

# brought to you by TCORE

## Asia Mathematika

Volume 2 Issue 2 (2018) page: 41-48 Available online at www.asiamath.org

## Semi-Compact and Semi-Lindelöf Spaces via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory

A.A. Salama\*, I.M.Hanafy and M. S. Dabash

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences, Port Said University, Egypt.

#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is devoted to introduce and study the concepts of semi-compact (resp. semi-Lindelöf, locally semi-compact) spaces in a neutrosophic crisp topological space. Several properties, functions properties of neutrosophic crisp semi-compact spaces are studied. In addition to these, we introduce and study the definition of neutrosophic crisp semi-Lindelöf spaces and neutrosophic crisp locally semi-compact spaces. We show that neutrosophic crisp semi-compact spaces is preserved under neutrosophic crisp irresolute function and neutrosophic crisp pre-semi-closed function with neutrosophic crisp semi-compact point inverses.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic crisp semi-compact spaces, Neutrosophic crisp semi-Lindelöf spaces, Neutrosophic crisp locally semi-compact spaces. Neutrosophic topological spaces

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Neutrosophic Crisp Sets were introduced by Salama & Smarandache in 2015. Neutrosophic topological spaces and many applications have been investigated by Salama et al. [5, 7, 8, 9] and [11-21]. The notions and terminologies not explained in this paper may be found in [9]. Some definitions and results which will be needed in this paper are recalled here. *In this paper, we generalize the crisp semi-compact spaces* [1] *and some notions in* [2, 3, 4, 6] *to the notion of neutrosophic crisp semi-compact spaces*.

**Definition 1.1** [9] For any non-empty fixed set *X*, a neutrosophic crisp set (*NC*-set, for short) *A* is an object having the form  $A = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle$ , where  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  are subsets of *X* satisfying  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_3 = \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ .

Several relations and operations between NC-sets were defined in [8].

**Definition 1.2** [9] A neutrosophic crisp topology (*NCT*, for short) on a non-empty set X is a family  $\Gamma$  of neutrosophic crisp subsets of X satisfying the following axioms i)  $\phi_N, X_N \in \Gamma$ . ii) $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \Gamma$  for any  $A_1$  and  $A_2 \in \Gamma$ . iii)  $\bigcup A_j \in \Gamma$  for any  $\{A_{j:j} \in J\} \subseteq \Gamma$ .

\* Corresponding author: drsalama44@gmail.com Received: 18 June 2018; Accepted: 06 July 2018.

©Asia Mathematika

In this case the pair  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called a neutrosophic crisp topological space (*NCTS*, for short) in *X*. The elements in  $\Gamma$  are called neutrosophic crisp open sets (*NC*-open sets for short) in *X*. A *NC*-set *F* is said to be neutrosophic crisp closed set (*NC*-closed set, for short) if and only if its complement  $F^c$  is a *NC*-open set.

**Definition 1.3** [8] Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a *NCTS* and  $A = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle$  be a *NC*-set in *X*. Then the neutrosophic crisp closure of *A* (*NCcl*(*A*) for short) and neutrosophic crisp interior (*NCint*(*A*) for short) of *A* are defined by:

(i)  $NCcl(A) = \bigcap \{K: K \text{ is a } NC\text{-closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$ 

(ii)  $NCint(A)=\bigcup \{G: G \text{ is a } NC\text{-open set in } X \text{ and } G\subseteq A\},\$ 

It can be also shown that NCcl(A) is a NC-closed set, and NCint(A) is a NC-open set in X.

**Definition 1.4** [7] Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a *NCTS* and  $A = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle$  be a *NCS* in *X*, then *A* is called:

i) Neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open set iff  $A \subseteq NCint(NCcl(NCint(A)))$ .

ii) Neutrosophic crisp semi-open set iff  $A \subseteq NCcl$  (*NCint* (*A*)).

iii)Neutrosophic crisp pre-open set iff  $A \subseteq NCint(NCcl(A))$ .

**Definition 1.5** [3,10] A subset A of space X is called semi-compact relative to X if any semiopen cover of A in X has a finite subcover of A.

**Definition 1.6** [10] A subset *A* of a space *X* is called semi-Lindelöf in *X* if any semi-open cover of *A* in *X* has a countable subcover of *A*.

**Definition 1.7** [5] Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a *NCTS* and  $A = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle$  be a *NCS* in X, then  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is *NC*semi-continuous if the inverse image of *NC*semi-open set is *NC*semi-open.

