UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Potential genetic causes of miscarriage in euploid pregnancies

Morgan, Neil; Colley, Emily; Smith, Paul; Allen, Stephanie; Hamilton, Susan; Coomarasamy, Arri

DOI: 10.1093/humupd/dmz015

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Morgan, N, Colley, E, Smith, P, Allen, S, Hamilton, S & Coomarasamy, A 2019, 'Potential genetic causes of miscarriage in euploid pregnancies: a systematic review', *Human Reproduction Update*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 452-472. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz015

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Human Reproduction Update following peer review. The version of record

Emily Colley, Susan Hamilton, Paul Smith, Neil V Morgan, Arri Coomarasamy, Stephanie Allen, Potential genetic causes of miscarriage in euploid pregnancies: a systematic review, Human Reproduction Update, Volume 25, Issue 4, July-August 2019, Pages 452–472, https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz015

is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/humupd/article/25/4/452/5509621

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Potential genetic causes of miscarriage in euploid pregnancies: A		
2	systematic review		
3			
4	Running title: Genetic causes of miscarriage in euploid pregnancies		
5			
6	Authors: Emily Colley ^{1, 2} , Susan Hamilton ^{1, 3} , Paul Smith ^{1, 2} , Neil V. Morgan ² , Arri		
7	Coomarasamy ^{1, 2} and Stephanie Allen ^{1, 3}		
8	¹ Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research, Birmingham Women's and Children's		
9	Hospital, Birmingham, B15 2TG		
10	² The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom		
11	³ West Midlands Regional Genetics Laboratory, Birmingham Women's and Children's		
12	Hospital, Birmingham B15 2TG		
13			
14	Corresponding author: Emily Colley		
15	Corresponding author ORCID iD: orcid.org/0000-0001-6373-750X		
16	Corresponding author e-mail: ECC669@bham.ac.uk		
17			
18			
19	Table of Contents		
20	Introduction		
21	Miscarriage and recurrent pregnancy loss		
22	Cytogenetic and chromosomal microarray analysis		
23	Other genetic causes		

24	Molar pregnancies
25	Whole exome sequencing
26	Aims
27	Methods
28	Registration
29	Search
30	Study selection
31	Data extraction process
32	Quality assessment
33	Results
34	Whole exome sequencing
35	CNVs
36	Recurrent molar pregnancies
37	Other genetic causes
38	Discussion
39	Whole exome sequencing
40	Chromosomal microarray analysis
41	Recurrent molar pregnancies
42	Other genetic causes
43	Limitations of current evidence
44	Conclusion
45	
46	

48 Abstract

49 BACKGROUND: Approximately 50% of pregnancy losses are caused by chromosomal 50 abnormalities, such as an uploidy. The remainder have an apparent euploid karyotype, but 51 it is plausible that there are cases of pregnancy loss with other genetic aberrations that are 52 not currently routinely detected. Studies investigating the use of exome sequencing and 53 chromosomal microarrays in structurally abnormal pregnancies and developmental 54 disorders have demonstrated their clinical application and/ or potential utility in these 55 groups of patients. Similarly, there have been several studies that have sought to identify 56 genes that are potentially causative of, or associated with, spontaneous pregnancy loss, but 57 the evidence has not yet been synthesized.

58

59 **OBJECTIVE AND RATIONALE**: The objective was to identify studies which have recorded 60 monogenic genetic contributions to pregnancy loss in euploid pregnancies, establish 61 evidence for genetic causes of pregnancy loss, identify the limitations of current evidence 62 and make recommendations for future studies. This evidence is important in considering 63 additional research into Mendelian causes of pregnancy loss and appropriate genetic 64 investigations for couples experiencing recurrent pregnancy loss.

65

SEARCH METHODS: A systematic review was conducted in MEDLINE (1946 to May 2018) and Embase (1974 to May 2018). The search terms "spontaneous abortion", "miscarriage", "pregnancy loss" or "lethal" were used to identify pregnancy loss terms. These were combined with search terms to identify the genetic contribution including "exome", "human genome", "sequencing analysis", "sequencing", "copy number variation", "single nucleotide polymorphism", "microarray analysis" and "comparative genomic hybridization". Studies were limited to pregnancy loss up to 20 weeks in humans, and excluded if the genetic content included genes which are not lethal *in utero*, PGD studies, infertility studies, expression studies, aneuploidy with no recurrence risk, methodologies where there is no clinical relevance and complex genetic studies. The quality of the studies was assessed using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

77

78 OUTCOMES: A total of 50 studies were identified and categorized into three themes; whole 79 exome sequencing studies, copy number variation studies and other studies related to 80 pregnancy loss including recurrent molar pregnancies, epigenetics and mitochondrial DNA 81 aberrations. Putatively causative variants were found in a range of genes, including 82 cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha polypeptide 1 (CHRNA1), dynein, cytoplasmic 2, heavy 83 chain 1 [DYNC2H1] and ryanodine receptor 1 [RYR1], which were identified in multiple 84 studies. Copy number variants were also identified to have a causal or associated link with 85 recurrent miscarriage.

86

WIDER IMPLICATIONS: Identification of genes that are causative of or predisposing to pregnancy loss will be of significant individual patient impact with respect to counselling and treatment. In addition, knowledge of specific genes that contribute to pregnancy loss could also be of importance in designing a diagnostic sequencing panel for patients with recurrent pregnancy loss, and also in understanding the biological pathways that can cause pregnancy loss.

93

Key words: genetic causes, pregnancy loss, euploid miscarriage, exome sequencing,
chromosomal array, single nucleotide variation, copy number variant.

97		
98	Introduction	
99	AUTHOR: I suggest that a short introductory paragraph here, placing the study in context,	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
100		Formatted: Font: Not Bold
100	would be helpful to the reader. Please would you add a sentence or two to achieve this?	Formatted: No underline
101	Miscarriage and recurrent pregnancy loss	
102	Approximately 15-% of clinically recognised pregnancies end in pregnancy loss, with the	
103	majority occurring during the first trimester. Of these, 50-% are caused by chromosomal	
104	abnormalities such as aneuploidy (Hassold et al., 1980), and can be detected by	
105	conventional cytogenetic analysis. It is suggested that 86 % of these abnormalities are	
106	numerical, 6 % are structural abnormalities and 8 % are due to other genetic mechanisms,	
107	such as chromosomal mosaicism and molar pregnancies (Goddijn and Leschot, 2000).	
108		
109	Recurrent Miscarriage (RM) is defined by the Royal College of Obstetricians and	
110	Gynaecologists (RCOG) as at least three consecutive miscarriages before 24 weeks gestation	
111	(RCOG, 2011) and recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) by the ESHRE November 2017 guidelines	
112	as the loss of two or more pregnancies (ESHRE, 2017). In addition to genetic aetiology, a	
113	spectrum of non-genetic causes of RPL have also been identified, including thrombophilic	
114	factors, endocrinological causes, immunological and immunogenetic causes, sperm DNA	
115	fragmentation, uterine malformations and lifestyle factors such as smoking (reviewed by	
116	Larsen et al. 2013).	Formatted: Highlight
117		

118 Cytogenetic and chromosomal microarray analysis

119 Traditionally, cytogenetic analysis of pregnancy tissue has been performed to identify 120 genetic causes of RPL, and to indicate the need for further analysis of parental samples 121 where there is the possibility of a balanced chromosome rearrangement (e.g. translocation) 122 in one of the parents. It is important to identify any numeric chromosome errors, such as 123 trisomy, monosomy or polyploidy, since these are causes of pregnancy loss which usually 124 occur sporadically, and the likelihood of a successful pregnancy outcome is not negatively 125 affected in subsequent pregnancies. Where there is a balanced translocation in one of the 126 parents, genetic counselling is important as there is likely to be a recurrence risk in future 127 pregnancies and pre-implantation genetic testing, chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis 128 can be used to detect an abnormality in the conceptus. However, for couples with a 129 translocation, medical management (e.g. natural conception and observation) has been 130 reviewed to have a higher live birth rate than IVF/PGD (Franssen et al., 2011, Hirshfeld-131 Cytron et al., 2011).

132

133 The most recent ESHRE guidelines for genetic analysis of products of conception (POC) give 134 a conditional recommendation for genetic analysis but recommend that testing is carried 135 out by array-comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) instead of traditional karyotyping 136 (ESHRE, 2017). Conventional karyotype analysis identifies balanced and unbalanced 137 chromosomal rearrangements and copy number variants (CNVs) to an approximately 5Mb 138 resolution. Chromosomal microarray analysis can now identify unbalanced CNVs below 139 1Mb, with a resolution at the level of individual exons of genes in targeted regions of the 140 genome (Miller et al., 2010). Microarray analysis is also less labour intensive as it is based on 141 DNA analysis rather than cultured cells and has a higher success rate in poor quality tissue 142 samples, however the quality of tissue will impact the success and failure rate of both conventional karyotyping and array-CGH. Array-CGH has become the gold standard for
genetic CNV analysis. It should, however, be noted that array_CGH may miss some balanced
chromosomal rearrangements and may also fail to identify maternal cell contamination.

