



Article (refereed) - postprint

Groenenberg, Jan E.; Lofts, Stephen. 2014. The use of assemblage models to describe trace element partitioning, speciation, and fate: a review. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 33 (10). 2181-2196. 10.1002/etc.2642

© 2014 SETAC

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/507492/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at

http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

- 1 Running head: Review assemblage models
- 2 Corresponding author: Jan E. Groenenberg, Alterra Wageningen UR, P.O. box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen,
- 3 <u>bertjan.groenenberg@wur.nl</u>
- 4 17531 words, 174 references, 1 table, 1 figure

| 1 | The Use of Assemblage Models to Describe Trace Element Partitioning,                            |
|---|---|
| 2 | Speciation and Fate: A review   |
| 3 |   |
| 4 | Jan E. Groenenberg <sup>†‡</sup> , Stephen Lofts <sup>§</sup>                                   |
| 5 |   |
| 6 | <sup>†</sup> Alterra Wageningen UR, PO box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen, bertjan.groenenberg@wur.nl   |
| 7 | <sup>‡</sup> Department of Soil Quality, Wageningen University, Wageningen UR                   |
| 8 | <sup>§</sup> NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Centre, Lancaster LA1 4AP, U.K. |
| 9 |   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> bertjan.groenenberg@wur.nl 3

### 1 ABSTRACT

2 The fate of trace elements in soils, sediments and surface waters is largely determined by their binding to reactive components of which organic matter, metal oxides and clays are 3 considered most important. Assemblage models, combining separate mechanistic 4 complexation models for each of the reactive components, can be used to predict the solid-5 solution partitioning and speciation of trace elements in natural environments. In this review 6 7 we provide a short overview of advanced ion binding models for organic matter and oxides and their application to artificial and natural assemblages. Modeling of artificial assemblages 8 of mineral components and organic matter indicate that the interactions between organic and 9 10 mineral components are important for trace element binding, particularly for oxyanions. The modelling of solid-solution partitioning in natural systems is generally adequate for metal 11 cations, but less so for oxyanions, probably due to the neglect of organic matter-oxide 12 interactions in most assemblage models. The characterization of natural assemblages in terms 13 of their components (active organic matter, reactive oxide surface) is key to successful model 14 applications. Improved methods for characterization of reactive components in situ will 15 enhance the applicability of assemblage models. Collection of compositional data for soil and 16 water archetypes, or the development of relationships to estimate compositions from 17 18 geospatially-available data, will further facilitate assemblage model use for predictive purposes. 19

20

Keywords: ion-binding ; surface complexation; metal speciation, trace metals, environmental
 chemistry

23

24

25

### 1 INTRODUCTION

2 The fate of trace elements in soils, sediments and surface waters is largely determined by their binding to reactive components of which organic matter, metal-oxides and clays are 3 considered important. Over the last two decades, considerable progress has been made in the 4 development and parameterisation of mechanistic models for ion binding to reactive 5 components relevant for trace element behaviour in natural environments. These include 6 models for ion binding to metal-(hydr)oxides [1], dissolved and particulate organic matter [2-7 4] and clay minerals [5]. Ion binding models have largely contributed to the scientific 8 understanding of the behaviour and speciation of trace elements in surface waters [6, 7] 9 10 groundwaters [8, 9] and soils [10-12], rare earth and radionuclide geochemistry [13, 14] and interactions of potential toxic trace elements with biota [15-17]. Combination of ion-binding 11 models for the various components into "assemblage models" is a promising way to predict 12 partitioning and speciation of metals and metalloids in natural environments [18-21] and 13 waste materials and the dynamic behaviour of metals in soils, including transport to surface 14 water [22, 23]. Concurrent with the increase in the number of mechanistic models of ion-15 binding has been an increase in the use of such models in environmental risk and hazard 16 assessment of trace elements [24-26]. Increased use of assemblage models for these purposes 17 18 requires confidence in and understanding of the possibilities, advantages and drawbacks associated with their use by stakeholders (e.g. environmental scientists and regulators) so that 19 policy based on the outcomes of such modelling can be justified. To enhance and improve the 20 use of assemblage models, there is a clear need to obtain a comprehensive overview of their 21 conceptual underpinning, parameterisation and methods to assess site specific inputs. 22 Furthermore, insight is needed on the consequences of choices of concepts, parameterisation, 23 and site-specific inputs on the performance and utility of the models. 24

25 Assemblage model concept

Assemblage models combine thermodynamic models for inorganic speciation and 1 2 mineral equilibria with separate models for ion binding to various reactive components (oxides, clay, organic matter). The ion binding models are based on general thermodynamic 3 principles. However, the complexity of ion binding to heterogeneous complexants requires 4 simplifications in order to obtain practical models [27]. In this paper we will use the term 5 surface complexation for the binding of ions to mineral surfaces and humic ion binding for 6 7 binding to humics. In general we refer to these models as ion binding models. The models distinguish explicitly between electrostatic and ion-specific binding and account for 8 competition among ions for binding. This allows the models to be applied across wide ranges 9 10 of environmental conditions (e.g. pH, ionic strength); thus they are suitable in principle for predictions outside the parameterisation range [28]. The explicit consideration of the 11 underlying ion binding equilibria distinguishes assemblage models from empirical approaches 12 such as the distribution coefficient  $(K_d)$  [29] and empirical regression models [30]. The 13 modelling described here employs the component additivity approach, whereby the model is 14 used to *predict* the total binding of elements to the assemblage by simulating binding to the 15 individual seperate reactive components of the assemblage each with their unique sites for 16 binding. Model parameters including binding constants and site densities for each component 17 18 are determined a priori from adsorption experiments by the specific components. The total sorption is calculated as the sum of sorption by each specific component. Most component 19 additivity models assume no interaction among components other than competition for ion 20 binding. An alternative is the generalized composite approach, in which binding to the whole 21 assemblage is simulated using a composite binding phase with generic surface sites. The 22 generic surface sites represent average properties of the soil or sediment surface rather than 23 specific minerals[31]. Binding constants and reaction stoichiometries are fit to experimental 24

data for the site specific assemblage [32], and are therefore not transferable to other sites. For 1 2 an overview of applications of the general composite approach one is referred to [31, 33]. In this review we will discuss the ion-binding models which have been applied 3 frequently to natural waters and soils: the NICA-Donnan model, WHAM-Models V,VI and 4 VII and the Stockholm Humic Model (SHM) for ion binding to organic matter and the 5 generalized two layer model (GTLM) of Dzombak and Morel, the CD-MUSIC model, and 6 7 WHAM-SCAMP model for ion binding to oxide surfaces. Figure 1 shows a generalised scheme of an assemblage model setup, including submodels for the reactive components, 8 (generic) parameterisation and site-specific inputs. Site specific inputs include the contents of 9 10 the conceptual model components i.e. active humic substances, reactive metal-oxides and clays together with their active element contents. In the next sections we will describe model 11 concepts and parameterisation, provide an overview of the setup, results and performance of 12 assemblage model applications to artificial and natural assemblages over the last two decades, 13 and evaluate the methods used to quantify site-specific inputs. Then we will discuss 14 application of assemblage models to model the fate of trace metals in the context of risk 15 assessment, before providing recommendations to improve their applications in future. 16

17

**18 ION BINDING MODELS** 

### 19 *Ion binding models for humic substances.*

The importance of humic substances (HS) for binding ions has been long known [34, 35]. Humic substances are complex and heterogeneous macromolecular polyelectrolytes, presenting a significant challenge for modelling. They possess weakly acidic functional groups mainly carboxylic acids and phenols [36]. They also possess small amounts of N and S–based groups which may be significant for ion binding under natural conditions. Their chemical behaviour is to a large extent determined by their binding heterogeneity and their

ability to chelate metal ions at multidentate binding sites. Electrostatic effects modify the 1 2 strength of binding according to solution conditions, and need to be taken into account in modelling. Development of molecular charge due to ion binding results in additional, non-3 specific binding of counterions from solution, via accumulation adjacent to the humic 4 molecules. The first models of ion binding to HS appeared in the 1970s [37-39]. Further 5 development from the 1980s onwards has led to the models described here: Humic Ion-6 7 Binding Models V/VI/VII, the Stockholm Humic Model (SHM) and the NICA–Donnan model. The models are similar in that they all consider ion association to occur by specific 8 binding to functional groups and by electrostatic accumulation, but they differ in the manners 9 10 in which these processes are simulated.

Humic Ion-Binding Models V, VI and VII. Humic Ion-Binding (HIB) Model V [40, 11 41] is a discrete-site model for the binding of protons and metal cations to HS. The model is 12 best known as a component of the WHAM speciation model [3]. The discrete approach 13 represents the ion binding using an assemblage of binding sites at which solution ions bind 14 according to the law of mass action. The model uses a structured formulation of binding sites 15 to minimise the number of fitting parameters. Eight site types are considered: four 16 representing the carboxyl functionalities (type A), and four representing the phenolic 17 18 functionalities (Type B). The intrinsic acidity of each site type is described by a central value  $pK_{H}$  and a spread factor  $\Delta pK_{H}$ . Each site type is present in equal amount and the total number 19 of Type B sites is fixed to half the total number of Type A sites. Monodentate metal binding is 20 simulated by metal ion-proton exchange: 21

22 
$$RH + M^{z_+} = RM^{(z_-1)_+} + H^+$$
 (1)

and is described by two exchange constants, K<sub>MHA</sub> for Type A sites and K<sub>MHB</sub> for Type B
sites. Both the free ionic form and the first hydrolysis product of a metal can bind. A
proportion of the sites are paired to form bidentate metal binding sites. Intrinsic metal–proton

exchange constants for the bidentate sites are given by the products of the K<sub>MH</sub> values of the 1 paired sites. The influence of molecular charge on binding strength is described by an 2 electrostatic interaction factor of the form exp(2wzZ), where z is the change in charge of the 3 humic site due to the binding, Z is the humic charge (mol g<sup>-1</sup>) and w is given by a semi-4 empirical expression [42] related to the ionic strength. Non-specific accumulation of counter-5 ions is simulated assuming a diffuse layer (DL) of a given volume around the humic 6 7 molecules, within which accumulation occurs. Concentrations of counter-ions in the DL are calculated using a Donnan expression, forcing the accumulated charge in the DL to balance 8 the humic charge. 9

10 Tipping and Hurley [41] applied the model to fulvic acid-type materials, and Tipping [40] applied it to humic acid-type material. In both cases an emphasis was placed on deriving 11 'best average' parameters for ion binding to enable ready application of the model to natural 12 humic-rich systems. In Model VI [43] the range of metal binding strengths was increased by 13 allowing formation of tridentate sites and by broadening the range of binding strengths of the 14 multidentate sites using an additional parameter  $\Delta LK_2$ . The new model proved superior in 15 fitting data, and derived values of  $\Delta LK_2$  showed a correlation with log Ks for metal binding to 16 NH<sub>3</sub>, tentatively suggesting a role for amino-type groups in forming small numbers of high 17 18 affinity binding sites. Model VII [44] was a further development in which the numbers and types of the multidentate sites were adjusted to better fit the binding of Co, lanthanides and 19 UO<sub>2</sub> at alkaline pH. Notably, better fits were obtained by preventing the formation of 20 multidentate sites composed solely of phenolic groups. 21

The fixed-volume Donnan model (Model VI-FD) [45] was developed to describe the observed stronger salt effect on cation binding for solid phase humic matter as compared to dissolved humic acids. Essentially Model VI-FD is similar to Model VI except that the modelling of the electrostatic interaction which in Model VI-FD is described with a Donnan

model with a fixed volume. The HIB models VI and VII are incorporated in the speciation
models WHAM6 and WHAM7 respectively. Model VI has also been incorporated in a
customised version of PHREEQC by defining the Model VI species and their stability
constants in PHREEQC's chemical database [46, 47].

The Stockholm Humic Model (SHM) [4] is a discrete site model with broad similarity 5 to the Humic Ion–Binding (HIB) Models. The formulation of proton binding site types is 6 similar to that for the HIB models, the difference being that instead of Type B sites having 7 half the abundance of Type A sites in both humic and fulvic acids, in SHM fulvic acid the 8 Type B sites have an abundance of 30% of the Type A sites. Both the free ionic form and the 9 10 first hydrolysis product of a metal can bind. Monodentate and bidentate binding of metals is simulated, with six combinations of individual sites forming bidentate sites. Small numbers of 11 the sites have their strength increased using a parameter  $\Delta LK_2$ , analogous to the parameter in 12 the HIB Models. The SHM differs primarily from the HIB models in its electrostatic 13 submodel. The humic molecules form a gel phase with a fraction  $g_f$  of binding sites outside 14 the gel and not subject to electrostatic influences on binding. Correction for electrostatic 15 effects within the gel phase is done using a Basic Stern model [48]. Dissolved HS are 16 assumed to have spherical geometry. Electrostatics of solid phase HS are described with 17 18 planar geometry, implying stronger electrostatic interaction between neighbouring charged groups [49], to provide stronger ionic strength effects on proton binding in accordance with 19 observation. Charge due to dissociation of the acid groups is located at the o-plane of the 20 Stern layer (surface). The charge of specifically-binding ions is divided over the o-plane and 21 the d-plane, similar to the Charge Distribution model [50] (see section surface complexation 22 models for oxides). Humic charge is partly neutralised by counterion accumulation in the gel 23 phase. For dissolved HS, the remaining charge is neutralised by counterion in the fully 24 dissolved phase. For solid phase HS, the remaining charge is neutralized by diffuse 25

accumulation of counterions [51], with selectivity of accumulation computed using the
 Gaines–Thomas equation. The SHM is incorporated in the Visual-MINTEQ package [52]
 which provides various database files with model parameters for proton binding, metal
 complexation and counterion accumulation.

The *NICA–Donnan model* is a *continuous* distribution model where specific binding of 5 ions is simulated using an isotherm incorporating humic heterogeneity and adsorbate (ion)-6 specific nonideality of binding. The NICA (Non-Ideal Competitive Adsorption) expression 7 was derived by Koopal et al. [53], initially using the Langmuir equation with the distribution 8 of binding affinities following the Sips distribution and allowing for the width of the binding 9 10 affinity distribution to be binding ion-specific. They showed that in comparison with the classical isotherm, this equation provided a superior description of the pH dependence of Cd 11 binding to FA. Benedetti et al. [54] extended NICA to account for the bimodal distribution of 12 binding sites on HS and showed that it could describe very well H<sup>+</sup> binding to a peat humic 13 acid, and binding of  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  in competition with H<sup>+</sup>, at constant ionic strength. 14 Predicted  $Cd^{2+}$ :H<sup>+</sup> and  $Ca^{2+}$ :H<sup>+</sup> exchange ratios were within the experimental range, but the 15  $Cu^{2+}$ : H<sup>+</sup> ratio was underestimated. Benedetti et al. [55] added an electrostatic submodel by 16 assuming the humic molecules to comprise a Donnan gel phase possessing charge neutrality 17 18 and with concentrations of accumulated ions within the gel related to their concentrations in bulk solution using an empirical electrostatic correction factor. This model, was successful at 19 describing the ionic strength dependence of proton binding to a range of humic and fulvic 20 acids. Kinniburgh et al.[2] further developed the NICA model to be thermodynamically 21 consistent and applied NICA–Donnan to  $Ca^{2+}$ – $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ – $Cu^{2+}$  competition for binding to 22 a peat HA following calibration with single metal binding datasets. Competition and metal 23 proton exchange ratios were well described over a range of pH values and ionic strengths. 24 Milne and co-workers [56, 57] used literature datasets to derive generic NICA-Donnan 25

parameters for the binding of protons and 23 metals to HA and FA. The NICA-Donnan model
is implemented in the chemical speciation codes ECOSAT [58], ORCHESTRA [59, 60] and
Visual MINTEQ [52].

