BDNF-induced local protein synthesis and synaptic plasticity
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A B S T R A C T

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important regulator of synaptic transmission and long-term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus and in other brain regions, playing a role in the formation of certain forms of memory. The effects of BDNF in LTP are mediated by TrkB (tropomyosin-related kinase B) receptors, which are known to be coupled to the activation of the Ras/ERK, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt and phospholipase C-γ (PLC-γ) pathways. The role of BDNF in LTP is best studied in the hippocampus, where the neurotrophin acts at pre- and post-synaptic levels. Recent studies have shown that BDNF regulates the transport of mRNAs along dendrites and their translation at the synapse, by modulating the initiation and elongation phases of protein synthesis, and by acting on specific miRNAs. Furthermore, the effect of BDNF on transcription regulation may further contribute to long-term changes in the synaptic proteome. In this review we discuss the recent progress in understanding the mechanisms contributing to the short- and long-term regulation of the synaptic proteome by BDNF, and the role in synaptic plasticity, which is likely to influence learning and memory formation.

This article is part of the Special Issue entitled ‘BDNF Regulation of Synaptic Structure, Function, and Plasticity’.
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Minichiello, 2009; Park and Poo, 2013; Santos et al., 2010). The early
effects of BDNF result from the modification of components already
available at the synapse (e.g. protein phosphorylation) while the
long-term effects arise from modification of translation activity at
the synapse and changes in transcription. This has been investi-
gated to a great extent in the hippocampus, and is the main focus of
this review. The contribution of BDNF to the behavioral plasticity
has been reviewed elsewhere (Cowansage et al., 2010) and will not
be further discussed here.

2. Dendritic transcripts

The hypothesis of local protein synthesis at neuronal sites
distant from the soma was raised after the work of Steward and
Levy, who showed that polyribosomes can accumulate at the base
of the dendritic spines forming a rosette-like structure (Steward
and Levy, 1982), suggesting that they were bound to mRNA and
involved in protein synthesis. This observation lead to the search
for the mRNAs present in dendrites, and to the study of the
mechanisms involved in their transport and how local protein
synthesis is regulated at the synapse.

In highly polarized cells such as neurons, the transport of
mRNAs coupled with local translation provides an important
mechanism for spatial and temporal control of protein synthesis.
Dendritic localized mRNAs are usually packaged into large
messenger ribonucleoprotein complexes (mRNPs) that engage with
motor proteins for the microtubule-dependent transport along
dendrites. These transcripts are generally kept in a dormant state
during the transport and then translated upon stimulation at or
near activated synapses (Bramham and Wells, 2007) (Fig. 1). Several
mRNAs were found to localize in dendritic processes under
different physiological conditions. One of the major challenges of
identifying dendritic mRNAs is the concentration of mRNAs in
dendrites which is several times lower when compared with cell
body-localized mRNAs, making somatic contamination a real issue.

The dendritic transcriptome is not yet fully characterized. The
first estimations predicted that approximately 400 dendritic
mRNAs could be present in the dendrites of cultured rat hippo-
campal neurons (Eberwine et al., 2001). A similar number of tran-
scripts was identified in two other studies: i) in neurites of
hippocampal neurons, using microarray analysis and a culture
system that allows mechanical separation of axons and dendrites
(129 mRNAs) (Poon et al., 2006); ii) in the stratum radiatum (den-
dritic lamina) from the rat hippocampal CA1 region (156 mRNAs)
(Zhong et al., 2006). A different approach used consisted in the
identification of conserved sequence elements in the transcripts
that may explain their cellular localization and intracellular trans-
port specificity (Lein et al., 2007). This strategy also predicted a low
number of dendritic mRNAs (59 transcripts). A much higher
number of transcripts was recently identified using deep RNA
sequencing in microdissected synaptic neuropil (stratum radiatum
and lacunosum moleculare) segments from the CA1 region of the

Fig. 1. BDNF-induced local translation at the synapse and upregulation of gene expression. TrkB receptor activation upon BDNF binding increases the accumulation of synaptic
vesicles at the active zone in the presynaptic region, thereby potentiating synaptic transmission (1). BDNF-TrkB complex can be internalized and retrogradely transported
toward the cell body (2). Once in the soma the active receptors may change gene expression and mRNA translation, and newly synthesized proteins may be then transported along
the axon together with preexisting proteins (3). The BDNF-TrkB complex may also induce postsynaptic responses (4), including the disassembly of the RNA granules (5), through
activation of different signaling pathways. RBPs-associated mRNAs become therefore available for translation, either at free polysomes or at the ER-associated ribosomes (6). BDNF-
TrkB “signaling endosomes” are also transported from the dendritic spine to the cell body (7), where it leads to the transcription of IEGs in a MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 dependent manner
(8). In dendrites, RNA granules containing mRNAs are transported along microtubules and can be “recruited” by an active dendritic spine or they may continue the movement
toward more distal sites (9).
adult rat hippocampus (Cajigas et al., 2012). Since the neuropil tissue is comprised of dendrites, axons, glial cells, interneurons and blood vessels, the data obtained for the full neuropil transcriptome was filtered and 2550 mRNAs were attributed to dendrites and/or axons (Cajigas et al., 2012).

Comparison of the axodendritic transcriptome in hippocampal neurons with the whole rat genome clearly shows that certain functional categories are preferentially targeted to the neurite compartment. Fig. 2 shows such comparison for the “cellular component” category, using Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool (GOrilla) (Eden et al., 2009). The analysis shows enrichment in mRNAs coding for proteins belonging to the ribonucleoprotein complex (ribosomal proteins and RNA-binding proteins) and synaptic proteins, including PSD components (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1 show a more detailed analysis). The “molecular function” group shows an enrichment in mRNAs which are translated into proteins with three main functions: (i) voltage gated ion channel activity, (ii) ubiquitin-related activity and (iii) RNA binding activity (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The analysis of axodendritic transcripts according to the “functional category” in which they participate show an enrichment in the following classes: (i) synaptic transmission, (ii) synaptic plasticity, (iii) learning and memory, (iv) RNA processing, (v) UPS-related processes and (vi) intracellular transport (Supplementary Fig. 3).

3. BDNF and regulation of mRNA transport along dendrites

3.1. cis-acting elements and mRNA transport into dendrites

The evidence discussed in the previous section demonstrate that dendritic mRNA targeting is not a feature of a small subset of mRNAs as initially thought and confirms the enormous potential of localized translation in synaptic regulation. Among the dendritic mRNAs identified there are several transcripts encoding proteins with synaptic roles such as ionotropic and metabotropic receptors, scaffolding proteins, adhesion molecules, signaling molecules, and components of the translational machinery (Cajigas et al., 2012). The use of high-resolution RNA in situ hybridization revealed the mRNA for CaMKIIz (Ca$^{2+}$- and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, x subunit) as the most abundant in the neuropil region. The dendritic trafficking of this transcript and the role of local translated CaMKIIz in synaptic plasticity events has been widely studied (Mayford et al., 1996; Miller et al., 2002; Ouyang et al., 1999). Other relatively abundant mRNAs in the neuropil region included the transcripts encoding for Shank1, PSD95 (postsynaptic density protein 95), Dendrin and MAP (microtubule-associated protein) 1A (Cajigas et al., 2012), all of them previously reported as dendritic mRNAs (Bockers et al., 2004; Herb et al., 1997; Muddashetty et al., 2007; Tucker et al., 1989).

The selectivity of dendritic mRNA transport results from a complex and orchestrated series of events and is determined by the presence of cis-acting RNA elements which are recognized by trans-acting RNA-binding proteins. These ribonucleoprotein complexes may combine with other factors to form a functional complex which is transported along the microtubule cytoskeleton to its final destination (Doyle and Kiebler, 2011; Martin and Ephrussi, 2009). The cis-acting elements on the mRNAs (also called zipcodes or localization elements) can vary in length and in spatial arrangement and, with few exceptions, are contained in the 3’-untranslated region (UTR) (Andreassi and Riccio, 2009). Nevertheless, the presence of localization elements in the 5’UTR and in the coding sequence has also been reported (Chiaruttini et al., 2009; Pal et al., 2003). One of the shortest, well described, zipcodes was found in MBP mRNA and is only 11-nucleotides long (Munro et al., 1999). This element is called heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A2 response element (A2RE) because it is recognized by hnRNPA2, a trans-acting factor responsible for MBP mRNA trafficking in oligodendrocytes (Munro et al., 1999). The A2RE-dependent targeting of mRNAs is also involved in the dendritic delivery of Arc, CaMKIIz, and Neurogranin mRNAs, which appear to cluster in the same hnRNPA2-containing granules (Gao et al., 2008). Moreover, hnRNPA2 was also shown to be necessary for the delivery of the non-coding BCI RNA and PKM$\zeta$ mRNA to distal dendritic domains (Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011).