146

147 Other genetic causes

148 In the case of pregnancy loss, with an apparently euploid karyotype, there may be genetic 149 aberrations causative of pregnancy loss that are not currently known or routinely assessed. 150 These could include single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) that affect individual genes and are 151 detectable by sequencing or small sub-microscopic aberrations that affect a cluster of genes 152 and are detectable by microarray analysis. In the case of SNVs this is particularly important 153 as many may follow a recessive or X-linked pattern of inheritance and therefore have a high 154 recurrence risk. CNVs detected in cases of pregnancy loss may unmask a recessive mutation 155 in a relevant gene or involve dosage sensitive genes, where loss or gain of copies affects the 156 gene function. These regions may also represent benign CNVs seen frequently with no 157 recorded effect on phenotype, although it remains possible that some may be involved in 158 RPL. Evidence in humans and other species (Wilson et al., 2016) suggests that many genes 159 are important in early development, and can lead to embryonic lethality when functionally 160 "knocked out", resulting in pregnancy loss. More widespread genetic analysis of embryonic 161 pregnancy loss may provide an opportunity to identify genes that are essential in early 162 human development or where a lack of function leads to pregnancy loss.

163

164 Molar pregnancies

A molar pregnancy or Hydatidiform mole (HM) is an abnormal pregnancy, which has cystic
 degeneration of the chorionic villi, abnormal proliferation of the trophoblast and abnormal

development of the fetus. These can either be complete HM (CHM) or partial HM,
distinguishable by the extent of trophoblast proliferation and presence of embryonic tissue.
CHMs are usually diploid with all chromosomes of paternal origin. The majority arise from
an anuclear ovum being fertilised by a haploid sperm and replicating its own chromosomes
(uniparental paternal isodisomy), or rarely from an anuclear ovum fertilised by two sperm
(uniparental paternal heterodisomy). HMs are mostly triploid with 23 chromosomes of
maternal origin and 46 of paternal origin.

174

Whilst HMs are usually triploid and sporadic and therefore outside the scope of this review,
a minority of molar pregnancies are diploid and biparental, usually being recurrent and
familial. These may be caused by maternal autosomal recessive mutations in genes, such as
NLR family, pyrin domain-containing 7 (*NLRP7*) and <u>KHDC3-like protein</u>, subcortical maternal
complex member (*KHDC3L*), resulting in an abnormal epigenotype of imprinted loci. This
results in abnormal gene expression, which causes abnormal placental trophoblast
development and manifests as HM (Carey et al., 2015).

182

183 Whole exome sequencing

Advances in sequencing technology, including whole exome sequencing (WES) and whole genome sequencing (WGS), are increasingly providing the opportunity to detect genetic sequence variation and to characterise genetic mutations causing disease. WGS is the most extensive sequencing method and targets the entire genome, whereas WES targets the exome, which is the protein-coding region of the DNA. The exome makes up approximately 1% of the human genome, and it is estimated to contain 85% of the genetic mutations associated with disease (Choi et al., 2009). Generally, WES is the preferred method of 191 sequencing because it is cheaper than WGS and has a smaller, more manageable data set 192 whilst still comprehensively covering the coding regions of DNA. WGS has the advantage of 193 analysing and giving a comprehensive view of the whole genome and has the potential to 194 detect large structural variants, insertions/ deletions, SNVs and copy number changes. 195 However, we still understand relatively little about the non-coding regions of the genome.

196

197 Studies investigating the use of WES in structurally abnormal pregnancies, late pregnancy 198 losses and developmental disorders (Wright et al., 2015, Shamseldin et al., 2018, Carss et 199 al., 2014) have demonstrated the clinical application in these patients. However, very few 200 WES studies have reported analysis in pregnancy loss or lethal genes which could contribute 201 to RPL. The few studies using WES to look for genetic aberrations in RPL have also tended to 202 represent only small patient cohorts. The ability to recognise and detect genetic mutations 203 may have implications for routine genetic testing and clinical practice, especially when a 204 pathogenic aberration is identified that can be reliably detected in future pregnancies.

205

206 <u>Aims</u>

There are several studies that have sought to identify genes causative of or associated with pregnancy loss, but the evidence has not yet been synthesised. We propose to review these studies and establish evidence of genetic causality of RPL, including reviewing appropriate methodologies. We will evaluate studies investigating Mendelian inheritance patterns, including autosomal recessive and dominant X-linked inheritance, and also *de novo* genetic causes, but we have excluded studies investigating more complex genetic associations, which have recently been systematically reviewed (Pereza et al., 2017).

215 Methods

216 <u>Registration</u>

217 This systematic review has been registered with PROSPERO (CRD42017073910).

218

219 Search

220 A systematic literature review to assess the studies investigating the genetic contribution to RPL was conducted in MEDLINE (1946 to May 2018) and Embase (1974 to May 2018) using 221 222 Ovid (https://ovidsp.tx.ovid.com). The search terms used to identify pregnancy loss were 223 "Spontaneous abortion", "miscarriage", "pregnancy loss" or "lethal", and the search terms 224 to identify the genetic contributions are "exome", "human genome", "sequencing analysis", 225 "sequencing", "copy number variation", "single nucleotide polymorphism", "microarray 226 analysis" and "comparative genomic hybridisation". The search terms and corresponding 227 Mesh terms are shown in Supplementary Table SI. Additional studies were also identified 228 from references of selected studies.

229

230 Study selection

Studies were selected by two independent reviewers. Studies were first screened for eligibility using article titles and then by screening the study abstracts. Studies were included if they had pregnancy loss up to 20 weeks, but were not restricted if they also included some later losses, providing the genetic aberrations were defined. Studies were excluded if the genetic content included genes which were not lethal *in utero*, PGD studies, infertility studies, expression studies, aneuploidy with no recurrence risk, methodologies where there is no clinical relevance, and complex genetics. Both recurrent and sporadic pregnancy loss were included. The full inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in
 Supplementary Table SII.

240

241 Data extraction process

Data on publication date, country, study objective, sample, phenotype and gestation, methods and analysis, study outcome and quality scores were extracted. Data extraction was checked by a second reviewer. Each of the identified genes were found in Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) and the Mendelian Inheritance in Man (MIM) number, Gene name, gene function, associated disease/phenotype and cytogenetic location were ascertained.

248

249 Quality assessment

The quality of each study was assessed using a modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale (Supplementary Table SIII). Each study was scored out of 12 and was judged on the sample size, inclusion/exclusion criteria, the genetic analysis method, statistical analysis, case definition, controls and comparability. The breakdown of each score is included in Supplementary Table SIV.

255

256 Results

A total of 50 studies were included in the review. The initial search of the Medline and Embase databases identified 3404 potentially relevant articles. After screening the titles and abstracts, 74 full texts were obtained for detailed review. A total of 30 full articles were excluded because they were either not related to pregnancy loss, were more than 20 weeks gestation, or contained no genetic content. Examination of the bibliographies and journal indices generated six additional studies for the review. Figure 1 illustrates the study
selection. The papers identified were categorized into three themes; WES studies, CNV
studies and other studies related to pregnancy loss including recurrent molar pregnancies.

265

The 50 studies that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were all published in English between 2009 and 2018. Out of the studies identified, 21 were from Europe, 14 were from North America, 13 were from Asia and there was one study each from South America and Africa.

270

271 WES

Thirteen studies were identified (Table I) which used WES to identify SNVs in families with multiple pregnancy losses or a combination of pregnancy losses and terminations. Eight of these studies focused on a single couple only (Bondeson et al., 2017, Cristofoli et al., 2017, Dohrn et al., 2015, Filges et al., 2014, Rae et al., 2015, Shamseldin et al., 2013, Tsurusaki et al., 2014, Wilbe et al., 2015). Six studies used WES analysis of trios (Filges et al., 2014, Dohrn et al., 2015, Wilbe et al., 2015, Cristofoli et al., 2017, Bondeson et al., 2017, Qiao et al., 2016).

279

Studies using WES identified variants in genes from both fetal and parental samples, thus allowing for the inheritance to be identified. One study identified compound heterozygous mutations in <u>kinesin family member 14 (*KIF14*)</u> in a family with unexplained euploid miscarriages (Filges et al., 2014). The other studies included pregnancies terminated for a fetal abnormality including; a homozygous missense mutation in <u>endothelin-converting</u> <u>enzyme-like 1 (*ECEL1*)</u> from a consanguineous couple with pregnancies terminated due to

286	Arthrogryposis Multiplex Congenita (Dohrn et al., 2015); a novel homozygous mutation in
287	the muscle, skeletal, receptor tyrosine kinase (MuSK) gene in a non-consanguineous couple
288	with a history of fetal akinesia deformation sequence (FADS) (Wilbe et al., 2015); compound
289	heterozygous mutations in <u>SCL/TAL1-interrupting locus (STIL)</u> from a non-consanguineous
290	couple with fetal microcephaly (Cristofoli et al., 2017), a homozygous nonsense mutation in
291	centrosomal protein, 55-KD (CEP55) in a non-consanguineous family with two2 fetuses with
292	Meckel-like syndrome (Bondeson et al., 2017) and compound heterozygous mutations in
293	intraflagellar transport 122 (IFT122) in a couple experiencing both RPL and later losses with
294	scan abnormalities (Tsurusaki et al., 2014).

295

296 Two studies (Rae et al., 2015, Shamseldin et al., 2013) identified pathogenic variants by WES 297 of fetuses affected with hydrops fetalis. The first identified pathogenic variant in the gene 298 forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) was from a non-consanguineous couple whom had multiple male 299 pregnancy terminations. FOXP3 is an X-linked gene which is known to cause fetal akinesia 300 syndrome (Rae et al., 2015). The second identified novel mutation in the gene cholinergic 301 receptor, nicotinic, alpha polypeptide 1 (CHRNA1) was identified in a consanguineous couple 302 (Shamseldin et al., 2013). Autosomal recessive mutations in this gene are also known to 303 cause fetal akinesia.

304

A single study identified a homozygous missense variant in <u>nucleolar protein 14 (NOP14)</u> in pregnancy loss material from two consanguineous Iranian couples experiencing RPL. WES was completed on fetal tissue samples and the heterozygous copies of the variant were confirmed in the parents using Sanger sequencing (Suzuki et al., 2018).

