



# A new species of Fordiophyton (Sonerileae, Melastomataceae) from Yunnan, China

Jin-Hong Dai<sup>1</sup>, Qiu-Jie Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Zhi-Yong Yu<sup>2</sup>, Ren-Chao Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Ying Liu<sup>1</sup>

l State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Plant Resources, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, No. 135, Xin-Gang-Xi Road, Guangzhou 510275, China 2 Management Bureau of Fenshuiling National Nature Reserve, Jinping 661500, China

Corresponding author: Ying Liu (liliumrosa@163.com)

Academic editor: Ricardo Kriebel | Received 11 April 2019 | Accepted 25 April 2019 | Published 28 May 2019

Citation: Dai J-H, Zhou Q-J, Yu Z-Y, Zhou R-C, Liu Y (2019) A new species of *Fordiophyton* (Sonerileae, Melastomataceae) from Yunnan, China. PhytoKeys 122: 15–28. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.122.35260

#### **Abstract**

Fordiophyton jinpingense (Melastomataceae; Sonerileae), a species occurring in south-eastern Yunnan, China, is described as new, based on morphological and molecular data. Phylogenetic analyses, based on nrITS sequence data, showed that, except *F. breviscapum*, all species sampled in Fordiophyton formed a strongly supported clade in which two geographical lineages were recovered. The generic placement of *F. jinpingense* is well supported by phylogenetic analyses and a character combination of 4-merous flowers, distinctly dimorphic stamens and the connectives basally not calcarate. Molecular divergence and morphological evidence indicate that *F. jinpingense* is well separated from other members of the genus, thus justifying its recognition as a distinct species. Fordiophyton jinpingense is phylogenetically closest to *F. repens*, but differs markedly from the latter in stem morphology (short, obtusely 4-sided vs. long, 4-angular), habit (erect vs. creeping), leaf size (6–16.5 × 4.5–13 cm vs. 4–7.5 × 4–6.5 cm) and flower number per inflorescence (5–13 vs. 3–6).

#### **Keywords**

Fordiophyton, Melastomataceae, taxonomy, phylogeny


#### Introduction

In the study of Asian Sonerileae, Stapf established two new genera, *Fordiophyton* Stapf and *Gymnagathis* Stapf, based on three species in China (Stapf 1892). Both genera were accepted by subsequent authors (Krasser 1893; Diels 1932; Li 1944; Chen 1984a, b). Li

(1944) pointed out that *Gymnagathis* is an illegitimate generic name and proposed a new name *Stapfiophyton* Li to replace it. However, Hansen (1992) considered the type species of *Stapfiophyton*, *S. peperomiifolium* (Oliver) H. L. Li, to be similar to *Fordiophyton* and therefore placed *Stapfiophyton* in synonymy under *Fordiophyton*. Hansen's treatment was thereafter adopted by other authors (Deng and Wu 2004; Chen and Renner 2007).

Fordiophyton, as currently defined, is a small Asian genus of 13 species mainly occurring in southern China, with only one species extending to northern Vietnam (Chen and Renner 2007; Ning and Liu 2010; Zeng et al. 2016a, b). It is characterised by 4-merous flowers, eight unequal stamens, distinctly dimorphic anthers, connectives not calcarate at the base and anther base of longer stamens not forked, obtusely forked or forked and curved (Fig. 1). Ten species of Fordiophyton have been included in previous molecular phylogenetic studies (Zeng et al. 2016a, b; Zhou et al. in press). Amongst the species sampled in Fordiophyton, F. breviscapum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu appeared to be close to Phyllagathis tetrandra Diels and P. elattandra Diels (Zhou et al. in press), while the remaining species, including the type species, F. faberi Stapf, formed a well-supported clade close to Blastus, Bredia-Phyllagathis clade 2 and Plagiopetalum (Zeng et al. 2016a, b; Zhou et al. in press).

During a field survey, we encountered a distinct plant in the forests of Ma-an-di, Fenshuiling National Nature Reserve in Jinping County, south-eastern Yunnan. This plant had eight distinctly dimorphic stamens and connectives not calcarate at the base, which are typical characteristics of *Fordiophyton*. It was distinct from all known species of *Fordiophyton* in the combination of short stems with distinct internodes, basal rosette of leaves, unwinged, densely villous petioles, umbellate inflorescence and anther base of longer stamens distinctly forked and curved (Figs 2, 3). We suspected that it represented an undescribed species.



