1	Assessing global surface water inundation dynamics using combined satellite information
2	from SMAP, AMSR2 and Landsat
3	
4	JINYANG DU <sup>1</sup> , JOHN S. KIMBALL <sup>1</sup> , JOHN GALANTOWICZ <sup>2</sup> , SEUNG-BUM KIM <sup>3</sup> ,
5	STEVEN K. CHAN <sup>3</sup> , ROLF REICHLE <sup>4</sup> , LUCAS A. JONES <sup>1</sup> , JENNIFER D. WATTS <sup>1.5</sup>
6	
7	1.Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group, W.A. Franke College of Forestry & Conservation,
8	The University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, United States
9	2. Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Lexington, MA 02421, United States
10	3. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, United
11	States
12	4. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, 20771, United States
13	5. Woods Hole Research Center, Falmouth, MA, 02540, United States
14	
15	Email: jinyang.du@ntsg.umt.edu
16	Abstract
17	A method to assess global land surface water $(fw)$ inundation dynamics was developed by
18	exploiting the enhanced fw sensitivity of L-band (1.4 GHz) passive microwave observations
19	from the Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) mission. The L-band $fw$ ( $fw_{LBand}$ ) retrievals were
20	derived using SMAP H-polarization brightness temperature $(T_b)$ observations and predefined

21	L-band reference microwave emissivities for water and land endmembers. Potential soil moisture
22	and vegetation contributions to the microwave signal were represented from overlapping higher
23	frequency $T_b$ observations from AMSR2. The resulting $fw_{LBand}$ global record has high temporal
24	sampling (1-3 days) and 36-km spatial resolution. The <i>fwLBand</i> annual averages corresponded
25	favourably ( <i>R</i> =0.85, <i>p</i> -value<0.001) with a 250-m resolution static global water map (MOD44W)
26	aggregated at the same spatial scale, while capturing significant inundation variations worldwide.
27	The monthly fwLBand averages also showed seasonal inundation changes consistent with river
28	discharge records within six major US river basins. An uncertainty analysis indicated generally
29	reliable fwLBand performance for major land cover areas and under low to moderate vegetation
30	cover, but with lower accuracy for detecting water bodies covered by dense vegetation. Finer
31	resolution (30-m) fwLBand results were obtained for three sub-regions in North America using an
32	empirical downscaling approach and ancillary global Water Occurrence Dataset (WOD) derived
33	from the historical Landsat record. The resulting 30-m fwLBand retrievals showed favourable
34	spatial accuracy for water (commission error 31.46%, omission error 30.20%) and land
35	(commission error 0.87%, omission error 0.96%) classifications and seasonal wet and dry
36	periods when compared to independent water maps derived from Landsat-8 imagery. The new
37	fwLBand algorithms and continuing SMAP and AMSR2 operations provide for near real-time,
38	multi-scale monitoring of global surface water inundation dynamics and potential flood risk.
39	Keywords: SMAP; Landsat; AMSR2; surface water inundation; flood risk
40	

42

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The fractional cover of land surface water (fw) inundation is a key component of the global 43 water budget and a controlling factor in hydrology, climate and ecosystem modelling (Pham-Duc 44 et al., 2017; Melton et al., 2013; Watts et al., 2014). The *fw* dynamics reflect spatial and temporal 45 changes in a number of environmental factors including anomalous rainfall-driven flood events 46 (Sun et al., 2011), seasonal thawing and snowmelt in spring (Watts et al., 2012), and longer-term 47 environmental changes (Lin et al., 2011). Characterizing fw variations has become a prerequisite 48 for improved understanding of hydrological and ecological processes (Alsdorf et al., 2007; Fu et 49 al., 2009), while providing essential support for a broad range of applications including water 50 resources management (Sánchez-Carrillo et al., 2004), wetland monitoring (Melton et al., 2013), 51 52 vector borne disease control (Chuang et al., 2012), and flood and drought risk assessment (Komi et al., 2017). Dynamic fw mapping has also been used as a prerequisite for the retrievals of 53 higher-order land surface parameters from microwave remote sensing (Jones et al., 2010; Ye et 54 al., 2015). 55

Previous approaches for satellite remote sensing of global *fw* dynamics have involved relatively low-temporal frequency but fine spatial resolution (10-100 m) *fw* mapping from optical and/or infrared (IR) imagery (Brakenridge and Anderson, 2006; Carroll et al., 2009; Verpoorter et al., 2014) or radar backscatter data (Bourgeau-Chavez et al., 2001; Bartsch et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2016). Passive microwave radiometry has also been used for *fw* mapping with relatively high temporal frequency (daily to 10-day) but at coarser (5 km to 25 km) spatial scales (Prigent et al., 2007; Schroeder et al., 2014; Du et al., 2016). Passive microwave sensors used for fw mapping include the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for the Earth Observing System (AMSR-E) (Kawanishi et al., 2003), Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR2) (Imaoka et al., 2012) and the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et al., 1996), which provide relatively high-frequency (18 GHz to 89 GHz) brightness temperature ( $T_b$ ) observations.

Passive microwave remote sensing allows for global daily fw monitoring due to global 68 coverage of current operational sensors, combined with strong microwave sensitivity to surface 69 water and relative insensitivity to weather constraints. However, the resulting fw retrievals tend 70 to underestimate surface water inundation extent in closed canopy areas due to the attenuation of 71 surface microwave emissions by vegetation, with generally greater vegetation constraints for 72 higher microwave frequencies (Du et al., 2016). Alternatively, the ESA Soil Moisture and Ocean 73 Salinity (SMOS) (Kerr et al., 2001; Parrens et al., 2017) and NASA Soil Moisture Active Passive 74 (SMAP) radiometers (Entekhabi et al., 2010) provide global coverage and frequent (mean 3-day) 75 sampling, with potentially enhanced sensitivity to water signals underlying vegetation due to 76 relatively greater canopy transmission of low frequency (L-band) microwave emissions 77 (Entekhabi et al., 2010). 78

Better capabilities are needed for near real-time assessment of surface water inundation dynamics at finer spatial scales commensurate with local landscape heterogeneity for monitoring extreme hydrological events (e.g. flood and droughts) and environmental changes (Fu et al., 2009; Fluet-Chouinard et al., 2015). Planned next generation satellite missions propose both

high spatial and temporal resolution mapping of global surface water inundation dynamics 83 designed for landscape assessments, including the NASA-ISRO Synthetic Aperture Radar 84 (NISAR) and Surface Water Ocean Topography (SWOT) radar altimetry mission 85 (Alvarez-Salazar et al., 2014; Fu and Ubelmann, 2014; Chapman et al., 2015; Prigent et al., 86 2016). However, other approaches have been developed for spatial downscaling of coarser 87 resolution fw estimates from current operational passive microwave sensors (Galantowicz, 2002; 88 Fluet-Chouinard et al., 2015; AER, 2017; Aires et al., 2017). The spatial downscaling process 89 generally relies on the use of finer scale ancillary information, including flood potential maps 90 derived from hydrologic analyses, to inform empirical spatial interpolation and downscaling of 91 coarser resolution fw retrievals (Wu and Liu, 2015). Suitable downscaling methods applied to fw 92 retrievals from available satellite passive microwave sensors allow for both near real-time and 93 long-term global inundation mapping with high spatio-temporal resolutions. 94

In this investigation, we developed and tested an approach for estimating global fw dynamics 95 using SMAP radiometer data that exploit enhanced L-band (1.4 GHz) microwave sensitivity to 96 surface water; SMAP also provides observations at constant incidence angle and high  $T_b$ 97 calibration accuracy (radiometric uncertainty ~1K) (Piepmeier et al., 2017) for potentially robust 98 *fw* retrievals. Our algorithm approach also uses other land parameter information derived from 99 overlapping AMSR2 higher frequency  $T_b$  observations to represent the influence of soil moisture 100 and vegetation on the surface water signal. The resulting fw retrievals (hereby denoted as  $fw_{LBand}$ ) 101 provide global coverage with 1-3 day temporal sampling and 36-km resolution, and extend over 102 the 19-month period from June 2015 to December 2016. Here the fwLBand parameter defines the 103

areal proportion of standing water within a 36-km SMAP grid cell. Furthermore, an empirical approach using ancillary surface water persistence information from the historical Landsat record (Pekel et al., 2016) was used to downscale the 36-km  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals to 30-m resolution to evaluate the potential for finer landscape level monitoring of fw inundation dynamics from SMAP.

The paper continues with a presentation of the data and methods (section 2). The  $f_{WLBand}$ 109 results were evaluated against alternative global fw maps derived from other available satellite 110 records, while relative differences in fw cover from these products were evaluated over the 111 global gradient in vegetation optical depth (VOD) derived from SMOS L-band  $T_b$  observations 112 (section 3.1). The  $f_{w_{LBand}}$  seasonal variations were evaluated against monthly river discharge 113 measurements for selected large basins (section 3.2). The spatially downscaled  $f_{WLBand}$  results 114 were also evaluated over other selected sub-regions in relation to independent surface water 115 maps representing seasonal wet and dry periods obtained from Landsat-8 observations (section 116 3.3). Inundation dynamics derived from SMAP were compared with MODIS and Landsat results 117 (section 3.4). A sensitivity analysis was also conducted to document expected  $fw_{LBand}$ 118 performance for major global land cover types based on uncertainty in the underlying model 119 assumptions and parameterizations (section 3.5). Finally, further discussion (section 4) and 120 conclusions (section 5) were presented. 121

122

#### 2. METHODS

# 124 2.1. Algorithm Development

The fw<sub>LBand</sub> algorithm was developed from a retrieval scheme originally used with AMSR-E 125 126 W-band (89 GHz)  $T_b$  observations for detecting pan-Arctic inundation dynamics (Du et al., 2016). In the W-band fw (hereby denoted as fwwBand) algorithm, a look-up table (LUT) was first 127 established to provide reference microwave emissivities at 89 GHz for pure land and water 128 endmembers under a range of global land and atmosphere conditions characterized by other 129 AMSR-E land parameter retrievals and  $T_b$  frequency ratios (Du et al., 2016). The fwwBand 130 retrievals were then obtained on a per pixel basis by computing H-polarization (pol) difference 131 ratio (DR) or combined H-pol and V-pol double difference ratio (DDR)  $T_b$  or emissivity 132 deviations from reference conditions established for pure land and water endmember grid cells. 133 A detailed description of the DR and DDR methods used for the AMSR-E fwwBand retrievals are 134 provided elsewhere (Du et al., 2016). In this study, a similar DR algorithm is used with SMAP 135 L-band  $T_b$  observations for estimating  $f_{WLBand}$ . Here, the DR algorithm was established using a 136 two-step procedure similar to the previous AMSR-E W-band algorithm application, but adapted 137 for use with SMAP L-band  $T_b$  observations. 138

# 139 2.1.1 Algorithm Theoretical Basis

The satellite observed L-band emissivity of the land surface (*e*) under non-frozen and snow-free conditions can be described by the Tau-Omega model (Eq. 1) with negligible atmosphere effects considered (Mo et al., 1982; Jones et al., 2010):

$$T_{bp} = fw \cdot e_{pw} \cdot T_w + (1 - fw) \cdot e_{pl} \cdot T_l$$

$$e_{pl} = [1 - \omega_p][1 - \gamma_p][1 + R_p^s \gamma_p] + (1 - R_p^s) \gamma_p$$

$$\gamma_p = \exp[-VOD_p]$$

$$e_{pw} = f(\varepsilon_w, S_r)$$
(1)

Where subscript p denotes microwave polarization and subscripts w and l denote water and land 145 variables, respectively;  $T_h$  is satellite observed brightness temperature; T is the effective surface 146 temperature within the SMAP L-band penetration depth of pure land or water; fw is the fraction 147 of open water within the sensor footprint;  $\mathcal{O}$  is the effective scattering albedo (Kurum, 2013); 148  $\gamma$  is the one-way microwave transmissivity of the canopy, which decreases exponentially with 149 VOD;  $R^{s}$  is the effective microwave reflectivity of bare soil with surface roughness effects 150 considered;  $\varepsilon_w$  denotes pure water permittivity, and  $S_r$  is the water surface roughness 151 parameter. According to Eq. (1), L-band  $e_n$  is determined by microwave absorption and 152 scattering properties of vegetation, surface soil and standing water, which are primarily 153 represented by respective VOD, soil moisture and surface temperature conditions (Du et al., 154 2016). 155

An algorithm lookup table (LUT) of reference microwave emissivities for pure land and water endmember conditions at L-band was constructed *a priori* over a global range of vegetation and soil conditions defined by daily *VOD* and volumetric soil moisture (*mv*) retrievals from an existing AMSR (AMSR-E and AMSR2) global land parameter data record (LPDR; Du et al., 2017) (Table 1). Considering the dependence of land feature permittivity on temperature, the  $T_l$ and  $T_w$  derived from surface temperature ( $T_s$ ) records of the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System Model version 5 (GEOS-5) land model (Lucchesi 2013; Chan et al., 2016a) were also

163	used to represent the daily surface temperature influence on the <i>fw<sub>LBand</sub></i> estimates (Table 1).
164	Other ancillary data were used to define suitable conditions for the $fw_{LBand}$ retrieval, including $fw$
165	derived from K-band (18.7 GHz and 23.8 GHz) AMSR2 $T_b$ observations (hereby denoted as
166	fwKBand) (Du et al., 2017) and a MODIS IGBP land cover classification (Friedl et al., 2002). A
167	pure land endmember condition was identified if no water presence was indicated for a 36-km
168	SMAP grid cell by the ancillary MODIS land cover map and where minimum fractional water
169	(<0.01) was detected by the corresponding $f_{W_{KBand}}$ record. A conservative 0.01 threshold was set
170	by considering the AMSR LPDR retrieval uncertainties and $fw_{KBand}$ positive retrieval biases (0.01
171	to 0.02) (Du et al., 2017). The L-band emissivity of the identified land endmembers was
172	calculated as the ratio of SMAP 36-km $T_b$ observations and $T_l$ (or $T_w$ ). A collection of pure land
173	and water endmembers was assembled from a one year (June 2015 to May 2016) record of
174	SMAP $T_b$ observations and $T_l$ and $T_w$ records; the averaged emissivity of the land endmembers
175	for each surface condition defined in LUT was assigned as the final reference emissivity for land
176	$(e_{pl}^{ref})$ . The reference open water emissivity endmember $(e_{pw}^{ref})$ in the LUT was theoretically
177	calculated for fresh water using the Fresnel Equations and Double-Debye dielectric model
178	(Ulaby et al., 2014).