310 Studies also used WES in larger cohorts. One study (Shamseldin et al., 2015) looked at 311 consanguineous couples with two or more pregnancies diagnosed with non-immune 312 hydrops fetalis (NIHF). Seven pathogenic variants previously known to cause NIHF 313 (Shamseldin et al., 2015) were identified from 24 consanguineous couples with lethal NIHF.

314

Two Studies (Ellard et al., 2015, Qiao et al., 2016), analysed non-consanguineous couples with RPL. Variants in <u>RNA export mediator (*GLE1*), ryanodine receptor 1 (*RYR1*) and <u>DYNEIN</u>, cytoplasmic 2, heavy chain 1 (*DYNC2H1*) were identified using WES of parental samples only (Ellard et al., 2015). Compound heterozygous variants were also identified in *DYNC2H1* and <u>15-lipoxygenase</u>, reticulocyte arachidonate (*ALOX15*) in seven euploid pregnancy losses from four families (Qiao et al., 2016).</u>

321

322 The final study used a slightly different approach and analysed a panel of 234 pre-selected 323 RPL candidate genes from women affected by RPL. Using WES and bioinformatic filtering of 324 non-synonymous sequence variants, 27 variants were identified from the previously 325 selected genes (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017). The genes in which variants were identified 326 in the described sequencing studies are detailed in Table II. However, genes from Quintero-327 Ronderos et al. 2017 have been excluded because they were from a pre-selected gene panel 328 and therefore would introduce bias.

329

330 <u>CNVs</u>

Thirteen studies and one meta-analysis (Bagheri et al., 2015) (Table III), were identified which looked for CNVs in fetal tissue, parental samples or both by chromosomal microarray analysis. Three different microarray platforms were used for analysis, either single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array, oligonucleotide (oligo) array or bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) array.

336

337 Six studies reported CNVs in pregnancy loss (Zhang et al., 2009, Viaggi et al., 2013, Levy et 338 al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2016, Donaghue et al., 2017, Zhou et al., 2016), four studies in RPL 339 (Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a, Nagirnaja et al., 2014, Karim et al., 2017, Robberecht et al., 340 2012) and three studies with a mixture of both pregnancy loss and RPL (Wang et al., 2017, 341 Warren et al., 2009, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010b). Seven of the studies included parental 342 samples and therefore the inheritance of reported CNVs was determined. Six of the studies 343 did not include parental samples, and therefore the inheritance pattern of the CNVs 344 reported in these studies could not be determined.

345

The pregnancy losses reported were pregnancies of varying gestational age, with the majority of pregnancy losses at less than 20 weeks. In three studies (Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a, Robberecht et al., 2012, Viaggi et al., 2013), all pregnancy losses tested were less than 12 weeks gestation. Two papers (Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010b, Robberecht et al., 2012) also identified pregnancies with developmental abnormalities and used hysteroembryoscopy to allow morphological examination of the fetus *in utero* prior to genetic analysis. 353

354 Of the studies which determined the inheritance of the CNVs, there were 30 de novo, and 355 43 inherited CNVs (Levy et al., 2014, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 356 2010b, Robberecht et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2017, Warren et al., 2009). In general, the 357 studies showed a 2.2 % - 13 % detection rate (DR) of pathogenic CNVs (Donaghue et al., 358 2017, Levy et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2017, Warren et al., 2009, Zhang et al., 2016, Zhang et 359 al., 2009) plus a 0.9 % to 3.3 % DR of variants of unknown significance (VOUS) (Donaghue et 360 al., 2017, Wang et al., 2017, Zhang et al., 2016, Qiao et al., 2016). An additional meta-361 analysis study (Bagheri et al., 2015) compared the characteristics and contributions of rare 362 and common CNVs from four of the other studies by reclassifying CNVs according to the 363 prevalence of healthy controls using Database of Genomic Variants (Bagheri et al., 2015, 364 Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010b, Robberecht et al., 2012, 365 Viaggi et al., 2013). They concluded that common CNVs were specifically enriched in 366 immunological pathways and rare CNVs were not, although the small number of rare CNVs 367 may have hampered this conclusion. However, both rare and common CNVs could have a 368 role in pregnancy loss, as rare CNVs have a two times higher gene density and contain more 369 genes studied in mouse knockouts and common CNVs contain more genes in biological 370 pathways relevant to pregnancy. The studies which identified VOUS were in accordance 371 with each other and suggested the rate of 2-3 %.

372

Of particular interest is to find recurrent CNVs that are associated with pregnancy loss. Maisenbacher et al (Maisenbacher et al., 2017) determined the frequency of the 22q11.2 deletion in a large cohort of pregnancy loss samples using a SNP microarray. The 22q11.2 deletion was detected in 15 (0.07%) of 22451 POCs, with an overall incidence of 1/-1497. They concluded that this was higher than the reported general population prevalence (1/4000- 1/6000). Likewise, Nagirnaja et al. (2014) identified CNV regions on chromosome 5 (5p13.3), disrupting the PDZ domain-containing 2 (PDZD2) and golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) genes. There was significant association with an increased risk of RPL. PDZD2 and GOLPH3 are predominately expressed in the placenta, suggesting a functional relevance, however neither of these genes haves previously been linked to placental function or pregnancy complications (Nagirnaja et al., 2014).

384

385 <u>Recurrent molar pregnancies</u>

386 Eleven studies (Table IV) were identified which evaluated the genetics of diploid and 387 biparental recurrent HM (RHM) pregnancies. One study (Parry et al., 2011) identified 388 biallelic mutations in chromosome 6 open reading frame 221 (C6orf221) in three 389 consanguineous families with familial biparental HM. Three studies (Abdalla et al., 2012, 390 Brown et al., 2013, Ulker et al., 2013) reported case studies of an individual consanguineous 391 family, two non-consanguineous families and two consanguineous families with RHM. 392 Autosomal recessive mutations were identified in the NLRP7 gene and were considered to 393 be responsible for the occurrence of HM. Deveault et al. investigated 13 women 394 experiencing RHM, some with a family history of molar pregnancies and 11 NLRP7 variants 395 were identified (Deveault et al., 2009). Mutation analysis of the NLRP7 gene in 35 women 396 experiencing RPL with at least one HM revealed 17 different mutations (Qian et al., 2011). 397 Qian et al. (2011) also suggested that one defective allele in NLRP7 causes diploid 398 androgenic moles and two defective alleles causes diploid biparental moles.

399

400 Two studies (Huang et al., 2013, Messaed et al., 2011) investigated cohorts of women to see 401 whether mutations in the NLRP7 gene could also be responsible for RPL without history of 402 molar pregnancy. Messaed et al. (2011) investigated 135 women with either RPL or at least 403 one HM and sequencing of NLRP7 exons identified two patients with RPL to have NLRP7 404 mutations. Huang et al. (2013) also showed significant association between RPL and NLRP7 405 polymorphisms. In contrast, two further studies (Andreasen et al., 2013, Manokhina et al., 406 2013) identified no disease-causing mutations in NLRP7 in women with RPL and similarly 407 Aghajanova et al. (Aghajanova et al., 2015) found no mutations in NLRP7, NLR family, pyrin 408 domain-containing 2 (NLRP2) or KHDC3-like protein, subcortical maternal complex member 409 (KHDC3L) (C6orf221).

410

411 Other genetic causes

412 Two studies (Seyedhassani et al., 2010a, Seyedhassani et al., 2010b) analysed and 413 sequenced mitochondrial tDNA (AUTHOR: is tDNA correct here?) in 96 women with RPL. 414 Four variants in threonine transfer RNA (tRNA) and one variant in proline tRNA were 415 observed, but in some cases these were also observed in controls (Seyedhassani et al., 416 2010a), which calls into question the significance of these findings. Analysis of mitochondrial 417 D-loop sequences showed a higher rate of point mutations in RPL patients than in controls. 418 In total, 89 out of 153 variants were only identified in women with RPL and 22 of these 419 mutations were considered to be significant (Seyedhassani et al., 2010b).

420

421 X-chromosome inactivation occurs during early embryogenesis and has also been proposed
422 to have an aetiological role in RPL. Skewed X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) status was
423 compared between women with RPL and healthy controls. Extremely skewed XCI (defined

Comment [U1]: One is DNA one is RNA Formatted: Font: Bold 424 as >90 %) was identified in 17.7% of women with RPL compared to 1.6 % of extremely
425 skewed XCI in controls (Bagislar et al., 2006).

426

Six further papers were identified that discussed specific genes and their contribution to pregnancy loss. Each paper (Bendroth-Asmussen et al., 2016, Bhuiyan et al., 2008, Lopez-Carrasco et al., 2013, McKie et al., 2014, Stouffs et al., 2011, Zhang et al., 2016) investigated an individual gene or genes. In a case study of a 30-year-old women with pregnancy loss from glycogen storage disease Type IV (GSD-IV), DNA extracted from placental tissue identified compound heterozygous mutations in glycogen branching enzyme (*GBE1*) (Bendroth-Asmussen et al., 2016).

Another case study, a consanguineous Arabian family with pregnancy losses, stillborn, fetal demise and two live children, had homozygosity mapping. This led to the screening of the human ether-a-go-go-related gene (*HERG*) gene in the live children, parents and stillborn. Homozygous nonsense mutations in *HERG* were identified in the child with polymorphic ventricular tachycardia and the same heterozygous mutation in the parents and unaffected child. Amniotic fluid cells from the stillborn child were also homozygous for the same *HERG* mutation (Bhuiyan et al., 2008).

