

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham
Research at Birmingham

A systematic review of supervisory relationships in general practitioner training

Jackson, Dawn; Davison, Ian; Adams, Rachel; Edordu, Adaeze; Picton, Aled

DOI:

[10.1111/medu.13897](https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13897)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jackson, D, Davison, I, Adams, R, Edordu, A & Picton, A 2019, 'A systematic review of supervisory relationships in general practitioner training: a qualitative synthesis', *Medical Education*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 874-885. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13897>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 11/04/2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Jackson, D. , Davison, I. , Adams, R. , Edordu, A. and Picton, A. (2019), A systematic review of supervisory relationships in general practitioner training. *Med Educ*, 53: 874-885. doi:10.1111/medu.13897, which has been published in final form at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13897>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF SUPERVISORY RELATIONSHIPS IN GP TRAINING

A qualitative synthesis

Authors:

1. Dr Dawn Jackson (corresponding author): Admissions Office, Room 32B, Medical School, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK B15 2TT
07970672099. Dvjackson@doctors.org.uk
2. Dr Ian Davison: School of Education, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK B15 2TT
01214144808. I.W.Davison@bham.ac.uk
2. Dr Rachel Adams: Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham.
R.L.Adams@bham.ac.uk
2. Dr Adaeze Edordu: Primary Care and Health Sciences, University of Keele.
adaeze.edordu@nhs.net
2. Dr Aled Picton: Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham.
A.PICTON@bham.ac.uk

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF SUPERVISORY RELATIONSHIPS IN GP TRAINING

A qualitative synthesis

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The educational alliance is argued to be at the heart of supervision in medical education. This review aims to map the research field and develop a conceptualisation of the nature of such educational alliances within postgraduate supervision for General Practitioners (GPs).

Methods: An integrative review of the international literature on supervision from 2011- 2018 was undertaken, and papers assessed for relevance and quality. Data analysis incorporated framework analysis techniques. Bordin's working alliance based model of supervision was used as a springboard for synthesis, whilst allowing for the emergence of new ideas, theories and concepts from the literature.

Results: Forty-nine full texts were included for analysis. There was evidence of the importance of trust, agreement and bond in accordance with Bordin's model. The results also highlighted the importance of greater clarity on supervisory goals, and the tasks to support these goals, to effectively address competing priorities and roles within supervision. Non-hierarchical relationships were advocated, although supervisors must remain impartial in their assessment and monitoring roles. The influence of the wider practice and situated learning through legitimate peripheral participation are documented. A model of GP supervision is proposed which integrates the findings.

Conclusion: GP supervision requires a greater emphasis than is suggested by the working alliance model, both on the clarity of expectations and the appreciation of the multiple roles and competing priorities of both trainee and supervisor. Furthermore, as GP supervision develops within the rising workload of contemporary general practice, the role of the wider community of practice may become more prominent. We have adapted the working alliance model for postgraduate GP supervision emphasizing the explicit sharing of expectations relating to goals, tasks, and roles to facilitate negotiation and agreement.

INTRODUCTION

General practice (GP), or family practice, involves continuous care of patients, from the cradle to the grave, within their communities⁴. In general, training within this speciality requires the trainee to work in the same community-based practice as their supervisor. Historically, the traditional view of 'apprenticeship' has offered a lens to consider the role-modelling of professional duties from supervisor to trainee, within a longitudinal relationship⁵⁻⁸. It has been argued that this clinical supervisory relationship is the "single most important factor in the effectiveness of supervision"^{1(p827)}. Akin to the 'therapeutic alliance' between a client and their therapist, the 'educational alliance' between a trainee and their supervisor is considered fundamental to the trainee's progression and development^{2,3}.

The supervisor's role in the supervisory relationship has been explored within the literature. The provision of pastoral support and positive role modelling have been cited as facilitators for the educational alliance^{3,9-11}, whereas insensitive communication or limited availability of supervisors have been suggested to pose a threat to the quality of the relationship^{12,13}. However, there has been little research into the contribution of the trainee to this relationship, and the particular facilitators and barriers to their contribution..

Globally, training in general practice is developing rapidly. Examples of this include changes to GP trainee working arrangements following introduction of the European Working Time Directive, the advent of Patient Centered Care Homes in the United States and rapid growth in GP trainee numbers in Australia^{5,8,14-16}. The wider system pressures within the changing professional and political landscape of general practice may contribute to the means by which supervisory alliances are navigated in the day-to-day interaction of GP training, and may offer important avenues for consideration^{6,17}. The traditional 'apprenticeship' model of supervision in postgraduate general practice may no longer remain fit for purpose, as medical education becomes 'less personal', and as practice teams become more prominent in the trainee's learning journey⁸. 'Situated learning', where trainees learn through participation in a community of practice, may offer an alternative view of contemporary supervision¹⁸. This model emphasizes the trainee's participation and sense of belonging in the training practice as a whole, through meaningful work and interaction with various staff members, shifting the emphasis from the supervisory relationship in isolation.