### 2. Neutrosophic Crisp Semi-compact Spaces.

#### **Definition 2.1** Let $(X, \Gamma)$ be a *NCTS*.

- (i) If a family  $\{\langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle$ :  $i \in I\}$  of *NC*-semiopen sets in *X* satisfies the condition  $X_N = \bigcup\{\langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_2} \rangle$ :  $i \in I\}$ , then it is called a *NC*-semiopen cover of *X*.
- (ii) A finite subfamily of a *NC*-semiopen cover { $(G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3})$ : *i*=1,2, 3, ..., *n*} on *X*, which is also a *NC*-semiopen cover of *X*, is called a finite sub cover of *NC*-semiopen sets.

**Definition 2.2**A *NCTS*  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called neutrosophic crisp semi-compact spaces (*NC*-semi-compact, for short) if any *NC*-semiopen cover of X has a finite subcover.

#### **Definition 2.3**

A family  $\{\langle k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, k_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  of *NC*-semiclosed sets in *X* satisfies the finite intersection property (*FIP* for short) iff every finite subfamily  $\{\langle k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, k_{i_3} \rangle: i=1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$  of the family satisfies the condition  $\bigcap_{i_1} \{\langle k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, k_{i_3} \rangle: i=1, 2, 3, ..., n\} \neq \Phi_N$ .

**Theorem 2.4** A *NCTS* (*X*,  $\Gamma$ ) is *NC*-semi-compact iff every family { $(G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3})$ :  $i \in I$ } of *NC*-semiclosed sets in *X* having the *FIP* has a nonempty intersection.

**Proof.** Let *X* be a *NC*-semi-compact space and  $\mathcal{G} = \{\langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  be a cover of *NC*-semiopen sets of *X* having the *FIP*. Suppose that  $\bigcap \{\langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\} = \Phi_N$ , then  $\{X \setminus \{G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  is a

*NC*-semiopen cover of X and must contain a finite subcover  $\{X \setminus (G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3}): i=1,2,3,\dots,n\}$  for X. This implies that  $\bigcap \{(G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3}): i=1,2,3,\dots,n\} = \Phi_N$  this contradicts our assumption

that  $\mathcal{G}$  has a *FIP*. Conversely, assume that X is not *NC*-semi-compact. Then there exists a *NC*-semiopen cover  $\{\langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  for X, which contain a finite subcover for X. Thus,  $\{X \setminus \langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  is a family of *NC*-semiclosed sets of X having the *FIP*. Moreover, we have  $\bigcap_{i} \{X \setminus \langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\} = \Phi_N$ . This complete the proof.

**Definition 2.5**A subset  $u = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$  of a *NCTS*  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called *NC*-semi-compact relative to X if any *NC*-semiopen cover of u in X has a finite subcover of u. By *NC*-semi-compact in X, we will mean *NC*-semi-compact relative to X.

**Definition 2.6** A subset  $u = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$  of a *NCTS*  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called *NC*-semi-Lindelöf in X if any *NC*-semiopen cover of u in X has a countable subcover of u.

#### Remark 2.7

Since the family of all  $NC\alpha$ -open subset of a  $NCTS(X, \Gamma)$ , denoted by  $\Gamma^{\alpha}$  is NCT on X finer that  $\Gamma$ , then the family of all NC-semiopen subsets of  $(X,\Gamma^{\alpha})$  is equal to the family of all NC-semiopen subsets of  $(X,\Gamma^{\alpha})$ . Hence, it easily to see that a NC-set u of  $(X, \Gamma)$  is NC-semi-compact (respNC-semi-Lindelöf) in X iff it is NC-semi-compact (resp. NC-semi-Lindelöf) in  $(X,\Gamma^{\alpha})$ .

**Theorem 2.8** The finite (resp. countable) union of *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) sets in a *NCTSX* is a *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*.

#### Proof . obvious.

**Lemma 2.9** Let  $u \subseteq v \subseteq X$ , where X is a *NCTS*. Then u is *NC*-semiopen set in v, if u is *NC*-semiopen set in X.

