



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: March 24, 2014

ACCEPTED: April 22, 2014

PUBLISHED: May 16, 2014

Search for direct production of charginos, neutralinos and sleptons in final states with two leptons and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector



The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: Searches for the electroweak production of charginos, neutralinos and sleptons in final states characterized by the presence of two leptons (electrons and muons) and missing transverse momentum are performed using 20.3 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ recorded with the ATLAS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. No significant excess beyond Standard Model expectations is observed. Limits are set on the masses of the lightest chargino, next-to-lightest neutralino and sleptons for different lightest-neutralino mass hypotheses in simplified models. Results are also interpreted in various scenarios of the phenomenological Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetry, Hadron-Hadron Scattering

ARXIV EPRINT: [1403.5294](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5294)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	SUSY scenarios	2
3	The ATLAS detector	4
4	Monte Carlo simulation	5
5	Event reconstruction	6
6	Event selection	8
6.1	SR- m_{T2}	9
6.2	SR- WW	9
6.3	SR- Z jets	10
7	Background estimation	10
7.1	Background in SR- m_{T2} and SR- WW	11
7.2	Background in SR- Z jets	12
7.3	Non-prompt lepton background estimation	14
7.4	Fitting procedure	14
8	Systematic uncertainties	15
9	Results	17
10	Interpretation	18
11	Conclusion	24
	The ATLAS collaboration	33

1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–9] is a spacetime symmetry that postulates for each Standard Model (SM) particle the existence of a partner particle whose spin differs by one-half unit. The introduction of these new particles provides a potential solution to the hierarchy problem [10–13]. If R -parity is conserved [14–18], as is assumed in this paper, SUSY particles are always produced in pairs and the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) emerges as a stable dark-matter candidate.

The charginos and neutralinos are mixtures of the bino, winos and higgsinos that are superpartners of the U(1), SU(2) gauge bosons and the Higgs bosons, respectively. Their mass eigenstates are referred to as $\tilde{\chi}_i^\pm$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\tilde{\chi}_j^0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) in the order of increasing masses. Even though the gluinos and squarks are produced strongly in pp collisions, if the masses of the gluinos and squarks are large, the direct production of charginos, neutralinos and sleptons through electroweak interactions may dominate the production of SUSY particles at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Such a scenario is possible in the general framework of the phenomenological minimal supersymmetric SM (pMSSM) [19–21]. Naturalness suggests that third-generation sparticles and some of the charginos and neutralinos should have masses of a few hundred GeV [22, 23]. Light sleptons are expected in gauge-mediated [24–29] and anomaly-mediated [30, 31] SUSY breaking scenarios. Light sleptons could also play a role in the co-annihilation of neutralinos, allowing a dark matter relic density consistent with cosmological observations [32, 33].

This paper presents searches for electroweak production of charginos, neutralinos and sleptons using 20.3 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data with a centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ collected at the LHC with the ATLAS detector. The searches target final states with two oppositely-charged leptons (electrons or muons) and missing transverse momentum. Similar searches [34, 35] have been performed using $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ data by the ATLAS and CMS experiments. The combined LEP limits on the selectron, smuon and chargino masses are $m_{\tilde{e}} > 99.9 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\mu}} > 94.6 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 103.5 \text{ GeV}$ [36–41]. The LEP selectron limit assumes gaugino mass unification and cannot be directly compared with the results presented here.

2 SUSY scenarios

Simplified models [42] are considered for optimization of the event selection and interpretation of the results. The LSP is the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in all SUSY scenarios considered, except in one scenario in which it is the gravitino \tilde{G} . All SUSY particles except for the LSP are assumed to decay promptly. In the electroweak production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are assumed to be pure wino and mass degenerate, and only the s -channel production diagrams, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow (Z/\gamma)^* \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ and $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow W^{\pm*} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$, are considered. The cross-section for $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production is 6 pb for a $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ mass of 100 GeV and decreases to 10 fb at 450 GeV. The cross-section for $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production is 11.5 pb for a degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm/\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ mass of 100 GeV, and 40 fb for 400 GeV.

In the scenario in which the masses of the sleptons and sneutrinos lie between the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses, the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decays predominantly as $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow (\tilde{\ell}^\pm \nu \text{ or } \ell^\pm \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Figure 1(a) shows direct chargino-pair production, $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$, followed by the slepton-mediated decays. The final-state leptons can be either of the same flavour (SF = e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$), or of different flavours (DF = $e^\pm\mu^\mp$). In this scenario, the masses of the three left-handed sleptons and three sneutrinos are assumed to be degenerate with $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = m_{\tilde{\nu}} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm})/2$. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ is assumed to decay with equal branching ratios (1/6) into $\tilde{\ell}^\pm \nu$ and $\ell^\pm \tilde{\nu}$ for three lepton flavours, followed by $\tilde{\ell}^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with a 100% branching ratio.




Figure 1. Electroweak SUSY production processes of the considered simplified models.

In the scenario in which the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ is the next-to-lightest supersymmetric particle (NLSP), the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decays as $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. In direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production, if both W bosons decay leptonically as shown in figure 1(b), the final state contains two opposite-sign leptons, either SF or DF, and large missing transverse momentum.

Another scenario is considered in which $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are mass degenerate and are co-NLSPs. The direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production is followed by the decays $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with a 100% branching fraction. If the Z boson decays leptonically and the W boson decays hadronically, as shown in figure 1(c), the final state contains two opposite-sign leptons, two hadronic jets, and missing transverse momentum. The leptons in this case are SF and their invariant mass is consistent with the Z boson mass. The invariant mass of the two jets from the W decay gives an additional constraint to characterize this signal.

A scenario in which the slepton is the NLSP is modelled according to ref. [43]. Figure 1(d) shows direct slepton-pair production $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}^+ \tilde{\ell}^-$ followed by $\tilde{\ell}^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ ($\ell = e$ or μ), giving rise to a pair of SF leptons and missing transverse momentum due to the two neutralinos. The cross-section for direct slepton pair production in this scenario decreases from 127 fb to 0.5 fb per slepton flavour for left-handed sleptons, and from 49 fb to 0.2 fb for right-handed sleptons, as the slepton mass increases from 100 to 370 GeV.

Results are also interpreted in dedicated pMSSM [44] scenarios. In the models considered in this paper, the masses of the coloured sparticles, of the CP-odd Higgs boson, and of the left-handed sleptons are set to high values to allow only the direct production of charginos and neutralinos via W/Z , and their decay via right-handed sleptons, gauge bosons and the lightest Higgs boson. The lightest Higgs boson mass is set close to 125 GeV [45, 46] by tuning the mixing in the top squark sector. The mass hierarchy, com-

position and production cross-section of the charginos and neutralinos are governed by the ratio $\tan\beta$ of the expectation values of the two Higgs doublets, the gaugino mass parameters M_1 and M_2 , and the higgsino mass parameter μ . Two classes of pMSSM scenarios are studied on a μ - M_2 grid, distinguished by the masses of the right-handed sleptons $\tilde{\ell}_R$. If $m_{\tilde{\ell}_R}$ lies halfway between $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decays preferentially through $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_R \ell \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell \ell$. The parameter $\tan\beta$ is set to 6, yielding comparable branching ratios into each slepton generation. To probe the sensitivity to different $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ compositions, three values of $M_1 = 100, 140$ and 250 GeV are considered. If, on the other hand, all sleptons are heavy, $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decay via W, Z and Higgs bosons. The remaining parameters are fixed to $\tan\beta = 10$ and $M_1 = 50$ GeV so that the relic dark-matter density is below the cosmological bound across the entire μ - M_2 grid. The lightest Higgs boson has a mass close to 125 GeV and decays to both SUSY and SM particles where kinematically allowed.

In addition, the gauge-mediated SUSY breaking (GMSB) model proposed in ref. [47] is considered. In this simplified model, the LSP is the gravitino \tilde{G} , the NLSP is the chargino with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 110$ GeV, and in addition there are two other light neutralinos with masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 113$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 130$ GeV. All coloured sparticles are assumed to be very heavy. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production cross-section is not large (~ 1.4 pb), but the same final state is reached via production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (~ 2.5 pb), $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (~ 1.0 pb) and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (~ 0.5 pb). The $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays into $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm W^\mp$, and the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decays either into $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm W^\mp$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z^*$. Because of the small mass differences, decay products of the off-shell W and Z bosons are unlikely to be detected. As a result, all of the four production channels result in the same experimental signature, and their production cross-sections can be added together for the purpose of this search. Each $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ then decays via $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{G}$, and leptonic decays of the two W bosons produce the same final-state as in the other scenarios.

3 The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [48] is a multi-purpose particle physics detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and nearly 4π coverage in solid angle.¹ It contains four superconducting magnet systems, which include a thin solenoid surrounding the inner tracking detector (ID), and barrel and end-cap toroids as part of a muon spectrometer (MS). The ID covers the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$ and consists of a silicon pixel detector, a silicon microstrip detector, and a transition radiation tracker. In the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 3.2$, high-granularity liquid-argon (LAr) electromagnetic (EM) sampling calorimeters are used. An iron-scintillator tile calorimeter provides coverage for hadron detection over $|\eta| < 1.7$. The end-cap and forward regions, spanning $1.5 < |\eta| < 4.9$, are instrumented with LAr calorimeters for both EM and hadronic measurements. The

¹ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector, and the z -axis along the beam line. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. Observables labelled ‘transverse’ are projected into the x - y plane. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ by $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$.

MS surrounds the calorimeters and consists of a system of precision tracking chambers ($|\eta| < 2.7$), and detectors for triggering ($|\eta| < 2.4$).

4 Monte Carlo simulation

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples are used to develop and validate the analysis procedure and to evaluate the subdominant SM backgrounds as well as the expected signal yields. The dominant SM background processes include $t\bar{t}$, single-top, and diboson (WW , WZ and ZZ) production. The predictions for the most relevant SM processes are normalized to data in dedicated control regions, as detailed in section 7. MC samples are produced using a GEANT4 [49] based detector simulation [50] or a fast simulation using a parameterization of the performance of the ATLAS electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters [51, 52] and GEANT4 elsewhere. The effect of multiple proton-proton collisions from the same or different bunch crossings is incorporated into the simulation by overlaying minimum bias events generated using PYTHIA [53] onto hard scatter events. Simulated events are weighted to match the distribution of the number of interactions per bunch crossing observed in data, which averaged 20.7.

Production of top-quark pairs is simulated at next-to-leading order (NLO) with MC@NLO v4.06 [54–56], assuming a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV. Additional samples generated with POWHEG-BOX v1.0 [57] and AcerMC v3.8 [58] are used for the evaluation of systematic uncertainties. The $t\bar{t}$ cross-section is normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) calculation including resummation of next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) soft gluon terms obtained with Top++ v2.0 [59]. Single top production is modelled with MC@NLO v4.06 for Wt and s -channel production, and with AcerMC v3.8 for t -channel production. Production of $t\bar{t}$ associated with a vector boson is simulated with the leading-order (LO) generator MADGRAPH 5 v1.3.33 [60] and normalized to the NLO cross-section [61–63].

Diboson (WW , WZ and ZZ) production is simulated with POWHEG-BOX v1.0, with additional gluon-gluon contributions simulated with gg2WW v3.1.2 [64] and gg2ZZ v3.1.2 [65]. Additional diboson samples are generated at the particle level with aMC@NLO v2.0 [66] to assess systematic uncertainties. The diboson cross-sections are normalized to NLO QCD predictions obtained with MCFM v6.2 [67, 68]. Triple-boson (WWW , ZWW and ZZZ) production is simulated with MADGRAPH 5 v1.3.33 [69], and vector-boson scattering ($WWjj$ and $WZjj$) is simulated with SHERPA v1.4.1 [70].

Samples of $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ produced with accompanying jets (including light and heavy flavours) are obtained with a combination of SHERPA v1.4.1 and ALPGEN v2.14 [71]. The inclusive W and Z/γ^* production cross-sections are normalized to the NNLO cross-sections obtained using DYNLLO v1.1 [72]. QCD production of $b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$ is simulated with PYTHIA v8.165.

Finally, production of the SM Higgs boson with $m_H = 125$ GeV is considered. The gluon fusion and vector-boson fusion production modes are simulated with POWHEG-BOX v1.0, and the associated production (WH and ZH) with PYTHIA v8.165.

Fragmentation and hadronization for the MC@NLO and ALPGEN samples are performed either with HERWIG v6.520 [73] using JIMMY v4.31 [74] for the underlying event, or with

PYTHIA v6.426. PYTHIA v6.426 is also used for MADGRAPH samples, whereas PYTHIA v8.165 is used for the POWHEG-BOX samples. For the underlying event, ATLAS tune AUET2B [75] is used. The CT10 NLO [76] and CTEQ6L1 [77] parton-distribution function (PDF) sets are used with the NLO and LO event generators, respectively.

Simulated signal samples are generated with HERWIG++ v2.5.2 [78] and the CTEQ6L1 PDF set. Signal cross-sections are calculated to NLO using PROSPINO2.1 [79]. They are in agreement with the NLO calculations matched to resummation at the next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy (NLO+NLL) within $\sim 2\%$ [80–82].

5 Event reconstruction

Events are selected in which at least five tracks, each with transverse momentum $p_T > 400$ MeV, are associated to the primary vertex. If there are multiple primary vertices in an event, the one with the largest $\sum p_T^2$ of the associated tracks is chosen. In each event, ‘candidate’ electrons, muons, hadronically-decaying τ leptons, and jets are reconstructed. After resolving potential ambiguities among objects, the criteria to define ‘signal’ electrons, muons and jets are refined. Hadronically-decaying τ leptons are not considered as signal leptons for this analysis, and events containing them are removed (see section 6) so that the data sample is distinct from that used in the ATLAS search for electroweak SUSY production in the three-lepton final states [83].

Electron candidates are reconstructed by matching clusters in the EM calorimeter with tracks in the ID. The magnitude of the momentum of the electron is determined by the calorimeter cluster energy. They are required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.47$, and satisfy shower-shape and track-selection criteria analogous to the ‘medium’ criteria in ref. [84].

Muon candidates are reconstructed by matching an MS track to an ID track [85]. They are then required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$.

Jet candidates are reconstructed from calorimeter energy clusters using the anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm [86, 87] with a radius parameter of 0.4. The jet candidates are corrected for the effects of calorimeter response and inhomogeneities using energy- and η -dependent calibration factors based on simulation and validated with extensive test-beam and collision-data studies [88]. Energy deposition due to pile-up interactions is statistically subtracted based on the area of the jet [89]. Only jet candidates with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$ are subsequently retained. Events containing jets that are likely to have arisen from detector noise or cosmic rays are removed [88].

A b -jet identification algorithm [90] is used to identify jets containing a b -hadron decay inside a candidate jet within $|\eta| < 2.4$, exploiting the long lifetime of b - and c -hadrons. The mean nominal b -jet identification efficiency, determined from simulated $t\bar{t}$ events, is 80%. The misidentification (mis-tag) rates for c -jets and light-quark/gluon jets are approximately 30% and 4%, respectively. Small differences in the b -tagging performance observed between data and simulation are corrected for as functions of p_T of the jets.

Hadronically-decaying τ leptons are reconstructed by associating tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV passing minimum track quality requirements to calorimeter jets with $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. A multivariate discriminant is used to identify the jets as hadronic τ

decays [91]. Their energy is determined by applying a simulation-based correction to the reconstructed energy in the calorimeter [92], and $p_T > 20$ GeV is required.

Object overlaps are defined in terms of $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$, where $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ are separations in η and ϕ . Potential ambiguities among objects are resolved by removing one or both of nearby object pairs in the following order: if two electron candidates are within $\Delta R = 0.05$ of each other, the electron with the smaller p_T is removed; any jet within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of an electron candidate is removed; any τ candidate within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of an electron or a muon is removed; any electron or muon candidate within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of a jet is removed; if an electron candidate and a muon candidate are within $\Delta R = 0.01$ of each other, both are removed; if two muon candidates are within $\Delta R = 0.05$ of each other, both are removed; if the invariant mass of a SF opposite-sign lepton pair has an invariant mass less than 12 GeV, both are removed; and finally any jet within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of a τ candidate is removed.

Signal electrons are electron candidates satisfying the ‘tight’ criteria [84] placed on the ratio of calorimetric energy to track momentum, and the number of high-threshold hits in the transition radiation tracker. They are also required to be isolated. The p_T scalar sum of tracks above 400 MeV within a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.3$ around each electron candidate (excluding the electron candidate itself) and associated to the primary vertex is required to be less than 16% of the electron p_T . The sum of transverse energies of the surrounding calorimeter clusters within $\Delta R = 0.3$ of each electron candidate, corrected for the deposition of energy from pile-up interactions, is required to be less than 18% of the electron p_T . The distance of closest approach of an electron candidate to the event primary vertex must be within five standard deviations in the transverse plane. The distance along the beam direction, z_0 , must satisfy $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.4$ mm.

Signal muons are muon candidates satisfying the following criteria. The p_T scalar sum of tracks above 400 MeV within a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.3$ around the muon candidate and associated to the primary vertex is required to be less than 16% of the muon p_T . The distance of closest approach of a muon candidate to the event primary vertex must be within three standard deviations in the transverse plane, and $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 1$ mm along the beam direction.

The efficiencies for electrons and muons to pass the reconstruction, identification and isolation criteria are measured in samples of Z and J/ψ leptonic decays, and corrections are applied to the simulated samples to reproduce the efficiencies in data.

Signal jets are jet candidates that are classified in three exclusive categories. Central b -jets satisfy $|\eta| < 2.4$ and the b -jet identification criteria. Central light-flavour jets also satisfy $|\eta| < 2.4$ but do not satisfy the b -jet identification criteria. If a central light-flavour jet has $p_T < 50$ GeV and has tracks associated to it, at least one of the tracks must originate from the event primary vertex. This criterion removes jets that originate from pile-up interactions. Finally, forward jets are those with $2.4 < |\eta| < 4.5$ and $p_T > 30$ GeV.

The missing transverse momentum, $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, is defined [93] as the negative vector sum of the total transverse momenta of all $p_T > 10$ GeV electron, muon and photon candidates, $p_T > 20$ GeV jets, and all clusters of calorimeter energy with $|\eta| < 4.9$ not associated to such objects, referred to hereafter as the ‘soft-term’. Clusters associated with electrons, photons and jets make use of calibrations of the respective objects, whereas clusters not associated with these objects are calibrated using both calorimeter and tracker information.

The quantity $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ is defined from the magnitude, E_T^{miss} , of $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ as

$$E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} = \begin{cases} E_T^{\text{miss}} & \text{if } \Delta\phi_{\ell,j} \geq \pi/2 \\ E_T^{\text{miss}} \times \sin \Delta\phi_{\ell,j} & \text{if } \Delta\phi_{\ell,j} < \pi/2 \end{cases},$$

where $\Delta\phi_{\ell,j}$ is the azimuthal angle between the direction of $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ and that of the nearest electron, muon, central b -jet or central light-flavour jet. Selections based on $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ aim to suppress events where missing transverse momentum arises from significantly mis-measured jets or leptons.

6 Event selection

Events are recorded using a combination of two-lepton triggers, which require identification of two lepton (electron or muon) candidates with transverse momenta exceeding a set of thresholds. For all triggers used in this measurement, the p_T thresholds are 18–25 GeV for the higher- p_T lepton and 8–14 GeV for the other lepton. After event reconstruction, two signal leptons of opposite charge, with $p_T > 35$ GeV and > 20 GeV, are required in the selected events. No lepton candidates other than the two signal leptons are allowed in the event. The two signal leptons are required to match those that triggered the event. The trigger efficiencies with respect to reconstructed leptons with p_T in excess of the nominal thresholds have been measured using data-driven techniques. For events containing two reconstructed signal leptons with $p_T > 35$ GeV and > 20 GeV, the average trigger efficiencies are approximately 97% in the e^+e^- channel, 75% in the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channels, and 89% in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel.

The dilepton invariant mass $m_{\ell\ell}$ must be greater than 20 GeV in all flavour combinations. Events containing one or more τ -jet candidates are rejected.

Seven signal regions (SRs) are defined in this analysis. The first three, collectively referred to as SR- m_{T2} , are designed to provide sensitivity to sleptons either through direct production or in chargino decays. The next three, SR- WW , are designed to provide sensitivity to chargino-pair production followed by W decays. The last signal region, SR- Z jets, is designed specifically for chargino and second lightest neutralino associated production followed by hadronic W and leptonic Z decays. The SF and DF event samples in each SR are considered separately. When a scenario that contributes to both SF and DF final states is considered, a simultaneous fit to the SF and DF samples is employed. All SRs of the same lepton flavour combination, except for SR- Z jets, overlap with each other and are not statistically independent. Table 1 summarizes the definitions of the SRs.

Five of the SRs exploit the ‘stransverse’ mass m_{T2} [94, 95], defined as

$$m_{T2} = \min_{\mathbf{q}_T} \left[\max \left(m_T(\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell 1}, \mathbf{q}_T), m_T(\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell 2}, \mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}} - \mathbf{q}_T) \right) \right],$$

where $\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell 1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell 2}$ are the transverse momenta of the two leptons, and \mathbf{q}_T is a transverse vector that minimizes the larger of the two transverse masses m_T . The latter is defined by

$$m_T(\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{q}_T) = \sqrt{2(p_T q_T - \mathbf{p}_T \cdot \mathbf{q}_T)}.$$

For SM $t\bar{t}$ and WW events, in which two W bosons decay leptonically and $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ originates from the two neutrinos, the m_{T2} distribution has an upper end-point at the W mass. For signal events, the undetected LSP contributes to $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, and the m_{T2} end-point is correlated to the mass difference between the slepton or chargino and the lightest neutralino. For large values of this difference, the m_{T2} distribution for signal events extends significantly beyond the distributions of the $t\bar{t}$ and WW events.