There is a case to re-examine the literature relating to supervision, and supervisory relationships, in current postgraduate training in general practice. With a focus on the supervisory alliance itself, this review aims to understand the attributes of the supervisory relationship in general practice. We will consider the roles of both trainee and supervisor, their developing relationships, and potential facilitators and barriers to such relationships.

The terms 'educational alliance', 'working alliance' and 'supervisory alliance' appear to us to relate to one another, and are used interchangeably throughout. We have used the terms 'trainee' to describe the learner (or resident) and 'supervisor' to describe the trainer within the supervisory relationship.

Conceptual framework

Bordin's 'working alliance based model of supervision', developed from the 'therapeutic alliance' model in psychology and counselling, proposes three components: 1) mutual agreement between supervisor and trainee on the goals of supervision 2) agreement on the tasks required to reach those goals and 3) a strong emotional bond between them^{2,19-21}. Benefits of this model include its trans theoretical nature, and ability to be applied in cross-cultural supervisory arrangements due to negotiation and collaboration as key components²². It has been suggested that, in the absence of established educational alliances, learners may not feel safe to disclose their vulnerabilities or to truly accept the feedback given from supervisors²³.

Using Bordin's model as a guide, this review considers the literature on supervisory relationships within postgraduate GP training.

METHODS

Within Saini and Shlonsky's categorisation of qualitative synthesis methods, our approach most closely aligns with the integrative review methodology²⁴. Our aim was to summarize findings across the included studies, and integrate them into a novel conceptualisation of supervisory relationships in general practice training²⁵. The predetermined consideration of Bordin's Working alliance (well-defined and researched within the field of supervision) offered a useful starting point for the review process^{24,26}. However, through the identification of meanings, concepts and theories from the studies, we sought additional interpretations as the analysis evolved²⁵.

Ovid MEDLINE, ProQuest, ERIC and Web of Science electronic databases were searched on 1st July 2016 and then again on 18th January 2018 to identify relevant papers published between 2011 and 2018. Search terms were initially identified from an earlier systematic review of postgraduate supervision in general practice, and supplemented by terms relating to the attributes of the supervisory relationship³ (see **Figure 1** for terms, and **Appendix 1** for MEDLINE search). Using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, screening of titles for relevance was initially undertaken, with subsequent review of included abstracts to identify full text articles relevant to our research aim. These papers were put forward for quality assessment (see **Figure 1**).

Quality assessment of papers

A predefined proforma, based on research appraisal tools, was developed through team discussion²⁸⁻³¹ (**Appendix 2**). Papers were sorted into one of 5 'trustworthiness' categories (see **Figure 1**) using agreed category definitions. This holistic judgement considered the processes by research teams to minimise bias within their study design, and the relevance of each study to our research aims³².

The selected articles were analysed using principles of framework analysis^{33,34}. Article abstract, methods, results and discussion sections were reviewed. Through team discussion, consensus was reached to develop categories of interest to guide the analysis. For the purposes of developing a robust framework, E1 papers were analysed first, with subsequent analysis of E2 and O1 papers. The first author (DJ) reviewed all 49 papers, and each paper was independently analysed by another reviewer. QSR NVivo Version 11 was used to record the analysis. Themes were then identified through independent review and team discussion, and the E3 and O2 papers coded against these. The framework analysis approach enabled the research team to consider certain a priori areas of interest, such as Bordin's working alliance¹⁹, but still enabled identification of emergent categories and themes.

From the outset, sensitivity to the researcher role in shaping the research process was considered, and steps taken to maintain reflexivity³⁵. This included formation of a research team with a variety of vantage points of postgraduate supervision: a qualified GP (DJ), a paediatric trainee (AP) and a GP trainee (AE), alongside a researcher experienced in research within UK general practice (ID) and a researcher experienced in qualitative methods (RA). All articles were quality-assessed, categorized and analysed independently, and coding diaries kept.

Results

The search results are outlined in **Figure 1**, with 49 full text articles included for analysis. A summary of the E1, E2 and O1 articles included for qualitative synthesis is presented in **Appendix 3**.

Regarding the importance of the supervisory alliance, good relationships were deemed fundamental to the teaching of core clinical competencies³⁶, influencing career choice¹⁷, assisting struggling trainees or those in need of remediation^{37,38}, supervising trainees remotely³⁹ and improving trainee confidence⁴⁰. The 'luxury' of the trainee and supervisor relationship was recognised⁴¹, and trainees were keen to ensure that the 1:1 relationship with their supervisor was not lost^{38,42}.

A number of factors were identified as contributors to the supervisory alliance and are discussed below.

Bond

Several studies stressed the importance of the supervisor knowing the trainee as an individual and liking them⁴²⁻⁴⁴. This appeared particularly important in rural or remote supervision^{39,45,46}. Personality 'clashes' were attributed as reasons for relationship problems or breakdown in communication³⁷. For trainees, emotional distance or lack of personal contact from the supervisor was perceived to hinder their learning⁴⁷.