441

Three rare homozygous <u>RYANODINE RECEPTOR 1 (RYR1)</u> variants were identified using genome-wide linkage studies and sequencing of *RYR1* coding exons. Initially a *RYR1* homozygous nonsense mutation was detected in two fetuses with fetal akinesia deformation sequence (FADS)/ lethal multiple pterygium syndrome (LMPS). The parents were both homozygous for the same mutation. When 66 further probands with FADS/ LMPS phenotype were screened for germline *RYR1* mutations, two further potential homozygous
mutations were detected (McKie et al., 2014).

449

In a larger study, 100 couples with at least three unexplained pregnancy losses had wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 6 (WNT6) mutation analysis performed. WNT6 has previously been shown to have an important role for stromal cell proliferation during decidualisation in mice. Four novel mutations were identified in the women with RPL but not in the male partners or healthy controls (Zhang et al., 2015), although there was no conclusive evidence for pathogenicity.

456

Ten aberrations were identified in MutS, E. coli, homolog of, 4 (MSH4), DNA 457 458 methyltransferase 3-like protein (DNMT3L) and synaptonemal complex protein 3 (SYCP3) in 459 23 couples with RPL. Six of these aberrations were predicted to alter the amino acid 460 sequence. All but one of these aberrations was considered a likely SNV. The mutation in the 461 SYCP3 gene was shown to have a 78 % likelihood of causing a deleterious effect on protein 462 function due to an alteration in the amino acid sequence changing a non-polar isoleucine 463 into a polar threonine (Stouffs et al., 2011). Another study (Lopez-Carrasco et al., 2013) 464 targeted the two spindle checkpoint genes aurora kinase B (AURKB) and SYCP3 in 102 465 patients with either RPL or spermiogram alterations. One heterozygous intronic deletion 466 was identified in SYCP3 with no in silico causative indication. Six aberrations were identified 467 in AURKB, however a deletion and two nucleotide changes were considered to have no 468 functional alteration or be frequent variants respectively. Three rare missense variants were 469 identified in AURKB, with two of these variants found in a couple with pregnancy loss.

471 Discussion

472 In this systematic review we have identified 50 papers which investigated genetic 473 contributions other than an euploidy to pregnancy loss. The studies highlight some key 474 areas, including identification of SNVs by WES, identification of CNVs by microarray analysis, 475 and investigation of a group of genes associated with diploid and biparental recurrent molar 476 pregnancies that are linked to pregnancy loss. Other genetic contributions, such as 477 epigenetics and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), were also investigated in individual papers. 478 There were also studies reporting sequencing of candidate genes already known to be 479 associated with pregnancy loss with or without structural abnormalities.

480

We have summarised the current evidence below for each of these categories, and then
discuss the implications of these findings both for future studies and for genetic
investigation of couples experiencing RPL.

484

485 <u>WES</u>

486 Advances in next generation sequencing are vastly improving and enabling a molecular 487 diagnosis for a range of disorders and clinical pathways. As the cost of WES decreases, the 488 technology is becoming more widely used and clinically applicable. This review identified a 489 number of studies (Table I) over the last 4 years which have used WES to look for as yet 490 unidentified genetic causes of pregnancy loss. The majority of these studies looked at 491 individual patients or couples with RPL, some of which showed ultrasound scan 492 abnormalities during the pregnancy (Bondeson et al., 2017, Cristofoli et al., 2017, Wilbe et 493 al., 2015, Tsurusaki et al., 2014). More recently a small number of studies have been 494 published studying larger cohorts of patients and exploring possible strategies for genetic 495 investigation of these patients (Ellard et al., 2015, Qiao et al., 2016, Shamseldin et al., 2015). 496 This review included studies where patients suffered multiple pregnancy losses with 497 phenotypic findings in all or some of their pregnancy losses. This included ultrasound scan 498 abnormalities and post-mortem findings, and in some cases, where patients opted for 499 termination of pregnancy. These were thought to be important to include because there 500 could be a range of phenotypic effects caused by a genetic abnormality in a lethal gene, 501 which could include abnormalities and late fetal death in some pregnancies, but pregnancy 502 loss in others.

503

504 Bioinformatic filtering is required when studying the whole exome in order to provide a 505 more manageable approach to interpretation of the data. In most of these studies 'trios' of 506 patients were sequenced, and bioinformatic modelling of inheritance patterns was used to 507 limit the number of variants identified. In most cases patterns of autosomal recessive 508 inheritance (or X-linked recessive in male fetal losses) were modelled to look for variants. 509 As might be expected, very often the couples investigated were consanguineous or possibly 510 from populations isolated geographically. An alternative autozygosity mapping approach 511 was used by Shamseldin et al. to restrict the genes that were analysed by WES (Shamseldin 512 et al., 2013, Shamseldin et al., 2015) and a 'proof of principle' study (Ellard et al., 2015) 513 developed a technique to identify autosomal recessive lethal disorders using WES in couples 514 with RPL.

515

516 It is important to note that where autosomal recessive mutations are identified as a cause of 517 pregnancy loss, this will guide counselling and treatment options for the couple as there is a 518 1:4 recurrence risk in future pregnancies, and prenatal diagnosis or PGD would be available519 to the couple.

520

521 Interestingly, genes that were identified from these WES studies are associated with 522 processes that have an early role in developmental biology and are essential in 523 embryogenesis. Some key processes include centrosome integrity, anti-inflammatory/ 524 immune responses, proliferation and maintenance of epithelial cells, maintenance and 525 development of collagen and muscle tissues, and blood coagulation. The majority of WES 526 studies focused on individual families. Therefore the genes detected are limited to 527 preselected cases and it is not possible to group them together for a meta-analysis to 528 ascertain the detection rates.

529

530 Immune cells present early during pregnancy, especially during implantation where the 531 maternal immune system has to tolerate the implanting embryo. The immune response 532 during implantation is not currently well understood. However, the maternal immunity 533 shifts from cell-mediated immunity to humoral (antibody mediated) immunity to protect 534 the embryo from rejection. Aberrations in several genes, ALOX15 (Qiao et al., 2016), 535 complement component receptor 1 (CR1) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017), FOXP3 (Rae et 536 al., 2015) and TOLL-LIKE RECEPTOR 3 (TLR3) (Filges et al., 2014) were identified and are 537 known to be involved in inflammatory and immune defences. Mutations in these genes 538 could be causing defects resulting in early pregnancy loss because the immune response is 539 rejecting the embryo.

541	During embryogenesis, cells differentiate and proliferate. Potentially causative mutations
542	were identified in FMS-related tyrosine kinase 1 (FLT1) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017),
543	leukemia inhibitory factor receptor (LIFR) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017) and ubinuclein 1
544	(UBN1) (Shamseldin et al., 2015) genes involved in cell differentiation and proliferation.
545	Mutations in the two genes trophinin (TRO) and cadherin 11 (CHD11) were both identified
546	(Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017) and are involved in cell adhesion. As cell differentiation,
547	cell proliferation and cell adhesion are an important part of fetal growth during pregnancy,
548	disruption in these genes could cause the pregnancy to fail.
549	
550	Mutations in genes involved in tissue formation were also identified. In particular, cadherin
551	1 (CDH1) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017) and frizzled, drosophila, homolog of, 6 (FZD6)
552	(Shamseldin et al., 2015) are specifically involved in cell adhesion, matrix metalloproteinase
553	10 (MMP10) and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017) for
554	extracellular remodelling, and <i>MuSK</i> (Wilbe et al., 2015) and <u>myomesin 1</u> (MYOM1)
555	(Shamseldin et al., 2015) for formation of neuromuscular junctions and striated muscle.
556	
557	During pregnancy, blood passes through the placenta for the exchange of gases, nutrients,
558	electrolytes and waste products between the mother and fetus. Mutations in three genes,
559	coagulation factor V (F5), fibrinogen, A alpha polypeptide (FGA) and thrombomodulin
560	(THBD) (Quintero-Ronderos et al., 2017), were identified. These are involved in the
561	coagulation pathway. The flow of blood is necessary for the fetus to grow and any
562	disruption causing the blood to clot could result in loss of the pregnancy.
563	

In summary, WES of POC or fetal DNA and parental DNA is a promising method to identify variants in genes which might be responsible for RPL and/ or fetal abnormalities. Where aberrations are inherited from the parents, a genetic diagnosis may provide invaluable information for preimplantation screening or prenatal diagnosis in future pregnancies. However, studies with larger unbiased cohorts are needed to conclusively determine detection rates and the clinical utility of WES in this group of patients.

570

571 Chromosomal microarray analysis

572 In some cases, CNVs either as gains or losses may be responsible for pregnancy loss of a 573 fetus with an apparently normal karyotype. CNVs, both rare and common, may be impacting 574 pregnancy-related genes or pathways, resulting in pregnancy loss. These may involve single 575 genes or clusters of genes which are deleted, duplicated or disrupted.

576

577 Studies identified by our systematic review are summarised in Table III. Due to the diverse 578 approaches taken, the studies are difficult to compare collectively. Cohorts reported 579 sporadic pregnancy loss and RPL, different gestations and different methods of analysis. 580 Some studies (Bagheri et al., 2015, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a, Rajcan-Separovic et al., 581 2010b, Warren et al., 2009, Levy et al., 2014, Robberecht et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2017) 582 analysed both fetal tissue and parental DNA concurrently (i.e. a trio) to identify whether 583 CNVs were *de novo* or inherited. This is important in assessing both the likely pathogenicity 584 of the finding and the associated recurrence risk. Where the CNV is also detected in a 585 parent it is less likely to be causative of a pregnancy loss in isolation. It is possible that 586 inherited CNVs could still cause RPL where the CNV co-occurs with an autosomal recessive 587 gene mutation (SNV) on the other allele or where genes present within the CNV are relevant to genomic imprinting or embryonic/ placental growth (Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010a,
Rajcan-Separovic et al., 2010b).

