

**Southern Cross University**  
**ePublications@SCU**

---

School of Environment, Science and Engineering  
Papers

School of Environment, Science and Engineering

---

2013

# Precision of inventory using different edge overlap methods

P.W. West  
*Southern Cross University*

---

## Publication details

Postprint of: West, PW 2013, 'Precision of inventory using different edge overlap methods', *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 1081-1083.

Published version available from:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0320>

ePublications@SCU is an electronic repository administered by Southern Cross University Library. Its goal is to capture and preserve the intellectual output of Southern Cross University authors and researchers, and to increase visibility and impact through open access to researchers around the world. For further information please contact [epubs@scu.edu.au](mailto:epubs@scu.edu.au).

# Precision of inventory using different edge overlap methods

P.W. West

School of Environment, Science and Engineering, Southern Cross University, P.O. Box 157,  
Lismore, NSW 2480, Australia.

E-mail: pwest@nor.com.au

Author responsible for correspondence

P.W. West

School of Environment, Science and Engineering, Southern Cross University, P.O. Box 157,  
Lismore, NSW 2480, Australia.

Phone: + 61 2 6624 3966 Fax: None

E-mail: pwest@nor.com.au

# Precision of inventory using different edge overlap methods

**Abstract:** Bias due to the sampling procedure may occur in estimates from forest inventory when sampled trees are close to the forest edge. The ‘mirage’, ‘walkthrough’ and ‘walk through and fro’ methods are three practically useful measurement methods developed to avoid this problem. However, as an increasing proportion of the sample requires use of these methods, the precision of the population estimates made from the sample is likely to decline. Simulation studies were undertaken of forest inventory, using point sampling, to estimate mean stand basal area and stocking density in both an even-aged, monoculture radiata pine plantation forest and an uneven-aged, multi-species, complex primary rainforest. In both forest types, bias arising from use of any of the three methods appeared to be negligible. As well, precision of estimates from the inventory was reduced only slightly even when a high proportion of the samples required use of any one of the three methods. None of the methods appeared appreciably superior in this respect to any of the others. It was concluded that use of any of the three methods was unlikely to have any substantial effect on the overall precision of estimates made from forest inventory.

## Introduction

Tree growth at the forest edge can differ from that in the interior of the forest; this occurs because trees near the edge have extra space into which they may grow and because micro-climatic factors vary between the edge and the interior. Thus, it is important in forest inventory that the sampling procedure used allows that trees near the forest edge be included properly in the sample or bias may occur in the estimates of the forest characteristics made from the sample. This note is concerned with techniques that have been developed for forest inventory to ensure that sampling near the forest edge is conducted appropriately.

Taking a sample of trees in forest inventory involves assigning implicitly an area about each tree within which the sample point must lie for that tree to be included in the sample; this area is known as the ‘inclusion zone’ of the tree. For point samples, the inclusion zone of any tree within the

29 vicinity of the sample point is a circular area centered about the tree with a radius of  $D/(2\sqrt{\beta})$  m,  
30 where  $D$  is the diameter at breast height of the tree (cm) and  $\beta$  is the basal area factor ( $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ )  
31 being used for the point sample; the methods of point sampling are described in forest meas-  
32 urement texts (e.g. Schreuder et al. 1993, pp. 117-122; Gregoire and Valentine 2008, Chap.  
33 8). For fixed area plot samples, the inclusion zone of any tree equals the plot area; its geometrical po-  
34 sition depends on the plot shape and the tree position within the plot (Gregoire and Valentine 2008,  
35 pp. 210-215).

36 Bias may occur in estimates from forest inventory when any sample includes at least one tree  
37 located sufficiently close to the forest edge that part of its inclusion zone falls outside the forest  
38 (Gregoire and Valentine 2008, Sect. 7.5). Known as the ‘boundary overlap’ or ‘edge effect’ problem,  
39 this bias tends to lead to under-estimates in the inventory results (Iles 2003, p. 624). It is a conse-  
40 quence of the sampling procedure itself, not of the fact that trees close to the forest edge may grow  
41 and develop somewhat differently from trees growing well inside the forest

42 Since the 1950s, a number of ‘edge overlap’ methods have been proposed to avoid this problem  
43 (Schreuder et al. 1993, pp. 297-301; Iles 2003, Chap. 14; Gregoire and Valentine 2008, pp. 223-231).  
44 Two of these, the ‘mirage’ method (Schmid-Haas 1969) and the ‘walkthrough’ method (Ducey et al.  
45 2004), have been found to be practical and are in common use (Iles 2003, Chap. 14). Both are difficult  
46 to apply where the sample falls close to a corner of the forest edge or if the edge has a convoluted  
47 shape. A third, the ‘toss back’ method (Iles 2003, pp. 641-647), deals more satisfactorily with com-  
48 plex edge shapes but requires taking measurements outside the forest edge; this gives problems if the  
49 edge adjoins a lake or a cliff. The method has been little used in practice. The fourth, the ‘walk  
50 through and fro’ method (Flewelling and Strunk 2013) deals effectively with complex edge shapes,  
51 does not require going beyond the forest edge and appears to avoid other practical problems that exist  
52 with the other methods. However, there is some complexity in its use. Because it is newly developed,  
53 it has had little practical use to date. The mirage and walkthrough methods retain some bias under  
54 some circumstances. Although this bias is likely to be small, it means that those two methods do not  
55 resolve the edge effect completely. Both the toss back and walk through and fro methods are unbiased

56 estimators (Iles 2003, p. 641; Gregoire and Valentine 2008, pp. 223-231; Flewelling and Strunk 2013)  
57 and so deal fully with the edge effect.