On the whole, supervisors appeared to trust their trainees; they had sufficient confidence that the trainee would report problems and ask for help when required, and they supported the trainee's autonomy in their consultations with patients^{36,47-49}. However, caution was advised when relying heavily on trainee self-assessment⁵⁰. Poorly formulated questions from trainees were potentially linked to clinical incidents⁵¹, and in random case note review of trainee consultations, 30% of supervisors (19 out of 64) identified previously undetected patient safety issues⁵².

Trainees must be able to count on their supervisor for the support they require⁴⁹. However, the extent to which trainees experienced this support, or trusted it to happen, is less clear within the scope of this review. In one study, trainees went to their supervisor with questions for less than 7% (9130 out of 131583) of problems⁵³, but the reasons for this were unclear.

Agreement on goals of supervision

The supervisory relationship must navigate numerous and potentially conflicting priorities. Trainee autonomy is required for learning, but must be balanced with patient safety^{43,44,49,54,55}. With educational development as the goal, supervisors aimed to support the trainee's learning needs, but monitoring and assessment in supervision risked trainee openness about their vulnerabilities^{17,45,46,56}.

Goals depended on the trainee and context of supervision. In the case of struggling trainees, supervisors expressed concerns about patient safety, and monitoring of trainees' clinical performance became a prominent goal⁴³.

Disagreement or conflict in the relationship may occur if there are differing expectations of roles within supervision⁵⁷. Conflicting goals between trainee and supervisor were perceived to

relate to decreased trainee confidence, inclusion in the practice and professional development⁴⁰.

Agreement on tasks of supervision

A variety of tasks were described to support the goals of supervision. Opportunistic case discussion, or 'corridor questions', appeared the most frequent supervision method, reported in surveys to be used at least weekly by 92%⁵⁸ to 95%⁵⁹ of the 84 supervisor respondents. Interruptions for such encounters were perceived as stressful for some supervisors, but were generally tolerated^{45, 60}.

However, a number of papers also advocated the importance of directive supervisory activities to identify potential problems or learning gaps^{50, 52, 53, 58, 61, 62}. Such methods included direct observation of trainee consultations^{37, 50, 58}, randomly selecting cases for review^{45, 52} or audit of test ordering^{45, 61}. The extent to which such monitoring methods were implemented by supervisors, however, appears variable^{52, 54, 58, 61}.

Supervisor beliefs and preferences appeared to be important factors in determining the supervisory tasks undertaken. Creating environments for feedback were associated with trainee reports of higher rates and quality of feedback, although 75% of supervisor respondents (47 out of 62) did not believe this task to be important⁶³. Regarding agreement, some supervisors appeared to pursue their preference of a pre-determined, fixed syllabus in teaching, rather than responding to the needs of the trainee^{45 64}.

Agreement on roles in supervision

The relationship was influenced by the multiple roles of the supervisor. The educator role was frequently described^{36, 43, 47, 54, 65, 66}, which included offering a degree of challenge to the trainee⁴⁶. Supervisors ensured trainees were safe to practice autonomously, through having general oversight, monitoring progress, and acting as a gatekeeper^{44, 67}. Other roles included role model^{36, 56, 68, 69}, assessor^{17, 37, 43, 44, 49, 50, 66} and mentor, through providing reassurance^{45, 49, 56, 70, 71} and personal support^{39, 46}. Supervisors also facilitated inclusion of trainees by acting as a broker with the wider practice^{40, 47, 49}.

The role of educator was considered to be in tension with the supervisor's responsibility to ensure patient safety^{43, 49, 50, 52, 54}. The supervisor was observed to move between their oversight, teaching, assessment and primary physician roles within a single supervisory interaction⁶⁵.

Few papers described the trainees' role, although some acknowledged that, like their supervisors, trainees face the similar tensions and changing of roles^{36, 46, 65}. Explicit recognition of these multiple and changing roles in both parties was recommended at the outset of the supervision process^{36, 54}.

Power imbalance was considered a potential threat to supervisors and trainees in reaching agreement^{50, 65, 72-74}, with the assessment and monitoring role of the supervisor suggested to exaggerate this imbalance^{43, 50, 73}. Non-hierarchical relationships were advocated to minimise this^{39, 45, 73} and can be fostered through trainee feedback to supervisors^{41, 74}, and through supervisors recognising and respecting their trainees⁷⁵. Legitimate peripheral participation

was discussed, suggesting that trainees are on a journey from 'subordinate' to 'autonomous practitioner'⁶⁵, moving from a peripheral position (in interactions with their supervisor and wider practice) to one of full participation^{40, 47, 49, 65}. This suggests that power imbalance, and its influence on agreement, may diminish with time. Between supervisors and international medical graduates (IMGs), differing expectations were suggested regarding roles, hierarchy and gender⁷². Generally supervisors were reported to respond to the trainee's needs, even in instances when this conflicted with their preferred supervision style^{45, 54}. Finding common ground for roles within the relationship, and teaching content, were suggested as key elements of supervisory interactions³⁶.

Clarity

Clarity emerged as a theme required for agreement, principally in terms of openness and explicit discussion.