**Table 1** 

180Global land surface parameter ranges considered in the algorithm Look-up Table (LUT) used for the SMAP181 $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals.

	From	То	Interval
Vegetation Optical Depth (VOD)	0.0	3.0	0.05
Volumetric Soil Moisture (Mv)	0.0 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>3</sup>	0.5 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>3</sup>	0.01 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>3</sup>
Effective soil and water surface	0 °C	42.5 °C	2.5°C
temperature ( $T_l$ and $T_w$ )			

In this study, SMAP L-band H-polarization is used for inundation retrievals due to its larger emissivity range and higher sensitivity to water signals relative to V-polarization (Du et al., 2016). The  $fw_{LBand}$  of a given 36-km grid cell under the soil and vegetation conditions defined by the AMSR LPDR can be inferred from the SMAP observed emissivity at H-polarization and the corresponding LUT reference emissivities under the same conditions. Based on Eq. (1) and the

available literature (Du et al., 2016), the 
$$fw_{LBand}$$
 is determined using a Difference Ratio (DR)

$$fw_{LBand} = \frac{(T_{bhl}^{ref} - T_{bh}^{obs})}{(T_{bhl}^{ref} - T_{bhw}^{ref})}$$

$$T_{bhl}^{ref} = e_{hl}^{ref} \cdot T_{l}$$

$$T_{bhw}^{ref} = e_{hw}^{ref} \cdot T_{w}$$
(2)

189

Here  $T_w$  is assumed to be approximately equivalent to  $T_l$  ( $T_w \approx T_l$ ; section 2.2.2). An 190 alternative Double Difference Ratio (DDR) method utilizing V-pol and H-pol T<sub>b</sub> differences 191  $T_{bv} - T_{bh}$  for deriving fw (Du et al., 2016) was not used in the current study. The DDR shows 192 higher retrieval uncertainties than the DR method in sparsely vegetated and barren land regions 193 where relatively large V and H polarization differences resemble the characteristics of open 194 water emissions (Du et al., 2016). Compared with higher microwave frequencies, the SMAP 195 L-band  $T_b$  observations tend to have larger polarization differences due to more dielectrically 196 transparent vegetation cover and smoother soil surface (Entekhabi et al., 2010; Huang et al., 197 2010). Higher noise level is expected in the  $T_{bv} - T_{bh}$  observations relative to the single-channel 198  $T_{hh}$  measurements. 199

# 200 2.1.2 Downscaling of fw<sub>LBand</sub> Retrievals

An empirical approach is demonstrated in this study for spatial downscaling of 36-km 201 resolution SMAP fw time series using the ancillary 30-m resolution Landsat Water Occurrence 202 Dataset (WOD) (Pekel et al., 2016). The WOD maps represent an estimate of the inundation 203 frequency of 30-m pixels over the globe determined from a 32-year Landsat image collection. 204 For a given 36-km SMAP grid cell, the inundation occurrence defined from all WOD 30-m 205 pixels within the cell is extracted and sorted in descending order. Inundation areas estimated by 206 the 36-km fw<sub>LBand</sub> retrieval are allocated sequentially, first to pixels with higher occurrence 207 frequency, or most likely to be inundated, followed by allocations to pixels with lower 208 occurrence frequency. The allocation stops when the area represented by 30-m open water pixels 209 is equivalent to the *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* coverage of the overlying SMAP grid cell or only 30-m pixels with 210 zero water occurrences remain. This approach allows for potential 30-m resolution binary 211 (flooded or non-flooded) inundation area maps to be defined globally at a near daily time step 212 consistent with SMAP observations and WOD spatial coverage. However, for this study we only 213 conducted the fw spatial downscaling and assessments for selected sub-regions and paired 214 seasonal wet and dry snapshots. 215

# 216 2.2. Study Domain and Data Utilized

#### 217 *2.2.1 Study domain*

This study focuses on SMAP  $fw_{Lband}$  retrieval over the global terrestrial domain, excluding permanent ice and snow covered areas. Six major river basins within the continental US (CONUS) were also selected for comparing the  $fw_{LBand}$  results against basin river discharge (Q)

measurements (Section 2.2.3). The selections include the Sacramento, Rio Grande, Des Moines, 221 Cumberland, Apalachicola and Minnesota basins (Fig. 1); these basins are defined by U.S. 222 Geological Survey (USGS) hydrologic units (Seaber et al., 1987), delineated using the USGS 223 Watershed Boundary Database (Berelson et al., 2004; WBD, 2004). For the Rio Grande, four 224 smaller hydrologic catchments (Headwaters, Elephant Butte, Mimbres and Amistad) were 225 examined within the larger basin, corresponding to drainage areas represented by the available 226 river discharge measurement stations (Fig. 1). The six large river basins cover a diversity of 227 climate, hydrologic and ecological conditions. The Apalachicola basin contains significant areas 228 of forests with high biological diversity (White et al., 1998), while large portions of the 229 Sacramento and Des Moines basins are dominated by croplands and intensive agriculture 230 (Georgakakos et al., 1998). The Minnesota basin is affected by significant winter snow cover and 231 seasonal freeze-thaw events (Cherkauer and Lettenmaier, 1999), while the Rio Grande basin is 232 characterized by a semi-arid climate and strong vertical gradients in precipitation and vegetation 233 (Klein and Barnett, 2003). Flow regulations by major dams across the Rio Grande (Graf, 1999), 234 Sacramento (Singer, 2007) and Des Moines (Georgakakos et al., 1998) rivers strongly influence 235 the observed seasonal river discharge in these basins relative to natural flow conditions. 236

Three other sub-regions were used for quantitative comparisons between the 30-m downscaled  $fw_{LBand}$  data and independent water cover maps derived from Landsat-8 imagery. The three sub-regions (region 1 centered at -143.79°, 66.91°; region 2 centered at -93.88°, 38.89°; region 3 centered at -91.28°, 31.73°) are distributed across a North American latitudinal gradient extending from the Alaskan arctic to the US southern coastal plain (Fig. 1). Each sub-region represented a  $\sim$ 31,450 km<sup>2</sup> area consistent with the size of a single Landsat scene. The selected sub-regions included portions of the lower Mississippi River Valley that experienced major flooding during the 2015/2016 winter season (Emerton et al., 2017). A smaller area (0.1 °× 0.1 ° rectangle centered at -91.55 °, 31.27 °) within region 3 was selected for evaluating the finer scale inundation patterns.



Fig.1 Location of six river basins and three regions used in the evaluation of SMAP L-band fractional water inundation ( $f_{wLBand}$ ) dynamics and  $f_{wLBand}$  downscaled results at 30-m resolution, respectively. The river basins include the Sacramento (dark purple), Des Moines (light purple), Cumberland (dark blue), Rio Grande (light blue), Minnesota (dark green) and Apalachicola (light green) basins, with river discharge stations indicated by red star symbols. The three regions (red rectangles) are defined by individual Landsat-8 image scenes, while a smaller ( $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ ) area (blue dot) was used to highlight finer inundation details in region 3.

### 254 2.2.2 Datasets used for Algorithm Development

247

The *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* algorithm approach developed in this study uses synergistic inputs from several different satellite data records, including SMAP, AMSR2, MODIS and Landsat. Satellite L-band

257	(1.4 GHz), H-pol microwave $T_b$ observations from the NASA SMAP mission provide primary
258	information for delineating $fw$ cover in the algorithm. Surface soil moisture conditions
259	potentially influencing the SMAP $T_b$ and $f_w$ retrievals were defined from the AMSR LPDR
260	(version 2; Du et al., 2017). Daily $T_s$ potentially influencing the SMAP $T_b$ and $fw$ retrievals were
261	defined from the GEOS-5 forward processing system (De Lannoy et al., 2013; Chan et al.,
262	2016a). A Boston University MOD12Q1 V004 MODIS 1 km IGBP land cover classification
263	(Friedl et al., 2002) was used to identify permanent water bodies and associated surface water
264	dominant grid cells for establishing the LUT used for the coarser SMAP fw retrievals (section
265	2.1.1). The global WOD is derived from a 32-year Landsat historical image archive (Pekel et al.,
266	2016) and was used for spatial downscaling of the SMAP 36-km resolution $fw_{LBand}$ retrievals to
267	30-m resolution over the selected sub-regions.

The NASA SMAP satellite provides global vertically (V) and horizontally (H) polarized 268 microwave  $T_b$  observations over land and ocean with descending/ascending orbital equatorial 269 crossings at 6:00 AM/PM local time extending from 31 March 2015 to the present (Entekhabi et 270 al., 2010). The SMAP observations have enhanced microwave L-band sensitivity to surface and 271 soil moisture conditions under low to moderate vegetation cover within approximately  $5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ 272 of above-ground vegetation biomass water content, relative to optical-IR and higher frequency 273 microwave sensors (Chan et al., 2016a). For this study, we used the 19-month (June 2015 to 274 December 2016) SMAP Level-1C half-orbit ascending and descending  $T_b$  record (SPL1CTB 275 version 3) for mapping global fw dynamics. The SPL1CTB  $T_b$  data are provided in a 36 km 276 resolution global EASE-Grid v2 projection similar to the native sensor footprint (Chan et al., 277

278 2016a), while the resulting  $f_{WLBand}$  record was derived in the same resolution and projection 279 format.

The AMSR2 portion of the LPDR is temporally overlapping with SMAP observations and 280 was used to define other environmental factors potentially affecting the SMAP fw retrievals. 281 The LPDR exploits calibrated AMSR multi-frequency  $T_b$  observations for global daily mapping 282 of multiple synergistic atmosphere and land parameters (Du et al., 2017). No LPDR daily 283 retrievals are available for days with active precipitation or areas with identified X-band Radio 284 Frequency Interference (RFI); the LPDR also excludes snow and frozen surface conditions, and 285 large water bodies covering more than half of a 25-km grid cell (Du et al., 2017). Since the 286 atmosphere is almost transparent to SMAP L-band observations (O'Neill et al., 2016), only 287 LPDR VOD and mv data, which account for the influence of dynamic surface water ( $f_{WKBand}$ ) 288 variations on the microwave signal, were used to represent vegetation and soil moisture 289 conditions in the SMAP fwLBand retrievals; here, the AMSR2 X-band VOD and mv retrievals are 290 used as a proxy for similar conditions influencing the SMAP L-band  $T_b$  observations. 291

The  $T_l$  processed for SMAP from GEOS-5  $T_s$  represents the effective soil temperature within the L-band penetration depth (Holmes et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2016a) and is provided with the NASA SMAP L3 Radiometer Global Daily 36 km EASE-Grid Soil Moisture product Version 4 (SPL3SMP) (O'Neill et al., 2016). To evaluate the uncertainty associated with the assumption of  $T_w \approx T_l$ , alternative surface water temperature ( $T_{water}$ ) inputs were tested for the  $f_{wLBand}$  retrieval. Here  $T_{water}$  was calculated using the GEOS-5 hourly surface temperature analysis ( $T_{surf}$ ) averaged over each entire grid cell; surface temperature for land tiles only ( $T_{land}$ ); and a static data set describing fractions of land ( $FR_{land}$ ), permanent water ( $FR_{water}$ ) and permanent ice (https://opendap.nccs.nasa.gov/dods/GEOS-5/fp/0.25\_deg/assim).