**Theorem 2.10** Let v be a *NC*-preopen subset of a *NCTSX* and  $u \subseteq v$ . If u is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in X, then u is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in v.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{G} = \{ \langle G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, G_{i_3} \rangle : i \in I \}$  is a cover of u by NC-semiopen sets in v. Using lemma 2.9,  $G_{i_j} = S_{i_j} \cap v$  for each  $i \in I$ , j=1,2,3, where  $S_{i_j}$  is NC-semiopen set in X for each  $i \in I$ , j=1,2,3. Thus  $\xi = \{ \langle S_{i_1}, S_{i_2}, S_{i_3} \rangle : i \in I \}$  is a cover of u by NC-semiopen set in X, but u is NC-semicopen set in X, so there exists  $i=1,2,3,\ldots,n$ , j=1,2,3. Such that  $u \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i_j}$  and thus  $u \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (S_{i_j} \cap v)$ 

 $= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} G_{i_i}$ . Hence *u* is *NC*-semi-compact in *v*.

The other case is similar.

**Corollary 2.11** Let v be *NC*-open (*NC* $\alpha$ -open) set of *NCTS* and  $u \subseteq v$ , if u is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in X, then u is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in v.

**Proof.** It is obviously, since each *NC*-open set is  $NC\alpha$ -open set and also *NC*-preopen set.

**Lemma 2.12** Let  $u \subseteq v \subseteq X$ , where X is a *NCTS* and v is a *NC*-preopen set in X, then u is *NC*-semiopen (resp. *NC*-semiclosed) in viff $u = S \cap v$ , where S is *NC*-semiopen (resp. *NC*-semiclosed) in X.

Proof. Obvious.

**Theorem 2.13** Let v be a *NC*-preopen subset of *NCTSX* and  $u \subseteq v$ . Then u is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*iffu is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in v.

**Proof.** Necessity. It follows from Theorem 2.8 sufficiency. Suppose that  $\xi = \{\langle S_{i_1}, S_{i_2}, S_{i_3} \rangle$ :  $i \in I\}$  is a cover of u be *NC*-semiopen sets in X. Then  $G = \{S_{i_j} \cap v: i \in I, j=1,2,3\}$  is a cover of u. Since  $S_{i_j}$  is *NC*-semiopen in X for each  $i \in I$  and v is *NC*-preopen in X, it follows from Lemma 2.12 that  $S_{i_j} \cap v$  is *NC*-semiopen set in v for each  $i \in I$ , j=1,2,3, but u is *NC*-semi-compact in v, so there

exists  $i \in I$ , j=1,2,3 that  $u \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i_j} \cap v \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i_j}$ . Hence, u is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*.

The other case is similar.

**Corollary 2.14** A *NC*-preopen subset *u* of *X* is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) iff *u* is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*.

**Corollary 2.15** A *NC*-open (*NC* $\alpha$ -open) subset *u* of *X* is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) iff*u* is *NC*-semi compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*.

**Theorem 2.16** Let v be a *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) set in a *NCTSX* and v be is *NC*-semiclosed of X. Then  $u \cap v$  is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in X.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{i_j}: i \in I, j=1,2,3\}$  is a cover of  $u \cap v$  by *NC*-semiopen set in *X*. Then  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{i_j}: i \in I, j=1,2,3\} \cup \{X \setminus v\}$  is a cover of *u* by *NC*-semiopen sets in *X*, but *u* is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*, so there exists i = 1.2.3...n, j=1.2.3 such that  $u \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i_j}) \cup \{X \setminus v\}$ . Thus  $u \cap v \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (S_{i_j} \cap v) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i_j}$ . Hence,  $u \cap v$  is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*. The other ease is similar

The other case is similar.

**Corollary 2.17**A *NC*-semiclosed subset u of a *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) space X is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in X.

**Remark 2.18** From the Definition 2.1 of *NC*-semi-compact space, one may deduce that: *NC*-semi-compact space  $\Rightarrow$ *NC*-compact space, but the inverse direction may not be true in general as show by the following example.

**Example 2.19** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a *NCTS*, where X is infinite, and  $\Gamma = \{X_N, \Phi_N\} \cup \{P\}$  where  $P = (\{p_1\}, \{p_2\}, \{p_3\})$  be a *NC*-point in X. Then  $(X, \Gamma)$  is *NC*-compact but not *NC*-semi-compact, since  $\{(\{x, p_1\}, \{x, p_2\}, \{x, p_3\}): x \in X\}$  is *NC*-semiopen cover of X which has no finite subcover.

## 3. Functions and Neutrosophic Crisp Semi-compact Spaces

**Definition 3.1** A function *f* from a *NCTSX* into a *NCTSY* is called *NC*-irresolute if the inverse image of each *NC*-semiopen set in *X*, is a *NC*-semiopen set in *Y*.