Openness refers to the disclosure by trainees of their learning needs, and particular educational or personal problems arising^{36, 49, 56}. Supervisors relied on trainee openness to undertake sufficient needs analysis and to tailor support⁴⁰. The supervisor's assessment role emerged as a potential threat to trainee openness^{17, 50}. Reassurance from the supervisor was viewed to create safety within the relationship, which subsequently encouraged trainee openness⁵⁶.

Supervisors often found it difficult to articulate and structure their teaching, and trainees sometimes lacked clarity on the goals or priorities of supervision^{36, 45, 48, 64, 74}. To address this, supervisors were encouraged to be explicit about the purpose of the trainee's presence at the practice⁷⁶ and about what they were trying to achieve³⁶. They were encouraged to clarify their multiple roles, including their assessment role^{50, 54} and to be specific about how the trainee could access help^{49, 73}.

Personal attributes

Valuable supervisor attributes identified were enthusiasm^{71, 77}, encouragement⁷⁸ and being inspiring⁷⁸. Positive trainee attributes included sufficient insight into their performance and learning needs³⁷, engagement with training and supervision^{37, 66} and willingness to receive feedback³⁷. For trainees, maturity was perceived to relate to being more proactive in supervision, whilst reduced self-confidence was related to reduced openness³⁶. It is suggested that, when compared to their supervisors, trainees preferred increased flexibility in work with differing career expectations and greater work/life balance¹⁷.

Local environment

In a number of papers the practice team supported the workload of supervision by providing additional clinical and educational input^{38, 40, 41, 45, 54, 70, 75, 79}, calibration of the supervisor's judgement of the trainee^{37, 54}, spotting struggling trainees⁴⁵ and assisting trainee orientation⁴⁵. Additional practice support included pacing the trainee's clinical workload to support their level of confidence⁴² and ensuring sufficient resource, such as rooms and equipment^{42, 60}. Inclusion of the trainee in the practice was suggested to enhance their learning, confidence, autonomy and preparedness^{40, 47, 49, 64, 77}. Difficult relationships with the supervisor were suggested to negatively impact this inclusion⁴⁰.

Busy practices, where educational interactions must compete with heavy clinical workload, were perceived to hinder learning^{13, 42, 45, 47, 54, 55} and hierarchical practice cultures risked the trainee's sense of inclusion, leading to increased stress⁴⁰.

A number of studies either described or recommended supervisory arrangements that differed from the traditional 1:1 interaction between trainee and supervisor. These included vertical learning (involving various members of the practice team)^{41, 44, 45} and remote supervision³⁹.

Wider environment

Beyond the practice, peer support for supervisors, such as supervisors' workshops, were perceived as useful^{41, 46, 80}. Workshops for supervisors on the provision of feedback were evaluated as acceptable and satisfactory^{81, 82}. GP training programmes providing placements of sufficient length were viewed positively, as they provide continuity and enable relationships to develop over time, with sufficient timetabled contact^{17, 49, 54, 65, 70, 71}. The workload of documentation was viewed to threaten the supervisory relationship, largely due to unwieldy software and time burden⁶⁶.

Theoretical propositions

Some papers considered theoretical propositions relevant to this review, including theories of adult learning^{45, 74}, cognitive apprenticeship³⁶, self-regulated learning^{47, 49, 54}, educational alliance⁴⁴, socio-material learning⁷⁷ and situated learning (including legitimate peripheral participation)^{40, 45, 49, 54, 64, 65, 77} (see **Appendix 3**). The paucity of theoretical development within these papers limits significant conclusions regarding conceptualisations, but raises the question as to whether the focus on the supervisory relationship, outside of its socio-cultural environment, is too narrow⁸³. We consider this question in relation to situated learning in developing Bordin's model, below.

DISCUSSION

Despite changes to the landscape of postgraduate GP training, the supervisory relationship remains prominent, with a number of the studies highlighting the importance of 1:1 relationships between trainee and supervisor. However, these relationships must navigate numerous competing priorities and goals, balancing trainee educational support and autonomy, training programme and practice requirements, alongside patient safety. Such competing interests have been described as ubiquitous in healthcare supervisory settings⁵⁷. The results also suggested contextual threats to supervisory relationships, such as the clinical workload of trainee and supervisor (which impacts on time for meaningful interaction), the documentation burden of postgraduate training and the risk that the supervisor's assessment role exaggerates the power imbalance between them.

Many of the attributes of Bordin's supervisory working alliance are observable within our review, such as the personal connection and mutual trust within his concept of 'bond'¹⁹. However, these attributes must also navigate the tensions within the broader context of postgraduate GP training. For example, whilst supervisors appear to rely heavily on supervisory tasks underpinned by 'trust', such as the trainee's ad-hoc self-assessment of problems, the potential pitfall of undetected unconscious incompetence when using these methods is evident. Monitoring activities to detect learning deficits are advocated, but implemented to varying degrees by supervisors. Entrustment is an increasingly popular term

within postgraduate education, with 'entrustable professional activities' referring to those tasks that the supervisor judges the trainee can perform unsupervised^{84,85}, encapsulating the tension of trust and monitoring undertaken by supervisors.