590

Relatively little is known about the genes and pathways involved in pregnancy loss, and therefore many CNVs identified will be classed as having uncertain clinical significance. One study analysed CNVs in parents experiencing idiopathic RPL using functional enrichment analysis, identifying biological pathways that were significantly over-represented, such as antigen binding and immune signalling (Karim et al., 2017, Nagirnaja et al., 2014). Enrichment was identified in genes associated with immunoregulatory interactions at the feto-maternal interface and impaired immune signalling (Nagirnaja et al., 2014).

598

599 Identification of pregnancies with developmental abnormalities using hystero-embryoscopy 600 enables genetic abnormalities to be compared with developmental abnormalities and 601 growth disorganisation of the embryo. CNVs identified where there is a developmental 602 abnormality present are more likely to indicate genes important in early development. In 603 addition to evaluating a genetic cause for pregnancy loss, such studies can provide an 604 opportunity to identify and evaluate the function of the genes. Where variants are identified 605 in genes, through analysis of an enriched cohort, such as this with developmental 606 abnormalities, it is easier to interpret their clinical significance.

607

Several studies explored the possibility of uniparental disomy (UPD) and looked for regions
of Loss of heterozygosity in euploid embryos (Levy et al., 2014, Robberecht et al., 2012,
Wang et al., 2017). The pathological relevance of UPD is difficult to evaluate as not all

611 platforms are capable of detecting UPD (eg. Oligo BAC array) and therefore are difficult to

612	compare. Pregnancy loss could be due to UPD resulting in unmasking of an underlying lethal		
613	recessive disease gene(s) or imprinted genes.		
614			
615	CNVs were identified in the highly imprinted region 11p15.5. This region is abundant with		
616	imprinted genes and has an important role in the maternal-fetal exchange. Aberrant		
617	methylation or duplication of imprinted genes in this region could cause pregnancy loss		
618	(Zhang et al., 2016).		
619			
620	Recurrent molar pregnancies		
621	Although the majority of HM are sporadic, a small minority are recurrent and/-or familial. A		
622	number of studies looked at the role of genes including NLRP7, C6orf221 (KHDC3L) and		
623	NLRP2 in pregnancy loss manifesting as recurrent molar pregnancy. In the cases reviewed,		
624	the HM are euploid, and are instead caused by autosomal recessive mutations in genes		
625	which code for the cell machinery that labels the parental origin of the two sets of		
626	chromosomes.		
627			
628	It is thought that NLRP7 and C6orf221 are components of an oocyte complex that forms		
629	during oogenesis and determines the epigenetic status of the oocyte genome by inactivating		
630	genes. It is likely that mutations in NLRP7 cause HM by impairing the normal imprinting		
631	process causing maternal genes to be expressed when they should not be.		
632			
633	Studies have explored the role of NLRP2, NLR family, pyrin domain-containing 5 (NLRP5),		
634	NLRP7 and C6orf221 in other forms of pregnancy loss such as partial moles, RPL, stillbirth,		

635 infertility and multi-locus imprinting disturbance (Aghajanova et al., 2015, Andreasen et al.,

2013, Huang et al., 2013, Manokhina et al., 2013, Messaed et al., 2011, Docherty et al.,
2015). These have shown conflicting results, many showing no evidence of *NLRP7*, *NLRP2*and *C6orf221* mutations in women with RPL (Aghajanova et al., 2015, Andreasen et al.,
2013, Manokhina et al., 2013).

640

641

642 Evidence from several papers suggests that genes involved in oocyte development, 643 maturation and epigenetic reprogramming are likely to be important in a subset of 644 pregnancy losses. One of the most studied epigenetic modifications is DNA methylation. 645 DNA methylation is implicated in the regulation of imprinting and the expression of 646 imprinted genes is thought to be important for the development and physiology of the 647 placenta (Frost and Moore, 2010). Aberrant DNA methylation of several imprinted loci (H19, 648 imprinted maternally expressed noncoding transcript (H19), long QT intronic transcript 1 649 (LIT1) and small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N (SNRPN)) was demonstrated in 650 pregnancy losses, with increasing methylation of these genes showing a positive correlation 651 with pregnancy loss. It is possible that inappropriate DNA methylation may either be a 652 contributing factor or consequence of the defect that led to pregnancy loss (Zheng et al., 653 2013). It also remains to be investigated as to whether there are wider epigenetic defects at 654 other loci. Zheng et al. (2013) propose a multifactorial threshold model for pregnancy loss 655 where additional genetic and environmental factors may also play a role.

656

657 Other genetic causes

658 Mitochondria have been hypothesised to have an important role in development. They 659 predominantly regulate the production of ATP, used to regulate cellular metabolism. Processes such as cell proliferation and development require high energy giving the mitochondria an important role during pregnancy. Seyedhssani et al. (Seyedhassani et al., 2010a, Seyedhassani et al., 2010b) have identified mutations in mtDNA in women with RPL (Seyedhassani et al., 2010b). Furthermore a significant number of mutations were identified in the D-loop of mtDNA. The D-loop contains essential elements for mtDNA transcription and disruption could affect the transcription or translation of mtDNA, in turn compromising embryonic development or causing pregnancy loss.

667

668 It is hypothesised that skewed XCI could be involved in the pathogenesis of RPL. Bagislar and 669 colleagues (Bagislar et al., 2006) demonstrated extremely skewed XCI in 17.7 % of patients 670 with RPL. It is suggested that skewed XCI could expose X-linked variants that are lethal in the 671 hemi-zygous state. In addition, a more recent review (Sui et al., 2015) included 12 case-672 control studies on skewed XCI with or without RPL. In patients with RPL, skewed XCI was 673 significantly higher, although the significance drops with fewer losses and for less extreme 674 skewing. Although the association between RPL and skewed XCI is unclear, two mechanisms 675 have been proposed. Firstly, if a female carrier with a recessive lethal X-linked genetic 676 mutation and skewed XCI has a male fetus who inherits the X-linked genetic mutation, it 677 could lead to pregnancy loss. Secondly, an X-linked genetic mutation could cause follicular 678 atresia and an increase in aneuploid embryos resulting in pregnancy loss (Sui et al., 2015).

679

Six papers (Bendroth-Asmussen et al., 2016, McKie et al., 2014, Stouffs et al., 2011, Zhang et al., 2016, Bhuiyan et al., 2008, Lopez-Carrasco et al., 2013) describe targeted sequence analysis of specific candidate genes (*GBE1*, *RYR1*, *WNT6*, *DNMT3L*, SYCP3, *MSH4*, *HERG* and AURKB) in either an individual case of pregnancy loss (Bendroth-Asmussen et al., 2016,

Bhuiyan et al., 2008) or in patient cohorts (McKie et al., 2014, Stouffs et al., 2011, Zhang et al., 2016, Lopez-Carrasco et al., 2013). This targeting was informed by factors including histopathological examination of placental tissue observed in fetal arrhythmia, scan findings and functional prediction of gene pathways.

688

689 Limitations of current evidence

This review was completed in a systematic manner by two independent reviewers making it reproducible. The limitation of this study, however, is the quality of the studies published to date. Each study was scored according to our modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale (Supplementary Table SIV) with a few of the studies being of poor quality and scoring as little as 3 or 4 on our scale.

695

The most common limitations in these studies related to the small size of the studied cohorts, with several focusing on a single family, and many of the studies lacking information on control populations or statistical analysis. Work on small groups, and in particular a single family, may detect genetic abnormalities that have occurred in isolation or are very rare. In many cases this results in identification of variants in unique candidate genes with no definitive causal effect. Therefore larger cohorts are needed to replicate these findings and to determine how relevant these findings are to other couples with RPL.

There was also limited availability of functional data in many of the studies. A few studies
supplemented their cases with information on scan abnormalities or post-mortem
abnormalities detected in cases of losses and hystero-embryoscopy to correlate genetic

findings with findings in the embryo. The studies were also difficult to compare and collate
as there were multiple variations in the cohorts studied and the methods of analysis.

709

710 Conclusion

711 It is evident that there are many genetic and environmental factors that result in a712 successful pregnancy and a disruption in any of these could contribute to pregnancy loss.

713 From the genetic perspective this includes both clearly pathogenic genetic causes, such as 714 sporadic aneuploidy and translocations, and other potential genetic causes such as smaller 715 CNVs and mutations in genes important in early fetal development. In addition, there are 716 likely to be complex genetic contributions, such as multi-factorial inheritance, and changes 717 in methylation (epigenetics) and mitochondrial function, which could be contributing to 718 pregnancy loss. These more complex genetic mechanisms may be influenced by 719 environmental factors, such as diet, medication, pollutants and lifestyle, which could 720 provide a cumulative effect resulting in pregnancy loss.

721

The papers we have identified have demonstrated that monogenic aetiologies could contribute to a proportion of pregnancy losses. However, as most studies have been carried out in highly selected families or small cohorts, additional studies are required to further assess if this technology is generalisable to more couples experiencing RPL.

726

11 It is plausible that cases of pregnancy loss (particularly in RPL) may have causative mutations not detectable with routine cytogenetic analysis or fetal scans, but are detectable by WES. Although WES is not currently recommended for routine diagnostic use for pregnancy losses, the identification of genes associated with pregnancy loss will be of significant individual patient impact with respect to treatment and availability of PGD. If monogenetic
etiologies of RPL and the overall prevalence of monogenetic causes of pregnancy loss are
better elucidated through larger, well-designed studies, the identification of non-aneuploid
causes of RPL could be of significant patient impact.