![Fig. 2. Simplified representation of the “cellular component” classes enriched in axodendritic transcripts. The list of reported dendritic transcripts (Cajigas et al., 2012; Lein et al., 2007; Poon et al., 2006; Zhong et al., 2006) was compared with the rat genes present in the Agilent Technologies Whole Rat Genome Microarray (https://earray.chem.agilent.com/earray/catalogGeneLists.do?action=displayList#) using GOrilla. The analysis shows enrichment in transcripts that code for RNA-binding proteins and synaptic proteins, including PSD components. The results focus on the classes of transcripts for which GO terms present a p-value lower than $10^{-11}$](https://example.com/fig2.png)
Surprisingly, the interaction of the latter RNAs with hnRNPA2 depends on a non-canonical purine-purine interaction within BC1 and PKMz zipcodes, suggesting the existence of a spatial code mediating the targeting of these transcripts to dendrites by hnRNPA2 (Muslimov et al., 2011). In a recent study, the guanine (G)-quadruplex structures present in the 3′UTRs of CaMKIIz and PSD95 mRNAs were shown to direct these transcripts into dendrites (Subramanian et al., 2011). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the RNA structure adopted by the zipcodes may be essential for the localization of the transcripts in the cell. Interestingly, several other well established dendritic mRNAs possess G-quadruplex structures in their 3′UTR (Subramanian et al., 2011), which may indicate the presence of a common signature for the recognition of the cis-acting elements by the proper RNA-binding proteins. In fact, given the number of localized mRNAs, it is plausible to think that several localization elements could share structural characteristics that allow the recruitment of the appropriate transport apparatus.

The identification of localization elements within dendritic mRNAs can sometimes generate conflicting results. An early study identified a 94-nucleotide element in the 3′UTR of CaMKIIz mRNA that was sufficient for the delivery of the transcript into dendrites (Mori et al., 2000). However, another study showed that the CaMKIIz mRNA containing this element but lacking most of the 3′UTR failed to be targeted to dendrites in vivo (Miller et al., 2002). Furthermore, another localization element was identified in the middle of the 3′UTR of CaMKIIz transcript (Blinchichen et al., 2001) and the CaMKIIz transcript also contains a hnRNPA2 response element (AZRE) (Gao et al., 2008). Taken together, these findings suggest that the dendritic localization of CaMKIIz mRNA depends on diverse cis-acting elements. Similarly, two different localization elements were described for the Arc mRNA (Gao et al., 2008; Kobayashi et al., 2005) but surprisingly little is known about the mechanism of Arc mRNA transport into dendrites. In hippocampal neurons the Arc transcripts are transported along dendrites in hnRNPA2-containing granules (Gao et al., 2008) and a cis-acting element on the 3′UTR of the Arc mRNA showed a moderate ability to target these transcripts to dendrites (Kobayashi et al., 2005). However, it is currently unknown whether hnRNPA2 is required for Arc mRNA trafficking along dendrites in vivo and the identity of the trans-acting factors that recognize the localization element present in the Arc transcripts described by Kobayashi and coworkers also remains to be determined.

Another well described dendritic mRNA is the β-actin transcript. β-actin mRNA contains a cis-acting element 54-nucleotide long in the 3′UTR, which is recognized by ZBP1 (zipcode-binding protein 1) and is essential for the localization of the transcript in the cytoplasm of cultured chick embryo fibroblasts (Kislauskis et al., 1994; Ross et al., 1997) and in neurites and growth cones of cultured chick forebrain neurons (Zhang et al., 2001). The complex ZBP1-β-actin mRNA accumulates in dendritic spines upon synaptic activity (Tiruchinappali et al., 2003). In developing neurons the localization of ZBP1-β-actin mRNA accumulates in dendritic spines, which is coupled to localized β-actin translation, critically regulates growth cone navigation by mediating responses to external cues (Lin and Holt, 2007). In addition to the role in the localization of β-actin mRNA, ZBP1 also represses its translation (Huttelmaier et al., 2005). This is abrogated through Src-dependent phosphorylation of ZBP1, which results in the release of β-actin mRNA from ZBP1-containing granules and local translation of β-actin (Huttelmaier et al., 2005). Recent studies implicated the RNA-binding protein Src-associated in mitosis of 68 kDa (Sam68) in the metabolism of β-actin mRNA in dendrites (Klein et al., 2013). The authors showed that knockdown of Sam68 or blocking the interaction of Sam68 with β-actin mRNA decreases the levels of β-actin transcript in dendrites and results in fewer dendritic spines (Klein et al., 2013). In addition, absence of Sam68 results in a decreased number of functional synapses in vivo and reduces the amount of β-actin mRNA in synaptic polysomal fractions (Klein et al., 2013), suggesting that Sam68 may also be involved in the translational control of β-actin locally at the synapse.

A role for BDNF in the regulation of mRNA localization in dendrites has been suggested by several studies. Intra-hippocampal infusion of BDNF resulted in the accumulation of Arc transcripts in dendrites and triggered long-term potentiation (BDNF-LTP) at medial perforant path-granule cell synapses in vivo (Messaoudi et al., 2007; Ying et al., 2002), and similar effects were observed in cultured cerebrocortical neurons (Rao et al., 2006), but the mechanisms involved have not been clarified. BDNF signaling induces the phosphorylation of ZBP1 and local synthesis of β-actin in growth cones, contributing to growth cone turning (Sasaki et al., 2010). In a recent study, ZBP1 was shown to regulate dendritic branching (Percy et al., 2011). Interestingly, knockdown of ZBP1 inhibited the growth of dendritic protrusions in response to BDNF stimulation (Eom et al., 2003), suggesting that under limiting amounts of ZBP1 and β-actin mRNA in dendrites, neurons are not able to induce robust actin growth following stimulation with BDNF. Future studies should address the mechanisms underlying ZBP1-mediated transport of β-actin mRNA into dendrites, and possibly other mRNAs, and explore the molecular mechanisms by which BDNF regulates β-actin mRNA locally.

The effect of BDNF on the abundance of dendritic mRNAs was further investigated using a culture system that allows the mechanical separation of neurites from cell bodies (Manadas et al., 2009; Poon et al., 2006). BDNF differentially regulated the dendritic localization of transcripts encoding for several translation-related proteins, including initiation and elongation factors, and aminoacyl-tRNA synthases (Manadas et al., 2009). However, it is not clear if BDNF regulates the delivery of these transcripts to dendrites and/or alters their stability.

3.2. Dendritic targeting of BDNF mRNA

The nuclear events, such as splicing and alternative polyadenylation site selection, may be essential determinants for the differential localization of mRNA in the cytoplasm (Giorgi and Moore, 2007). Perhaps the best example in mammalian neurons is the targeting of BDNF mRNA into dendrites. The presence of two polyadenylation sites in the BDNF transcript results in two distinct pools of mRNAs in the brain containing either a short or a long 3′UTR (Timmusk et al., 1993). The short 3′UTR-carrying mRNAs apparently are restricted to the soma whereas the long 3′UTR-containing mRNAs are also found in dendrites (An et al., 2008). Nevertheless, BDNF constructs carrying a short 3′UTR were shown to be targeted to dendrites in cultured hippocampal neurons (Baj et al., 2011; Chiaruttini et al., 2009; Oe and Yoneda, 2010). The short 3′UTR-mediated dendritic targeting of the transcript may rely on the presence of cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE)—like motifs in its sequence (Oe and Yoneda, 2010). The CPE-like elements are apparently required for both constitutive and activity-dependent localization of the transcript in dendrites (Oe and Yoneda, 2010). In separated studies, the destination of BDNF mRNA was, ultimately, ruled by the presence of 5′UTR exons (Baj et al., 2011; Chiaruttini et al., 2009). The selective localization of several 5′UTR splice variants in dendrites was coupled to local TrkB activation and differentially modulated dendritic complexity (Baj et al., 2011). Importantly, blocking the dendritic localization of BDNF mRNA in vivo reduced BDNF protein levels in dendrites and resulted in deficits in dendritic spine pruning and enlargement (An et al., 2008). Deficits in dendritic synthesis of BDNF also lead to the
selective impairment of LTP in dendrites (An et al., 2008). Together, these results suggest a critical role for dendritically synthesized BDNF in synaptic plasticity.