6.1 SR- m_{T2}

SR- m_{T2} targets $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production followed by slepton-mediated decays (figure 1a) and direct slepton pair production (figure 1d). Events are required to contain two opposite-sign signal leptons and no signal jets. Only SF channels are used in the search for direct slepton production, while the chargino-to-slepton decay search also uses DF channels. In the SF channels, the dilepton invariant mass $m_{\ell\ell}$ must be at least 10 GeV away from the Z boson mass.

The dominant sources of background are diboson and top production ($t\bar{t}$ and Wt). Three signal regions, SR- m_{T2}^{90} , SR- m_{T2}^{120} and SR- m_{T2}^{150} , are defined by requiring $m_{T2} > 90$ GeV, 120 GeV and 150 GeV, respectively. Low values of m_{T2} threshold provide better sensitivity to cases in which the $\tilde{\ell}$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ mass is close to the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass, and high values target large $\tilde{\ell}-\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm-\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass differences.

6.2 SR- WW

Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production followed by W -mediated decays (figure 1b) is similar to the slepton-mediated scenario, but with smaller visible cross-sections due to the $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ branching fraction. Three signal regions, SR- WWa , SR- WWb and SR- WWc , are designed to provide sensitivities to this scenario for increasing values of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm-\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass difference. Events are required to contain two opposite-sign signal leptons and no signal jets. Both SF and DF channels are used in these signal regions. In the SF channels, the dilepton invariant mass $m_{\ell\ell}$ must be at least 10 GeV away from the Z boson mass.

For large $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm-\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splitting, the m_{T2} variable provides good discrimination between the signal and SM background. Two signal regions, SR- WWb and SR- WWc , are defined by $m_{T2} > 90$ GeV and 100 GeV, respectively. The m_{T2} thresholds are lower than in SR- m_{T2} because the smaller visible cross-sections limit the sensitivity to large $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ masses. For SR- WWb , an additional requirement of $m_{\ell\ell} < 170$ GeV is applied to further suppress the SM background.

For cases in which the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm-\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splitting is close to the W boson mass, the m_{T2} variable is not effective in distinguishing signal from the SM WW production. The signal region SR- WWa is defined by $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} > 80$ GeV, $p_{T,\ell\ell} > 80$ GeV and $m_{\ell\ell} < 120$ GeV, where $p_{T,\ell\ell}$ is the transverse momentum of the lepton pair. These selection criteria favour events in which the di-lepton opening angle is small, which enhances the difference in the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ distribution between the signal and the background due to the two LSPs in the signal.

SR	m_{T2}^{90}	m_{T2}^{120}	m_{T2}^{150}	WWa	WWb	WWc	Zjets
lepton flavour	DF,SF	DF,SF	DF,SF	DF,SF	DF,SF	DF,SF	SF
central light jets	0	0	0	0	0	0	≥ 2
central b -jets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
forward jets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $ [GeV]	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	< 10
$m_{\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	< 120	< 170	—	—
$E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	> 80	—	—	> 80
$p_{T,\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	> 80	—	—	> 80
m_{T2} [GeV]	> 90	> 120	> 150	—	> 90	> 100	—
$\Delta R_{\ell\ell}$	—	—	—	—	—	—	[0.3,1.5]
m_{jj} [GeV]	—	—	—	—	—	—	[50,100]

Table 1. Signal region definitions. The criteria on $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z|$ are applied only to SF events. The two leading central light jets in SR-Zjets must have $p_T > 45$ GeV.

6.3 SR-Zjets

The last signal region, SR-Zjets, differs from the previous six in that it requires the presence of at least two central light jets. This signal region is designed to target the $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ process in which the W boson decays hadronically and the Z boson decays leptonically (figure 1c).

The two highest- p_T central light jets must have $p_T > 45$ GeV, and have an invariant mass in the range $50 < m_{jj} < 100$ GeV. There must be no central b -jet and no forward jet in the event. The two opposite-sign leptons must be SF, and their invariant mass must be within 10 GeV of the Z boson mass.

To suppress large background from the SM $Z + \text{jets}$ production, $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} > 80$ GeV is required. Events are accepted only if the reconstructed Z boson is recoiling against the rest of the event with a large transverse momentum $p_{T,\ell\ell} > 80$ GeV, and the separation $\Delta R_{\ell\ell}$ between the two leptons must satisfy $0.3 < \Delta R_{\ell\ell} < 1.5$.

7 Background estimation

For SR- m_{T2} and SR- WW , the SM background is dominated by WW diboson and top-quark ($t\bar{t}$ and Wt) production. Contributions from ZV production, where $V = W$ or Z , are also significant in the SF channels. The MC predictions for these SM sources are normalized in dedicated control regions (CR) for each background, as described in section 7.1. For SR-Zjets, the dominant sources of background are ZV production and $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$. The former is estimated from simulation, validated using ZV -enriched control samples, and the latter is estimated by a data-driven technique, as described in section 7.2. The top-quark background in SR-Zjets is estimated using a dedicated CR. Background due to hadronic jets mistakenly reconstructed as signal leptons or real leptons originating from heavy-flavour

SR	m_{T2} and WWb/c			WWa			Zjets
CR	WW	Top	ZV	WW	Top	ZV	Top
lepton flavour	DF	DF	SF	DF	DF	SF	SF
central light jets	0	0	0	0	0	0	≥ 2
central b -jets	0	≥ 1	0	0	≥ 1	0	≥ 1
forward jets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $ [GeV]	—	—	< 10	—	—	< 10	> 10
$m_{\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	< 120	< 120	—	—
$E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	[60, 80]	> 80	> 80	> 80
$p_{T,\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	—	—	—	> 40	> 80	> 80	> 80
m_{T2} [GeV]	[50, 90]	> 70	> 90	—	—	—	—
$\Delta R_{\ell\ell}$	—	—	—	—	—	—	[0.3, 1.5]

Table 2. Control region definitions. The top CR for SR-Zjets requires at least two jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV in $|\eta| < 2.4$, at least one of which is b -tagged.

decays or photon conversions, referred to as ‘non-prompt leptons’, is estimated using a data-driven method described in section 7.3. Contributions from remaining sources of SM background, which include Higgs production and $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ (except in SR-Zjets), are small and are estimated from simulation. Table 2 summarizes the definitions of the control regions.

7.1 Background in SR- m_{T2} and SR- WW

The normalization factors for the background in SR- m_{T2} and SR- WW due to the SM WW , top and ZV production are constrained using dedicated CRs for each background. Each CR is dominated by the background of interest and is designed to be kinematically as close as possible to a corresponding signal region. The normalization factors are obtained from the likelihood fit described in section 7.4.

The WW control region for SR- m_{T2} and SR- WWb/c is defined by requiring $50 < m_{T2} < 90$ GeV and the events must contain no jets. Only the DF sample is used in this CR because the corresponding regions in the SF samples suffer from contamination from $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ background. Appropriate ratios of electron and muon efficiencies are used to obtain the SF background estimations from the corresponding DF CR. For SR- WWa , the CR is defined by lowering the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ and $p_{T,\ell\ell}$ requirements so that $60 < E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 80$ GeV and $p_{T,\ell\ell} > 40$ GeV. Figure 2(a) shows the m_{T2} distribution in this CR. The normalization factors are not applied to the MC predictions in all four plots of figure 2. Predicted signal contamination in this CR is less than 10% for the signal models $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\mp \rightarrow W^\pm W^\mp \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 100$ GeV.

The top control region for SR- m_{T2} and SR- WWb/c is also defined using the DF sample, and by requiring at least one b -tagged jet and vetoing central light jets and forward jets. The events must also satisfy $m_{T2} > 70$ GeV. Figure 2(b) shows the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ distribution in this CR. For SR- WWa , the CR is defined using the DF sample and requiring at least




Figure 2. Distributions of (a) m_{T2} in the WW CR for SR-WWa, (b) $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ in the top CR for SR-WWb/c and SR- m_{T2} , (c) $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ in the ZV CR for SR-WWb/c and SR- m_{T2} , and (d) m_{jj} in the top CR for SR-Zjets. No data-driven normalization factor is applied to the distributions. The hashed regions represent the total uncertainties on the background estimates. The rightmost bin of each plot includes overflow. The lower panel of each plot shows the ratio between data and the SM background prediction.

one b -tagged jet, with all the other SR criteria unchanged. The predicted contamination from SUSY signal is negligible for the models considered.

The ZV control region for SR- m_{T2} and SR-WWb/c is defined identically to the SF SR- m_{T2}^{90} , but with the Z veto reversed. Figure 2(c) shows the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ distribution in this CR. The contamination due to non-ZV sources is dominated by WW events (4.5%). For SR-WWa, the CR is defined by reversing the Z veto in the SF sample. The predicted contamination from SUSY signal is less than 5% in these CRs.

7.2 Background in SR-Zjets

The top CR for SR-Zjets is defined by reversing the Z veto and requiring at least one b -tagged jet. To increase the statistics of the sample, the p_T threshold for the central jets

is lowered to 20 GeV, and no cut on m_{jj} is applied. Figure 2(d) shows the m_{jj} distribution in this CR. The predicted contamination from SUSY signal is negligible.

The ZV background in SR- Z jets consists of diboson production accompanied by two light-flavour jets, that is, $WZjj \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'jj$, where the lepton from the W decay was not reconstructed, and $ZZjj \rightarrow \ell\nu\nu jj$. The contribution from $ZV \rightarrow \ell q\bar{q}$ is strongly suppressed by the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ requirement. This background is estimated from simulation, and validated in control samples of $WZjj \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'jj$ and $ZZjj \rightarrow \ell\ell\ell'jj$ where all leptons are reconstructed. The $WZjj$ enriched control sample consists of events with three leptons, at least two of which make up a SF opposite-sign pair with an invariant mass within 10 GeV of the Z boson mass. In addition, events must have $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV, $m_T > 40$ GeV computed from the $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ and the lepton that was not assigned to the Z boson, at least two central light jets, and no central b -jet. The predicted contamination from SUSY signal is less than 10% in this region. The $ZZjj$ enriched control sample consists of events with two pairs of same-flavour opposite-sign leptons, each with an invariant mass within 10 GeV of the Z boson mass, $E_T^{\text{miss}} < 50$ GeV, at least two central light jets, and no signal b -jet. The data in these control samples are compared with the simulation to assess the systematic uncertainties of the ZV background estimation, as reported in section 8.

In SR- Z jets, $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ events are an important source of background, where significant E_T^{miss} arises primarily from mis-measurement of jet transverse momentum. A data-driven approach called the ‘jet smearing’ method is used to estimate this background. In this method, a sample enriched in $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ events with well-measured jets is selected from data as seed events. The seed events are selected by applying the SR- Z jets event selection, but reversing the $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ cut. To ensure that the events only contain well measured jets, the ratio $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{E_T^{\text{sum}}}$, where E_T^{sum} is the scalar sum of the transverse energies of the jets and the soft-term, is required to be less than $1.5(\text{GeV})^{1/2}$. Each seed event is smeared by multiplying each jet four-momentum by a random number drawn from the jet response function, which is initially estimated from simulation and adjusted after comparing the response to data in a photon + jet sample. In addition, the contribution to E_T^{miss} due to the soft-term is also modified by sampling randomly from the soft-term distribution measured in a $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ sample with no reconstructed jets. The smearing procedure is repeated 10,000 times for each seed event. The resulting pseudo-data $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ distribution is then normalized to the data in the region of $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 40$ GeV, and the migration into the signal region is evaluated.

To validate the jet-smearing method, a control sample is selected with the same selection criteria as SR- Z jets but reversing the $p_{T,\ell\ell}$ requirement, and removing the $\Delta R_{\ell\ell}$ and m_{jj} criteria to increase the number of events. The seed events are selected from the control region events by requiring $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 40$ GeV and $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{E_T^{\text{sum}}} < 1.5(\text{GeV})^{1/2}$. Results are validated in a region with $40 < E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 80$ GeV, which is dominated by $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$. The method predicts 750 ± 100 events, where both statistical and systematic uncertainties are included, in agreement with the 779 events observed in data.

7.3 Non-prompt lepton background estimation

The term ‘non-prompt leptons’ refers to hadronic jets mistakenly reconstructed as signal leptons or leptons originating from heavy-flavour decays or photon conversions. In this context, ‘prompt leptons’ are leptons produced directly in decays of sparticles or weak bosons. The number of non-prompt lepton events is estimated using the matrix method [96], which takes advantage of the difference between the prompt efficiency ϵ_p and non-prompt efficiency ϵ_n , defined as the fractions of prompt and non-prompt candidate leptons, respectively, that pass the signal-lepton requirements.

The prompt and non-prompt efficiencies are evaluated as functions of the p_T of the lepton candidate in simulated events using MC truth information. Differences between data and MC are corrected for with normalization factors measured in control samples. Since the efficiencies depend on the production process, average ϵ_p and ϵ_n values are calculated for each SR and CR using the fraction of each process predicted by the simulation as the weights. The data/MC normalization factors for ϵ_p are derived from $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events. The normalization factors for ϵ_n depend on whether the non-prompt lepton originated from jets or from photon conversion. The normalization factors for misidentified jets or leptons from heavy-flavour decays are measured in a control region enriched in $b\bar{b}$ production. Events are selected with two candidate leptons, one b -tagged jet and $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 40$ GeV. One of the two lepton candidates is required to be a muon and to lie within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of the b -tagged jet, while the other lepton candidate is used to measure the non-prompt efficiency. For measuring the normalization factor for photon conversions, a $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ control sample is defined by selecting events with two muons, $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}} < 50$ GeV, at least one candidate electron (which is the conversion candidate) with $m_T < 40$ GeV, and requiring that the invariant mass of the $\mu^+\mu^-e^\pm$ system is within 10 GeV of the Z boson mass.

Using ϵ_n and ϵ_p , the observed numbers of events in each SR and CR with four possible combinations (signal-signal, signal-candidate, candidate-signal and candidate-candidate) of leptons are expressed as weighted sums of the numbers of events with four combinations of prompt and non-prompt leptons. Solving these equations allows determination of the non-prompt lepton background. The contribution of non-prompt-lepton background in the signal regions is less than 5% of the total background in all signal regions.

7.4 Fitting procedure

For each SR, a simultaneous likelihood fit to the corresponding CRs is performed to normalize the top, WW and ZV (in the case of SR- Z jets only top is fitted) background estimates. The inputs to the fit are the numbers of observed events in the CRs, the expected contributions of top, WW and ZV from simulation, and the expected contributions of other background sources determined as described in sections 7.1–7.3.

The event count in each CR is treated as a Poisson probability function, the mean of which is the sum of the expected contributions from all background sources. The free parameters in the fit are the normalization of the top, WW and ZV contributions. The systematic uncertainties on the expected background yields are included as nuisance parameters, constrained to be Gaussian with a width determined from the size of the uncertainty.

SR	m_{T2} and WWb/c			WWa			$Zjets$
CR	WW	Top	ZV	WW	Top	ZV	Top
Observed events	1061	804	94	472	209	175	395
MC prediction	947	789	91	385	215	162	399
Normalization	1.14	1.02	1.08	1.12	0.97	1.04	0.99
Statistical error	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.06
Composition							
WW	84.6%	1.4%	5.0%	86.8%	1.7%	10.5%	1.3%
Top	10.4%	98.5%	<0.1%	7.3%	98.1%	2.8%	98.0%
ZV	2.0%	0.1%	94.9%	1.9%	<0.1%	82.9%	0.3%
Non-prompt lepton	1.9%	<0.1%	<0.1%	2.7%	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%
Other	1.1%	<0.1%	0.1%	1.3%	<0.1%	3.7%	0.3%

Table 3. Numbers of observed and predicted events in the CRs, data/MC normalization factors and composition of the CRs obtained from the fit. Systematic errors are described in section 8.

Correlations between control and signal regions, and background processes are taken into account with common nuisance parameters. The free parameters and the nuisance parameters are determined by maximizing the product of the Poisson probability functions and the constraints on the nuisance parameters.

Table 3 summarizes the numbers of observed and predicted events in the CRs, data/MC normalization and CR composition obtained from the simultaneous fit. The normalization factors agree within errors between different SRs for each of the WW , Top and ZV contributions. Results of the background estimates in the SRs can be found in tables 5, 6 and 7.

8 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties affect the estimates of the backgrounds and signal event yields in the control and signal regions. A breakdown of the different sources of systematic uncertainties and their size is shown in table 4.

The ‘CR statistics’ and ‘MC statistics’ uncertainties arise from the number of data events in the CRs and simulated events in the SRs and CRs, respectively. The largest contributions are due to the simulated background samples in the signal regions.

The dominant experimental systematic uncertainties, labelled ‘Jet’ in table 4, come from the propagation of the jet energy scale calibration [97] and resolution [98] uncertainties. They were derived from a combination of simulation, test-beam data and in situ measurements. Additional uncertainties due to differences between quark and gluon jets, and light and heavy flavour jets, as well as the effect of pile-up interactions are included. The ‘Lepton’ uncertainties include those from lepton reconstruction, identification and trigger efficiencies, as well as lepton energy and momentum measurements [84, 85]. Uncertainties due to τ reconstruction and energy calibration are negligible. Jet and lepton

	m_{T2}^{90}		m_{T2}^{120}		m_{T2}^{150}		WWa		WWb		WWc		Zjets
	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF
CR statistics	5	3	6	4	8	4	5	5	5	3	6	4	1
MC statistics	5	7	7	12	10	23	3	4	5	8	6	10	14
Jet	4	1	2	1	5	7	3	6	4	2	4	3	11
Lepton	1	2	1	1	4	1	1	3	2	3	1	8	4
Soft-term	3	4	1	1	2	8	<1	2	3	5	1	6	5
b-tagging	1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	1	1	1	2	<1	1	2
Non-prompt lepton	<1	1	<1	<1	1	<1	1	1	1	2	<1	1	<1
Luminosity	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Modelling	11	13	21	31	18	40	6	6	8	10	15	19	42
Total	13	16	24	34	23	47	9	11	12	14	17	24	47

Table 4. Systematic uncertainties (in %) on the total background estimated in different signal regions. Because of correlations between the systematic uncertainties and the fitted backgrounds, the total uncertainty can be different from the quadratic sum of the individual uncertainties.

energy scale uncertainties are propagated to the E_T^{miss} evaluation. An additional ‘Soft-term’ uncertainty is associated with the contribution to the E_T^{miss} reconstruction of energy deposits not assigned to any reconstructed objects [93].

The ‘*b*-tagging’ row refers to the uncertainties on the *b*-jet identification efficiency and charm and light-flavour jet rejection factors [99]. The ‘Non-prompt lepton’ uncertainties arise from the data-driven estimates of the non-prompt lepton background described in section 7.3. The dominant sources are η dependence of the non-prompt rates, differences between the light and heavy flavour jets, and the statistics of the control samples. The uncertainty on the integrated luminosity is $\pm 2.8\%$, and affects the normalization of the background estimated with simulation. It is derived following the methodology detailed in ref. [100].

The ‘Modelling’ field of table 4 includes the uncertainties on the methods used for the background estimate, as well as the modelling uncertainties of the generators used to assist the estimate. For SR-*Z*jets an additional 20% uncertainty is assigned to the *ZV* background estimate to account for the variations between data and simulation in the *ZV* control regions with two or more jets, as described in section 7.2. Uncertainties on the *Z*/ γ^* + jets background estimate in SR-*Z*jets include the systematic uncertainties associated with the jet smearing method due to the fluctuations in the non-Gaussian tails of the response function and the systematic uncertainty associated with the cut value on $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{E_T^{\text{sum}}}$ used to define the seed region. The effect of using each seed event multiple times is also taken into account. Generator modelling uncertainties are estimated by comparing the results from POWHEG and MC@NLO generators for top events, and POWHEG and aMC@NLO for *WW* events, using HERWIG for parton showering in all cases. Parton showering uncertainties are estimated in top and *WW* events by comparing POWHEG plus HERWIG with POWHEG plus PYTHIA. Both generator modelling and parton showering uncertainties are es-

timated in ZV events by comparing POWHEG plus PYTHIA to SHERPA. Special $t\bar{t}$ samples are generated using AcerMC with PYTHIA to evaluate the uncertainties related to the amount of initial and final-state radiation [101]. Impact of the choice of renormalization and factorization scales is evaluated by varying them between 0.5 and 2 times the nominal values in POWHEG for top events and aMC@NLO for diboson events. The uncertainties due to the PDFs for the top and diboson events are evaluated using 90% C.L. CT10 PDF eigenvectors. Effects of using different PDF sets have been found to be negligible. The dominant contribution among the ‘Modelling’ uncertainties comes from the difference between POWHEG and aMC@NLO for diboson production.

Signal cross-sections are calculated to NLO in the strong coupling constant. Their uncertainties are taken from an envelope of cross-section predictions using different PDF sets and factorization and renormalization scales, as described in ref. [102]. Systematic uncertainties associated with the signal selection efficiency include those due to lepton trigger, reconstruction and identification, jet reconstruction and E_T^{miss} calculation. Uncertainties on the integrated luminosity affect the predicted signal yield. The total uncertainty on the predicted signal yield is typically 9–13% for SUSY scenarios to which this measurement is sensitive.

9 Results

Figures 3 and 4 show the comparison between data and the SM prediction for key kinematic variables in different signal regions. In each plot, the expected distributions from the WW , $t\bar{t}$ and ZV processes are corrected with data-driven normalization factors obtained from the fit detailed in section 7. The hashed regions represent the sum in quadrature of systematic uncertainties and statistical uncertainties arising from the numbers of MC events. The effect of limited data events in the CR is included in the systematic uncertainty. All statistical uncertainties are added in quadrature whereas the systematic uncertainties are obtained after taking full account of all correlations between sources, background contributions and channels. The rightmost bin of each plot includes overflow. Illustrative SUSY benchmark models, normalized to the integrated luminosity, are superimposed. The lower panel of each plot shows the ratio between data and the SM background prediction.