4 Surface complexation models for oxides

Hydrous oxides of iron(III), aluminium, manganese and silica (further referred to as 5 FeO, AlO, MnO and SiO) have long been recognised as important sorbents of a host of 6 7 elements in soils, sediments and water. Hydrous oxides tend be finely dispersed and coat other particles resulting in a large surface area for trace element binding. In aqueous systems 8 hydrous oxides possess amphoteric hydroxyl groups at their surfaces. These groups bind and 9 10 release protons, depending upon the solution pH, resulting in a net positive or negative charge. Initial attempts to develop models for oxide surface chemistry were based on the 11 electrical double-layer theory of Gouy and Chapman and the extension by Stern and Grahame 12 [61]. In later models ion binding equilibria are described by complexation reactions with 13 surface functional groups. Binding is described with mass law equations analogous to those 14 for solution equilibria, with corrections for electrostatic effects due to the surface charging. 15 Based on this concept, a series of surface complexation models have been developed, 16 including the Constant Capacitance Model (CCM) [62, 63], the Diffuse Double Layer Model 17 18 (DLM) and the Generalized Two Layer Model (GTLM)[1, 64], the Triple Layer Model (TLM) [65, 66] and the CD-MUSIC model [50]. The models differ in their structural 19 representation of the solid-solution interface, i.e. the location and hydration status of the 20 adsorbed ions [67], and in the description of electrostatic effects. For a comprehensive 21 overview of the models (except CD-MUSIC) refer to Goldberg [67] and Venema et al. [48]. 22 The Generalized Two Layer Model (GTLM) of Dombak and Morel [1] has become a 23 standard in surface complexation modelling (Table 1) because of its comprehensive set of 24 model parameters for metal cation- and oxyanion- binding to hydrous ferric oxide (HFO). The 25

model is included in various model platforms: ECOSAT [58], MINTEQ [68], ORCHESTRA
[59, 60], PHREEQC [69] and Visual MINTEQ [52]. The GTLM is based on the DLM [64] in
which the oxide/water interface comprises two layers of charge: a surface layer and a diffuse
layer of counterions. All specifically-sorbed ions are assigned to the surface layer. The model
distinguishes two surface ionization reactions to account for the amphoteric behaviour of
oxide surfaces, the 2-pK model:

7 
$$\equiv XOH_2^+ = \equiv XOH^0 + H^+(K_{a1}); \equiv XOH^0 = \equiv XO^- + H^+(K_{a2})$$
 (2)

The model describes complexation reactions for cations and anions. For cations one surface 8 species is considered i.e.  $\equiv XOM^{(z-1)+}$ , with M a metal cation with valence  $z^+$ , whereas for 9 anions two to three surface species usually have to be considered, i.e.  $\equiv XA^{2-}$  and/or  $\equiv XHA^{-}$ 10 and/or  $\equiv$ XH<sub>2</sub>A<sup>0</sup>. For cations, two surface sites with different affinities are distinguished, with 11 the high affinity sites having the lowest abundance, whereas for anion reactions only one 12 affinity is considered. The Gouy-Chapman relationship is used to calculate the surface 13 potential, which corrects the intrinsic binding constants  $(K^{int})$  for electrostatic effects 14 according to the apparent constant :  $K^{app} = K^{int} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta Z\Psi}{RT}\right)$ , where  $\Delta Z$  is the change in the 15 charge of the surface species,  $\Psi$  is the surface potential, R the gas constant and T the absolute 16 temperature. The diffuse double layer is only used to correct for electrostatic effects; the 17 model does not consider the accumulation of counterions in the double layer. The net charge 18 at the surface is compensated by an equal but opposite charge in the solution. At high 19 20 concentrations of trace elements, diffuse layer accumulation may contribute substantially to the total sorption, so neglecting this accumulation may lead to sorption underestimation. 21 PHREEQC and Visual MINTEQ allow simulation of counterion accumulation which is, 22 however, computationally intensive [70]. Since the generic constants in the GTLM were 23 optimized without taking explicit account of diffuse layer accumulation, employing these 24 parameters while allowing such accumulation is not completely correct. The GTLM also 25

allows modelling of surface precipitation, which is important where high metal:oxide ratios
are encountered. The model was generally well able to describe the experimental data used for
parameterisation [1]. For elements lacking experimental data, binding constants were
estimated using Linear Free Energy Relations (LFER), relating binding constants to the first
hydrolysis product in solution. In addition to HFO, the GTLM has been extended with model
parameter datasets for hydrous MnO [71] and gibbsite [72].

7 The SCAMP oxide model [19], which is implemented in the WHAM models (versions 6 and 7), describes cation binding to AlO, FeO, MnO and SiO using the same basic concept 8 but with a different formulation of surface site heterogeneity and explicit consideration of 9 10 non-specific binding. Proton binding is described with a two-pK model similar to the GTLM. Three binding strengths are used for metal cation binding, with the highest affinity for the 11 least abundant sites and the lowest affinity for the most abundant. The spread of binding 12 strengths is metal-independent, but specific to each oxide. The model considers adsorption of 13 the free metal ion and its first hydrolysis product. Electrostatic effects are accounted for by an 14 empirical relation similar to that used in the CCM but allowing the magnitude of the 15 electrostatic correction to vary with solution ionic strength. Counterion accumulation is 16 explicitly accounted for and is calculated using a Donnan model similar to that in Humic Ion-17 Binding Models V, VI and VII. This approach is far less computer intensive than modelling of 18 the composition of the diffuse layer with the Gouy-Chapman approach as e.g. implemented in 19 PHREEQC [69]. The model allows specification of ion-specific selectivity coefficients, 20 however in the parameter optimisation the coefficient was set to unity for all ions. Various 21 forms of the metal oxides were considered, assuming that variability in adsorption among 22 forms can be attributed to variations in binding site densities. 23

Charge Distribution (CD) and Multi Site Ion Complexation model (MUSIC). The
 MUSIC model [73] distinguishes multiple surface sites as derived from the structure of the

mineral by taking into account the coordination of the surface oxygen atoms. Proton affinity
constants of the various types of reactive groups (i.e. surface O(H) sites with different
coordination with the metal atoms) are predicted from the charge of the surface group and
bond length (surface-O metal), taking into account hydrogen binding [73]. Protonation is
described with a 1-pK model, which describes charging of the oxide surface using a single
equilibrium:

$$\equiv XOH^{-0.5} + H^{+} = \equiv XOH_{2}^{+0.5} \left( K_{a1} \right)$$
(3)

The decision to include one protonation step was motivated by the large differences in pK 8 between the first and second protonation step in the 2-pK model, which means that generally 9 only one protonation step is observed in the empirical pH window (typically pH 2-12) [74]. 10 Using the 1-pK approach reduces the degrees of freedom in fitting data. The Charge 11 Distribution (CD) model [50] distinguishes three planes of charge: the surface plane, a mid-12 plane and a second plane which is the head-end of the diffuse layer. The charge of specifically 13 adsorbing cations and anions (inner sphere complexes) is divided between the surface and 14 intermediate planes. Outer sphere complexes are positioned between the mid- and second 15 plane and the charge is divided over these two planes. The charge distribution is related to the 16 molecular structure of the surface complexes, information on which can be obtained from 17 surface spectroscopy e.g. EXAFS [75, 76]. Such molecular scale information can be used to 18 constrain surface reaction stoichiometry in fitting adsorption data. The CD-MUSIC model has 19 been implemented in various chemical speciation models including ECOSAT, ORCHESTRA 20 21 and Visual MINTEQ.

#### 22 *Model parameterisation of ion-binding models*

Parameters for phase complexation models are generally obtained by fitting laboratory
data on ion binding to model systems (isolated HS, synthesized oxides). For the organic
matter models, largely similar laboratory data compilations have been used to obtain

comprehensive, internally consistent data sets for proton and metal binding constants to FA 1 2 and HA for HIB Models V,VI,VII [3, 43, 44], SHM [4] and NICA-Donnan [56, 57]. The most recent and extensive compilation is that for Model VII, for which binding parameters are 3 available for 40 cationic metals. Additionally, parameters for the SHM have been obtained for 4 proton and metal sorption binding to solid phase organic matter (mor layer material) [51]. 5 Comprehensive parameter sets for the GTLM are available for cation and anion binding to 6 HFO [1], MnO [71] and gibbsite [72]. For the SCAMP oxide model, comprehensive 7 parameter sets are available for cation binding to AlO, FeO, MnO and SiO [19]. As yet no 8 such comprehensive parameter set exists for the CD-MUSIC model, except for the binding of 9 10 Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn to goethite and HFO [76].

A general issue with the models is to sufficiently constrain both the number of fitting 11 parameters and the possible stoichiometries of the ion binding reactions, in order to derive a 12 robust parameter set from multiple datasets of which few may cover a sufficient range of 13 conditions to allow a complete parameterization. Parameterization may be constrained using 14 formal relationships such as that in HIB Models VI and VII, where the value of the parameter 15  $\Delta LK_2$  for a cation is *a priori* estimated from the equilibrium constant for its NH<sub>3</sub> complex. 16 Parameterisation is further constrained in Model VII by taking the approach of Carbonaro and 17 18 Di Toro [77], using a formal relationship among the parameter constants for various oxygencentred ligands for which the relative metal binding strengths parallel those of protons. The 19 use of such a structured approach constrains and improves the consistency of 20 parameterisation. Van Zomeren et al. [78] obtained structured the approach to obtain NICA-21 Donnan parameters for proton binding to HS using a two-step procedure after de Wit et al 22 [79] in which parameters for intrinsic and electrostatic affinities were optimized separately. 23 Similarly Lenoir et al. [80] developed a three-step procedure for NICA-Donnan 24 parameterisation in which they separated electrostatic and intrinsic affinities to constrain 25

model fits toward chemically and physically realistic parameters. An important means to
constrain parameterisation is the use of independent data on surface stoichiometries. Hiemstra
and co-workers used structural data on oxide surfaces and information on bond lengths and
adsorbing species to constrain parameterisation of the CD-MUSIC model [50]. Recently
Gustafsson et al. [81] and Kleja et al. [82] used spectroscopic information (XAFS, XANES)
to constrain parameterisation of Cr and Ag binding to HS in the SHM.

### 7 Discussion

The modelling of ion binding to organic matter and metal-oxides has developed to a 8 stage where the most advanced models are shown to adequately describe ion binding over a 9 10 wide range of element concentrations, pH and ionic strengths. The various ion-binding models for organic matter usually give similar overall goodness of fit to their parameterisation 11 data which were predominantly single metal systems [43, 51, 57]. However, while the three 12 models share many common features they also differ in their descriptions of electrostatic 13 effects, reaction stoichiometry, the correlation between the proton and metal ion affinity 14 distribution and the description of the affinity distribution (discrete, continuous). These 15 differences may give rise to divergent predictions for the binding of metal ions in more 16 complex natural systems [27] e.g. due to competition between trace metals and with Al and 17 18 Fe. The relatively simple model descriptions for ion binding to oxides (GTLM, SCAMP) provide reasonable descriptions of adsorption data for various oxides but the more advanced 19 CD-MUSIC approach is more likely to provide adequate predictions outside its calibration 20 ranges. Specifically, the refined description of the electrostatics, which is important for the 21 interactions among different adsorbing ions, may provide better results for the prediction of 22 binding in systems where many ions interact simultaneously at the same surface, i.e. the 23 typical situation encountered in natural systems. CD-MUSIC has been shown to adequately 24

describe the interactions of a multicomponent system of As(III and V) oxy-anions with Ca<sup>2+</sup>,
 Mg<sup>2+</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions on goethite [83].

| 3 | A point of discussion is how representative isolated HS and synthesised oxides are for          |
|---|---|
| 4 | organic and oxide phases in natural environments. Natural oxide surfaces may e.g. differ from   |
| 5 | pure synthesized oxides due to metal substitution in and surface coating of the oxide surfaces. |
| 6 | The harsh methods to isolate HS from soils and surface waters may potentially change their      |
| 7 | properties. The work by Ahmed et al. [84] using both traditionally and mildly isolated DOM,     |
| 8 | however, indicate that the isolation procedures traditionally used for HA and FA do not         |
| 9 | appreciably affect their binding characteristics.   |

10

### 11 MODELLING OF ARTIFICIAL ASSEMBLAGES

12

Modelling of artificial assemblages allows assessment of model performance for well–
 characterised systems under controlled chemical conditions. Since real environmental
 assemblages cannot be characterised as accurately as artificial systems, such work has a clear
 role in model development and testing.

Assemblages of mineral phases. Koretsky and co-workers [85-88] have studied Cd,
Co(II) and Pb binding to assemblages of HFO, kaolinite, and quartz, and Cu binding to
assemblages of HFO and kaolinite. Binding to HFO was simulated using the GTLM and
either the parameters of Dzombak and Morel[1], or by using the site density parameters of
Sverjensky and Sahai [89] and fitting metal–binding parameters.

Binding to kaolinite was considered using a number of 1- or 2-site models (one variably charged site, one fixed charge site) based on the DLM, with variations in the nature of metal binding to the variably charged site (monodentate or bidentate binding) and in the handling of fixed charge sites (absent, binding H<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> only, or binding H<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and metal

ions). Quartz binding was modelled with a single site DLM, using site density parameters 1 2 from Sverjensky and Sahai [89] and fitting metal binding parameters to the experimental data. Metal binding to assemblages was predicted using combinations of the parameterised single-3 phase models. Modelling of Co, Cd and Pb was done using one such combination, to create a 4 single assemblage model for each metal. For Cu, multiple assemblage models were 5 constructed, using all possible combinations of the parameterised single-phase models. 6 7 Deviations between observation and prediction of assemblage binding were largely attributable to shortcomings in the single-phase models. For example, the models for Pb 8 binding to quartz and kaolinite were somewhat poor at reproducing the effects of ionic 9 strength or sorbent:sorbate ratio on binding, and this was clearly seen in the predictions of 10 binding to the assemblage. On the other hand, predictions of Cu binding to kaolinite/HFO 11 mixtures were reasonably well reproduced, reflecting good single-component model fits. 12 Generally, model fitting to HFO binding produced the most satisfactory single component fits 13 across the metals. Fits to kaolinite and quartz were reasonable for specific sets of conditions, 14 but in a number of cases (e.g. for Pb), the models were unable to fully reproduce the effects of 15 ionic strength and sorbent:sorbate ratio on the observed metal binding. In some cases the 16 authors suggested that site blocking or formation of metal precipitates may have influenced 17 18 results; for example, they suggested that blocking of kaolinite binding sites by quartz may have been responsible for overestimation of Cd binding to kaolinite/quartz assemblages at 19 high kaolinite:quartz mass ratios [85]. 20

Organic-mineral assemblages. In contrast to mineral-only assemblages, complexation
modelling studies of ion binding to assemblages containing organic matter frequently
demonstrate binding nonadditivity. Nonadditive binding has been observed by Vermeer et al.
[90] for Cd binding to HA and hematite, Christl and Kretschmar [91] for Cu binding to FA
and hematite, Heidmann et al. [92] for Pb binding to FA and kaolinite, Saito et al. [93] for Cu

binding to HA and goethite, Weng et al. [94] for Ca binding to FA and goethite, Cu binding to 1 FA and goethite [95], and phosphate and arsenate binding to HA/FA and goethite [96, 97]. 2 Generally, where nonadditive binding is found it is greater than additive for cations and less 3 than additive for anions. The extent of nonadditivity has been shown to vary according to the 4 experimental conditions; for example Saito et al. [93] found that Cu binding to HA-goethite 5 assemblages was consistently greater than additive, while Weng et al. [95] found that Cu 6 binding to FA–goethite assemblages was additive or close to additive, except at low Cu 7 surface loading in systems with a relatively high FA: goethite ratio, where it was greater than 8 additive. In all these cases binding to the individual components was well simulated, making 9 10 it unlikely that the nonadditivity was due to inadequate model formulation or parameterisation. Vermeer et al. [90], Christl and Kretschmar [91] and Saito et al. [93] all 11 suggested that unmodelled interactions between the organic molecules and the mineral surface 12 were responsible for the observed behaviour. A minority of studies, e.g. Janot et al. [98, 99] 13 on Eu binding to assemblages of AlO ( $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and HA, have observed only additive 14 behaviour. 15

Sorption of humic substances to mineral surfaces is a well-attested phenomenon [100, 16 101]. Weng and co-workers have developed the Ligand and Charge Distribution (LCD) 17 18 model [102] to address the influence that humic–mineral interactions have upon ion binding to their assemblages. The LCD model combines the CD-MUSIC and NICA-Donnan models 19 into a mechanistic framework to describe humic sorption to the mineral surface, and ion 20 binding to both. The model allows specific and electrostatic binding of humic functional 21 groups to the mineral surface, and distributes the humic charge across the planes of the diffuse 22 layer. To describe HA binding the CD model was extended with an additional Stern layer in 23 the double layer (the Extended Stern model) [103] to allow a realistic description of the 24 distribution of the charge of the relatively large HA molecules. The LCD model has been 25

successfully applied to a number of studies including Ca [94, 95] and Cu [95] adsorption to 1 2 FA-goethite assemblages, and phosphate [96] and arsenate [97] adsorption to FA- and HAgoethite assemblages. In all these cases the LCD modelling was compared to a linear 3 additivity model, i.e. one assuming no interactions between FA and the oxide surface other 4 than the presence of an observed portion of the FA associated with the solid oxide phase. The 5 LCD model was generally able to account well, in some cases with modification, for 6 situations where the additive model underestimated or overestimated binding. The reasons for 7 observed nonadditivity were predicted to vary among binding cations, and between cations 8 and oxyanions. In the case of Ca [94, 95]a component additivity approach consistently 9 10 overestimated binding at acidic pH and underestimated binding at alkaline pH. Such observations could in principle be attributed to a number of effects; for example, at low pH 11 FA adsorption to the oxide could reduce Ca adsorption by competition, while at high pH 12 increased binding of Ca to FA could cause increased adsorption of Ca-FA complexes to the 13 oxide. The LCD model attributed the nonadditive behaviour largely to electrostatic effects, in 14 particular the tendency for the charges of adsorbed FA and Ca to be co-located in the 1-plane 15 of the mineral diffuse layer due to the dominance of outer-sphere binding for Ca. Formation 16 of ternary complexes (i.e. Ca-FA-goethite, FA-Ca-goethite) was predicted to be of minor 17 18 importance for binding. The authors also suggested that increased adsorption of FA, due to Ca adsorption to FA reducing the number of contacts between the FA molecules and the surface, 19 was of some importance but was not a major mechanism. Nonadditivity of Cu binding to FA-20 goethite assemblages was observed at low Cu surface loading in systems with a relatively 21 high FA: goethite ratio (underestimation of Cu binding), and at very low pH (<4) 22 (overestimation of Cu binding). Simulations using the LCD model reduced the predicted Cu 23 binding at very low pH, but could not account for the situations where component additivity 24 underestimated binding. Addition of a ternary, bridging FA-Cu-goethite complex accounted 25

for the binding underestimation. Nonadditive effects on phosphate [96] and arsenate [97]
binding to FA- and HA-goethite assemblages were attributed largely to electrostatic effects
of HS binding to the mineral surface. The LCD model interpreted the greater effects of FA
competition for binding, compared to HA, as being due to differences in the distributions of
adsorbed FA and HA charge across the mineral diffuse layer. Binding competition due to
specific HS functional group complexation was found to be a less significant influence on
oxyanion complexation to the mineral surface.