BDNF multiple transcripts can also be generated by alternative splicing of the 5′UTR region. As many as 11 different splice-variants of BDNF mRNA were described in both humans and rodents (Aid et al., 2007). Some of these variants show a differential distribution in hippocampal laminae in response to different incoming stimuli (Chiaruttini et al., 2008). It is not clear though, how the different forms of BDNF mRNA are sorted into distinct subcellular compartments. This process most likely requires additional and at the moment unknown factors. Translin binds to the coding sequence of BDNF mRNA regulating both constitutive and activity-induced transport of the transcript to dendrites (Chiaruttini et al., 2009). It was further demonstrated that, depending on the stimuli, translin may be required or not for BDNF mRNA trafficking (Wu et al., 2011). Interestingly, exogenous application of BDNF is sufficient to induce the transport of BDNF and TrkB mRNAs into dendrites in hippocampal neurons through the activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K) pathway (Righi et al., 2000).

3.3. Nuclear history of dendritic-localized mRNPs

Several RNA binding proteins that compose the dendritic mRNP complexes are nucleo-shuttling proteins highly expressed in the nucleus (Giorgi and Moore, 2007). These observations per se suggest that some trans-acting factors accompany the mRNA from transcription sites and ultimately regulate their localization in dendrites (Giorgi and Moore, 2007). One of the best examples of nuclear-acquired RNA binding proteins implicated in transcript localization comes from the exon junction complex (EJC), a set of proteins involved in mRNA splicing. Several proteins of the EJC, including Magoh, Y14, MLN14 and Barentsz, were detected in dendrites (Glanzer et al., 2005; Macchi et al., 2003). In particular the EJC protein eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF) 4AIII is present in neuronal RNA granules and binds several dendritic mRNAs such as the Arc transcript (Giorgi et al., 2007). However, EJC was not involved in the trafficking of Arc mRNA, but instead directed the transcript for degradation after translation (Giorgi et al., 2007).

Another example is related with the RNA-binding protein ZBP1. ZBP1 is mainly cytoplasmic, but its association with β-actin mRNA is likely to occur at transcription sites (Oleynikov and Singer, 2003; Pan et al., 2007). Interestingly, this interaction appears to be facilitated by ZBP2 (Pan et al., 2007). ZBP2 is predominantly nuclear but a small fraction of the protein colocalizes with cytoplasmic β-actin mRNA in both fibroblasts and neurons (Gu et al., 2002). Over-expression of a truncated form of ZBP2 disrupts the dendritic localization of β-actin transcripts (Gu et al., 2002). Altogether, these results suggest a cooperative role for ZBP1 and ZBP2 in the formation of functional nuclear mRNPs, and ultimately in the regulation of β-actin mRNA localization. Accordingly, the ZBP2-related protein MARTA1 binds with high affinity the dendritic targeting element in MAP2 mRNA (Rehbein et al., 2002) and it is suggested to have a role in both nuclear-export and dendritic trafficking of MAP2 mRNAs. The importance of nuclear-acquired factors in dendritic mRNA localization is also shown by the role played by the nuclear CAP-binding complex. The mRNA degradation factor LSm1 was shown to form dendritic-localized mRNPs that also contain the nuclear CAP-binding protein CHP80, which associates with mRNA percursor in the nucleus (di Penta et al., 2005). These results demonstrate that the LSm1-containing mRNPs are assembled in the nucleus.

The role of the nuclear compartment in the delivery of RNAs to dendrites was also addressed in experiments in which labeled RNAs were microinjected in the cytoplasm of hippocampal neurons. The transcripts were found along dendrites, showing that the delivery to this compartment does not require any nuclear event (Tubing et al., 2010). In most cases though, it is not clear how the nuclear history of endogenous dendritically targeted mRNAs regulates their localization.

3.4. Trans-acting factors

Among the best studied trans-acting factors that contribute to dendritic mRNA localization in neurons are the RNA-binding proteins ZBP1, Staufen, Fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP), hnRNPa2, and the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein (CPEB). The ultimate function of the trans-acting factors is to recognize cis-acting elements in the mRNAs and target the transcripts to distal dendrites. However, some of these RNA-binding proteins can also act as translation repressors (Huttelmaier et al., 2005; Jung et al., 2006; Napoli et al., 2008; Richter, 2007), linkers to motor proteins (Dictenberg et al., 2008; Falley et al., 2009) or even regulators of mRNA decay (Kim et al., 2005).

Staufen proteins are among the best characterized proteins regulating mRNA localization in many species. In mammals, Staufen is involved in the binding and targeting of mRNAs into dendrites (Kiebler et al., 1999; Tang et al., 2001). The Staufen family of proteins comprises two members, Staufen1 and Staufen2, which are components of different RNA granules in neurons (Duchaine et al., 2002). Staufen2 is mainly expressed in the brain and is necessary for the microtubule-dependent delivery of CaMKIIα mRNA to dendrites (Jeong et al., 2007). Staufen1 is required for the late phase of long-term potentiation (L-LTP) in the hippocampus (Lebeau et al., 2008) whereas Staufen2 regulates mGluR-dependent long-term depression (mGluR-LTD) (Lebeau et al., 2011), suggesting distinct roles for the two Staufen proteins at the synapse.

Another important regulator of mRNA localization in neurons is FMRP. FMRP associates with several well described dendritic mRNAs, such as CaMKIIα, Arc, MAP1b, PSD95, as well as its own mRNA (Bassell and Warren, 2008). FMRP interacts directly with the motor protein kinesin to promote the transport of FMRP and cognate mRNAs along dendrites, further suggesting a role in the transport of ribonucleoprotein complexes along dendrites (Dictenberg et al., 2008). This study, together with the purification of KIF5-associated granules (Kanai et al., 2004), provided the best evidence for motor-based transport of RNA granules along the microtubule cytoskeleton. Another evidence for an interaction of trans-acting proteins and motor-based transport systems came from studies showing that the dendritic transport of Shank1 mRNA requires KIF5C and KIF5-associated protein Staufen1 (Falley et al., 2009). FMRP is also one of the best described factors that regulate the translation of mRNAs at the synapse. For instance, FMRP can recruit 4E-BP-like cytoplasmic Fmr-interacting protein 1 (CYFIP1) to repress the translation of target mRNAs (Napoli et al., 2008). Recent evidence indicate that FMRP can also repress the translation of cognate mRNAs through the association with miRNAs (Edbauer et al., 2010; Muddasheety et al., 2011) and through the reversible stalling of the ribosomes on polyribosomes containing FMRP-target mRNAs (Darnell et al., 2011).

RNG105 is an RNA-binding protein present in discrete RNA granules in dendrites of hippocampal neurons where it colocalizes with Staufen and CaMKIIα mRNA (Shiina et al., 2005). BDNF induces the release of RNG105 from RNA granules which is coincident with the translation of an mRNA reporter near the granules (Shiina et al., 2005). However, the translation of RNG105-associated mRNAs was still suppressed in the absence of RNG105.
et al., 2004). First, these granules were enriched in developing rodent cortex (Elvira et al., 2006). The composition of these granules in dendrites indicates a role for RNG105 in the dendritic localization of these mRNA-containing granules.

Another trans-acting factor required for dendritic mRNA transport is the CPEB. CPEB and the cytoplasmic polyadenylation complex are well known regulators of translation ([For a review see Richter, 2007]) but less is known about the role of CPEB in mRNA trafficking. CPEB was shown to facilitate the transport of CPE (cytoplasmic polyadenylation element)-binding mRNAs to distal dendrites (Huang et al., 2003). It was recently shown that CPEB-associated proteins bidirectionally regulate the translation of specific mRNAs in dendrites resulting in a bidirectional regulation of LTP at hippocampal synapses (Udagawa et al., 2012), but whether CPEB is involved in the dendritic localization of those transcripts remains to be investigated.

### 3.5. Molecular composition of RNA granules

In the recent years, a great effort has been made to characterize the molecular composition of the granules responsible for the transport of RNAs. Hirokawa and coworkers purified large RNA granules from the mouse brain which were associated with the tail of conventional kinesin KIF5 (Kanai et al., 2004). These granules contained CaMKII and Arc mRNAs as well as 42 different proteins. Among the identified proteins there are several well established trans-acting factors involved in mRNA localization such as Staufen, FMRP and Pur-α. This proteomic approach also led to the identification of new players in mRNA transport such as hnRNP family of proteins that were detected in this RNA granule preparation were found to accumulate at postsynaptic sites upon neuronal activation (Zhang et al., 2012), further supporting their role in local mRNA regulation.