Tables 5, 6 and 7 compare the observed yields in each signal region with those predicted for the SM background. The errors include both statistical and systematic uncertainties. Good agreement is observed across all channels.

For each SR, the significance of a possible excess over the SM background is quantified by the one-sided probability, p_0 , of the background alone to fluctuate to the observed number of events or higher, using the asymptotic formula [103]. This is calculated using a fit similar to the one described in section 7.4, but including the observed number of events in the SR as an input. All systematic uncertainties and their correlations are taken into account via nuisance parameters. The accuracy of the limits obtained from the asymptotic formula was tested for all SRs by randomly generating a large number of pseudo data sets and repeating the fit. Upper limits at 95% CL on the number of non-SM events for each SR are derived using the CL_s prescription [104] and neglecting any possible contamination




Figure 3. Distributions of $m_{\ell\ell}$ in the (a) SF and (b) DF samples that satisfy all the SR-WWa selection criteria except for the one on $m_{\ell\ell}$, and of $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ in the (c) SF and (d) DF samples that satisfy all the SR-WWa selection criteria except for the ones on $m_{\ell\ell}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$. The lower panel of each plot shows the ratio between data and the SM background prediction. The hashed regions represent the sum in quadrature of systematic uncertainties and statistical uncertainties arising from the numbers of MC events. Predicted signal distributions in a simplified model with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 100$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ are superimposed. Red arrows indicate the SR-WWa selection criteria. In (a), the region $81.2 < m_{\ell\ell} < 101.2$ GeV is rejected by the Z boson veto.

in the CRs. Normalizing these by the integrated luminosity of the data sample they can be interpreted as upper limits, σ_{vis}^{95} , on the visible non-SM cross-section, defined as the product of acceptance, reconstruction efficiency and production cross-section of the non-SM contribution. The results are given in tables 5, 6 and 7.

10 Interpretation

Exclusion limits at 95% confidence-level are set on the slepton, chargino and neutralino masses within the specific scenarios considered. The same CL_s limit-setting procedure as in




Figure 4. Distributions of m_{T2} in the (a) SF and (b) DF samples that satisfy all the SR- m_{T2} selection criteria except for the one on m_{T2} , and of (c) $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$ in the sample that satisfies all the SR-Zjets selection criteria except for the one on $E_T^{\text{miss,rel}}$. The lower panel of each plot shows the ratio between data and the SM background prediction. The hashed regions represent the sum in quadrature of systematic uncertainties and statistical uncertainties arising from the numbers of MC events. Predicted signal distributions in simplified models with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 350$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{t}} = m_{\tilde{\nu}} = 175$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ are superimposed in (a) and (b), $m_{\tilde{t}} = 251$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 10$ GeV in (a), and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 250$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ in (c). Red arrows indicate the selection criteria for SR- m_{T2} and SR-Zjets.

section 9 is used, except that the SUSY signal is allowed to populate both the signal region and the control regions as predicted by the simulation. Since the SRs are not mutually exclusive, the SR with the best expected exclusion limit is chosen for each model point.

The results are displayed in figures 5 through 9. In each exclusion plot, the solid (dashed) lines show observed (expected) exclusion contours, including all uncertainties except for the theoretical signal cross-section uncertainty arising from the PDF and the renormalization and factorization scales. The solid band around the expected exclusion contour shows the $\pm 1\sigma$ result where all uncertainties, except those on the signal cross-sections, are

	SR- m_{T2}^{90}		SR- m_{T2}^{120}		SR- m_{T2}^{150}	
	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF
Expected background						
WW	22.1 ± 4.3	16.2 ± 3.2	3.5 ± 1.3	3.3 ± 1.2	1.0 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5
ZV	12.9 ± 2.2	0.8 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.5	< 0.1
Top	3.0 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 1.9	$0.3^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Others	0.3 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.6	$0.1^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$	0.1 ± 0.1	$0.1^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$	$0.0^{+0.4}_{-0.0}$
Total	38.2 ± 5.1	23.3 ± 3.7	8.9 ± 2.1	3.6 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.5
Observed events	33	21	5	5	3	2
Predicted signal						
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (350, 0)$	24.2 ± 2.5	19.1 ± 2.1	18.1 ± 1.8	14.7 ± 1.7	12.0 ± 1.3	10.1 ± 1.3
$(m_{\tilde{\ell}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (251, 10)$	24.0 ± 2.7	—	19.1 ± 2.5	—	14.3 ± 1.7	—
p_0	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.27	0.50	0.21
Observed σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	0.63	0.55	0.26	0.36	0.24	0.26
Expected σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	$0.78^{+0.32}_{-0.23}$	$0.62^{+0.26}_{-0.18}$	$0.37^{+0.17}_{-0.11}$	$0.30^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	$0.24^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$	$0.19^{+0.10}_{-0.06}$

Table 5. Observed and expected numbers of events in SR- m_{T2} . Also shown are the one-sided p_0 values and the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits, σ_{vis}^{95} , on the visible cross-section for non-SM events. The ‘Others’ background category includes non-prompt lepton, $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ and SM Higgs. The numbers of signal events are shown for the $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \rightarrow (\tilde{\ell}\nu \text{ or } \tilde{\ell}\bar{\nu})\tilde{\chi}_1^0(\tilde{\ell}'\nu' \text{ or } \tilde{\ell}'\bar{\nu}')\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ scenario and for the $\tilde{\ell}^+ \tilde{\ell}^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ scenario with different $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\ell}$ masses in GeV.

considered. The dotted lines around the observed exclusion contour represent the results obtained when varying the nominal signal cross-section by $\pm 1\sigma$ theoretical uncertainty. All mass limits hereafter quoted correspond to the signal cross-sections reduced by 1σ .

Figure 5 shows the 95% CL exclusion region obtained from SR- m_{T2} on the simplified model for direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ pair production followed by slepton-mediated decays. For $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$, chargino masses between 140 GeV and 465 GeV are excluded. The exclusion in this scenario depends on the assumed slepton mass, which is chosen to be halfway between the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses in this analysis. Studies performed with particle-level signal MC samples show that the signal acceptance in SR- m_{T2} depends weakly on $m_{\tilde{\ell}}$, and the choice of $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})/2$ minimizes (maximizes) the acceptance for small (large) $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splitting.

Figure 6(a) shows the 95% CL exclusion regions obtained from SR- WW on the simplified-model $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production followed by W -mediated decays. Figure 6(b) shows the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the SUSY signal cross-section normalized by the simplified-model prediction as a function of $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ for a massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. For $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$, chargino mass ranges of 100–105 GeV, 120–135 GeV and 145–160 GeV are excluded at 95% CL.

Figure 7(a) shows the 95% CL exclusion region obtained from SR- $Z\text{jets}$ in the simplified-model $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production followed by W and Z decays. For $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$, degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses between 180 GeV and 355 GeV are excluded. Figure 7(b) shows the exclusion region obtained by combining this result with results from the relevant signal regions (SR0a/SR1a/SR1SS/SR2a) in the ATLAS search for electroweak SUSY

	SR-WW _a		SR-WW _b		SR-WW _c	
	SF	DF	SF	DF	SF	DF
Background						
<i>WW</i>	57.8 ± 5.5	58.2 ± 6.0	16.4 ± 2.5	12.3 ± 2.0	10.4 ± 2.7	7.3 ± 1.9
<i>ZV</i>	16.3 ± 3.5	1.8 ± 0.5	10.9 ± 1.9	0.6 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 2.1	0.4 ± 0.2
Top	9.2 ± 3.5	11.6 ± 4.3	2.4 ± 1.7	4.3 ± 1.6	0.6 ^{+1.2} _{-0.6}	0.9 ± 0.8
Others	3.3 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.6	0.1 ^{+0.5} _{-0.1}	0.4 ± 0.3
Total	86.5 ± 7.4	73.6 ± 7.9	30.2 ± 3.5	18.1 ± 2.6	20.3 ± 3.5	9.0 ± 2.2
Observed events	73	70	26	17	10	11
Predicted signal						
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (100, 0)$	25.6 ± 3.3	24.4 ± 2.2				
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (140, 20)$			8.3 ± 0.8	7.2 ± 0.8		
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (200, 0)$					5.2 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 0.4
p_0	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.31
Observed σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	0.78	1.00	0.54	0.49	0.29	0.50
Expected σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	1.13 ^{+0.46} _{-0.32}	1.11 ^{+0.44} _{-0.31}	0.66 ^{+0.28} _{-0.20}	0.53 ^{+0.23} _{-0.16}	0.52 ^{+0.23} _{-0.16}	0.41 ^{+0.19} _{-0.12}

Table 6. Observed and expected numbers of events in SR-WW. Also shown are the one-sided p_0 values and the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits, σ_{vis}^{95} , on the visible cross-section for non-SM events. The ‘Others’ category includes non-prompt lepton, $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ and SM Higgs. The numbers of signal events are shown for the $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \rightarrow W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ scenario with different $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses in GeV.




Figure 5. Observed and expected 95% CL exclusion regions in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane for simplified-model $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ pair production with common masses of sleptons and sneutrinos at $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = m_{\tilde{\nu}} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})/2$. Also shown is the LEP limit [36, 37] on the mass of the chargino. The blue line indicates the limit from the previous analysis with the 7 TeV data [34].

production in the three-lepton final states [83]. The fit is performed on the combined likelihood function using all signal regions. The uncertainties are profiled in the likelihood

	SR-Zjets
Background	
<i>WW</i>	0.1 ± 0.1
<i>ZV</i>	1.0 ± 0.6
Top	< 0.1
<i>Z</i> + jets and others	0.3 ± 0.2
Total	1.4 ± 0.6
Observed events	1
Predicted signal	
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (250, 0)$	6.4 ± 0.8
$(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (350, 50)$	3.7 ± 0.2
p_0	0.50
Observed σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	0.17
Expected σ_{vis}^{95} [fb]	$0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.06}$

Table 7. Observed and expected numbers of events in SR-Zjets. Also shown are the one-sided p_0 value and the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits, σ_{vis}^{95} , on the visible cross-section for non-SM events. The numbers of signal events are shown for the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ scenario with different $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses in GeV.




Figure 6. (a) Observed and expected 95% CL exclusion regions in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane for simplified-model $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ production followed by W -mediated decays. Also shown is the LEP limit [36, 37] on the mass of the chargino. (b) Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the cross-section normalized by the simplified-model prediction as a function of $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$.

and correlations between channels and processes are taken into account. The combination significantly improves the sensitivity. As a result, degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses between 100 GeV and 415 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$.




Figure 7. (a) Observed and expected 95% CL exclusion regions in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane for simplified-model $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production followed by W and Z -mediated decays obtained from SR- Z jets; and (b) the exclusion regions obtained by combining with the ATLAS three-lepton search [83]. The green lines in (b) indicate the regions excluded by ATLAS using 4.7 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ data [105].

Figure 8 shows the 95% CL exclusion regions obtained from SR- m_{T2} for the direct production of (a) right-handed, (b) left-handed, and (c) both right- and left-handed selectrons and smuons of equal mass in the $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} - m_{\tilde{\ell}}$ plane. For $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$, common values for left and right-handed selectron and smuon mass between 90 GeV and 325 GeV are excluded. The sensitivity decreases as the $\tilde{\ell} - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splitting decreases because the m_{T2} end point of the SUSY signal moves lower towards that of the SM background. For $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 100 \text{ GeV}$, common left and right-handed slepton masses between 160 GeV and 310 GeV are excluded. The present result cannot be directly compared with the previous ATLAS slepton limits [34], which used a flavour-blind signal region and searched for a single slepton flavour with both right-handed and left-handed contributions.

Figure 9(a)–(c) show the 95% CL exclusion regions in the pMSSM $\mu - M_2$ plane for the scenario with right-handed sleptons with $m_{\tilde{\ell}_R} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0})/2$. The M_1 parameter is set to (a) 100 GeV, (b) 140 GeV and (c) 250 GeV, and $\tan \beta = 6$. At each model point, the limits are obtained using the SR with the best expected sensitivity. Figure 9(d) shows the exclusion region for $M_1 = 250 \text{ GeV}$ obtained by combining the results of this analysis with the ATLAS three-lepton results [83]. Figure 10(a) shows the 95% CL exclusion region in the pMSSM $\mu - M_2$ plane for the scenario with heavy sleptons, $\tan \beta = 10$ and $M_1 = 50 \text{ GeV}$, using the SR with the best expected sensitivity at each model point. The island of exclusion near the centre of figure 10(a) is due to SR- Z jets, and is shaped by the kinematical thresholds of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays. Figure 10(b) shows the exclusion region obtained by combining the results from SR- Z jets with the three-lepton results. These results significantly extend previous limits in the pMSSM $\mu - M_2$ plane.

The CL_s value is also calculated from SR- WW a for the GMSB model point where the chargino is the NLSP with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 110 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 113 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 130 \text{ GeV}$ [47]. The observed and expected CL_s values are found to be 0.19 and 0.29, respectively. The




Figure 8. 95% CL exclusion regions in the $(m_{\tilde{\nu}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane for (a) right-handed, (b) left-handed, and (c) both right- and left-handed (mass degenerate) selectron and smuon production. Also illustrated are the LEP limits [36, 37] on the mass of the right-handed smuon $\tilde{\mu}_R$.

observed and expected 95% CL limits on the signal cross-section are 1.58 and 1.90 times the model prediction, respectively.

11 Conclusion

Searches for the electroweak production of charginos, neutralinos and sleptons in final states characterized by the presence of two leptons (electrons and muons) and missing transverse momentum are performed using 20.3 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ recorded with the ATLAS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. No significant excess beyond Standard Model expectations is observed. Limits are set on the masses of the lightest chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, next-to-lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and sleptons for different masses of the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in simplified models. In the scenario of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ pair production with $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decaying into $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ via an intermediate slepton with mass halfway between the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ masses between 140 GeV and 465 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. In the scenario of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ pair production with $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decaying into $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and a W boson, $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ masses




Figure 9. 95% CL exclusion regions in the μ – M_2 mass plane of the pMSSM with right-handed slepton mass $m_{\tilde{l}_R} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0})/2$. The areas covered by the -1σ expected limit are shown in green. The M_1 parameter is (a) 100 GeV, (b) 140 GeV and (c) 250 GeV, and $\tan\beta = 6$. The exclusion region for $M_1 = 250$ GeV (d) is obtained by combining the results of this analysis with those from the ATLAS three-lepton search [83]. The dash-dotted lines indicate the masses of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Also shown are the previously reported exclusion regions by ATLAS [105] and the LEP limits [36, 37] on the mass of the chargino.

in the ranges 100–105 GeV, 120–135 GeV and 145–160 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. This is the first limit for this scenario obtained at a hadron collider. Finally, in the scenario of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production with $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decaying into $W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decaying into $Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, common $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses between 180 GeV and 355 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Combining this result with those from ref. [83] extends the exclusion region to between 100 GeV and 415 GeV. In scenarios where sleptons decay directly into $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and a charged lepton, common values for left and right-handed slepton masses between 90 GeV and 325 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Improved exclusion regions are also obtained in the pMSSM μ – M_2 plane for four sets of slepton mass, M_1 and $\tan\beta$ values.




Figure 10. (a) 95% CL exclusion regions in the μ - M_2 mass plane of the pMSSM with very large slepton masses, $M_1 = 50$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 10$. (b) The exclusion region obtained by combining the results from SR- Z jets with those from the ATLAS three-lepton search [83]. The areas covered by the -1σ expected limit are shown in green. The dash-dotted lines indicate the masses of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Also shown are the LEP limits [36, 37] on the mass of the chargino.

Acknowledgments

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWF and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRf, DNSRC and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; EPLANET, ERC and NSRF, European Union; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, DFG, HGF, MPG and AvH Foundation, Germany; GSRT and NSRF, Greece; ISF, MINERVA, GIF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; BRF and RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; GRICES and FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and ROSATOM, Russian Federation; JINR; MSTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SER, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; NSC, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (U.K.) and BNL (U.S.A.) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] H. Miyazawa, *Baryon number changing currents*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **36** (1966) 1266 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] P. Ramond, *Dual theory for free fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 3** (1971) 2415 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] Y. Golfand and E.P. Likhtman, *Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of P invariance*, *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323 [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **13** (1971) 452] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Neveu and J.H. Schwarz, *Factorizable dual model of pions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 31** (1971) 86 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] A. Neveu and J.H. Schwarz, *Quark model of dual pions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 4** (1971) 1109 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Field theory interpretation of supergauges in dual models*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 34** (1971) 632 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, *Is the neutrino a Goldstone particle?*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 46** (1973) 109 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *A Lagrangian model invariant under supergauge transformations*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 49** (1974) 52 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Supergauge transformations in four-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 70** (1974) 39 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] S. Weinberg, *Implications of dynamical symmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 13** (1976) 974 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] E. Gildener, *Gauge symmetry hierarchies*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 14** (1976) 1667 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] S. Weinberg, *Implications of dynamical symmetry breaking: an addendum*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 19** (1979) 1277 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] L. Susskind, *Dynamics of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in the Weinberg-Salam Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 20** (1979) 2619 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] P. Fayet, *Supersymmetry and Weak, Electromagnetic and Strong Interactions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 64** (1976) 159 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] P. Fayet, *Spontaneously Broken Supersymmetric Theories of Weak, Electromagnetic and Strong Interactions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 69** (1977) 489 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] G.R. Farrar and P. Fayet, *Phenomenology of the production, decay and detection of new hadronic states associated with supersymmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 76** (1978) 575 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] P. Fayet, *Relations between the masses of the superpartners of leptons and quarks, the goldstino couplings and the neutral currents*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 84** (1979) 416 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, *Softly broken supersymmetry and SU(5)*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 193** (1981) 150 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [19] A. Djouadi, J.-L. Kneur and G. Moultaka, *SuSpect: a fortran code for the supersymmetric and Higgs particle spectrum in the MSSM*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **176** (2007) 426 [[hep-ph/0211331](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] C.F. Berger, J.S. Gainer, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, *Supersymmetry without prejudice*, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 023 [[arXiv:0812.0980](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] S.S. AbdusSalam, B.C. Allanach, F. Quevedo, F. Feroz and M. Hobson, *Fitting the phenomenological MSSM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 095012 [[arXiv:0904.2548](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] R. Barbieri and G.F. Giudice, *Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **306** (1988) 63 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] B. de Carlos and J.A. Casas, *One loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine tuning problem*, *Phys. Lett. B* **309** (1993) 320 [[hep-ph/9303291](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *A phenomenological model of particle physics based on supersymmetry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **110** (1982) 227 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] L. Álvarez-Gaumé, M. Claudson and M.B. Wise, *Low-energy supersymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **207** (1982) 96 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] C.R. Nappi and B.A. Ovrut, *Supersymmetric extension of the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **113** (1982) 175 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M. Dine and A.E. Nelson, *Dynamical supersymmetry breaking at low-energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 1277 [[hep-ph/9303230](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] M. Dine, A.E. Nelson and Y. Shirman, *Low-energy dynamical supersymmetry breaking simplified*, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 1362 [[hep-ph/9408384](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Y. Nir and Y. Shirman, *New tools for low-energy dynamical supersymmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 2658 [[hep-ph/9507378](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Out of this world supersymmetry breaking*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **557** (1999) 79 [[hep-th/9810155](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] G.F. Giudice, M.A. Luty, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, *Gaugino mass without singlets*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 027 [[hep-ph/9810442](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, A. Cottrant, A. Pukhov and A. Semenov, *WMAP constraints on SUGRA models with non-universal gaugino masses and prospects for direct detection*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **706** (2005) 411 [[hep-ph/0407218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] S.F. King, J.P. Roberts and D.P. Roy, *Natural dark matter in SUSY GUTs with non-universal gaugino masses*, *JHEP* **10** (2007) 106 [[arXiv:0705.4219](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct slepton and gaugino production in final states with two leptons and missing transverse momentum with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 879 [[arXiv:1208.2884](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] CMS collaboration, *Search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos using leptonic final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 147 [[arXiv:1209.6620](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] LEP SUSY WORKING GROUP, ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL, *Combined LEP chargino results, up to 208 GeV*, note LEPSUSYWG/01-03.1, <http://lepsusy.web.cern.ch/lepsusy/Welcome.html>, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2001).

