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ABSTRACT

To assess the prevalence and severity of sorghum diseases in western Kenya, a 2-year survey was conducted (July 1995 and 1996), in 91 and 109 farmers’ fields, respectively. Fields were generally <0.5 ha and production environment ranged from warm-humid to warm-semi-arid. Fourteen foliar and six panicle diseases were observed, with limited variation in disease prevalence and severity between the 2 years. The most common foliar diseases observed were (in decreasing order of prevalence) oval leaf spot (Ramulispora sorghicola), rust (Puccinia purpurea), ladder leaf spot (Cercospora fusiclum), zonate leaf spot (Gloeocercospora sorghi), gray leaf spot (Cercospora sorghi), leaf blight (Eixerohilium turcicum), and anthracnose (Colletotrichum sublineatum); with prevalence ranging from 95 to 97% of fields for oval leaf spot, and 44 to 65% of fields for anthracnose. Head smut (Sporisorium reilianum), was observed in 73 to 75% of fields, covered kernel smut (S. sorghi) 42 to 43% of fields, and loose smut (S. cruenta) 14 to 24% of fields. Head smut incidence was >25% in 3% of fields surveyed. Grain yield reduction from smut diseases alone was estimated to be 5%. Out of eight probability distribution functions compared, the double Gaussian model best described the frequency of disease severity levels for most diseases. Based on the best-fitting model, the proportion of fields with disease severity level thought to cause yield loss (severity rating >5 on a 1 to 9 scale, where 1 = no disease) was calculated as 26.6% for oval leaf spot, 15.3% for rust, 14.8% for anthracnose, 4.8% for ladder leaf spot, and 1.5% for leaf blight. The production environment influenced the prevalence of disease severity. Severe anthracnose, leaf blight, and ladder leaf spot were confined to fields in the humid LM1 and LM2 agro-ecological zones, rust was ubiquitous, and severe gray leaf spot was more prevalent in the dryer LM4 zone.
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Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is ranked second to fifth most important cereal crop in eastern Africa (8,6). However, grain yields currently estimated at 925 kg ha⁻¹ (6) are low relative to those in other parts of the world in spite of attainable yields >5,000 kg ha⁻¹ being commonly reported from experimental plots in this region (ICRISAT, unpublished reports). The causes of low sorghum yields are complex and include losses due to disease and insect pests (7,8,16,18). Most fungal pathogens reported on sorghum worldwide occur in eastern Africa, and often infect susceptible cultivars concomitantly (3,7,8,12,16). However, there is a lack of quantitative information on disease prevalence and on the level of disease severity in the production regions of eastern Africa.

Preliminary studies which listed the constraints to sorghum production in eastern Africa (3,7) and subsequent reviews on sorghum diseases in the region (5,8,12,16) do not provide quantitative values of disease prevalence or severity, nor have any studies hitherto examined the relationship between disease severity and the agroecological location of the fields. Such knowledge gaps have hindered efforts to assess the true economic importance of diseases on sorghum production in eastern Africa. Indeed, the few reports of estimated yield losses from diseases in eastern Africa are based on visual estimates of individual diseases in spite of the fact that more than one disease is commonly observed in sorghum fields. Wallace and Wallace (24) for example, visually estimated disease incidence and hence yield loss from downy mildew in the former Tanganyika to be as high as 30% in some fields, and the overall yield loss from foliar diseases to be approximately 5%. However, these estimates were based solely on fields with the highest level of disease rather than a mean incidence for many fields, and provided no data on the prevalence of severely infected fields within the region. Although incidence of downy mildew may exceed 30% in some fields in eastern Africa, such high levels of the disease are uncommon (3).

The incidence of sorghum diseases may vary considerably with geographical location. For example, a planting of an introduced sorghum cultivar in the lake region of Tanzania developed a high incidence of covered smut (Sporisorium reilianum) in the heavy montmorillonitic clays of the valley, but very little disease developed on a planting of the same cultivar in the coarse sands in the hills (3). The influence of agro-ecological zones on severity of foliar diseases has also been suggested in Ethiopia (9) and western Africa (23), while severe systemic infection of maize by sorghum downy mildew (Peronosclerospora sorghi) in Nigeria was reported to be confined to the southern humid zone (1). Over 70% of the sorghum in Kenya is produced in the Lake Victoria basin (17,25), an area characterized by diverse climate, physical geography, edaphic factors, and farming practices (10). These factors probably influence the distribution and severity of sorghum diseases, but detailed information on these effects is lacking.