58         Whilst these methods have been developed to avoid the edge effect, the precision of estimates  
59 made using any of them is likely to be reduced as the proportion of samples that requires their use  
60 increases. For most forest inventories over large forest areas, the majority of samples will be taken  
61 well away from the forest edge where use of these methods is unnecessary. However, when they are  
62 needed, the more samples taken that require their use the greater will be their impact on the precision  
63 of the final estimates from the inventory.

64         Through simulation studies, this work explores the practical impact on precision of estimates  
65 made from forest inventory with the commonly used mirage and walkthrough methods and with the  
66 newly proposed walk through and fro method.

## 67 **Methods**

68         Inventory simulations were done using point sampling to estimate stand mean basal area and  
69 stand mean stocking density in two diverse forest types. The first was an 11-year-old radiata  
70 pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) plantation, located in temperate south-eastern South Australia  
71 (37° 44'S, 140° 43'E), that had been thinned at 9 years of age. Simulations were based on  
72 measurements available to the author from that forest. It was assumed the trees were planted  
73 in rows, with 3 m between trees in a row and 4.4 m between rows. The second forest type  
74 was a primary, closed canopy rainforest growing in a warm temperate climate on Yakushima  
75 Island in southern Japan (30° 25'N, 131° 25'E). The forest was dominated by the Isu tree  
76 (*Distylium racemosum* Siebold. & Zucc), with *Litsea acuminata* (Teschner) Kosterm. and  
77 *Neolitsea aciculata* (Blume) Koidz. also prominent, together with 27 other tree species. Sim-  
78 ulations were based on information provided for this forest by Kohyama (1986). It was as-  
79 sumed that trees were positioned randomly in this forest.

80         The frequency distributions of tree diameters at breast height over bark of these two  
81 forest types are shown in Fig. 1. Their shapes are typical of an even-aged, species monocul-

82 ture plantation forest and an uneven-aged, multi-species, complex primary rainforest. They  
83 were chosen to represent a wide range of circumstances that might be encountered in forest  
84 inventory. In the simulations, diameters were ascribed randomly to trees to be consistent with  
85 these diameter distributions.

86 For the simulations it was assumed that the forest population was scattered over the  
87 landscape in circular tracts; a circular shape was chosen as it simplified the geometry in-  
88 volved. This means that the edge of any tract was slightly curved; this may render some slight  
89 bias in the mirage method, but not the walkthrough method (Gregoire and Valentine 2008, p.  
90 229) nor the walk through and fro method (Flewelling and Strunk 2013).

91 Sample points were chosen at random locations within any tract. A basal area factor of  
92  $3 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha}$  was used in the radiata pine simulations and a factor of  $4 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha}$  in the rainforest simu-  
93 lations; these gave an average of 9 trees included in the point samples. If part of the inclusion  
94 zone of any tree included in any point sample lay beyond the forest edge, each of the mirage,  
95 walkthrough and walk through and fro techniques was applied to that tree. That sample was  
96 then termed an 'edge point' sample because it included at least one tree for which the edge  
97 overlap methods had been applied.

98 A large number of simulations was carried out to give a large set of samples. Samples  
99 were identified as edge point samples or not. Small tract areas (0.45 ha for the radiata pine  
100 and 4.2 ha for the rainforest) were used in the simulations to ensure that results were obtained  
101 frequently for edge point samples.

## 102 **Results and conclusions**

103 Table 1 shows estimates of population mean stand basal area and mean stand stocking density  
104 when a sample of size 100 was drawn at random from the entire set of simulated samples to represent  
105 a random sample drawn from each of the two forest types. Results are shown for the cases that the  
106 sample included no edge points or that the sample was composed entirely of edge points. Also shown

107 are confidence limits about the means, expressed as a proportion of the means; confidence limits were  
108 determined simply from the variance of the selected sample with  $p=0.05$ .

109 The results show no evidence of any appreciable bias in the estimates of mean stand basal area  
110 or stocking density; the confidence limits about the estimates always included the population true  
111 mean. Whilst both the mirage and walkthrough methods have inherent bias, it is evident from these  
112 results that this is negligible in a practical sense.

113 As expected, all of the mirage, walkthrough and walk through and fro methods gave propor-  
114 tional confidence limits somewhat higher than the cases where there were no edge points. However,  
115 the increases were modest, generally being no more than 2-5%. As Flewelling and Strunk (2013) sug-  
116 gested, the walk through and fro method was generally slightly more precise than the walkthrough  
117 method. As might be expected also, the precision of the estimates from the radiata pine plantation was  
118 higher than that from the rather more variable primary rainforest.