The WOD is derived from Landsat imagery extending from 1984 to 2015 (Pekel et al., 2016). The WOD provides a consistent characterization of Landsat derived surface water inundation persistence over the historical sensor record, while open water occurrence is expressed as a percentage of the available Landsat observations over time identified as water covered (Pekel et al., 2016). The WOD data used for this study were obtained in a native 0.00025 degree resolution geographic projection format, representing approximately 30-m spatial resolution.

# 307 2.2.3 Datasets used for global fw<sub>LBand</sub> validation

The  $f_{WLBand}$  results were compared with monthly Q observations for six major North 308 American basins (Section 2.2.1), and detailed observations for selected sub-regions, including 309 30-m open water maps defined from Landsat-8 imagery. A global comparison of the SMAP 310  $f_{W_{IBand}}$  results was conducted against other global  $f_{W}$ , land cover and vegetation maps from the 311 MODIS-SRTM (MOD44W) static open water database (Carroll et al., 2009), the LPDR fwKBand 312 retrievals derived from AMSR2 (Du et al., 2017), and an estimated L-band nadir VOD record 313 314 included with the SMOS Level 3 (CLF31) soil moisture product (Al Bitar et al., 2017). Monthly Q measurements (June 2015 to December 2016) were obtained from downstream 315

stations within the six US river basins (USGS, 2001) (Fig. 1) for evaluating  $fw_{LBand}$  seasonal

317 dynamics; here, we assume that seasonal variations in surface water storage defined from the

SMAP *fw* record are proportional to river discharge from the major basins (Yamazaki et al., 2011;
Du et al., 2016).

For validating the downscaled fw<sub>LBand</sub> results and inundation dynamics, a 30-m resolution land 320 and water mask was derived from selected Landsat-7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+), 321 Landsat-8 Optical Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) scenes for each 322 sub-region using Fmask software (version 3.3) (Zhu et al., 2015). The Fmask algorithm shows 323 high accuracy in classifying land, water, cloud, and cloud shadow with a documented 2% 324 omission error and 14% commission error (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014). The paired Landsat 325 scenes acquired for each sub-region represent seasonal wet and dry conditions depicted by the 326 Fmask classification results, and meet requirements for having less than 10% cloud coverage and 327 best image quality as indicated in the Landsat-8 metadata files. 328

The SMAP derived inundation dynamics were evaluated over the lower Mississippi River 329 Valley sub-region (region 3) by comparing the *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* results against independent 14-day, 250-m 330 resolution water occurrence maps (14x3D3OT; version 6.2) from the NASA MODIS near 331 real-time global flood mapping product (https://floodmap.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov) (Brakenridge 332 and Anderson, 2006; Nigro et al., 2014). The 14-day MODIS flood product is derived from 333 multiple 3-day products and has less cloud cover impacts than a single 3-day product (Nigro et al., 334 2014). A prior assessment of the MODIS dynamic flood record indicates that the 3-day product 335 was successful in capturing flooded areas, with 44% of flood events classified with good, 336 excellent or almost perfect accuracy, 23% of events classified as poor or fair, and 33% of events 337 undetermined due to cloud contamination (Nigro et al., 2014). 338

The 250-m resolution MOD44W product is derived from a compilation of the SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) Water Body dataset (SWBD) and the MODIS (MOD44C) Collection 5 (2000–2002) open water classification product (Carroll et al., 2009). The static global water body map derived from MOD44C data has a reported 2% commission error in the region between 60° and 90° N in North America relative to the National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) (Carroll et al., 2009).

The AMSR LPDR *fw<sub>KBand</sub>* record is capable of monitoring global water inundation dynamics 345 (Du et al., 2017), but is expected to have different sensitivity to surface water than the SMAP 346 fwLBand retrievals owing to different sensor view geometries and frequency dependent sensitivity 347 to surface conditions and vegetation cover. The annual mean (June 2015 - May 2016) of the 348 descending SMOS Level 3 nadir VOD record (6:00 PM equatorial crossing time) was used in 349 evaluating the SMAP ascending orbit fwLBand record and relative differences with other fw 350 records over the global domain. The microwave VOD parameter is a measure of the attenuation 351 of microwave radiation by the vegetation canopy (Fernandez-Moran et al., 2017), which is a 352 frequency-dependent function of vegetation water content (VWC) (Jackson and Schmugge, 1991; 353 Jones et al., 2013). The Level 3 SMOS daily VOD record was derived simultaneously with soil 354 moisture from dual polarization (H, V) and multi-angular SMOS measurements (Wigneron et al., 355 2007; Kerr et al., 2012), and optimized using a multi-orbit approach considering temporal 356 auto-correlation of vegetation optical depth (Al Bitar et al., 2017). 357

358 2.2.4 Data processing

359	For generating the $fw_{LBand}$ estimates, the SMAP $T_b$ data were averaged from SPL1CTB
360	fore-looking and aft-looking $T_b$ observations, which were not corrected for open water effects as
361	those processed for the SPL3SMP soil moisture retrievals. The SMAP SPL1CTB half-orbit files
362	for each day were composited to a global 36-km EASE-Grid v2 format. For a given grid cell
363	having multiple SPL1CTB data points represented, the data point with local solar time nearest to
364	the SMAP orbital equatorial crossing time was selected for the daily composite, similar to the
365	process used to derive the SMAP SPL3SMP product (Chan et al., 2016a). The above processing
366	was carried out separately for SMAP ascending and descending orbit data. The AMSR2 LPDR
367	VOD and mv record was reprocessed from the original 25-km EASE-Grid v1 projection format
368	(Armstrong and Brodzik, 1995; Ashcroft and Wentz, 1999) to the SMAP 36-km EASE-Grid v2
369	format (Brodzik et al., 2012; Brodzik et al., 2014) using Nearest Neighbor resampling. In
370	addition, a temporal linear interpolation approach was used to gap-fill missing daily AMSR2
371	LPDR grid cell observations using temporally adjacent LPDR retrievals (Kim et al., 2012). The
372	LPDR interpolation enables the utilization of all available SMAP observations for global $fw_{LBand}$
373	mapping despite possible mismatch between SMAP and AMSR2 swath coverages, though the
374	underlying assumption of temporally linear changes of VOD and mv may lead to additional
375	retrieval uncertainties. Due to overlapping SMAP polar orbital swaths, there is greater $fw_{LBand}$
376	temporal coverage (~ 1 to 2 days) at higher latitudes (>45°) relative to the equatorial zones (~3
377	days).

378 Similar to the AMSR LPDR, the SMOS *VOD* and GEOS-5  $T_{water}$  records were re-sampled to 379 a 36-km EASE-Grid v2 format using the Nearest Neighbor method. The 1-km MODIS land cover and 250-m MOD44W data were also re-projected to the same 36 km EASE-Grid v2 format consistent with the  $f_{WLBand}$  results.

382 2.3. Evaluation of the *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> Retrievals

A global *fw* comparison was conducted using the MOD44W static water map and one-year (June 2015 to May 2016) averages of SMAP *fwLBand* and AMSR2 *fwKBand* results. Quantitative metrics used to evaluate the relationships included correlation coefficient (*R*), root mean square difference (RMSD) and mean difference. The global inundation areas derived from MOD44W, *fwLBand*, and *fwKBand* annual averages were also compared under different vegetation biomass levels indicated by the SMOS *VOD* map.

In addition, the  $fw_{LBand}$  dynamics were examined using  $fw_{LBand}$  monthly mean values and corresponding monthly Q records for the six CONUS river basins over the 1.5-year study period (June 2015 to December 2016). To ensure consistent basin coverage in space and time, the  $fw_{LBand}$  monthly composites were generated from daily  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals covering over 75% of a given basin area at least six times per month. Correlations between monthly Q and basin-averaged  $fw_{LBand}$  were then evaluated for each basin.

The downscaled 30-m  $fw_{LBand}$  results were validated against corresponding Landsat-8 (OLI and TIRS) based land and water classifications for the three selected sub-regions. For each sub-region, the  $fw_{LBand}$  accuracy relative to Landsat-8 in discriminating water and land pixels at 30-m resolution was summarized for two Landsat acquisition dates with contrasting dry and wet surface conditions. The metrics for accuracy assessment include commission error, omission error and overall accuracy. Considering  $N_{ji}$  represents the number of the pixels belonging to feature j but classified as feature i, the commission error for feature j is  $N_{ij}/(N_{jj}+N_{ij})$ , the omission error for feature j is  $N_{ji}/(N_{jj}+N_{ji})$ , and overall accuracy is  $(N_{ii}+N_{jj})/(N_{ii}+N_{jj}+N_{ij}+N_{ji})$ . No comparisons were made for pixels identified as cloud covered or cloud shadowed by the Landsat Fmask algorithm.

The downscaled results over the lower Mississippi River Valley (region 3 in Fig.1) obtained from 14-day  $f_{W_{LBand}}$  averages from June 1, 2015 to May 31, 2016 were compared with MODIS 14-day water occurrence maps generated from the NASA near real-time flood mapping system, Landsat 8 OLI and Landsat 7 ETM+ land and water classifications derived from the Fmask algorithm. The striped data degradation areas in the ETM+ images were excluded from the analysis.

# 411 2.4. Estimation of *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> Uncertainty

The assumption of  $T_w \approx T_l$  (section 2.1.1) was evaluated by comparing differences between 15-day *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* retrievals over July 1-15, 2015 derived using  $T_w \approx T_l$  and those estimated using  $T_w$  $\approx T_{water}$ . The GEOS-5  $T_{water}$  is calculated for grid cells without permanent snow and ice as:

$$T_{water} = (T_{surf} - FR_{land} \cdot T_{land}) / FR_{water}$$
(3)

The 36-km  $fw_{LBand}$  algorithm uncertainties strongly depend on the accuracy of the LUT reference emissivities and AMSR2 LPDR temporal interpolation. These uncertainties were quantified by considering the standard deviation (SD) of each LUT reference emissivity and comparing  $fw_{LBand}$  results derived with and without LPDR interpolation. The emissivity SDs for

pure land endmembers were acquired while assembling the global LUT (section 2.2.2). An 420 additional process was performed for identifying water endmembers and their corresponding 421 SDs. Pure water endmembers were assigned if the 36-km SMAP grid cells over land were 422 designated as open water bodies in the ancillary MODIS IGBP land cover map and if the fwKBand 423 value of the nearest AMSR2 25-km grid cell was over 75%. The associated SDs derived from the 424 water endmembers are assumed representative of the uncertainty associated with variations in 425 water salinity and surface roughness, which are not accounted for in the theoretically calculated 426 LUT reference values. 427

We assumed that the  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals are impacted by random errors associated with the 428 reference emissivity SDs and follow a normal distribution; we also assumed that the retrievals 429 are affected by LPDR interpolation uncertainties. The estimated "true" fwLBand retrievals were 430 then derived using the same LUT approach, but with reference emissivity random errors 431 subtracted and using un-interpolated LPDR inputs. The resulting algorithm uncertainties were 432 then represented by the differences between one-year (June 2015 to May 2016) composites of the 433 estimated "true" and baseline fw<sub>LBand</sub> retrievals for the major MODIS IGBP land cover classes 434 over the global domain. Other uncertainties associated with  $f_{W_{LBand}}$  retrievals obscured by 435 overlying vegetation (VOD) and the  $f_{WLBand}$  downscaling process are discussed separately 436 (Section 4). 437

#### 3. RESULTS

440

# 441 **3.1.** Comparisons of *fwLBand*, *fwKBand* and MOD44W

The annual mean SMAP fw<sub>LBand</sub> results (Fig. 2a) show similar global inundation patterns 442 relative to the AMSR2 fw<sub>KBand</sub> retrievals (Fig. 2b) and MOD44W global water map (Fig. 2c). All 443 three products show extensive wetland complexes in northern Canada and Eurasia, and along 444 major river systems such as the Amazon, Yangtze and Lena. The SMAP fw<sub>LBand</sub> mean annual 445 composite corresponds favorably with the MOD44W open water map (R=0.85, RMSD=0.064, 446 p < 0.001), while the SMAP retrievals are wetter, with a mean difference of 0.032. The above 447 results are based on SMAP ascending orbit fw<sub>LBand</sub> estimates while alternative estimates derived 448 from descending orbit observations show similar, but slightly lower correspondence with 449 MOD44W (R=0.80). Therefore, the following analysis is based on ascending results only. For 450 temporal consistency, fw<sub>KBand</sub> results derived from AMSR2 ascending orbit (equator crossing 451 452 time 1:30 PM) observations were used in this study. The AMSR2 fw<sub>KBand</sub> results show similar strong correspondence (R=0.81, RMSD=0.058) and a smaller mean wet difference (0.010) 453 relative to the MOD44W record. In contrast to the static MOD44W map (Fig. 2c), significant 454 inundation presence was detected by both the  $fw_{LBand}$  and  $fw_{KBand}$  observations in areas associated 455 with more recent flooding during the 2015-2016 observation period, including the Mississippi 456 river valley, South American Pampas, Ganges river delta, and lower Yangtze river valley (Fig. 457 2a and 2b). The high inundation levels observed by SMAP in southeastern South America and 458 central Asia (Fig. 2a) were consistent with documented climate patterns of 2015-2016 including 459

severer flooding in South America and abnormally wet conditions observed for central Asia (Blunden et al., 2016; Blunden et al., 2017). Comparisons were also made between SMAP  $fw_{LBand}$ and MOD44W data for five latitude zones as summarized in the Supplementary material.