Because most models relating yield to disease incorporate information on disease incidence and severity, obtaining these data is a prerequisite for developing a reliable quantitative assessment of the economic impact of diseases (11,13,21,22). Such information can be obtained on a regional scale through disease surveys (21,25). Surveys can also reveal the effectiveness and desirability of existing or recommended disease management practices as well as factors that farmers may consider in adopting new technologies such as improved cultivars. Initial reports on factors limiting sorghum production concluded that disease constraints were not important enough to warrant studies on individual diseases (3,7). However, in a departure from this view, Hulla, and Esele (8) and Esele (5) identified specific diseases as requiring research in sorghum improvement programs in eastern Africa. An accurate assessment of relative importance of sorghum diseases in Kenya is needed to help target research priorities and justify resource use. The aim of the sorghum dis-
ease surveys reported here, therefore, was to determine the prevalence, incidence, severity, and distribution of sorghum diseases in western Kenya, the major sorghum production area of Kenya.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey area. The area covered in the surveys lies between 34° and 35° east longitude and 2° on either side of the Equator (Fig. 1). A circular route covering two provinces (nine districts) was followed in July 1995 and again in July 1996. The surveys were timed to coincide with crop maturity; the sorghum crop was between milk stage and hard dough stage in all fields sampled. The survey transect was approximately 1,000 km long each year and covered fields in seven different agro-ecological zones, each with further subdivisions based on altitude, mean annual rainfall, temperature, and evapotranspiration (2, 10). The classification of Jaetzold and Schmidt (10), which is adopted for the purpose of this study, also includes the probability of annual rainfall in a given zone exceeding a certain amount in 6 of 10 years, as well as that of successfully growing the main crops of that zone.

Table 1. A summary description of the agro-ecological zones covered in the 1995 and 1996 sorghum disease surveys based on the classification of Jaetzold and Schmidt (10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>General description</th>
<th>R/PET* (%)</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Mean temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean annual rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>60% rainfall reliabilityb (MM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM1</td>
<td>Warm-humid</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>1,300-1,500</td>
<td>20.5-21.7</td>
<td>1,600-1,800</td>
<td>900-1,000 (500-700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2</td>
<td>Warm-humid</td>
<td>65-80</td>
<td>1,300-1,500</td>
<td>20.5-21.7</td>
<td>1,300-1,700</td>
<td>700-950 (400-650)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM3</td>
<td>Warm-humid</td>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>1,140-1,450</td>
<td>20.8-22.7</td>
<td>900-1,500</td>
<td>500-900 (230-450)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM4</td>
<td>Warm-transitional</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>1,140-1,350</td>
<td>21.4-22.7</td>
<td>800-900</td>
<td>350-500 (150-200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM5</td>
<td>Semi-arid</td>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>1,135-1,300</td>
<td>21.7-22.7</td>
<td>700-900</td>
<td>250-500 (50-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM1</td>
<td>Temperate-humid</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>1,500-1,700</td>
<td>19.9-20.5</td>
<td>1,600-1,800</td>
<td>800-1,000 (500-700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM2/3</td>
<td>Temperate-sub/semi-humid</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>1,450-1,700</td>
<td>19.3-21.1</td>
<td>1,400-1,600</td>
<td>800-900 (450-500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R/PET = rainfall as a proportion of potential evapotranspiration; a measure of moisture availability.

b Amount of rainfall exceeded in 6 of 10 years in the long rains and short rains (values in italics) rainfall seasons.

For foliar diseases, severity was assessed on a 1 to 9 scale where 1 = no disease, 2 = disease affecting 1 to 4% area of top 5 leaves, 3 = 5 to 9%, 4 = 10 to 19%, 5 = 20 to 29%, 6 = 30 to 44%, 7 = 45 to 59%, 8 = 60 to 75%, and 9 = >75% of leaf area affected. The mean rating for 50 plants was calculated for each field. For panicle diseases (smuts and ergot) and systemic downy mildew (P. sorghi) diseases, incidence data were based on a sample of 100 plants per field. Plants infected with head smut (S. reilianum), systemic downy mildew (P. sorghi), and loose smut (S. cruenta) produce no grain. Plants infected with covered smut (S. sorghi) usually produce some grain, so the proportion of panicle area replaced by smut sori was estimated to determine the contribution of this disease to yield losses from smut diseases. Sorghum cultivars were classified either as “local landrace” or “improved”, and whenever the farmer was available, effort was made to obtain the cultivar name. Additional information was collected on soil type, cropping system and arrangement pattern, altitude, slope, and crop growth stage.