Agreement of goals and tasks, central to the working alliance, has been cited as mediating the supervisor's dualistic roles of trainee development and assessment⁸⁶. In this review, relationship problems arising from disagreement are described, and the pursuit of finding common ground is highlighted by both trainees and supervisors. Agreement is an attribute of Bordin's model and thus may offer a useful lens to consider how these tensions are negotiated. This was also discussed in studies with IMGs suggesting that the working alliance model offers potential to describe supervisory relationships within a cross-cultural setting⁸⁷.

Developing Bordin's model: what does our model add?

The supervisory relationship within general practice is complex. We suggest that the working alliance model, comprised of negotiation and agreement of goals and tasks in the context of an emotional bond, may begin to describe this complexity. However, our findings suggest that additional factors should be considered. **Figure 2** outlines our model of General Practice Supervision, which builds upon these elements. Our review suggests that GP supervision can be particularly problematic where clarity is lacking. Furthermore, overestimation, often on the part of the supervisor, can occur regarding the quality of the working alliance^{2,88}. Clarity on goals and tasks is described by Bordin as an important element of agreement^{19,22}, and we suggest that this relates to sufficient openness on the part of the trainee regarding their particular learning needs, and explicit discussion from the supervisor on their particular agenda and role. Highlighting it as a distinct element within our model enables a greater focus on the trainee's perspective of the quality of the relationship, whilst also referring to an alliance where both parties are clear on the trainee's needs and how these will be addressed.

This review indicates that navigating multiple roles is key to a successful supervisory relationship, influenced by the particular beliefs, preferences and characteristics of the supervisor and trainee. The complexity of competing roles for the supervisor and trainee is not included within Bordin's model²². However roles, and the personal attributes that can influence them, are considered within our model, and offer scope for further exploration.

The review and wider literature advocate non-hierarchical, peer-like relationships to mediate the risk of significant power imbalance between trainee and supervisor⁸⁹. It is suggested that these non-hierarchical relationships develop over time, as the trainee grows professionally and the working alliance adapts. However, the monitoring and assessment roles of the supervisor raise questions as to whether this relationship is ever truly equal. The dynamic and changing nature of these power relationships is represented by the term 'relationships' within the model.

The findings suggest contextual factors that may be important facilitators or hindrances to the development and maintenance of the supervisory relationship. The influences of the training practice have been termed 'local environment' within the model, and the term 'wider environment' refers to the support and requirements of the regional and national training programmes.

Rising clinical workloads were cited as particular threats, as was the administrative workload related to supervision. To enable the development of relationship over time, a number of studies highlighted the importance of training placements of sufficient length, which is often determined by the wider training environment. This time to develop interpersonal continuity has been described as important for trust and authenticity within supervision⁷⁰. The training practice also may present an opportunity to mediate the rising pressure on supervisors. In some studies, a range of practice staff facilitated learning between various trainees. This type of shared learning, in a community of practice, may represent a contemporary change to the 1:1 supervisory interaction, and has been perceived as beneficial by participants when combined with traditional supervision³⁸.

Learning within a 'community of practice' refers to the work of Lave and Wenger⁹⁰, and their concept of 'situated learning' is discussed either directly or implicitly by a number of studies in the review. Interaction with the training practice culture appears to influence the trainee's confidence, based largely on their perception of inclusion and belonging. A focus on the 1:1 interaction alone within the educational alliance may fail to consider the important influence of the 'community of practice', and the supervisor's role in brokering this inclusion³. Our model conceptualised this inclusion by a circle, encompassing the educational alliance within their community of practice, or 'local environment'.

This integrative systematic review has provided a rich overview of papers with multiple research approaches, including commentaries. However, a double hermeneutic is involved as the review's conclusions represent interpretation of papers, which are social constructs in themselves⁹¹. The analytic approach and diversity in the research team attempted to mitigate the issue. The search strategy specifically aimed to review supervisory relationships of a sufficient duration, and may have under-represented supervisory experiences in locations where educational continuity is not encouraged. Evaluation of the suggested model is recommended, in varying participant, geographical and supervisory contexts.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this review was to consider the attributes of supervisory relationships in general practice, and how such working alliances are created and maintained. The model presented is a synthesis of the literature findings, and describes the importance of the emotional bond in supervision, alongside agreement of goals and tasks. In addition, Bordin's working alliance model has been developed to emphasize the need for clarity between supervisor and trainee on the trainee's educational needs, and the means by which these will be addressed. Positive working alliances appear to be linked to non-hierarchical relationships and the ability to negotiate the tensions, multiple priorities and roles within supervision. This offers an important area of development for trainees and their supervisors. Furthermore, working alliances in GP supervision may also need to include the whole training practice and wider training environment, which appear closely linked to the trainee's learning and progression.