Discussion. There is a rather small literature on the application of assemblage models 8 to artificial assemblages. The available data do provide useful insights into deviations from 9 10 additive behaviour. The research of Koretsky and co-workers suggests that additivity predominates in the absence of HS. There is a need to model binding to individual 11 components as well as possible to provide the best opportunity of detecting any deviations 12 from additivity, and a clear need to constrain parameterisation options for some solids, such 13 as kaolinite. Additionally, assemblage models require application over as wide a range of 14 realistic conditions as possible in order to fully test the additivity assumption; not doing so 15 may produce results contradictory to other studies. Research on mineral-HS assemblages has 16 frequently demonstrated deviations from additivity behaviour. The key finding here is that 17 18 cation binding frequently is more than additive, while anion binding is frequently less than additive. The work of Weng and co-workers, particularly the development of the LCD model, 19 has made significant progress in explaining why such interactions occur, and increases 20 confidence that such interactions could be incorporated into models for application to natural 21 assemblages. 22

23

### 24 MODELLING OF NATURAL ASSEMBLAGES

Table 1 summarises modelling studies of natural assemblages over the last two 1 2 decades. It gives an overview of the considered adsorbing components, corresponding ion binding models, type of experiments, the binding elements and the 3 determination/quantification of the adsorbing components. The majority of reviewed 4 assemblage modelling (33 studies) is related to soils or sediments, with six studies studying 5 ion binding to particulate phases in surface waters. Most surface water speciation modelling 6 7 studies are limited to the speciation of the dissolved or filtered fraction, taking account only of metal complexation by DOM and small inorganic ligands; these studies are not covered in this 8 review. 9

#### 10

### Modelling metal cation binding

An assemblage approach requires identification of the most significant binding 11 components. Organic matter is considered the most important sorbent for metal cations in the 12 slightly acid to neutral pH range. A number of studies have adequately described metal 13 solubility by considering only particulate (POM) and dissolved organic matter (DOM) as 14 binding phases, in systems where organic matter is expected to dominate binding and/or 15 where the metal(s) concerned have a known strong affinity for natural organic matter. Metals 16 simulated in this way have included Co, Sr and Am [14], Al [104], Cd [10, 105-107], Cu [10, 17 18 105, 107]. However, this approach has been shown to be inadequate in some cases, e.g. where the metal appears to have a strong affinity for other binding phases even in organic-matter 19 rich soils (e.g. Cs in [14] and Pb in [10]), or where the assumption that other binding phases 20 are generally insignificant breaks down, e.g. in more mineral rich environments [10, 14]. 21

Studies including mineral phases in the assemblage showed different emphases on
including particular phases; for example, 20 studies included FeO, while only eight included
AlO and/or MnO. This may be partly due to the GTLM model parameters only being
available as of 2004 for MnO [71] and 2010 for gibbsite [72]. To overcome such gaps in

parameters, some studies have considered AlO and FeO as a single composite phase when
using the GTLM [18, 108-110] or CD-MUSIC model [111]. Binding to clays was considered
in 14 studies, accounting for electrostatic accumulation in response to the permanent charge
either using an electrostatic Donnan model [18, 19, 21, 108-110, 112, 113] or an ion exchange
model [12, 114-118]. More advanced surface complexation models for clays, taking account
specific binding to variable charge edge sites have been applied to pure clays e.g. [119, 120],
and artificial mineral assemblages [85-88] but not yet to natural assemblages.

Some studies reported the contribution of the individual assemblage components to 8 total binding in soils. Organic matter was consistently the dominant adsorbing component for 9 10 Ba, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn [18, 21, 108], Co and Sn [18]. In the near neutral pH range, about 90% of Cd and Zn [21, 109, 121] and Ni [21, 109] was predicted to be adsorbed to SOM. In 11 addition to binding to OM, binding to clays is important at acidic to near neutral pH, whereas 12 adsorption to FeO and AlO becomes increasingly important at pH 7 and above [109, 121-13 123]. Binding of Cu, Cr and Sn, i.e. metals with a very high affinity for OM, is however, 14 almost entirely accounted for by SOM over a broad pH range( 3<pH<8) [109]. Lead has a 15 high affinity for both metal oxides and organic matter, with organic matter being the dominant 16 phase at low pH (<6) and oxides at higher pH (>6) [109]. Although FeO and AlO usually 17 have the highest contents in soils and sediments, MnO may be important because of their high 18 cation affinity [19]. Cances et al. [124] demonstrated the importance of MnO for Pb binding, 19 which was confirmed by EXAFS. According to Izquierdo [123], Pb binding is mainly to FeO 20 and MnO, Cd to FeO, MnO and SOM whereas Zn binds mainly to SOM. Model predictions 21 by Izquierdo et al. [123] and Marzouk et al. [121] indicate a profound effect of pH on the 22 relative importance of Cd and Pb binding to MnO and FeO, with a dominant role of MnO in 23 the acidic to near neutral pH range (pH<6) and dominance of binding to FeO at higher pH 24 (pH>6). 25

| 1  | Some studies considered the possibility of metal solubility control by mineral                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | equilibria in addition to surface adsorption [109, 110, 115, 116, 124, 125]. Dissolved Ba       |
| 3  | appeared to be controlled by the solubility of barite in various soil samples [109, 110].       |
| 4  | Furthermore Ni-, Zn- and Pb- hydroxides play a possible role at alkaline pH (pH≥8) [18]and      |
| 5  | dissolved Co is possibly controlled by the solubility of $Co_3O_4$ under strongly alkaline      |
| 6  | condition [109]. In most cases however, inclusion of mineral equilibria did not necessarily     |
| 7  | improve predictions of metal solubility. This is either due to soil waters being undersaturated |
| 8  | with respect to the considered minerals e.g. Cd-, Cu-, Zn-hydroxides and -carbonates and Zn-    |
| 9  | Al double layered hydroxides (LDH) in contaminated soils near a Zn smelter [125]. Or            |
| 10 | because thermodynamic data for many mineral phases are scarce or uncertain, even where          |
| 11 | such minerals (e.g. Zn-Al-LDH) are known to be present in soils [124, 126].                     |
| 12 | In the solution phase, metals with a high affinity for organic matter (Cu, Cr, Pb, Sn)          |

are predicted to be largely present as complexes with DOM [109, 113]. Metals with a lower
affinity for organic matter (Ba, Co, Cd, Ni, Zn) are predicted to be mainly present as free
metal, or as inorganic ion-pairs with in the acid to neutral pH range and as organic complexes
at alkaline pH [109].

Nearly all the reviewed studies assess the models in terms of their ability to predict 17 18 measured total dissolved concentrations and one study [21] comparing predicted and measured free ion concentrations. However, not all studies provided a quantitative measure of 19 model performance. Model performance in terms of the root mean squared error of the log 20 transformed concentration (logRMSE) was reported in nine studies [12, 18, 108-110, 114, 21 115, 121, 123]. Median values of the reported log RMSE were between 0.3 and 0.5 for Cd, 22 Cu, Ni and Zn, indicating rather good model performance. Predictions of Pb were poorer, 23 having a median logRMSE of 0.7. A number of studies attribute underestimation of Pb 24 binding to soil to an absence of MnO in the assemblage [108, 110, 113, 114]. Others 25

| 1  | considered shortcomings in parameterisation of Pb binding to HFO in the GTLM [109, 127,                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | 128] and CD-MUSIC model [117, 129], or organic matter in the SHM [117, 129] to be a                          |
| 3  | possible cause and adjusted parameters to optimise fits to measured solution concentrations.                 |
| 4  | Xiong et al. recently published improved parameterisation of Pb binding to SOM for the                       |
| 5  | NICA-Donnan model[121]. From the few studies on other metal cations, model performance                       |
| 6  | appears to be reasonable for Co (median $\text{RMSE}_{\text{log}}$ : 0.6) but less good for Ba, Cr, Sn and V |
| 7  | (RMSE <sub>log</sub> 0.7-1.1). For the latter, binding constants were estimated by Linear Free Energy        |
| 8  | Relations (LFER), so confidence in the parameters is lower than for metals parameterised                     |
| 9  | against measurements. Furthermore, there is considerable uncertainty about the prevalent                     |
| 10 | redox species of V, which may either be present as cationic $V(IV)$ or anionic $V(V)$ species.               |
| 11 | Predictions assuming V to be vanadyl ( $VO^{2+}$ ) gave the best results [109].                              |
| 12 | Weng et al. [21] used their assemblage model to predict Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn free                           |
| 13 | metal ion activities in solution. Model inputs included reactive metal, organic matter, clay                 |
| 14 | content and solution pH. DOM was, however, not used for input. Neglecting DOM is only                        |
| 15 | justified when solution concentrations of the organic complexes of the considered elements                   |
| 16 | are very low compared to total reactive concentrations, which may be valid for soils. The                    |
| 17 | possibility of predicting the free metal without the need to quantify DOM can be of practical                |
| 18 | relevance as DOM concentrations are rarely if ever available in soil maps and databases.                     |
| 19 | Predicted free metal ion activities compared favourably with measurements, except for Pb of                  |
| 20 | which predictions were at least one order of magnitude greater than the measurements.                        |
| 21 | A small number of studies [104, 122, 125] have combined prediction of trace metal                            |
| 22 | partitioning with simultaneous simulation of soil acid/base buffering. This typically requires               |
| 23 | model calibration by adjusting amounts of reactive phases to fit trends in pH in response to                 |
| 24 | acid or base addition [104, 125].  |

Another interesting example of assemblage modelling is its use to predict the solution and solid phase composition after mixing of acidic rock drainage with more alkaline surface waters [127, 128, 130]. Rather than specifying fixed concentrations of binding phases, the models accounted for the precipitation of mineral phases from solution in response pH changes, and the subsequent adsorption of various trace elements to these newly formed phases.

7 Reaction kinetics of metal cation (Cd, Ni, Cu, Pb and Zn) sorption to and desorption from organic matter in soils have been described with kinetic equations for metal adsorption 8 and desorption in combination with Model V/VI by Shi et al. [131, 132]. Metal cation 9 10 binding including the short term (hours) kinetics thereof were successfully modelled by optimisation of the reactive organic matter content and two desorption constants, one for a 11 fast reaction and the second for the slow reaction. The rate constant for the fast reaction was 12 coupled with monodentate and electrostatic binding whereas the slower rate was assigned to 13 bi- and tridentate binding. Buekers et al. [133] described the long term aging of Cd, Ni and Zn 14 using an assemblage model combining equilibrium sorption to organic matter and clay with 15 kinetic adsorption to FeO using Model VI for organic matter and the SCAMP models for 16 oxide and clay. Aging is described with the transfer of geochemically active metal (see 17 18 section quantification of reactive components of the natural assemblage) adsorbed to FeO to an inert metal pool using an empirical kinetic function. Rate constants for this function were 19 derived from kinetic sorption experiments using synthetic Fe-oxides. With these parameters 20 the model reasonable predicted geochemically active reactive metal contents, measured with 21 isotopic dilution, in 28 metal spiked soils over an 850 days period. 22

23

24

## Modelling oxyanion binding

| 1  | There are relatively few (nine) studies considering oxyanion binding of which 7 are related to               |
|----|--|
| 2  | soil/sediment and two to surface water. All consider As [109-111, 115, 116, 128, 130, 134,                   |
| 3  | 135] and four consider Mo, Sb [109, 110, 128, 130] and Se [109, 110, 128]. Oxyanion                          |
| 4  | binding has been modelled exclusively to metal oxide surfaces. Binding to FeO was                            |
| 5  | considered in all nine studies. Binding to AlO was considered in four studies. In the study of               |
| 6  | Tonkin et al. [128] (mixing acid rock drainage with surface water) AlO and FeO were                          |
| 7  | modelled separately, using the GTLM with specific parameters for HFO [1] and AlO [121],                      |
| 8  | whereas in the three other studies related to soils AlO and FeO were combined into a                         |
| 9  | composite mineral using either the GTLM with parameters for HFO [109, 110] or CD-                            |
| 10 | MUSIC with parameters for goethite [111]. Cui and Weng [111] found decreasing As                             |
| 11 | sorption with increasing AlO contribution to the sum of AlO and FeO. The influence of AlO                    |
| 12 | to the binding of As was much larger than its relative amount extracted from the soils which                 |
| 13 | might be due to surface coating and substitution of FeO.   |
| 14 | Predictions of oxyanion solubility were generally somewhat poorer than for metal                             |
| 15 | cations, with median logRMSE of 0.5-0.6 for Mo and Se and 0.9-1.0 for As and Sb with                         |
| 16 | concentrations of Sb overestimated one order of magnitude [109, 110]. A possible reason is                   |
| 17 | competition from HS for binding of oxyanions to oxide surfaces. Modelling of oxyanion                        |
| 18 | binding to soil have been improved considerably by competition from HS for binding.                          |
| 19 | Gustafsson [134] simulated HS competition for arsenate binding to an oxide surface by                        |
| 20 | introducing the irreversible binding of a hypothetical organic species RO <sup>-</sup> to the surface, using |
| 21 | CD-MUSIC. The sorbed amount of RO <sup>-</sup> was optimized on a soil-by-soil basis to fit the              |
| 22 | observed As sorption. Hiemstra et al. [136, 137] employed a somewhat different approach,                     |
| 23 | using the CD-MUSIC model in which OM binding to the oxide was modelled using a virtual                       |
| 24 | OM-surface component which is only present in the adsorbed phase. Surface binding of OM                      |
| 25 | is modelled using various surface OM species which can bind by inner-sphere and outer-                       |

| 1  | sphere complexation. Phosphate solubility was well described across soils with a wide pH                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | range (3–7) following optimisation of the amount of adsorbed OM. The amount adsorbed OM                    |
| 3  | relative to the total of adsorbed OM and phosphate correlated well with the logarithm of the               |
| 4  | SOM content (R <sup>2</sup> =0.79). Recently, Cui and Weng [111] applied the LCD model (see section        |
| 5  | modelling of artificial assemblages) to interpret the sorption behaviour of As and PO <sub>4</sub> in soil |
| 6  | samples over the pH range 4-8. The amount of OM adsorbed to the oxide surface was                          |
| 7  | optimized to fit the PO <sub>4</sub> solid/solution distribution at the original pH of the samples. The    |
| 8  | optimized adsorbed OM was kept constant and further used in the modelling of the pH-                       |
| 9  | dependent solubility of As and PO <sub>4</sub>   |
| 10 | Aside from the appropriateness of the model structure, and the quality of the                              |
| 11 | parameterization, the final model performance depends largely on site-specific model inputs.               |
| 12 | The various methods that have been used to quantify these inputs, together with possible                   |
| 13 | improvements, are discussed in the next two sections.  |
| 14 | Quantification of reactive components of the natural assemblage  |
| 15 | Oxides - Amounts of AlO, FeO and MnO available for ion binding are mostly                                  |
| 16 | estimated by selective, but operationally defined, chemical extraction of Al, Fe or Mn (Table              |
| 17 | 1). Assumptions must be made regarding the mineral form of the oxide and its corresponding                 |
| 18 | specific surface area. The most common is the oxalate extraction to quantify amorphous FeO                 |
| 19 | and AlO [19, 21, 108, 110, 113, 122, 138]. Some studies distinguish between amorphous and                  |
| 20 | crystalline forms using various combinations of selective extractions [18, 21, 109, 113, 114,              |
| 21 | 122] (see also Table 1) for example using the difference between the dithionite-citrate-                   |
| 22 | bicarbonate (DCB) and oxalate extractions as a measure of crystalline oxides. The                          |
| 23 | distinguished mineral phases may be simulated either using by separate phase-specific                      |
| 24 | models, or by combination into a single composite oxide phase, assuming similar binding                    |
| 25 | affinities of the distinguished oxide surfaces. Dijkstra et al. [18, 109] used a single component          |
|    |  |

but distinguished between amorphous and crystalline FeO in calculating the total site density
by assigning different surface areas to the amorphous (600 m²/g) and crystalline (50 m²/g)
forms. Weng et al. [21] estimated FeO from oxalate–extracted Fe, correcting for the portion of
this extracted Fe bound to organic matter (10-29%) by estimating it with their assemblage
model.

Another approach is to simulate the amounts of (hydr)oxides from the total reactive
concentrations of Al, Fe and Mn and using mineral solubility equilibria to compute the
amounts from (hydr)oxides [18, 108, 109, 115, 116, 127, 128, 130]. Virtually no differences
were found in model performance either using the selective extraction data or modelled
amounts of AlO and FeO in soils [18, 21, 108]. In surface waters, oxide contents have been
determined by the difference between the acid available Al/Fe/Mn(including particulate
matter) minus filterable (0.45µm) concentrations of the elements [112, 139].