In a separated study, subcellular fractionation was used to obtain fractions enriched in ribonucleoprotein complexes from developing rodent cortex (Elvira et al., 2006). The composition of these granules was to some extent different from the KIF5-associated granules described by Hirokawa and coworkers (Kanai et al., 2004). First, these granules were enriched in β-actin and did not contain CaMKII or Arc transcripts. Second, proteomic analysis of these fractions revealed several RNA-binding proteins that were not detected in the KIF5-associated granules (Elvira et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the two granule preparations had many common components, including several hnRNPs, Staufen2, and DEAD-box3 helicase. Interestingly, it was further demonstrated that BDNF treatment increased the number of motile DEAD-box3-carrying granules in hippocampal neurons (Elvira et al., 2006). Taken together, these results suggest that RNA granules are heterogeneous complexes and that some of their components might constitute general machinery for mRNA transport whereas others can be added specifically according to the mRNA/mRNAs that are transported.

One of the most important questions remaining in the field is related to the nature and number of mRNAs present in each ribonucleoprotein granule. The mRNAs encoding for Arc, CaMKII, and Neurogranin coassemble in the same set of hnRNP2-containing granules in cultured hippocampal neurons (Gao et al., 2008). Studies using labeled RNAs microinjected into the cytoplasm of hippocampal neurons showed that MAP2 and CaMKII transcripts were sorted into distinct RNA granules in dendrites (Tubing et al., 2010). It was further demonstrated that endogenous MAP2, CaMKII, and β-actin mRNAs localize in distinct ribonucleoprotein particles which, unexpectedly, contained very few mRNA molecules (Miikil et al., 2011). Accordingly, in situ hybridization experiments with single molecule sensitivity showed that different mRNAs had little or no tendency to cluster together in the same granules and most likely were transported singly into dendrites (Batish et al., 2012). These recent findings suggest a simpler model in which solitary mRNAs can recruit their specific set of proteins and travel to distal dendrites, which may provide a finer regulation of distinct mRNAs at individual synapses. Nevertheless, multiplexed mRNA trafficking has been observed in mammalian neurons (Gao et al., 2008) as well as in other biological contexts (Martin and Ephrussi, 2009). Moreover, RNA granules were observed with the RNA staining dye SYTO14 which indicates the presence of high RNA content (Knowles et al., 1996; Tang et al., 2001). Further research is required to determine the molecular composition of single RNA granules and to unravel the mechanisms orchestrating the assembly and the transport of these complexes along dendrites.

### 3.6. BDNF regulation of P-bodies

The population of RNA-containing granules in neurons is heterogeneous. They can be classified in RNA transport granules, stress granules, and RNA processing bodies ([P-bodies] (for further reading see Anderson and Kedersha, 2006; Kiebler and Bassell, 2006)). P-bodies are distinct cytoplasmic RNA granules that contain the components of the 5′–3′ mRNA decay, nonsense-mediated decay pathway and RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). It was recently shown that BDNF treatment increased the formation of dendritic and somatic P-bodies that contain untranslated RNA targeted for repression or degradation (Huang et al., 2012). Furthermore, BDNF was shown to induce the translocation of dendritic P-body-like structures toward the distal regions of dendrites in hypothalamic neurons (Cougot et al., 2008). These P-body-like structures often contained ZBP1 and FMRP, well described RNA-binding proteins involved in mRNA transport (Cougot et al., 2008). These findings, together with the emerging roles of mRNAs in the regulation of local mRNAs, suggest a close interplay between P-bodies and RNA transport granules. Stimulation of hippocampal neurons with BDNF induced the dissociation of P-bodies (Zeitelhofer et al., 2008) which may suggest a model in which BDNF may relieve P-bodies-mediated repression or decay. On the other hand, a general increase in miRNA biogenesis and in the formation of P-bodies was observed upon BDNF induction of Dicer in hippocampal neurons (Huang et al., 2012). Despite the apparent conflicting results, both studies demonstrated that P-bodies are dynamic entities that respond to BDNF treatment.

### 4. BDNF and LTP in the hippocampus

Work performed with trkB- or Bdnf-deficient mice showed that an impairment of the BDNF-TrkB signaling leads to a significant downregulation of early and late phases of LTP in the Schaffer Collateral-CAl hippocampal synapses (Korte et al., 1995, 1996, 1998; Minichiello et al., 1999), which was correlated with a reduction in the performance in certain learning tasks (Gruart et al., 2007; Linnarsson et al., 1997; Minichiello et al., 1999; Pozzo-Miller et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2000). Importantly, the effects on LTP observed in mice carrying a null mutation in the Bdnf gene were reverted upon restoring the expression of the Bdnf gene or following treatment with recombinant BDNF (Korte et al., 1996; Patterson et al., 1996), further suggesting a role for BDNF in long-term synaptic potentiation in the hippocampus. In agreement
with these findings, LTP is significantly inhibited when high-frequency stimulation (HFS) is performed in the presence of the BDNF and NT4 (neurotrophin-4) scavenger TrkB-IgG or with anti-TrkB antibodies (Chen et al., 1999; Figuero et al., 1996; Kang et al., 1997; Korte et al., 1998). The Val566Met polymorphism in the Bdnf gene which results in a defect in regulated release of BDNF and affects episodic memory (Egan et al., 2003) also leads to an impairment of LTP (Ninan et al., 2010), further indicating a role for BDNF in this form of plasticity in the hippocampus. However, at this point the timing and relative role of the pre- and post-synaptic region in the release of BDNF still remains to be elucidated. This has been reviewed elsewhere (Lu et al., 2008; Park and Poo, 2013) and will not be further discussed here.

Facilitatory effects of BDNF in the induction of LTP have also been reported under conditions of weak synaptic stimulation that would not normally induce synaptic potentiation in the hippocampal CA1 region (Kovalchuk et al., 2002). Bath application of BDNF also triggers a sustained enhancement of synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the hippocampus by a mechanism dependent on protein synthesis (Ji et al., 2010; Kang and Schuman, 1996). Transcription and translation activities are also required for BDNF-induced long-term potentiation at medial perforant path-synaptic cell synapses in vivo following intra-hippocampal injection of the neurotrophin (Messaudet et al., 2007; Ying et al., 2002).

The TrkB receptors for BDNF are expressed in axons, nerve terminals and dendritic spines of the hippocampal granule and pyramidal neurons of the adult rat hippocampus (Carvalho et al., 2008; Drake et al., 1999; Pereira et al., 2006) raising the question of whether the neurotrophin acts at the pre- and/or post-synaptic level in LTP, and the signaling mechanisms involved. The TrkB receptors are coupled to the activation of multiple signaling pathways, including the Ras/ERK pathway and the PI3-K/Akt pathway, initiated by interaction of Shc with the phosphorylated receptor, and the phospholipase C-γ (PLC-γ) (Carvalho et al., 2008). Studies performed in mice with targeted mutations in either the Shc or the PLC-γ binding sites of TrkB showed that the latter mechanism is preferentially coupled to potentiation of the CA3–CA1 synapse and associative learning (Grasset et al., 2007; Minichiello et al., 2002). Furthermore, inhibition of the PLC-γ pathway at the pre- and post-synaptic level, by overexpression of the PLC-γ pleckstrin homology domain (PH) with a viral vector, showed a role for pre- and post-synaptic TrkB receptors in LTP in the hippocampal CA1 region (Gartner et al., 2006). Interestingly, in this study concurrent inhibition of pre- and post-synaptic PLC-γ signaling was required to reduce LTP to levels similar with those observed in trkB and Bdnf knockout mice, and no significant effects were detected in experiments testing the effect of pre- or post-synaptic inhibition of this pathway (Gartner et al., 2006). Recruitment of Ca2+ from inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3)-sensitive intracellular stores was suggested to play a key role in LTP downstream of PLC-γ activation (Gartner et al., 2006).