- [37] LEP SUSY WORKING GROUP, ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL, *Combined LEP selectron/smuon/stau results, 183–208 GeV*, note LEPSUSYWG/04-01.1, <http://lepsusy.web.cern.ch/lepsusy/Welcome.html>, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2004).
- [38] ALEPH collaboration, A. Heister et al., *Absolute mass lower limit for the lightest neutralino of the MSSM from e^+e^- data at \sqrt{s} up to 209 GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **583** (2004) 247 [INSPIRE].
- [39] DELPHI collaboration, J. Abdallah et al., *Searches for supersymmetric particles in e^+e^- collisions up to 208 GeV and interpretation of the results within the MSSM*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **31** (2003) 421 [hep-ex/0311019] [INSPIRE].
- [40] L3 collaboration, M. Acciarri et al., *Search for charginos and neutralinos in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **472** (2000) 420 [hep-ex/9910007] [INSPIRE].
- [41] OPAL collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., *Search for chargino and neutralino production at $\sqrt{s} = 192$ GeV to 209 GeV at LEP*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **35** (2004) 1 [hep-ex/0401026] [INSPIRE].
- [42] J. Alwall, P. Schuster and N. Toro, *Simplified models for a first characterization of new physics at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 075020 [arXiv:0810.3921] [INSPIRE].
- [43] S.S. AbdusSalam et al., *Benchmark models, planes, lines and points for future SUSY searches at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1835 [arXiv:1109.3859] [INSPIRE].
- [44] MSSM WORKING GROUP collaboration, A. Djouadi et al., *The minimal supersymmetric Standard Model: group summary report*, hep-ph/9901246 [INSPIRE].
- [45] ATLAS collaboration, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214] [INSPIRE].
- [46] CMS collaboration, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235] [INSPIRE].
- [47] D. Curtin, P. Jaiswal and P. Meade, *Charginos hiding in plain sight*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 031701 [arXiv:1206.6888] [INSPIRE].
- [48] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, 2008 JINST* **3** S08003 [INSPIRE].
- [49] GEANT4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4: a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [INSPIRE].
- [50] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS simulation infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 823 [arXiv:1005.4568] [INSPIRE].
- [51] E. Richter-Was, D. Froidevaux and L. Poggioli, *ATLFAST 2.0 a fast simulation package for ATLAS*, ATL-PHYS-98-131, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (1998).
- [52] ATLAS collaboration, *The simulation principle and performance of the ATLAS fast calorimeter simulation FastCaloSim*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-013, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2010).
- [53] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026 [hep-ph/0603175] [INSPIRE].
- [54] S. Frixione and B.R. Webber, *Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 029 [hep-ph/0204244] [INSPIRE].

- [55] S. Frixione, P. Nason and B.R. Webber, *Matching NLO QCD and parton showers in heavy flavor production*, *JHEP* **08** (2003) 007 [[hep-ph/0305252](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] S. Frixione, E. Laenen, P. Motylinski and B.R. Webber, *Single-top production in MC@NLO*, *JHEP* **03** (2006) 092 [[hep-ph/0512250](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, *Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method*, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070 [[arXiv:0709.2092](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] B.P. Kersevan and E. Richter-Was, *The Monte Carlo event generator AcerMC versions 2.0 to 3.8 with interfaces to PYTHIA 6.4, HERWIG 6.5 and ARIADNE 4.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184** (2013) 919 [[hep-ph/0405247](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, *Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders*, [arXiv:1112.5675](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer and T. Stelzer, *MadGraph 5: going beyond*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128 [[arXiv:1106.0522](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] A. Lazopoulos, T. McElmurry, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, *Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to $t\bar{t}Z$ production at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **666** (2008) 62 [[arXiv:0804.2220](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] J.M. Campbell and R.K. Ellis, *$t\bar{t}W^{+-}$ production and decay at NLO*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 052 [[arXiv:1204.5678](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] M.V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C.G. Papadopoulos and Z. Trócsányi, *$t\bar{t}W^{+-}$ and $t\bar{t}Z$ hadroproduction at NLO accuracy in QCD with parton shower and hadronization effects*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 056 [[arXiv:1208.2665](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] T. Binoth, M. Ciccolini, N. Kauer and M. Krämer, *Gluon-induced W -boson pair production at the LHC*, *JHEP* **12** (2006) 046 [[hep-ph/0611170](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] T. Binoth, N. Kauer and P. Mertsch, *Gluon-induced QCD corrections to $pp \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}\ell'\bar{\ell}'$* , [arXiv:0807.0024](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] P. Artoisenet et al., *A framework for Higgs characterisation*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 043 [[arXiv:1306.6464](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] J.M. Campbell and R.K. Ellis, *An update on vector boson pair production at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 113006 [[hep-ph/9905386](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis and C. Williams, *Vector boson pair production at the LHC*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 018 [[arXiv:1105.0020](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] F. Campanario, V. Hankele, C. Oleari, S. Prestel and D. Zeppenfeld, *QCD corrections to charged triple vector boson production with leptonic decay*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 094012 [[arXiv:0809.0790](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] T. Gleisberg et al., *Event generation with SHERPA 1.1*, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 007 [[arXiv:0811.4622](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] M.L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, R. Pittau and A.D. Polosa, *ALPGEN, a generator for hard multiparton processes in hadronic collisions*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 001 [[hep-ph/0206293](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, *Vector boson production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 082001 [[arXiv:0903.2120](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [73] G. Corcella et al., *HERWIG 6: an event generator for hadron emission reactions with interfering gluons (including supersymmetric processes)*, *JHEP* **01** (2001) 010 [[hep-ph/0011363](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] J.M. Butterworth, J.R. Forshaw and M.H. Seymour, *Multiparton interactions in photoproduction at HERA*, *Z. Phys. C* **72** (1996) 637 [[hep-ph/9601371](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS tunes of PYTHIA 6 and PYTHIA 8 for MC11*, [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-009](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2011).
- [76] H.-L. Lai et al., *New parton distributions for collider physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074024 [[arXiv:1007.2241](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [77] J. Pumplin et al., *New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012 [[hep-ph/0201195](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] M. Bahr et al., *HERWIG++ physics and manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 639 [[arXiv:0803.0883](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [79] W. Beenakker et al., *The production of charginos/neutralinos and sleptons at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3780 [*Erratum ibid.* **100** (2008) 029901] [[hep-ph/9906298](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [80] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D.R. Lamprea and M. Rothering, *Gaugino production in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 081 [[arXiv:1207.2159](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [81] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D.R. Lamprea and M. Rothering, *Precision predictions for electroweak superpartner production at hadron colliders with resummino*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2480 [[arXiv:1304.0790](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [82] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D.R. Lamprea and M. Rothering, *Revisiting slepton pair production at the Large Hadron Collider*, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 168 [[arXiv:1310.2621](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [83] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct production of charginos and neutralinos in events with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 169 [[arXiv:1402.7029](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [84] ATLAS collaboration, *Electron performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2010 LHC proton-proton collision data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1909 [[arXiv:1110.3174](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [85] ATLAS collaboration, *Preliminary results on the muon reconstruction efficiency, momentum resolution and momentum scale in ATLAS 2012 pp collision data*, [ATLAS-CONF-2013-088](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2013).
- [86] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [87] M. Cacciari and G.P. Salam, *Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder*, *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 57 [[hep-ph/0512210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [88] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy measurement with the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2304 [[arXiv:1112.6426](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [89] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The catchment area of jets*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 005 [[arXiv:0802.1188](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [90] ATLAS collaboration, *Commissioning of the ATLAS high-performance b-tagging algorithms in the 7 TeV collision data*, [ATLAS-CONF-2011-102](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2011).
- [91] ATLAS collaboration, *Identification of the hadronic decays of τ leptons in 2012 data with the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2013-064](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2013).
- [92] ATLAS collaboration, *Determination of the τ energy scale and the associated systematic uncertainty in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC in 2012*, [ATLAS-CONF-2013-044](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2013).
- [93] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at 7 TeV with ATLAS*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1844 [[arXiv:1108.5602](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [94] C.G. Lester and D.J. Summers, *Measuring masses of semiinvisibly decaying particles pair produced at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Lett. B* **463** (1999) 99 [[hep-ph/9906349](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [95] A. Barr, C. Lester and P. Stephens, *m_{T2} : the truth behind the glamour*, *J. Phys. G* **29** (2003) 2343 [[hep-ph/0304226](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [96] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the top quark-pair production cross section with ATLAS in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1577 [[arXiv:1012.1792](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [97] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy measurement with the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2304 [[arXiv:1112.6426](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [98] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy resolution in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2306 [[arXiv:1210.6210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [99] ATLAS collaboration, *Measuring the b-tag efficiency in a top-pair sample with 4.7 fb^{-1} of data from the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2012-097](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [100] ATLAS collaboration, *Improved luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2518 [[arXiv:1302.4393](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [101] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of $t\bar{t}$ production with a veto on additional central jet activity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2043 [[arXiv:1203.5015](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [102] M. Krämer et al., *Supersymmetry production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, [arXiv:1206.2892](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [103] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554 [[arXiv:1007.1727](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [104] A.L. Read, *Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique*, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [105] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct production of charginos and neutralinos in events with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 841 [[arXiv:1208.3144](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

The ATLAS collaboration

G. Aad⁸⁴, B. Abbott¹¹², J. Abdallah¹⁵², S. Abdel Khalek¹¹⁶, O. Abdinov¹¹, R. Aben¹⁰⁶, B. Abi¹¹³, M. Abolins⁸⁹, O.S. AbouZeid¹⁵⁹, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁴, H. Abreu¹³⁷, R. Abreu³⁰, Y. Abulaiti^{147a,147b}, B.S. Acharya^{165a,165b,a}, L. Adamczyk^{38a}, D.L. Adams²⁵, J. Adelman¹⁷⁷, S. Adomeit⁹⁹, T. Adye¹³⁰, T. Agatonovic-Jovin^{13b}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{125f,125a}, M. Agustoni¹⁷, S.P. Ahlen²², A. Ahmad¹⁴⁹, F. Ahmadov^{64,b}, G. Aielli^{134a,134b}, T.P.A. Åkesson⁸⁰, G. Akimoto¹⁵⁶, A.V. Akimov⁹⁵, G.L. Alberghi^{20a,20b}, J. Albert¹⁷⁰, S. Albrand⁵⁵, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁷⁰, M. Aleksa³⁰, I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁴, C. Alexa^{26a}, G. Alexander¹⁵⁴, G. Alexandre⁴⁹, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob^{165a,165c}, G. Alimonti^{90a}, L. Alio⁸⁴, J. Alison³¹, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁸, L.J. Allison⁷¹, P.P. Allport⁷³, S.E. Allwood-Spiers⁵³, J. Almond⁸³, A. Aloisio^{103a,103b}, A. Alonso³⁶, F. Alonso⁷⁰, C. Alpigiani⁷⁵, A. Altheimer³⁵, B. Alvarez Gonzalez⁸⁹, M.G. Alviggi^{103a,103b}, K. Amako⁶⁵, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{24a}, C. Amelung²³, D. Amidei⁸⁸, S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{125a,125c}, A. Amorim^{125a,125b}, S. Amoroso⁴⁸, N. Amram¹⁵⁴, G. Amundsen²³, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴⁰, L.S. Ancu⁴⁹, N. Andari³⁰, T. Andeen³⁵, C.F. Anders^{58b}, G. Anders³⁰, K.J. Anderson³¹, A. Andreazza^{90a,90b}, V. Andrei^{58a}, X.S. Anduaga⁷⁰, S. Angelidakis⁹, I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁶, P. Anger⁴⁴, A. Angerami³⁵, F. Anghinolfi³⁰, A.V. Anisenkov¹⁰⁸, N. Anjos^{125a}, A. Annovi⁴⁷, A. Antonaki⁹, M. Antonelli⁴⁷, A. Antonov⁹⁷, J. Antos^{145b}, F. Anulli^{133a}, M. Aoki⁶⁵, L. Aperio Bella¹⁸, R. Apolle^{119,c}, G. Arabidze⁸⁹, I. Aracena¹⁴⁴, Y. Arai⁶⁵, J.P. Araque^{125a}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁵, J-F. Arguin⁹⁴, S. Argyropoulos⁴², M. Arik^{19a}, A.J. Armbruster³⁰, O. Arnaez⁸², V. Arnal⁸¹, H. Arnold⁴⁸, O. Arslan²¹, A. Artamonov⁹⁶, G. Artoni²³, S. Asai¹⁵⁶, N. Asbah⁹⁴, A. Ashkenazi¹⁵⁴, S. Ask²⁸, B. Åsman^{147a,147b}, L. Asquith⁶, K. Assamagan²⁵, R. Astalos^{145a}, M. Atkinson¹⁶⁶, N.B. Atlay¹⁴², B. Auerbach⁶, K. Augsten¹²⁷, M. Auresseau^{146b}, G. Avolio³⁰, G. Azuelos^{94,d}, Y. Azuma¹⁵⁶, M.A. Baak³⁰, C. Bacci^{135a,135b}, H. Bachacou¹³⁷, K. Bachas¹⁵⁵, M. Backes³⁰, M. Backhaus³⁰, J. Backus Mayes¹⁴⁴, E. Badescu^{26a}, P. Bagiacchi^{133a,133b}, P. Bagnaia^{133a,133b}, Y. Bai^{33a}, T. Bain³⁵, J.T. Baines¹³⁰, O.K. Baker¹⁷⁷, S. Baker⁷⁷, P. Balek¹²⁸, F. Balli¹³⁷, E. Banas³⁹, Sw. Banerjee¹⁷⁴, D. Banfi³⁰, A. Bangert¹⁵¹, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁷⁶, V. Bansal¹⁷⁰, H.S. Bansil¹⁸, L. Barak¹⁷³, S.P. Baranov⁹⁵, E.L. Barberio⁸⁷, D. Barberis^{50a,50b}, M. Barbero⁸⁴, T. Barillari¹⁰⁰, M. Barisonzi¹⁷⁶, T. Barklow¹⁴⁴, N. Barlow²⁸, B.M. Barnett¹³⁰, R.M. Barnett¹⁵, Z. Barnovska⁵, A. Baroncelli^{135a}, G. Barone⁴⁹, A.J. Barr¹¹⁹, F. Barreiro⁸¹, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa⁵⁷, R. Bartoldus¹⁴⁴, A.E. Barton⁷¹, P. Bartos^{145a}, V. Bartsch¹⁵⁰, A. Bassalat¹¹⁶, A. Basye¹⁶⁶, R.L. Bates⁵³, L. Batkova^{145a}, J.R. Batley²⁸, M. Battistin³⁰, F. Bauer¹³⁷, H.S. Bawa^{144,e}, T. Beau⁷⁹, P.H. Beauchemin¹⁶², R. Beccherle^{123a,123b}, P. Bechtel²¹, H.P. Beck¹⁷, K. Becker¹⁷⁶, S. Becker⁹⁹, M. Beckingham¹³⁹, C. Becot¹¹⁶, A.J. Beddall^{19c}, A. Beddall^{19c}, S. Bedikian¹⁷⁷, V.A. Bednyakov⁶⁴, C.P. Bee¹⁴⁹, L.J. Beemster¹⁰⁶, T.A. Beermann¹⁷⁶, M. Begel²⁵, K. Behr¹¹⁹, C. Belanger-Champagne⁸⁶, P.J. Bell⁴⁹, W.H. Bell⁴⁹, G. Bella¹⁵⁴, L. Bellagamba^{20a}, A. Bellerive²⁹, M. Bellomo⁸⁵, A. Belloni⁵⁷, O.L. Beloborodova^{108,f}, K. Belotskiy⁹⁷, O. Beltramello³⁰, O. Benary¹⁵⁴, D. Benchekroun^{136a}, K. Bendtz^{147a,147b}, N. Benekos¹⁶⁶, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁴, E. Benhar Noccioli⁴⁹, J.A. Benitez Garcia^{160b},

D.P. Benjamin⁴⁵, J.R. Bensinger²³, K. Benslama¹³¹, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁶, D. Berge¹⁰⁶,
E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁶, N. Berger⁵, F. Berghaus¹⁷⁰, E. Berglund¹⁰⁶, J. Beringer¹⁵,
C. Bernard²², P. Bernat⁷⁷, C. Bernius⁷⁸, F.U. Bernlochner¹⁷⁰, T. Berry⁷⁶, P. Berta¹²⁸,
C. Bertella⁸⁴, F. Bertolucci^{123a,123b}, M.I. Besana^{90a}, G.J. Besjes¹⁰⁵,
O. Bessidskaia^{147a,147b}, N. Besson¹³⁷, C. Betancourt⁴⁸, S. Bethke¹⁰⁰, W. Bhimji⁴⁶,
R.M. Bianchi¹²⁴, L. Bianchini²³, M. Bianco³⁰, O. Biebel⁹⁹, S.P. Bieniek⁷⁷,
K. Bierwagen⁵⁴, J. Biesiada¹⁵, M. Biglietti^{135a}, J. Bilbao De Mendizabal⁴⁹, H. Bilokon⁴⁷,
M. Bindi⁵⁴, S. Binet¹¹⁶, A. Bingul^{19c}, C. Bini^{133a,133b}, C.W. Black¹⁵¹, J.E. Black¹⁴⁴,
K.M. Black²², D. Blackburn¹³⁹, R.E. Blair⁶, J.-B. Blanchard¹³⁷, T. Blazek^{145a}, I. Bloch⁴²,
C. Blocker²³, W. Blum^{82,*}, U. Blumenschein⁵⁴, G.J. Bobbink¹⁰⁶, V.S. Bobrovnikov¹⁰⁸,
S.S. Bocchetta⁸⁰, A. Bocci⁴⁵, C.R. Boddy¹¹⁹, M. Boehler⁴⁸, J. Boek¹⁷⁶, T.T. Boek¹⁷⁶,
J.A. Bogaerts³⁰, A.G. Bogdanchikov¹⁰⁸, A. Bogouch^{91,*}, C. Boehm^{147a}, J. Boehm¹²⁶,
V. Boisvert⁷⁶, T. Bold^{38a}, V. Boldea^{26a}, A.S. Boldyrev⁹⁸, M. Bomben⁷⁹, M. Bona⁷⁵,
M. Boonekamp¹³⁷, A. Borisov¹²⁹, G. Borissov⁷¹, M. Borri⁸³, S. Borroni⁴², J. Bortfeldt⁹⁹,
V. Bortolotto^{135a,135b}, K. Bos¹⁰⁶, D. Boscherini^{20a}, M. Bosman¹², H. Boterenbrood¹⁰⁶,
J. Boudreau¹²⁴, J. Bouffard², E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷¹, D. Boumediene³⁴,
C. Bourdarios¹¹⁶, N. Bousson¹¹³, S. Boutouil^{136d}, A. Boveia³¹, J. Boyd³⁰, I.R. Boyko⁶⁴,
I. Bozovic-Jelisavcic^{13b}, J. Bracini¹⁸, P. Branchini^{135a}, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt¹⁵,
O. Brandt^{58a}, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁷, B. Brau⁸⁵, J.E. Brau¹¹⁵, H.M. Braun^{176,*},
S.F. Brazzale^{165a,165c}, B. Brelier¹⁵⁹, K. Brendlinger¹²¹, A.J. Brennan⁸⁷, R. Brenner¹⁶⁷,
S. Bressler¹⁷³, K. Bristow^{146c}, T.M. Bristow⁴⁶, D. Britton⁵³, F.M. Brochu²⁸, I. Brock²¹,
R. Brock⁸⁹, C. Bromberg⁸⁹, J. Bronner¹⁰⁰, G. Brooijmans³⁵, T. Brooks⁷⁶,
W.K. Brooks^{32b}, J. Brosamer¹⁵, E. Brost¹¹⁵, G. Brown⁸³, J. Brown⁵⁵,
P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom³⁹, D. Bruncko^{145b}, R. Bruneliere⁴⁸, S. Brunet⁶⁰,
A. Bruni^{20a}, G. Bruni^{20a}, M. Bruschi^{20a}, L. Bryngemark⁸⁰, T. Buanes¹⁴, Q. Buat¹⁴³,
F. Bucci⁴⁹, P. Buchholz¹⁴², R.M. Buckingham¹¹⁹, A.G. Buckley⁵³, S.I. Buda^{26a},
I.A. Budagov⁶⁴, F. Buehrer⁴⁸, L. Bugge¹¹⁸, M.K. Bugge¹¹⁸, O. Bulekov⁹⁷,
A.C. Bundock⁷³, H. Burckhart³⁰, S. Burdin⁷³, B. Burghgrave¹⁰⁷, S. Burke¹³⁰,
I. Burmeister⁴³, E. Busato³⁴, D. Buescher⁴⁸, V. Buescher⁸², P. Bussey⁵³, C.P. Buszello¹⁶⁷,
B. Butler⁵⁷, J.M. Butler²², A.I. Butt³, C.M. Buttar⁵³, J.M. Butterworth⁷⁷, P. Butti¹⁰⁶,
W. Buttinger²⁸, A. Buzatu⁵³, M. Byszewski¹⁰, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁶⁸, D. Caforio^{20a,20b},
O. Cakir^{4a}, P. Calafiura¹⁵, A. Calandri¹³⁷, G. Calderini⁷⁹, P. Calfayan⁹⁹, R. Calkins¹⁰⁷,
L.P. Caloba^{24a}, D. Calvet³⁴, S. Calvet³⁴, R. Camacho Toro⁴⁹, S. Camarda⁴²,
D. Cameron¹¹⁸, L.M. Caminada¹⁵, R. Caminal Armadans¹², S. Campana³⁰,
M. Campanelli⁷⁷, A. Campoverde¹⁴⁹, V. Canale^{103a,103b}, A. Canepa^{160a}, J. Cantero⁸¹,
R. Cantrill⁷⁶, T. Cao⁴⁰, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido³⁰, I. Caprini^{26a}, M. Caprini^{26a},
M. Capua^{37a,37b}, R. Caputo⁸², R. Cardarelli^{134a}, T. Carli³⁰, G. Carlino^{103a},
L. Carminati^{90a,90b}, S. Caron¹⁰⁵, E. Carquin^{32a}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya^{146c},
A.A. Carter⁷⁵, J.R. Carter²⁸, J. Carvalho^{125a,125c}, D. Casadei⁷⁷, M.P. Casado¹²,
E. Castaneda-Miranda^{146b}, A. Castelli¹⁰⁶, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁶⁸, N.F. Castro^{125a},
P. Catastini⁵⁷, A. Catinaccio³⁰, J.R. Catmore¹¹⁸, A. Cattai³⁰, G. Cattani^{134a,134b},
S. Caughron⁸⁹, V. Cavaliere¹⁶⁶, D. Cavalli^{90a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹², V. Cavasinni^{123a,123b},
F. Ceradini^{135a,135b}, B. Cerio⁴⁵, K. Cerny¹²⁸, A.S. Cerqueira^{24b}, A. Cerri¹⁵⁰, L. Cerrito⁷⁵,