According to Hiemstra et al. [140] the ion binding affinities of the various Al and Fe 13 oxides are similar and can be modelled using a single oxide phase taking into account 14 differences in surface areas. Based on this assumption, an approach was developed to 15 determine the effective reactive surface area of oxides in soils using a probe anion ( $PO_4^{3-}$ ) 16 [140]. With this method, an apparent reactive surface area of  $630\pm470 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  (HFO+HAlO) 17 was found, which is close to the surface area of 600  $m^2/g$  frequently used for HFO [1], but 18 with substantial variation among soils. Dong et al. [141] determined the surface areas of 19 goethite and kaolinite in mineral assemblages using measured HA adsorption maxima on the 20 assemblage and the single constituents. 21

Organic matter - Ion binding models for OM are parameterized for isolated FA and
 HA. Natural OM comprises, in addition to FA and HA, low molecular weight organic
 molecules (acids, carbohydrates, lipids, amino acids) [142] with generally lower affinity for
 metals. Therefore measured SOM and DOM have to be interpreted in concentrations of

"active" OM with equivalent binding properties to FA and/or HA. The composition of OM
 and therefore its binding properties, varies both spatially and temporally [143].

Dissolved organic matter - In the reviewed studies assumed proportions of active 3 DOM range from 31-100% (Table 1). These proportions were chosen on the basis average 4 fractions in literature or were obtained by model optimisation or specific measurements. 5 Various studies have used the average active fraction of 65% from Bryan et al. [144] obtained 6 for freshwater DOM as an estimate for terrestrial DOM [21, 107, 121-123, 125]. Bryan et al. 7 estimated active DOM by optimizing the concentration of FA to fit copper binding data in 8 freshwaters, using Model VI. However, such optimization of the fraction of active OM 9 10 implicitly accounts also for variation in binding strengths amongst humics, which may vary considerably [145], as well as any bias in the metal-humic binding strength. This explains 11 why the optimized active fraction can exceed 100% as was found for Ni [146], Cd and Zn and 12 can also vary among metals in the same sample [147]. Groenenberg et al. [145] specifically 13 measured the humic fraction (HS=FA + HA) of DOM in soil solution extracts (0.002 M 14 CaCl<sub>2</sub>) according to the fractionation procedure of van Zomeren and Comans [148] and 15 reported fractions of HS between 14-63%. This fraction HS was used in their assemblage 16 model thereby neglecting the hydrophilic fraction. The role of the hydrophilic fraction in 17 18 metal binding is expected to be limited because most of its components have relatively low affinity to bind metals relative to HS [145]. Croué et al. [149], however, measured substantial 19 binding of Cu to a nitrogen-rich hydrophilic DOM fraction using a different fractionation 20 protocol. Thus, more research is needed on the binding properties of the operationally-21 defined organic matter fractions obtained with the various fractionation methods [148-150]. 22 Some researchers have explored the relationships between spectroscopic and fluorescence 23 characteristics of DOM and its metal-binding affinity [147, 151, 152]. Mueller et al. [147] 24 derived empirical relationships between the Model VI-optimized active fraction of DOM and 25

fluorescence measurements. Such relationships could be of practical use to *a priori* estimate
 the active fraction of DOM from measurable data, if validated for waters of widely varying
 chemical composition.

Soil organic matter - The fraction of active SOM varies between 31-87% in the 4 reviewed studies (Table 1). Active SOM can be estimated by measuring the concentrations of 5 HS [20, 109, 145], assuming chemical activity to be solely due to this component. Lumsdon 6 [20] quantified the amount of humic material in the soil using a scaled down version of the 7 International Humic Substances Society method [153] and reported fractions of HS between 8 14-87% of SOM in 7 soil samples, with the lowest fractions in O-horizons of forest soils. 9 10 Dijkstra et al. [109] measured fractions of HS in 8 sandy soils using the method of Van Zomeren and Comans in the range 25-67%. Groenenberg et al. [110] measured the fraction 11 HS in a sandy soil profile, using the method of Van Zomeren and Comans, to be 81-87 % of 12 SOM. Where data on HS concentrations have been absent, studies have estimated the active 13 fraction by model optimisation [51, 104]. Tipping et al. [107] reported optimised active SOM 14 in the range 9–86% of total SOM by fitting Model VI to the observed porewater pH in 98 UK 15 upland soils. Gustafsson et al. [12] obtained fractions of active SOM in the range 17-84% by 16 optimizing this fraction in the SHM to fit pH and soluble Al and Ca concentrations in 14 soil 17 samples from O-, A- and B-horizons of various soil types. 18

Weng et al. [21] calculated the site density of SOM for a particular soil from its
measured CEC, minus the CEC attributed to the clay fraction as calculated from the clay
content of the soil and the assumed site density of the clay. With this method they estimated
the average binding site density of SOM in a sandy soil, sampled at various depths, to be 1646% (average 36%) relative to generic humic acid [57].

### 24 Geochemically reactive element contents

The geochemically reactive [107] or labile element content [29] is the elemental 1 2 content in soil/sediment and surface water (including suspended particulate matter) available for interaction with the solution phase at short timescales through fast processes such as 3 sorption/desorption and fast (surface)-precipitation reactions. The remaining inert fraction 4 comprises element incorporated into crystal lattices of minerals or occluded in metal oxides or 5 organic matter, due to its geogenic origin or to fixation processes [154]. Isotope exchange 6 techniques are conceptually the most suitable technique to measure the geochemically 7 reactive pool (E-value) [155, 156]. Isotopically exchangeable metal has only been used in 8 three studies [121, 123, 133]. At present the method is not widely available for routine 9 10 analysis and various chemical extractions have been used to determine reactive element contents. In the 39 studies summarized in Table 1, 11 distinct methods were used to determine 11 the reactive metal content. Extractants are chosen for their perceived ability to select the 12 reactive pool of metal, either by competing with the metal for solid-phase binding sites (e.g. 13 dilute acids) or by competing with the binding sites to complex the metal (e.g. EDTA). 14 Metal cations - The use of Aqua Regia (AR) extracted metal has been shown to result 15 in overestimation of dissolved concentrations in soil solution partitioning modelling for 16 various metals i.e. Cd, Pb and particularly Ni and Zn [115, 116, 121]. Modelling using 17 18 isotopically–exchangeable metal pools gave significantly better results for Cd, Pb and particularly Zn, than did the use of AR-extracted metal pools[121, 133]. Milder acid extracts 19 of various strength have been used frequently to determine the reactive element content, such 20 as 0.1M HCl [20], 0.22M HNO<sub>3</sub> [125], 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub> [10] and 2M HNO<sub>3</sub> [21]. The strong 21 ligand EDTA has been used in several applications e.g. [108, 114]. Good agreement between 22 EDTA- and 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub>-extracted metal has been shown for organic soils (>10% SOM) 23 [107] and forested and agricultural soils [157, 158]. Metal pools measured with both 0.43M 24 HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.05M EDTA extractions compared reasonably well with the E-values for Zn, Cd 25

and Pb in acidic organic soils, however, in calcareous soils the extractants overestimated E–
values [159].

Comparison of measured metal solubility with modelling based on metal extractions 3 can provide tentative information on the suitability of specific extractions. Predictions using 4 the 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub> extractable metal pool resulted in good results but with a slight 5 overestimation of solution concentrations of Cu, Ni and Pb (with log mean error, logME 6 <0.20), a somewhat more pronounced overestimation of Cd and Zn (logME 0.30 and 0.46 7 respectively) and a slight underestimation of Cr (logME -0.14) in the study by Dijkstra et al. 8 [109] (J.J. Dijkstra, Energy research Centre of the Netherlands, The Netherlands, personal 9 10 communication). The 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub> extracted metal provided good predictions of Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Zn concentrations in solution (-0.1 <logME<0.1) in the study by Groenenberg et al. 11 [110]. Concentrations of Pb were, however, overestimated, most likely due to model failure as 12 previously discussed. Bonten et al. [108] obtained good results using either 0.43 M HNO<sub>3</sub> or 13 0.05 M EDTA for Cu (ME= -0.02) and Zn (ME=0.19) and somewhat overestimated dissolved 14 Cd (ME=0.41) but considerably overestimated dissolved Pb. Almas et al. [125] concluded 15 that 0.22 M HNO<sub>3</sub> likely underestimates reactive Cu and Zn, whereas Cd was predicted very 16 well. Extraction with 2M HNO<sub>3</sub> is likely too strong for Ni and Zn leading to an 17 overestimation of solution concentrations in the study by Weng et al. [113]. Concentrations of 18 Cd and Cu were predicted very well using 2M HNO<sub>3</sub> extraction. Bonten et al. [108] saw an 19 overestimation of Zn solubility in some samples with high reactive zinc contents extracted 20 with either 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub> or 0.05M EDTA. They tentatively attributed this to the partial 21 solubilisation of mineral (i.e. non-labile) Zn during the extractions, and thus to overestimation 22 of the geochemically reactive pool. Given sufficient robust information on solid phase 23 speciation, such artefacts can in principle be corrected for; Cances et al. [124] subtracted the 24

amount of Zn that is present as Zn/Al-hydrotalcites (20%) from the measured reactive Zn
 content as input to their modelling.

Oxy-anions - Gustafsson extracted native As using ammonium oxalate, but 3 conclusions on its appropriateness to select for the reactive fraction are difficult because most 4 of the data from that study were from added As [134]. Schröder et al. [115] found that AR 5 digestion resulted in reasonable good predictions of soluble As in alkaline soils, but 6 overestimated As in soils with pH < 6.8. Interestingly, Dijkstra et al. [109] found that the use 7 of 0.43M HNO<sub>3</sub> resulted in an underestimation of As solubility at alkaline pH and fair 8 predictions in the acid to near neutral pH range. Using the 0.43 M HNO<sub>3</sub> extraction resulted in 9 10 good predictions of soluble As (except at pH>8) and Se, but overestimated Sb and underestimated Mo solubility [109, 110]. 11 Discussion 12 The reviewed studies show great potential for the use of component additivity assemblage 13

modelling using generic model parameters and plausible measurements or estimates of the 14 reactive element and active assemblage component concentrations. Model performance can be 15 potentially improved by (1) reducing the uncertainty in model parameters especially those 16 based solely on LFERs e.g.. Sn, Sb (Fe/Al-(hydr)oxides) and Ba, Cr, Sn and V(organic 17 matter); (2) taking account of interaction between organic and mineral components especially 18 the competitive binding of organic matter with respect to oxy-anion binding to Al/Fe-19 (hydr)oxides; (3) improved methods to quantify the reactive element- and (4) active 20 assemblage component concentrations. Among the reviewed studies, the many differing 21 factors that affect model performance confound a systematic comparison of methods to 22 quantify the reactive element concentrations and reactive components. Therefore we 23 recommend a more thorough and systematic evaluation of methods to determine reactive 24

- element concentrations and reactive components with respect to their effect on model
   predictions, uncertainties and practical applicability.
- 3

### 4 FATE AND TRANSPORT MODELING

Modelling chemical transport in soils, aquifers, surface waters and sediments has great 5 importance for contamination assessment and management, for example in projecting changes 6 in chemical movement following remediation of contaminated soils and aquifers, predicting 7 potential for radionuclide migration from contaminated sites or waste repositories, or 8 predicting changes in contaminant pools in soils resulting from changes in environmental 9 10 conditions. Assemblage modelling has gradually assumed increasing importance, as equilibrium chemical speciation modelling has been shown to be superior to the constant- $K_d$ 11 approach for dynamic prediction of contaminant behaviour, e.g [160]. Despite this, the use of 12 component additivity models in groundwater transport modelling is relatively uncommon 13 compared to generalised composite modelling, e.g. [161, 162]. Some published work exists on 14 uranium transport using the component additivity approach. Bea and co-workers [163] 15 developed a two-dimensional reactive transport model coupling hydrodynamic processes with 16 solution speciation, solid precipitation and dissolution, and uranyl and H<sup>+</sup> adsorption onto the 17 18 aquifer solids using the component additivity model of Dong and co-workers [141]. The model showed reasonable agreement with observed pH and Al, U and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in 19 groundwaters at the Savannah River Site (SC, U.S.A.). 20

Component additivity modelling has been applied in a number of instances in
predicting long-term dynamics of metals in soils, and transfers to surface waters. This work is
linked to assessing ecological and human health risks of such accumulation, and in a number
of cases is linked to the dynamic prediction of soil and surface water acidification. We here

describe a number of such applications, and then discuss the future potential for developing
 such approaches.

The CHUM-AM model [164, 165] predicts long term changes in soil pH, S, N and 3 metal pools, in organic-rich soils and associated surface waters. The model couples 4 WHAM/Model VI with models for major ion and metal weathering in topsoils and S and N 5 cycling models. The model was used to predict present day soil pools and surface water 6 7 concentrations of Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in several sub-catchments of the River Duddon, northwest England. The default parameters for metal-humic substance binding were found to 8 provide good predictions (with a factor of three of the observations), with the exception of Pb, 9 10 for which the strength of binding to soil HA required increasing by 0.4 log units, largely to provide reasonable agreement with the observed surface water concentrations. 11 Bonten and co-workers [22] developed the existing SMART dynamic model of soil 12 acidification to include equilibrium speciation and partitioning of metals. The developed 13 model includes NICA-Donnan for complexation to organic matter in the soil solids and 14 porewater, a non-specific Donnan model for ion exchange on clays, and the GTLM for 15 complexation to FeO and AlO. The model also simulates C and N cycling in soil and cycling

complexation to FeO and AlO. The model also simulates C and N cycling in soil and cycling
of elements through vegetation. When the model was applied to a forested site in Germany
with acidic soils, it was shown to successfully reproduce observed trends in porewater Cu, Zn,
Cd and Pb concentrations for 1980-2004, using default parameters, suggesting that the key
processes controlling metal solubility were well described. Porewater concentrations of Cu,
Zn and Cd were generally predicted within a factor of two of the observations. Porewater
concentrations of Pb were overestimated by up to a factor of four.

Bhavsar and co–workers developed TRANSPEC [24, 166], a coupled speciation and transport model for surface waters. The model considers chemical movement and fate through environmental compartments, using the MINEQL+ model to calculate speciation within each

compartment. The model was used to simulate the dynamics of Zn in a lake subject to inputs 1 2 from mining and smelting. The lake was simulated as two basins, each having surface water, surficial sediment and deep sediment compartments. Each compartment was assumed to 3 contain dissolved, colloidal and particulate phases. Dissolved organic matter in the colloidal 4 phase was simulated using a simple diprotic model while FeO present in the particulate phase 5 under oxic conditions was assumed to be surface active, with complexation simulated using 6 the GTLM [1]. The model was able to reproduce the year-round variability in Zn 7 concentrations in the lake water column. 8

Farley and co-workers [26] have developed TICKET-UWM, a screening tool for 9 10 assessing the hazards associated with metal inputs to lakes. The model uses a coupled kinetic/equilibrium/transport algorithm to simulate dissolution kinetics of solid metal forms 11 and their subsequent transport and speciation, including complexation to dissolved and 12 particulate organic matter in the water column and sediments (using HIB Model V). The latest 13 version of the model [158] allows particulate and dissolved organic matter to be simulated 14 using HIB Models VI or VII, and allows surface complexation to FeO and MnO in the surface 15 water and sediment. 16

Ion binding and assemblage models have also been employed in the risk assessment of 17 long-term metal loads to soils [167-169]. The critical loads approach considers the steady 18 state fluxes of metals into and out of a topsoil that results in the soil metal concentration 19 equalling a critical concentration for a given toxicological or human health endpoint. Hall and 20 co-workers [169] calculated critical loads for semi-natural ecosystems in the U.K.. The 21 approach considered the balance of inputs due to metal deposition from the atmosphere with 22 leaching losses, and removal in harvested wood for forested ecosystems. Concentrations of 23 metal in the soil solution at steady state, as a function of the reactive soil metal pool, were 24 computed using a combination of a semi-empirical transfer function to relate the reactive pool 25

to the free metal ion, and a version of WHAM/Model VI to compute the total porewater metal 1 2 from the free metal ion. De Vries and Groenenberg [167] extended the approach to consider metal cycling in forested ecosystems in more detail, and to consider the dynamics of metal 3 accumulation and loss. In addition to critical loads, they considered the calculation of (i) 4 stand-still loads, where the metal load that prevents further accumulation from the present day 5 situation is computed, and (ii) target loads, where for soil exceeding the critical limit in the 6 present day, a load is calculated based on a target future year for reducing the soil reactive 7 metal to the critical limit. 8

Component additivity modelling has also been used to assess the leaching of potential
contaminants from man-made materials. Verschoor et al. [170, 171] used a reactive transport
model equipped with the model of Dijkstra et al. [109] to derive alternative emission limits
for inorganic components in granular building materials. The model, together with scenarios
for sand, clay and peat soil, is implemented in the decision support tool LeachXS [172].