A different study addressed the relative role of pre- and post-synaptic TrkB receptors in LTP using mutant mice with reduced expression of the receptor throughout the brain, including the CA3 and CA1 regions, and mice lacking TrkB in the hippocampus CA1 region (Xu et al., 2000). LTP was affected only in the former animal model, similarly to the results obtained in the studies with pre- and/or post-synaptic inhibition of PLC-γ signaling. However, in this study no experiments were performed to analyze the response in mice lacking presynaptic TrkB receptors and therefore the authors concluded that BDNF would target presynaptic TrkB receptors to induce synaptic potentiation. In contrast with the reports suggesting a concurrent role of pre- and post-synaptic TrkB receptors in LTP in the CA1 region of the hippocampus, a postsynaptic effect was proposed to account for the robust induction of LTP at the synapses of the medial perforant path fibers when BDNF was applied together with a weak synaptic stimulation that would not normally induce synaptic potentiation (Kovalchuk et al., 2002). Although a presynaptic effect of BDNF was not ruled out in this paradigm, the differences may be due to the distinct synapses investigated.

4.1. Transcription- and translation-independent synaptic regulation by BDNF

The role of BDNF in the early phase of LTP is likely dependent on the post-translational regulation of pre- and post-synaptic proteins (Figs. 1 and 3). At the presynaptic level the activation of TrkB receptors was shown to upregulate depolarization-evoked glutamate release from isolated hippocampal and cerebrocortical synaptosomes (Jovanovic et al., 2000; Pascual et al., 2001; Pereira et al., 2006; Simsek-Duran and Lonart, 2008). Studies performed in cultured hippocampal neurons showed that BDNF increases the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents, further supporting a presynaptic effect of the neurotrophin (Lessmann and Heumann, 1998; Li et al., 1998; Schinder et al., 2000; Tyler and Pozzo-Miller, 2001). The rapidly recycling pool of synaptic vesicles is targeted by a BDNF-dependent mechanism in the hippocampal CA1 region, and this modulation was shown to be necessary for the enhancement of exocytosis caused by induction of LTP (Tyler et al., 2006). The recycling of synaptic vesicles induced by BDNF requires the presence myosin VI, a minus end-directed actin-based motor, and the adaptor protein GIPC1 [PDZ (postsynaptic density-95/Discs large/zona occludens-1) domain-containing adaptor protein, type 1], which form a complex that can engage TrkB receptors (Yano et al., 2006). However, how myosin VI contributes to the BDNF-induced regulation of the exocytotic release of glutamate remains to be elucidated.

The potentiation of glutamate release by BDNF was not observed is cerebrocortical synaptosomes isolated from synapsin I and synapsin II deficient mice (Jovanovic et al., 2000), an ERK substrate that interacts with small synaptic vesicles. These results suggest that TrkB receptor activation coupled to synapsin phosphorylation may dissociate the synaptic vesicles from actin filaments, thereby increasing vesicle docking and glutamate release. Accordingly, BDNF increased the number of docked vesicles per active zone at CA1 spine synapses in hippocampal slice cultures, but since in these experiments the treatment with the neurotrophin was performed for 48 h, the effect may involve, at least in part, translation activity (Tartaglia et al., 2001).

Synaptic potentiation by BDNF is also dependent on the small GTP-binding protein Rab3a, which is known to play a role in vesicular trafficking. Rab3a is associated with synaptic vesicles and the BDNF-induced upregulation of neurotransmitter release was shown to be impaired in cultured hippocampal neurons form rab3a knockout mice (Alder et al., 2005; Thakker-Varia et al., 2001). A role for Rab3a in the presynaptic effects of BDNF is further suggested by the results showing an impairment of the BDNF-induced potentiation of glutamate release in synaptosomes isolated from the CA1 region of Rim1α (Rab3a interacting molecule 1α) knockout mice (Simsek-Duran and Lonart, 2008). Rim1α is a Rab3a effector molecule, being phosphorylated by an ERK-dependent mechanism following stimulation of CA1 nerve terminals with BDNF. However, the Rim1α downstream targets in BDNF–induced potentiation of glutamate release remain to be identified.

Protein phosphorylation following activation of postsynaptic TrkB receptors may also contribute to the effects of BDNF on E-LTP (Fig. 3). This may be due to phosphorylation of plasma membrane-associated NMDA receptors, as shown for the GluN1 and GluN2B
subunits (Lin et al., 1998; Suen et al., 1997), which may account for BDNF-induced increase in the receptor open probability observed in cultured hippocampal neurons (Levine et al., 1998). Accordingly, the effects of BDNF on the electrophysiological properties of NMDA receptors depend on GluN2B subunits (Levine and Kolb, 2000). BDNF was also shown to increase the phosphorylation of GluA1 AMPA receptor subunits in cultured organotypic hippocampal slices and increased synaptic delivery of AMPA receptors within about 30 min (Caldeira et al., 2007a), further contributing to an increased postsynaptic response to glutamate release. Similar effects of BDNF on the synaptic accumulation of GluA1 containing AMPA receptors were reported in cultured cortical (Nakata and Nakamura, 2007) and hippocampal neurons (Fortin et al., 2012).

The rapid pre- and post-synaptic events induced by BDNF, mediated by phosphorylation of existing proteins, are likely to be transient since the activity of protein phosphatases may revert the effects induced by TrkB receptor activation. These initial effects are followed by more sustained synaptic changes, which involve alterations in the synaptic protein content, and contribute to the L-LTP.

4.2. BDNF and regulation of translation machinery

In addition to the effects in the regulation of the assembly of RNA granules (see Section 3.6), BDNF promotes translation of specific mRNAs by regulating the activity of the protein synthesis machinery. Translation is initiated with the formation of the eIF4F complex, followed by recruitment of the ribosome and the mRNA molecule. The eIF4F is comprised by the eIF4E subunit, which binds the 5′-capped mRNAs, the eIF4A, responsible for unwinding the secondary structure of mRNAs, and the eIF4G, which bridges the transcript to the 43S pre-initiation complex. The formation of this complex and induction of translation activity is promoted by phosphorylation of eIF4E-binding proteins (4EBPs) (Pestova et al., 2007), which prevents their interaction of eIF4e. The initiation steps of translation are followed by recruitment of elongation factors, including the eukaryotic elongation factor (eEF) 2, which promote the translocation of the new protein chain from the A-site to the P-site of the ribosome (Taylor et al., 2007).

The initiation and elongation steps of translation are considered rate-limiting, being subjected to regulation at multiple sites (Herbert and Proud, 2007; Santos et al., 2010). BDNF is thought to act at different levels to increase translation activity, by altering the phosphorylation of proteins involved in the initiation and elongation steps of protein synthesis. Stimulation of cultured cerebrocortical neurons with BDNF was shown to induce the phosphorylation of eIF4E and 4EBP1, by activating the ERK and PI3-K signaling pathways, respectively (Takei et al., 2004).

Furthermore, the BDNF-induced 4EBP1 phosphorylation was sensitive to mTOR inhibition with rapamycin (Takei et al., 2004). A BDNF-induced increase in the phosphorylation of 4EBP1, p70S6

Fig. 3. BDNF-induced changes in synaptic proteome and cytoskeleton alterations. Activation of TrkB receptors with BDNF stimulates the Src-family tyrosine kinase Fyn, which phosphorylates the GluN2B subunits of NMDA receptors, thereby increasing their activity and synaptic transmission (1). TrkB receptor activation triggers three different signaling pathways with different actions on the postsynaptic site (1). PLC-γ converts phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate into diacylglycerol (DAG) and IP3; the latter activates IP3 receptors localized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), releasing Ca2+ from intracellular stores (2). DAG activates the TRPC3 channels which further increases the intracellular calcium concentration (3) leading to activation of CaMKII (4). This kinase phosphorylates and activates both Akt and its effector CaMKI (5). Akt is also activated by the PI3-K signaling pathway downstream of TrkB receptor stimulation (1). Consequently, Akt upregulates mTOR activity (6), and this is followed by activation of the translational machinery (7). BDNF was shown to regulate the translation of GluA1 subunits locally and to induce the insertion of GluA1-containing AMPA receptors in the membrane (8), which was shown to depend on actin polymerization (9). Arc is an IEG rapidly translated in dendrites upon BDNF stimulation (7), being necessary to keep coflin in the phosphorylated state and making possible F-actin elongation (9).
kinase and its substrate ribosomal S6 protein was also demonstrated in the dendrites of cultured cortical neurons and in synaptoneurosomes (subcellular fraction containing the pre- and postsynaptic regions) isolated from the brain cortex (Takei et al., 2004). The mTOR-dependent phosphorylation of 4EBP1 enhances cap-dependent translation, by inducing eIF4E complex formation, whereas the activation of the S6 kinase pathway enhances translation initiation of 5'-oligopyrimidine tract-containing mRNAs, such as those coding for ribosomal proteins and elongation factors (Fumagalli and Thomas, 2000). Therefore, the effects of BDNF on protein synthesis in dendrites are likely to be mediated by at least two translation initiation pathways.

