**NERC Open Research Archive** haring our research



**Ecology & Hydrology** NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

# Article (refereed) - postprint

Meiri, Shai; Bauer, Aaron M.; Chirio, Laurent; Colli, Guarino R.; Das, Indraneil; Doan, Tiffany M.; Feldman, Anat; Herrera, Fernando-Castro; Novosolov, Maria; Pafilis, Panayiotis; Pincheira-Donoso, Daniel; Powney, Gary; Torres-Carvajal, Omar; Uetz, Peter; Van Damme, Raoul. 2013. **Are lizards feeling the heat?: a tale of ecology and evolution under two temperatures**. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22 (7). 834-845. [10.1111/geb.12053](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12053) 

© 2013 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

This version available<http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/504711/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

**This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.**

The definitive version is available at [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/)

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk





**ABSTRACT**

**Aim**

 Temperature influences most components of animal ecology and life history – but what kind of temperature? Physiologists usually examine the influence of body temperatures, while biogeographers and macroecologists mostly focus on environmental temperatures. We used a large (861 species) global dataset of lizard body temperatures, and the mean annual temperatures across their geographic ranges to examine the relationships between these two measures. **Location:** Worldwide **Methods:** We examined factors influencing body temperatures, and tested for the influence of both body and mean annual temperatures on ecological and life history traits, while accounting for the influence of shared ancestry. **Results:** Body temperatures and mean annual temperatures are uncorrelated. However, accounting for activity time (nocturnal species have low body temperatures), use of space (fossorial and semi- aquatic species were "colder"), insularity (mainland species are "hotter") and phylogeny, the two temperatures are positively correlated. High body temperatures are only associated with larger hatchlings (contra the temperature size rule) and with increased rates of biomass production. Annual temperatures are positively correlated with clutch frequency and annual longevity, and negatively correlated with clutch size, age at first reproduction and longevity . High annual temperatures are positively correlated with productivity and brood frequency, but negatively correlated with clutch size, age at first reproduction, and longevity.

 **Main conclusions:** Cold-bodied lizards do not seem to have 'slower' life history attributes thanspecies with high body temperatures. The longer seasons prevalent in warm regions, and the ability to carry on physiological processes while inactive (but warm enough), make environmental temperatures better predictors of lizard life history variation than body temperatures. This

- 
- surprisingly greater effect of environmental temperatures on lizard life histories hints that global
- warming may have a profound influence on lizards.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

 Animal fitness is heavily influenced by temperature acting on ecological and life history traits (Angilletta 2009). Temperature has therefore increasingly been recognized as a major factor driving multiple aspects of animal ecology, physiology and evolution (Avery et al. 1982; Adolph and Porter 1993). For example, the metabolic theory of ecology stresses that temperature, through its effect on metabolic rates, greatly influences virtually all life history attributes of organisms (e.g., Brown et al. 2004) , hence, having enormous impact of ecological and evolutionary dynamics. Temperature has been found to affect most components of lizard ecological and reproductive performance, such as sprint speed, metabolic rate, foraging, fecundity, and survival (e.g., Van Damme et al. 1989, 1991; Niewiarowski and Waldschmidt 1992; Pafilis et al. 2007; Angilletta 2009).

 The influence of temperature on ecological and evolutionary processes has traditionally been investigated through two different approaches: while physiologists tend to study body temperatures of active animals, most biogeographic and macroecological studies focus on environmental temperatures. Thus, for example, ambient temperatures are often closely correlated with lizard species richness (Schall and Pianka 1978; Currie 1991, cf. Powney et al. 2010). Indeed, Hawkins et al. (2003) identified lizards as the only group in which measures of ambient energy are usually the strongest correlate of richness. The use of environmental temperatures, such as mean annual temperature, probably partly stems from an assumption (rarely made explicit) that the two measures are strongly and positively correlated. Buckley et al. (2008), for example, used environmental temperatures to model lizard densities, assuming that these temperatures reflect body temperatures. They modeled the thermal environment based on environmental temperatures and day length, assuming that "lizards are active for three-quarters of the daylight period". Such an inclusive model may, however, be inappropriate for actively thermoregulating lizards, particularly for nocturnal species.

 We use a large-scale, phylogenetic comparative approach to characterize the environmental and body temperatures saurians and amphisbaenians (henceforth 'lizards') live in. We examine the relationship between annual temperatures and body temperatures, and ecological factors that affect it. Finally, we test which of these two temperature measures better explains lizard life history attributes.

#### *Factors affecting body temperatures*

 Herbivorous lizards are thought to maintain high body temperatures to facilitate microbe- assisted fermentation of plant material (Janzen 1973). It was therefore assumed that herbivorous lizards cannot inhabit cold areas because they would be unable to achieve the high body temperatures required for plant digestion (King 1996). Nevertheless, in some of the coldest areas inhabited by reptiles, *Liolaemus* lizards have repeatedly evolved herbivory, by successfully maintaining high body temperatures in association with a tendency for small body mass to accelerate heating rates (Espinoza et al. 2004; Pincheira-Donoso et al. 2008).