References

- [1] Kilminster SM, Jolly BC. Effective supervision in clinical practice settings: a literature review. *Medical Education*. 2000;**34**:827-840.
- [2] Telio S, Ajjawi R, Regehr G. The “Educational Alliance” as a Framework for Reconceptualizing Feedback in Medical Education. *Academic Medicine*. 2015;**90**:609-614.
- [3] Wearne S, Dornan T, Teunissen PW, Skinner T. General practitioners as supervisors in postgraduate clinical education: an integrative review. *Medical education*. 2012;**46**:1161-1173.
- [4] RCGP. About General Practice. *Discover General Practice*. RCGP, 2019.
- [5] Thomas H. Annex 2: Training General Practitioners: literature as a guide to action. In: RCGP, ed. *RCGP submission to Postgraduate Medical Education and Training Board*, 2005.
- [6] Thomson JS, Anderson KJ, Mara PR, Stevenson AD. Supervision--growing and building a sustainable general practice supervisor system. *The Medical journal of Australia*. 2011;**194**:S101-S104.
- [7] Morris C, Blaney D. Work-based learning. In: Swanwick T, ed. *Understanding Medical Education': Evidence, Theory and Practice*. Wiley-Blackwell with ASME, 2010.
- [8] Dornan T. Osler, Flexner, apprenticeship and 'the new medical education'. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2005;**98**:91-95.
- [9] Cottrell D, Kilminster S, Jolly B, Grant J. What is effective supervision and how does it happen? A critical incident study. *Medical Education*. 2002;**36**:1042-1049.
- [10] Pearson D, Lucas B. What are the key elements of a primary care teaching practice? *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2011;**22**:159-165.
- [11] Ullian JA, Bland CJ, Simpson DE. An alternative approach to defining the role of the clinical teacher. *Acad Med*. 1994;**69**:832-838.
- [12] Cornford C, B. C. A qualitative study of the experiences of training in general practice: a community of practice? . *J Educ Teach*. 2006;**32**:269-282.
- [13] Aine T, Sumanen M, Heikkila T, et al. Factors associated with general practice specialty training satisfaction - results from the Finnish Physician Study. *Education for Primary Care*. 2014;**25**:194-201.
- [14] Bedward J, Davison I, Burke S, Thomas H, Johnson N. Evaluation of the RCGP Training Curriculum. Final Report. RCGP, University of Birmingham, 2011.
- [15] Angelotti M, Bliss K, Schiffman D, et al. Transforming the Primary Care Training Clinic: New York State's Hospital Medical Home Demonstration Pilot. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. 2015;**7**:247-252.
- [16] Laurence C, Docking D, Haydon D, Cheah C. Trainees in the practice - practical issues. *Australian family physician*. 2012;**41**:14-17.
- [17] Ferguson J, Wakeling J, Cunningham DE. General practice training in Scotland: the views of GP trainers and educators. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2014;**25**:211-220.
- [18] Lave J. Situating learning in communities of practice. *Perspectives on socially shared cognition*. American Psychological Association; US, Washington, DC, 1991; 63-82.
- [19] Bordin ES. A Working Alliance Based Model of Supervision. *The Counselling Psychologist*. 1983:35-42.
- [20] Ladany N, Inman AG. Chapter 7: Training and Supervision. In: Altmaier E, Hanson JC, eds. *Oxford Handbook of Counselling*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2012; 179-208.
- [21] Telio S, Regehr G, Ajjawi R. Feedback and the educational alliance: examining credibility judgements and their consequences. *Medical Education*. 2016;**50**:933-942.
- [22] Wood C. Supervisory Working Alliance: A Model Providing Direction for College Counselling Supervision. *Journal of College Counselling*. 2005;**8**:127-137.

- [23] Wearne S. Effective feedback and the educational alliance. *Medical Education*. 2016;**50**:891-892.
- [24] Saini M, Shlonsky A. Systematic Synthesis of Qualitative Research. Oxford University Press, 2012.
- [25] Sandelowski M, Barroso J. *Handbook for synthesizing qualitative research*. New York Springer; 2007.
- [26] Dixon-Woods M, Bonas S, Booth A, et al. How can systematic reviews incorporate qualitative research? A critical perspective. *Qualitative Research*. 2006;**6**:27-44.
- [27] Gough D, Thomas J, Oliver S. Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. *Systematic Reviews*. 2012;**1**:28.
- [28] CASP. 10 questions to help you make sense of qualitative research. CASP, 2013.
- [29] Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Qualitative Checklist. 2018.
- [30] Center for Evidence-Based Management. Critical Appraisal Checklist for Cross-Sectional Study. 2014.
- [31] Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. Data Collection Form. . *EPOC Resources for Review Authors*. Oslo: Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, 2013.
- [32] Charrois TL. Systematic reviews: what do you need to know to get started? *The Canadian journal of hospital pharmacy*. 2015;**68**:144-148.
- [33] Parkinson S, Eatough V, Holmes J, Stapley E, Midgley N. Framework analysis: a worked example of a study exploring young people's experiences of depression. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2016;**13**:109-129.
- [34] Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2013;**13**:117.
- [35] Bearman M, Dawson P. Qualitative synthesis and systematic review in health professions education. *Medical Education*. 2013;**47**:252-260.
- [36] Saucier D, Paré L, Côté L, Baillargeon L. How core competencies are taught during clinical supervision: participatory action research in family medicine. *Medical education*. 2012;**46**:1194-1205.
- [37] Patterson F, Knight A, Stewart F, MacLeod S. How best to assist struggling trainees? Developing an evidence-based framework to guide support interventions. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2013;**24**:330-339.
- [38] Ahern CM, van de Mortel TF, Silberberg PL, Barling JA, Pit SW. Vertically integrated shared learning models in general practice: a qualitative study. *BMC Family Practice*. 2013;**14**:144.
- [39] Wearne SM, Dornan T, Teunissen PW, Skinner T. Supervisor continuity or co-location: which matters in residency education? Findings from a qualitative study of remote supervisor family physicians in Australia and Canada. *Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges*. 2015;**90**:525-531.
- [40] Wiener-Ogilvie S, Bennison J, Smith V. General practice training environment and its impact on preparedness. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2014;**25**:8-17.
- [41] Charlton R, Wilkinson M. Tutorials for 21st century GP training. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2011;**22**:328-332.
- [42] Hibble A. Teaching multiple trainees in general practice: 'learning in 3D'. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2011;**22**:433-437.