735

Knowledge of specific genes that contribute to pregnancy loss could also be of importance in understanding the biological pathways that can cause pregnancy loss. However, much larger and more comparable cohort studies are required in all of these areas to determine causality of candidate genes and to dissect out these effects, as at present many of these findings are of uncertain clinical significance. Functional analysis, such as embryoscopy studies and *in vivo* animal modelling, may assist in further assessment of the mutation effect on early embryonic development.

743

RPL is a complex problem influenced by many different aetiologies. Currently, with the exception of aneuploidy and other chromosomal abnormalities, routine investigation for the genetic contributions causing pregnancy loss is limited. With increased knowledge of additional non-aneuploid contributions to RPL, additional genetic testing recommendations may be made in the future to couples experiencing RPL. These would have implications for diagnosis and recurrence risks.

- 750
- 751 Authors' roles

752 EC- Study search, study selection, data extraction, quality assessment and writing.

- 753 SH- Data extraction, quality assessment and editing
- 754 PS- Study design, critical appraisal of manuscript

755	NM- Critical appraisal of manuscript and editing	
756	AC- Study design and critical appraisal of manuscript	
757	SA- Supervision, study selection, writing and editing	
758		
759	Funding	
760	EC's PhD studentship is funded by the Tommy's baby <u>c</u> Charity, registered charity (1060508).	
761		
762	Conflict of interest	
763	There are no conflicts of interest to declare.	
764		
765		
766	References	
767	AUTHOR: please would you recheck journal style for the references and edit accordingly?	Formatted: Highlight
768	Thank you (e.g. upper/lower case, bold text).	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785	 ABDALLA, E. M., HAYWARD, B. E., SHAMSEDDIN, A. & NAWAR, M. M. 2012. Recurrent hydatidiform mole: detection of two novel mutations in the NLRP7 gene in two Egyptian families. <i>Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol</i>, 164, 211-5. AGHAJANOVA, L., MAHADEVAN, S., ALTMAE, S., STAVREUS-EVERS, A., REGAN, L., SEBIRE, N., DIXON, P., FISHER, R. A. & VAN DEN VEYVER, I. B. 2015. No evidence for mutations in NLRP7, NLRP2 or KHDC3L in women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss or infertility. <i>Human Reproduction</i>, 30, 232-8. ANDREASEN, L., CHRISTIANSEN, O. B., NIEMANN, I., BOLUND, L. & SUNDE, L. 2013. NLRP7 or KHDC3L genes and the etiology of molar pregnancies and recurrent miscarriage. <i>Molecular Human Reproduction</i>, 19, 773-81. BAGHERI, H., MERCIER, E., QIAO, Y., STEPHENSON, M. D. & RAJCAN- SEPAROVIC, E. 2015. Genomic characteristics of miscarriage copy number variants. <i>Molecular Human Reproduction</i>, 21, 655-61. BAGISLAR, S., USTUNER, I., CENGIZ, B., SOYLEMEZ, F., AKYERLI, C. B., CEYLANER, S., CEYLANER, G., ACAR, A. & OZCELIK, T. 2006. Extremely skewed X-chromosome inactivation patterns in women with recurrent spontaneous abortion. <i>Auxt N 7 L Obstat Gymage</i>, 46, 384.7 	Formatted: Highlight

- Trimester Placental Tissue. *International Journal of Gynecological Pathology*, 35, 3840.
- 790 BHUIYAN, Z. A., MOMENAH, T. S., GONG, Q., AMIN, A. S., GHAMDI, S. A.,
- CARVALHO, J. S., HOMFRAY, T., MANNENS, M. M., ZHOU, Z. & WILDE, A.
 A. 2008. Recurrent intrauterine fetal loss due to near absence of HERG: clinical and
 functional characterization of a homozygous nonsense HERG Q1070X mutation. *Heart Rhythm*, 5, 553-61.
- BONDESON, M. L., ERICSON, K., GUDMUNDSSON, S., AMEUR, A., PONTEN, F.,
 WESSTROM, J., FRYKHOLM, C. & WILBE, M. 2017. A nonsense mutation in
 CEP55 defines a new locus for a Meckel-like syndrome, an autosomal recessive lethal
 fetal ciliopathy. *Clinical Genetics*.
- BROWN, L., MOUNT, S., REDDY, R., SLIM, R., WONG, C., JOBANPUTRA, V.,
 CLIFFORD, P., MERRILL, L. & BROWN, S. 2013. Recurrent pregnancy loss in a
 woman with NLRP7 mutation: not all molar pregnancies can be easily classified as
 either "partial" or "complete" hydatidiform moles. *International Journal of Gynecological Pathology*, 32, 399-405.
- CAREY, L., NASH, B. M. & WRIGHT, D. C. 2015. Molecular genetic studies of complete
 hydatidiform moles. *Transl Pediatr*, 4, 181-8.
- CARSS, K. J., HILLMAN, S. C., PARTHIBAN, V., MCMULLAN, D. J., MAHER, E. R.,
 KILBY, M. D. & HURLES, M. E. 2014. Exome sequencing improves genetic
 diagnosis of structural fetal abnormalities revealed by ultrasound. *Hum Mol Genet*,
 23, 3269-77.
- CHOI, M., SCHOLL, U. I., JI, W., LIU, T., TIKHONOVA, I. R., ZUMBO, P., NAYIR, A.,
 BAKKALOGLU, A., OZEN, S., SANJAD, S., NELSON-WILLIAMS, C., FARHI,
 A., MANE, S. & LIFTON, R. P. 2009. Genetic diagnosis by whole exome capture
 and massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 106, 19096-101.
- 814 CRISTOFOLI, F., DE KEERSMAECKER, B., DE CATTE, L., VERMEESCH, J. R. &
- 815 VAN ESCH, H. 2017. Novel STIL Compound Heterozygous Mutations Cause Severe
 816 Fetal Microcephaly and Centriolar Lengthening. *Molecular Syndromology.*, 27.
- BEVEAULT, C., QIAN, J. H., CHEBARO, W., AO, A., GILBERT, L., MEHIO, A., KHAN,
 R., TAN, S. L., WISCHMEIJER, A., COULLIN, P., XIE, X. & SLIM, R. 2009.
 NLRP7 mutations in women with diploid androgenetic and triploid moles: a proposed
 mechanism for mole formation. *Hum Mol Genet*, 18, 888-97.
- BOCHERTY, L. E., REZWAN, F. I., POOLE, R. L., TURNER, C. L., KIVUVA, E.,
 MAHER, E. R., SMITHSON, S. F., HAMILTON-SHIELD, J. P., PATALAN, M.,
- 823 GIZEWSKA, M., PEREGUD-POGORZELSKI, J., BEYGO, J., BUITING, K.,
- 824 HORSTHEMKE, B., SOELLNER, L., BEGEMANN, M., EGGERMANN, T.,
- BAPLE, E., MANSOUR, S., TEMPLE, I. K. & MACKAY, D. J. 2015. Mutations in
 NLRP5 are associated with reproductive wastage and multilocus imprinting disorders
- 827 in humans. *Nature communications*, 6, 8086.
- BOHRN, N., LE, V. Q., PETERSEN, A., SKOVBO, P., PEDERSEN, I. S., ERNST, A.,
 KRARUP, H. & PETERSEN, M. B. 2015. ECEL1 mutation causes fetal
 arthrogryposis multiplex congenita. *Am J Med Genet A*, 167a, 731-43.
- BONAGHUE, C., DAVIES, N., AHN, J. W., THOMAS, H., OGILVIE, C. M. & MANN, K.
 2017. Efficient and cost-effective genetic analysis of products of conception and fetal
 tissues using a QF-PCR/array CGH strategy; five years of data. *Mol Cytogenet*, 10,
 12.
- 835 ELLARD, S., KIVUVA, E., TURNPENNY, P., STALS, K., JOHNSON, M., XIE, W.,
- 836 CASWELL, R. & LANGO ALLEN, H. 2015. An exome sequencing strategy to