**Figure 1.** Flowers of *Fordiophyton* showing anther morphology of the longer stamens. **A** *E. peperomii-folium* from Qingyuan, Guangdong, China, anther base not forked **B** *E. faberi* from Hengshan, Hunan, China, anther base forked **C** *E. strictum* from Pingbian, Yunnan, China, anther base forked and curved. Arrows indicate the anther base of the longer stamens.

To evaluate the specific status and phylogenetic position of this species in *Fordiophyton*, phylogenetic analyses were performed, based on DNA sequence data of the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (nrITS). The results confirmed our suspicions that these plants represented a previously unrecognised species, *F. jinpingense*, which we describe below as new. A key to separate it from other species of *Fordiophyton* is also provided.

#### Materials and methods

For phylogenetic analyses, the nrITS sequences of *F. longipes* and *F. jinpingense* were newly sequenced, while the sequences of other species were downloaded from Gen-Bank. The final dataset contained 131 accessions representing 106 species and three varieties from 19 genera in Sonerileae/Dissochaeteae and one in tribe Blakeeae. *Blakea schlimii* (Naudin) Triana was selected as an outgroup according to previous studies (Clausing et al. 2000; Clausing and Renner 2001; Renner et al. 2001; Goldenberg et al. 2012; Zhou et al. in press). In total, twelve species of *Fordiophyton* (85.7%) were sampled in the analyses. The source of the materials and GenBank accession numbers are given in Suppl. material 1.

Total DNA was extracted from fresh leaves using the modified CTAB procedure (Doyle and Doyle 1987). The nrITS region of *F. longipes* and *F. jinpingense* were amplified and sequenced using universal primers (White et al. 1990), following the procedure described in Zou et al. (2017).

Sequences were aligned using SeqMan v.7.1.0 (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI). The best-fitting nucleotide substitution model was determined using the Akaike Information Criterion in Modeltest version 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998) prior to phylogenetic analyses. The substitution model GTR+I+G was selected. Bayesian Inference (BI), Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Maximum Parsimony (MP) analyses were performed according to Zhou et al. (in press).

#### Results

The aligned sequence matrix contained 766 characters. Statistics of sequences sampled are summarised in Suppl. material 2. Trees generated by ML, MP and BI analyses were highly similar in topology, except that some nodes with weak support in ML analyses collapsed in MP or BI analyses. The tree resulting from ML analysis is shown in Suppl. material 3, with BI posterior probability (PP), ML bootstrap support values (BS) and MP bootstrap support values (PBS) labelled at nodes. As shown in Fig. 4, *F. breviscapum*, *P. tetrandra* and *P. elattandra* comprised a clade with weak support (PP = 0.72, BS = 42%, PBS = 49%), while the remaining 11 species formed the well-supported *Fordiophyton* clade (PP = 1.0, BS = 100%, PBS = 99%). The sister relationship of these two clades was only weakly supported in BI and ML analyses (PP = 0.19, BS= 15%). Two subgroups were recovered within the *Fordiophyton* clade with strong support. One

subgroup included seven species, namely *F. brevicaule* C. Chen, *F. chenii* S. Jin Zeng & X. Y. Zhuang, *F. cordifolium* C. Y. Wu ex C. Chen, *F. faberi*, *F. huizhouense* S. Jin Zeng & X. Y. Zhuang, *F. peperomiifolium* (Oliv.) C. Hansen and *F. zhuangiae* S. Jin Zeng & G. D. Tang (PP = 1.0, BS = 100%, PBS = 100%); the other contained *F. longipes* Y. C. Huang, *F. repens* Y. C. Huang, *F. strictum* Diels and the new species, *F. jinpingense* (PP = 1.0, BS = 90%, PBS = 93%) (Fig. 4).