119 Similar results were obtained if the sample size was increased, except that the size of the confi-  
120 dence limits were reduced as is expected for larger samples. For example, increasing the sample size  
121 to 1,000 reduced the confidence limits for the estimates of stand stocking density in the primary rain-  
122 forest from the rather unsatisfactory levels of 23-25% in Table 1 to 7-8%.

123 In practical forest inventory of a forest estate of a large area, it would be common for edge  
124 point samples to occur less frequently than non-edge point samples. However, a high proportion of  
125 edge point samples could be expected where the forest population was scattered widely over the land-  
126 scape in many rather small areas, where the landscape was more broken with riparian areas forming  
127 long edges running through the forest or where there were many gaps within the forest making long  
128 edges.

129 The present results suggested there was only a slight difference in precision of the three edge  
130 overlap methods considered here and that the bias inherent in two of them was insufficient to be of  
131 practical importance. This suggests that any of them would generally be suitable for practical invento-  
132 ry. The choice of which to use would depend on the circumstances of the forest being considered and,  
133 in particular, the complexity of the shape of the forest edge at any point under consideration. Indeed,  
134 there appears to be no inherent reason why all three could not be employed in the one inventory;

135 whichever method is appropriate and easiest would be used for any edge plot that is being consid-  
136 ered.

137 The results suggest also that inclusion of edge plots in the sample will reduce the precision of  
138 estimates from the inventory as a whole only slightly, even when edge plots occur relatively frequent-  
139 ly.

## 140 **Acknowledgements**

141 The data from the radiata pine forest were collected by forest measurement students of South-  
142 ern Cross University. I am grateful to Forestry SA, Mt. Gambier, South Australia, who allowed access  
143 to their plantations for this. Dr J. Flewelling, Mr A. Goodwin and Dr K. Iles were kind enough to  
144 make useful comments on the manuscript.

## References

- Ducey, M.J., Gove, J.H., and Valentine, H.T. 2004. A walkthrough solution to the boundary overlap problem. *For. Sci.* 50: 427-435.
- Flewelling, J.W., and Strunk, J.L. 2013. The walk through and fro estimator for edge bias avoidance. *For. Sci.* 59: 223-230.
- Gregoire, T.G., and Valentine, H.T. 2008. *Sampling strategies for natural resources and the environment*. Chapman & Hall/DRC, Boca Raton, Fla.
- Iles, K. 2003. *A sampler of inventory topics*. Kim Isles & Associates Ltd., Nanimo, B.C.
- Kohyama, T. 1986. Tree size structure of stands and each species in primary warm-temperate rain forests of southern Japan. *Bot. Mag. Tokyo* 99: 267-279.
- Schmid-Haas, P. 1969. Stichproben am Walrand. *Mitt. Schweiz. Anst. Forstl. Versuchswes.* 45: 234-303.
- Schreuder, H.T., Gregoire, T.G., Wood, G.B. 1993. *Sampling methods for multiresource forest inventory*. Wiley, New York.

**Table 1.** Estimates of population mean stand basal area and stocking density, together with their confidence limits (expressed as a proportion of the mean and determined with  $p=0.05$ ), from simulations using 100 sample points. Results are shown where the sample included no edge points or, for different edge overlap methods, where all the sample points were edge points. The population true mean values of stand basal area and stand stocking density for the radiata pine plantation were 27.6 m<sup>2</sup>/ha and 758 stems/ha, respectively, and for the rainforest were 36.8 m<sup>2</sup>/ha and 3,638 stems/ha.

| Type of sample                                    | Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> /ha) |                      | Stocking density (stems/ha) |                      |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|   | Mean                            | Confidence limit (%) | Mean                        | Confidence limit (%) |
| Radiata pine plantation                           |                                 |                      |                             |                      |
| No edge points                                    | 27.4                            | 3.1                  | 727                         | 3.0                  |
| Edge points only with mirage method               | 27.3                            | 3.5                  | 764                         | 7.5                  |
| Edge points only with walkthrough method          | 26.7                            | 5.5                  | 748                         | 8.6                  |
| Edge points only with walk through and fro method | 27.2                            | 4.5                  | 762                         | 8.0                  |
| Primary rainforest                                |                                 |                      |                             |                      |
| No edge points                                    | 36.2                            | 6.1                  | 4,061                       | 23.5                 |
| Edge points only with mirage method               | 38.6                            | 6.8                  | 3,434                       | 25.3                 |
| Edge points only with walkthrough method          | 38.1                            | 7.4                  | 3,369                       | 25.1                 |
| Edge points only with walk through and fro method | 38.7                            | 7.0                  | 3,381                       | 25.1                 |

**Fig. 1.** Frequency distributions of tree diameters at breast height over bark for a radiata pine plantation in South Australia (○—○) and a primary rainforest in southern Japan (●- -●) as used for the basis of the simulations performed here.