**Theorem 3.2**Let  $f:(X,\Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y,\Gamma_2)$  be a *NC*-irresolute function. Then

(i) If u is *NC*-semi-Lindelöf in X, then f(A) is *NC*-semi-Lindelöf in Y.

(ii) If u is *NC*-semi-compact in X, then f(A) is *NC*-semi-compact in Y.

**Proof.** We will proof (i) and (ii) is similar.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{G} = \{\langle G_{i_j} \rangle: i \in I, j=1, 2, 3\}$  is a cover of f(A) by *NC*-semiopen sets in *Y*. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{\langle f^{-1}(G_{i_j}) \rangle: i \in I, j=1,2,3\}$  is a cover of *u*, but *f* is *NC*-irresolute function, so  $\langle f^{-1}(G_{i_j}) \rangle$  is *NC*-semiopen sets in *X* for each  $i \in I$ , j=1,2,3. Since *u* is *NC*-semi-Lindelöf in *X*, there exists  $i_1,i_2, i_3,\ldots,\in I$  such that  $u \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f^{-1}(G_{i_j}) \rangle$ . Thus  $f(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f(f^{-1}(G_{i_j})) \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle G_{i_j} \rangle$ . Hence, f(A) is *NC*-semi-Lindelöf in *X*.

**Corollary 3.3** If a function  $f:(X,\Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y,\Gamma_2)$  is a *NC*-irresolute (resp. *NC*-semi continuous) surjective and X is *NC*-semi-compact, then Y is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-compact).

**Definition 3.4** A function f from a *NCTSX* into a *NCTSY* is called *NC*-pre-semiopen (resp. *NC*-pre-semiclosed) if the image of each *NC*-semiopen (resp. *NC*-semiclosed) subsets of X is *NC*-semiopen (resp. *NC*-semiclosed) subsets of Y.

**Theorem 3.5**Let  $f:(X,\Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y,\Gamma_2)$  be a *NC*-pre-semiclosed surjection. If for each *NC*-point  $y = \langle \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_3\} \rangle$  in *Y*,  $f^{-1}(y) = \langle f^{-1}\{y_1\}, f^{-1}\{y_2\}, f^{-1}\{y_3\} \rangle$  is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*, then  $f^{-1}(u)$  is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *X*, where *u* is *NC*-semi-compact (resp. *NC*-semi-Lindelöf) in *Y*.

**Proof.** Will show the case when *u* is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*, the other case is similar. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\langle f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{i_j}) \rangle : i \in I, j=1,2,3\}$  is a cover of  $f^{-1}(u)$  by *NC*-semiopen sets in *X*. Then it follows by assumption that for each *NC*-point  $y = \langle \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_3\} \rangle$  in *Y*, there exists a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_j^{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{G}_j^{Y}$ . Let  $H_{y_j} = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_j^{Y}$ . Then  $H_y$  is *NC*-semiopen in *X* where any union of *NC*-semiopen sets is *NC*-semiopen. Let  $F_{y_j} = Y \setminus f(X \setminus H_{y_j})$ . Then  $F_{y_j}$  is *NC*-semiopen in *Y* where *f* is *NC*-pre-semiclosed, also  $y_i \in F_{y_j}$ ; for each  $y_i \in u$ , since  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq H_{y_j}$ . Thus the family  $\{H_{y_j}: y_j \in u\}$  is a cover of *u* by *NC*-semiclosed sets in *Y*, but *u* is *NC*-semi-compact in

*Y*, so there exists  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in u$  such that  $u \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_{y_{ij}}, j=1,2,3$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(F_{y_{ij}}) \subseteq F_{y_{ij}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_j^{y_i}$  is a finite sub collection of  $\mathcal{G}$  for each  $i=1,2, \dots,n, j=1,2,3$ , it follows that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_j^{y_i}$  is a finite sub collection of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(u)$  is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*.

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $f:(X,\Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y,\Gamma_2)$  be a *NC*-pre-semiclosed surjection. and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is *NC*-semicompact in *X*, for each *NC*-point  $y = \langle \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_3\} \rangle$  in *Y*. If *Y* is *NC*-semi-compact, so is *X*.

**Definition 3.7** A *NCTS* (X,  $\Gamma$ ) is called *NC*-Hausdorff space if for each distinct *NC*-pointsx and y of X, there exists two disjoint *NC*-open sets u and v of X containing x and y, respectively.

