 61 quae lacerant auidas nimia cuppedine mentes (for inimico pectore); see American Journal of Philology 8, 402. Culex 117 non tantum Oeagrius Hebrum (for tantum non horridus Hebrum); Am. J. P. 3, 275, but see L. Müller De ReMetrica ed. 2, p. 317. Culex 119 quantum te pernice morantur (for per nigre morantem). Ciris 180 nullus in ore rubor: ubi non rubor obstat amori ? (for ubi enim); Am. J. P. 15, 478. Ciris 321 quae tenuis patrio praes sit suspensa capillo (for pressit); Am. J. P. 15, 485. Ciris 472 hinc genus illi Sunius (for hinc uenus illi Sinius);

Am. J. P. 15, 490. Copa 33 per morsum tenerae decerpens ora puellae (for formosum); Am. J. P. 8, 407. It would be easy to swell the list; these are only specimens of the good things to be found in Mr. Ellis' text.

I notice a few points: Culex 56 at illa | inminet in riui *prostantis imaginis* undam is curious: I suppose prostantis imaginis is descriptive genitive, 'hangs over the river water where the reflexion stands forth.' Culex 67 Alconis referent Boethique toreuma. I do not think Boethique should be obelized; Leo's defence of its disyllabic scansion by the deiectum fulmine Phaethon of Varro of Atax seems adequate (Comm. p. 41). Culex 139 ac petit aeriis contingere morsibus astra seems to require parallel. I prefer montibus MSS. i.e. to touch the stars by growing on Ciris 120 nam capite a the mountains. summo regis (mirabile dictu) candida caesarie florebant tempora lauro (see Am. J.P. 15, 476) seems unsatisfactory. As the Rehdigeranus has frondebant I suggest candida caesarie frondebant tempora flora; Verg. Aen. 12, 605 has floros crinis, according to Conington, Ribbeck, Deuticke, Güthling, Nettleship, though not Papillon. Copa 25 Ellis retains huc, calybita, ueni, but has calybita any satisfactory meaning? Perhaps we should read huc, catamite, ueni, 'come here, my pretty boy.' Paul. Diac. ap. Fest. p. 44 M. Catamitum pro Ganymede dixerunt, qui fuit Iouis concubinus. Cp. Plaut. Menaechm. 144, Trin. 928, Apulei. Met. 1, 12. Copa 28 nunc uepris in gelida sede lacerta latet; so Ellis for uere, but uaria the reading of some MSS. seems probable 'the speckled lizard': cp. Verg. Geor. 3, 264 lynces uariae, Senec. Hipp. 62, Ov. M. 6, 114.

S. G. OWEN.

MELBER'S EDITION OF DIO CASSIUS.

Dionis Cassii Cocceiani Historia Romana: editionem primam curavit Ludovicus Dindorf, recognovit IOHANNES MELBER. Vol. II. Lipsiae, Teubner, 1894. M. 3.60.

THE second volume of the new edition of Dio Cassius in Teubner's series, edited by Dr. Melber, includes the books from 41 to 50. The principles which have guided the editor in his revision of the text were so fully explained by the present writer in the Classical Review that only a very brief notice is necessary now. No new collation of the MSS. has been made, but the critical notes at the foot of the page contain every important variant and conjecture, the latter drawn not only from critical papers by Naber, Cobet, Herwerden &c.,

but from historical works such as Mommsen's Staatsrecht and Willems' Sénat de la République. For a few improvements Dr. Melber is himself responsible. The alterations in the text do not generally call for notice, but we may remark that the editor has accepted Ihne's correction $K\omega\pi'as$ for $Ka\iota\pi'as$, which the MSS. give as the cognomen of Octavianus in 45. 1, 1, and which Dindorf omitted as hopeless. $K\omega\pi'as$, as Ihne has shown (R. G. vii. 304 n. 2), is a translation of the cognomen 'Thurinus' which Suetonius (Aug. 7) ascribes to Octavianus; 'Copia' being the title given to Thurii when it became a colony in B.C.

G. McN. Rushforth.

MADAN'S CATALOGUE OF WESTERN MANUSCRIPTS IN THE BODLEIAN.

A Summary Catalogue of Western Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library, by F. MADAN, Sub-Librarian. Vol. III. Clarendon Press. 1895. 21s.

This volume, though numbered iii., is really the first instalment of a large scheme dating from 1890. This scheme, if carried out, will include (vols. i. ii.) a new edition of the old Catalogue of Bodleian MSS., by Bernard and others, published in 1697, better known as Catalogi librorum manuscriptorum Angliae et Hiberniae: vols. iv. v. the collections acquired since 1800, and