Discussion The inclusion of assemblage models in models of contaminant transport 14 and dynamics demonstrates their usefulness in providing a more realistic description of ion 15 adsorption than approaches such as constant K<sub>d</sub> values, particularly for groundwater reactive 16 transport modelling. To date, component additivity models have been utilised less then 17 generalised composite models, due to the difficulties in isolating and quantifying the surface 18 reactive components of the field solid, as exemplified by the work of Davis and co-workers 19 [32]. Clearly, for the wider acceptance of the component additivity approach, methods to 20 improve such quantification are required. Additionally, for wider acceptance of the 21 assemblage approach there remain few readily applicable, parameterised models and 22 frameworks into which such models can be readily incorporated. Generic model frameworks 23 such as TICKET-UWM or ORCHESTRA [55, 56] may be useful starting points, particularly 24 if they can be made more user-friendly than at present. 25

The inclusion of assemblage modelling in tools such as TRANSPEC, the UWM and 1 2 the critical loads and related models is linked to the need to take spatial and temporal variations in ion adsorption into account in hazard and risk assessment. Here, the generalised 3 composite approach, with its reliance on parameterisation to the soil/aquifer/sediment of 4 interest, is less useful than the component additivity approach. This is a key future area for 5 development, particularly in relation to model evaluation where compositions of solid 6 materials are required, and emphasises the need to further develop and evaluate analytical 7 methods for quantifying reactive constituents and their surface areas in the environment, and 8 to quantify the reactive (surface adsorbed) pools of metals in the field. 9

10

#### 11

### SYNTHESIS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The modelling of ion binding to metal oxides and organic matter has developed to a stage where the most advanced models can be readily applied to natural environments. Comprehensive datasets of default model parameters enable models to be applied predictively, given plausible measurements or estimates of the active assemblage component concentrations. Models for clays are underrepresented in assemblage models, with the exception of models that only simulate ion exchange. Development of generic models for clay minerals would further broaden the applicability of assemblage models.

The large number of parameters in surface and HS complexation models can hamper derivation of optimal binding parameters. Using spectroscopy to probe the ion binding environment and configuration has provided data useful for constraining parameterisation, and we recommend its further use for this purpose. Parameters based on LFERs should be replaced by experimentally-derived parameters when data becomes available. Several compilations of parameters, e.g. those of Dzombak and Morel for HFO in 1990, and Milne and co-workers for humics in 2003, could be usefully updated by incorporating more recent data. There is a need for a generic set of CD-MUSIC parameters, to facilitate wider uptake of
this model.

The accuracy of assemblage model predictions for metal cations is generally good, 3 especially for those metals with a moderate to high affinity for binding to organic matter. An 4 exception is Pb, for which binding is frequently underestimated. This may be attributed to 5 various factors including the parameterisation of Pb binding to humic substances and oxides, 6 the exclusion of MnO from some assemblage models, or significant non-additive effects on 7 binding. Model performance is generally inferior for elements whose parameters are based on 8 LFER, such as Cr and Sb. Oxyanion binding, of which there are relatively few studies, 9 10 generally shows good prediction of trends but with relatively high scatter. Competition between oxyanions and humic substances for sorption, which is not considered in most 11 models, is likely to be an important reason for this. Recent advances to include the effects of 12 organic matter interaction with the oxide surface, as have been applied to both artificial and 13 natural assemblages, need optimisation of the adsorbed surface OM to fit the data. The 14 methods should be further developed and tested, particularly with natural assemblages, in 15 order to be used for predictive purposes. 16

Assemblage modelling is a powerful scientific tool to develop knowledge and 17 understanding about the processes controlling partitioning and speciation of trace elements in 18 natural systems. Ion-binding models contribute to the interpretation of experimental data by 19 allowing competing hypotheses regarding surface speciation to be tested quantitatively. 20 Assemblage modelling of ion sorption to artificial phase assemblages has a clear role to play 21 in model assessment and development, providing well-characterised and quantified systems 22 against which to test models. The LCD model is proving highly useful in developing 23 understanding of the complex interactions of combined mineral and organic assemblages, 24 moving beyond the concept of strict additivity to take the interactions among the assemblage 25

components into account. It would be useful to extend the model concept to more realistic and
 natural systems by including submodels for clay minerals and soil organic matter not
 associated with oxides.

Assemblage model prediction of ion sorption to natural assemblages is less accurate 4 than for artificial assemblages, given the inherent uncertainties in characterisation of natural 5 systems. Nonadditivity effects need to be more important than in artificial composites in order 6 7 to be detected robustly. To advance the science, we recommend top down study of assemblage systems, by firstly identifying discrepancies between experimental results and 8 model predictions for natural assemblages. Targeted experiments with artificial assemblages 9 10 can then be used to study such discrepancies in more detail and to provide data to develop models that account for non-additivity. Quantifying uncertainties in model predictions is 11 important in assessing to what degree discrepancies can be attributed to non-additivity. 12 Therefore, we recommend that calculation of uncertainty in predictions, as has been done for 13 the NICA-Donnan [145] and HIB Model VII [173], be incorporated into assemblage models. 14 Uncertainty analysis can also be employed to identify the most important sources of the 15 uncertainty in model outputs and thus to guide research on model development and methods 16 to determine parameters and site specific inputs. 17

The present review reveals a multitude of methods to determine the active contents of 18 humics and mineral surfaces available for ion binding, and to determine geochemically 19 reactive element contents in natural systems. Because of variations in model setups, 20 experimental designs and the systems under investigation, it is challenging to provide general 21 guidance on the most suitable methods to improve such determinations. We therefore 22 recommend systematic evaluation of a selection of methods on a set of soils with a wide 23 variation in soil characteristics and element contents by evaluation of the model performance 24 using the various model inputs. 25

Assemblage models have proven useful for prediction of metal transport in soils and 1 2 sediments, long term accumulation of metals in soils and prediction of concentrations in groundwaters and surface waters. Generally models are applied predictively, with the aim of 3 hazard and/or risk assessment. At present, uncertainties in adequately quantifying natural 4 systems somewhat restrict further use of the models for these purposes. Therefore, the 5 improvements already recommended should increase confidence and uptake of the models for 6 7 this purpose. For generic risk assessment, inputs should be available at the geographic scale of interest. It is however not to be expected that such detailed information will become readily 8 available at national, regional or global scale. This data gap may be tackled by the use of 9 10 pedotransfer functions (predictive functions of certain soil properties using data available from soil surveys) to relate the desired model inputs to soil information generally available at 11 the desired scales. 12

A surface complexation-type approach could possibly be used to derive a more mechanistic approach to metal uptake by and toxicity to biota. Some promising results have been obtained using humic acid sorption as an analogue for uptake by plants [15] and aquatic biota [174]. Such an approach could be incorporated into an assemblage model to create a combined speciation and uptake/toxicity model for the field.

18

Acknowledgement We thankfully acknowledge the financial support of the International
Copper Association and the International Zinc Association for this review study.

21

# 1 **REFERENCES**

- Dzombak DA, Morel FMM. 1990. Surface complexation modeling: Hydrous Ferric
   Oxide. Wiley, New York.
- Kinniburgh DG, Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK, Borkovec M, Benedetti MF, Avena
   MJ. 1999. Ion binding to natural organic matter: Competition, heterogeneity,
   stoichiometry and thermodynamic consistency. *Colloids Surf Physicochem Eng Aspects* 151:147-166.
- 8 3. Tipping E. 1994. WHAMC-A chemical equilibrium model and computer code for
  9 waters, sediments, and soils incorporating a discrete site/electrostatic model of ion10 binding by humic substances. *Computers and Geosciences* 20:973-1023.
- 114.Gustafsson JP. 2001. Modeling the acid-base properties and metal complexation of12humic substances with the Stockholm Humic Model. J Colloid Interface Sci 244:102-13112.
- Singh SPN, Mattigod SV. 1992. Modeling boron adsorption on kaolinite. *Clays Clay Miner* 40:192-205.
- Cheng T, De Schamphelaere K, Lofts S, Janssen C, Allen HE. 2005. Measurement and
   computation of zinc binding to natural dissolved organic matter in European surface
   waters. Anal Chim Acta 542:230-239.
- Tipping E, Carter HT. 2011. Aluminium speciation in streams and lakes of the UK
   Acid Waters Monitoring Network, modelled with WHAM. *Sci Total Environ* 409:1550-1558.
- Christensen JB, Botma JJ, Christensen TH. 1999. Complexation of Cu and Pb by
   DOC in polluted groundwater: A comparison of experimental data and predictions by
   computer speciation models (WHAM and MINTEQA2). *Water Res* 33:3231-3238.
- 9. Christensen JB, Tipping E, Kinniburgh DG, Grøn C, Christensen TH. 1998. Proton
  binding by groundwater fulvic acids of different age, origins, and structure modeled
  with the model V and NICA-Donnan model. *Environ Sci Technol* 32:3346-3355.
- Benedetti MF, van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK, Kinniburgh DG, Gooddy DC, Milne
   CJ. 1996. Metal ion binding by natural organic matter: from the model to the field.
   *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 60:2503-2513.
- 11. Evans LJ, Sengdy B, Lumsdon DG, Stanbury DA. 2003. Cadmium adsorption by an
   organic soil: A comparison of some humic Metal complexation models. *Chem Spec Bioavail* 15:93-100.
- Gustafsson JP, Pechova P, Berggren D. 2003. Modelling metal binding to soils: The
   role of natural organic matter. *Environmental science & technology* 37:2767-2774.
- Marang L, Reiller PE, Eidner S, Kumke MU, Benedetti MF. 2008. Combining
   spectroscopic and potentiometrie approaches to characterize competitive binding to
   humic substances. *Environ Sci Technol* 42:5094-5098.
- Tipping E. 1995. Solid Solution distributions of radionuclides in acid soils:
  Application of the WHAM chemical speciation model. *Environ Sci Technol* 29:13651372.
- Antunes PMC, Scornaienchi ML, Roshon HD. 2012. Copper toxicity to Lemna minor
   modelled using humic acid as a surrogate for the plant root. *Chemosphere* 88:389-394.
- Thakali S, Allen HE, Di Toro DM, Ponizovsky AA, Rodney CP, Zhao FJ, McGrath
  SP. 2006. A Terrestrial Biotic Ligand Model. 1. Development and application to Cu
  and Ni toxicities to barley root elongation in soils. *Environ Sci Technol* 40:7085-7093.
- Vulkan R, Zhao FJ, Barbosa-Jefferson V, Preston S, Paton GI, Tipping E, McGrath
  SP. 2000. Copper speciation and impacts on bacterial biosensors in the pore water of
  copper-contaminated soils. *Environ Sci Technol* 34:5115-5121.
  - 44

| 1  | 18. | Dijkstra JJ, Meeussen JCL, Comans RNJ. 2004. Leaching of heavy metals from                 |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  |     | contaminated soils: An experimental and modeling study. Environ Sci Technol                |
| 3  |     | 38:4390-4395.  |
| 4  | 19. | Lofts S, Tipping E. 1998. An assemblage model for cation binding by natural                |
| 5  |     | particulate matter. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 62:2609-2625.                                   |
| 6  | 20. | Lumsdon DG. 2004. Partitioning of organic carbon, aluminium and cadmium between            |
| 7  |     | solid and solution in soils: Application of a mineral-humic particle additivity model.     |
| 8  |     | <i>Eur J Soil Sci</i> 55:271-285.  |
| 9  | 21. | Weng L. Temminghoff EJM. Van Riemsdijk WH. 2001. Contribution of individual                |
| 10 |     | sorbents to the control of heavy metal activity in sandy soil. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i>  |
| 11 |     | 35.4436-4443   |
| 12 | 22  | Bonten LTC. Groenenberg JE. Meesenburg H. De Vries W. 2011. Using advanced                 |
| 13 |     | surface complexation models for modelling soil chemistry under forests. The Solling        |
| 14 |     | case. Environ Pollut 159:2831-2839.  |
| 15 | 23. | Tipping E. Rothwell II. Shotbolt L. Lawlor AJ. 2010. Dynamic modelling of                  |
| 16 | -01 | atmospherically-deposited Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in Pennine catchments (northern            |
| 17 |     | England) Environ Pollut 158:1521-1529  |
| 18 | 24  | Bhaysar SP. Gandhi N. Diamond ML 2008 Extension of coupled multispecies metal              |
| 19 | 2   | transport and speciation (TRANSPEC) model to soil. <i>Chemosphere</i> 70:914-924.          |
| 20 | 25. | Gandhi N. Bhaysar SP. Diamond ML, Kuwabara JS. Marvin-DiPasquale M.                        |
| 21 | 201 | Krabbenhoft DP. 2007. Development of a mercury speciation, fate, and biotic uptake         |
| 22 |     | (BIOTRANSPEC) model: Application to Labortan Reservoir (Nevada, USA).                      |
| 23 |     | Environ Toxicol Chem 26:2260-2273.   |
| 24 | 26. | Farley KJ, Carbonaro RF, Fanelli CJ, Costanzo R, Rader KJ, Di Toro DM, 2011.               |
| 25 |     | TICKET-UWM: A coupled kinetic, equilibrium, and transport screening model for              |
| 26 |     | metals in lakes. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem</i> 30:1278-1287.                                 |
| 27 | 27. | Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK, Kinniburgh DG, Benedetti MF, Weng L, 2006.                    |
| 28 |     | Modeling the interactions between humics, ions, and mineral surfaces. <i>Environ Sci</i>   |
| 29 |     | Technol 40:7473-7480.  |
| 30 | 28. | Koopal LK. Van Riemsdijk WH. Kinniburgh DG. 2001. Humic matter and                         |
| 31 |     | contaminants. General aspects and modeling metal ion binding. <i>Pure and Applied</i>      |
| 32 |     | <i>Chemistry</i> 73:2005-2016.   |
| 33 | 29. | Degryse F. Smolders E. Parker DR. 2009. Partitioning of metals (Cd. Co. Cu. Ni, Pb.        |
| 34 |     | Zn) in soils: concepts, methodologies, prediction and applications - a review. Eur J       |
| 35 |     | Soil Sci 60:590-612.   |
| 36 | 30. | Sauvé S, Hendershot W, Allen HE. 2000. Solid-solution partitioning of metals in            |
| 37 |     | contaminated soils: Dependence on pH, total metal burden and organic matter.               |
| 38 |     | Environmental science & technology 34:1125-1131.   |
| 39 | 31. | Goldberg S, Criscenti LJ, Turner DR, Davis JA, Cantrell KJ. 2007. Adsorption-              |
| 40 |     | desorption processes in subsurface reactive transport modeling. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> |
| 41 |     | 6:407-435.   |
| 42 | 32. | Davis JA, Coston JA, Kent DB, Fuller CC. 1998. Application of the surface                  |
| 43 |     | complexation concept to complex mineral assemblages. Environ Sci Technol 32:2820-          |
| 44 |     | 2828.  |
| 45 | 33. | Goldberg S. 2014. Application of surface complexation models to anion adsorption           |
| 46 |     | by natural materials <i>Environ Toxicol Chem</i> DOI: 10.1002/etc.2566.                    |
| 47 | 34. | Perdue EM, Beck KC, Reuter JH. 1976. Organic complexes of iron and aluminum in             |
| 48 |     | natural waters. Nature 260:418-420.  |
|    |     |  |

| 1  | 35.        | Schnitzer M. 1971. Metal-organic matter interactions in soils and waters. In Faust SD,   |
|----|------------|--|
| 2  |            | Hunter JV, eds, Organic Compounds in Aquatic Environments. Marcel Dekker, New            |
| 3  |            | York, pp 297-313.  |
| 4  | 36.        | Pommer A, Breger I. 1960. Potentiometric titration and equivalent weight of humic        |
| 5  |            | acid. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 20:30-44.   |
| 6  | 37.        | Mantoura RFC, Dickson A, Riley JP. 1978. Complexation of metals with humic               |
| 7  |            | materials in natural-waters. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 6:387-408.             |
| 8  | 38.        | Wilson DE. 1978. An equilibrium model describing the influence of humic materials        |
| 9  |            | on the speciation of Cu2+, Zn2+, and Mn2+ in freshwaters. Limnol Oceanogr 23:499-        |
| 10 |            | 507.   |
| 11 | 39.        | Wilson DE, Kinney P. 1977. Effects of polymeric charge variations on proton-metal        |
| 12 |            | ion equilibria of humic materials. Limnol Oceanogr 22:281-289.                           |
| 13 | 40.        | Tipping E. 1993. Modeling ion-binding by humic acids. Colloids and Surfaces a-           |
| 14 |            | Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 73:117-131.                                      |
| 15 | 41.        | Tipping E, Hurley MA. 1992. A unifying model of cation binding by humic                  |
| 16 |            | substances. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 56:3627-3641.   |
| 17 | 42.        | Tanford C. 1961. Physical chemistry of macromolecules. John Wiley and Sons, New          |
| 18 |            | York.  |
| 19 | 43.        | Tipping E. 1998. Humic ion-binding model VI: An improved description of the              |
| 20 |            | interactions of protons and metal ions with humic substances. Aquatic Geochemistry       |
| 21 |            | 4:3-48.  |
| 22 | 44.        | Tipping E, Lofts S, Sonke JE. 2011. Humic Ion-Binding Model VII: a revised               |
| 23 |            | parameterisation of cation-binding by humic substances. Environmental Chemistry          |
| 24 |            | 8:225-235.   |
| 25 | 45.        | Smith EJ, Rey-Castro C, Longworth H, Lofts S, Lawlor AJ, Tipping E. 2004. Cation         |
| 26 |            | binding by acid-washed peat, interpreted with Humic Ion-Binding Model VI-FD. Eur         |
| 27 |            | J Soil Sci 55:433-447.   |
| 28 | 46.        | Marsac R, Davranche M, Gruau G, Bouhnik-Le Coz M, Dia A. 2011. An improved               |
| 29 |            | description of the interactions between rare earth elements and humic acids by           |
| 30 |            | modeling: PHREEQC-Model VI coupling. <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 75:5625-5637.         |
| 31 | 47.        | Liu DJ, Bruggeman C, Maes N. 2008. The influence of natural organic matter on the        |
| 32 |            | speciation and solubility of Eu in Boom Clay porewater. <i>Radiochimica Acta</i> 96:711- |
| 33 |            | 720.   |
| 34 | 48.        | Venema P, Hiemstra T, Van Riemsdijk WH. 1996. Comparison of different site               |
| 35 |            | binding models for cation sorption: Description of pH dependency, salt dependency,       |
| 36 | 10         | and cation-proton exchange. J Colloid Interface Sci 181:45-59.                           |
| 37 | 49.        | Gustafsson JP, Kleja DB. 2005. Modeling salt-dependent proton binding by organic         |
| 38 |            | soils with the NICA-Donnan and Stockholm Humic models. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i>        |
| 39 | 50         | 39:5372-5377.  |
| 40 | 50.        | Hiemstra T, Van Riemsdijk WH. 1996. A surface structural approach to ion                 |
| 41 | <b>F</b> 1 | adsorption: The charge distribution (CD) model. J Colloid Interface Sci 179:488-508.     |
| 42 | 51.        | Gustafsson JP, Van Schaik JWJ. 2003. Cation binding in a mor layer: Batch                |
| 43 | 50         | experiments and modelling. Eur J Soil Sci 54:295-310.                                    |
| 44 | 52.        | Gustafsson JP. 2012. Visual MINTEQ, [cited 20-09-2013].available                         |
| 45 |            | rromnttp://www2.iwr.ktn.se/English/OurSoftware/vminteq/download.html.                    |
| 46 | 50         | Kanalik Kanalik With the With ICNA Day 1 (1) ME 1004 A 1 (1)                             |
| 47 | 55.        | Koopai LK, van Kiemsaijk WH, de Wit JUM, Benedetti MF. 1994. Analytical                  |
| 48 |            | Isomerm Equations for Multicomponent Adsorption to Heterogeneous Surfaces. J             |
| 49 |            | Couola Interface Sci 100:51-00.  |