Data analysis. For each disease, prevalence and incidence or severity data were summarized. The number of fields within each severity category was expressed as a percentage of the total number of fields surveyed to obtain severity frequency distributions. Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample tests (GENSTAT 5, Rothamstead Experimental Station, Harpenden, UK), and analysis of deviance (ANODEV) (15) were used to determine whether the proportion of fields within each category of disease severity differed between the 2 years of the survey. In the absence of significant differences between years in the distribution of disease severity levels, data for the 2 years were pooled for further analysis. The beta-type II, gamma, log-normal, negative binomial, normal, Weibull, double-Gaussian, and Pólya-Aeppli probability distributions were fitted to the data for each disease and assessed for their suitability to describe the data. These distributions have been used to analyze both biotic and abiotic data and cover a wide range of shapes (11, 14). Criteria for goodness of fit included residual deviance (15), plots of observed and fitted values, and plots of residual values against fitted values. A distribution was accepted as having a good fit to the data for a particular disease if the residual deviance had a $\chi^2$ probability >0.05. The proportion of fields with an arbitrary selected severity threshold level (i.e., number of fields with a disease severity score >5 at which level disease is thought to significantly affect yield) was computed for each disease based on the three models with the best fit. All statistical computing was done using GENSTAT 5 Release 3.2 (Rothamstead Experimental Station).

In order to assess the relationship between agro-ecological zones and disease severity, curves illustrating the frequency of fields with a given disease severity rating in each zone were constructed for diseases with a prevalence >50% of fields sampled. However, owing to the highly skewed distribution of the number of fields within the nine disease severity classes, it was necessary to reduce the number of severity categories. Disease severity categories were thereby reduced to three by combining fields with mean ratings of two and three to represent fields with low disease severity, mean ratings of four
and five as moderate severity, and mean severity ratings >6 as severe disease levels. The proportion of fields in each disease severity category in each of the agro-ecological zones was then computed as a percentage of the total number of fields in which the particular disease was observed. Agro-ecological zones represented by fewer than five fields were not included in this analysis.

RESULTS

General observations. Subsistence farmers grew all of the sorghum surveyed under low-input cropping systems. Most of the fields surveyed were <0.5 ha. Conditions of the fields varied from well-maintained to very poorly maintained to near-abandoned, with a uniform distribution of these conditions across the fields surveyed in both years. The agronomic condition of the crops also equally varied but in most cases was poor. It was difficult to determine, however, whether this reflected poor crop management or limitations in edaphic factors. Seventy-nine and 77% of the fields sampled in 1995 and 1996, respectively, were planted to local landraces. Of the farmers interviewed, nearly all identified the parasitic weed *Striga hermonthica* as a serious problem. Farmers were able to identify smuts as diseases, but did not recognize foliar diseases and in a few cases mistakenly associated severe leaf disease symptoms with natural signs of crop maturity.

Disease prevalence. The most commonly observed foliar fungal diseases in decreasing order of prevalence were oval leaf spot (*Ramulispora sorgicola*), rust (*Puccinia purpurea*), ladder leaf spot (*Cercospora fusimaculans*), zone leaf spot (*Gloeocerospora sorghi*), gray leaf spot (*Cercospora sorgina*), leaf blight (*Exserohilum turcicum*), and leaf anthracnose (*Colletotrichum sublineolum*) (Table 2). Three bacterial foliar diseases were also observed, but it was not possible to determine by symptoms alone the difference between bacterial pathogens involved. These were therefore grouped only by symptom type as bacterial leaf streak/stripe (28 to 30% of...
fields) and bacterial leaf spot (22 to 27% of fields). Symptoms of zonate leaf spot were observed on leaf sheaths in over 50% of fields surveyed (data not shown).

Head smut \((S. reilianum)\) was the most commonly observed of the panicle diseases, being observed in 73 to 75% of fields, followed by covered kernel smut \((S. sorghi)\) and loose smut \((S. cruenta)\) in 42 to 47% and 7 to 24% of fields, respectively (Table 3). Long smut \((Tolyposporium ehrenbergii)\) was not observed in any of the fields in either year. Sorghum downy mildew \((P. sorghi)\) was observed in 33 to 39% of fields and ergot \((Sphacelia sorghi)\) in 11 to 16% (Table 3). The incidence of panicle diseases within fields was generally low, with a majority of fields having an incidence of 1 to 2%. The exceptions to this were head smut and systemic downy mildew with an incidence >25% in 3 and 2% of fields surveyed, respectively (Table 3). Based on disease incidence data and using an estimated mean of 60% panicle damage for covered kernel smut, yield reductions from smut diseases were estimated as 4, 0.9, and 0.6% for head smut, covered kernel smut, and loose smut, respectively. Panicle anthracnose and grain mold were also observed, but at low prevalence.

