Microwave Penetration and Attenuation in Desert Soil: A Field Experiment with the Shuttle Imaging Radar
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Abstract—Receivers buried in the Nevada desert were used with the Shuttle Imaging Radar to measure microwave attenuation as a function of soil moisture in situ. Results agree closely with laboratory measurements of attenuation and suggest that penetration of tens of centimeters in desert soils is common for L-band (1.2-GHz) radar.

I. INTRODUCTION

ALTHOUGH SIR-B was designed to produce radar images for a variety of studies, the radar signals also were used to measure microwave attenuation in natural soils at two sites in the Nevada desert near Hawthorne, NV, by the use of receivers buried at different depths. This work was stimulated by the discovery in 1981 that images obtained by the SIR-B predecessor, SIR-A, over the Western Desert of Egypt and Sudan showed relic fluvial channels and other geologic features buried by a meter or more of sand [1]. Calculations showed that the extreme dryness of the soil allowed this penetration [2]. Follow-up investigations of that discovery [3] showed that particle size-frequency distribution of the sandy soil, lack of clays, extremely low moisture conditions, and the SIR-A sensor parameters all contributed to maximizing SIR-A signal penetration. Quantitative measurements of microwave attenuation in soils however, have been done mostly in laboratory apparatus in which the material is packed into a waveguide [4]. The objective for the experiment described here was to directly measure microwave attenuation as a function of moisture content, in situ in natural soils in order to support concurrent searches for buried features in SIR-B images.

II. DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SITES

Two sites were used during the SIR-B mission: site A was about 10 km east of the town of Mina, NV, at 38° 26.8' N, 118° 00' W; and site B was about 10 km south of Mina, at 38° 16.7' N, 118° 6.4' W. The area was chosen because several parallel and crossing passes of SIR-B were planned there. The area has an arid climate, averaging about 250 mm of precipitation per year. Rainfall for June through September 1984, averaged 11 mm per month at Hawthorne, NV. The last rain recorded at Hawthorne before the flight was 1 mm on September 19. Thus, it was expected that some microwave penetration of the soils would be observed.

Site A was situated on an alluvial terrace lying about 5 m above the level of the adjacent wash. The area on which the receivers were deployed was flat, about 20 × 100 m, with a steep drop to the stream bed and road on two sides, and shallow gullies on the other side. The closest significant hills were approximately 5 km away. The surface was covered with limestone pebbles of a few centimeters in size. Vegetation was sparse, and covered about 15 percent of the surface. Fig. 1 is a general view of a similar site, showing typical vegetation coverage, the receivers, and the type of holes excavated for their burial.
surface of site A contained layers of fine and coarse rounded pebbles and cobbles, mostly less than 5 cm, but with some cobbles up to 15 cm.

Site B was situated on a flat alluvial apron between Rhodes Salt Marsh and the Excelsior Mountains, which were about 5 km to the north. The surface was covered with patches of sand and small pebbles with a few rocks up to 10 cm. Vegetation was patchy, and covered about 15 percent of the surface.

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVERS

The receivers, built at the University of Stuttgart, were designed to be compact and rugged enough for field use. The antenna, receiver electronics, and power supply were housed in the plastic containers shown in Fig. 1. Signals were sent to and from the receivers via optical fiber cables. The receivers were controlled and data stored by a hand-held computer through an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) interface. The controller is also visible in Fig. 1.

After reception by the crossed-dipole antenna, the received power was converted to a dc voltage. This dc voltage was then applied to a voltage-controlled oscillator, and the resulting frequency was sent via the optical fiber cable to the ADC and an 8-bit counter in the controller. The output of the counter represented the power density at the receiver after the measured gain pattern of the receiver antenna was factored in. This output was sampled in 100-ms increments for about 2.5 min, and stored in computer memory.

IV. RESULTS

The recorded data were output on paper tape as a function of time. Each chart was then composed of the reproduction of the azimuth antenna pattern of SIR-B, as the Shuttle flew overhead, and the attenuation of the transmitted power according to the deployment mode of the individual receiver (Fig. 2). Dividing the difference between the power observed at two receivers by the path length between them yielded the attenuation as a function of path length. Table I shows the attenuation as a function of path length for several data sets for the sites A and B. The values in Table I also can be used to calculate attenuation coefficient, skin depth, and penetration depth using equations found in Ulaby et al. [5, p. 847]. This analysis assumes that scattering in the soil volume is negligible compared to attenuation. We can use [5, eq. 11.39] to express the power at depth z

\[ P(z) = P(0+) \exp \left( -\int_0^z k(z') dz' \right) \]

where \( P(0+) \) is the power just below the surface, and \( k(z) \) is the extinction coefficient. If scattering in the soil volume can be ignored, or if the extinction coefficient is constant with depth, and if we carry out the calculation between two buried receivers at depths \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \), then the equation reduces to

\[ P(z_2) = P(z_1) \exp \left( -2\alpha \int_{z_1}^{z_2} dz' \right) \]

where the attenuation coefficient \( \alpha = k_e/2 \). Solving for \( \alpha \) gives

\[ \alpha = \frac{10 \log P(z_2) - 10 \log P(z_1)}{20(z_2 - z_1)} \]

10 log \( P(z) \) was the value measured by the receivers. The values presented in Table I as attenuation were calculated from the difference between the receiver measurements, divided by the difference in path length between them. Thus, the values reported in Table I can be converted to \( \alpha \) by dividing by 20.