**Theorem 3.8**Let  $f:(X,\Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y,\Gamma_2)$  is a *NC*-irresolute function from a *NC*-semi-compact space *X* into a*NC*-Hausdorff space *Y*, then

(i) *f* is *NC*-pre-semiclosed.

(ii) f is *NC*-semi-homomorphism if it is bijective.

**Proof.** Let u be a NC-semiclosed set of X. Then u is NC-semi-compact in X, (by Corollary 2.17). By Theorem 3.2, f(u) is NC-semi-compact in Y and hence it is NC-semi-compact. Since Y is NC-Hausdorff, then f(A) is NC-closed set in Y and NC-semiclosed. hence f is NC-presemiclosed.

(ii) Obvious.

## 4. Locally Neutrosophic Crisp Semi-compact Spaces

**Definition 4.1** A *NCTSX* is said to be locally neutrosophic crisp semi-compact (*LNC*-semi-compact, for short) if each *NC*-point of X has a *NC*-open neighborhood which is a *NC*-semi-compact X.

**Remark 4.2** It is obvious that every *NC*-semi-compact space is *LNC*-semi-compact but the converse may not be true as show by the following example.

**Example 4.3**Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be an infinite discrete *NCTS*. It is obvious that  $(X, \Gamma)$  is *LNC*-semicompact but not *NC*-semi-compact.

**Remark 4.4** Every *LNC*-semi-compact space is *LNC*-compact, but the converse may not be true as shown by the following example.

**Example 4.5** By Example 2.19 shows that a *NCTS*  $(X, \Gamma)$  is *LNC*-compact but not *LNC*-semicompact.

**Remark 4.6** From the above discussion one can draw the following diagram:



#### Theorem 4.7 A

NCTSX is LNC-semi-

compact iff for each NC-point  $x \in X$ , there exists a NC-open setu in X which is LNC-semicompact containing x.

**Proof.** Let  $u = \{\langle u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, u_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  be a *NC*-open set in *X* containing  $x = \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\} \rangle$  which is *LNC*-semi-compact. Then there exists a *NC*-open neighbourhood  $v = \{\langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3} \rangle: i \in I\}$  of *x* in *u* which is a *NC*-semi-compact in *u*. Since *u* is *NC*-open in *X*, so is v and by Corollary 2.11, v is *NC*-semi-compact in *X*. This shows that *X* is *LNC*-semi-compact.

The proof of the converse is obvious.

**Theorem 4.8** A *NCTSX* is *LNC*-semi-compact iff for each *NC*-point of X has a *NC*-open neighbourhood which is *LNC*-semi-compact in X.

**Proof**. This follows from Corollary 2.15.

**Theorem 4.9** Let  $f:(X, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y, \Gamma_2)$  be a *NC*-open, *NC*-semi continuous surjection. and X is *LNC*-semi-compact space, then Y is *LNC*-semi-compact.

**Proof.** For any *NC*-point  $y \in Y$ , there exists *NC*-point  $x \in X$  such that f(x)=y. Since X is *LNC*-semi-compact, there exists a *NC*-open neighborhood  $U_x$  of x which is *NC*-semi-compact in X. Hence  $f(U_x)$  is *NC*-open neighborhood of y which is *NC*-semi-compact in Y. Therefore, by Theorem 4.8 is *LNC*-semi-compact.

**Theorem 4.10** Let  $f:(X, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (Y, \Gamma_2)$  be a *NC*-pre-semiclosed, *NC*-continuous surjection. and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is *NC*-semi-compact in X, for each *NC*-point  $y \in Y$ . If Y is *LNC*-semi-compact, so is X.

**Proof.** Let x is *NC*-point of X, by Theorem 4.8, there exists a *NC*-open neighborhood v of f(x) such that v is *NC*-semi-compact in X. Then  $f^{-1}(v)$  is a *NC*-open neighborhood of X. By Theorem 3.5,  $f^{-1}(v)$  is *NC*-semi-compact in X. This shows that X is *LNC*-semi-compact.

### 5. Conclusion

The paper deals with the concept of semi-compact ness (resp. semi-Lindelöf) in the generalized setting of a neutrosophic crisp topological space. We achieve a number of a neutrosophic crisp semi-compact (resp. neutrosophic crisp semi-Lindelöf) space. Also, we introduce and study the concept of neutrosophic crisp locally semi-compact spaces.