**Distribution of disease severity.** The frequency of disease severity ratings for each of the seven diseases analyzed was fit by at least one probability distribution function with a residual deviance \(\chi^2\) probability >0.05 (Table 4). In general, the double Gaussian model provided the best fit for most diseases. Attempts to fit the beta type-II distribution to anthracnose, oval leaf spot, rust, and zonate leaf spot data failed. Oval leaf spot was only well fit by the double Gaussian distribution, indicating that most fields either had severe levels of disease (mean severity score = 6 on a 1 to 9 scale) or low severity (mean severity score = 2). The double-Gaussian distribution model with equal variance also provided a good fit for rust ratings (Fig. 2). Only the normal distribution fit anthracnose data with a \(\chi^2\) probability for a residual deviance >0.05. Even for this distribution, however, plots of fitted against observed values revealed that the percentage of fields with disease severity >5 was underestimated (Fig. 2). Overall, gray leaf spot, zonate leaf spot, and rust were fit by most distributions (Table 4). Leaf blight was fit by the beta-II, gamma, lognormal, double-Gaussian, and Weibull but not by the normal probability distribution models. Frequency plots of mean severity ratings for leaf blight, ladder leaf spot, and zonate leaf spot were highly skewed to the left (Fig. 2) indicating disease prevalence was high but severity in most fields was low.

**Disease severity across agro-ecological zones.** There was a marked difference in disease severity across agro-ecological zones (Fig. 3). For both anthracnose and leaf blight, the total number of fields infected, fields with severe disease, and fields with moderate disease severity were predominant in the higher rainfall/more humid zones (LM1 and LM2) (Fig. 3). Fields with severe anthracnose or leaf blight occurred only in these two zones, while fields with moderate levels of either disease were also observed in LM3 (Fig. 3). Severe gray leaf spot was more prevalent in zones LM3 and LM4, while the curve for fields with low oval leaf spot severity was skewed to the left (the more humid LM1 and LM2) indicating that high humidity did not necessarily lead to the development of severe or moderate levels of oval leaf spot (Fig. 3). Rust, oval leaf spot, and ladder leaf spot had cone-shaped curves indicating a ubiquitous distribution of disease severity across zones, with a peak in LM3 (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first quantitative report on the prevalence and severity of sorghum diseases in western Kenya. Previous studies that listed diseases as constraints to sorghum production in this region \((3,7,17,25)\) did not quantify disease prevalence or severity, without which it is difficult to ascribe relative importance to
any of the diseases. Fourteen foliar and six panicle diseases were observed on sorghum in this survey, with little variation in prevalence between 1995 and 1996. However, few of the foliar diseases were observed at severity levels considered sufficient to significantly affect yield. Oval leaf spot, anthracnose, rust, ladder leaf spot, gray leaf spot, and leaf blight were the only foliar diseases observed at high severity levels under the current production systems. The results of this study confirmed the observations of Guiragossian (7), who listed these diseases as constraints to sorghum production in this region.

Head smut, covered smut, and loose smut, in that order, were also thought to cause significant yield losses and should be considered for further investigation. Long smut (T. ehrenbergii) has been reported as an important disease in Kenya (7,8). However, based on the results of our study, this classification is considered erroneous since this disease was not observed in any of the fields visited in either year of the survey. It is possible that the previous studies refer to other production regions such as eastern Kenya, where this disease has been observed (Bock C. H., personal communication). Nevertheless, the area covered in the present study accounts for >70% of all sorghum produced in Kenya (17,20), and as such is more representative of the sorghum production in the country. Efforts should be made to keep this disease excluded from western Kenya.