#### **Discussion**

## Phylogeny of Fordiophyton

Phylogenetic analyses recovered two subclades in the *Fordiophyton* clade (Fig. 4). The grouping of species shows weak correlation with morphology. Both subclades are quite variable in habit (short stem with a basal rosette of leaves/long and leafy stem) and morphology of the leaf blade (ovate, cordate to lanceolate), petiole (hairy/glabrous, winged/unwinged) and inflorescence (umbellate/cymose paniculate). However, the subclades represent two geographic lineages. Six out of the seven species in subclade 1 are narrowly endemic to south-eastern China (Guangdong and Hongkong), whereas three out of the four species in subclade 2 are endemics of south-western China (Yunnan).

The currently circumscribed Fordiophyton is not monophyletic, as F. breviscapum appears to be related to Phyllagathis tetrandra and P. elattandra, rather than to other members of the same genus. Fordiophyton breviscapum is morphologically most closely related to F. degeneratum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu, which was not included in the phylogenetic analyses. These two species, as well as P. tetrandra and P. elattandra, have been treated in Stapfiophyton (Li 1944; Chen 1984a, b). Interestingly, these four species share some common features, such as hypanthium distinctly 4-sided and the inner whorl of stamens greatly reduced (F. breviscapum), sterile (F. degeneratum and P. elattandra) or undeveloped (P. tetrandra) (Fig. 5). As the relationships amongst these species are only weakly supported, their generic placement remains unclear, pending further study.

Fordiophyton damingshanense S. Y. Liu & X. Q. Ning is another species which was not sampled in previous and present phylogenetic studies. It highly resembles *F. faberi* in habit, leaf morphology and stamen morphology. Geographically, it occurs in Guangxi, where *F. faberi* also occurs. Morphology and distribution imply that *F. damingshanense* is probably a member of subclade 1.

## Phylogenetic position and specific status of F. jinpingense

The generic placement of *E jinpingense* is supported by morphological and phylogenetic data. Its 4-merous flowers, eight distinctly dimorphic stamens and the connectives basally not calcarate fit perfectly well with the morphological circumscription of

Fordiophyton. Phylogenetic analyses also showed that *F. jinpingense* was nested within the same clade, together with the type of *Fordiophyton*, *F. faberi*.

At the molecular level, pairwise sequence divergence at the nrITS region between F. jinpingense and other species of Fordiophyton ranges from eight to 42 nucleotide substitutions, which is equivalent to the number of substitutions between other species of Fordiophyton (ranging from 14 to 47 nucleotide substitutions). Molecular divergence, therefore, indicates that F. jinpingense is well diverged from other members of the genus. Morphologically, the basal rosette of leaves of F. jinpingense makes it quite distinct from species with erect, leafy stems, viz. F. cordifolium, F. faberi, F. longipes and F. strictum. It closely resembles F. brevicaule, F. chenii, F. huizhouense, F. peperomiifolium and F. zhuangiae in habit, but differs from F. chenii and F. zhuangiae in the unwinged, villous petioles (vs. winged and glabrous), from F. huizhouense and F. peperomiifolium in stems with distinct internodes (vs. indistinct) and from F. brevicaule in longer petioles (3–16 cm vs. 1–3 cm), larger leaf blades (6–16.5  $\times$  4.5–13 cm vs. 3.5–8  $\times$  2–5 cm) and umbellate inflorescence (vs. cymose paniculate). In fact, the phylogenetic analyses showed that F. jinpingense is most closely related to F. repens rather than to the above species. Fordiophyton repens is narrowly endemic to Pingbian County, south-eastern Yunnan. The two are similar in having villous petiole and leaf blade, umbellate inflorescence and anther base of longer stamens forming a forked spur. Nevertheless, they differ markedly in stem morphology (short, obtusely 4-sided vs. long, 4-angular), habit (erect vs. creeping) (Fig. 6), leaf size  $(6-16.5 \times 4.5-13 \text{ cm vs. } 4-7.5 \times 4-6.5 \text{ cm})$  and flower number per inflorescence (5-13 vs. 3-6). Therefore, both molecular and morphological evidence justify the recognition of *F. jinpingense* as a distinct species.

#### Taxonomic treatment

Fordiophyton jinpingense J.H.Dai & Z.Y.Yu, sp. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:60478839-2 Figures 2, 3

**Type.** CHINA. Yunnan: Jinping County, Ma-an-di town, 900–1900 m alt., damp but well drained places in forest, 10 Mar 2019, Ying Liu 728 (holotype: A; isotype: SYS).