F. Cerutti¹⁵, M. Cerv³⁰, A. Cervelli¹⁷, S.A. Cetin^{19b}, A. Chafaq^{136a}, D. Chakraborty¹⁰⁷, I. Chalupkova¹²⁸, K. Chan³, P. Chang¹⁶⁶, B. Chapleau⁸⁶, J.D. Chapman²⁸, D. Charfeddine¹¹⁶, D.G. Charlton¹⁸, C.C. Chau¹⁵⁹, C.A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵⁰, S. Cheatham⁸⁶, A. Chegwiddden⁸⁹, S. Chekanov⁶, S.V. Chekulaev^{160a}, G.A. Chelkov⁶⁴, M.A. Chelstowska⁸⁸, C. Chen⁶³, H. Chen²⁵, K. Chen¹⁴⁹, L. Chen^{33d,g}, S. Chen^{33c}, X. Chen^{146c}, Y. Chen³⁵, H.C. Cheng⁸⁸, Y. Cheng³¹, A. Cheplakov⁶⁴, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{136e}, V. Chernyatin^{25,*}, E. Cheu⁷, L. Chevalier¹³⁷, V. Chiarella⁴⁷, G. Chiefari^{103a,103b}, J.T. Childers⁶, A. Chilingarov⁷¹, G. Chiodini^{72a}, A.S. Chisholm¹⁸, R.T. Chislett⁷⁷, A. Chitan^{26a}, M.V. Chizhov⁶⁴, S. Chouridou⁹, B.K.B. Chow⁹⁹, I.A. Christidi⁷⁷, D. Chromek-Burckhart³⁰, M.L. Chu¹⁵², J. Chudoba¹²⁶, J.C. Chwastowski³⁹, L. Chytka¹¹⁴, G. Ciapetti^{133a,133b}, A.K. Ciftci^{4a}, R. Ciftci^{4a}, D. Cinca⁶², V. Cindro⁷⁴, A. Ciocio¹⁵, P. Cirkovic^{13b}, Z.H. Citron¹⁷³, M. Citterio^{90a}, M. Ciubancan^{26a}, A. Clark⁴⁹, P.J. Clark⁴⁶, R.N. Clarke¹⁵, W. Cleland¹²⁴, J.C. Clemens⁸⁴, C. Clement^{147a,147b}, Y. Coadou⁸⁴, M. Cokal^{165a,165c}, A. Coccaro¹³⁹, J. Cochran⁶³, L. Coffey²³, J.G. Cogan¹⁴⁴, J. Coggeshall¹⁶⁶, B. Cole³⁵, S. Cole¹⁰⁷, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁶, C. Collins-Tooth⁵³, J. Collot⁵⁵, T. Colombo^{58c}, G. Colon⁸⁵, G. Compostella¹⁰⁰, P. Conde Muiño^{125a,125b}, E. Coniavitis¹⁶⁷, M.C. Conidi¹², S.H. Connell^{146b}, I.A. Connelly⁷⁶, S.M. Consonni^{90a,90b}, V. Consorti⁴⁸, S. Constantinescu^{26a}, C. Conta^{120a,120b}, G. Conti⁵⁷, F. Conventi^{103a,h}, M. Cooke¹⁵, B.D. Cooper⁷⁷, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹¹⁹, N.J. Cooper-Smith⁷⁶, K. Copic¹⁵, T. Cornelissen¹⁷⁶, M. Corradi^{20a}, F. Corriveau^{86,i}, A. Corso-Radu¹⁶⁴, A. Cortes-Gonzalez¹², G. Cortiana¹⁰⁰, G. Costa^{90a}, M.J. Costa¹⁶⁸, D. Costanzo¹⁴⁰, D. Côté⁸, G. Cottin²⁸, G. Cowan⁷⁶, B.E. Cox⁸³, K. Cranmer¹⁰⁹, G. Cree²⁹, S. Crépe-Renaudin⁵⁵, F. Crescioli⁷⁹, M. Crispin Ortuzar¹¹⁹, M. Cristinziani²¹, V. Croft¹⁰⁵, G. Crosetti^{37a,37b}, C.-M. Cuciuc^{26a}, C. Cuenca Almenar¹⁷⁷, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴⁰, J. Cummings¹⁷⁷, M. Curatolo⁴⁷, C. Cuthbert¹⁵¹, H. Czirr¹⁴², P. Czodrowski³, Z. Czynzula¹⁷⁷, S. D'Auria⁵³, M. D'Onofrio⁷³, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{125a,125b}, C. Da Via⁸³, W. Dabrowski^{38a}, A. Dafinca¹¹⁹, T. Dai⁸⁸, O. Dale¹⁴, F. Dallaire⁹⁴, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁵, M. Dam³⁶, A.C. Daniells¹⁸, M. Dano Hoffmann¹³⁷, V. Dao¹⁰⁵, G. Darbo^{50a}, G.L. Darlea^{26c}, S. Darmora⁸, J.A. Dassoulas⁴², A. Dattagupta⁶⁰, W. Davey²¹, C. David¹⁷⁰, T. Davidek¹²⁸, E. Davies^{119,c}, M. Davies¹⁵⁴, O. Davignon⁷⁹, A.R. Davison⁷⁷, P. Davison⁷⁷, Y. Davygora^{58a}, E. Dawe¹⁴³, I. Dawson¹⁴⁰, R.K. Daya-Ishmukhametova²³, K. De⁸, R. de Asmundis^{103a}, S. De Castro^{20a,20b}, S. De Cecco⁷⁹, J. de Graat⁹⁹, N. De Groot¹⁰⁵, P. de Jong¹⁰⁶, H. De la Torre⁸¹, F. De Lorenzi⁶³, L. De Nooij¹⁰⁶, D. De Pedis^{133a}, A. De Salvo^{133a}, U. De Sanctis^{165a,165b}, A. De Santo¹⁵⁰, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁶, G. De Zorzi^{133a,133b}, W.J. Dearnaley⁷¹, R. Debbé²⁵, C. Debenedetti⁴⁶, B. Dechenaux⁵⁵, D.V. Dedovich⁶⁴, J. Degenhardt¹²¹, I. Deigaard¹⁰⁶, J. Del Peso⁸¹, T. Del Prete^{123a,123b}, F. Deliot¹³⁷, C.M. Delitzsch⁴⁹, M. Deliyergiyev⁷⁴, A. Dell'Acqua³⁰, L. Dell'Asta²², M. Dell'Orso^{123a,123b}, M. Della Pietra^{103a,h}, D. della Volpe⁴⁹, M. Delmastro⁵, P.A. Delsart⁵⁵, C. Deluca¹⁰⁶, S. Demers¹⁷⁷, M. Demichev⁶⁴, A. Demilly⁷⁹, S.P. Denisov¹²⁹, D. Derendarz³⁹, J.E. Derkaoui^{136d}, F. Derue⁷⁹, P. Dervan⁷³, K. Desch²¹, C. Deterre⁴², P.O. Deviveiros¹⁰⁶, A. Dewhurst¹³⁰, S. Dhaliwal¹⁰⁶, A. Di Ciaccio^{134a,134b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, A. Di Domenico^{133a,133b},

C. Di Donato^{103a,103b}, A. Di Girolamo³⁰, B. Di Girolamo³⁰, A. Di Mattia¹⁵³,
 B. Di Micco^{135a,135b}, R. Di Nardo⁴⁷, A. Di Simone⁴⁸, R. Di Sipio^{20a,20b},
 D. Di Valentino²⁹, M.A. Diaz^{32a}, E.B. Diehl⁸⁸, J. Dietrich⁴², T.A. Dietzsch^{58a},
 S. Diglio⁸⁴, A. Dimitrievska^{13a}, J. Dingfelder²¹, C. Dionisi^{133a,133b}, P. Dita^{26a}, S. Dita^{26a},
 F. Dittus³⁰, F. Djama⁸⁴, T. Djobava^{51b}, M.A.B. do Vale^{24c}, A. Do Valle Wemans^{125a,125g},
 T.K.O. Doan⁵, D. Dobos³⁰, E. Dobson⁷⁷, C. Doglioni⁴⁹, T. Doherty⁵³, T. Dohmae¹⁵⁶,
 J. Dolejsi¹²⁸, Z. Dolezal¹²⁸, B.A. Dolgoshein^{97,*}, M. Donadelli^{24d}, S. Donati^{123a,123b},
 P. Dondero^{120a,120b}, J. Donini³⁴, J. Dopke³⁰, A. Doria^{103a}, A. Dos Anjos¹⁷⁴, M.T. Dova⁷⁰,
 A.T. Doyle⁵³, M. Dris¹⁰, J. Dubbert⁸⁸, S. Dube¹⁵, E. Dubreuil³⁴, E. Duchovni¹⁷³,
 G. Duckeck⁹⁹, O.A. Ducu^{26a}, D. Duda¹⁷⁶, A. Dudarev³⁰, F. Dudziak⁶³, L. Dufлот¹¹⁶,
 L. Duguid⁷⁶, M. Dührssen³⁰, M. Dunford^{58a}, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵²,
 A. Durglishvili^{51b}, M. Dwuznik^{38a}, M. Dyndal^{38a}, J. Ebke⁹⁹, W. Edson², N.C. Edwards⁴⁶,
 W. Ehrenfeld²¹, T. Eifert¹⁴⁴, G. Eigen¹⁴, K. Einsweiler¹⁵, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁷, M. El Kacimi^{136c},
 M. Ellert¹⁶⁷, S. Elles⁵, F. Ellinghaus⁸², N. Ellis³⁰, J. Elmsheuser⁹⁹, M. Elsing³⁰,
 D. Emelianov¹³⁰, Y. Enari¹⁵⁶, O.C. Endner⁸², M. Endo¹¹⁷, R. Engelmann¹⁴⁹,
 J. Erdmann¹⁷⁷, A. Ereditato¹⁷, D. Eriksson^{147a}, G. Ernis¹⁷⁶, J. Ernst², M. Ernst²⁵,
 J. Ernwein¹³⁷, D. Errede¹⁶⁶, S. Errede¹⁶⁶, E. Ertel⁸², M. Escalier¹¹⁶, H. Esch⁴³,
 C. Escobar¹²⁴, B. Esposito⁴⁷, A.I. Etienvre¹³⁷, E. Etzion¹⁵⁴, H. Evans⁶⁰, L. Fabbri^{20a,20b},
 G. Facini³⁰, R.M. Fakhruddinov¹²⁹, S. Falciano^{133a}, Y. Fang^{33a}, M. Fanti^{90a,90b},
 A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{135a}, T. Farooque¹², S. Farrell¹⁶⁴, S.M. Farrington¹⁷¹,
 P. Farthouat³⁰, F. Fassi¹⁶⁸, P. Fassnacht³⁰, D. Fassouliotis⁹, A. Favareto^{50a,50b},
 L. Fayard¹¹⁶, P. Federic^{145a}, O.L. Fedin^{122,j}, W. Fedorko¹⁶⁹, M. Fehling-Kaschek⁴⁸,
 S. Feigl³⁰, L. Feligioni⁸⁴, C. Feng^{33d}, E.J. Feng⁶, H. Feng⁸⁸, A.B. Fenyuk¹²⁹,
 S. Fernandez Perez³⁰, S. Ferrag⁵³, J. Ferrando⁵³, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁷, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁶,
 R. Ferrari^{120a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima⁵³, A. Ferrer¹⁶⁸, D. Ferrere⁴⁹, C. Ferretti⁸⁸,
 A. Ferretto Parodi^{50a,50b}, M. Fiascaris³¹, F. Fiedler⁸², A. Filipčič⁷⁴, M. Filipuzzi⁴²,
 F. Filthaut¹⁰⁵, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁷⁰, K.D. Finelli¹⁵¹, M.C.N. Fiolhais^{125a,125c},
 L. Fiorini¹⁶⁸, A. Firan⁴⁰, J. Fischer¹⁷⁶, W.C. Fisher⁸⁹, E.A. Fitzgerald²³, M. Flechl⁴⁸,
 I. Fleck¹⁴², P. Fleischmann¹⁷⁵, S. Fleischmann¹⁷⁶, G.T. Fletcher¹⁴⁰, G. Fletcher⁷⁵,
 T. Flick¹⁷⁶, A. Floderus⁸⁰, L.R. Flores Castillo¹⁷⁴, A.C. Florez Bustos^{160b},
 M.J. Flowerdew¹⁰⁰, A. Formica¹³⁷, A. Forti⁸³, D. Fortin^{160a}, D. Fournier¹¹⁶, H. Fox⁷¹,
 S. Fracchia¹², P. Francavilla⁷⁹, M. Franchini^{20a,20b}, S. Franchino³⁰, D. Francis³⁰,
 M. Franklin⁵⁷, S. Franz⁶¹, M. Fraternali^{120a,120b}, S.T. French²⁸, C. Friedrich⁴²,
 F. Friedrich⁴⁴, D. Froidevaux³⁰, J.A. Frost²⁸, C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁷, E. Fullana Torregrosa⁸²,
 B.G. Fulsom¹⁴⁴, J. Fuster¹⁶⁸, C. Gabaldon⁵⁵, O. Gabizon¹⁷³, A. Gabrielli^{20a,20b},
 A. Gabrielli^{133a,133b}, S. Gadatsch¹⁰⁶, S. Gadomski⁴⁹, G. Gagliardi^{50a,50b}, P. Gagnon⁶⁰,
 C. Galea¹⁰⁵, B. Galhardo^{125a,125c}, E.J. Gallas¹¹⁹, V. Gallo¹⁷, B.J. Gallop¹³⁰, P. Gallus¹²⁷,
 G. Galster³⁶, K.K. Gan¹¹⁰, R.P. Gandrajula⁶², J. Gao^{33b,g}, Y.S. Gao^{144,e},
 F.M. Garay Walls⁴⁶, F. Garberon¹⁷⁷, C. García¹⁶⁸, J.E. García Navarro¹⁶⁸,
 M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁵, R.W. Gardner³¹, N. Garelli¹⁴⁴, V. Garonne³⁰, C. Gatti⁴⁷,
 G. Gaudio^{120a}, B. Gaur¹⁴², L. Gauthier⁹⁴, P. Gauzzi^{133a,133b}, I.L. Gavrilenko⁹⁵, C. Gay¹⁶⁹,
 G. Gaycken²¹, E.N. Gazis¹⁰, P. Ge^{33d}, Z. Gecse¹⁶⁹, C.N.P. Gee¹³⁰, D.A.A. Geerts¹⁰⁶,
 Ch. Geich-Gimbel²¹, K. Gellerstedt^{147a,147b}, C. Gemme^{50a}, A. Gemmel⁵³, M.H. Genest⁵⁵,

S. Gentile^{133a,133b}, M. George⁵⁴, S. George⁷⁶, D. Gerbaudo¹⁶⁴, A. Gershon¹⁵⁴,
 H. Ghazlane^{136b}, N. Ghodbane³⁴, B. Giacobbe^{20a}, S. Giagu^{133a,133b}, V. Giangiobbe¹²,
 P. Giannetti^{123a,123b}, F. Gianotti³⁰, B. Gibbard²⁵, S.M. Gibson⁷⁶, M. Gilchriese¹⁵,
 T.P.S. Gillam²⁸, D. Gillberg³⁰, G. Gilles³⁴, D.M. Gingrich^{3,d}, N. Giokaris⁹,
 M.P. Giordani^{165a,165c}, R. Giordano^{103a,103b}, F.M. Giorgi¹⁶, P.F. Giraud¹³⁷, D. Giugni^{90a},
 C. Giuliani⁴⁸, M. Giulini^{58b}, B.K. Gjelsten¹¹⁸, I. Gkialas^{155,k}, L.K. Gladilin⁹⁸,
 C. Glasman⁸¹, J. Glatzer³⁰, P.C.F. Glaysher⁴⁶, A. Glazov⁴², G.L. Glonti⁶⁴,
 M. Goblirsch-Kolb¹⁰⁰, J.R. Goddard⁷⁵, J. Godfrey¹⁴³, J. Godlewski³⁰, C. Goeringer⁸²,
 S. Goldfarb⁸⁸, T. Golling¹⁷⁷, D. Golubkov¹²⁹, A. Gomes^{125a,125b,125d},
 L.S. Gomez Fajardo⁴², R. Gonalo^{125a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa⁴²,
 L. Gonella²¹, S. Gonzalez de la Hoz¹⁶⁸, G. Gonzalez Parra¹², M.L. Gonzalez Silva²⁷,
 S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁴⁹, L. Goossens³⁰, P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁶, H.A. Gordon²⁵, I. Gorelov¹⁰⁴,
 G. Gorfine¹⁷⁶, B. Gorini³⁰, E. Gorini^{72a,72b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁴, E. Gornicki³⁹, A.T. Goshaw⁶,
 C. Gossling⁴³, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁴, M. Gouighri^{136a}, D. Goujdami^{136c}, M.P. Goulette⁴⁹,
 A.G. Goussiou¹³⁹, C. Goy⁵, S. Gozpinar²³, H.M.X. Grabas¹³⁷, L. Graber⁵⁴,
 I. Grabowska-Bold^{38a}, P. Grafstrom^{20a,20b}, K.-J. Grahm⁴², J. Gramling⁴⁹, E. Gramstad¹¹⁸,
 S. Grancagnolo¹⁶, V. Grassi¹⁴⁹, V. Gratchev¹²², H.M. Gray³⁰, E. Graziani^{135a},
 O.G. Grebenyuk¹²², Z.D. Greenwood^{78,l}, K. Gregersen⁷⁷, I.M. Gregor⁴², P. Grenier¹⁴⁴,
 J. Griffiths⁸, N. Grigalashvili⁶⁴, A.A. Grillo¹³⁸, K. Grimm⁷¹, S. Grinstein^{12,m}, Ph. Gris³⁴,
 Y.V. Grishkevich⁹⁸, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁶, J.P. Grohs⁴⁴, A. Grohsjean⁴², E. Gross¹⁷³,
 J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁴, G.C. Grossi^{134a,134b}, J. Groth-Jensen¹⁷³, Z.J. Grout¹⁵⁰,
 K. Grybel¹⁴², L. Guan^{33b}, F. Guescini⁴⁹, D. Guest¹⁷⁷, O. Gueta¹⁵⁴, C. Guicheney³⁴,
 E. Guido^{50a,50b}, T. Guillemin¹¹⁶, S. Guindon², U. Gul⁵³, C. Gumpert⁴⁴, J. Gunther¹²⁷,
 J. Guo³⁵, S. Gupta¹¹⁹, P. Gutierrez¹¹², N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz⁵³, C. Gutsche⁷⁷,
 N. Guttman¹⁵⁴, C. Guyot¹³⁷, C. Gwenlan¹¹⁹, C.B. Gwilliam⁷³, A. Haas¹⁰⁹, C. Haber¹⁵,
 H.K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{136e}, P. Haefner²¹, S. Hageboeck²¹, Z. Hajduk³⁹,
 H. Hakobyan¹⁷⁸, M. Haleem⁴², D. Hall¹¹⁹, G. Halladjian⁸⁹, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁶, P. Hamal¹¹⁴,
 K. Hamano⁸⁷, M. Hamer⁵⁴, A. Hamilton^{146a}, S. Hamilton¹⁶², P.G. Hamnett⁴², L. Han^{33b},
 K. Hanagaki¹¹⁷, K. Hanawa¹⁵⁶, M. Hance¹⁵, P. Hanke^{58a}, J.R. Hansen³⁶, J.B. Hansen³⁶,
 J.D. Hansen³⁶, P.H. Hansen³⁶, K. Hara¹⁶¹, A.S. Hard¹⁷⁴, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁶, S. Harkusha⁹¹,
 D. Harper⁸⁸, R.D. Harrington⁴⁶, O.M. Harris¹³⁹, P.F. Harrison¹⁷¹, F. Hartjes¹⁰⁶,
 S. Hasegawa¹⁰², Y. Hasegawa¹⁴¹, A. Hasib¹¹², S. Hassani¹³⁷, S. Haug¹⁷, M. Hauschild³⁰,
 R. Hauser⁸⁹, M. Havranek¹²⁶, C.M. Hawkes¹⁸, R.J. Hawkings³⁰, A.D. Hawkins⁸⁰,
 T. Hayashi¹⁶¹, D. Hayden⁸⁹, C.P. Hays¹¹⁹, H.S. Hayward⁷³, S.J. Haywood¹³⁰, S.J. Head¹⁸,
 T. Heck⁸², V. Hedberg⁸⁰, L. Heelan⁸, S. Heim¹²¹, T. Heim¹⁷⁶, B. Heinemann¹⁵,
 L. Heinrich¹⁰⁹, S. Heisterkamp³⁶, J. Hejbal¹²⁶, L. Helary²², C. Heller⁹⁹, M. Heller³⁰,
 S. Hellman^{147a,147b}, D. Hellmich²¹, C. Helsen³⁰, J. Henderson¹¹⁹, R.C.W. Henderson⁷¹,
 C. Hengler⁴², A. Henrichs¹⁷⁷, A.M. Henriques Correia³⁰, S. Henrot-Versille¹¹⁶,
 C. Hensel⁵⁴, G.H. Herbert¹⁶, Y. Hernandez Jimenez¹⁶⁸, R. Herrberg-Schubert¹⁶,
 G. Herten⁴⁸, R. Hertenberger⁹⁹, L. Hervas³⁰, G.G. Hesketh⁷⁷, N.P. Hessey¹⁰⁶,
 R. Hickling⁷⁵, E. Higon-Rodriguez¹⁶⁸, E. Hill¹⁷⁰, J.C. Hill²⁸, K.H. Hiller⁴², S. Hillert²¹,
 S.J. Hillier¹⁸, I. Hinchliffe¹⁵, E. Hines¹²¹, M. Hirose¹¹⁷, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁶, J. Hobbs¹⁴⁹,
 N. Hod¹⁰⁶, M.C. Hodgkinson¹⁴⁰, P. Hodgson¹⁴⁰, A. Hoecker³⁰, M.R. Hoferkamp¹⁰⁴,