The  $fw_{LBand}$  record shows large seasonal inundation variability along major river corridors, including the Amazon, Darling, Euphrates, Mekong and Yenisei (Fig. 2d). Large  $fw_{LBand}$ seasonal variations were also found over the Missouri and Mississippi basins, northern Venezuela, eastern Europe, west-central Asia, central and eastern China, the Indian sub-continent, Sahel region and southeastern Australia (Fig. 2d). The large  $fw_{LBand}$  variations in these areas are consistent with characteristic seasonal wet and dry cycles, and anomalous flooding associated with 2015-2016 El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) activity (Emerton et al., 2017).

Comparisons were also made between the fw<sub>Lband</sub>, fw<sub>Kband</sub> and MOD44W records for 36-km 470 grid cells with low water fraction (MOD44W fw < 0.1). The correlation (R) between SMAP 471 *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* and MOD44W under these low water conditions is reduced to 0.38, while a relatively 472 strong correlation (R=0.62) still exists between the two dynamic products  $f_{W_{LBand}}$  and  $f_{W_{Kband}}$ . 473 Small water bodies may have large intra-annual and inter-annual variations (Song et al., 2014), 474 which may contribute to the lower correspondence between dynamic and static inundation 475 products. The retrieval errors translated from the uncertainties of reference land emissivity are 476 proportional to the land fractional cover and larger retrieval uncertainties are also expected in 477 regions with little water presence. 478











**Fig. 2.** Comparison of global fractional water products derived from: (a) SMAP L-band retrievals ( $f_{WLBand}$ ), (b) AMSR2 K-band retrievals ( $f_{WKBand}$ ), and (c) MOD44W surface water map. The SMAP  $f_{WLBand}$  and AMSR2  $f_{WKBand}$  results represent June 2015 to May 2016 time averages, while the SMAP  $f_{WLBand}$  seasonal variation (SD) is also shown (d). The SMAP  $f_{WLBand}$  data are in a 36 km global EASE-Grid (v2) format, while the  $f_{WKBand}$  and MOD44W products were spatially aggregated from their respective 25-km and 250-m native resolutions to the same 36 km EASE (v2) grid as the  $f_{WLBand}$  results.

The  $fw_{LBand}$ ,  $fw_{KBand}$  and MOD44W results are expected to be less able to detect standing 491 water under increasing vegetation cover due to the obstruction of satellite observations by 492 intervening vegetation biomass. The sensitivity of the fwLBand retrievals to vegetation cover is 493 also expected to be less than the  $f_{W_{KBand}}$  or optical-IR observations due to the greater vegetation 494 transparency of L-band microwave emissions. The estimated global surface water inundation 495 results were compared under different vegetation biomass conditions represented by the SMOS 496 VOD map (Fig. 3). The  $f_{WLBand}$  results show greater surface water cover than  $f_{WKBand}$  and 497 MOD44W under low to moderate vegetation levels, while the product differences are smaller for 498 more densely vegetated areas (e.g.  $VOD \ge 0.9$ ), which are mainly covered by evergreen broadleaf 499 forests (Fig. 3b, 3c). All three surface water products show a general inundation increase with 500 VOD in sparsely vegetated areas (VOD<0.2), followed by a decline in inundation under higher 501 *VOD* levels. The global *fw* and *VOD* pattern is consistent with generally sparse vegetation cover 502

and lower inundation levels in arid climate zones, whereas the declining fw trend at higher VOD 503 levels may reflect increasing limitations of the satellite observations to detect surface inundation 504 in more densely vegetated areas. While the  $f_{W_{LBand}}$  results indicate potentially enhanced L-band 505 sensitivity to standing water under low to moderate vegetation cover, similar fwLBand, fwKBand and 506 MOD44W results at higher VOD levels indicate minimal added value of the fwLBand retrievals in 507 more densely vegetated areas, including forests. These results may explain lower-than-expected 508 inundation levels in wet tropical forest areas, including Amazonia, central Africa and Southeast 509 Asia (e.g. Fig. 2). 510





**Fig.3** Comparisons of annual mean (June 2015 to May 2016) global water inundation areas derived from MOD44W, AMSR2  $f_{WKBand}$ , and SMAP  $f_{WLBand}$  records plotted against the global mean annual gradient in L-band vegetation optical depth (*VOD*) from SMOS (a). The SMOS *VOD* annual averages (b) were processed from the daily *VOD* record included in the official SMOS Level 3 soil moisture product. The *VOD* retrievals exclude ocean (blue), permanent snow and ice (white) and desert regions (dark grey). The MODIS IGBP global land cover map (c) is presented including regions with *VOD*  $\geq$  0.9 (hatch patterns) where there the SMAP  $f_{WLBand}$  retrievals are degraded by dense vegetation and show no meaningful difference from the other surface water products. All products were converted to the same 36 km EASE-Grid (v2) format consistent with the  $f_{WLBand}$  and *VOD* results.

522

#### 523 **3.2.** Comparisons Between *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> and River Discharge Data

River discharge (Q) and surface water inundation are integral components of the hydrological cycle and are closely connected with each other. Both Q and *fw* are sensitive to seasonal and inter-annual climate variations, and are affected by precipitation, evaporation and seasonal freeze/thaw transitions within a basin (McClelland et al., 2004; Watts et al., 2012). The basin-average *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> results were compared with associated Q observations at the outlets of the six CONUS river basins examined (Fig. 1). The monthly *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> results were significantly and positively correlated with the monthly Q observations (mean *R*=0.70 across the six basins) (Fig.

4). The Apalachicola river basin showed the strongest correlation (R=0.86) (Fig. 4a) among all 531 basins examined, due to temporal consistency between river flow peaks and maximum 532 inundation areas for this basin. Relatively low correlation (R=0.56) was found for the Des 533 Moines river basin, where a temporal phase shift of *fwLBand* relative to Q occurred in the summer 534 seasons (Fig. 4c). Missing monthly fwLBand estimates for the Des Moines and Minnesota basins 535 (Fig. 4c and 4d) reflect predominantly frozen conditions in the winter months for these areas, 536 since no fw<sub>LBand</sub> retrievals were made under frozen conditions. In addition, comparisons between 537 SMAP fwl.Band and river discharge data were made for Amazon river basin as described in the 538 Supplementary material. 539



Fig.4 Monthly mean river discharge (Q, m<sup>3</sup>/s) and corresponding inundation areas (km<sup>2</sup>) derived from SMAP 36 km *fwLBand* monthly averages for the Apalachicola (a), Cumberland (b), Des Moines (c), Minnesota (d), Rio Grande (e), and Sacramento (f) river basins over the June 2015 to December 2016 record. Temporal gaps in the time series denote either missing Q observations or frozen conditions when no *fwLBand* retrievals were made.

#### 3.3. Comparisons between 30-m fw<sub>LBand</sub> downscaled retrievals and Landsat-8 results

The downscaled *fw<sub>I Band</sub>* retrievals exhibit spatial details of inundation patterns consistent with 548 30-m Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) observations representing seasonal dry and wet conditions within 549 the three sub-regions (Fig. 5-7). In particular, major winter flooding events associated with 550 2015-2016 ENSO activity (Section 3.1; Fig.2a) in the lower Mississippi River Valley were 551 captured by both datasets as widespread inundation was shown in the region for Jan. 16, 2016 552 (Fig. 7c and 7d) in contrast to the dry conditions illustrated in the Jul. 24, 2015 images (Fig. 7a 553 and 7b). The inundation details for the selected focus area in region 3 confirm similar seasonal 554 surface water patterns between the downscaled 30-m SMAP fw<sub>LBand</sub> results and corresponding 555 surface water maps from Landsat-8 (Fig. 8). Quantitative assessment of the  $f_{WLBand}$  downscaled 556 data shows overall favorable agreement with the Landsat-8 results, with respective 30-m fwLBand 557 mean spatial classification accuracies of 70.71% for water and 98.99% for land pixels (Table 2). 558 For all regions, the 30-m fw<sub>LBand</sub> classification accuracy for water pixels was lower (mean 559 accuracy 62.23%) under dry conditions than for flooded conditions (mean accuracy 79.19%). 560 The average fw values detected by SMAP and Landsat-8 for the three regions are 3.07% and 561 2.92%, respectively. The fwLBand results show an overall 0.15% or relative 5.1% higher estimated 562 inundation than Landsat-8, consistent with the previous analysis (Section 3.1 and Fig. 3); 563 however, the river channel gaps shown in the SMAP downscaled results (Fig.6a and 7a) indicate 564 possible uncertainties associated with the  $fw_{LBand}$  retrieval and downscaling algorithms, which are 565 discussed in Section 4. 566

#### Table 2 568

Water and land spatial classification accuracy of 30-m downscaled results relative to the corresponding 569 classifications derived from Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) imagery. 570





Fig.5 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of surface water (blue) and land (white) 574 pixels for region 1 (Alaska) on Aug. 04, 2015 and Sep. 05, 2015, representing relatively dry and wet conditions. Cloud pixels in 575 the Landsat results are marked by grey shading. SMAP classifications were based on 30-m results downscaled from the 36-km 576

- 577 fwLBand record using the climatological Landsat-based Water Occurrence Dataset. Landsat-8 classifications were derived using the
- 578 Fmask algorithm (Zhu et al., 2015).



580

Fig.6 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) and land (white) pixels for 581 region 2 (western Missouri) on Oct. 01, 2015 and Dec. 04, 2015, representing relatively dry and wet conditions. Cloud pixels in 582 583 the Landsat results are marked by grey shading.

- 584
- (a) Downscaled result (Jul.24, 2015)

(b) Landsat (Jul.24, 2015)







Fig.7 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) and land (white) pixels for
region 3 (lower Mississippi River Valley) on Jul. 24, 2015 and Jan. 16, 2016, representing relatively dry and wet conditions.
Cloud pixels in the Landsat results are marked by grey shading.





**Fig.8** SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) pixels overlaid on Google Earth images (Google imagery date 12/07/2014) over a selected focus area  $(0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  rectangle centered at -91.55°, 31.27°) within region 3 and representing respective seasonal dry and wet conditions for Jul. 24, 2015 and Jan. 16, 2016.

598 **3.4. Comparisons between Dynamic Inundation Products** 

To evaluate the ability of the SMAP retrievals to capture fw dynamics, comparisons were 599 made between available Landsat water and land classifications, MODIS near real-time global 600 flood mapping products and SMAP downscaled retrievals for the lower Mississippi River Valley 601 sub-region. The resulting comparisons show overall similar inundation patterns and seasonal 602 dynamics (Fig. 9) among the three products (R= 0.63 between MODIS and SMAP; 0.70 between 603 OLI/ETM+ and SMAP; and 0.80 between MODIS and OLI/ETM+). The dry-down process from 604 June to September 2015 as well as the Texas and Louisiana flooding event with losses exceeding 605 one billion dollars in March 2016 (Blunden et al., 2017) are captured in the SMAP results and 606 also represented in the Landsat classifications. All three products respond to the winter flooding 607 of the region from December 2015 to January 2016 (Holmes et al., 2016) and show peak 608

inundation in January 2016. Considering the presence of vast woody wetland in the region (King and Keeland, 1999), the prolonged high inundation level observed by SMAP from December 2015 to January 2016 may reflect the higher sensitivity of SMAP L-band retrievals to water under the vegetation canopy. However, the relative wet bias from SMAP over the one-year period may also reflect the inability of the algorithm to distinguish standing water from saturated surface soil conditions, leading to possible  $fw_{Lband}$  overestimation.



615

Fig. 9 Inundation dynamics derived from SMAP downscaled *fw* retrievals, the MODIS near real-time global flood mapping
 product, Landsat 7/ETM+ and Landsat 8/OLI water and land classifications over the lower Mississippi River Valley sub-region
 from June 2015 to May 2016, which encompasses a documented rainfall-driven extreme winter flood event.

# 619 **3.5. Uncertainty of** *fwLBand* **Retrievals**

The mean absolute difference between  $fw_{LBand}$  15-day (July 1-15, 2015) retrievals derived using the GEOS-5 water temperature inputs  $T_w \approx T_{water}$  and alternative algorithm assumption  $T_w \approx$  $T_s$  was found to be negligible (0.001) over the globe. These results indicate that the  $fw_{LBand}$ algorithm assumption for  $T_w \approx T_l$  has a negligible impact on the global  $fw_{LBand}$  performance.