837	diagnose lethal autosomal recessive disorders. European Journal of Human Genetics,
838	23, 401-404.
839	ESHRE 2017. Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Guideline of the European Society of Human
840	Reproduction and Embryology.
841	FILGES, I., NOSOVA, E., BRUDER, E., TERCANLI, S., TOWNSEND, K., GIBSON, W.
842	T., ROTHLISBERGER, B., HEINIMANN, K., HALL, J. G., GREGORY-EVANS,
843	C. Y., WASSERMAN, W. W., MINY, P. & FRIEDMAN, J. M. 2014. Exome
844	sequencing identifies mutations in KIF14 as a novel cause of an autosomal recessive
845	lethal fetal ciliopathy phenotype. Clinical Genetics, 86, 220-8.
846	FRANSSEN, M. T., MUSTERS, A. M., VAN DER VEEN, F., REPPING, S., LESCHOT, N.
847	J., BOSSUYT, P. M., GODDIJN, M. & KOREVAAR, J. C. 2011. Reproductive
848	outcome after PGD in couples with recurrent miscarriage carrying a structural
849	chromosome abnormality: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update, 17, 467-75.
850	FROST, J. M. & MOORE, G. E. 2010. The importance of imprinting in the human placenta.
851	PLoS Genet, 6, e1001015.
852	GODDIJN, M. & LESCHOT, N. J. 2000. Genetic aspects of miscarriage. Baillieres Best
853	Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol, 14, 855-65.
854	HASSOLD, T., CHEN, N., FUNKHOUSER, J., JOOSS, T., MANUEL, B., MATSUURA, J.,
855	MATSUYAMA, A., WILSON, C., YAMANE, J. A. & JACOBS, P. A. 1980. A
856	cytogenetic study of 1000 spontaneous abortions. Ann Hum Genet, 44, 151-78.
857	HIRSHFELD-CYTRON, J., SUGIURA-OGASAWARA, M. & STEPHENSON, M. D. 2011.
858	Management of recurrent pregnancy loss associated with a parental carrier of a
859	reciprocal translocation: a systematic review. <i>Semin Reprod Med</i> , 29, 470-81.
860	HUANG, J. Y., SU, M., LIN, S. H. & KUO, P. L. 2013. A genetic association study of
861	NLRP2 and NLRP7 genes in idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. Hum Reprod, 28, 1127-
862	54. KADIM C JAMAL H C DOUZLA ADDAWL M C M COULUTEN H L MIDZA
803	KAKIM, S., JAMAL, H. S., KUUZI, A., AKDAWI, M. S. M., SCHULTEN, H. J., MIKZA,
804 865	Z., ALANSAKI, N. A., AL-QUAITI, M. M., ABUSAMKA, H., NASEEK, M. I., TUDKI D. CHAUDHADN A. C. CADI M. ADUZENADAH A. M. & AL
803 866	IUKKI, K., CHAUDHAKI, A. U., UAKI, M., ADUZENADAH, A. M. & AL- OHATANI, M. H. 2017. Conomic answers for requirent aportaneous abortion in
867	QHATANI, M. H. 2017. Genomic answers for recurrent spontaneous abortion in Saudi Arabia: An array comparative genomic hybridization approach. <i>Banred Biol</i>
868	17 133 1/3
869	IARSEN E C CHRISTIANSEN O B KOLTE A M & MACKLON N 2013 New
870	insights into mechanisms behind miscarriage <i>BMC Mod</i> 11 154
871	LEVY B SIGURIONSSON S PETTERSEN B MAISENBACHER M K HALL M
872	P DEMKO Z LATHI R B TAO R AGGARWAL V & RABINOWITZ M
873	2014 Genomic imbalance in products of conception: single-nucleotide polymorphism
874	chromosomal microarray analysis. <i>Obstet Gynecol.</i> 124, 202-9.
875	LOPEZ-CARRASCO, A., OLTRA, S., MONFORT, S., MAYO, S., ROSELLO, M.,
876	MARTINEZ, F. & ORELLANA, C. 2013. Mutation screening of AURKB and
877	SYCP3 in patients with reproductive problems. <i>Mol Hum Reprod.</i> 19, 102-8.
878	MAISENBACHER, M. K., MERRION, K., PETTERSEN, B., YOUNG, M., PAIK, K.,
879	IYENGAR, S., KAREHT, S., SIGURJONSSON, S., DEMKO, Z. P. & MARTIN, K.
880	A. 2017. Incidence of the 22q11.2 deletion in a large cohort of miscarriage samples.
881	Mol Cytogenet, 10, 6.
882	MANOKHINA, I., HANNA, C. W., STEPHENSON, M. D., MCFADDEN, D. E. &
883	ROBINSON, W. P. 2013. Maternal NLRP7 and C6orf221 variants are not a common
884	risk factor for androgenetic moles, triploidy and recurrent miscarriage. Molecular
885	Human Reproduction, 19, 539-544.

886	MCKIE, A. B., ALSAEDI, A., VOGT, J., STUURMAN, K. E., WEISS, M. M., SHAKEEL,
887	H., TEE, L., MORGAN, N. V., NIKKELS, P. G., VAN HAAFTEN, G., PARK, S.
888	M., VAN DER SMAGT, J. J., BUGIANI, M. & MAHER, E. R. 2014. Germline
889	mutations in RYR1 are associated with foetal akinesia deformation sequence/lethal
890	multiple pterygium syndrome. Acta Neuropathologica Communications, 2, 148.
891	MESSAED, C., CHEBARO, W., DI ROBERTO, R. B., RITTORE, C., CHEUNG, A.,
892	ARSENEAU, J., SCHNEIDER, A., CHEN, M. F., BERNISHKE, K., SURTI, U.,
893	HOFFNER, L., SAUTHIER, P., BUCKETT, W., QIAN, J., LAU, N. M., BAGGA,
894	R., ENGERT, J. C., COULLIN, P., TOUITOU, I. & SLIM, R. 2011. NLRP7 in the
895	spectrum of reproductive wastage: rare non-synonymous variants confer genetic
896	susceptibility to recurrent reproductive wastage. J Med Genet, 48, 540-8.
897	MILLER, D. T., ADAM, M. P., ARADHYA, S., BIESECKER, L. G., BROTHMAN, A. R.,
898	CARTER, N. P., CHURCH, D. M., CROLLA, J. A., EICHLER, E. E., EPSTEIN, C.
899	J., FAUCETT, W. A., FEUK, L., FRIEDMAN, J. M., HAMOSH, A., JACKSON, L.,
900	KAMINSKY, E. B., KOK, K., KRANTZ, I. D., KUHN, R. M., LEE, C., OSTELL, J.
901	M., ROSENBERG, C., SCHERER, S. W., SPINNER, N. B., STAVROPOULOS, D.
902	J., TEPPERBERG, J. H., THORLAND, E. C., VERMEESCH, J. R., WAGGONER,
903	D. J., WATSON, M. S., MARTIN, C. L. & LEDBETTER, D. H. 2010. Consensus
904	statement: chromosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for
905	individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies. Am J Hum Genet.
906	86, 749-64.
907	NAGIRNAJA, L., PALTA, P., KASAK, L., RULL, K., CHRISTIANSEN, O. B., NIELSEN,
908	H. S., STEFFENSEN, R., ESKO, T., REMM, M. & LAAN, M. 2014. Structural
909	genomic variation as risk factor for idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. Human
910	Mutation, 35, 972-82.
911	PARRY, D. A., LOGAN, C. V., HAYWARD, B. E., SHIRES, M., LANDOLSI, H.,
912	DIGGLE, C., CARR, I., RITTORE, C., TOUITOU, I., PHILIBERT, L., FISHER, R.
913	A., FALLAHIAN, M., HUNTRISS, J. D., PICTON, H. M., MALIK, S., TAYLOR,
914	G. R., JOHNSON, C. A., BONTHRON, D. T. & SHERIDAN, E. G. 2011. Mutations
915	causing familial biparental hydatidiform mole implicate c6orf221 as a possible
916	regulator of genomic imprinting in the human oocyte. American Journal of Human
917	Genetics, 89, 451-8.
918	PEREZA, N., OSTOJIC, S., KAPOVIC, M. & PETERLIN, B. 2017. Systematic review and
919	meta-analysis of genetic association studies in idiopathic recurrent spontaneous
920	abortion. Fertil Steril, 107, 150-159.e2.
921	QIAN, J., CHENG, Q., MURDOCH, S., XU, C., JIN, F., CHEBARO, W., ZHANG, X.,
922	GAO, H., ZHU, Y., SLIM, R. & XIE, X. 2011. The genetics of recurrent
923	hydatidiform moles in China: correlations between NLRP7 mutations, molar
924	genotypes and reproductive outcomes. Molecular Human Reproduction, 17, 612-9.
925	QIAO, Y., WEN, J., TANG, F., MARTELL, S., SHOMER, N., LEUNG, P. C. K.,
926	STEPHENSON, M. D. & RAJCAN-SEPAROVIC, E. 2016. Whole exome
927	sequencing in recurrent early pregnancy loss. Molecular Human Reproduction, 22,
928	364-372.
929	QUINTERO-RONDEROS, P., MERCIER, E., FUKUDA, M., GONZALEZ, R., SUAREZ,
930	C. F., PATARROYO, M. A., VAIMAN, D., GRIS, J. C. & LAISSUE, P. 2017. Novel
931	genes and mutations in patients affected by recurrent pregnancy loss. PLoS ONE, 12
932	(10) (no pagination).
933	RAE, W., GAO, Y., BUNYAN, D., HOLDEN, S., GILMOUR, K., PATEL, S.,
934	WELLESLEY, D. & WILLIAMS, A. 2015. A novel FOXP3 mutation causing fetal
935	akinesia and recurrent male miscarriages. Clin Immunol, 161, 284-5.