J. Hoffman⁴⁰, D. Hoffmann⁸⁴, J.I. Hofmann^{58a}, M. Hohlfield⁸², T.R. Holmes¹⁵,
 T.M. Hong¹²¹, L. Hooft van Huysduynen¹⁰⁹, J-Y. Hostachy⁵⁵, S. Hou¹⁵²,
 A. Houmada^{136a}, J. Howard¹¹⁹, J. Howarth⁴², M. Hrabovsky¹¹⁴, I. Hristova¹⁶,
 J. Hrivnac¹¹⁶, T. Hryn'ova⁵, P.J. Hsu⁸², S.-C. Hsu¹³⁹, D. Hu³⁵, X. Hu²⁵, Y. Huang⁴²,
 Z. Hubacek³⁰, F. Hubaut⁸⁴, F. Huegging²¹, T.B. Huffman¹¹⁹, E.W. Hughes³⁵,
 G. Hughes⁷¹, M. Huhtinen³⁰, T.A. Hülsing⁸², M. Hurwitz¹⁵, N. Huseynov^{64,b},
 J. Huston⁸⁹, J. Huth⁵⁷, G. Iacobucci⁴⁹, G. Iakovidis¹⁰, I. Ibragimov¹⁴²,
 L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁶, J. Idarraga¹¹⁶, E. Ideal¹⁷⁷, P. Iengo^{103a}, O. Igonkina¹⁰⁶,
 T. Iizawa¹⁷², Y. Ikegami⁶⁵, K. Ikematsu¹⁴², M. Ikeno⁶⁵, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁵, N. Ilic¹⁵⁹,
 Y. Inamaru⁶⁶, T. Ince¹⁰⁰, P. Ioannou⁹, M. Iodice^{135a}, K. Iordanidou⁹, V. Ippolito⁵⁷,
 A. Irles Quiles¹⁶⁸, C. Isaksson¹⁶⁷, M. Ishino⁶⁷, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁸, R. Ishmukhametov¹¹⁰,
 C. Issever¹¹⁹, S. Istin^{19a}, J.M. Iturbe Ponce⁸³, J. Ivarsson⁸⁰, A.V. Ivashin¹²⁹,
 W. Iwanski³⁹, H. Iwasaki⁶⁵, J.M. Izen⁴¹, V. Izzo^{103a}, B. Jackson¹²¹, J.N. Jackson⁷³,
 M. Jackson⁷³, P. Jackson¹, M.R. Jaekel³⁰, V. Jain², K. Jakobs⁴⁸, S. Jakobsen³⁰,
 T. Jakoubek¹²⁶, J. Jakubek¹²⁷, D.O. Jamin¹⁵², D.K. Jana⁷⁸, E. Jansen⁷⁷, H. Jansen³⁰,
 J. Janssen²¹, M. Janus¹⁷¹, G. Jarlskog⁸⁰, N. Javadov^{64,b}, T. Javůrek⁴⁸, L. Jeanty¹⁵,
 G.-Y. Jeng¹⁵¹, D. Jennens⁸⁷, P. Jenni^{48,n}, J. Jentzsch⁴³, C. Jeske¹⁷¹, S. Jézéquel⁵,
 H. Ji¹⁷⁴, W. Ji⁸², J. Jia¹⁴⁹, Y. Jiang^{33b}, M. Jimenez Belenguer⁴², S. Jin^{33a}, A. Jinaru^{26a},
 O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁸, M.D. Joergensen³⁶, K.E. Johansson^{147a}, P. Johansson¹⁴⁰, K.A. Johns⁷,
 K. Jon-And^{147a,147b}, G. Jones¹⁷¹, R.W.L. Jones⁷¹, T.J. Jones⁷³, J. Jongmanns^{58a},
 P.M. Jorge^{125a,125b}, K.D. Joshi⁸³, J. Jovicevic¹⁴⁸, X. Ju¹⁷⁴, C.A. Jung⁴³, R.M. Jungst³⁰,
 P. Jussel⁶¹, A. Juste Rozas^{12,m}, M. Kaci¹⁶⁸, A. Kaczmarska³⁹, M. Kado¹¹⁶, H. Kagan¹¹⁰,
 M. Kagan¹⁴⁴, E. Kajomovitz⁴⁵, S. Kama⁴⁰, N. Kanaya¹⁵⁶, M. Kaneda³⁰, S. Kaneti²⁸,
 T. Kanno¹⁵⁸, V.A. Kantserov⁹⁷, J. Kanzaki⁶⁵, B. Kaplan¹⁰⁹, A. Kapliy³¹, D. Kar⁵³,
 K. Karakostas¹⁰, N. Karastathis¹⁰, M. Karnevskiy⁸², S.N. Karpov⁶⁴, K. Karthik¹⁰⁹,
 V. Kartvelishvili⁷¹, A.N. Karyukhin¹²⁹, L. Kashif¹⁷⁴, G. Kasieczka^{58b}, R.D. Kass¹¹⁰,
 A. Kastanas¹⁴, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁶, A. Katre⁴⁹, J. Katzy⁴², V. Kaushik⁷, K. Kawagoe⁶⁹,
 T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁶, G. Kawamura⁵⁴, S. Kazama¹⁵⁶, V.F. Kazanin¹⁰⁸, M.Y. Kazarinov⁶⁴,
 R. Keeler¹⁷⁰, P.T. Keener¹²¹, R. Kehoe⁴⁰, M. Keil⁵⁴, J.S. Keller⁴², H. Keoshkerian⁵,
 O. Kepka¹²⁶, B.P. Kerševan⁷⁴, S. Kersten¹⁷⁶, K. Kessoku¹⁵⁶, J. Keung¹⁵⁹,
 F. Khalil-zada¹¹, H. Khandanyan^{147a,147b}, A. Khanov¹¹³, A. Khodinov⁹⁷, A. Khomich^{58a},
 T.J. Khoo²⁸, G. Khoriauli²¹, A. Khoroshilov¹⁷⁶, V. Khovanskiy⁹⁶, E. Khramov⁶⁴,
 J. Khubua^{51b}, H.Y. Kim⁸, H. Kim^{147a,147b}, S.H. Kim¹⁶¹, N. Kimura¹⁷², O. Kind¹⁶,
 B.T. King⁷³, M. King¹⁶⁸, R.S.B. King¹¹⁹, S.B. King¹⁶⁹, J. Kirk¹³⁰, A.E. Kiryunin¹⁰⁰,
 T. Kishimoto⁶⁶, D. Kisielewska^{38a}, F. Kiss⁴⁸, T. Kitamura⁶⁶, T. Kittelmann¹²⁴,
 K. Kiuchi¹⁶¹, E. Kladiva^{145b}, M. Klein⁷³, U. Klein⁷³, K. Kleinknecht⁸², P. Klimek^{147a,147b},
 A. Klimentov²⁵, R. Klingenberg⁴³, J.A. Klinger⁸³, T. Klioutchnikova³⁰, P.F. Klok¹⁰⁵,
 E.-E. Kluge^{58a}, P. Kluit¹⁰⁶, S. Kluth¹⁰⁰, E. Kneringer⁶¹, E.B.F.G. Knoop⁸⁴, A. Knue⁵³,
 T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁶, M. Kobel⁴⁴, M. Kocian¹⁴⁴, P. Kodys¹²⁸, P. Koevesarki²¹, T. Koffas²⁹,
 E. Koffeman¹⁰⁶, L.A. Kogan¹¹⁹, S. Kohlmann¹⁷⁶, Z. Kohout¹²⁷, T. Kohriki⁶⁵, T. Koi¹⁴⁴,
 H. Kolanoski¹⁶, I. Koletsou⁵, J. Koll⁸⁹, A.A. Komar^{95,*}, Y. Komori¹⁵⁶, T. Kondo⁶⁵,
 N. Kondrashova⁴², K. Köneke⁴⁸, A.C. König¹⁰⁵, S. König⁸², T. Kono^{65,o},
 R. Konoplich^{109,p}, N. Konstantinidis⁷⁷, R. Kopeliansky¹⁵³, S. Koperny^{38a}, L. Köpke⁸²,

A.K. Kopp⁴⁸, K. Korcyl³⁹, K. Kordas¹⁵⁵, A. Korn⁷⁷, A.A. Korol¹⁰⁸, I. Korolkov¹²,
 E.V. Korolkova¹⁴⁰, V.A. Korotkov¹²⁹, O. Kortner¹⁰⁰, S. Kortner¹⁰⁰, V.V. Kostyukhin²¹,
 S. Kotov¹⁰⁰, V.M. Kotov⁶⁴, A. Kotwal⁴⁵, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, V. Kouskoura¹⁵⁵,
 A. Koutsman^{160a}, R. Kowalewski¹⁷⁰, T.Z. Kowalski^{38a}, W. Kozanecki¹³⁷, A.S. Kozhin¹²⁹,
 V. Kral¹²⁷, V.A. Kramarenko⁹⁸, G. Kramberger⁷⁴, D. Krasnopevtsev⁹⁷, M.W. Krasny⁷⁹,
 A. Krasznahorkay³⁰, J.K. Kraus²¹, A. Kravchenko²⁵, S. Kreiss¹⁰⁹, M. Kretz^{58c},
 J. Kretzschmar⁷³, K. Kreutzfeldt⁵², P. Krieger¹⁵⁹, K. Kroeninger⁵⁴, H. Kroha¹⁰⁰,
 J. Kroll¹²¹, J. Kroseberg²¹, J. Krstic^{13a}, U. Kruchonak⁶⁴, H. Krüger²¹, T. Kruker¹⁷,
 N. Krumnack⁶³, Z.V. Krumshteyn⁶⁴, A. Kruse¹⁷⁴, M.C. Kruse⁴⁵, M. Kruskal²²,
 T. Kubota⁸⁷, S. Kuday^{4a}, S. Kuehn⁴⁸, A. Kugel^{58c}, A. Kuhl¹³⁸, T. Kuhl⁴², V. Kukhtin⁶⁴,
 Y. Kulchitsky⁹¹, S. Kuleshov^{32b}, M. Kuna^{133a,133b}, J. Kunkle¹²¹, A. Kupco¹²⁶,
 H. Kurashige⁶⁶, Y.A. Kurochkin⁹¹, R. Kurumida⁶⁶, V. Kus¹²⁶, E.S. Kuwertz¹⁴⁸,
 M. Kuze¹⁵⁸, J. Kvita¹¹⁴, A. La Rosa⁴⁹, L. La Rotonda^{37a,37b}, C. Lacasta¹⁶⁸,
 F. Lacava^{133a,133b}, J. Lacey²⁹, H. Lacker¹⁶, D. Lacour⁷⁹, V.R. Lacuesta¹⁶⁸, E. Ladygin⁶⁴,
 R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge⁷⁹, T. Lagouri¹⁷⁷, S. Lai⁴⁸, H. Laier^{58a}, L. Lambourne⁷⁷,
 S. Lammers⁶⁰, C.L. Lampen⁷, W. Lampl⁷, E. Lançon¹³⁷, U. Landgraf⁴⁸, M.P.J. Landon⁷⁵,
 V.S. Lang^{58a}, C. Lange⁴², A.J. Lankford¹⁶⁴, F. Lanni²⁵, K. Lantzsch³⁰, S. Laplace⁷⁹,
 C. Lapoire²¹, J.F. Laporte¹³⁷, T. Lari^{90a}, M. Lassnig³⁰, P. Laurelli⁴⁷, W. Lavrijsen¹⁵,
 A.T. Law¹³⁸, P. Laycock⁷³, B.T. Le⁵⁵, O. Le Dortz⁷⁹, E. Le Guirriec⁸⁴, E. Le Menedeu¹²,
 T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁵, C.A. Lee¹⁵², H. Lee¹⁰⁶, J.S.H. Lee¹¹⁷, S.C. Lee¹⁵²,
 L. Lee¹⁷⁷, G. Lefebvre⁷⁹, M. Lefebvre¹⁷⁰, F. Legger⁹⁹, C. Leggett¹⁵, A. Lehan⁷³,
 M. Lehmacher²¹, G. Lehmann Miotto³⁰, X. Lei⁷, A.G. Leister¹⁷⁷, M.A.L. Leite^{24d},
 R. Leitner¹²⁸, D. Lellouch¹⁷³, B. Lemmer⁵⁴, K.J.C. Leney⁷⁷, T. Lenz¹⁰⁶, G. Lenzen¹⁷⁶,
 B. Lenzi³⁰, R. Leone⁷, K. Leonhardt⁴⁴, S. Leontsinis¹⁰, C. Leroy⁹⁴, C.G. Lester²⁸,
 C.M. Lester¹²¹, M. Levchenko¹²², J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁸⁸, L.J. Levinson¹⁷³, M. Levy¹⁸,
 A. Lewis¹¹⁹, G.H. Lewis¹⁰⁹, A.M. Leyko²¹, M. Leyton⁴¹, B. Li^{33b,q}, B. Li⁸⁴, H. Li¹⁴⁹,
 H.L. Li³¹, L. Li^{33e}, S. Li⁴⁵, Y. Li^{33c,r}, Z. Liang^{119,s}, H. Liao³⁴, B. Liberti^{134a}, P. Lichard³⁰,
 K. Lie¹⁶⁶, J. Liebal²¹, W. Liebig¹⁴, C. Limbach²¹, A. Limosani⁸⁷, M. Limper⁶²,
 S.C. Lin^{152,t}, F. Linde¹⁰⁶, B.E. Lindquist¹⁴⁹, J.T. Linnemann⁸⁹, E. Lipeles¹²¹,
 A. Lipniacka¹⁴, M. Lisovyi⁴², T.M. Liss¹⁶⁶, D. Lissauer²⁵, A. Lister¹⁶⁹, A.M. Litke¹³⁸,
 B. Liu¹⁵², D. Liu¹⁵², J.B. Liu^{33b}, K. Liu^{33b,u}, L. Liu⁸⁸, M. Liu⁴⁵, M. Liu^{33b}, Y. Liu^{33b},
 M. Livan^{120a,120b}, S.S.A. Livermore¹¹⁹, A. Lleres⁵⁵, J. Llorente Merino⁸¹, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁵,
 F. Lo Sterzo¹⁵², E. Lobodzinska⁴², P. Loch⁷, W.S. Lockman¹³⁸, T. Loddenkoetter²¹,
 F.K. Loebinger⁸³, A.E. Loevschall-Jensen³⁶, A. Loginov¹⁷⁷, C.W. Loh¹⁶⁹, T. Lohse¹⁶,
 K. Lohwasser⁴⁸, M. Lokajicek¹²⁶, V.P. Lombardo⁵, B.A. Long²², J.D. Long⁸⁸,
 R.E. Long⁷¹, L. Lopes^{125a}, D. Lopez Mateos⁵⁷, B. Lopez Paredes¹⁴⁰, J. Lorenz⁹⁹,
 N. Lorenzo Martinez⁶⁰, M. Losada¹⁶³, P. Loscutoff¹⁵, X. Lou⁴¹, A. Lounis¹¹⁶, J. Love⁶,
 P.A. Love⁷¹, A.J. Lowe^{144,e}, F. Lu^{33a}, H.J. Lubatti¹³⁹, C. Luci^{133a,133b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁵,
 F. Luehring⁶⁰, W. Lukas⁶¹, L. Luminari^{133a}, O. Lundberg^{147a,147b}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁸,
 M. Lungwitz⁸², D. Lynn²⁵, R. Lysak¹²⁶, E. Lytken⁸⁰, H. Ma²⁵, L.L. Ma^{33d},
 G. Maccarrone⁴⁷, A. Macchiolo¹⁰⁰, J. Machado Miguens^{125a,125b}, D. Macina³⁰,
 D. Madaffari⁸⁴, R. Madar⁴⁸, H.J. Maddocks⁷¹, W.F. Mader⁴⁴, A. Madsen¹⁶⁷, M. Maeno⁸,
 T. Maeno²⁵, E. Magradze⁵⁴, K. Mahboubi⁴⁸, J. Mahlstedt¹⁰⁶, S. Mahmoud⁷³,

C. Maiani¹³⁷, C. Maidantchik^{24a}, A. Maio^{125a,125b,125d}, S. Majewski¹¹⁵, Y. Makida⁶⁵,
 N. Makovec¹¹⁶, P. Mal^{137,v}, B. Malaescu⁷⁹, Pa. Malecki³⁹, V.P. Maleev¹²², F. Malek⁵⁵,
 U. Mallik⁶², D. Malon⁶, C. Malone¹⁴⁴, S. Maltezos¹⁰, V.M. Malyshev¹⁰⁸, S. Malyukov³⁰,
 J. Mamuzic^{13b}, B. Mandelli³⁰, L. Mandelli^{90a}, I. Mandić⁷⁴, R. Mandrysch⁶²,
 J. Maneira^{125a,125b}, A. Manfredini¹⁰⁰, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{24b},
 J.A. Manjarres Ramos^{160b}, A. Mann⁹⁹, P.M. Manning¹³⁸, A. Manousakis-Katsikakis⁹,
 B. Mansoulie¹³⁷, R. Mantifel⁸⁶, L. Mapelli³⁰, L. March¹⁶⁸, J.F. Marchand²⁹,
 G. Marchiori⁷⁹, M. Marcisovsky¹²⁶, C.P. Marino¹⁷⁰, C.N. Marques^{125a}, F. Marroquim^{24a},
 S.P. Marsden⁸³, Z. Marshall¹⁵, L.F. Marti¹⁷, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁶⁸, B. Martin³⁰,
 B. Martin⁸⁹, J.P. Martin⁹⁴, T.A. Martin¹⁷¹, V.J. Martin⁴⁶, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁴,
 H. Martinez¹³⁷, M. Martinez^{12,m}, S. Martin-Haugh¹³⁰, A.C. Martyniuk⁷⁷, M. Marx¹³⁹,
 F. Marzano^{133a}, A. Marzin³⁰, L. Masetti⁸², T. Mashimo¹⁵⁶, R. Mashinistov⁹⁵, J. Masik⁸³,
 A.L. Maslennikov¹⁰⁸, I. Massa^{20a,20b}, N. Massol⁵, P. Mastrandrea¹⁴⁹,
 A. Mastroberardino^{37a,37b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁶, P. Matricon¹¹⁶, H. Matsunaga¹⁵⁶,
 T. Matsushita⁶⁶, P. Mättig¹⁷⁶, S. Mättig⁴², J. Mattmann⁸², J. Maurer^{26a}, S.J. Maxfield⁷³,
 D.A. Maximov^{108,f}, R. Mazini¹⁵², L. Mazzaferro^{134a,134b}, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁵⁹,
 S.P. Mc Kee⁸⁸, A. McCarn⁸⁸, R.L. McCarthy¹⁴⁹, T.G. McCarthy²⁹, N.A. McCubbin¹³⁰,
 K.W. McFarlane^{56,*}, J.A. Mcfayden⁷⁷, G. Mchedlidze⁵⁴, T. McLaughlan¹⁸,
 S.J. McMahon¹³⁰, R.A. McPherson^{170,i}, A. Meade⁸⁵, J. Mechnich¹⁰⁶, M. Medinnis⁴²,
 S. Meehan³¹, S. Mehlhase³⁶, A. Mehta⁷³, K. Meier^{58a}, C. Meineck⁹⁹, B. Meirose⁸⁰,
 C. Melachrinou³¹, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{146c}, F. Meloni^{90a,90b}, A. Mengarelli^{20a,20b},
 S. Menke¹⁰⁰, E. Meoni¹⁶², K.M. Mercurio⁵⁷, S. Mergelmeyer²¹, N. Meric¹³⁷, P. Mermoud⁴⁹,
 L. Merola^{103a,103b}, C. Meroni^{90a}, F.S. Merritt³¹, H. Merritt¹¹⁰, A. Messina^{30,w},
 J. Metcalfe²⁵, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁴, C. Meyer⁸², C. Meyer³¹, J-P. Meyer¹³⁷, J. Meyer³⁰,
 R.P. Middleton¹³⁰, S. Migas⁷³, L. Mijović¹³⁷, G. Mikenberg¹⁷³, M. Mikesikova¹²⁶,
 M. Mikuž⁷⁴, D.W. Miller³¹, C. Mills⁴⁶, A. Milov¹⁷³, D.A. Milstead^{147a,147b},
 D. Milstein¹⁷³, A.A. Minaenko¹²⁹, M. Miñano Moya¹⁶⁸, I.A. Minashvili⁶⁴, A.I. Mincer¹⁰⁹,
 B. Mindur^{38a}, M. Mineev⁶⁴, Y. Ming¹⁷⁴, L.M. Mir¹², G. Mirabelli^{133a}, T. Mitani¹⁷²,
 J. Mitrevski⁹⁹, V.A. Mitsou¹⁶⁸, S. Mitsui⁶⁵, A. Miucci⁴⁹, P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴⁰,
 J.U. Mjörnmark⁸⁰, T. Moe^{147a,147b}, K. Mochizuki⁸⁴, V. Moeller²⁸, S. Mohapatra³⁵,
 W. Mohr⁴⁸, S. Molander^{147a,147b}, R. Moles-Valls¹⁶⁸, K. Mönig⁴², C. Monini⁵⁵, J. Monk³⁶,
 E. Monnier⁸⁴, J. Montejo Berlingen¹², F. Monticelli⁷⁰, S. Monzani^{133a,133b}, R.W. Moore³,
 A. Moraes⁵³, N. Morange⁶², J. Morel⁵⁴, D. Moreno⁸², M. Moreno Llácer⁵⁴,
 P. Morettini^{50a}, M. Morgenstern⁴⁴, M. Morii⁵⁷, S. Moritz⁸², A.K. Morley¹⁴⁸,
 G. Mornacchi³⁰, J.D. Morris⁷⁵, L. Morvaj¹⁰², H.G. Moser¹⁰⁰, M. Mosidze^{51b}, J. Moss¹¹⁰,
 R. Mount¹⁴⁴, E. Mountricha²⁵, S.V. Mouraviev^{95,*}, E.J.W. Moyse⁸⁵, S. Muanza⁸⁴,
 R.D. Mudd¹⁸, F. Mueller^{58a}, J. Mueller¹²⁴, K. Mueller²¹, T. Mueller²⁸, T. Mueller⁸²,
 D. Muenstermann⁴⁹, Y. Munwes¹⁵⁴, J.A. Murillo Quijada¹⁸, W.J. Murray^{171,130},
 H. Musheghyan⁵⁴, E. Musto¹⁵³, A.G. Myagkov^{129,x}, M. Myska¹²⁷, O. Nackenhorst⁵⁴,
 J. Nadal⁵⁴, K. Nagai⁶¹, R. Nagai¹⁵⁸, Y. Nagai⁸⁴, K. Nagano⁶⁵, A. Nagarkar¹¹⁰,
 Y. Nagasaka⁵⁹, M. Nagel¹⁰⁰, A.M. Nairz³⁰, Y. Nakahama³⁰, K. Nakamura⁶⁵,
 T. Nakamura¹⁵⁶, I. Nakano¹¹¹, H. Namasivayam⁴¹, G. Nanava²¹, R. Narayan^{58b},
 T. Nattermann²¹, T. Naumann⁴², G. Navarro¹⁶³, R. Nayyar⁷, H.A. Neal⁸⁸,

