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Overview of this Issue

Looking Back

As we finalize the fifth special issue of IJPBL, we thought it would be valuable to take a quick look back at the specific topics and themes we have highlighted in problem-based learning (PBL) broadly over the past eight years. So far, the special issues in IJPBL have addressed the following:

- the efficacy of PBL (see volume 3, issue 1; http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ijpbl/vol3/iss1/),
- PBL in a specific disciplinary setting (i.e., engineering education; see volume 4, issue 2; http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ijpbl/vol4/iss2/),
- PBL’s development in different countries (see volume 6, issue 1; http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ijpbl/vol6/iss1/), and
- the extension and legacy of Dr. Howard Barrows in promoting and advancing the field (see volume 7, issue 1; http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ijpbl/vol7/iss1/).

The selection of topics for the special issues reflects that we, as a PBL community, value them as current and important.

In this special issue, we focus on the role of technology in PBL in teacher education. We are often led to believe that the role of technology is essential to enabling student learning through dealing with real world problems (Duffy & Jonassen, 1992). Particularly in K–12 settings, educational policies and funds have fueled stakeholders (e.g., teachers, principals, teacher educators, etc.) to explore more about the role of technology (Culp, Honey, & Mandinach, 2005). In this regard, it is important that educational researchers provide them with sufficient empirical evidence to make grounded decisions.

In K–12 settings, the role of technology can be broadly examined from the perspectives of its users: the teacher and the students. To assess the coverage of the journal on this topic, we took a quick review at our past publications. A total of 36 articles have been published in non-special issues. The review showed, roughly, that out of these 36, five used K–12 student data as the major data source (i.e., Belland, Ertmer, & Simons, 2006; Brush, & Saye, 2008; Grant, 2011; Lehman, George, Buchanan, & Rush, 2006; Mergendoller, Maxwell, & Bellisimo, 2006), and four regarded technology as one of the core aspects of their observation (Belland et al., 2006; Brush, & Saye, 2008; Grant, 2011; Lehman et al., 2006). There were also 12 articles that used inservice or preservice teachers as the major data source (i.e., Asghar, Ellington, Rice, Johnson, & Prime, 2012; Ertmer, & Simons, 2006; Goodnough, & Hung, 2008; Hakkarainen, 2011; Hjalmarsen, & Diefes-Dux, 2008; Hmelo-Silver, Derry, Bitterman, & Hatrak, 2008; Liu, Wivagg, Geurtz, Lee, & Chang, 2012; Pecore, 2013; Tamim, & Grant, 2013; Walker et al., 2011; Weizman et al., 2008; Zhang, Lundeberg, Koehler, & Eberhardt, 2010), and only four of these discussed technology explicitly (Ertmer, & Simons, 2006; Hakkarainen, 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2011).

While IJPBL does not represent the entire body of PBL publications, this review result suggests that we could spare a little more of our attention to understanding the role of technology from the teacher’s perspective. The current special issue was a great venue for this discussion.

Looking Forward

Thanks to our guest editors Thomas Brush (tbrush@indiana.edu) and John Saye (sayejo@auburn.edu), we have five more articles that add to our understanding of technology-supported PBL in teacher education. The selection captures a variety of subject areas, kinds of technology, and settings, with diverse perspectives on investigation. See the Guest Editors’ Introduction for an overview of each of the articles, as well as an interpretation of what these articles say together (see http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ijpbl/vol8/iss1/7). We believe this is a nice snapshot of how vast the field for investigation can be.

Furthermore, we are happy to share Liu and her graduate students’ experiences of collaboratively designing and developing a multimedia PBL environment for middle
school science, Alien Rescue, in “Creating a Multimedia Enhanced Problem-Based Learning Environment for Middle School Science: Voices from the Developers.” This article, in our Voices from the Field section, depicts the iterative, and sometimes messy, process of design and development. It not only details the team’s design and development process but also attends to the individual roles and perspectives. Moreover, it suggests that peer-mentoring was essential to their collaboration—useful information for our PBL researcher/practitioner readers to consider. The authors also exemplified how design-based research (Design-Based Research Collective, 2003; McKenney & Reeves, 2012) could inform both design theories and design practices.

Grant (2013) once explained the Voices from the Field section would include “articles that describe and interpret implementations of PBL (e.g., problem-based learning, project-based learning, case-based learning, anchored instruction, problem solving, etc.) in situ” (p. 169). Overall, the authentic and descriptive nature of Liu et al.’s article validates what we had aimed to achieve by launching the Voices from the Field section as a meaningful choice for our readers.