**Diagnosis.** Differs from *F. repens* in having slightly obtusely 4-sided short stem (vs. 4-angular, long and creeping), mature leaves  $6-16.5 \times 4.5-13$  cm (vs.  $4-7.5 \times 4-6.5$  cm) sparsely and shallowly dentate leaf margin with each tooth having a caducous terminal seta (vs. densely denticulate, persistent) and inflorescence 5-13-flowered (vs. 3-6-flowered).


**Description.** Perennial herbs, 10-14 cm tall (including inflorescence). Stems 2-5 cm long, slightly obtusely 4-sided, sometimes branched, villous with multiseriate hairs. Petiole 3-16 cm long, densely villous with multiseriate hairs; leaf blade ovate-oblong to ovate-orbicular,  $6-16.5 \times 4.5-13$  cm, papery, adaxially green to dark green, abaxially pale green or sometimes purplish-red, villous with multiseriate hairs on veins, both sur-



**Figure 2.** Fordiophyton jinpingense, all from Y. Liu 728 (SYS, A). **A** Habitat **B** a flowering individual **C** adaxial leaf surface **D** abaxial leaf surface **E** petiole villous with multiseriate hairs **F** young inflorescence **G** young inflorescence dissected showing the position and morphology of bracts. Scale bar: 2 cm (**G**).



**Figure 3.** Detail of inflorescence, flower, stamens, ovary and fruit of *Fordiophyton jinpingense*, all from Y. Liu 728 (SYS, A). **A** Mature inflorescence **B** side view of a flower **C** top view of a flower **D** longitudinal section of a flower showing dimorphic stamens and ovary crown **E** anther morphology in detail **F** transection of ovary at young fruit stage, showing the very short-stalked, nearly sessile placenta **G** young fruit showing the crown not exserted from hypanthium. Scale bars: 5 mm (**D–F**).



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic relationships amongst species of *Fordiophyton*. Part of the Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree based on nrITS sequence data. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values obtained from maximum likelihood analyses, and those below branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities (right) and bootstrap values (left) resulting from maximum parsimony analyses. Box denotes the new species; asterisk denotes a branch collapsed in Bayesian inference or maximum parsimony analyses.




**Figure 5.** Stamen number and morphology of *Fordiophyton breviscapum*, *Phyllagathis elattandra* and *P. tetrandra*. **A** *F. breviscapum* from Ruyuan, Guangdong, China, 8 stamens with the shorter stamens greatly reduced **B** *P. elattandra* from Guiping, Guangxi, China, 8 stamens with the shorter stamens sterile **C** *P. tetrandra* from Xichou, Yunnan, China, 4 stamens. Arrows indicate anther of the shorter stamens.

faces inconspicuously pubescent with very short, appressed uniseriate hairs, secondary veins 3 or 4 on each side of midvein, base cordate, margin sparsely and shallowly dentate with each tooth having a terminal seta when young but caducous at maturity, apex short acute, obtuse or retuse. Inflorescences terminal and axillary, umbellate, 5–13-flowered; peduncle 9–14 cm long, sometimes white maculate, bearing several multiseriate hairs at nodes, otherwise glabrous; bracts caducous, oblong, 1–3 cm long, one pair (rarely two) in middle or lower part and another two pairs enclosing the flowers. Pedicels 4–10 mm long, glabrous. Hypanthium funnel-shaped, ca. 10 mm long, obtusely 4-sided, glabrous. Calyx lobes narrowly triangular-ovate, 2–5 × 1–2 mm, margin entire, apex obtuse or acute, caducous. Petals pink, obovate, ca. 16 × 8 mm, oblique. Longer stamens pink; filaments ca. 9 mm; anthers ca. 13 mm long, linear, curved, base lengthened



**Figure 6. A** Y. Y. Hu and S. K. Wen 580300 (KUN) collected from Pingbian County, Yunnan, China, holotype of *Fordiophyton repens* **B** Y. Liu 728 (A) collected from Ma-an-di, Jinping County, Yunnan, China, holotype of *Fordiophyton jinpingense*.