Disease severity was not uniformly distributed among fields in the region. Although the prevalence of most of the foliar diseases was high, severity in a majority of the fields was too low to impact yields. The exceptions to this included anthracnose, rust, and oval leaf spot. About 15% of 200 fields surveyed had severe anthracnose (disease severity >5 on a 1 to 9 scale), while for both rust and oval leaf spot, fields could be classified in two distinct groups; those with low disease severity and those with high severity, the latter comprising 27% of fields for oval leaf spot and 15% for rust. Studies designed to obtain estimates of yield loss due to sorghum disease will need to recognize these patterns. For example, rather than assume a mean disease severity for the entire region, it will be more appropriate to establish critical severity levels at which diseases affect yield and to use the distributions provided here to compute the proportion of fields affected. Because several distribution functions provided good fit for disparate data from different diseases, we do not endorse the use of any particular one over the others. Instead, the choice for a particular model should be based on its goodness-of-fit to the data obtained, as well as its compatibility with the objectives of the study.

The reasons for the generally low disease severity observed during the 2 years of the survey are not clear. There was also little evidence to suggest that farmers employed disease management practices consciously, especially since their knowledge of foliar diseases was little. Conditions suitable for disease development existed as exemplified by the severe infections observed in some fields. Low disease severity was therefore thought to result in part from resistance in the cultivated local landraces, although some farmer practices, such as inter-cropping or early planting, may also have contributed to low disease levels. Moderate levels of partial resistance to multiple diseases have been reported in many traditional landraces (ICRISAT, unpublished information), while sorghum cultivar and species mixtures have been shown to reduce the severity of some sorghum diseases under experimental conditions (18). Doggett (3) also considered pathogens to have evolved alongside the traditional sorghum landraces establishing an equilibrium in which only low levels of infection occur. Such an explanation is consistent with data that fit negative binomial or lognormal distributions such as those reported here.

Another possible explanation for the low disease severity is that most of the diseases observed at low severity levels in this survey usually occur on young sorghum plants. It could be argued, therefore, that the low severity observed is an artifact of sampling fields at advanced stages of crop maturity. This is unlikely, however, since severe epidemics in young plants would have affected the subsequent disease development and hence the disease severity levels observed during the survey. In any case, this argument should not dilute the importance of the findings of this study, since it is reasonable to assume that foliar diseases occurring prior to crop boot-stage that fail to increase in severity do not significantly reduce yields. Grain size in sorghum, and hence yield, is determined primarily by photosynthates accumulated after anthesis (4,19).

The results of this study established the distribution patterns of anthracnose and
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**Fig. 3.** Fields with low, moderately severe, and severe levels of disease, and total fields with disease in each of five agro-ecological zones surveyed in western Kenya, expressed as a percentage of the total number of fields in which the disease was observed for foliar diseases with a prevalence >50% of all fields surveyed. LM1 = warm-humid, mean annual rainfall = 1,600-1,800 mm; LM2 = warm-humid, 1,300-1,700 mm; LM3 = warm-humid, 900-1,500 mm; LM4 = warm-transitional, 800-900; LM5 = semi-arid 700-900 (see Table 1 for more detail).
leaf blight severity across agro-ecological zones. Severe disease, therefore, could be expected if susceptible cultivars were planted in the humid (LM1 and LM2) zones, while moderate disease could occur in LM3. Since rainfall and humidity appear to be the main factors distinguishing these zones (2,10), it appears that severe anthracnose and leaf blight epidemics are favored by higher rainfall and humidity. Similarly, the development of severe ladder leaf spot (C. fasciculata) appears to be favored by higher rainfall and humidity, gray leaf spot (C. sordaria) and oval leaf spot (R. sorghi) by lower rainfall, while rust (P. purpurea) appears to be widespread irrespective of the rainfall and humidity regime. Intersection between curves for severe and moderate disease for anthracnose showed opposing effects to that for oval leaf spot. Severe anthracnose was observed in the more humid zones, while an increase in humidity coincided with a reduced prevalence of fields with severe levels of oval leaf spot. These differences indicate different ecological requirements for C. sublineolum and R. sordaria. Further research is needed on the epidemiology of oval leaf spot, gray leaf spot, rust, and ladder leaf spot to elucidate factors that influence the distribution of these diseases.

The influence of agro-ecological zones on distribution of disease severity has several implications on studies intended to obtain data on the importance of diseases to sorghum production in this region. Such studies would have to consider the fact that sorghum diseases occur as a complex, whose composition, incidence, and severity depends on the production environment, and adapt the methods accordingly. Studies relating disease severity to yield would therefore need to be replicated across several agro-ecological zones in order to be sensitive to the differences in complex constituents. Furthermore, such studies will need to include local landraces, such as those observed in the farmers’ fields, in order to reflect losses encountered by farmers. In the meantime, sorghum varieties intended for release must be tested in locations representative of the different agro-ecological zones.
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