We are also excited about the relaunch of the Book Reviews section. We are pleased to present two book reviews from recent publications. First, Teaching Science in Elementary and Middle School: A Project-Based Approach by Krajck and Czerniak (2014) is reviewed by Kelly. In its fourth edition, Krajck’s work in project-based science continues to provide a foundation for implementing project-based learning. Second, Learning, Problem Solving, and Mindtools: Essays in Honor of David H. Jonassen, edited by Spector, Locke, Smaldino, and Herring (2013), is reviewed by Russell. This festschrift offers a broad spectrum of essays on the extensions of problem solving and Jonassen’s work to improve complex and authentic learning.

Thank You, Hello, and Good Bye

We would like to take a moment to express our gratitude to the three members who are retiring from the leadership roles at IJPBL: Thank you to Dr. Chandra Orrill (associate professor in STEM Education at University of Massachusetts Dartmouth) for serving us as an IJPBL editorial board member, and to Dr. Johannes Strobel (Director for Educational Outreach Programs and associate professor in Engineering and Education at Texas A&M College Station) and Dr. Deniz Eseryel (associate professor in Education Psychology at University of Oklahoma) for serving us as the book editors. We appreciate your additional commitment and time you dedicated to the improvement of this journal.

In addition, we are pleased to introduce four individuals who are taking on or renewing their leadership roles. First, thank you Dr. Xun Ge (chair and a professor in Educational Psychology at Oklahoma University; xge@ou.edu) for extending her service on the editorial board for two more years. She has been a board member since 2010 and is now filling in for Dr. Krista Glazewski, the current co-editor. Furthermore, we welcome Dr. Sofie Loyens (assistant professor in Educational Psychology at Erasmus University Rotterdam; loyens@fsw.eur.nl) and Dr. Brian Belland (assistant professor in Instructional Technology and Learning Sciences at Utah State University; brian.belland@usu.edu) to the IJPBL Editorial Board. They have been actively involved in the publication process both as authors and reviewers. Lastly, thank you Dr. Suha Tamim (part time faculty at University of Memphis; srtamim@memphis.edu) for agreeing to be our interim Book Review Editor from 2013 to 2014. She is a former IJPBL editorial assistant (2011–12) and worked with Dr. Grant. Including our current issue, she has book reviews scheduled for three issues.

We are lucky to have such devoted members to join our leadership.
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Submission of articles that report on original research, classroom or project descriptions and evaluations, syntheses of the literature, assessments of the state of the art, and theoretical or conceptual positions that relate to the use of PBL, including the planning, management, operation, and evaluation of PBL are highly desired. Please note that for original research, we expect to see an explanation of the research question(s), description of the methods employed, analyses used, and recommendations for implementation and further research.

**Length**

Manuscripts should be between 10 and 25 double-spaced U.S. standard letter size (8½” x 11”) pages in length. In addition, an abstract of approximately 125 words is required.

**Style**

Manuscripts should be prepared according to the APA format as described in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (6th ed.). Manuscripts not conforming to these specifications will be returned to the author for proper formatting.

**Format**

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically through the journal website, http://ijpbl.org. Articles may be uploaded in either of the following formats.

- MS Word using only the truetype versions of standard PostScript fonts (Times, Arial, Symbol)
- Rich Text Format (RTF) with the same constraints for fonts

Manuscripts submitted to IJPBL need to be free of identifying characteristics, including author name(s), acknowledgments, and references to the author(s)’s previous or forthcoming work. All references to the author(s) should be replaced with the word “Author” throughout the manuscript.

**Review Process**

Manuscripts are reviewed first by the editors. Those that are appropriate for the journal are sent to at least two experts in PBL scholarship, particularly in the primary author’s discipline or content area. All reviews are blind, that is, without identifying the authors to the reviewers. On the basis of the reviewers’ recommendations, the IJPBL editor will decide to publish the manuscript as submitted, to request a significant revision and resubmission, or to reject the manuscript for publication. In all cases the author will be notified of the decision, and a copy of the reviewers’ comments will be provided. The review process is expected to take between 2–4 months. If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Michael Grant at mgrant2@memphis.edu or Dr. Krista Glazewski at glaze@indiana.edu.