P.Yu. Nechaeva⁹⁵, T.J. Neep⁸³, A. Negri^{120a,120b}, G. Negri³⁰, M. Negrini^{20a},
 S. Nektarijevic⁴⁹, A. Nelson¹⁶⁴, T.K. Nelson¹⁴⁴, S. Nemecek¹²⁶, P. Nemethy¹⁰⁹,
 A.A. Nepomuceno^{24a}, M. Nessi^{30,y}, M.S. Neubauer¹⁶⁶, M. Neumann¹⁷⁶, R.M. Neves¹⁰⁹,
 P. Nevski²⁵, F.M. Newcomer¹²¹, P.R. Newman¹⁸, D.H. Nguyen⁶, R.B. Nickerson¹¹⁹,
 R. Nicolaidou¹³⁷, B. Nicquevert³⁰, J. Nielsen¹³⁸, N. Nikiforou³⁵, A. Nikiforov¹⁶,
 V. Nikolaenko^{129,x}, I. Nikolic-Audit⁷⁹, K. Nikolics⁴⁹, K. Nikolopoulos¹⁸, P. Nilsson⁸,
 Y. Ninomiya¹⁵⁶, A. Nisati^{133a}, R. Nisius¹⁰⁰, T. Nobe¹⁵⁸, L. Nodulman⁶, M. Nomachi¹¹⁷,
 I. Nomidis¹⁵⁵, S. Norberg¹¹², M. Nordberg³⁰, J. Novakova¹²⁸, S. Nowak¹⁰⁰, M. Nozaki⁶⁵,
 L. Nozka¹¹⁴, K. Ntekas¹⁰, G. Nunes Hanninger⁸⁷, T. Nunnemann⁹⁹, E. Nurse⁷⁷,
 F. Nuti⁸⁷, B.J. O'Brien⁴⁶, F. O'grady⁷, D.C. O'Neil¹⁴³, V. O'Shea⁵³, F.G. Oakham^{29,d},
 H. Oberlack¹⁰⁰, T. Obermann²¹, J. Ocariz⁷⁹, A. Ochi⁶⁶, M.I. Ochoa⁷⁷, S. Oda⁶⁹,
 S. Odaka⁶⁵, H. Ogren⁶⁰, A. Oh⁸³, S.H. Oh⁴⁵, C.C. Ohm³⁰, H. Ohman¹⁶⁷, T. Ohshima¹⁰²,
 W. Okamura¹¹⁷, H. Okawa²⁵, Y. Okumura³¹, T. Okuyama¹⁵⁶, A. Olariu^{26a},
 A.G. Olchevski⁶⁴, S.A. Olivares Pino⁴⁶, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁵, E. Oliver Garcia¹⁶⁸,
 A. Olszewski³⁹, J. Olszowska³⁹, A. Onofre^{125a,125e}, P.U.E. Onyisi^{31,z}, C.J. Oram^{160a},
 M.J. Oreglia³¹, Y. Oren¹⁵⁴, D. Orestano^{135a,135b}, N. Orlando^{72a,72b}, C. Oropeza Barrera⁵³,
 R.S. Orr¹⁵⁹, B. Osculati^{50a,50b}, R. Ospanov¹²¹, G. Otero y Garzon²⁷, H. Otono⁶⁹,
 M. Ouchrif^{136d}, E.A. Ouellette¹⁷⁰, F. Ould-Saada¹¹⁸, A. Ouraou¹³⁷, K.P. Oussoren¹⁰⁶,
 Q. Ouyang^{33a}, A. Ovcharova¹⁵, M. Owen⁸³, V.E. Ozcan^{19a}, N. Ozturk⁸, K. Pachal¹¹⁹,
 A. Pacheco Pages¹², C. Padilla Aranda¹², M. Pagáčová⁴⁸, S. Pagan Griso¹⁵,
 E. Paganis¹⁴⁰, C. Pahl¹⁰⁰, F. Paige²⁵, P. Pais⁸⁵, K. Pajchel¹¹⁸, G. Palacino^{160b},
 S. Palestini³⁰, D. Pallin³⁴, A. Palma^{125a,125b}, J.D. Palmer¹⁸, Y.B. Pan¹⁷⁴,
 E. Panagiotopoulou¹⁰, J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁷⁶, P. Pani¹⁰⁶, N. Panikashvili⁸⁸,
 S. Panitkin²⁵, D. Pantea^{26a}, L. Paolozzi^{134a,134b}, Th.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰,
 K. Papageorgiou^{155,k}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez³⁴, M.A. Parker²⁸,
 F. Parodi^{50a,50b}, J.A. Parsons³⁵, U. Parzefall⁴⁸, E. Pasqualucci^{133a}, S. Passaggio^{50a},
 A. Passeri^{135a}, F. Pastore^{135a,135b,*}, Fr. Pastore⁷⁶, G. Pásztor^{49,aa}, S. Patariaia¹⁷⁶,
 N.D. Patel¹⁵¹, J.R. Pater⁸³, S. Patricelli^{103a,103b}, T. Pauly³⁰, J. Pearce¹⁷⁰, M. Pedersen¹¹⁸,
 S. Pedraza Lopez¹⁶⁸, R. Pedro^{125a,125b}, S.V. Peleganchuk¹⁰⁸, D. Pelikan¹⁶⁷, H. Peng^{33b},
 B. Penning³¹, J. Penwell⁶⁰, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁵, E. Perez Codina^{160a},
 M.T. Pérez García-Estañ¹⁶⁸, V. Perez Reale³⁵, L. Perini^{90a,90b}, H. Pernegger³⁰,
 R. Perrino^{72a}, R. Peschke⁴², V.D. Peshekhonov⁶⁴, K. Peters³⁰, R.F.Y. Peters⁸³,
 B.A. Petersen⁸⁷, J. Petersen³⁰, T.C. Petersen³⁶, E. Petit⁴², A. Petridis^{147a,147b},
 C. Petridou¹⁵⁵, E. Petrolo^{133a}, F. Petrucci^{135a,135b}, M. Petteni¹⁴³, N.E. Pettersson¹⁵⁸,
 R. Pezoa^{32b}, P.W. Phillips¹³⁰, G. Piacquadio¹⁴⁴, E. Pianori¹⁷¹, A. Picazio⁴⁹, E. Piccaro⁷⁵,
 M. Piccinini^{20a,20b}, R. Piegai²⁷, D.T. Pignotti¹¹⁰, J.E. Pilcher³¹, A.D. Pilkington⁷⁷,
 J. Pina^{125a,125b,125d}, M. Pinamonti^{165a,165c,ab}, A. Pinder¹¹⁹, J.L. Pinfold³, A. Pingel³⁶,
 B. Pinto^{125a}, S. Pires⁷⁹, M. Pitt¹⁷³, C. Pizio^{90a,90b}, M.-A. Pleier²⁵, V. Pleskot¹²⁸,
 E. Plotnikova⁶⁴, P. Plucinski^{147a,147b}, S. Poddar^{58a}, F. Podlyski³⁴, R. Poettgen⁸²,
 L. Poggioli¹¹⁶, D. Pohl²¹, M. Pohl⁴⁹, G. Polesello^{120a}, A. Policicchio^{37a,37b}, R. Polifka¹⁵⁹,
 A. Polini^{20a}, C.S. Pollard⁴⁵, V. Polychronakos²⁵, K. Pommès³⁰, L. Pontecorvo^{133a},
 B.G. Pope⁸⁹, G.A. Popeneciu^{26b}, D.S. Popovic^{13a}, A. Poppleton³⁰, X. Portell Bueso¹²,
 G.E. Pospelov¹⁰⁰, S. Pospisil¹²⁷, K. Potamianos¹⁵, I.N. Potrap⁶⁴, C.J. Potter¹⁵⁰,

C.T. Potter¹¹⁵, G. Poulard³⁰, J. Poveda⁶⁰, V. Pozdnyakov⁶⁴, P. Pralavorio⁸⁴,
 A. Pranko¹⁵, S. Prasad³⁰, R. Pravahan⁸, S. Prell⁶³, D. Price⁸³, J. Price⁷³, L.E. Price⁶,
 D. Prieur¹²⁴, M. Primavera^{72a}, M. Proissl⁴⁶, K. Prokofiev⁴⁷, F. Prokoshin^{32b},
 E. Protopapadaki¹³⁷, S. Protopopescu²⁵, J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{38a},
 H. Przysiezniak⁵, E. Ptacek¹¹⁵, E. Pueschel⁸⁵, D. Puldon¹⁴⁹, M. Purohit^{25,ac}, P. Puzo¹¹⁶,
 J. Qian⁸⁸, G. Qin⁵³, Y. Qin⁸³, A. Quadt⁵⁴, D.R. Quarrie¹⁵, W.B. Quayle^{165a,165b},
 D. Quilty⁵³, A. Qureshi^{160b}, V. Radeka²⁵, V. Radescu⁴², S.K. Radhakrishnan¹⁴⁹,
 P. Radloff¹¹⁵, P. Rados⁸⁷, F. Ragusa^{90a,90b}, G. Rahal¹⁷⁹, S. Rajagopalan²⁵,
 M. Rammensee³⁰, A.S. Randle-Conde⁴⁰, C. Rangel-Smith¹⁶⁷, K. Rao¹⁶⁴, F. Rauscher⁹⁹,
 T.C. Rave⁴⁸, T. Ravenscroft⁵³, M. Raymond³⁰, A.L. Read¹¹⁸, D.M. Rebutti^{120a,120b},
 A. Redelbach¹⁷⁵, G. Redlinger²⁵, R. Reece¹³⁸, K. Reeves⁴¹, L. Rehnisch¹⁶, A. Reinsch¹¹⁵,
 H. Reisin²⁷, M. Relich¹⁶⁴, C. Rembser³⁰, Z.L. Ren¹⁵², A. Renaud¹¹⁶, M. Rescigno^{133a},
 S. Resconi^{90a}, B. Resende¹³⁷, P. Reznicek¹²⁸, R. Rezvani⁹⁴, R. Richter¹⁰⁰, M. Ridel⁷⁹,
 P. Rieck¹⁶, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁴⁹, A. Rimoldi^{120a,120b}, L. Rinaldi^{20a}, E. Ritsch⁶¹, I. Riu¹²,
 F. Rizatdinova¹¹³, E. Rizvi⁷⁵, S.H. Robertson^{86,i}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau¹¹⁹,
 D. Robinson²⁸, J.E.M. Robinson⁸³, A. Robson⁵³, C. Roda^{123a,123b}, L. Rodrigues³⁰,
 S. Roe³⁰, O. Røhne¹¹⁸, S. Rolli¹⁶², A. Romaniouk⁹⁷, M. Romano^{20a,20b}, G. Romeo²⁷,
 E. Romero Adam¹⁶⁸, N. Rompotis¹³⁹, L. Roos⁷⁹, E. Ros¹⁶⁸, S. Rosati^{133a}, K. Rosbach⁴⁹,
 M. Rose⁷⁶, P.L. Rosendahl¹⁴, O. Rosenthal¹⁴², V. Rossetti^{147a,147b}, E. Rossi^{103a,103b},
 L.P. Rossi^{50a}, R. Rosten¹³⁹, M. Rotaru^{26a}, I. Roth¹⁷³, J. Rothberg¹³⁹, D. Rousseau¹¹⁶,
 C.R. Royon¹³⁷, A. Rozanov⁸⁴, Y. Rozen¹⁵³, X. Ruan^{146c}, F. Rubbo¹², I. Rubinskiy⁴²,
 V.I. Rud⁹⁸, C. Rudolph⁴⁴, M.S. Rudolph¹⁵⁹, F. Rühr⁴⁸, A. Ruiz-Martinez³⁰,
 Z. Rurikova⁴⁸, N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁴, A. Ruschke⁹⁹, J.P. Rutherford⁷, N. Ruthmann⁴⁸,
 Y.F. Ryabov¹²², M. Rybar¹²⁸, G. Rybkin¹¹⁶, N.C. Ryder¹¹⁹, A.F. Saavedra¹⁵¹,
 S. Sacerdoti²⁷, A. Saddique³, I. Sadeh¹⁵⁴, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski¹³⁸, R. Sadykov⁶⁴,
 F. Safai Tehrani^{133a}, H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁶, Y. Sakurai¹⁷², G. Salamanna⁷⁵, A. Salamon^{134a},
 M. Saleem¹¹², D. Salek¹⁰⁶, P.H. Sales De Bruin¹³⁹, D. Salihagic¹⁰⁰, A. Salnikov¹⁴⁴,
 J. Salt¹⁶⁸, B.M. Salvachua Ferrando⁶, D. Salvatore^{37a,37b}, F. Salvatore¹⁵⁰, A. Salvucci¹⁰⁵,
 A. Salzburger³⁰, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁵, A. Sanchez^{103a,103b}, J. Sánchez¹⁶⁸,
 V. Sanchez Martinez¹⁶⁸, H. Sandaker¹⁴, R.L. Sandbach⁷⁵, H.G. Sander⁸², M.P. Sanders⁹⁹,
 M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁶, T. Sandoval²⁸, C. Sandoval¹⁶³, R. Sandstroem¹⁰⁰, D.P.C. Sankey¹³⁰,
 A. Sansoni⁴⁷, C. Santoni³⁴, R. Santonico^{134a,134b}, H. Santos^{125a}, I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵⁰,
 K. Sapp¹²⁴, A. Saponov⁶⁴, J.G. Saraiva^{125a,125d}, B. Sarrazin²¹, G. Sartiso¹⁷⁶,
 O. Sasaki⁶⁵, Y. Sasaki¹⁵⁶, I. Satsounkevitch⁹¹, G. Sauvage^{5,*}, E. Sauvan⁵, P. Savard^{159,d},
 D.O. Savu³⁰, C. Sawyer¹¹⁹, L. Sawyer^{78,l}, D.H. Saxon⁵³, J. Saxon¹²¹, C. Sbarra^{20a},
 A. Sbrizzi³, T. Scanlon³⁰, D.A. Scannicchio¹⁶⁴, M. Scarcella¹⁵¹, J. Schaarschmidt¹⁷³,
 P. Schacht¹⁰⁰, D. Schaefer¹²¹, R. Schaefer⁴², S. Schaepe²¹, S. Schaetzel^{58b}, U. Schäfer⁸²,
 A.C. Schaffer¹¹⁶, D. Schaile⁹⁹, R.D. Schamberger¹⁴⁹, V. Scharf^{58a}, V.A. Schegelsky¹²²,
 D. Scheirich¹²⁸, M. Schernau¹⁶⁴, M.I. Scherzer³⁵, C. Schiavi^{50a,50b}, J. Schieck⁹⁹,
 C. Schillo⁴⁸, M. Schioppa^{37a,37b}, S. Schlenker³⁰, E. Schmidt⁴⁸, K. Schmieden³⁰,
 C. Schmitt⁸², C. Schmitt⁹⁹, S. Schmitt^{58b}, B. Schneider¹⁷, Y.J. Schnellbach⁷³,
 U. Schnoor⁴⁴, L. Schoeffel¹³⁷, A. Schoening^{58b}, B.D. Schoenrock⁸⁹, A.L.S. Schorlemmer⁵⁴,
 M. Schott⁸², D. Schouten^{160a}, J. Schovancova²⁵, M. Schram⁸⁶, S. Schramm¹⁵⁹,

M. Schreyer¹⁷⁵, C. Schroeder⁸², N. Schuh⁸², M.J. Schultens²¹, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon^{58a},
 H. Schulz¹⁶, M. Schumacher⁴⁸, B.A. Schumm¹³⁸, Ph. Schune¹³⁷, A. Schwartzman¹⁴⁴,
 Ph. Schwegler¹⁰⁰, Ph. Schwemling¹³⁷, R. Schwienhorst⁸⁹, J. Schwindling¹³⁷,
 T. Schwindt²¹, M. Schwoerer⁵, F.G. Sciaccia¹⁷, E. Scifo¹¹⁶, G. Sciolla²³, W.G. Scott¹³⁰,
 F. Scuri^{123a,123b}, F. Scutti²¹, J. Searcy⁸⁸, G. Sedov⁴², E. Sedykh¹²², S.C. Seidel¹⁰⁴,
 A. Seiden¹³⁸, F. Seifert¹²⁷, J.M. Seixas^{24a}, G. Sekhniaidze^{103a}, S.J. Sekula⁴⁰,
 K.E. Selbach⁴⁶, D.M. Seliverstov^{122,*}, G. Sellers⁷³, N. Semprini-Cesari^{20a,20b}, C. Serfon³⁰,
 L. Serin¹¹⁶, L. Serkin⁵⁴, T. Serre⁸⁴, R. Seuster^{160a}, H. Severini¹¹², F. Sforza¹⁰⁰,
 A. Sfyrla³⁰, E. Shabalina⁵⁴, M. Shamim¹¹⁵, L.Y. Shan^{33a}, J.T. Shank²², Q.T. Shao⁸⁷,
 M. Shapiro¹⁵, P.B. Shatalov⁹⁶, K. Shaw^{165a,165b}, P. Sherwood⁷⁷, S. Shimizu⁶⁶,
 C.O. Shimmin¹⁶⁴, M. Shimojima¹⁰¹, T. Shin⁵⁶, M. Shiyakova⁶⁴, A. Shmeleva⁹⁵,
 M.J. Shochet³¹, D. Short¹¹⁹, S. Shrestha⁶³, E. Shulga⁹⁷, M.A. Shupe⁷, S. Shushkevich⁴²,
 P. Sicho¹²⁶, D. Sidorov¹¹³, A. Sidoti^{133a}, F. Siegert⁴⁴, Dj. Sijacki^{13a}, O. Silbert¹⁷³,
 J. Silva^{125a,125d}, Y. Silver¹⁵⁴, D. Silverstein¹⁴⁴, S.B. Silverstein^{147a}, V. Simak¹²⁷,
 O. Simard⁵, Lj. Simic^{13a}, S. Simion¹¹⁶, E. Simioni⁸², B. Simmons⁷⁷, R. Simoniello^{90a,90b},
 M. Simonyan³⁶, P. Sinervo¹⁵⁹, N.B. Sinev¹¹⁵, V. Sipica¹⁴², G. Siragusa¹⁷⁵, A. Sircar⁷⁸,
 A.N. Sisakyan^{64,*}, S.Yu. Sivoklov⁹⁸, J. Sjölin^{147a,147b}, T.B. Sjursen¹⁴, H.P. Skottowe⁵⁷,
 K.Yu. Skovpen¹⁰⁸, P. Skubic¹¹², M. Slater¹⁸, T. Slavicek¹²⁷, K. Sliwa¹⁶², V. Smakhtin¹⁷³,
 B.H. Smart⁴⁶, L. Smestad¹⁴, S.Yu. Smirnov⁹⁷, Y. Smirnov⁹⁷, L.N. Smirnova^{98,ad},
 O. Smirnova⁸⁰, K.M. Smith⁵³, M. Smizanska⁷¹, K. Smolek¹²⁷, A.A. Snesarev⁹⁵,
 G. Snidero⁷⁵, J. Snow¹¹², S. Snyder²⁵, R. Sobie^{170,i}, F. Socher⁴⁴, J. Sodomka¹²⁷,
 A. Soffer¹⁵⁴, D.A. Soh^{152,s}, C.A. Solans³⁰, M. Solar¹²⁷, J. Solc¹²⁷, E.Yu. Soldatov⁹⁷,
 U. Soldevila¹⁶⁸, E. Solfaroli Camillocci^{133a,133b}, A.A. Solodkov¹²⁹, O.V. Solovyanov¹²⁹,
 V. Solovyev¹²², P. Sommer⁴⁸, H.Y. Song^{33b}, N. Soni¹, A. Sood¹⁵, A. Sopczak¹²⁷,
 V. Sopko¹²⁷, B. Sopko¹²⁷, V. Sorin¹², M. Sosebee⁸, R. Soualah^{165a,165c}, P. Soueid⁹⁴,
 A.M. Soukharev¹⁰⁸, D. South⁴², S. Spagnolo^{72a,72b}, F. Spanò⁷⁶, W.R. Spearman⁵⁷,
 R. Spighi^{20a}, G. Spigo³⁰, M. Spousta¹²⁸, T. Spreitzer¹⁵⁹, B. Spurlock⁸, R.D. St. Denis⁵³,
 S. Staerz⁴⁴, J. Stahlman¹²¹, R. Stamen^{58a}, E. Stanecka³⁹, R.W. Stanek⁶, C. Stanescu^{135a},
 M. Stanescu-Bellu⁴², M.M. Stanitzki⁴², S. Stapnes¹¹⁸, E.A. Starchenko¹²⁹, J. Stark⁵⁵,
 P. Staroba¹²⁶, P. Starovoitov⁴², R. Staszewski³⁹, P. Stavina^{145a,*}, G. Steele⁵³,
 P. Steinberg²⁵, I. Stekl¹²⁷, B. Stelzer¹⁴³, H.J. Stelzer³⁰, O. Stelzer-Chilton^{160a},
 H. Stenzel⁵², S. Stern¹⁰⁰, G.A. Stewart⁵³, J.A. Stillings²¹, M.C. Stockton⁸⁶, M. Stoebe⁸⁶,
 G. Stoicea^{26a}, P. Stolte⁵⁴, S. Stonjek¹⁰⁰, A.R. Stradling⁸, A. Straessner⁴⁴,
 M.E. Stramaglia¹⁷, J. Strandberg¹⁴⁸, S. Strandberg^{147a,147b}, A. Strandlie¹¹⁸,
 E. Strauss¹⁴⁴, M. Strauss¹¹², P. Strizenec^{145b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁵, D.M. Strom¹¹⁵,
 R. Stroynowski⁴⁰, S.A. Stucci¹⁷, B. Stugu¹⁴, N.A. Styles⁴², D. Su¹⁴⁴, J. Su¹²⁴,
 HS. Subramania³, R. Subramaniam⁷⁸, A. Succurro¹², Y. Sugaya¹¹⁷, C. Suhr¹⁰⁷,
 M. Suk¹²⁷, V.V. Sulin⁹⁵, S. Sultansoy^{4c}, T. Sumida⁶⁷, X. Sun^{33a}, J.E. Sundermann⁴⁸,
 K. Suruliz¹⁴⁰, G. Susinno^{37a,37b}, M.R. Sutton¹⁵⁰, Y. Suzuki⁶⁵, M. Svatos¹²⁶,
 S. Swedish¹⁶⁹, M. Swiatlowski¹⁴⁴, I. Sykora^{145a}, T. Sykora¹²⁸, D. Ta⁸⁹, K. Tackmann⁴²,
 J. Taenzer¹⁵⁹, A. Taffard¹⁶⁴, R. Tafirout^{160a}, N. Taiblum¹⁵⁴, Y. Takahashi¹⁰², H. Takai²⁵,
 R. Takashima⁶⁸, H. Takeda⁶⁶, T. Takeshita¹⁴¹, Y. Takubo⁶⁵, M. Talby⁸⁴,
 A.A. Talyshev^{108,f}, J.Y.C. Tam¹⁷⁵, M.C. Tamssett^{78,ae}, K.G. Tan⁸⁷, J. Tanaka¹⁵⁶,