- [43] McLaren P, Patel A, Trafford P, Ahluwalia S. GP trainers' experience of managing a trainee in difficulty: a qualitative study. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2013;**24**:363-371.
- [44] Morgan S, Ingham G, Wearne S, Saltis T, Canalese R, McArthur L. Towards an educational continuing professional development (EdCPD) curriculum for Australian general practice supervisors. *Australian family physician*. 2015;**44**:854-858.
- [45] Ingham G, Fry J, O'Meara P, Tourle V. Why and how do general practitioners teach? An exploration of the motivations and experiences of rural Australian general practitioner supervisors. *Bmc Medical Education*. 2015;**15**.
- [46] Walters L, Laurence CO, Dollard J, Elliott T, Eley DS. Exploring resilience in rural GP registrars - implications for training. *Bmc Medical Education*. 2015;**15**.
- [47] Sagasser MH, Kramer AWM, van der Vleuten CPM. How do postgraduate GP trainees regulate their learning and what helps and hinders them? A qualitative study. *BMC medical education*. 2012;**12**:67.
- [48] Stolper C, Wiel M, Hendriks R, et al. How do gut feelings feature in tutorial dialogues on diagnostic reasoning in GP traineeship? *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2015;**20**:499-513.
- [49] Sagasser M, Kramer A, Fluit C, et al. Self-entrustment: how trainees' self-regulated learning supports participation in the workplace. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2017;**22**:931-949.
- [50] Wearne S, Brown J. GP supervisors assessing GP registrars – theory and practice. *Australian family physician*. 2014;**43**:887-891.
- [51] Zwart DL, Heddema WS, Vermeulen MI. Lessons learnt from incidents reported by postgraduate trainees in Dutch general practice : a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Quality and Safety*. 2011;**20**:857-862.
- [52] Morgan S, Ingham G, Kinsman L, Fry J. Clinical supervision using random case analysis in general practice training. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2015;**26**:40-46.
- [53] Morgan S, Wearne S, Tapley A, et al. In-consultation information and advice-seeking by Australian GP trainees from GP trainers – a cross-sectional analysis. *Education for Primary Care*. 2015;**26**:155-165.
- [54] Sagasser M, Kramer A, Weel C, Vleuten C. GP supervisors' experience in supporting self-regulated learning: a balancing act. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2015;**20**:727-744.
- [55] Guldal D, Windak A, Maagaard R, Allen J, Kjaer NK. Educational expectations of GP trainers. A EURACT needs analysis. *The European journal of general practice*. 2012;**18**:233-237.
- [56] Girolodi E, Veldhuijzen W, Geelen K, et al. Developing skilled doctor-patient communication in the workplace: a qualitative study of the experiences of trainees and clinical supervisors. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2017;**22**:1263-1278.
- [57] Reitz R, Simmons PD, Runyan C, Hodgson J, Carter-Henry S. Multiple role relationships in healthcare education. *Families, Systems & Health*. 2013;**31**:96.
- [58] Ingham G, Morgan S, Kinsman L, Fry J. Are GP supervisors confident they can assess registrar competence and safety, and what methods do they use? *Australian family physician*. 2015;**44**:236-240.
- [59] Ingham G, O'Meara P, Fry J, Crothers N. GP supervisors--an investigation into their motivations and teaching activities. *Australian family physician*. 2014;**43**:808-812.
- [60] Morrison J, Clement T, Nestel D, Brown J. Perceptions of ad hoc supervision encounters in general practice training: A qualitative interview-based study. *Australian Family Physician*. 2015;**44**:926-932.

