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1. INTRODUCTION

The Oxford Clay in the area around Peterborough in eastern England has been a rich source of vertebrate fossils, especially marine reptiles, for over two hundred years. Public and private collections contain several thousand specimens, most commonly as isolated elements or small associated assemblages, but occasionally as substantially complete and articulated skeletons (Martill, 1986a; J. Liston, pers. comm.).

The marine reptile fauna was dominated by plesiosaurs, marine crocodiles and ichthyosaurs (Andrews, 1910–1913; Martill *et al.*, 1994). Plesiosaurian genera include the long-necked *Cryptoclidus* (Phillips, 1871), *Muraenosaurus* (Seeley, 1874) and *Tricleidus* (Andrews, 1909), the short-necked forms *Peloneustes* (Seeley, 1869), *Liopleurodon* (Sauvage, 1874), *Simolestes* (Andrews, 1909) and the recently described manatee-like *Pachycostasaurus* (Cruickshank *et al.*, 1996).

The two genera of marine crocodile, *Metriorhynchus* (Deslongchamps, 1863–1869) and *Steneosaurus* (Deslongchamps, 1863–1869) were redescribed by Adams-Tresman (1987a, b). A single genus of ichthyosaur, *Ophthalmosaurus* is also recorded.

The material available for study is held in public collections in Peterborough, London, Leicester, Glasgow, Oxford and Tübingen, Germany, though a large amount is to be found in other collections not only in the UK but in other parts of the world. The quantity and quality of the material available to researchers is a huge and rather under-used resource.

2. MATERIAL

The material catalogued as R54 is stored in three boxes in Peterborough Museum and represents at least two specimens of plesiosaur, distinguishable by their different ontogenetic ages. A series of six dorsal vertebrae cannot be confidently matched to either set and may represent a third individual. The individual which forms the subject of this paper has been designated R54(B). Notes on the identification of the three probable individuals under the catalogue reference R54 are kept with the specimen.

Elements represented

Fragment of maxilla, bearing single broken tooth; isolated broken tooth; fragment lower jaw consisting of partial articular and fragment of surangular; right scapula; atlas/axis with partial neural arch; 12 cervical centra; one pectoral centrum; seven dorsal centra; six more-or-less complete dorsal neural arches; fragment from base of right side of a pectoral neural arch; five cervical ribs; three fragmentary posterior cervical or dorsal ribs, one almost complete; a small fragment of bone, possibly a zygapophysis is attached to one of the dorsal ribs; eight fragments of gastralia ribs; indeterminate bone fragment.

Specimen R54(B) can be confidently assigned to the genus *Cryptoclidus* on the basis of the redescription of the genus by Brown (1981). Although most of the tip of the tooth is missing, six shallow widely spaced ridges can be distinguished on the lingual side. The spacing of the alveoli on the maxillary fragment corresponds closely to that of the composite skull reconstruction. Vertebral dimensions correspond fairly closely with those given for a juvenile *Cryptoclidus* (Brown, 1981).
Twenty-three cervical vertebrae are preserved. Missing vertebrae were identified by comparing vertebral proportions with those of the substantially complete specimen of *Cryptoclidus eurymerus*, BMNH R2680. The missing vertebrae are numbers 6, 10, 14, 15, 19, 31 and 32, counting atlas and axis as numbers 1 and 2.

The vertebral column of R54(B) shows a series of bite marks. The right neural arch fragment articulates neatly onto one of the dorsal centra, but is distorted by a bite mark on one side. Several of the centra bear bite marks on the articular rims, predominantly on the animal's left dorsal side (Fig. 1).

### 3. DISCUSSION

The location of the bite marks suggests limited access to the carcass, possibly because it was lying in a soft sea-floor substrate as has been proposed for the Oxford Clay sea (Martill, 1993). Most of the marks are on the articular rims of the centra, suggesting that the biter had the leisure to avoid damage to its teeth by feeling carefully for the gaps between the centra before applying pressure, rather than lunging for the passing neck of a living animal. Both observations are consistent with post-mortem scavenging rather than primary predation.

A tooth mark on the 20th cervical vertebra (Fig. 2) shows a pattern of striations which correspond in spacing to the ridges on the teeth of the marine crocodilian *Metriorhynchus*, a tooth of which is shown at the same scale for comparison (LEICS G418.1956.27.4). The profile of the bite matches the laterally compressed profile of the *Metriorhynchus* tooth. A smaller mark on the same centrum is probably the contralateral element of the same bite.

Other marine vertebrates capable of leaving bite marks of this size can be eliminated on the basis of the radius of the profile of the bite and the size and spacing of the striations. In marine reptile fauna only the teeth of the large pliosaurs *Liopleurodon* and *Simolestes* could leave marks of similar radius. However, those of *Liopleurodon* has have much more widely spaced and coarser striations, and those of *Simolestes* are only lightly decorated, especially towards the tip.
No records of collection are associated with the specimen and the possibility that these marks are caused by taphonomic processes, such as pressure from overlying skeletal elements, cannot be entirely excluded. The form of some of the marks as scratches penetrating the surface of the bone and still partly filled with matrix makes a taphonomic origin very unlikely and, in others, the clearly defined striations cannot be matched to the surface decoration of skeletal elements such as ribs.

Hua (1994) characterizes *Metriorhynchus* as a near-surface hunter on cephalopods on the basis of morpho-functional analysis. Martill (1986b) reported on the stomach contents of a specimen which included belemnite booklets and describes it as an opportunistic carnivore. Pterosaur bones reported in this paper have since been identified as fish bones (D. Unwin, pers. comm.)

The teeth of *Metriorhynchus* occur in two morphotypes, guild 2 (piercing) and guild 4 (cutting) in *Metriorhynchus* and *Metriorhynchu s* from central England. Stomachs filled with matrix makes a taphonomic origin very unlikely and, in others, the clearly defined striations cannot be matched to the surface decoration of skeletal elements such as ribs.
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