R. Tanaka¹¹⁶, S. Tanaka¹³², S. Tanaka⁶⁵, A.J. Tanasijczuk¹⁴³, K. Tani⁶⁶, N. Tannoury⁸⁴, S. Tapprogge⁸², S. Tarem¹⁵³, F. Tarrade²⁹, G.F. Tartarelli^{90a}, P. Tas¹²⁸, M. Tasevsky¹²⁶, T. Tashiro⁶⁷, E. Tassi^{37a,37b}, A. Tavares Delgado^{125a,125b}, Y. Tayalati^{136d}, F.E. Taylor⁹³, G.N. Taylor⁸⁷, W. Taylor^{160b}, F.A. Teischinger³⁰, M. Teixeira Dias Castanheira⁷⁵, P. Teixeira-Dias⁷⁶, K.K. Temming⁴⁸, H. Ten Kate³⁰, P.K. Teng¹⁵², S. Terada⁶⁵, K. Terashi¹⁵⁶, J. Terron⁸¹, S. Terzo¹⁰⁰, M. Testa⁴⁷, R.J. Teuscher^{159,i}, J. Therhaag²¹, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer³⁴, S. Thoma⁴⁸, J.P. Thomas¹⁸, J. Thomas-Wilsker⁷⁶, E.N. Thompson³⁵, P.D. Thompson¹⁸, P.D. Thompson¹⁵⁹, A.S. Thompson⁵³, L.A. Thomsen³⁶, E. Thomson¹²¹, M. Thomson²⁸, W.M. Thong⁸⁷, R.P. Thun^{88,*}, F. Tian³⁵, M.J. Tibbetts¹⁵, V.O. Tikhomirov^{95,af}, Yu.A. Tikhonov^{108,f}, S. Timoshenko⁹⁷, E. Tiouchichine⁸⁴, P. Tipton¹⁷⁷, S. Tisserant⁸⁴, T. Todorov⁵, S. Todorova-Nova¹²⁸, B. Toggerson⁷, J. Tojo⁶⁹, S. Tokár^{145a}, K. Tokushuku⁶⁵, K. Tollefson⁸⁹, L. Tomlinson⁸³, M. Tomoto¹⁰², L. Tompkins³¹, K. Toms¹⁰⁴, N.D. Topilin⁶⁴, E. Torrence¹¹⁵, H. Torres¹⁴³, E. Torró Pastor¹⁶⁸, J. Toth^{84,aa}, F. Touchard⁸⁴, D.R. Tovey¹⁴⁰, H.L. Tran¹¹⁶, T. Trefzger¹⁷⁵, L. Tremblet³⁰, A. Tricoli³⁰, I.M. Trigger^{160a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid⁷⁹, M.F. Tripiana⁷⁰, N. Triplett²⁵, W. Trischuk¹⁵⁹, B. Trocmé⁵⁵, C. Troncon^{90a}, M. Trottier-McDonald¹⁴³, M. Trovatelli^{135a,135b}, P. True⁸⁹, M. Trzebinski³⁹, A. Trzupek³⁹, C. Tsarouchas³⁰, J.C-L. Tseng¹¹⁹, P.V. Tsiareshka⁹¹, D. Tsionou¹³⁷, G. Tsipolitis¹⁰, N. Tsirintanis⁹, S. Tsiskaridze¹², V. Tsiskaridze⁴⁸, E.G. Tskhadadze^{51a}, I.I. Tsukerman⁹⁶, V. Tsulaia¹⁵, S. Tsuno⁶⁵, D. Tsybychev¹⁴⁹, A. Tudorache^{26a}, V. Tudorache^{26a}, A.N. Tuna¹²¹, S.A. Tuppuri^{20a,20b}, S. Turchikhin^{98,ad}, D. Turecek¹²⁷, I. Turk Cakir^{4d}, R. Turra^{90a,90b}, P.M. Tuts³⁵, A. Tykhonov⁷⁴, M. Tylmad^{147a,147b}, M. Tyndel¹³⁰, K. Uchida²¹, I. Ueda¹⁵⁶, R. Ueno²⁹, M. Ughetto⁸⁴, M. Ugland¹⁴, M. Uhlenbrock²¹, F. Ukegawa¹⁶¹, G. Unal³⁰, A. Undrus²⁵, G. Unel¹⁶⁴, F.C. Ungaro⁴⁸, Y. Unno⁶⁵, D. Urbaniec³⁵, P. Urquijo²¹, G. Usai⁸, A. Usanova⁶¹, L. Vacavant⁸⁴, V. Vacek¹²⁷, B. Vachon⁸⁶, N. Valencic¹⁰⁶, S. Valentineti^{20a,20b}, A. Valero¹⁶⁸, L. Valery³⁴, S. Valkar¹²⁸, E. Valladolid Gallego¹⁶⁸, S. Vallecorsa⁴⁹, J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁶⁸, R. Van Berg¹²¹, P.C. Van Der Deijl¹⁰⁶, R. van der Geer¹⁰⁶, H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁶, R. Van Der Leeuw¹⁰⁶, D. van der Ster³⁰, N. van Eldik³⁰, P. van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴³, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁶, M.C. van Woerden³⁰, M. Vanadia^{133a,133b}, W. Vandelli³⁰, R. Vanguri¹²¹, A. Vaniachine⁶, P. Vankov⁴², F. Vannucci⁷⁹, G. Vardanyan¹⁷⁸, R. Vari^{133a}, E.W. Varnes⁷, T. Varol⁸⁵, D. Varouchas⁷⁹, A. Vartapetian⁸, K.E. Varvell¹⁵¹, V.I. Vassilakopoulos⁵⁶, F. Vazeille³⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder⁵⁴, J. Veatch⁷, F. Veloso^{125a,125c}, S. Veneziano^{133a}, A. Ventura^{72a,72b}, D. Ventura⁸⁵, M. Venturi⁴⁸, N. Venturi¹⁵⁹, A. Venturini²³, V. Vercesi^{120a}, M. Verducci¹³⁹, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁶, J.C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁶, A. Vest⁴⁴, M.C. Vetterli^{143,d}, O. Viazlo⁸⁰, I. Vichou¹⁶⁶, T. Vickey^{146c,ag}, O.E. Vickey Boeriu^{146c}, G.H.A. Viehhauser¹¹⁹, S. Viel¹⁶⁹, R. Vigne³⁰, M. Villa^{20a,20b}, M. Villaplana Perez¹⁶⁸, E. Vilucchi⁴⁷, M.G. Vincter²⁹, V.B. Vinogradov⁶⁴, J. Virzi¹⁵, I. Vivarelli¹⁵⁰, F. Vives Vaque³, S. Vlachos¹⁰, D. Vladoiu⁹⁹, M. Vlasak¹²⁷, A. Vogel²¹, P. Vokac¹²⁷, G. Volpi^{123a,123b}, M. Volpi⁸⁷, H. von der Schmitt¹⁰⁰, H. von Radziewski⁴⁸, E. von Toerne²¹, V. Vorobel¹²⁸, K. Vorobev⁹⁷, M. Vos¹⁶⁸, R. Voss³⁰, J.H. Vosseveld⁷³, N. Vranjes¹³⁷, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁰⁶, V. Vrba¹²⁶, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁶, T. Vu Anh⁴⁸, R. Vuillermet³⁰, I. Vukotic³¹, Z. Vykydal¹²⁷, W. Wagner¹⁷⁶, P. Wagner²¹,

S. Wahrmund⁴⁴, J. Wakabayashi¹⁰², J. Walder⁷¹, R. Walker⁹⁹, W. Walkowiak¹⁴²,
R. Wall¹⁷⁷, P. Waller⁷³, B. Walsh¹⁷⁷, C. Wang¹⁵², C. Wang⁴⁵, F. Wang¹⁷⁴, H. Wang¹⁵,
H. Wang⁴⁰, J. Wang⁴², J. Wang^{33a}, K. Wang⁸⁶, R. Wang¹⁰⁴, S.M. Wang¹⁵², T. Wang²¹,
X. Wang¹⁷⁷, C. Wanotayaroj¹¹⁵, A. Warburton⁸⁶, C.P. Ward²⁸, D.R. Wardrope⁷⁷,
M. Warsinsky⁴⁸, A. Washbrook⁴⁶, C. Wasicki⁴², I. Watanabe⁶⁶, P.M. Watkins¹⁸,
A.T. Watson¹⁸, I.J. Watson¹⁵¹, M.F. Watson¹⁸, G. Watts¹³⁹, S. Watts⁸³, B.M. Waugh⁷⁷,
S. Webb⁸³, M.S. Weber¹⁷, S.W. Weber¹⁷⁵, J.S. Webster³¹, A.R. Weidberg¹¹⁹,
P. Weigell¹⁰⁰, B. Weinert⁶⁰, J. Weingarten⁵⁴, C. Weiser⁴⁸, H. Weits¹⁰⁶, P.S. Wells³⁰,
T. Wenaus²⁵, D. Wendland¹⁶, Z. Weng^{152,s}, T. Wengler³⁰, S. Wenig³⁰, N. Vermes²¹,
M. Werner⁴⁸, P. Werner³⁰, M. Wessels^{58a}, J. Wetter¹⁶², K. Whalen²⁹, A. White⁸,
M.J. White¹, R. White^{32b}, S. White^{123a,123b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶⁴, D. Wicke¹⁷⁶,
F.J. Wickens¹³⁰, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷⁴, M. Wielers¹³⁰, P. Wienemann²¹, C. Wiglesworth³⁶,
L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs²¹, P.A. Wijeratne⁷⁷, A. Wildauer¹⁰⁰, M.A. Wildt^{42,ah},
H.G. Wilkens³⁰, J.Z. Will⁹⁹, H.H. Williams¹²¹, S. Williams²⁸, C. Willis⁸⁹, S. Willocq⁸⁵,
J.A. Wilson¹⁸, A. Wilson⁸⁸, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁵, M. Wittgen¹⁴⁴,
T. Wittig⁴³, J. Wittkowski⁹⁹, S.J. Wollstadt⁸², M.W. Wolter³⁹, H. Wolters^{125a,125c},
B.K. Wosiek³⁹, J. Wotschack³⁰, M.J. Woudstra⁸³, K.W. Wozniak³⁹, M. Wright⁵³,
M. Wu⁵⁵, S.L. Wu¹⁷⁴, X. Wu⁴⁹, Y. Wu⁸⁸, E. Wulf³⁵, T.R. Wyatt⁸³, B.M. Wynne⁴⁶,
S. Xella³⁶, M. Xiao¹³⁷, D. Xu^{33a}, L. Xu^{33b,ai}, B. Yabsley¹⁵¹, S. Yacoob^{146b,aj},
M. Yamada⁶⁵, H. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁶, Y. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁶, A. Yamamoto⁶⁵, K. Yamamoto⁶³,
S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁶, T. Yamamura¹⁵⁶, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁶, K. Yamauchi¹⁰², Y. Yamazaki⁶⁶,
Z. Yan²², H. Yang^{33e}, H. Yang¹⁷⁴, U.K. Yang⁸³, Y. Yang¹¹⁰, S. Yanush⁹², L. Yao^{33a},
W-M. Yao¹⁵, Y. Yasu⁶⁵, E. Yatsenko⁴², K.H. Yau Wong²¹, J. Ye⁴⁰, S. Ye²⁵, A.L. Yen⁵⁷,
E. Yildirim⁴², M. Yilmaz^{4b}, R. Yoosoofmiya¹²⁴, K. Yorita¹⁷², R. Yoshida⁶,
K. Yoshihara¹⁵⁶, C. Young¹⁴⁴, C.J.S. Young³⁰, S. Youssef²², D.R. Yu¹⁵, J. Yu⁸, J.M. Yu⁸⁸,
J. Yu¹¹³, L. Yuan⁶⁶, A. Yurkewicz¹⁰⁷, B. Zabinski³⁹, R. Zaidan⁶², A.M. Zaitsev^{129,x},
A. Zaman¹⁴⁹, S. Zambito²³, L. Zanello^{133a,133b}, D. Zanzi¹⁰⁰, A. Zaytsev²⁵, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁶,
M. Zeman¹²⁷, A. Zemla^{38a}, K. Zengel²³, O. Zenin¹²⁹, T. Ženiš^{145a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁶,
G. Zevi della Porta⁵⁷, D. Zhang⁸⁸, F. Zhang¹⁷⁴, H. Zhang⁸⁹, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang¹⁵²,
X. Zhang^{33d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁶, Z. Zhao^{33b}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁴, J. Zhong¹¹⁹, B. Zhou⁸⁸,
L. Zhou³⁵, N. Zhou¹⁶⁴, C.G. Zhu^{33d}, H. Zhu^{33a}, J. Zhu⁸⁸, Y. Zhu^{33b}, X. Zhuang^{33a},
A. Zibell¹⁷⁵, D. Zieminska⁶⁰, N.I. Zimine⁶⁴, C. Zimmermann⁸², R. Zimmermann²¹,
S. Zimmermann²¹, S. Zimmermann⁴⁸, Z. Zinonos⁵⁴, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴², G. Zobernig¹⁷⁴,
A. Zoccoli^{20a,20b}, M. zur Nedden¹⁶, G. Zurzolo^{103a,103b}, V. Zutshi¹⁰⁷ and L. Zwalinski³⁰

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia*

² *Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States of America*

³ *Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada*

⁴ ^(a) *Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara;* ^(b) *Department of Physics, Gazi University, Ankara;* ^(c) *Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara;* ^(d) *Turkish Atomic Energy Authority, Ankara, Turkey*

⁵ *LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université de Savoie, Annecy-le-Vieux, France*

⁶ *High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States of America*

⁷ *Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States of America*

- ⁸ *Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States of America*
- ⁹ *Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
- ¹⁰ *Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece*
- ¹¹ *Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- ¹² *Institut de Física d'Altes Energies and Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ¹³ ^(a) *Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade;* ^(b) *Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
- ¹⁴ *Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- ¹⁵ *Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States of America*
- ¹⁶ *Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany*
- ¹⁷ *Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland*
- ¹⁸ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ¹⁹ ^(a) *Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul;* ^(b) *Department of Physics, Dogus University, Istanbul;* ^(c) *Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey*
- ²⁰ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Bologna;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ²¹ *Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- ²² *Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States of America*
- ²³ *Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States of America*
- ²⁴ ^(a) *Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro;* ^(b) *Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora;* ^(c) *Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei;* ^(d) *Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil*
- ²⁵ *Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States of America*
- ²⁶ ^(a) *National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest;* ^(b) *National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca;* ^(c) *University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest;* ^(d) *West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania*
- ²⁷ *Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina*
- ²⁸ *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ²⁹ *Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada*
- ³⁰ *CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ³¹ *Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States of America*
- ³² ^(a) *Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago;* ^(b) *Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile*
- ³³ ^(a) *Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing;* ^(b) *Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui;* ^(c) *Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu;* ^(d) *School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong;* ^(e) *Physics Department, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China*
- ³⁴ *Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université and Université Blaise Pascal and CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- ³⁵ *Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States of America*
- ³⁶ *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark*
- ³⁷ ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy*
- ³⁸ ^(a) *AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow;* ^(b) *Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland*
- ³⁹ *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow,*

Poland

- 40 *Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States of America*
 41 *Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States of America*
 42 *DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany*
 43 *Institut für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
 44 *Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany*
 45 *Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States of America*
 46 *SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
 47 *INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
 48 *Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany*
 49 *Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland*
 50 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Genova;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
 51 ^(a) *E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi;* ^(b)
High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
 52 *II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany*
 53 *SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
 54 *II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany*
 55 *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
 56 *Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton VA, United States of America*
 57 *Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States of America*
 58 ^(a) *Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg;* ^(b)
Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(c) *ZITI Institut für technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany*
 59 *Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan*
 60 *Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States of America*
 61 *Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria*
 62 *University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States of America*
 63 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States of America*
 64 *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia*
 65 *KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan*
 66 *Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan*
 67 *Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*
 68 *Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan*
 69 *Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan*
 70 *Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina*
 71 *Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom*
 72 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Lecce;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy*
 73 *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
 74 *Department of Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia*
 75 *School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom*
 76 *Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom*
 77 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom*
 78 *Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America*
 79 *Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*
 80 *Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden*
 81 *Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
 82 *Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany*
 83 *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*

- 84 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- 85 Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States of America
- 86 Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
- 87 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 88 Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America
- 89 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States of America
- 90 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
- 91 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 92 National Scientific and Educational Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 93 Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, United States of America
- 94 Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
- 95 P.N. Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 96 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- 97 Moscow Engineering and Physics Institute (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 98 D.V.Skobeltzyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 99 Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
- 100 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
- 101 Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- 102 Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- 103 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
- 104 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States of America
- 105 Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- 106 Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 107 Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States of America
- 108 Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 109 Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States of America
- 110 Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States of America
- 111 Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
- 112 Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States of America
- 113 Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States of America
- 114 Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
- 115 Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States of America
- 116 LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France
- 117 Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- 118 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 119 Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- 120 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- 121 Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States of America
- 122 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
- 123 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- 124 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States of America
- 125 ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Faculdade

- de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
- 126 Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
 127 Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
 128 Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
 129 State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
 130 Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
 131 Physics Department, University of Regina, Regina SK, Canada
 132 Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan
 133 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 134 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
 135 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
 136 ^(a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V-Agdal, Rabat, Morocco
 137 DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 138 Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States of America
 139 Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States of America
 140 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
 141 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
 142 Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
 143 Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada
 144 SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States of America
 145 ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
 146 ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
 147 ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
 148 Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
 149 Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States of America
 150 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
 151 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
 152 Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
 153 Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
 154 Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
 155 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
 156 International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
 157 Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

- 158 *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan*
- 159 *Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 160 ^(a) *TRIUMF, Vancouver BC;* ^(b) *Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 161 *Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- 162 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States of America*
- 163 *Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia*
- 164 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States of America*
- 165 ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine;* ^(b) *ICTP, Trieste;* ^(c) *Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy*
- 166 *Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States of America*
- 167 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden*
- 168 *Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain*
- 169 *Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada*
- 170 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada*
- 171 *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- 172 *Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan*
- 173 *Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*
- 174 *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States of America*
- 175 *Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany*
- 176 *Fachbereich C Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany*
- 177 *Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States of America*
- 178 *Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*
- 179 *Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France*
- ^a *Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ^b *Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- ^c *Also at Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ^d *Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada*
- ^e *Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States of America*
- ^f *Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ^g *Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France*
- ^h *Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy*
- ⁱ *Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada*
- ^j *Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- ^k *Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece*
- ^l *Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America*
- ^m *Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain*
- ⁿ *Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ^o *Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan*
- ^p *Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States of America*
- ^q *Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan*
- ^r *Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France*
- ^s *Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China*
- ^t *Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan*
- ^u *Also at Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*

- ^v Also at School of Physical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India
- ^w Also at Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- ^x Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- ^y Also at section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^z Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America
- ^{aa} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ^{ab} Also at International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy
- ^{ac} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia SC, United States of America
- ^{ad} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ^{ae} Also at Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States of America
- ^{af} Also at Moscow Engineering and Physics Institute (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- ^{ag} Also at Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- ^{ah} Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ^{ai} Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America
- ^{aj} Also at Discipline of Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa
- * Deceased