- [61] Morgan S, Saltis T, Coleman J, Tapley A, Magin P. Test result audit and feedback (TRAFk) as a supervision method for rational test ordering in general practice training. *Australian Family Physician*. 2016;**45**:518-522.
- [62] Simons G. The Milton Keynes vocational training scheme 'traffic light system' for early identification and management of trainees in difficulty. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2011;**22**:333-336.
- [63] Pelgrim EAM, Kramer AWM, Mookink HGA, van der Vleuten CPM. Factors influencing trainers' feedback-giving behavior: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Medical Education*. 2014;**14**:n/a-65.
- [64] Warwick C. How international medical graduates view their learning needs for UK GP training. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2014;**25**:84-90.
- [65] Clement T, Brown J, Morrison J, Nestel D. Ad hoc supervision of general practice registrars as a 'community of practice': analysis, interpretation and re-presentation. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2016;**21**:415-437.
- [66] Foulkes J, Scallan S, Weaver R. Educational supervision for GP trainees: time to take stock? *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2013;**24**:90-92.
- [67] Oerlemans M, Dielissen P, Timmerman A, et al. Should we assess clinical performance in single patient encounters or consistent behaviors of clinical performance over a series of encounters? A qualitative exploration of narrative trainee profiles. *Medical Teacher*. 2017;**39**:300-307.
- [68] Jochemsen-van der Leeuw H, van Dijk N, Wieringa-de Waard M. Assessment of the Clinical Trainer as a Role Model: A Role Model Apperception Tool (RoMAT). *Academic Medicine*. 2014;**89**:671-677.
- [69] Meijer LJ, de Groot E, Blaauw-Westerlaken M, Damoiseaux R. Intraprofessional collaboration and learning between specialists and general practitioners during postgraduate training: a qualitative study. *Bmc Health Services Research*. 2016;**16**.
- [70] Bowen JL, Hirsh D, Aagaard E, et al. Advancing Educational Continuity in Primary Care Residencies: An Opportunity for Patient-Centered Medical Homes. *Academic Medicine*. 2015;**90**:587-593.
- [71] Brown JB, Thorpe C, Paquette-Warren J, Stewart M, Kasperski J. The mentoring needs of trainees in family practice. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2012;**23**:196-203.
- [72] Triscott JAC, Szafran O, Waugh EH, Torti JMI, Barton M. Cultural transition of international medical graduate residents into family practice in Canada. *Int J Med Educ*. 2016;**7**:132-141.
- [73] Ingham G. Avoiding 'consultation interruptus' - a model for the daily supervision and teaching of general practice registrars. *Australian family physician*. 2012;**41**:627-629.
- [74] Longman C, Temple-Smith M. General practice registrar observation of their supervisors in consultation - what is the educational value? *Australian family physician*. 2013;**42**:418-421.
- [75] Pearson DJ, Lucas BJ. Engagement and opportunity in clinical learning: Findings from a case study in primary care. *Medical Teacher*. 2011;**33**:e670-e677.
- [76] Donaghy F, Boylan O. Monitoring quality in GP training. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2012;**23**:208-209.

- [77] Garth B, Kirby C, Silberberg P, Brown J. Utility of learning plans in general practice vocational training: a mixed-methods national study of registrar, supervisor, and educator perspectives. *Bmc Medical Education*. 2016;**16**.
- [78] Davies S. Reflections of a hopeful trainer. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2012;**23**:371.
- [79] Allan GM, Ma V, Aaron S, Vandermeer B, Manca D, Korownyk C. Residents' clinical questions: how are they answered and are the answers helpful? *Canadian family physician Médecin de famille canadien*. 2012;**58**:e344-e351.
- [80] Cabot K, Estreich L. Setting up a supervision skills group in primary care. *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2012;**23**:357-359.
- [81] Stokell R. The use of a brief episode of experiential learning to develop the skills used by trainers in teaching consultation skills for GP specialty training (GPST). *Education for primary care : an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors*. 2014;**25**:166-167.
- [82] Junod Perron N, Nendaz M, Louis-Simonet M, et al. Effectiveness of a training program in supervisors' ability to provide feedback on residents' communication skills. *Advances in health sciences education : theory and practice*. 2013;**18**:901-915.
- [83] Ajjawi R, Bearman M. Sociomateriality matters to family practitioners as supervisors. United Kingdom, 2012; 1145-1147.
- [84] Cate Ot. Trust, competence, and the supervisor's role in postgraduate training. *BMJ*. 2006;**333**:748-751.
- [85] Walsh K. Entrustable professional activities. *Education for Primary Care*. 2016;**27**:63-64.
- [86] Launer J. Supervision, Mentoring and Coaching. In: Swanwick T, ed. *Understanding Medical Education: Evidence, Theory and Practice*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, United Kingdom, 2010; 111-123.
- [87] Sue DW, Arrendondo P, McDavis RJ. Multicultural Counseling Competencies and Standards: A call to the profession. *Journal of Counseling & Development*. 1992;**70**:477-486.
- [88] Hovarth AO. The therapeutic relationship: From transference to alliance. *J Clin Psychol*. 2000;**56**:163-173.
- [89] Usher CH, Borders LD. Practicing counselors' preferences for supervisory style and supervisory emphasis. *Counselor Education and Supervision*. 1993;**33**:66-80.
- [90] Lave J, Wenger E. *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. New York, NY Cambridge University Press; US; 1991.
- [91] Giddens A. *The constitution of society*. Berkeley University of California Press; 1984.