| 1  | 54. | Benedetti MF, Milne CJ, Kinniburgh DG, Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK. 1995.             |
|----|-----|---|
| 2  |     | Metal-ion binding to humic substances - Application of the Nonideal Competitive       |
| 3  |     | Adsorption Model. Environmental Science & Technology 29:446-457.                      |
| 4  | 55. | Benedetti MF, Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK. 1996. Humic substances considered          |
| 5  |     | as a heterogeneous donnan gel phase. Environmental Science & Technology 30:1805-      |
| 6  |     | 1813.   |
| 7  | 56. | Milne CJ, Kinniburgh DG, Tipping E. 2001. Generic NICA-Donnan model parameters        |
| 8  |     | for proton binding by humic substances. Environ Sci Technol 35:2049-2059.             |
| 9  | 57. | Milne CJ, Kinniburgh DG, Van Riemsdijk WH, Tipping E. 2003. Generic NICA -            |
| 10 |     | Donnan model parameters for metal-ion binding by humic substances. Environ Sci        |
| 11 |     | Technol 37:958-971.   |
| 12 | 58. | Keizer MG, Van Riemsdijk W. 1998. ECOSAT Equilibrium Calculation of Speciation        |
| 13 |     | and Transport. Wageningen University, Wageningen.                                     |
| 14 | 59. | Meeussen JCL. 2003. Orchestra: An object-oriented framework for implementing          |
| 15 |     | chemical equilibrium models. Environ Sci Technol 37:1175-1182.                        |
| 16 | 60. | Meeussen JCL. 2013. ORCHESTRA home page, [cited 11-11-2013].available                 |
| 17 |     | fromhttp://www.meeussen.nl/orchestra/.  |
| 18 |     |   |
| 19 | 61. | Overbeek JTG. 1952. Electrocheistry of the Double Layer. In Kruyt HR, ed, Colloid     |
| 20 |     | Science. Elsevier Amsterdam.  |
| 21 | 62. | Atkinson RJ. 1967. Adsorption of potential-determining ions at the ferric oxide-      |
| 22 |     | aqueous electrolyte interface. Journal of Physical Chemistry 71:550-558.              |
| 23 | 63. | Sigg L, Stumm W. 1981. The interaction of anions and weak acids with the hydrous      |
| 24 |     | goethite ( $\alpha$ -FeOOH) surface. Colloids and Surfaces 2:101-117.                 |
| 25 | 64. | Stumm W, Huang CP, Jenkins SR. 1970. Specific chemical interaction affecting the      |
| 26 |     | stability of dispersed systems. Croatia Chemica Acta 42:223-245.                      |
| 27 | 65. | Davis JA, James RO, Leckie JO. 1978. Surface ionization and complexation at the       |
| 28 |     | oxide/water interface. I. Computation of electrical double layer properties in simple |
| 29 |     | electrolytes. J Colloid Interface Sci 63:480-499.                                     |
| 30 | 66. | Yates DE, Levine S, Healy TW. 1974. Site-binding model of the electrical double       |
| 31 |     | layer at the oxide/water interface. Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday          |
| 32 |     | Transactions 1: Physical Chemistry in Condensed Phases 70:1807-1818.                  |
| 33 | 67. | Goldberg S. 1995. Adsorption models incorporated into chemical equilibrium models.    |
| 34 |     | In Loeppert RH, Schwab AP, Goldberg S, eds, Chemical equilibrium and reaction         |
| 35 |     | modelsSSSA special publication 42. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, pp       |
| 36 |     | 75-95.  |
| 37 | 68. | Allison JD, Brown DS, Novogradac KJ. 1991. MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2,                         |
| 38 |     | Geochemical assessment model for environmental systems: version 3.11 databases        |
| 39 |     | and version 3.0 user's manual. Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. EPA,           |
| 40 |     | Athens, GA.   |
| 41 | 69. | Parkhurst DL, Appelo CAJ. 2013. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC         |
| 42 |     | version 3—A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional          |
| 43 |     | transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. U.S. Geological Survey Techniques    |
| 44 |     | and Methods book 6, p. 497  |
| 45 | 70. | Appelo CAJ, Postma D. 1993. Geochemistry, groundwater and pollution. Balkema          |
| 46 |     | publishers, Leiden.   |
| 47 | 71. | Tonkin JW, Balistrieri LS, Murray JW. 2004. Modeling sorption of divalent metal       |
| 48 |     | cations on hydrous manganese oxide using the diffuse double layer model. Appl         |
| 49 |     | Geochem 19:29-53.   |

72. Karamalidis AK, Dzombak DA. 2010. Surface Complexation Modeling: Gibbsite. 1 2 Wiley, Hoboken Hiemstra T, Venema P, Van Riemsdijk WH. 1996. Intrinsic proton affinity of reactive 3 73. surface groups of metal (Hydr)oxides: The bond valence principle. J Colloid Interface 4 Sci 184:680-692. 5 6 74. Hiemstra T, Van Riemsdijk WH, Bolt GH. 1989. Multisite Proton Adsorption 7 Modeling at the Solid/Solution Interface of (Hydr)oxides: A New ApproachI. Model Description and Evaluation of Intrinsic Reaction Constants. J Colloid Interface Sci 8 133:91-104. 9 75. Rietra RPJJ, Hiemstra T, Van Riemsdijk WH. 2001. Comparison of selenate and 10 sulfate adsorption on goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 240:384-390. 11 76. Ponthieu M, Juillot F, Hiemstra T, van Riemsdijk WH, Benedetti MF. 2006. Metal ion 12 binding to iron oxides. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:2679-2698. 13 77. Carbonaro RF, Di Toro DM. 2007. Linear free energy relationships for metal-ligand 14 complexation: Monodentate binding to negatively-charged oxygen donor atoms. 15 Geochim Cosmochim Acta 71:3958-3968. 16 Van Zomeren A, Costa A, Pinheiro JP, Comans RNJ. 2009. Proton binding properties 78. 17 of humic substances originating from natural and contaminated materials. Environ Sci 18 19 Technol 43:1393-1399. De Wit JCM, Van Riemsdijk WH, Nederlof MM, Kinniburgh DG, Koopal LK. 1990. 79. 20 Analysis of ion binding on humic substances and the determination of intrinsic affinity 21 distributions. Anal Chim Acta 232:189-207. 22 80. Lenoir T, Matynia A, Manceau A. 2010. Convergence-Optimized Procedure for 23 Applying the NICA-Donnan Model to Potentiometric Titrations of Humic Substances. 24 25 Environmental science & technology 44:6221-6227. 81. Gustafsson JP, Persson I, Oromieh AG, Van Schaik JWJ, Sjöstedt C, Kleja DB. 2014. 26 Chromium(III) complexation to natural organic matter: Mechanisms and modeling. 27 Environ Sci Technol 48:1753-1761. 28 82. Kleja DB, Shibutani S, Persson I, Gustafsson JP. 2013. Binding of Ag(I) by Organic 29 Soil Materials and Isolated Humic Substances: XANES Spectroscopy and Modeling. 30 12th International Conference on the Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements, Athens GA, 31 32 June 16-20. Stachowicz M, Hiemstra T, van Riemsdijk WH. 2008. Multi-competitive interaction 83. 33 of As(III) and As(V) oxyanions with  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $PO^{3-4}$ , and  $CO^{2-3}$  ions on goethite. J 34 Colloid Interface Sci 320:400-414. 35 Ahmed IAM, Hamilton-Taylor J, Lofts S, Meeussen JCL, Lin C, Zhang H, Davison 84. 36 W. 2013. Testing copper-speciation predictions in freshwaters over a wide range of 37 metal-organic matter ratios. Environ Sci Technol 47:1487-1495. 38 85. Landry CJ, Koretsky CM, Lund TJ, Schaller M, Das S. 2009. Surface complexation 39 modeling of Co(II) adsorption on mixtures of hydrous ferric oxide, quartz and 40 kaolinite. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 73:3723-3737. 41 86. Lund TJ, Koretsky CM, Landry CJ, Schaller MS, Das S. 2008. Surface complexation 42 modeling of Cu(II) adsorption on mixtures of hydrous ferric oxide and kaolinite. 43 44 Geochemical Transactions 9. 87. Reich TJ, Das S, Koretsky CM, Lund TJ, Landry CJ. 2010. Surface complexation 45 modeling of Pb(II) adsorption on mixtures of hydrous ferric oxide, quartz and 46 kaolinite. Chemical Geology 275:262-271. 47 88. Schaller MS, Koretsky CM, Lund TJ, Landry CJ. 2009. Surface complexation 48 modeling of Cd(II) adsorption on mixtures of hydrous ferric oxide, quartz and 49 kaolinite. J Colloid Interface Sci 339:302-309. 50 48

| 1  | 89.  | Sverjensky DA, Sahai N. 1996. Theoretical prediction of single-site surface-               |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | protonation equilibrium constants for oxides and silicates in water. Geochim               |
| 3  |      | <i>Cosmochim Acta</i> 60:3773-3797.  |
| 4  | 90.  | Vermeer AWP, McCulloch JK, Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK. 1999. Metal ion                    |
| 5  |      | adsorption to complexes of humic acid and metal oxides: Deviations from the                |
| 6  |      | additivity rule. Environ Sci Technol 33:3892-3897.   |
| 7  | 91.  | Christl I. Kretzschmar R. 2001. Interaction of copper and fulvic acid at the hematite-     |
| 8  | ,    | water interface. <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 65:3435-3442.                               |
| 9  | 92.  | Heidmann I. Christl I. Kretzschmar R. 2005. Sorption of Cu and Pb to kaolinite-fulvic      |
| 10 | 2.   | acid colloids: Assessment of sorbent interactions <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 69:1675-   |
| 11 |      | 1686   |
| 12 | 93   | Saito T. Koopal I.K. Nagasaki S. Tanaka S. 2005. Analysis of copper hinding in the         |
| 13 | 15.  | ternary system CU2+/humic acid/goethite at neutral to acidic pH <i>Environmental</i>       |
| 14 |      | Science & Technology 39.4886-4893  |
| 15 | 94   | Weng LP Koopal I K Hiemstra T Meeussen ICL Van Riemsdijk WH 2005                           |
| 16 | 74.  | Interactions of calcium and fulvic acid at the goethite water interface. <i>Gaachim</i>    |
| 17 |      | Cosmoghim A eta 60:325, 330  |
| 10 | 05   | Wang I D. Van Diamadiik WH. Hiamatra T. 2008. Cu2+ and Ca2+ adaptation to                  |
| 10 | 95.  | southite in the presence of fulvio acids. <i>Caeshim Cosmochim Acta</i> 72:5857, 5870      |
| 19 | 06   | Wong L. Von Diomodiik WH, Hiometra T. 2008, Humia Nenoparticles at the                     |
| 20 | 90.  | Ovide Water Interface: Interactions with Discribeta Ion Adaptation Environmental           |
| 21 |      | Science & Technology 42:9747 9752  |
| 22 | 07   | Science & Technology 42.8/4/-8/52.   |
| 23 | 97.  | weng L, van Riemsdijk wH, Hiemstra 1. 2009. Effects of Fulvic and Humic Acids              |
| 24 |      | Science & Technology 42:7108 7204  |
| 25 | 00   | Science & Technology 45:/198-7204.   |
| 26 | 98.  | Janoi N, Benedetti MF, Keller PE. 2013. Influence of solution parameters on                |
| 2/ |      | europium(III), $\alpha$ -AI2O3, and numic acid interactions: Macroscopic and time-resolved |
| 28 | 00   | laser-induced luminescence data. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 123:35-54.                         |
| 29 | 99.  | Janot N, Reiller PE, Benedetti MF. 2013. Modelling Eu(III) speciation in a                 |
| 30 |      | Eu(III)/PAHA/a-AI2O3 ternary system. Colloids Surf Physicochem Eng Aspects                 |
| 31 | 100  | 435:9-15.  |
| 32 | 100. | Davis JA. 1982. Adsorption of natural dissolved organic matter at the oxide water          |
| 33 | 101  | interface. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 46:2381-2393.  |
| 34 | 101. | Tipping E. 1981. The adsorption of aquatic humic substances by iron-oxides. <i>Geochim</i> |
| 35 | 100  | <i>Cosmochim Acta</i> 45:191-199.  |
| 36 | 102. | Filius JD, Meeussen JCL, Lumsdon DG, Hiemstra T, van Riemsdijk WH. 2003.                   |
| 37 |      | Modeling the binding of fulvic acid by goethite: The speciation of adsorbed FA             |
| 38 | 100  | molecules. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 67:1463-1474.  |
| 39 | 103. | Weng LP, Van Riemsdijk WH, Hiemstra T. 2007. Adsorption of humic acids onto                |
| 40 |      | goethite: Effects of molar mass, pH and ionic strength. J Colloid Interface Sci            |
| 41 | 101  | 314:107-118.   |
| 42 | 104. | Lofts S, Woof C, Tipping E, Clarke N, Mulder J. 2001. Modelling pH buffering and           |
| 43 |      | aluminium solubility in European forest soils. <i>Eur J Soil Sci</i> 52:189-204.           |
| 44 | 105. | MacDonald JD, Hendershot WH. 2006. Modelling trace metal partitioning in forest            |
| 45 |      | floors of northern soils near metal smelters. <i>Environ Pollut</i> 143:228-240.           |
| 46 | 106. | Shi Z, Allen HE, Di Toro DM, Lee SZ, Flores Meza DM, Lofts S. 2007. Predicting             |
| 47 |      | cadmium adsorption on soils using WHAM VI. Chemosphere 69:605-612.                         |
| 48 | 107. | Tipping E, Rieuwerts J, Pan G, Ashmore MR, Lofts S, Hill MTR, Farago ME,                   |
| 49 |      | Thornton I. 2003. The solid-solution partitioning of heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb) in      |
| 50 |      | upland soils of England and Wales. Environ Pollut 125:213-225.                             |
|    |      | 49   |
|    |      |  |