936 RAJCAN-SEPAROVIC, E., DIEGO-ALVAREZ, D., ROBINSON, W. P., TYSON, C., 937 QIAO, Y., HARVARD, C., FAWCETT, C., KALOUSEK, D., PHILIPP, T., 938 SOMERVILLE, M. J. & STEPHENSON, M. D. 2010a. Identification of copy number 939 variants in miscarriages from couples with idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. Hum Reprod, 25, 2913-22. 940 941 RAJCAN-SEPAROVIC, E., QIAO, Y., TYSON, C., HARVARD, C., FAWCETT, C., 942 KALOUSEK, D., STEPHENSON, M. & PHILIPP, T. 2010b. Genomic changes 943 detected by array CGH in human embryos with developmental defects. Mol Hum 944 Reprod, 16, 125-34. 945 RCOG 2011. The Investigation and Treatment of Couples with Recurrent First trimester and 946 Second-trimester Miscarriage. RCOG green Top Guideline No. 17. ROBBERECHT, C., PEXSTERS, A., DEPREST, J., FRYNS, J. P., D'HOOGHE, T. & 947 948 VERMEESCH, J. R. 2012. Cytogenetic and morphological analysis of early products 949 of conception following hystero-embryoscopy from couples with recurrent pregnancy 950 loss. Prenat Diagn, 32, 933-42. 951 SEYEDHASSANI, S. M., HOUSHMAND, M., KALANTAR, S. M., AFLATOONIAN, A., 952 MODABBER, G., HADIPOUR, F. & FALLAHZADEH, M. H. 2010a. The point 953 mutations of mitochondrial tRNA threonine and proline in idiopathic repeated 954 pregnancy loss. Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine, 8, 45-50. SEYEDHASSANI, S. M., HOUSHMAND, M., KALANTAR, S. M., MODABBER, G. & 955 956 AFLATOONIAN, A. 2010b. No mitochondrial DNA deletions but more D-loop point 957 mutations in repeated pregnancy loss. J Assist Reprod Genet, 27, 641-8. 958 SHAMSELDIN, H. E., KURDI, W., ALMUSAFRI, F., ALNEMER, M., ALKAFF, A., 959 BABAY, Z., ALHASHEM, A., TULBAH, M., ALSAHAN, N., KHAN, R., 960 SALLOUT, B., AL MARDAWI, E., SEIDAHMED, M. Z., MERIKI, N., ALSABER, 961 Y., OARI, A., KHALIFA, O., EYAID, W., RAHBEENI, Z., KURDI, A., HASHEM, 962 M., ALSHIDI, T., AL-OBEID, E., ABDULWAHAB, F., IBRAHIM, N., EWIDA, N., 963 EL-AKOURI, K., AL MULLA, M., BEN-OMRAN, T., PERGANDE, M., CIRAK, 964 S., AL TALA, S., SHAHEEN, R., FAQEIH, E. & ALKURAYA, F. S. 2018. 965 Molecular autopsy in maternal-fetal medicine. Genet Med, 20, 420-427. 966 SHAMSELDIN, H. E., SWAID, A. & ALKURAYA, F. S. 2013. Lifting the lid on unborn 967 lethal Mendelian phenotypes through exome sequencing. Genet Med, 15, 307-9. SHAMSELDIN, H. E., TULBAH, M., KURDI, W., NEMER, M., ALSAHAN, N., AL 968 969 MARDAWI, E., KHALIFA, O., HASHEM, A., KURDI, A., BABAY, Z., 970 BUBSHAIT, D. K., IBRAHIM, N., ABDULWAHAB, F., RAHBEENI, Z., 971 HASHEM, M. & ALKURAYA, F. S. 2015. Identification of embryonic lethal genes 972 in humans by autozygosity mapping and exome sequencing in consanguineous 973 families. Genome Biol, 16, 116. STOUFFS, K., VANDERMAELEN, D., TOURNAYE, H., LIEBAERS, I. & LISSENS, W. 974 975 2011. Mutation analysis of three genes in patients with maturation arrest of 976 spermatogenesis and couples with recurrent miscarriages. Reproductive Biomedicine 977 Online, 22, 65-71. SUI, Y., CHEN, Q. & SUN, X. 2015. Association of skewed X chromosome inactivation and 978 idiopathic recurrent spontaneous abortion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 979 980 Reprod Biomed Online, 31, 140-8. 981 SUZUKI, T., BEHNAM, M., RONASIAN, F., SALEHI, M., SHIINA, M., KOSHIMIZU, E., 982 FUJITA, A., SEKIGUCHI, F., MIYATAKE, S., MIZUGUCHI, T., NAKASHIMA, 983 M., OGATA, K., TAKEDA, S., MATSUMOTO, N. & MIYAKE, N. 2018. A 984 homozygous NOP14 variant is likely to cause recurrent pregnancy loss. J Hum Genet, 985 63, 425-430.

986	ISURUSAKI, Y., YONEZAWA, R., FURUYA, M., NISHIMURA, G., POOH, R. K.,
987	NAKASHIMA, M., SAITSU, H., MIYAKE, N., SAITO, S. & MATSUMOTO, N.
988	2014. Whole exome sequencing revealed biallelic IFT122 mutations in a family with
989	CED1 and recurrent pregnancy loss. <i>Clin Genet</i> , 85, 592-4.
990	ULKER V GURKAN H TOZKIR H KARAMAN V OZGUR H NUMANOGU
001	C GEDIKRASI A AKRAVIR O & UVGUNEP Z O 2013 Novel NI PP7
002	C., OLDIRDASI, A., ARDATIR, O. & UTOURLE, Z. O. 2015. NOVEMERT /
992	mutations in familiar recurrent hydautorioni mole: are NLRP / mutations a risk for
993	recurrent reproductive wastage ? Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 1/0, 188-92.
994	VIAGGI, C. D., CAVANI, S., MALACARNE, M., FLORIDDIA, F., ZEREGA, G.,
995	BALDO, C., MOGNI, M., CASTAGNETTA, M., PIOMBO, G., COVIELLO, D. A.,
996	CAMANDONA, F., LIJOI, D., INSEGNO, W., TRAVERSA, M. & PIERLUIGI, M.
997	2013. First-trimester euploid miscarriages analysed by array-CGH. Journal of Applied
998	Genetics, 54, 353-9.
999	WANG, Y., CHENG, Q., MENG, L., LUO, C., HU, H., ZHANG, J., CHENG, J., XU, T.,
1000	JIANG, T., LIANG, D., HU, P. & XU, Z. 2017. Clinical application of SNP array
1001	analysis in first-trimester pregnancy loss: a prospective study, 1, 849-858.
1002	WARREN, J. E., TUROK, D. K., MAXWELL, T. M., BROTHMAN, A. R. & SILVER, R.
1003	M 2009 Array comparative genomic hybridization for genetic evaluation of fetal loss
1004	hetween 10 and 20 weeks of gestation <i>Obstet Gynecol</i> 114 1093-102
1005	WILBE M EKVALL S EURENIUS K ERICSON K CASAR-BOROTA O KLAR
1005	I DAHI N AMELIR A ANNEREN G & BONDESON M I 2015 MuSK 3
1000	now target for lethal fatel alignedia deformation sequence (EADS). Lewred of Medical
1007	Consting 52, 105, 202
1008	UT CON D CEVED C IL DEISCIC I DOSE I SZUMSKA D HADDMAN E
1009	WILSON, K., UETEK, S. H., KEISSIU, L., KUSE, J., SZUMISKA, D., HAKDMAN, E.,
1010	PRIN, F., MCGUIRE, C., RAMIREZ-SOLIS, R., WHITE, J., GALLI, A., TUDOR,
1011	C., TUCK, E., MAZZEO, C. I., SMITH, J. C., ROBERTSON, E., ADAMS, D. J.,
1012	MOHUN, T. & WENINGER, W. J. 2016. Highly variable penetrance of abnormal
1013	phenotypes in embryonic lethal knockout mice. <i>Wellcome Open Res</i> , 1.
1014	WRIGHT, C. F., FITZGERALD, T. W., JONES, W. D., CLAYTON, S., MCRAE, J. F.,
1015	VAN KOGELENBERG, M., KING, D. A., AMBRIDGE, K., BARRETT, D. M.,
1016	BAYZETINOVA, T., BEVAN, A. P., BRAGIN, E., CHATZIMICHALI, E. A.,
1017	GRIBBLE, S., JONES, P., KRISHNAPPA, N., MASON, L. E., MILLER, R.,
1018	MORLEY, K. I., PARTHIBAN, V., PRIGMORE, E., RAJAN, D., SIFRIM, A.,
1019	SWAMINATHAN, G. J., TIVEY, A. R., MIDDLETON, A., PARKER, M.,
1020	CARTER, N. P., BARRETT, J. C., HURLES, M. E., FITZPATRICK, D. R. &
1021	FIRTH, H. V. 2015. Genetic diagnosis of developmental disorders in the DDD study:
1022	a scalable analysis of genome-wide research data, Lancet, 385, 1305-14.
1023	ZHANG, H., LIU, W., CHEN, M., LI, Z., SUN, X. & WANG, C. 2016. Implementation of a
1024	High-Resolution Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Array in Analyzing the Products
1024	of Conception Genetic Testing & Molecular Riomarkers 20, 352-8
1025	THANG V LLC EAN V CULV HUANG S MA L VAN L & CHEN 7 L 2015
1020	ZHANO, T., LI, O., FAN, T., COI, T., HOANO, S., MA, J., TAN, J. & CHEN, Z. J. 2013. Noval missance mutation in WNT6 in 100 couples with unevalained recurrent
1027	Nover missense mutation in will form 100 couples with unexplained recurrent
1028	IIIIscantage. Hum Keproa, 50, 994-9.
1029	ZHANG, I. A., ZHANG, I. P., GU, I., GUAN, F. J., LI, S. L., XIE, J. S., SHEN, Y., WU,
1030	B. L., JU, W., JENKINS, E. C., BROWN, W. T. & ZHONG, N. 2009. Genetic
1031	analysis of first-trimester miscarriages with a combination of cytogenetic karyotyping,
1032	microsatellite genotyping and arrayCGH. <i>Clin Genet</i> , 75, 133-40.
1033	ZHENG, H. Y., TANG, Y., NIU, J., LI, P., YE, D. S., CHEN, X., SHI, X. Y., LI, L. &
1034	CHEN, S. L. 2013. Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in human

1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041	 spontaneous abortions after assisted reproduction techniques and natural conception. <i>Human Reproduction</i>, 28, 265-273. ZHOU, Q., WU, S. Y., AMATO, K., DIADAMO, A. & LI, P. 2016. Spectrum of Cytogenomic Abnormalities Revealed by Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization on Products of Conception Culture Failure and Normal Karyotype Samples. <i>J Genet Genomics</i>, 43, 121-31. 	
1042	Figure legend	 Formatted: Font: Bold
1043	۸	 Formatted: Font: Bold
1044	Figure 1 PRISM flow diagram for a systematic review of the potential genetic causes of	 Formatted: No underline
1045	miscarriage in euploid pregnancies.	i officiated. No undefinite
1046		