624	The results of the <i>fw<sub>LBand</sub></i> uncertainty analysis using error perturbation and un-interpolated
625	LPDR inputs are summarized in Table 3. The overall fw estimation errors are within $\pm 0.82\%$
626	over 89.45% of the global terrestrial domain, excluding permanent snow and ice. The lowest
627	retrieval errors (<0.6%) are indicated for forests and wetlands, while the largest uncertainty is
628	shown for urban areas (1.13%) followed by grasslands (1.00%), closed shrublands (0.99%) and
629	croplands (0.96%). The estimated retrieval error for wetland areas is small (0.22%) in contrast to
630	a previous investigation of AMSR-E 89 GHz fw retrievals over the northern high latitudes, where
631	the largest retrieval uncertainty was found for wetlands (Du et al., 2016). Similar to the analysis
632	for the global land domain, algorithm uncertainties were also estimated on a continental-basis. The
633	corresponding $fw$ estimation errors slightly fluctuate around the global mean level, with the
634	smallest uncertainty ( $\pm$ 0.73%) for South America and the largest error ( $\pm$ 0.89%) for Oceania.
635	The above uncertainty analysis assumes that open water bodies and other land features are
636	spatially separated within a grid cell without overlapping each other. For densely vegetated areas
637	where standing water is obscured by overlying vegetation, the $fw_{LBand}$ retrieval accuracy is likely
638	degraded as implied from Fig. 3a and discussed in Section 4.

#### 645 **Table 3**

\_

\_

Summary of estimated 36 km  $fw_{LBand}$  retrieval uncertainties for major global IGBP land cover types. The uncertainties are associated with the L-band LUT reference emissivity and temporal interpolation of the AMSR LPDR parameters. The original un-interpolated LPDR and random emissivity errors following a standard Normal Distribution with zero mean and Standard Deviation adopted from the LUT emissivity database were considered.

651

IGBP Land Cover Type	MAE *	RMSE*	Proportion*
Permanent wetlands	0.16%	0.22%	0.20%
Deciduous needleleaf forest	0.36%	0.45%	0.63%
Deciduous broadleaf forest	0.42%	0.50%	1.58%
Mixed forests	0.41%	0.52%	4.73%
Evergreen needleleaf forest	0.45%	0.58%	3.99%
Evergreen broadleaf forest	0.51%	0.59%	10.09%
Woody savannas	0.57%	0.67%	7.57%
Barren or sparsely vegetated	0.67%	0.79%	13.75%
Cropland/natural vegetation mosaic	0.75%	0.88%	2.10%
Open shrublands	0.75%	0.89%	18.42%
Savannas	0.81%	0.91%	6.99%
Croplands	0.77%	0.96%	9.03%
Closed shrublands	0.90%	0.99%	0.52%
Grasslands	0.80%	1.00%	9.33%
Urban and built-up	0.87%	1.13%	0.49%
*Overall Performance	0.67%	0.82%	89.45%

- \* MAE is the spatial mean absolute error; RMSE is the root mean square error; Proportion is the areal
   proportion of the land cover category relative to the global land domain. Overall Performance represents the
   statistics made for all the pixels of the listed land cover types.
- 656

652

657

#### 4. DISCUSSION

658	This investigation presents a new approach for satellite monitoring of global fw dynamics
659	from SMAP, with enhanced L-band microwave sensitivity to surface water. This study also
660	demonstrates potential downscaling of the SMAP fwLBand retrievals using synergistic information

from the Landsat historical record for finer (30-m) landscape delineation of fw inundation 661 dynamics. The fw<sub>LBand</sub> results show overall spatial consistency with MOD44W, but with major 662 differences in regions where large seasonal variations (e.g. Sahel Belt) or flooding events (e.g. 663 lower Mississippi River Valley) occurred that were not represented by the static water map. In 664 particular, widespread inundation along the lower Mississippi river highlighted in the SMAP 665 fw<sub>LBand</sub> results (Fig. 2a and 2d) and also detected to a lesser extent by the AMSR2 fw<sub>KBand</sub> 666 retrievals (Fig. 2b) coincides with major 2015/2016 winter flooding events in the region from 667 documented ENSO driven rainfall extremes (Emerton et al., 2017). The positive fw<sub>LBand</sub> seasonal 668 anomalies occurring over the Indian sub-continent (Fig. 2d) are consistent with abundant 669 precipitation brought by the summer monsoon in this region. Of the two dynamic surface water 670 products examined in this study, the  $f_{WLBand}$  results show generally higher inundation levels than 671 the fw<sub>KBand</sub> results (Fig. 2a and 2b), which is consistent with expected enhanced SMAP L-band 672 sensitivity to surface water signals underlying vegetation relative to higher frequency (K-band) 673 retrievals from AMSR2. The differences in global inundation areas estimated from MOD44W, 674 fw<sub>KBand</sub> and fw<sub>LBand</sub> datasets (Fig. 3) illustrate their different capabilities in capturing water signals 675 under varying vegetation conditions. 676

Generally greater  $fw_{LBand}$  inundation levels are consistent with the expected enhanced penetration ability of SMAP L-band observations relative to the AMSR2 K-band and MODIS optical-IR observations. Smaller differences among  $fw_{LBand}$ ,  $fw_{KBand}$  and MOD44W in forested regions are consistent with reduced microwave sensitivity to surface water under dense vegetation. Similar to prior sensitivity studies using AMSR-E  $fw_{WBand}$  retrievals (Du et al., 2016), the SMAP  $fw_{LBand}$  accuracy may be degraded by overlying vegetation, especially in areas with higher canopy density (e.g. forests), though the lower frequency L-band observations indicate improved sampling under low to moderate *VOD* levels, complementing other fw products derived from satellite optical-IR and higher frequency microwave observations.

The  $f_{WLBand}$  results show favorable temporal correspondence with monthly river discharge 686 measurements and reflect consistent seasonal dry and wet cycles over the six basins examined. 687 Though strongly correlated, differences in the dynamics of fw extent and downstream Q 688 measurements are also expected because O may vary independently from surface water storage 689 fluctuations due to river regulation (Papa et al., 2008; Landerer et al., 2010; Watts et al., 2012). 690 The seasonal phase difference in  $f_{WLBand}$  and Q monthly time series for the Des Moines river 691 basin (Fig. 4c) is likely caused by reservoir operations for flood risk management (Georgakakos 692 et al., 1998). In addition, the correlation between downstream Q measurements and 693 basin-average fw also depends on basin size and relative homogeneity of basin climatic and 694 physical conditions (Du et al., 2016). 695

The empirical downscaling of SMAP 36-km  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals using finer (30-m) scale surface water persistence maps from the historical Landsat record demonstrates a simple approach to incorporate coarser fw retrievals in delineating finer landscape level inundation. These results also demonstrate the potential added value of integrated satellite observations that leverage complementary information from different sensors; here, the downscaled  $fw_{LBand}$  record combines enhanced L-band sensitivity and global 1-3 day repeat monitoring capabilities from SMAP with finer resolution water mapping capabilities from Landsat. The frequent temporal sampling and the favorable accuracy of the downscaled 30-m  $fw_{LBand}$  results indicate the strong potential for SMAP data to contribute to more effective monitoring of surface inundation dynamics and flood risk.

Differences in fw patterns and associated classification accuracy between the  $fw_{LBand}$  and 706 Landsat-8 results are influenced by several factors, including uncertainties related to  $f_{WLBand}$  and 707 Fmask algorithms, potentially higher *fw* detection ability of SMAP over denser vegetated regions, 708 and differences between Landsat-8 observed flooding during the 2015-2016 study period and 709 ancillary 30-m WOD inundation patterns defined by the historical Landsat record used for 710  $fw_{LBand}$  downscaling. The overall positive difference of  $fw_{LBand}$  relative to the Landsat-8 results 711 (section 3.3) may be due to higher  $f_{W_{LBand}}$  sensitivity to surface water under low to moderate 712 vegetation cover than the optical-IR retrievals from Landsat, and uncertainties associated with 713 the Fmask algorithm (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014). The *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* algorithm may also under- or 714 over-estimate inundation areas when the predefined LUT reference emissivities deviate from 715 "true" pure pixel emissivities. For example, the discontinuity of river channels delineated by the 716 717 30-m fw<sub>LBand</sub> results (Fig. 6a and 7a) is caused by underestimated inundation within the overlying  $fw_{LBand}$  36-km grid cells. In addition to the quantified uncertainties in the 36-km  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals 718 that may propagate into the downscaling process, additional errors may occur if a flooding event 719 does not follow the same inundation likelihood of the recorded past, especially for regions 720 having an extensive variety of surface inundation in both spatial and temporal dimensions. For 721 example, the associated errors are expected to be larger in situations where an extreme flooding 722 event exceeds the historical inundation record indicated from the Landsat WOD. Since the 723

Landsat WOD generally records the occurrence of open water without overlying vegetation, 724 potential under-canopy water detected by the  $f_{WLBand}$  may be mis-located in the downscaling 725 process using WOD defined open water areas. The downscaling approach may be enhanced 726 using a refined flood potential map which weights inundation by other topographic and 727 hydrographic variables such as slope, distance from and elevation above the nearest water body, 728 and other river network and basin boundary information (Galantowicz, 2002; AER, 2017; 729 Fluet-Chouinard et al., 2015); the remotely sensed  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals may also be integrated with 730 more detailed information from hydraulic models to improve accuracy (Bates, 2012). 731

Inundation dynamics derived from MODIS, OLI/ETM+ and SMAP show similar temporal patterns and seasonal dynamics. The agreement between the optical and microwave remotely sensed inundations depends on the degree to which the microwave signal is affected by soil moisture, the amount of under-canopy flooding and the spatial and temporal distribution of flooded areas where scattered small water bodies or floods too short in duration may not be detected by optical sensors (Nigro et al., 2014).

The overall algorithm uncertainty estimates are within  $\pm$  0.82% (RMSD), indicating generally reliable 36-km *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* retrievals for discriminating global surface inundation dynamics. The *fw* retrieval uncertainties are mainly associated with LUT reference emissivity and temporal interpolation of the ancillary AMSR LPDR. Reference LUT emissivities were derived under soil and vegetation conditions defined by LPDR X-band *VOD* and *mv* retrievals. Different from available SMOS and SMAP global land products, the AMSR LPDR retrievals account for the influence of surface water dynamics (Du et al., 2017). The LPDR *mv* retrievals show favorable

accuracy as assessed by watershed soil moisture measurements (0.63  $\leq R \leq 0.84$ ), while the 745 LPDR VOD record corresponds strongly ( $R \ge 0.88$ ) with optical-IR derived Normalized 746 Difference Vegetation Index (Du et al., 2017). However, the microwave soil penetration depth 747 and VOD are frequency-dependent, and the inconsistency in orbital crossing time, observation 748 geometry and frequency between AMSR2 and SMAP is expected to contribute uncertainty to the 749 *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* estimates. In particular, larger estimated retrieval uncertainties (RMSE>0.91%) (Table 3) 750 in croplands, closed shrublands and grasslands reflect lower correspondence between soil and 751 vegetation conditions sensed by SMAP and AMSR2 under these land cover types; thus, 752 enhanced SMAP sensitivity to soil moisture unaccounted for by AMSR2 may lead to fwLBand 753 overestimation. The known RFI affecting both AMSR2 X-band and SMAP L-band  $T_b$ 754 observations occurs mostly near densely populated locations and likely contributes to degraded 755 *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* performance over urban areas (Njoku et al., 2005; Aksoy et al., 2016). 756

The gridded SPL1CTB  $T_b$  data and resulting  $fw_{LBand}$  retrievals are assumed uniformly representative of the 36-km grid cells. However, the native SMAP radiometer retrievals are acquired within an approximate 36 km x 47 km elliptical footprint (Piepmeier et al., 2016) and contain  $T_b$  contributions from adjacent areas outside of the fixed Earth grid cell, which can contribute  $fw_{LBand}$  retrieval uncertainty depending on the  $T_b$  heterogeneity of the observed scene. Accordingly,  $fw_{LBand}$  temporal variations associated with sensor geolocation changes are expected for grid cells along coastlines and large lake bodies.

The algorithm uncertainty analysis in this study (section 2.4) is based on the assumption of exposed open water bodies surrounded by vegetation. Under this assumption, the lowest retrieval

errors (<0.6%) are expected in forested areas due to the large contrast between high emissivity 766 forest and low emissivity water surfaces. In contrast, the fw accuracy is expected to decrease 767 exponentially under higher VOD levels in situations where standing water is obscured by 768 overlying vegetation cover (Du et al., 2016). The fw signal-to-noise is more sensitive to VOD for 769 higher microwave frequency (e.g. 89 GHz) retrievals relative to lower frequency observations 770 (Du et al., 2016), while the SMAP fw<sub>LBand</sub> results from this study show favorable performance 771 under low to moderate VOD conditions (section 3.5). For open water under dense forests, strong 772 microwave attenuation from the forest canopy may block the detection of underlying water 773 signals from both L-band and higher microwave frequency observations (Fig. 3). These results 774 are also consistent with a recent study for the Amazon basin, which shows SMOS  $T_b$ 775 observations at lower incidence angles (e.g.  $32^{\circ}\pm5^{\circ}$ ) having shorter VOD slant paths that are 776 more suitable to detect open water under dense forest than higher incidence angle observations 777 (e.g. >47°±5°) (Parrens et al., 2017). The MODIS-SRTM (MOD44W) derived fw retrievals 778 indicate similar degradation at higher VOD levels, while satellite optical-IR sensors are expected 779 to have less sensitivity to surface water under sparse to moderate vegetation cover than 780 microwave sensors (Smith, 1997). 781

782

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

Satellite mapping of global surface water inundation at high spatio-temporal resolutions are urgently needed for improving understanding of climate and disturbance related impacts on surface water storage and associated effects on land-atmosphere water, energy and carbon exchange. In this study we present a new approach to estimate and downscale fw from SMAP L-band  $T_b$  observations, incorporating ancillary information from an existing AMSR2 land parameter record and ancillary fine scale (30-m) inundation patterns derived from Landsat historical image archives.