## References

- [1] M. C. Cueva and J. Dontchev, "On spaces with hereditarily compact α-topologies," *ActaMathematicaHungarica*, vol. 82, no. 1-2, pp. 121–129, 1999.
- [2] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, "On covering spaces with semi-regular sets," *Ricerche di Matematica*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 229–245, 1996.
- [3] C. Dorsett, "Semi-compactness, semiseparation axioms, and product spaces," Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Soceity, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 21–28, 1981.
- [4] M. Ganster, "On covering properties and generalized open sets in topological spaces," *Mathematical Chronicle*, vol. 19, pp. 27–33, 1990.
- [5] I.M.Hanafy, A.A.Salama, Hewayda ElGhawalby and M.S.Dabash, "Some GIS Topological Concepts via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory", To be published in the book titled *"New Trends in Neutrosophic Theories and Applications"*, Publisher: Europa Nova, Brussels, 2016.
- [6] I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, "On α-continuity in topological spaces, "Acta Mathematica Hungarica", vol. 45, no. 1-2, pp. 27–32, 1985.
- [7] A.A.Salama, "Fuzzy Hausdorff spaces and fuzzy irresolute functions via fuzzy ideals", *V Italian-Spanish Conference on General Topology and its Applications* June 21-23, 2004 Almeria, Spain.
- [8] A.A.Salama, F. Smarandache and ValeriKroumov. "Neutrosophic crisp Sets & Neutrosophic crisp Topological Spaces", *Neutrosophic Sets and System*, Vol. (2), (2014), 25-30

- [9] A.A.Salama and Florentin Smarandache,"Neutrosophic crisp set theory", *Educational Publisher, Columbus*, (2015).USA.
- [10] Mohammad S. Sarsak, "On Semi-compact Set and Associated Properties", *International Journal of Mathematics and mathematical sciences*, vol. 3, pp. 8, (2009).
- [11] A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache, S. A Alblowi, New neutrosophic crisp topological concepts, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 2 (2014) 50 54.
- [12] A. A. Salama, Hewayda ElGhawalby, Asmaa.M.Nasr, Retract Neutrosophic Crisp System for Gray Scale Image, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, ISSN No. : 2395-4205 (Print), 2395-4213 (Online), Vol.: 24, Issue.: 3, pp104-117
- [13] Eman.M.El-Nakeeb, Hewayda ElGhawalby, A. A. Salama, S.A. El-Hafeez. (2017). Neutrosophic Crisp Mathematical Morphology, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 16, 57-69. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/NeutrosophicCrispMathematicalMorphology.pdf
- [14] A.A. Salama, Hewayda, ElGhawalby and Shimaa Fathi Ali. (2017). Topological Manifold Space via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.15, 18-21. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/TopologicalManifoldSpace.pdf
- [15] A. A. Salama, I. M. Hanafy, Hewayda Elghawalby, M. S. Dabash. (2016). Neutrosophic Crisp α-Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 12, 92-96. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/NeutrosophicCrispAlphaTopologicalSpaces.pdf
- [16] A. A. Salama, Said Broumi and Florentin Smarandache. (2014). Introduction to Neutrosophic Topological Spatial Region, Possible Application to GIS Topological Rules. I.J. Information Engineering and Electronic Business, 6, pp15-21. http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/IntroductionToNeutrosophicTopological.pdf
- [17] A. A. Salama. (2013). Neutrosophic Crisp Points & Neutrosophic Crisp Ideals, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 1, 50-53. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/NeutrosophicCrispPointsNeutrosophic.pdf
- [18] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache. (2013). Filters via Neutrosophic Crisp Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 1, 34-37.

http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/FiltersViaNeutrosophicCrispSets.pdf

- [19] A.A. Salama, S.A. Alblowi. (2012). Generalized neutrosophic set and generalized neutrosophic topological spaces.Computer Science and Engineering. 2, 129-132. http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.computer.20120207.01.html
- [20] A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi. (2012). Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Space, ISOR J. mathematics (IOSR-JM), 3 (4), 31-35. http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/NeutrosophicSetAndNeutrosophic.pdf
- [21] A. A. Salama. (2015). Basic Structure of Some Classes of Neutrosophic Crisp Nearly Open Sets & Possible Application to GIS Topology, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 7, pp. 18-22. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/NSS/BasicStructureOfSomeClasses.pdf