| 1  | 108. | Bonten LTC, Groenenberg JE, Weng L, van Riemsdijk WH. 2008. Use of speciation               |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | and complexation models to estimate heavy metal sorption in soils. Geoderma                 |
| 3  |      | 146:303-310.  |
| 4  | 109. | Dijkstra JJ, Meeussen JCL, Comans RNJ. 2009. Evaluation of a generic multisurface           |
| 5  |      | sorption model for inorganic soil contaminants. Environ Sci Technol 43:6196-6201.           |
| 6  | 110. | Groenenberg JE, Dijkstra JJ, Bonten LTC, de Vries W, Comans RNJ. 2012.                      |
| 7  |      | Evaluation of the performance and limitations of empirical regression models and            |
| 8  |      | process based multisurface models to predict trace element solubility in soils. Environ     |
| 9  |      | <i>Pollut</i> 168:98-107.   |
| 10 | 111. | Cui Y, Weng L. 2013. Arsenate and phosphate adsorption in relation to oxides                |
| 11 |      | composition in soils: LCD modeling. Environ Sci Technol 47:7269-7276.                       |
| 12 | 112. | Lofts S, Tipping E. 2000. Solid-solution metal partitioning in the Humber rivers:           |
| 13 |      | Application of WHAM and SCAMP. Sci Total Environ 251-252:381-399.                           |
| 14 | 113. | Weng L, Temminghoff EJM, Lofts S, Tipping E, Van Riemsdijk WH. 2002.                        |
| 15 |      | Complexation with dissolved organic matter and solubility control of heavy metals in        |
| 16 |      | a sandy soil. Environ Sci Technol 36:4804-4810.   |
| 17 | 114. | Khai NM, Öborn I, Hillier S, Gustafsson JP. 2008. Modeling of metal binding in              |
| 18 |      | tropical Fluvisols and Acrisols treated with biosolids and wastewater. <i>Chemosphere</i>   |
| 19 |      | 70:1338-1346.   |
| 20 | 115. | Schröder TJ, Hiemstra T, Vink JPM, Van Der Zee SEATM. 2005. Modeling of the                 |
| 21 |      | solid-solution partitioning of heavy metals and arsenic in embanked flood plain soils       |
| 22 |      | of the rivers rhine and meuse. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 39:7176-7184.                     |
| 23 | 116. | Schröder TJ, van Riemsdijk WH, van der Zee SEATM, Vink JPM, 2008, Monitoring                |
| 24 |      | and modelling of the solid-solution partitioning of metals and As in a river floodplain     |
| 25 |      | redox sequence. Appl Geochem 23:2350-2363.  |
| 26 | 117. | Shi Z, Allen HE, Di Toro DM, Lee S-Z, Harsh JB, 2013. Predicting PbII adsorption            |
| 27 |      | on soils: the roles of soil organic matter, cation competition and iron (hydr)oxides.       |
| 28 |      | Environmental Chemistry 10:465-474.   |
| 29 | 118. | Voegelin A. Vulava VM, Kretzschmar R. 2001, Reaction-based model describing                 |
| 30 |      | competitive sorption and transport of Cd, Zn, and Ni in an acidic soil. <i>Environ Sci</i>  |
| 31 |      | <i>Technol</i> 35:1651-1657.  |
| 32 | 119. | Gu X, Evans LJ, 2007, Modelling the adsorption of Cd(II), Cu(II), Ni(II), Pb(II), and       |
| 33 |      | Zn(II) onto Fithian illite. J Colloid Interface Sci 307:317-325.                            |
| 34 | 120. | Gu X, Evans LJ, 2008, Surface complexation modelling of Cd(II), Cu(II), Ni(II),             |
| 35 |      | Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption onto kaolinite. <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 72:267-276.      |
| 36 | 121. | Marzouk ER, Chenery SR, Young SD, 2013, Predicting the solubility and lability of           |
| 37 |      | Zn, Cd, and Pb in soils from a minespoil-contaminated catchment by stable isotopic          |
| 38 |      | exchange. <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 123:1-16.   |
| 39 | 122. | Fest EPMJ, Temminghoff EJM, Grifficen J, Van Riemsdijk WH, 2005, Proton                     |
| 40 |      | buffering and metal leaching in sandy soils. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 39:7901-7908.       |
| 41 | 123. | Izquierdo M. Tye AM. Chenery SR. 2013. Lability, solubility and speciation of Cd. Pb        |
| 42 | 1201 | and Zn in alluvial soils of the River Trent catchment UK. <i>Environmental Sciences</i> :   |
| 43 |      | Processes and Impacts 15:1844-1858  |
| 44 | 124  | Cances B Ponthieu M Castrec-Rouelle M Aubry E Benedetti ME 2003 Metal ions                  |
| 45 | 1211 | speciation in a soil and its solution: Experimental data and model results. <i>Geoderma</i> |
| 46 |      | 113·341-355   |
| 47 | 125  | Almas AR, Lofts S, Mulder J, Tipping E, 2007 Solubility of major cations and Cu. Zn         |
| 48 |      | and Cd in soil extracts of some contaminated agricultural soils near a zinc smelter in      |
| 49 |      | Norway: Modelling with a multisurface extension of WHAM <i>Eur I Soil Sci</i> 58:1074-      |
| 50 |      | 1086.   |
| 50 |      | 50  |
|    |      |   |

| 1  | 126. | Nachtegaal M, Sparks DL. 2004. Effect of iron oxide coatings on zinc sorption            |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | mechanisms at the clay-mineral/water interface. J Colloid Interface Sci 276:13-23.       |
| 3  | 127. | Paulson AJ, Balistrieri L. 1999. Modeling removal of Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn in acidic        |
| 4  |      | groundwater during neutralization by ambient surface waters and groundwaters.            |
| 5  |      | Environ Sci Technol 33:3850-3856.  |
| 6  | 128. | Tonkin JW, Balistrieri LS, Murray JW. 2002. Modeling metal removal onto natural          |
| 7  |      | particles formed during mixing of acid rock drainage with ambient surface water.         |
| 8  |      | Environ Sci Technol 36:484-492.  |
| 9  | 129. | Gustafsson JP, Tiberg C, Edkymish A, Kleja DB. 2011. Modelling lead(II) sorption to      |
| 10 |      | ferrihydrite and soil organic matter. Environmental Chemistry 8:485-492.                 |
| 11 | 130. | Balistrieri LS, Box SE, Tonkin JW. 2003. Modeling precipitation and sorption of          |
| 12 |      | elements during mixing of river water and porewater in the Coeur d'Alene River basin.    |
| 13 |      | Environ Sci Technol 37:4694-4701.  |
| 14 | 131. | Shi Z, Di Toro DM, Allen HE, Sparks DL. 2008. A WHAM - Based kinetics model              |
| 15 |      | for Zn adsorption and desorption to soils. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 42:5630-5636.      |
| 16 | 132. | Shi Z, Di Toro DM, Allen HE, Sparks DL. 2013. A general model for kinetics of            |
| 17 |      | heavy metal adsorption and desorption on soils. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 47:3761-3767. |
| 18 | 133. | Buekers J, Degryse F, Maes A, Smolders E. 2008. Modelling the effects of ageing on       |
| 19 |      | Cd, Zn, Ni and Cu solubility in soils using an assemblage model. Eur J Soil Sci          |
| 20 |      | 59:1160-1170.  |
| 21 | 134. | Gustafsson JP. 2006. Arsenate adsorption to soils: Modelling the competition from        |
| 22 |      | humic substances. Geoderma 136:320-330.  |
| 23 | 135. | Lumsdon DG, Meeussen JCL, Paterson E, Garden LM, Anderson P. 2001. Use of                |
| 24 |      | solid phase characterisation and chemical modelling for assessing the behaviour of       |
| 25 |      | arsenic in contaminated soils. Appl Geochem 16:571-581.                                  |
| 26 | 136. | Hiemstra T, Mia S, Duhaut PB, Molleman B. 2013. Natural and pyrogenic humic              |
| 27 |      | acids at goethite and natural oxide surfaces interacting with phosphate. Environ Sci     |
| 28 |      | Technol 47:9182-9189.  |
| 29 | 137. | Hiemstra T, Antelo J, van Rotterdam AMD, van Riemsdijk WH. 2010. Nanoparticles           |
| 30 |      | in natural systems II: The natural oxide fraction at interaction with natural organic    |
| 31 |      | matter and phosphate. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 74:59-69.                                   |
| 32 | 138. | Linde M, Öborn I, Gustafsson JP. 2007. Effects of changed soil conditions on the         |
| 33 |      | mobility of trace metals in moderately contaminated urban soils. Water, Air, and Soil    |
| 34 |      | Pollution 183:69-83.   |
| 35 | 139. | Butler BA, Ranville JF, Ross PE. 2008. Observed and modeled seasonal trends in           |
| 36 |      | dissolved and particulate Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn in a mining-impacted stream. Water Res      |
| 37 |      | 42:3135-3145.  |
| 38 | 140. | Hiemstra T, Antelo J, Rahnemaie R, van Riemsdijk WH. 2010. Nanoparticles in              |
| 39 |      | natural systems I: The effective reactive surface area of the natural oxide fraction in  |
| 40 |      | field samples. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 74:41-58.  |
| 41 | 141. | Dong W, Tokunaga TK, Davis JA, Wan J. 2012. Uranium(VI) adsorption and surface           |
| 42 |      | complexation modeling onto background sediments from the F-Area Savannah River           |
| 43 |      | site. Environ Sci Technol 46:1565-1571.  |
| 44 | 142. | Stevenson FJ. 1994. Humus chemistry: genesis, composition, reactions., second ed.        |
| 45 |      | John Wiley & Sons, New York.   |
| 46 | 143. | Amery F. 2010. Complexation of copper with dissolved organic matter in soil              |
| 47 |      | solution: relationship with organic matter characteristics and effects on mobility of    |
| 48 |      | copper in the soil profile. PhD thesis. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven.          |

| 1  | 144.  | Bryan SE, Tipping E, Hamilton-Taylor J. 2002. Comparison of measured and                        |
|----|-------|---|
| 2  |       | modelled copper binding by natural organic matter in freshwaters. Comparative                   |
| 3  |       | Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology 133:37-49.                          |
| 4  | 145.  | Groenenberg JE, Koopmans GF, Comans RNJ. 2010. Uncertainty analyses of the                      |
| 5  |       | Nonideal Competitive Adsorption-Donnan Model: Effects of dissolved organic matter               |
| 6  |       | variability on predicted metal speciation in soil solution. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i>          |
| 7  |       | 44:1340-1346.   |
| 8  | 146.  | Van Laer L. Smolders E. Degryse F. Janssen C. De Schamphelaere KAC. 2006.                       |
| 9  |       | Speciation of nickel in surface waters measured with the Donnan membrane                        |
| 10 |       | technique. Anal Chim Acta 578:195-202.  |
| 11 | 147.  | Mueller KK, Lofts S, Fortin C, Campbell PGC, 2012. Trace metal speciation                       |
| 12 |       | predictions in natural aquatic systems: Incorporation of dissolved organic matter               |
| 13 |       | (DOM) spectroscopic quality. <i>Environmental Chemistry</i> 9:356-368.                          |
| 14 | 148.  | Van Zomeren A. Comans RNL 2007. Measurement of humic and fulvic acid                            |
| 15 | 1 101 | concentrations and dissolution properties by a rapid ratch procedure <i>Environ Sci</i>         |
| 16 |       | Technol 41:6755-6761  |
| 17 | 149   | Croue IP Benedetti MF Violleau D Leenheer IA 2003 Characterization and copper                   |
| 18 | 117.  | binding of humic and nonhumic organic matter isolated from the South Platte River.              |
| 19 |       | Evidence for the presence of nitrogenous binding site <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 37:328-        |
| 20 |       | 336   |
| 21 | 150.  | Amery F. Vanmoorleghem C. Smolders E. 2009. Adapted DAX-8 fractionation                         |
| 22 | 1001  | method for dissolved organic matter (DOM) from soils: Development, calibration with             |
| 23 |       | test components and application to contrasting soil solutions. <i>Eur J Soil Sci</i> 60:956-    |
| 24 |       | 965.  |
| 25 | 151.  | Cornu JY, Schneider A, Jezequel K, Denaix L. 2011. Modelling the complexation of                |
| 26 |       | Cd in soil solution at different temperatures using the UV-absorbance of dissolved              |
| 27 |       | organic matter. Geoderma 162:65-70.   |
| 28 | 152.  | Amery F. Degryse F. Chevns K. De Trover I. Mertens J. Merckx R. Smolders E. 2008.               |
| 29 |       | The UV-absorbance of dissolved organic matter predicts the fivefold variation in its            |
| 30 |       | affinity for mobilizing Cu in an agricultural soil horizon. <i>Eur J Soil Sci</i> 59:1087-1095. |
| 31 | 153.  | Swift RS. 1996. Organic matter characterization. In Sparks DL, ed, <i>Methods of soil</i>       |
| 32 |       | analysis: Part 3 Chemical methods, Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, WI, pp 1011-1069.               |
| 33 | 154.  | Buekers J. Van Laer L. Amery F. Van Buggenhout S. Maes A. Smolders E. 2007.                     |
| 34 |       | Role of soil constituents in fixation of soluble Zn. Cu. Ni and Cd added to soils. <i>Eur J</i> |
| 35 |       | Soil Sci 58:1514-1524.  |
| 36 | 155.  | Comans RNJ, 1987, Adsorption, desorption and isotopic exchange of cadmium on                    |
| 37 |       | illite: Evidence for complete reversibility. <i>Water Res</i> 21:1573-1576.                     |
| 38 | 156.  | Smolders E, Brans K, Földi A, Merkx R, 1999, Cadmium fixation in soils measured                 |
| 39 |       | by isotopic dilution. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63:78-85.   |
| 40 | 157.  | De Vries W. Curlik J. Muranvi A. Alloway B. Groenenberg BJ. 2005. Assessment of                 |
| 41 |       | relationships between total and reactive concentrations of cadmium, copper, lead and            |
| 42 |       | zinc in Hungarian and Slovakian soils. <i>Ekologia-Bratislava</i> 24:152-169.                   |
| 43 | 158.  | Groenenberg JE. Römkens PFAM, Tipping E. Pampoura T. de Vries W. Schütze G.                     |
| 44 |       | 2003. Transfer functions for the calculation of critical loads for lead, cadmium and            |
| 45 |       | mercury. Proceedings Expert meeting on critical limits for heavy metals and methods             |
| 46 |       | for their application, Berlin 2-4 December 2002. held under the UN/ECE Convention               |
| 47 |       | on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution UBA-Texte 47/03. Umweltbundesamt.                     |
| 48 |       | Berlin.   |
|    |       |   |

| 1        | 159.  | Marzouk ER, Chenery SR, Young SD. 2013. Measuring reactive metal in soil: A  |
|----------|-------|--|
| 2        |       | comparison of multi-element isotopic dilution and chemical extraction. Eur J Soil Sci  |
| 3        |       | 64:526-536.  |
| 4        | 160.  | Bethke CM, Brady PV. 2000. How the K(d) approach undermines ground water   |
| 5        |       | cleanup. Ground Water 38:435-443.  |
| 6        | 161.  | Jung HB, Charette MA, Zheng Y. 2009. Field, Laboratory, and Modeling Study of  |
| 7        |       | Reactive Transport of Groundwater Arsenic in a Coastal Aquifer. Environmental  |
| 8        |       | science & technology 43:5333-5338.   |
| 9        | 162.  | Stollenwerk KG. 1998. Molybdate transport in a chemically complex aquifer: Field   |
| 10       |       | measurements compared with solute-transport model predictions. Water Resources   |
| 11       |       | <i>Research</i> 34:2727-2740.  |
| 12       | 163.  | Bea SA, Wainwright H, Spycher N, Faybishenko B, Hubbard SS, Denham ME. 2013.   |
| 13       |       | Identifying key controls on the behavior of an acidic-U(VI) plume in the Savannah  |
| 14       |       | River Site using reactive transport modeling. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology   |
| 15       |       | 151:34-54.   |
| 16<br>17 | 164.  | Tipping E, Lawlor AJ, Lofts S. 2006. Simulating the long-term chemistry of an upland UK catchment: Major solutes and acidification. <i>Environ Pollut</i> 141:151-166. |
| 18       | 165.  | Tipping E, Lawlor AJ, Lofts S, Shotbolt L. 2006. Simulating the long-term chemistry  |
| 19       |       | of an upland UK catchment: Heavy metals. Environ Pollut 141:139-150.   |
| 20       | 166.  | Bhavsar SP, Diamond ML, Gandhi N, Nilsen J. 2004. Dynamic coupled metal  |
| 21       |       | transport-speciation model: Application to assess a zinc-contaminated lake. Environ  |
| 22       |       | <i>Toxicol Chem</i> 23:2410-2420.  |
| 23       | 167.  | De Vries W, Groenenberg JE. 2009. Evaluation of approaches to calculate critical   |
| 24       |       | metal loads for forest ecosystems. Environ Pollut 157:3422-3433.   |
| 25       | 168.  | De Vries W, Schütze G, Lofts S, Tipping E, Meili M, Römkens PFAM, Groenenberg  |
| 26       |       | JE. 2005. Calculation of critical loads for cadmium, lead and mercury : background   |
| 27       |       | document to a mapping manual on critical loads of cadmium, lead and mercury.   |
| 28       |       | Alterra, Wageningen.   |
| 29       | 169.  | Hall JR, Ashmore M, Fawehinmi J, Jordan C, Lofts S, Shotbolt L, Spurgeon DJ,   |
| 30       |       | Svendsen C, Tipping E. 2006. Developing a critical load approach for national risk   |
| 31       |       | assessments of atmospheric metal deposition. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem</i> 25:883-890.   |
| 32       | 170.  | Verschoor AJ, Lijzen JPA, van den Broek HH, Cleve RFMJ, Comans RNJ, Dijkstra   |
| 33       |       | JJ, Vermij PHM. 2006. Kritische emissiewaarden voor bouwstoffen.   |
| 34       |       | Milieuhygienische onderbouwing en consequenties voor bouwmaterialen RIVM,  |
| 35       |       | Bilthoven.   |
| 36       | 171.  | Verschoor AJ, Lijzen JPA, van den Broek HH, Cleven RFMJ, Comans RNJ, Dijkstra  |
| 37       |       | JJ. 2008. Revision of the Dutch building materials decree: alternative emission limit  |
| 38       |       | values for inorganic components in granular building materials. 9th International  |
| 39       |       | Symposium on Environmental Geotechnology and Global Sustainable Development.   |
| 40       |       | Hong Kong.   |
| 41       | 172.  | LeachXS. 2013. Leaching eXpert System download page, ECN, [cited November  |
| 42       |       | 2013].available from <u>http://www.leachxs.com/lxsdll.html</u> .   |
| 43       |       |  |
| 44       | 173.  | Lofts S, Tipping E. 2011. Assessing WHAM/Model VII against field measurements of   |
| 45       |       | tree metal ion concentrations: Model performance and the role of uncertainty in  |
| 46       | 1 – 4 | parameters and inputs. <i>Environmental Chemistry</i> 8:501-516.   |
| 47       | 174.  | 1 ipping E, Lotts S. 2013. Metal mixture toxicity to aquatic biota in laboratory   |
| 48       |       | experiments: Application of the WHAM-FTOX model. Aquat Toxicol 142-143:114-  |
| 49       |       | 122.   |