The resulting SMAP 36-km  $f_{WLBand}$  retrievals show strong agreement (R=0.85) with a 790 MODIS-SRTM derived static water map (MOD44W) over the global domain. The fw<sub>LBand</sub> results 791 also capture characteristic patterns and seasonal variations in open water inundation enabled by 792 1-3 day global repeat observations from SMAP. The fwLBand retrievals also reveal anomalous 793 regional inundation extremes consistent with documented ENSO-driven flooding that occurred 794 during the 2015/2016 winter season. Compared to other available global fw records derived from 795 optical-IR and higher-frequency microwave observations, the SMAP fw<sub>LBand</sub> retrievals show 796 enhanced surface water detection by exploiting the greater L-band microwave sensitivity to 797 surface water. While dynamic inundation products derived from optical and radar observations at 798 moderate to high resolution are becoming increasingly available (Brakenridge and Anderson, 799 2006; Nigro et al., 2014; Twele et al., 2016), the SMAP L-band observations provide consistent 800 global coverage and frequent (3-day) sampling needed for more effective monitoring. These 801 capabilities are especially valuable in areas where finer resolution retrievals from optical and 802 radar sensors may be constrained by satellite orbital swath gaps, vegetation and cloud cover, 803 complex terrain, and low solar illumination. 804

805 The estimated 36-km  $fw_{LBand}$  uncertainty contributed by the underlying algorithm is relatively 806 small (within ± 0.82%) over the globe, while the actual  $fw_{LBand}$  accuracy is more strongly

affected by and inversely proportional to overlying vegetation (VOD) cover. However, our 807 results indicate that the SMAP fwLBand retrievals provide enhanced surface water detection and 808 monitoring capabilities in most areas except under dense forest cover (VOD > 0.9). The 809 empirically downscaled 30-m fwLBand results show favorable accuracy in discriminating land 810 (commission error 31.46%, omission error 30.20%) and water (commission error 0.87%, 811 omission error 0.96%) pixels relative to independent surface water classifications from Landsat-8 812 (OLI, TIRS) imagery, suggesting potential SMAP utility for finer landscape level flood risk 813 assessments. 814

The global SMAP fwLBand record and the empirical downscaling approach described in this 815 study provide science data support for a broad range of research and application communities, 816 while providing baseline information for future NASA satellite missions addressing surface 817 water monitoring, including NISAR and SWOT. In particular, the dynamic fwLBand record 818 provides the potential for developing enhanced flood monitoring systems in conjunction with 819 more detailed hydraulic modelling (Bates, 2012). The fw<sub>LBand</sub> retrievals also benefit the SMAP 820 mission by providing a more direct measure of dynamic surface water cover variations that can 821 strongly impact SMAP  $T_b$  and soil moisture observations. 822

- 823
- 824

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SMAP brightness temperature data and land cover classification maps were provided courtesy of the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC), located in Boulder, CO. https://earthdata.nasa.gov/about/daacs/daac-nsidc. River

828	discharge data are available from the U.S. Geological Survey; Landsat-8 OLI and TIRS data are
829	distributed by the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC), located at
830	USGS/EROS, Sioux Falls, SD. http://lpdaac.usgs.gov. The WBD is a coordinated effort between
831	the United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service
832	(USDA-NRCS), the United States Geological Survey (USGS), and the Environmental Protection
833	Agency (EPA). The WBD was created from a variety of sources from each state and aggregated
834	into a standard national layer for use in strategic planning and accountability. The SMOS data
835	were obtained from the "Centre Aval de Traitement des Données SMOS" (CATDS), operated for
836	the "Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales" (CNES, France) by IFREMER (Brest, France). This
837	work was conducted at the University of Montana with funding from NASA (NNX14AI50G,
838	NNX15AB59G). R. Reichle was support by SMAP Science Team funding.
839	
840	REFERENCES
841	AER, 2017. ARC Flood Extent Depiction Algorithm Description Document, AFED Version
842	V03R01, Doc. Rev. R03, AER document P1908-AFM-ADD-V03R01-R03, Atmospheric
843	and Environmental Research (AER), Inc., Lexington, MA, USA.
844	
845	Aires, F., Miolane, L., Prigent, C., Pham, B., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Lehner, B. and Papa, F., 2017.
846	A global dynamic long-term inundation extent dataset at high spatial resolution derived
847	through downscaling of satellite observations. J. Hydrometeorol., 18(5), pp.1305-1325.
848	

849	Aksoy, M., Johnson, J. T., Misra, S., Colliander, A. and O'Dwyer, I., 2016. L-Band
850	Radio-Frequency Interference Observations During the SMAP Validation Experiment
851	2012. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 54(3), pp.1323-1335.
852	
853	Al Bitar, A., Mialon, A., Kerr, Y., Cabot, F., Richaume, P., Jacquette, E., Quesney, A.,
854	Mahmoodi, A., Tarot, S., Parrens, M., Al-yaari, A., Pellarin, T., Rodriguez-Fernandez, N.,
855	and Wigneron, JP., 2017. The Global SMOS Level 3 daily soil moisture and brightness
856	temperature maps, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 9(1), pp.293-315.
857	
858	Alsdorf, D.E., Rodriguez, E. and Lettenmaier, D.P., 2007. Measuring surface water from
859	space. Rev. Geophys., 45(2).
860	
861	Alvarez-Salazar, O., Hatch, S., Rocca, J., Rosen, P., Shaffer, S., Shen, Y., Sweetser, T. and
862	Xaypraseuth, P., 2014, November. Mission design for NISAR repeat-pass Interferometric
863	SAR. Proc. SPIE Sensors Syst. Next-Gener. Satell. Remote Sens. 92410C-92410C.
864	
865	Armstrong, R. L., Brodzik, M. J., 1995. An earth-gridded SSM/I data set for cryospheric studies
866	and global change monitoring. Adv. Space Res., 16, pp. 155–163.
867	
868	Ashcroft, P., Wentz, F., 1999. Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document, AMSR Level 2A
869	Algorithm. Santa Rosa, CA, RSS Tech, Rep.121 599B-1.
870	
871	Bartsch, A., Trofaier, A. M., Hayman, G., Sabel, D., Schlaffer, S., Clark, D. B., & Blyth, E.
872	(2012). Detection of open water dynamics with ENVISAT ASAR in support of land surface
873	modelling at high latitudes. Biogeosciences, 9, pp. 703-714.
874	

Bates, P.D., 2012. Integrating remote sensing data with flood inundation models: how far have
we got?. Hydrol. Process., 26(16), pp. 2515-2521.

877

- Berelson, W. L., Paul A. C., and Jeffrey D. H., 2004. Mapping hydrologic units for the national
  watershed boundary dataset1. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 40, pp. 1231-1246.
- 880
- Blunden J. and Arndt D. S., Eds., 2016, State of the Climate in 2015. Bull. Amer. Meteor.
  Soc., 97(8), Si-S275.

883

Blunden, J. and Arndt D. S., Eds., 2017, State of the Climate in 2016. Bull. Amer. Meteor.
Soc., 98 (8), Si–S277.

886

- Bourgeau-Chavez, L. L., Kasischke, E. S., Brunzell, S. M., Mudd, J. P., Smith, K. B., & Frick, A.
  L., 2001. Analysis of space-borne SAR data for wetland mapping in Virginia riparian
  ecosystems. Int. J. Remote Sens., 22, pp. 3665-3687.
- 890
- Brakenridge G. R. and Anderson E., 2006, MODIS-based flood detection, mapping and
  measurement: the potential for operational hydrological applications. Transboundary floods:
  reducing risks through flood management. NATO Science Series: IV: Earth and
  Environmental Sciences, vol. 72, pp. 1-12.
- 895

Brodzik, M. J. and K. Knowles., 2011. EASE-Grid 2.0 Land Cover Classifications from Boston
University MODIS/Terra Land Cover Data. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA National Snow
and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center.
http://dx.doi:10.5067/XR8523MC24TB.

900

901	Brodzik, M. J., Billingsley, B., Haran, T., Raup, B., Savoie, M. H. (2012). EASE-Grid 2.0:
902	Incremental but Significant Improvements for Earth-Gridded Data Sets. ISPRS Int. J.
903	Geo-Inform., 1, pp. 32-45.
904	
905	Brodzik, M. J., Billingsley, B., Haran, T., Raup, B., Savoie, M. H. (2014). Correction: Brodzik,
906	M. J. et al. EASE-Grid 2.0: Incremental but Significant Improvements for Earth-Gridded
907	Data Sets. ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inform., 3, pp. 1154-1156.
908	
909	Carroll, M., Townshend, J., DiMiceli, C., Noojipady, P., Sohlberg, R. (2009). A New Global
910	Raster Water Mask at 250 Meter Resolution. Int. J. Digital Earth, 2, pp. 291-308.
911	
912	Chan, S. K., Bindlish, R., O'Neill, P.E., Njoku, E., Jackson, T., Colliander, A., Chen, F., Burgin,
913	M., Dunbar, S., Piepmeier, J. and Yueh, S., 2016a. Assessment of the SMAP passive soil
914	moisture product. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 54(8), pp. 4994-5007.
915	
916	
917	Chan, S. K, Njoku, E., Colliander, A. 2016b. SMAP L1C Radiometer Half-Orbit 36 km
918	EASE-Grid Brightness Temperatures. Version 3. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA National
919	Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center.
920	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/E51BSP6V3KP7. [Date accessed January 1, 2017.].
921	
922	Chapman, B., K.C. McDonald, J. Shimada, A. Rosenqvist, R. Schroeder, and L.L. Hess, 2015.
923	Mapping Regional Inundation with Spaceborne L-Band SAR, Remote Sens.,7, pp.
924	5440-5470.
925	
926	Cherkauer, K.A. and Lettenmaier, D.P., 1999. Hydrologic effects of frozen soils in the upper
927	Mississippi River basin. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D16), pp. 19599-19610.

929	Chuang, T.W., Henebry, G.M., Kimball, J.S., VanRoekel-Patton, D.L., Hildreth, M.B. and
930	Wimberly, M.C., 2012. Satellite microwave remote sensing for environmental modeling of
931	mosquito population dynamics. Remote Sens. Environ., 125, pp. 147-156.
932	
933	De Lannoy, G.J., Reichle, R.H. and Pauwels, V.R., 2013. Global calibration of the GEOS-5
934	L-band microwave radiative transfer model over nonfrozen land using SMOS observations. J.
935	Hydrometeorol., 14(3), pp. 765-785.
936	
937	Donchyts, G., Baart, F., Winsemius, H., Gorelick, N., Kwadijk, J. and van de Giesen, N., 2016.
938	Earth's surface water change over the past 30 years. Nat. Clim. Chang., 6(9), pp. 810-813.
939	
940	Du, J., Kimball, J.S., Jones, L.A. and Watts, J.D., 2016. Implementation of satellite based
941	fractional water cover indices in the pan-Arctic region using AMSR-E and MODIS. Remote
942	Sens. Environ., 184, pp. 469-481.
943	
944	Du, J., Kimball, J. S., Jones, L. A., Kim, Y., Glassy, J., and Watts, J. D., 2017. A global satellite
945	environmental data record derived from AMSR-E and AMSR2 microwave earth
946	observations, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-791-2017, 9 (2):791.
947	
948	Emerton, R., Cloke, H.L., Stephens, E.M., Zsoter, E., Woolnough, S.J. and Pappenberger, F.,
949	2017. Complex picture for likelihood of ENSO-driven flood hazard. Nature
950	Communications, 8, 14796, doi: 10.1038/ncomms14796.
951	
952	Entekhabi, D., Njoku, E. G., O'Neill, P. E., Kellogg, K. H., Crow, W. T., Edelstein, W. N., Entin,
953	J. K., Goodman, S. D., Jackson, T. J., Johnson, J. et al. 2010. The Soil Moisture Active and
954	Passive (SMAP) mission. Proc. IEEE, 98, pp. 704–716.