An additional effect of BDNF on translation initiation is mediated by phosphorylation of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor eIF2B (Takei et al., 2001). This initiation factor catalyzes the exchange of eIF2.GDP to eIF2.GTP, which is required for the assembly of the eIF2.GTP.Met.tRNAi complex (Rhoads, 1999) and for priming each 40S ribosomal subunit. Studies performed in cultured cortical neurons showed that BDNF increases the phosphorylation of glycogen synthase kinase-3β, which is known to regulate the eIF2B activity, and this may constitute an additional mechanism to promote translation activity following activation of the TrkB receptors by BDNF (Takei et al., 2001).

Several pieces of evidence suggest that the effects of BDNF in synaptic potentiation may be mediated, at least in part, by promoting translation initiation. A BDNF-induced increase in the phosphorylation of eIF4E, which is correlated with enhanced rates of translation (Gingras et al., 2004), was also observed in dentate gyrus (DG) following infusion of BDNF to induce LTP (BDNF-LTP) and in DG synaptoneurosomes stimulated with BDNF (Kanhema et al., 2006). Furthermore, BDNF infusion into the DG of anesthetized animals was found to increase eIF4E total protein levels, further suggesting that eIF4E upregulation induces local protein synthesis at the synapse, and may contribute to the effects of BDNF in synaptic potentiation. A role for mTOR was also shown in the late phase-LTP at hippocampal CA1 synapses induced by presynaptic tetanic stimulation and in the BDNF-induced synaptic potentiation (Tang et al., 2002), two forms of plasticity that require protein synthesis. Based on studies with conditional expression of a dominant negative form of MEK1 in the postnatal murine forebrain (Tang et al., 2002), two forms of plasticity that require protein synthesis (e.g. dendritic synthesis of BDNF). Furthermore, in this model the activity-induced synthesis of BDNF increases spine maturation and density (Verpelli et al., 2010), but direct in vivo evidence for local synthesis of BDNF in response to LTP-inducing activity is still not available. Differential mechanisms of regulation of translation activity were also reported in Aplysia sensory neurons, where the target of rapamycin complex 1 (TORC1)-mediated phosphorylation of S6 kinase contributes to long-term facilitation of sensory-motor neuron synapses, which requires protein synthesis. In contrast, S6 kinase does not play a role in TORC1-mediated increase in somatic cap-dependent translation in cultured Aplysia sensory neurons (Weatherill et al., 2010).

4.3. BDNF and spine plasticity

Synaptic stimulation under conditions that induce LTP of excitatory synapses is also associated with sustained structural alterations in the postsynaptic region, including an increase in the number of dendritic spines and their volume (Kasai et al., 2010). BDNF may contribute to some of these alterations since the sustained enlargement of synaptic spines at the hippocampal CA3–CA1 synapses in response to LTP-inducing synaptic stimulation was shown to depend on endogenous BDNF and required protein synthesis (Tanaka et al., 2008). A role for BDNF in structural spine plasticity is further supported by studies with exogenous application of the neurotrophin to dissociated cultures of hippocampal neurons and hippocampal slice cultures (Alonso et al., 2004; Amaral and Pozzo-Miller, 2007; Ji et al., 2010; Tyler and Pozzo-Miller, 2003, 2001). BDNF stimulation increases synaptic spine density by a mechanism dependent on the Ras/ERK pathway (Alonso et al., 2004) and the transient receptor-potential cation channel subfamily C (TRPC) type 3 (Amaral and Pozzo-Miller, 2007). Studies performed in hippocampal slices from adult rats showed that BDNF mediates the theta-burst stimulation-induced increase in actin polymerization in dendritic spines, through regulation of p21-activated kinase (PAK) and ADF (actin-depolymerizing factor)/ cofillin (Rex et al., 2007). An increase in actin polymerization in spines may also arise from activation of m-calpain through ERK-dependent phosphorylation, as shown in cultured neurons (Zadran et al., 2010). F-actin polymerization in spines plays a key role in LTP maintenance in vivo (Fukazawa et al., 2003) and, therefore, these alterations may underlie some of the effects of BDNF in long-term synaptic potentiation.

4.4. BDNF-induced changes in the neuronal proteome

The analysis of the neuronal proteome in a given brain region or in cell cultures is a useful approach to evaluate which are the
proteins regulated by certain stimuli, but it does not provide information regarding the subcellular localization of the nascent proteins. A gel-based proteome profiling of the long-term (12 h) effects of BDNF in cultured hippocampal neurons identified 29 proteins that were upregulated and 17 proteins downregulated, for a total of 46 proteins altered by BDNF (Manadas et al., 2009). Bioinformatic analysis of the proteins using GOMiner showed an upregulation of proteins related to RNA metabolic processes and cellular protein metabolic processes, such as proteolysis (related to the ubiquitin-proteasome system) and translation (regulators of cellular protein metabolic processes, such as proteolysis (related to the ubiquitin-proteasome system) and translation (regulators of translation initiation and elongation) (Manadas et al., 2009). These results suggest that BDNF regulates the proteome by acting both on de novo protein synthesis and by affecting the rate of degradation of proteins targeted by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Manadas et al., 2009), but provide no evidence regarding local translation at the synapse.

The first experimental evidence for a role of BDNF in the activation of local translation in dendrites of hippocampal were obtained using a reporter in which the coding sequence of GFP was flanked by the 5′- and 3′-UTR regions from CaMKIIz, providing both dendritic mRNA localization and translational regulation (Aakalu et al., 2001). This was later supported by results showing effects of BDNF on the translation machinery in dendrites of cultures cerebrocortical neurons (Takei et al., 2004) as well as in synapto-neurose paraproteins (Khanhema et al., 2006; Takei et al., 2004).

The effect of BDNF on the synaptic proteome was analyzed in more detail in synapto-neurosomes isolated from cultured cortical neurons, using multidimensional protein identification technology (MudPIT) and relative quantification by spectra counting. Since synapto-neurosomes were isolated 30 min after stimulation of the neurons with BDNF it was suggested that the alterations observed were due to local protein synthesis at the synapse. This study showed 410 proteins regulated by BDNF in synapto-neurosomes, 214 of which were upregulated and 196 were found to be down-regulated (Liao et al., 2007). Analysis of the synaptic proteins upregulated by BDNF with GOrilla (Eden et al., 2009) shows proteins related to translation processes, belonging to both ribosomes and ribonucleoprotein complexes (Fig. 4; see also Supplementary Figs. 4–6 and Supplementary Table 2). Different classes of proteins were found in the group downregulated following stimulation with BDNF, and included cytoskeletal-related proteins in both “molecular function” and “cellular component” categories, and also proteins associated with metabolic processes in the “biological process” group (Supplementary Figs. 7–9 and Supplementary Table 3). The fact that translation-related proteins are increased at the synapse following stimulation with BDNF may constitute a positive feedback mechanism to amplify the effect of the neurotrophin on translation activity, and further supports the pivotal role of BDNF in the regulation of both synaptic function and plasticity.

In addition to the proteins identified in the proteomics analysis discussed above, target oriented studies have shown effects of BDNF in total expression of AMPA and NMDA receptor subunits in cultured hippocampal and cortical neurons (Caldeira et al., 2007a, 2007b; Fortin et al., 2012; Guire et al., 2008; Narisawa-Saito et al., 1999; Small et al., 1998). Interestingly, stimulation of hippocampal neurons with BDNF also increased the synaptic accumulation of GluA1 AMPA receptor subunit, by a mechanism dependent on mTOR and Ca2+- and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase (CaMKK) (Caldeira et al., 2007a; Fortin et al., 2012; Guire et al., 2008). BDNF also upregulated GluA1 protein levels in rat forebrain synapto-neurosomes, further indicating that the neurotrophin induces local translation of AMPA receptors at the synapse (Schratt et al., 2004).