Since the radar waves enter the soil at an angle, the path length difference between receivers 1 and 2 must be used instead of their depths. Furthermore, because the index of refraction of the soil is different from air, Snell's law must be used to correct the path lengths derived from simple
Fig. 3. A reproduction of a portion of Hoekstra and Delaney [4, Fig. 12], showing their measurements of attenuation as a function of volume moisture content for 0.5 and 4.0 GHz. An interpolation between these two curves (see text) yields the curve labeled 1.2 GHz, with which our data points (crosses) can be compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>data take angle (deg.)</th>
<th>inc. power (dB)</th>
<th>receiver path length (cm)</th>
<th>attenuation (dB/cm)</th>
<th>weight % moisture (g H₂O/g soil)</th>
<th>penetration depth, s (m)</th>
<th>skin depth, s (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-32.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-31.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-21.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

geometry. Thus

\[
\text{path length} = z / \cos \left( \sin \theta_i / n \right)
\]

where \( n \) is the index of refraction. The values reported in Table I as path lengths have taken refraction into account with the assumption that the index of refraction is \( \sqrt{3} \) (real dielectric constant is 3).

Other quantities of interest now can be calculated from

\[
\text{penetration depth} = \delta_p = 1 / (2\alpha)
\]

\[
\text{skin depth} = \delta_s = 1 / \alpha.
\]

These are shown in Table I for the two sites.

Moisture content also was measured at several depths both at the time of burial and recovery of the receivers. The average of several measurements at depths between the two receivers are shown in Table I. The measurements of moisture content were made on the basis of weight (in grams H₂O/g soil); but the quantity used for comparison with microwave attenuation is volume percent moisture (grams H₂O/cm³ soil). To convert weight percent to volume percent, we have used an average value of 1.5 g/cm³ for the density of the sandy, unconsolidated soils—yielding 4.2 volume-percent moisture for site A, and 6.9 volume-percent moisture for site B. It should be noted that these moisture contents are significantly higher than those encountered in the study of the Egyptian Desert, where moisture contents were typically less than 1 weight percent. The values for attenuation as a function of volume moisture content now can be compared with those derived by Hoekstra and Delaney [4]. Our values are shown plotted with their curves in Fig. 3. Hoekstra and Delaney [4]
gave curves for 0.5 and 4.0 GHz, and not 1.2 GHz, so that in order to compare our results with theirs, we derived a curve at 1.2 GHz by interpolation. The interpolation is not only a function of frequency, however, since the complex dielectric constant also varies with frequency. Ulaby et al. [5] give the effect of frequency and the real ($\varepsilon'$) and imaginary ($\varepsilon''$) parts of the dielectric constant on the attenuation as

$$\text{attenuation} = Cf(\varepsilon''/\sqrt{\varepsilon'})$$

where $C$ is a constant. We have used this relationship and values for $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ given in [4] to derive the curve shown in Fig. 3 for 1.2 GHz. Fig. 3 shows the close agreement between our measurements of in situ attenuation versus soil moisture and the results of Hoekstra and Delaney [4]. Ulaby et al. [5, Fig. 11.26] show the relationship between penetration depth $\delta_p$ and volume moisture content for 10.0, 4.0, and 1.3 GHz. Our results also closely match theirs for the 1.3-GHz case.

V. Conclusions, Future Work

In situ measurements of microwave attenuation in desert soils can be made in the field with compact receivers, and results agree closely with laboratory measurements. These measurements support the observations of subsurface features visible in radar images obtained at L-band (1.2 GHz) over desert areas. At two sites in Nevada the skin depth ranged from 0.7 to 1.7 m, even though the soil moisture was much higher than that found in hyperarid regions of the world.

Future work will involve different techniques of excava-
tion in order to disturb the overlying soil as little as possible. In addition, more sites with a greater range of soil moisture are planned to be visited. Aircraft, as well as spaceborne radars will be used; however, spaceborne radars have the advantage of covering a larger area with a constant power density, assuring more consistent results. Polarization sensitivity is planned to be added to the receivers by replacement of the crossed-dipole antenna, in order to study the polarization dependence of attenuation in desert soils.

Measurement of microwave attenuation is not limited to desert soils, and plans call for both permafrost in Alaska and ice in Antarctica or Greenland to be similarly probed. Both permafrost and fresh-water ice have very low attenuation coefficients, similar to dry desert soils.
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