956	Ferraro, R.R., Grody, N.C., Weng, F. and Basist, A., 1996. An eight-year (1987-1994) time
957	series of rainfall, clouds, water vapor, snow cover, and sea ice derived from SSM/I
958	measurements. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 77(5), pp. 891-905.
959	
960	Fernandez-Moran, R., Al-Yaari, A., Mialon, A., Mahmoodi, A., Al Bitar, A., De Lannoy, G.,
961	Rodriguez-Fernandez, N., Lopez-Baeza, E., Kerr, Y. and Wigneron, J.P., 2017. SMOS-IC:
962	An alternative SMOS soil moisture and vegetation optical depth product. Remote Sens., 9(5),
963	457, doi:10.3390/rs9050457.
964	
965	Fluet-Chouinard, E., Lehner, B., Rebelo, L.M., Papa, F., Hamilton, S.K., 2015. Development of
966	a global inundation map at high spatial resolution from topographic downscaling of
967	coarse-scale remote sensing data. Remote Sens. Environ., 158, pp. 348-361.
968	
969	Friedl, M. A., McIver, D. K., Hodges, J. C., Zhang, X. Y., Muchoney, D., Strahler, A. H., et al.,
970	2002. Global land cover mapping from MODIS: algorithms and early results. Remote Sens.
971	Environ., 83(1-2), pp. 287-302.
972	
973	
974	Fu, L.L., Alsdorf, D., Rodriguez, E., Morrow, R., Mognard, N., Lambin, J., Vaze, P. and Lafon,
975	T., 2009, March. The SWOT (Surface Water and Ocean Topography) mission: spaceborne
976	radar interferometry for oceanographic and hydrological applications. In OCEANOBS'09
977	Conference.
978	
979	Fu, L.L. and Ubelmann, C., 2014. On the transition from profile altimeter to swath altimeter for
980	observing global ocean surface topography. J. Atmos. Ocean Tech., 31(2), pp. 560-568.
981	

982	Galantowicz, J. 2002. High-resolution flood mapping from low-resolution passive microwave
983	satellite observations. Proc. IGARSS, Toronto, ON, Canada, pp. 1499–1502.
984	
985	Georgakakos, A.P., Yao, H., Mullusky, M.G. and Georgakakos, K.P., 1998. Impacts of climate
986	variability on the operational forecast and management of the upper Des Moines River
987	basin. Water Resour. Res., 34(4), pp. 799-821.
988	
989	Graf, W.L., 1999. Dam nation: A geographic census of American dams and their large - scale
990	hydrologic impacts. Water Resour. Res., 35(4), pp. 1305-1311.
991	
992	Grant, J.P., Wigneron, J.P., De Jeu, R.A.M., Lawrence, H., Mialon, A., Richaume, P., Al Bitar,
993	A., Drusch, M., van Marle, M.J.E. and Kerr, Y., 2016. Comparison of SMOS and AMSR-E
994	vegetation optical depth to four MODIS-based vegetation indices. Remote Sens. Environ.,
995	172, pp. 87-100.
996	
997	Holmes, T.R., Jackson, T.J., Reichle, R.H. and Basara, J.B., 2012. An assessment of surface soil
998	temperature products from numerical weather prediction models using ground-based
999	measurements. Water Resour. Res., 48, W02531, doi:10.1029/2011WR010538.
1000	
1001	Holmes Jr, R. R., Watson, K. M., & Harris, T. E., 2016. Preliminary peak stage and streamflow
1002	data at selected US Geological Survey streamgages for flooding in the central and
1003	southeastern United States during December 2015 and January 2016, No. 2016-1092, pp.
1004	1-28. US Geological Survey.
1005	
1006	Huang, S., Tsang, L., Njoku, E.G. and Chan, K.S., 2010. Backscattering coefficients, coherent
1007	reflectivities, and emissivities of randomly rough soil surfaces at L-band for SMAP

1008	applications based on numerical solutions of Maxwell equations in three-dimensional
1009	simulations. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 48(6), pp. 2557-2568.
1010	
1011	Imaoka, K., Maeda, T., Kachi, M., Kasahara, M., Ito, N., Nakagawa, K., 2012. Status of AMSR2
1012	instrument on GCOM-W1. Proc. SPIE Asia-Pacific Remote Sens., 852815.
1013	
1014	Jackson, T. J., Schmugge, T., 1991. Vegetation effects on the microwave emission of soils.
1015	Remote Sens. Environ., 36, pp. 203–212.
1016	
1017	Jones, L. A., Ferguson, C. R., Kimball, J. S., Zhang, K., Chan, S. T. K., McDonald, K. C., Njoku,
1018	E. G., Wood, E. F., 2010. Satellite microwave remote sensing of daily land surface air
1019	temperature minima and maxima from AMSR-E. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote
1020	Sens., 3, pp. 111–123.
1021	
1022	Jones, M. O., Kimball, J. S., & Jones, L. A., 2013. Satellite microwave detection of boreal forest
1023	recovery from the extreme 2004 wildfires in Alaska and Canada. Global Change
1024	Biol., 19(10), pp. 3111-3122.
1025	
1026	Kawanishi, T.J., Sezai, T., Ito, Y., Imaoka, K., Takeshima, T., Ishido, Y., Shibata, A., Miura, M.,
1027	Inahata, H., Spencer, R.W., 2003. The Advanced Scanning Microwave Radiometer for the
1028	Earth Observing System (AMSR-E): NASDA's contribution to the EOS for global energy
1029	and water cycle studies. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 41, pp. 184-94.
1030	

1031	Kerr, Y.H., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J. P., Martinuzzi, J. A. M. J., Font, J. and Berger, M.,
1032	2001. Soil moisture retrieval from space: The Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS)
1033	mission. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 39(8), pp. 1729-1735.
1034	
1035	Kerr, Y., Waldteufel, P., Richaume, P., Wigneron, J. P., Ferrazzoli, P., Mahmoodi, A., Al Bitar,
1036	A., Cabot, F., Gruhier, C., Juglea, S.E. et al., 2012. The SMOS Soil Moisture Retrieval
1037	Algorithm. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 50, pp. 1384–1403.
1038	
1039	Kim, Y., Kimball, J.S., Zhang, K. and McDonald, K.C., 2012. Satellite detection of increasing
1040	Northern Hemisphere non-frozen seasons from 1979 to 2008: Implications for regional
1041	vegetation growth. Remote Sens. Environ., 121, pp. 472-487.
1042	
1043	Kim, S. B., Oullette, J., van Zyl, J. J., and Johnson, J. T., 2016. Dual-copolarized approach to
1044	detect surface water extent using L-land radar for the Soil Moisture Active Passive Mission,
1045	IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 54, 3388-3399, 10.1109/TGRS.2016.2517010.
1046	
1047	King, S. L., & Keeland, B. D., 1999. Evaluation of reforestation in the lower Mississippi River
1048	alluvial valley. Restoration Ecology, 7(4), pp. 348-359.

1050	Klein, A.G. and Barnett, A.C., 2003. Validation of daily MODIS snow cover maps of the Upper
1051	Rio Grande River Basin for the 2000-2001 snow year. Remote Sens. Environ., 86(2),
1052	pp.162-176.
1053	
1054	Komi, K., Neal, J., Trigg, M.A. and Diekkrüger, B., 2017. Modelling of flood hazard extent in
1055	data sparse areas: a case study of the Oti River basin, West Africa. Journal of Hydrology:
1056	Regional Studies, 10, pp.122-132.
1057	
1058	Kurum, M., 2013. Quantifying scattering albedo in microwave emission of vegetated terrain.
1059	Remote Sens. Environ., 129, pp. 66–74.
1060	
1061	Landerer, F. W., Dickey, J. O., Güntner, A., 2010. Terrestrial water budget of the Eurasian
1062	pan-Arctic from GRACE satellite measurements during 2003 - 2009. J. Geophys. Res.
1063	Atmos., 115, D23115.
1064	
1065	Lin, Z., Niu, F., Liu, H., Lu, J., 2011. Hydrothermal processes of alpine tundra lakes, Beiluhe
1066	basin, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Cold Reg. Sci. Tech., 65, pp. 446-455.
1067	
1068	Lucchesi, R., 2013. File Specification for GEOS-5 FP, NASA GMAO Office Note, No. 4
1069	(Version 1.0), National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center,
1070	Greenbelt, Maryland, USA. Available online at http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs.
1071	
1072	McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Peterson, B. J., Stieglitz, M., 2004. Increasing river discharge
1073	in the Eurasian Arctic: Consideration of dams, permafrost thaw, and fires as potential agents
1074	of change. J. Geophys, Res., 109, D18102.
	55

1076	Melton, J. R., Wania, R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., Bohn, T., Avis,
1077	C.A., Beerling, D.J., Chen, G. and Eliseev, A.V., 2013. Present state of global wetland extent
1078	and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model intercomparison project
1079	(WETCHIMP). Biogeosciences, 10, pp.753-788.
1080	
1081	Mo, T., Choudhury, B. J., Schmugge, T. J., Wang, R., Jackson, T. J., 1982. A model for
1082	microwave emission from vegetation-covered fields. J. Geophys. Res., 87, C13, pp. 1229-
1083	1237.
1084	
1085	Nigro, J., Slayback, D., Policelli, F., & Brakenridge, G. R., 2014. NASA/DFO MODIS near
1086	real-time (NRT) global flood mapping product evaluation of flood and permanent water
1087	detection. Evaluation, Greenbelt, MD.
1088	
1089	Njoku, E. G., Ashcroft, P., Chan, T. K. and Li, L., 2005. Global survey and statistics of
1090	radio-frequency interference in AMSR-E land observations. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote
1091	Sens., 43(5), pp.938-947.
1092	
1093	O'Neill, P., Chan, S., Njoku, E., Jackson, T. and Bindlish, R., 2012. Soil Moisture Active
1094	Passive (SMAP) Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD). SMAP Level 2 & 3 Soil
1095	Moisture (Passive),(L2_SM_P, L3_SM_P). Initial Release, 1.
1096	
1097	O'Neill, P. E., Chan, S., Njoku, E. G., Jackson, T., and Bindlish, R., 2016. SMAP L3 Radiometer
1098	Global Daily 36 km EASE-Grid Soil Moisture, Version 4. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA
1099	National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center.
1100	doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/OBBHQ5W22HME. [01/01/2017].

1102	Papa, F., Prigent, C., Rossow, W. B., 2008. Monitoring flood and discharge variations in the
1103	large Siberian rivers from a multi-satellite technique. Surv. Geophys., 29, pp. 297–317.
1104	

- Parrens, M., Al Bitar, A., Frappart, F., Papa, F., Calmant, S., Crétaux, J.F., Wigneron, J.P. and
  Kerr, Y., 2017. Mapping Dynamic Water Fraction under the Tropical Rain Forests of the
  Amazonian Basin from SMOS Brightness Temperatures. Water, 9(5), p.350,
  doi:10.3390/w9050350.
- 1109
- Pekel, J. F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. and Belward, A.S., 2016. High-resolution mapping of
  global surface water and its long-term changes. Nature, 540, pp. 418-422,
  doi:10.1038/nature20584.
- 1113
- Pham-Duc, B., Prigent, C., Aires, F. and Papa, F., 2017. Comparisons of Global Terrestrial
  Surface Water Datasets over 15 Years. J. Hydrometeorol., 18(4), pp.993-1007.
- 1116
- Piepmeier, J. R., Mohammed, P. N., Peng, J., Kim, E., De Amici, G., and Ruf, C., 2016. SMAP
  L1B Radiometer Half-Orbit Time-Ordered Brightness Temperatures. Version 3. [Indicate
  subset used]. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center
  Distributed Active Archive Center. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/YV5VOWY5V446.
- 1121
- Piepmeier, J. R., and Coauthors, 2017. SMAP L-Band Microwave Radiometer: Instrument
  Design and First Year on Orbit. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 55, 1954-1966,
  doi:10.1109/TGRS.2016.2631978.
- 1125
- Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Rossow, W. B. & Matthews, E., 2007. Global inundation
  dynamics inferred from multiple satellite observations, 1993-2000. J. Geophys. Res., 112,
  D12107.

- Prigent, C., Lettenmaier, D.P., Aires, F. and Papa, F., 2016. Toward a high-resolution
  monitoring of continental surface water extent and dynamics, at global scale: from GIEMS
  (Global Inundation Extent from Multi-Satellites) to SWOT (Surface Water Ocean
  Topography). Surv. Geophys., 37, 2, pp. 339-355.
- Sánchez-Carrillo, S., Angeler, D.G., Sánchez-Andrés, R., Alvarez-Cobelas, M. and
  Garatuza-Payán, J., 2004. Evapotranspiration in semi-arid wetlands: relationships between
  inundation and the macrophyte-cover: open-water ratio. Adv. Water Resour., 27(6),
  pp.643-655.

1139

Schroeder, R., McDonald, K.C., Chapman, B., Jensen, K., Podest, E., Tessler, Z., Bohn, T.J. and
Zimmerman, R., 2014. Development and evaluation of a multi-year inundated land surface
data set derived from active/passive microwave remote sensing data. Remote Sens, 7,
pp.16668-16732.

1144

Seaber, P.R., Kapinos, F.P. and Knapp, G.L., 1987. Hydrologic unit maps. Washington (DC):
US Government Printing Office.