The anchoring and stabilization of glutamate receptors at the synapse is controlled by scaffold proteins which interact with other postsynaptic density (PSD) components, including signaling molecules. BDNF was also shown to upregulate the PSD scaffold protein Homer2 in rat forebrain synapto-neurosomes (Schratt et al., 2004), suggesting an effect of the neurotrophin in strengthening the postsynaptic machinery involved in the response to glutamate. Furthermore, BDNF increased the protein levels of the PSD scaffold proteins SAP97 (Synapse-associated protein 97), GRIP1 (glutamate receptor-interacting protein 1) and Pick1 (protein interacting with C kinase 1) in cultured cerebrocortical neurons (Jourdi et al., 2003), but it remains to be determined whether these proteins are also locally translated at the synapse. Since the mRNA for SAP97 was identified in the neurite compartment in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Cajigas et al., 2012) this scaffold protein may be locally translated at the synapse in response to BDNF stimulation. BDNF also induces the translation of signaling proteins, as shown for CaMKIIz (Takei et al., 2004). The Ca2+- and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II is a major constituent of the PSD and plays a structural role in enlarging and strengthening the synapse in the late phases of LTP (Lisman et al., 2012).

Arc is a postsynaptic protein encoded by a gene belonging to the class of immediate-early genes (IEGs), which are rapidly and transiently transcribed in response to synaptic activity (Link et al., 1995; Steward et al., 1998), playing an important role in synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (reviewed in (Bramham et al., 2010)). The dendritic localization and translation of the Arc mRNA has been extensively studied (Messoudi et al., 2007; Rao et al., 2006; Steward et al., 1998; Steward and Worley, 2001; Yin et al., 2002; Ying et al., 2002), and BDNF was shown to increase dendritic Arc mRNA and protein levels in cultured cortical neurons (Rao et al., 2006). A role for Arc in LTP was shown in experiments with intrahippocampal infusion of antisense (AS) oligodeoxynucleotides to inhibit Arc protein expression, which impaired the maintenance phase of LTP without affecting its induction (Guzowski et al., 2000). Furthermore, a sustained local Arc synthesis in dendrites is necessary for the maintenance of LTP (Messoudi et al., 2007). Interestingly, intrahippocampal infusion of BDNF also resulted in the accumulation of Arc transcript in dendrites and triggered long-term potentiation (BDNF-LTP) at medial perforant path–granule cell synapses in vivo by an Arc-dependent mechanism (Messoudi et al., 2007; Ying et al., 2002). Despite the evidence indicating an effect of BDNF in the Arc translation, no Arc mRNA was described in the group of transcripts identified in the polysomal fraction following stimulation of cerebrocortical neurons with BDNF (Schratt et al., 2004). This apparent discrepancy may suggest that BDNF is preferentially coupled to the translation of the Arc mRNA at the synapse.

Although it is presently clear that Arc plays a role in LTP induced by HFS and in BDNF-LTP, the mechanism(s) involved are not fully elucidated. The upregulation of Arc may act in synaptic potentiation by promoting the phosphorylation and consequent inhibition of cofillin, an actin-binding protein which regulates actin polymerization. Accordingly, the induction of LTP in the hippocampal CA1 region (in brain slices) and in the dentate gyrus (in vivo) was shown to promote the phosphorylation of cofillin, thereby inhibiting its activity and increasing actin polymerization (Chen et al., 2007; Fukazawa et al., 2003). The infusion of Arc AS 2 h post-HFS was shown to decrease the amount of phospho-cofilin present in the dentate gyrus homogenate which correlates with the loss of nascent F-actin at medial perforant path synapses and blockade of LTP consolidation (Messoudi et al., 2007). The same study showed that jasplakinolide, an F-actin-stabilizing drug, blocked the Arc AS effect on LTP (Messoudi et al., 2007), further suggesting that Arc couples translation activation to F-actin expansion and LTP stabilization. These findings suggest that Arc-induced cytoskeleton remodeling is a key event in the formation of a stable L-LTP, which
Fig. 4. Classes of proteins upregulated by BDNF in synaptoneurosomes isolated from cultured cortical neurons. The analysis was made based on the experimental data published by (Liao et al., 2007) and in comparison with the list of rat genes present in the Agilent Technologies Whole Rat Genome Microarray (https://earray.chem.agilent.com/earray/catalogGenelists.do?action=displaylist#) using GOrilla. The results were grouped based on the following categories: “cellular component” (A), “molecular function” (B) and “biological process” (C). An enrichment was found in proteins related to RNP complexes and ribosomes (A), nucleotide binding and ribosomal functions (B), and translation processes (C). The results focus on the classes of proteins for which GO terms present a p-value lower than $10^{-5}$. 
is characterized by an expansion of the PSD and enlargement of the dendritic spines (Bosch and Hayashi, 2012). In addition to the effects on the cytoskeleton mediated by Arc, BDNF may also regulate the actin cytoskeleton through upregulation of RhoA protein levels, as described in synaptoneurosomes isolated from the mouse forebrain (Troca-Marin et al., 2010). RhoA is a regulator of actin polymerization (Luo, 2000), but it is not known whether the BDNF-induced upregulation of this member of the Rho GTPase family contributes to the effects of BDNF in synaptic plasticity.

Synaptic vesicle proteins and proteins related to their traffic were also shown to be upregulated by BDNF (Melo et al., 2013; Tartaglia et al., 2001; Thakker-Varia et al., 2001) (see Section 4.6), but additional studies are required to determine whether some of these alterations are extended to the synapse. Taken together, the BDNF-induced changes in the neuronal proteome described above suggest several putative mechanisms that may contribute to synaptic potentiation by BDNF.

4.5. miRNAs as mediators of BDNF-induced alteration in the proteome

miRNAs are non-coding RNAs that, together with RISC, repress the translation of target transcripts [for a review see (Chekulaeva and Filipowicz, 2009)]. It is increasingly evident that miRNAs post-translationally regulate localized miRNAs (Konecna et al., 2009) and that some of the effects of BDNF in the central nervous system are mediated through alteration of their expression and/or activity (Table 1). The BDNF-induced synthesis of LIM domain kinase 1 (LIMK1) in dendrites through local relief of the activity of miR-134 in translation repression was the first demonstration that the synaptic effects of the neurotrophin could be mediated by regulation of miRNAs (Schratt et al., 2006). Interestingly, there is still residual BDNF induction of a reporter miRNA translation when miR-134 cannot bind LIMK1, suggesting the presence of additional mechanisms for regulation of BDNF-induced translation of LIMK1 (Schratt et al., 2006).

BDNF and synaptic activity were shown to increase the transcription of miR-134, which was coupled to the downregulation of Pumilio2 (Pum2) mRNA to promote dendritogenesis in hippocampal neurons (Fiore et al., 2009). Accordingly, neurons lacking Pum2 show enhanced dendritic arborization (Vessey et al., 2010). Pum2 is an RNA-binding protein present in discrete RNA-containing particles, which negatively regulates eIF4E translation (Vessey et al., 2010). Interestingly, loss of Pumilio impairs long-term memory in Drosophila (Dubnau et al., 2003). In a separated study, miR-134 function was associated with synaptic plasticity and memory in rodents (Gao et al., 2010). The authors showed a role for SIRT1 in synaptic plasticity via miR-134-dependent regulation of cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB) and BDNF expression (Gao et al., 2010). Altogether, miR-134 appears to be an important mediator of BDNF actions in the nervous system. BDNF-induced upregulation of miR-134 promotes dendritogenesis via downregulation of Pum2 mRNA (Fiore et al., 2009) whereas miR-134 inactivation in dendrites upon BDNF stimulation is coupled to LIMK1 translation and spine growth (Schratt et al., 2006). Furthermore, miR-134 itself is able to regulate BDNF levels (Gao et al., 2010).