**Figure 7.** Distribution of *Fordiophyton jinpingense*.

into a forked, curved spur, connective bulging basally. Shorter stamens yellowish; filaments ca. 6 mm long; anthers oblong, 3–4 mm long, base obtusely forked, connective base slightly bulging. Ovary obovate, apex with a membranous ciliate, 4-lobed crown. Capsule funnelform-campanulate, ca. 6 mm in diam., apex 4-lobed, crown not exserted from calyx; hypanthium exceeding capsule, glabrous. Seeds numerous.

**Phenology.** Flowering March–April, fruiting April–May.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is derived from Jinping County, the type locality of *Fordiophyton jinpingense*.

**Distribution.** Fordiophyton jinpingense is currently known only from Jinping County, south-eastern Yunnan, China (Fig. 7). It occurs in dense or open forests, often in damp, shaded, but well drained places, such as on steep slopes, at 900–1900 m alt.

## Key to the species of Fordiophyton

Leaves in a basal or sub-basal rosette
Leaves cauline
Petiole winged and glabrous
Petiole unwinged, densely or sparsely hairy4
Petioles 8–18 cm long; leaf blade $9-13 \times 9-12$ cm; secondary veins 4 on each
side of midvein; hypanthium and calyx lobes hairy
Petioles 2–4 cm long; leaf blade $4–9 \times 2–4$ cm; secondary veins 2 or 3 on each
side of midvein; hypanthium and calyx lobes glabrous
Internodes of stems distinct5
Internodes of stems indistinct
Internodes glabrous; petioles 1–3 cm long; leaf blade $3.5-8 \times 2-5$ cm; in-
florescence cymose-paniculate; anthers of longer stamens forming an obtuse
forked spur at base
Internodes hairy; petioles 3–16 cm long; leaf blade 6–16.5 × 4.5–13 cm;
inflorescence umbellate; anthers of longer stamens forming a forked, curved
spur at base
Hypanthium glabrous; calyx lobes lanceolate, 6 × 2 mm; base of connective
of longer stamens prolonged
Hypanthium sparsely hairy; calyx lobes triangular, 1 × 2 mm; base of connec-
tive of longer stamens not prolonged
Stem creeping
Stem erect or at least erect in upper part
Stem less than 20 cm long
Stem more than 30 cm long
Stem winged; secondary veins 1 on each side of midvein; inner 4 stamens
fertile
Stem not winged; secondary veins 3 or 4 on each side of midvein; inner 4
stamens sterile

10	Leaves of a pair highly unequal and asymmetric; petioles often less than 1 cm
	long; bracts cordate, ca. 4 mm long
_	Leaves of a pair equal or slightly unequal; petioles more than 2 cm long;
	bracts more or less ovate, often more than 1 cm long11
11	Leaf blade cordate to ovate-cordate, secondary veins 4 or 5 on each side of
	midvein
_	Leaf blade broadly lanceolate, oblong, ovate, oblong-lanceolate to elliptic; secondary veins 2 or 3, rarely 4 ( <i>F. damingshanense</i> ) on each side of midvein.
	12
12	Inflorescences umbellate, peduncle winged
_	Inflorescences cymose-paniculate, umbellate or a pleiochasium, peduncle not winged
13	Inflorescences cymose-paniculate, umbellate, 13–20 cm long F. faberi
_	Inflorescences pleiochasia, ca. 10 cm long

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank Ms. Xiao-Lan Wang for providing the photo of *F. faberi*, Dr. David E. Boufford for his valuable comments on the manuscript and the staff of Fenshuiling National Nature Reserve for their help in the field. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31770214) and the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province (2015A030302011).

#### References

- Chen C (1984a) Materia ad flora Melastomataceae sinensium. Bulletin of Botanical Research 4: 33–68.
- Chen C (1984b) Melastomataceae Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae. Vol. 53, Science Press, Beijing, 135–293.
- Chen C, Renner SS (2007) Melastomataceae. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH, Hong DY (Eds) Flora of China, vol. 13. Science Press, Beijing, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 360–399.
- Clausing G, Renner SS (2001) Molecular phylogenetics of Melastomataceae and Memecylaceae: Implications for character evolution. American Journal of Botany 88(3): 486–498. https://doi.org/10.2307/2657114
- Clausing G, Meyer K, Renner SS (2000) Correlations among fruit traits and evolution of different fruits within Melastomataceae. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 133(3): 303–326. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb01548.x
- Deng YF, Wu DL (2004) Typification of the genus *Fordiophyton* (Melastomataceae) and two new combinations from China. Novon 14: 428–430. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3393541
- Diels L (1932) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Melastomataceen Ostasiens. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie 65: 97–119.

- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin 19: 11–15.
- Goldenberg R, de Fraga CN, Fontana AP, Nicolas AN, Michelangeli FA (2012) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Merianthera* (Melastomataceae). Taxon 61(5): 1040–1056. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.615010
- Hansen C (1992) The genus *Phyllagathis* (Melastomataceae): Characteristics; delimitation; the species in Indo-China and China. Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Section B, Adansonia, Botanique. Phytochimie 14: 355–428.
- Krasser F (1893) Melastomataceae. In: Engler A, Prantl K (Eds) Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien III, 7. Engelmann, Leipzig, 130–199.
- Li HL (1944) Studies in the Melastomataceae of China. Journal of the Arnold Arboretum 25: 1–42. https://www.jstor.org/stable/43781108
- Ning XQ, Liu SY (2010) A new species, *Fordiophyton damingshanense* (Melastomataceae) from Guangxi. Guihaia 30: 825–826.
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics (Oxford) 14(9): 817–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817
- Renner SS, Clausing G, Meyer K (2001) Historical biogeography of Melastomataceae: The roles of Tertiary migration and long-distance dispersal. American Journal of Botany 88(7): 1290–1300. https://doi.org/10.2307/3558340
- Stapf O (1892) On the Sonerileae of Asia. Annals of Botany 6(3): 291–323. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a090681
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis M, Gelfand D, Sninsky J, White TJ (Eds) PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, San Diego, 315–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Zeng SJ, Zou LH, Wang P, Hong WJ, Zhang GQ, Chen LJ, Zhuang XY (2016a) Preliminary phylogeny of *Fordiophyton* (Melastomataceae), with the description of two new species. Phytotaxa 247(1): 45–61. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.247.1.3
- Zeng SJ, Huang GH, Liu Q, Yan XK, Zhang GQ, Tang GD (2016b) Fordiophyton zhuangiae (Melastomataceae), a new species from China based on morphological and molecular evidence. Phytotaxa 282(4): 259–266. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.282.4.2
- Zhou QJ, Lin CW, Dai JH, Zhou RC, Liu Y (in press) Exploring the generic delimitation of *Phyllagathis* and *Bredia* (Melastomataceae): A combined nuclear and chloroplast DNA analysis. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12451
- Zou PS, Ng WL, Wu W, Dai SP, Ning ZL, Wang SQ, Liu Y, Fan Q, Zhou RC (2017) Similar morphologies but different origins: Hybrid status of two more semi-creeping taxa of *Melastoma*. Frontiers of Plant Science 8: 673. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00673

## Supplementary material I

### Table S1. Source of materials studied and GenBank accession numbers for nrITS

Authors: Jin-Hong Dai, Qiu-Jie Zhou, Zhi-Yong Yu, Ren-Chao Zhou, Ying Liu

Data type: molecular data

Explanation note: Newly generated sequences are indicated in bold.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.122.35260.suppl1

## Supplementary material 2

## Table S2. Summary statistics of sequences used for phylogenetic analyses

Authors: Jin-Hong Dai, Qiu-Jie Zhou, Zhi-Yong Yu, Ren-Chao Zhou, Ying Liu Data type: phylogenetic analyses

Explanation note: PIS, parsimony-informative sites.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.122.35260.suppl2

## Supplementary material 3

## Figure S1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Sonerileae based on nrITS sequences.

Authors: Jin-Hong Dai, Qiu-Jie Zhou, Zhi-Yong Yu, Ren-Chao Zhou, Ying Liu Data type: Figure

Explanation note: Numbers above branches are bootstrap values obtained from maximum likelihood analyses and those below branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities (right) and bootstrap values (left) resulting from Bayesian inference and maximum parsimony analyses, respectively. Species of *Fordiophyton* are indicated in bold; box denotes the new species; asterisk denotes a branch collapsed in Bayesian inference or maximum parsimony analyses.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.122.35260.suppl3