1147

Singer, M.B., 2007. The influence of major dams on hydrology through the drainage network of
the Sacramento River basin, California. River Res. Appl., 23(1), pp.55-72.

1151	Smith, L.C., 1997. Satellite remote sensing of river inundation area, stage, and discharge: A
1152	review. Hydrol. Process., 11(10), pp.1427-1439.
1153	
1154	Song, C., Huang, B., Ke, L., & Richards, K. S. ,2014. Remote sensing of alpine lake water
1155	environment changes on the Tibetan Plateau and surroundings: A review. ISPRS Journal of
1156	Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 92, 26-37.
1157	
1158	Sun, D., Yu, Y., Goldberg, M. D., 2011. Deriving water fraction and flood maps from MODIS
1159	images using a decision tree approach. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.,
1160	4(4), pp. 814-825.
1161	
1162	Twele, A., Cao, W., Plank, S. and Martinis, S., 2016. Sentinel-1-based flood mapping: a fully
1163	automated processing chain. Int. J. Remote Sens., 37(13), pp.2990-3004.
1164	
1165	Ulaby, F.T., Long, D.G., Blackwell, W.J., Elachi, C., Fung, A.K., Ruf, C., Sarabandi, K., Zebker,
1166	H.A. and Van Zyl, J., 2014. Microwave radar and radiometric remote sensing. Ann Arbor:
1167	University of Michigan Press.
1168	
1169	U.S. Geological Survey, 2001, National Water Information System data available on the World
1170	Wide Web (Water Data for the Nation), accessed [April 12, 2017], at URL
1171	[http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/].
	59

1173	Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D. A., Tranvik, L. J., 2014. A global inventory of lakes based
1174	on high-resolution satellite imagery. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(8), pp. 6393-6402.
1175	
1176	Watts, J. D., Kimball, J. S., Jones, L. A., Schroeder, R., & McDonald, K. C., 2012. Satellite
1177	Microwave remote sensing of contrasting surface water inundation changes within the
1178	Arctic-Boreal Region. Remote Sens. Environ., 127, pp. 223-236.
1179	
1180	Watts, J. D., Kimball, J. S., Bartsch, A., McDonald, K. D., 2014. Surface water inundation in the
1181	boreal-Arctic: potential impacts on regional methane emissions. Environ. Res. Lett., 9,
1182	075001.
1183	
1184	WBD, Watershed Boundary Dataset, 2004, (http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov) [Accessed
1185	01/03/2017].
1186	
1187	Wigneron, J. P., Kerr, Y., Waldteufel, P., Saleh, K., Escorihuela, M. J., Richaume, P., Ferrazzoli,
1188	P., De Rosnay, P., Gurney, R., Calvet, J.C. and Grant, J. P., 2007. L-band microwave
1189	emission of the biosphere (L-MEB) model: Description and calibration against experimental
1190	data sets over crop fields. Remote Sens. Environ., 107(4), pp.639-655.
1191	

1192	White, P. S., Wilds, S. P. and Thunhorst, G. A., 1998. Regional Trends to Biological
1193	Resources-Southeast, in: Mac, M. J., Opler, P. A., Haecker, C. P., & Doran, P. D. (Eds.)
1194	Status and Trends of the Nation's Biological Resources, United States Department of the
1195	Interior, United States Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, pp.255-314.
1196	
1197	Wu, G. and Liu, Y., 2015. Downscaling surface water inundation from coarse data to fine-scale
1198	resolution: Methodology and accuracy assessment. Remote Sens., 7(12), pp.15989-16003.
1199	
1200	Yamazaki, D., Kanae, S., Kim, H., & Oki, T., 2011. A physically based description of floodplain
1201	inundation dynamics in a global river routing model. Water Resour. Res., 47(4), W04501,
1202	doi:10.1029/2010WR009726.
1203	
1204	Ye, N., Walker, J. P., Guerschman, J., Ryu, D., & Gurney, R. J., 2015. Standing water effect on
1205	soil moisture retrieval from L-band passive microwave observations. Remote Sens. Environ.,
1206	169, pp. 232-242.
1207	
1208	Zhu, Z. and Woodcock, C. E., 2014. Continuous change detection and classification of land
1209	cover using all available Landsat data. Remote Sens. Environ., 144, pp.152-171.

1211	Zhu, Z., Wang, S. and Woodcock, C. E., 2015. Improvement and expansion of the Fmask
1212	algorithm: cloud, cloud shadow, and snow detection for Landsats 4-7, 8, and Sentinel 2
1213	images. Remote Sens. Environ., 159, pp. 269-277.
1214	
1215	
1216	
1217	
1218	
1219	
1220	
1221	
1222	
1223	
1224	
1225	
1226	
1227	
1228	
1229	
1230	
1231	

1233

#### **Figure Captions**

**Fig.1** Location of six river basins and three regions used in the evaluation of SMAP L-band fractional water inundation ( $fw_{LBand}$ ) dynamics and  $fw_{LBand}$  downscaled results at 30-m resolution, respectively. The river basins include the Sacramento (dark purple), Des Moines (light purple), Cumberland (dark blue), Rio Grande (light blue), Minnesota (dark green) and Apalachicola (light green) basins, with river discharge stations indicated by red star symbols. The three regions (red rectangles) are defined by individual Landsat-8 image scenes, while a smaller ( $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ ) area (blue dot) was used to highlight finer inundation details in region 3.

1240

Fig. 2. Comparison of global fractional water products derived from: (a) SMAP L-band retrievals ( $f_{wLBand}$ ), (b) AMSR2 K-band retrievals ( $f_{wKBand}$ ), and (c) MOD44W surface water map. The SMAP  $f_{wLBand}$  and AMSR2  $f_{wKBand}$  results represent June 2015 to May 2016 time averages, while the SMAP  $f_{wLBand}$  seasonal variation (SD) is also shown (d). The SMAP  $f_{wLBand}$  data are in a 36 km global EASE-Grid (v2) format, while the  $f_{wKBand}$  and MOD44W products were spatially aggregated from their respective 25-km and 250-m native resolutions to the same 36 km EASE (v2) grid as the  $f_{wLBand}$  results.

1246

**Fig.3** Comparisons of annual mean (June 2015 to May 2016) global water inundation areas derived from MOD44W, AMSR2 *fw<sub>KBand</sub>*, and SMAP *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* records plotted against the global mean annual gradient in L-band vegetation optical depth (*VOD*) from SMOS (a). The SMOS *VOD* annual averages (b) were processed from the daily *VOD* record included in the official SMOS Level 3 soil moisture product. The *VOD* retrievals exclude ocean (blue), permanent snow and ice (white) and desert regions (dark grey). The MODIS IGBP global land cover map (c) is presented including regions with *VOD*  $\ge$  0.9 (hatch patterns) where there the SMAP *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* retrievals are degraded by dense vegetation and show no meaningful difference from the other surface water products. All products were converted to the same 36 km EASE-Grid (v2) format consistent with the *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* and *VOD* results.

1254

Fig.4 Monthly mean river discharge (Q,  $m^3/s$ ) and corresponding inundation areas ( $km^2$ ) derived from SMAP 36 km *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* monthly averages for the Apalachicola (a), Cumberland (b), Des Moines (c), Minnesota (d), Rio Grande (e), and Sacramento (f) river basins over the June 2015 to December 2016 record. Temporal gaps in the time series denote either missing Q observations or frozen conditions when no *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* retrievals were made.

1259

Fig.5 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of surface water (blue) and land (white)
 pixels for region 1 (Alaska) on Aug. 04, 2015 and Sep. 05, 2015, representing relatively dry and wet conditions. Cloud pixels in
 the Landsat results are marked by grey shading. SMAP classifications were based on 30-m results downscaled from the 36-km
 *fwLBand* record using the climatological Landsat-based Water Occurrence Dataset. Landsat-8 classifications were derived using the
 Fmask algorithm (Zhu et al., 2015).

1265

1266 Fig.6 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) and land (white) pixels for

region 2 (western Missouri) on Oct. 01, 2015 and Dec. 04, 2015, representing relatively dry and wet conditions. Cloud pixels in

- 1268 the Landsat results are marked by grey shading.
- 1269

- Fig.7 SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) and land (white) pixels for
   region 3 (lower Mississippi River Valley) on Jul. 24, 2015 and Jan. 16, 2016, representing relatively dry and wet conditions.
- 1272 Cloud pixels in the Landsat results are marked by grey shading.
- 1273
- **Fig.8** SMAP downscaled results (a, c) and Landsat-8 (OLI, TIRS) (b, d) classifications of water (blue) pixels overlaid on Google Earth images (Google imagery date 12/07/2014) over a selected focus area  $(0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ} \text{ rectangle centered at } -91.55^{\circ}, 31.27^{\circ})$
- 1276 within region 3 and representing respective seasonal dry and wet conditions for Jul. 24, 2015 and Jan. 16, 2016.
- 1277
- Fig. 9 Inundation dynamics derived from SMAP downscaled *fw* retrievals, the MODIS near real-time global flood mapping
   product, Landsat 7/ETM+ and Landsat 8/OLI water and land classifications over the lower Mississippi River Valley sub-region
   from June 2015 to May 2016, which encompasses a documented rainfall-driven extreme winter flood event.
- 1281
- 1282 Fig. S1 Location of Amazon river basin, with river discharge station indicated by red star symbol.
- 1283
- 1284 **Fig.S2** Monthly mean river discharge (Q, m3/s) and corresponding inundation areas (km2) derived from SMAP 36 km *fw*<sub>LBand</sub>
- 1285 monthly averages for the Amazon river basin.
- 1286
- 1287

2

3

#### Supplementary of

Assessing global surface water inundation dynamics using combined satellite information

from SMAP, AMSR2 and Landsat

# 4 5 1. Comparisons of *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* and MOD44W for different latitude zones 6 Inundation areas derived from SMAP *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* and MOD44W data were compared for five 7 latitude zones. The comparisons were based on *fw<sub>LBand</sub>* monthly composites from June 2015 to 8 May 2016, and with both SMAP and MOD44W data projected in the same 36-km EASE-Grid v2 9 format. We excluded grid cells dominated by large water bodies (coverage ≥ 50%) to mitigate 10 coastal contamination (Schroeder et al., 2015); we also excluded grid cells dominated by 11 permanent snow/ice cover, identified by a MODIS IGBP land cover classification. Both monthly

maximum SMAP  $fw_{LBand}$  and MOD44W results show that the largest inundation areas are spatially distributed in tropical and Northern high latitude regions, while the SMAP results generally detect greater inundation than the MOD44W results (Table S1).

15 **Table S1** 

_	Latitude Zone	SMAP	MOD44W water		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average	extent (MKM <sup>2</sup> )
	90°S-90°N	4.61	7.15	6.16	4.04
	50°N-90°N	0.24	2.17	1.25	1.95

Inundation areas estimated by SMAP monthly  $fw_{LBand}$  composites and MOD44W over the global domain and five major latitudinal zones, excluding 36-km grid cells with open water or permanent snow/ice coverage  $\geq$ 50%.

30°N-50°N	1.19	2.13	1.57	0.70
30°S-30°N	2.51	3.22	2.83	1.18
30°S-50°S	0.32	0.62	0.45	0.17
50°S-90°S	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04

#### 21 2. Comparisons Between SMAP *fw*<sub>LBand</sub> and River Discharge Data for Amazon river basin

22 The Amazon basin (Fig. S1) is one of the most important and largest river basins, where the Amazon river and its tributaries carry water through the world largest tropical rain forest. We 23 analyzed the performance of the SMAP fwLBand retrievals over the Amazon basin by comparing 24 25 SMAP derived inundation areas against monthly mean discharge measured at Obidos, Brazil located near the mouth of Amazon river. The discharge data were provided by the Observation 26 Service for the Geodynamical, Hydrological and Biogeochemical Control of Erosion/Alteration 27 and Material Transport in the Amazon, Orinoco, and Congo basins (SO HYBAM) 28 (http://www.ore-hybam.org/). Strong correlation (R=0.72) was found between the monthly 29 fw<sub>LBand</sub> inundation dynamics and observed river discharge data (Fig.2S). The fw<sub>LBand</sub> 30 correspondence was further enhanced (R=0.95) after introducing a one-month lag between 31 surface inundation and downstream river discharge to account for the delayed movement of 32 water from the uplands to the basin outlet. The relatively strong correlation occurs despite 67.2% 33 of the basin having VOD levels above the expected threshold for reliable SMAP L-band fw 34 retrievals (e.g. Fig. 3). However, the favourable correspondence is consistent with a prior 35 regional study of fw dynamics in Amazon rainforests using similar L-band  $T_b$  retrievals from 36 37 SMOS (Parrens et al., 2017).





Fig.S1 Location of Amazon river basin, with river discharge station indicated by red star symbol.

40



42 Fig.S2 Monthly mean river discharge (Q, m<sup>3</sup>/s) and corresponding inundation areas (km<sup>2</sup>) derived from SMAP 36 km *fwLBand* 



monthly averages for the Amazon river basin.