More recently, an embracing study demonstrated that the dual regulation of miRNAs biogenesis by the neurotrophin BDNF plays an important role in BDNF-induced protein synthesis (Huang et al., 2012). BDNF treatment induced a downregulation of the Let-7-family of miRNAs through Lin28, and relieved the repression prompted by these miRNAs (Huang et al., 2012). In contrast, a general increase in miRNA biogenesis through Dicer was reported in response to BDNF stimulation and this dual regulation of miRNA biogenesis determines and confers selectivity to BDNF-mediated protein synthesis (Huang et al., 2012). Although the presented mechanism occurs globally in the cell, the regulation of BDNF-induced translation by miRNAs most likely affect protein synthesis in different subcellular compartments such as in dendrites.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Target mRNA</th>
<th>Regulation of miRNAs by BDNF and neuronal function</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-134</td>
<td>LIMK1</td>
<td>Inactivated in dendrites.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR379-410 cluster</td>
<td>Pum2 (via miR-134)</td>
<td>Regulates spine growth in cultured hippocampal neurons.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132</td>
<td>P250GAP</td>
<td>Increased miR-134 transcription through Met2 activation.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-212/132 locus</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Promotes dendritogenesis in cultured hippocampal neurons.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Highly induced.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-9</td>
<td>MAP1b</td>
<td>Increased transcription via ERK1/2- and MSK1/2- dependent and -independent mechanisms.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let-7a, Let-7b, Let-7F, miR-107, miR-143 and others not described</td>
<td>HuD</td>
<td>Highly induced.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-375</td>
<td>10 putative targets identified</td>
<td>Inhibition of BDNF-induced neurite outgrowth in BE(2)-M17 cells.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-125b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Upregulated.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Promotes neurite outgrowth in SH-SYSY cells.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Schratt et al. (2006); (2) Fiore et al. (2009); (3) Vo et al. (2005); (4) Remenyi et al. (2010); (5) Kobayashi et al. (2005); (6) Dajas-Bailador et al. (2012); (7) Huang et al. (2012); (8) Ab델molhosen et al. (2010); (9) Le et al. (2009).
Additional studies identified other miRNAs regulated by BDNF. Application of this neurotrophin induces miR-125b and ectopic expression of miR-125b promotes neurite outgrowth in a human neuroblastoma cell line (Le et al., 2009). In a similar system, BDNF-induced neurite outgrowth was inhibited after silencing HuD, a neuronal RNA-binding protein, or overexpressing miR-375 (Abdelmohsen et al., 2010). In addition, it was recently shown that miR-9 represses MAP1b translation and responds locally to BDNF to promote axon branching (Dajas-Bailador et al., 2012).

Another miRNA under the regulation of BDNF is miR-132. This miRNA is induced in cultured cortical neurons by BDNF through the activation of CREB and enhances dendritic growth by inhibiting the translation of a GTPase-activating protein, P250GAP (Kawashima et al., 2010; Remenyi et al., 2010; Vo et al., 2005). Similarly, miR-212 was shown to be upregulated in cortical neurons upon BDNF stimulation (Remenyi et al., 2010). The effect of BDNF on the upregulation of miR-132 and miR-212 was dependent on the activation of ERK pathway and the downstream MSK1 (mitogen- and stress-activated kinase 1) (Remenyi et al., 2010). Importantly, blocking the endogenous miR-132 results in a decrease of BDNF-dependent upregulation of several synaptic proteins such as the glutamate receptor subunits GluN2A, GluN2B and GluA1 (Kawashima et al., 2010). The direct targets of miR-132 that contribute for BDNF regulation of glutamate receptors remain to be identified.

Interestingly, increasing evidence suggest a role for miR-132 in plasticity-related events. This miRNA was shown to modulate short-term plasticity, without affecting basal synaptic transmission, in hippocampal neurons (Lambert et al., 2010), and to play a critical role in the experience-dependent plasticity of visual cortex circuits (Mellios et al., 2011; Tognini et al., 2011). A different study showed a differential regulation of primary and mature miR-132/212 expression following LTP induction in vivo (Wibrand et al., 2010). In this context, blockade of NMDA receptor-dependent LTP enhanced the expression of mature miR-132 whereas blocking the mGluR-dependent signaling prevented the LTP-induced expression of this miRNA (Wibrand et al., 2010). Furthermore, miR-132 was shown to be strongly induced following general pharmacological and physiological neuronal activation in vivo (Nudelman et al., 2010). miR-132 also modulates dendritic plasticity and synaptic maturation by controlling the expression of methyl CPG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) (Klein et al., 2007). The authors demonstrated the ability of miR-132 to repress MeCP2 translation and observed an increase in BDNF levels when miR-132-mediated repression of MeCP2 was blocked (Klein et al., 2007). These results, together with the previous reports showing the upregulation of miR-132 by BDNF (Kawashima et al., 2010; Remenyi et al., 2010; Vo et al., 2005), suggest a homeostatic mechanism for maintaining MeCP2 levels.

4.6. BDNF-induced changes in the transcription activity and the synaptic proteome

The role of BDNF in the L-LTP, which is dependent on transcription activity, suggests that changes in gene expression underlie some of the effects of the neurotrophin. This hypothesis is based on studies showing induction of LTP by exogenously applied BDNF at the medial perforant path–granule cell synapses in vivo (Messaoudi et al., 1998), an effect that is blocked by the transcription inhibitor actinomycin D (Messaoudi et al., 2002). Furthermore, BDNF induces the expression of genes coding for regulators of synaptic activity, such as Arc (Ying et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2009) and several synaptic vesicle proteins, including vesicular glutamate transporters (Melo et al., 2013; Tartaglia et al., 2001). However, the role of BDNF in transcription regulation has been addressed mainly using bath application of the neurotrophin, which does not allow distinguishing the localization of the TrkB receptors involved in the control of gene expression.

A recent study using a microfluidic device that allows the isolation of the dendritic compartment showed that BDNF acts on dendrites of cultured cortical neurons to induce an signal that upregulates the expression of the IEG Arc and c-Fos (Cohen et al., 2011) (Fig. 1). The effect of BDNF in the signaling from dendrites to the nucleus depends on MEK1/2 (MAPK and ERK kinase, type 1/2), and activity of the TrkB receptors to induce gene expression is required mainly in the soma compartment. However, distinct mechanisms are involved in the regulation of the expression of the two genes since the Ca2+ concentration in the soma and in the dendritic compartments influenced the expression of Arc but not c-Fos (Cohen et al., 2011). Additional studies are required to identify other genes that are specifically regulated following activation of dendritic receptors for BDNF. Whether these BDNF-induced transcripts coding for synaptic proteins are mainly translated in the soma before being transported to dendrites and/or delivered to the dendritic compartment in RNA granules also remains to be elucidated. To contribute to the long-term potentiation of the synapse that was initially stimulated, the newly synthesized miRNAs or the translated proteins should be targeted to a specific synapse. The proteins locally translated at the synapse in response to BDNF stimulation could function in the capture of the neurotrophin-induced RNA granules or proteins traveling along dendrites, as predicted by the synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis (Redondo and Morris, 2011), but this remains to be investigated.

Long-term treatment of hippocampal slice cultures with BDNF was shown to upregulate synaptophysin, synaptobrevin and synaptotagmin protein levels (Tartaglia et al., 2001). Furthermore, incubation of cultured hippocampal neurons with the neurotrophin upregulates the vesicular glutamate transporter protein levels, as well as the clustering of the transporters along neurites (Melo et al., 2013). However, at this point it is not possible to predict whether these effects may play a role in synaptic potentiation by BDNF because, as discussed above, no attempt has been made to specifically address the effect of axonal stimulation with BDNF. It remains to be determined whether activation of presynaptic TrkB receptors by BDNF, which is followed by the transport of TrkB–BDNF complexes as part of signaling endosomes (Ha et al., 2008; Watson et al., 2001; Xie et al., 2012; Ye et al., 2003), upregulates the expression of genes coding for presynaptic proteins. If this is the case, the newly synthesized proteins could be then delivered to the synapse, thereby contributing to an upregulating of glutamate release. The anterograde axonal transport of the proteins may be further enhanced by upregulating KIF1A (Kondo et al., 2012), a motor protein involved in the transport of synaptic vesicle precursors, including synaptophysin, synaptotagmin and Rab3A (Okada et al., 1995; Yonekawa et al., 1998). Future studies are required to fully...
understand the role of this pathway in the L-LTP and the mechanisms involved.

5. Concluding remarks

Although the role of BDNF in LTP in the hippocampus and in other brain regions is well established, the molecular mechanisms involved are still poorly understood. The recent identification of a large number of dendritic transcripts should contribute to elucidate the role of local translation induced by BDNF in LTP, but additional studies are still required to characterize the mechanisms whereby different mRNAs are transported along dendrites and how the traffic is regulated. Also, considering the diversity of structures that may be involved in the transport of mRNAs, it will be important to determine whether a common mechanism is involved in the recruitment of transcripts for translation. With a growing number of proteins identified as being translated at the synapse, it is important to determine the relative contribution of local translation vs. protein traffic from the soma to the late phase of LTP, in particular in response to BDNF stimulation. Furthermore, given the evidence suggesting that miRNAs can be synthesized locally at the synapse, it is reasonable to hypothesize that dendritic miRNAs synthesis may provide a new layer for local BDNF regulation and contribute to the role of BDNF in synaptic plasticity. Finally, with the recent findings showing a BDNF-induced signal traveling from dendrites to the soma to regulate gene expression, it will be important to determine how proteins produced in the soma in response to this signal target specifically the activated synapse, and whether a similar mechanism operates for mRNAs.
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