# Figure 1

1 2

### Figure captions:

Figure caption: Figure 1. Schematic overview of an assemblage model setup to predict 3 4 trace element speciation and solid-solution partitioning from total reactive element contents. The assemblage model contains several sub models for the various model components. Inputs 5 include model parameters (fixed), contents of the reactive components: particulate and 6 7 dissolved organic matter, metal-oxides, clays, trace elements, major ions, and pH in the solution phase. Inputs of reactive components to the model may require adjustment relative to 8 measured amounts of the model components (humic substances, hydrous ferric oxides, 9 goethite etc.), using literature information and/or by optimisation of the model. 10

|    | Reactive components and <i>models</i>   | modelled system  | elements   | reactive<br>element<br>concentration                  | active organic matter  | oxide surface / clay  | reference                           |
|----|---|--|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1  | SOM, DOM: Model V   | batch adsorption study<br>organic rich acid soils                  | Co, Sr, Cs, Am   | added metal   | optimized to fit pH and dissolved<br>Al                                  | not considered  | Tipping [14]                        |
| 2  | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i>   | lake water, soil solution extracts                                 | Cd, Cu (FMI in lake<br>water, total<br>concentration in soils) | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub><br>(soils)                 | optimized to fit proton titration data                                   | not considered  | Benedetti et al.<br>[10]            |
| 3  | POM, DOM: <i>Model V</i> ;<br>FeO, AlO, MnO, SiO:<br><i>SCAMP</i> ; clay: Donnan                      | batch adsorption suspended<br>particulate matter in river<br>water | Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Sr, Cd,<br>Cs, Eu, Pb                          | added metal   | soluble OM 50% FA, 50% HA  | oxalate Fe; Al and Si by<br>electron probe analysis<br>(all Al present in<br>alluminosillicates);<br>hydroxylamine Mn | Lofts and<br>Tipping [19]           |
| 4  | FeO, AlO: GTLM  | batch adsorption experiments sediments                             | Zn   | added metal   | not considered   | Al, Fe various extractions  | Davis et al.<br>[32]                |
| 5  | FeO, AlO: GTLM<br>POM, DOM: empirical<br>ligand complexation<br>model                                 | mixing of acidic groundwater<br>with surface water                 | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn   | total solution<br>concentration<br>before<br>mixing   | POM= DOM adsorbed to FeO and AlO (Langmuir model)                        | precipitated Al and Fe  | Paulson and<br>Balistrieri<br>[104] |
| 6  | POM, DOM: <i>Model V</i> ;<br>FeO,MnO <i>SCAMP</i> ;<br>clay: <i>Donnan</i>                           | river water  | Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb   | 1% v/v<br>HNO <sub>3</sub><br>(=0.24 M)               | DOM:50% FA, 50% inert;<br>POM 50% FA, 50% HA                             | oxide: non filterable Fe<br>and Mn  | Lofts and<br>Tipping [105]          |
| 7  | SOM, DOM: Model V   | titrations of soil with acid and base 3 < pH < 6                   | H, Al  | 0.1 M CuCl <sub>2</sub>                               | adjusted fraction active HS to fit the observed pH and dissolved Al      | not considered  | Lofts et al.<br>[106]               |
| 8  | SOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ; HFO:<br><i>GTLM</i> , Goethite: <i>CD-</i><br><i>MUSIC</i> , clay: <i>Donnan</i> | soil column DMT  | Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn<br>(prediction FMI)                         | 2 M HNO <sub>3</sub>                                  | SOM as HA with estimated site<br>density from CEC<br>DOM: not considered | HFO = oxalate Fe – org.<br>bound; goethite = DCB –<br>oxalate Fe; clay fraction                                       | Weng et al.<br>[21]                 |
| 9  | SOM: NICA-D clay:<br>Gaines Thomas  | soil batch adsorption and column leaching experiment               | Cd, Ni, Zn   | added metal   | HA 50% of measured SOM, DOM not considered                               | oxides not considered;<br>clay: size fraction   | Voegelin et al.<br>[107]            |
| 10 | HFO: GTLM   | batch titrations soil with acid/base (pH 3-10)                     | As   | XRF   | not considered   | HFO = 1.7 * oxalate Fe  | Lumsdon et al.<br>[108]             |
| 11 | FeO, AlO: GTLM  | mixing acid rock dranaige<br>with surface water                    | As, Cu, Mo, Pb, Sb   | total solution<br>concentration<br>prior to<br>mixing | not considered   | precipitated Al and Fe  | Tonkin et al.<br>[109]              |
| 12 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ,<br>HFO: <i>GTLM</i> ; Goethite:<br><i>CD-MUSIC</i> ; Clay:<br><i>Donnan</i> | soil column DMT  | Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn<br>total concentrations                     | $2 \text{ M HNO}_3$                                   | SOM as in [21]; DOM as 30%FA<br>+30%HA or as 65% FA                      | HFO = oxalate Fe – org.<br>bound; goethite = DCB –<br>oxalate Fe; clay: size<br>fraction                              | Weng et al.<br>[110]                |

1 \_Table 1 Summary of reviewed studies with modelling of natural assemblages in chronological order.

|    | Reactive components and <i>models</i>   | modelled system  | elements  | reactive<br>element<br>concentration                  | active organic matter  | oxide surface / clay   | reference                               |
|----|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| 13 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ;<br>FeO, MnO: <i>CD-</i><br><i>MUSIC</i> , mineral<br>equilibria (Zn/Al)   | soil solution extract                                  | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn<br>total concentrations<br>and FMI | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                            | SOM as 50% HA; DOM as 50% FA and 50% HA                                    | HFO: 15% of Fe-tot,<br>HMnO: 50% of Mn-tot   | Cances et al.<br>[111]                  |
| 14 | SOM, DOM: WHAM<br>Model VI  | porewater organic rich soils                           | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn                                    | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                            | SOM: HS optimized to fit measured pH ; DOM as 65% FA                       | not considered   | Tipping et al.<br>[112]                 |
| 15 | SOM, DOM: SHM;<br>clay: Gaines-Thomas   | batch titrations                                       | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn                                    | added metal   | % HA and FA by optimization to fit pH, Al and Ca concentrations            | oxides not considered;<br>clay: size fraction  | Gustafsson et al. [12]                  |
| 16 | DOM: organic ligand<br>model MINTEQ2; FeO,<br>AIO: GTLM,  | mixing of anoxic pore water<br>with oxic surface water | As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu,<br>Mo, Ni, Sb, Se, Pb, Zn     | total solution<br>concentration<br>prior to<br>mixing | DOC 100% active  | predicted from solubility<br>of FeO and AlO  | Ballistrieri et<br>al. [113]            |
| 17 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ,;<br>FeO,AIO: <i>GTLM</i> ; clay:<br><i>Donnan</i> , mineral<br>equilibria   | batch titrations of soils with acid/base (pH 2-12)     | Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn                                | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                            | SOM: as HA from measured HS;<br>DOM as 100% HA                             | crystalline Fe = ascorbate;<br>HFO = dithionite-asc;<br>HAIO = oxalate; clay:<br>size fraction | Dijkstra et al.<br>[18]                 |
| 18 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> :<br>HFO: <i>GTLM</i>   | soil solution extracts                                 | DOM, Al, Cd                                       | 0.1 M HCl<br>(Al); Cd<br>added                        | SOM: as HA from measured HS (14-87%); DOM as HA (DOM predicted with model) | HFO = 1.7 * oxalate Fe   | Lumsdon [20]                            |
| 19 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D;</i><br>HFO: GTLM:<br>crystalline FeO: <i>CD-</i><br><i>MUSIC</i>   | titration soils with acid (pH 3-<br>6)                 | H, Al, Ca, Mg, Cd, Cu,<br>Ni, Zn                  | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                            | SOM as HA with 31% site density<br>of generic HA; DOM as 65% FA            | HFO = oxalate Fe;<br>crystalline = DCB –<br>oxalate Fe   | Fest et al.<br>[114]                    |
| 20 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ,<br>FeO: <i>CD-MUSIC;</i><br>MnO: <i>GTLM<sup>1</sup>;</i><br>clay: <i>exchange model</i><br>(Ca, Mn, Zn); mineral<br>equilibria | Soil solution extracts river floodplain soils          | Cd, Cu, Zn, Pb, As, Fe,<br>Mn                     | Aqua regia  | SOM as 50% HA, DOM as 40% FA   | HFO and MnO predicted<br>from solubility; clay: size<br>fraction                               | Schröder et al.<br>[115]                |
| 21 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i><br>/SHM   | batch adsorption experiments forest floors             | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn                                    | 0.1 M HCl   | SOM: HA and FA by optimization to fit pH, Al                               | not considered   | MacDonald<br>and<br>Hendershot<br>[116] |

|    | Reactive components and <i>models</i>  | modelled system  | elements                      | reactive<br>element<br>concentration          | active organic matter  | oxide surface / clay  | reference                |
|----|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| 22 | HFO, allophane: CD-<br>MUSIC   | batch adsorption experiments soils   | As                            | native<br>oxalate As +<br>added metal         | not considered   | HFO: oxalate Fe,<br>allophane oxalate<br>extractable Si   | Gustafsson<br>[117]      |
| 23 | SOM, DOM: Model VI   | titrations soils with acid/base (pH 4-8)   | H, Al, Ca, Mg, Cd, Cu,<br>Zn  | 0.22 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                    | SOM: 50% HA + 50% FA; DOM<br>65% FA  | not considered  | Almas et al.<br>[118]    |
| 24 | SOM/DOM: <i>SHM</i> ,<br>HFO: <i>GTLM</i>  | column studies   | Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb,<br>Zn | sequential<br>extraction<br>including<br>EDTA | SOM different estimates for<br>different soils based on literature;<br>DOM as 87% FA | HFO oxalate extractable<br>Fe   | Linde et al.<br>[119]    |
| 25 | SOM/DOM: Model VI  | batch adsorption   | Cd                            | added metal                                   | reactive SOM optimised to fit the data; DOM not considered                           | not considered  | Shi et al. [120]         |
| 26 | SOM: Model V   | kinetic adsorption<br>experiments soils  | Zn                            | added metal                                   | reactive SOM optimised to fit the data; DOM not considered                           | not considered  | Shi et al. [121]         |
| 27 | SOM/DOM: NICA-D;<br>HFO/AlO: GTLM;<br>clay: Donnan   | soil solution extracts   | Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn                | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub> /<br>EDTA          | SOM as HA with 31% site density<br>of generic HA, DOM as 30% FA+<br>30% HA           | HFO and HAIO: oxalate<br>Al and Fe or calculated<br>from solubility;<br>clay (illite): size fraction              | Bonten et al. [122]      |
| 28 | SOM/DOM: NICA-D/<br>SHM, HFO, crystalline<br>FeO: GTLM, clay:<br>Gaines-Thomas   | batch titrations pH 2.5-8.5  | Cd, Cr Cu, Mn, Pb, Zn         | EDTA  | DOM as 43.5% FA and 43.5% HA,<br>SOM as HA and FA fitted to<br>measured CEC          | crystalline Fe = ascorbate;<br>HFO = dithionite-asc;<br>AIO = oxalate;<br>clay: size fraction                     | Khai et al.<br>[123]     |
| 29 | DOM: <i>NICA-D/ SHM</i><br>FeO, MnO: <i>GTLM</i> <sup>1</sup>  | surface water acid mine<br>drainage impacted stream  | Cu, Zn                        | total acid<br>soluble                         | POM DOM as 71% FA  | HFO and MnO as<br>difference between total<br>acid-soluble and dissolved<br>Fe and Mn                             | Butler et al.<br>[124]   |
| 30 | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ,<br>Goethite: <i>CD-MUSIC</i> ;<br>MnO: <i>GTLM</i> <sup>1</sup> ;<br>clay: <i>exchange model</i><br>(Ca, Mn, Zn) mineral<br>equilibria | pore water river flood plain<br>soils  | Cd, Cu, Zn, Pb, As, Fe,<br>Mn | Aqua Regia                                    | SOM: 30% HA<br>DOM: 50% FA   | goethite, magnetite and<br>MnO calculated from total<br>contents and mineral<br>solubility; clay size<br>fraction | Schröder et al.<br>[125] |
| 31 | SOM, DOM: Model VI   | 0.01 M CaCl <sub>2</sub> soil solution<br>extracts from spiked metal<br>soils after 1-850 days | Cd, Ni, Zn                    | isotopic<br>dilution +<br>added metal         | SOM 50% HA; DOM 65% FA;  | FeO: dithionite ; clay: size<br>fraction or calculated<br>from CEC  | Buekers et al. [126]     |

|           | Reactive components and <i>models</i>  | modelled system  | elements   | reactive<br>element<br>concentration                           | active organic matter   | oxide surface / clay   | reference                   |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| 32        | SOM,DOM: <i>NICA-D</i> ,<br>HFO, crystalline FeO,<br>AlO: <i>GTLM</i> ; clay:<br><i>Donnan</i> , mineral<br>equilibria | batch titrations soils with<br>acid/base (pH 2-12)   | Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, Sn,<br>Cr, Co, Ba, S, As, Se,<br>Sb, Mo, V | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                                     | SOM: as HA from measured HS;<br>DOM as 50% HA   | crystalline Fe = ascorbate;<br>HFO = dithionite-asc;<br>HAIO = oxalate; clay:<br>size fraction             | Dijkstra et al.<br>[127]    |
| 33        | SOM, DOM: <i>SHM;</i><br>Fe-oxide: <i>CD-MUSIC</i>   | batch titrations soils pH 2.5-<br>8.5  | РЬ   | EDTA   | not described   | crystalline Fe = ascorbate;<br>HFO = dithionite-asc;<br>HAIO = oxalate                                     | Gustafsson et<br>al. [128]  |
| 34        | SOM, DOM: <i>NICA-Donnan</i> , HFO, AlO<br><i>GTLM</i> ; clay: <i>Donnan</i> ,<br>mineral equilibria                   | soil solution extracts,<br>centrifuged pore waters and<br>batch titrations soils with<br>acid/base (pH 2-12) | Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, Sn,<br>Cr, Co, Ba, S, As, Se,<br>Sb, Mo, V | 0.43 M<br>HNO <sub>3</sub>                                     | SOM: 50% HA or measured HS<br>DOM: 50% HA or measured HS  | HFO and AIO :oxalate or<br>crystalline FeO =<br>ascorbate; HFO =<br>dithionite-asc; clay: size<br>fraction | Groenenberg<br>et al. [129] |
| 35        | SOM, DOM: <i>Model</i><br><i>VII</i> , FeO, AlO, MnO:<br><i>SCAMP</i>  | centrifuged pore waters  | Cd, Pb, Zn   | isotopic<br>dilution /<br>0.05 M<br>EDTA/                      | SOM: measured HA and FA<br>DOM: 65% FA  | Fe, Al and Mn with<br>citrate-dithionite<br>extraction   | Izquierdo et al.<br>[130]   |
| 36        | SOM, DOM <i>Model</i><br>VI/VII, FeO, AlO,<br>MnO: SCAMP   | 0.01 M Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> soil solution extracts  | Cd, Pb, Zn   | isotopic<br>dilution   | SOM: 50% HA<br>DOM: 65% FA  | Fe, Al and Mn with a Na-<br>citrate-bicarbonate-<br>ascorbic acid extraction                               | Marzouk et al.<br>[131]     |
| 37        | SOM, DOM: Model VI   | kinetic adsorption<br>experiments soils  | Cd, Cu, Ni,Pb, Zn  | added metal  | reactive SOM optimised to fit the data: $DOM = 65\%$ FA   | not considered   | Shi et al. [132]            |
| 38        | SOM, DOM <i>SHM</i><br>crystalline and<br>amorphous FeO: <i>CD-</i><br><i>MUSIC</i><br>AlO: GTLM <sup>1</sup><br>clay  | batch adsorption:<br>adsorption edge pH 3-8<br>adsorption isotherm pH 4.5, 6                                 | РЬ   | added metal  | active OM according to empirical<br>relation with total SOM; active OM<br>modeled as 75% HA, 25% FA | amorphous FeO and AIO:<br>oxalate; crystalline FeO:<br>DCB-oxalate<br>clay: size fraction                  | Shi et al. [133]            |
| 39<br>NIC | DOM: <i>NICA-D</i><br>FeO, AIO: <i>CD-MUSIC</i>  | batch adsorption pH 4-8  | As, PO <sub>4</sub>  | As: added<br>metal; PO <sub>4</sub> :<br>oxalate<br>extraction | DOM: 100% FA  | amorphous AlO, FeO:<br>oxalate extraction;<br>crystaline AlO, FeO:<br>DCB-oxalate                          | Cui and Weng<br>[134]       |

NICA-D = NICA-Donnan model, GTLM = generalized two layer model, Model V/VI/VII = Humic Ion Binding model V/VI/VII, SHM = Stockholm Humic Model, CD-MUSIC = charge distribution multiple site ion complexation model, DLM = diffuse layer model, DCB = dithionite citrate bicarbonate extraction, POM = particulate organic matter. <sup>1</sup> With parameters from [71]; <sup>2</sup>With parameters from [72]