 Fossorial lizards are thought to have low body temperatures (e.g., Withers 1981) because they cannot readily increase their body temperature by basking (Avery et al. 1982). Similarly, because of the high thermal conductance of the aquatic environment, we expect semi-aquatic species to have low body temperatures (Mesquita et al. 2006). Finally, islands often harbor fewer predators, enabling lizards to thermoregulate more effectively (Case 1982).

*Temperature and lizard life history*

 High body temperatures are thought to enhance reproduction, because lizard metabolic rates increase with temperatures over most of the temperature range at which they are active (Huey et al. 1989; Angilletta et al. 2010). High environmental temperatures are also associated with longer diel and annual periods of activity that facilitate higher energy intake through prolonged foraging (Bueno and Lopez-Urrutia 2012). Tropical lizards are therefore usually active year-round, and can

 produce multiple clutches each year (Fitch, 1970; Cox et al., 2003; Meiri et al., 2012). In contrast, cold climate lizards may be active only during summer (as little as four months in northern populations of *Zootoca vivipara*, Szczerbak 2003). They may also be active for relatively short periods of the diel cycle, and can thus usually lay a single annual clutch – or less (Meiri et al., 2012).

 The size of a single brood, however, may increase with decreasing environmental temperatures (Ricklefs 1980; Jetz et al. 2008). Lower temperatures may be associated with a higher productivity pulse (Huston and Wolverton 2011), enabling high latitude species to invest more in a single clutch. Furthermore, the lower climatic predictability and high winter mortality associated with low temperatures may select for large clutches (Evans et al. 2005). Increasing clutch size with decreasing temperature can also result from fecundity selection to compensate for reduced opportunities for reproduction (Pincheira-Donoso and Tregenza 2011).

 We test the following predictions: (1) because lizard thermoregulate actively, their body temperatures are less variable than mean annual temperatures – but the two temperature measures are nonetheless positively correlated; (2) diurnal, herbivorous, surface-active, and insular lizards have higher body temperatures than nocturnal, carnivorous, semi-aquatic or fossorial, and continental species; (3) temperatures greatly affect lizard life history: high temperatures (both body- and environmental) are associated with fast growth to maturity, short lifespan, oviparity, fast brooding rates, relatively few, large hatchlings, and overall high rates of biomass production.

### **METHODS**

**Data**

 We collated a dataset of 861 species belonging to 36 of the 42 families of saurians and amphisbaenians from across the globe (Appendix S1). Lizard body temperatures, life history and



 We controlled for the effects of body size by using species-specific body mass as a covariate in all analyses. Masses were calculated from maximum snout-vent lengths (SVL), the most common proxy for body size in lizards (Meiri 2008), using equations developed by Pincheira-Donoso et al.

 (2011; for Liolaemidae), Novosolov et al. (In Press, for different gecko families and for *Anolis*) and Meiri (2010, for all other lineages). Weights of legged anguids were calculated using the equation 170  $\log$  mass = 3.48  $*$  log (SVL) -5.765 (Appendix S2).

 For life history analyses we used mean SVL of adult females rather than maximum species SVL as a measure of adult size, because the maxima are often of males of highly sexually dimorphic species, but the reproductive characteristics (e.g., clutch size and hatchling size) in these species are more likely to be influenced by female, rather than by male size. We then converted female and hatchling SVLs to masses using the same equations described above. In some cases female and hatchling SVL were unavailable, and we used published mass data instead. If neither data were available, we used mean SVL of unsexed adults, unless we had indication (e.g., from maximum SVL) that a species is sexually dimorphic – in which case they were omitted from further analyses. We classified lizards as either carnivores (>90% animal food by volume), omnivores (50-90 % animal food) or herbivores (>50% plant food). Reproductive mode was classified as viviparous or oviparous. We treated ovoviviparous species as viviparous, because we are interested in whether gravid females retain their young in the oviduct during pregnancy or whether they lay eggs that are exposed to environmental temperatures. Species that have both oviparous and viviparous populations were classified according to the characteristics of the population for which body temperature was measured. In a preliminary analysis, we found no significant differences between the thermal responses of different categories of surface-active lizards (i.e., terrestrial, arboreal and saxicolous, results not shown), and we therefore examined microhabitat use in three elements: air (the three categories outlined above and their combinations), water (semi-aquatic species), and earth (fossorial species). We find this particularly appropriate a categorization to examine Kleiber's (1961) "fire of life" (i.e., an animal's metabolic rate). To examine the effects of activity periods we divided lizards into diurnal, cathemeral (active both day and night) and nocturnal. We did not have sufficient species-specific data to classify diurnal species as heliotherms or shade-loving species. The life history traits we examined are clutch/litter size, hatchling/neonate size, clutch/litter

 frequency (per year), and their product ("productivity", see Meiri et al. 2012), as well as mean age at sexual maturity and maximum longevity. We used mean values for all continuous variables when available. If more than one mean was available for a species, we averaged the highest and lowest mean values.

### *Analyses*

 We log-transformed masses, clutch size, brood frequency, productivity, age at first breeding and longevity to comply with the assumptions of parametric tests. We used multiple regression and analyses of co-variance to test the various hypotheses, as appropriate. To examine, and correct for, the potential effects of phylogenetic relatedness between species, we assembled a composite species-level phylogeny (Appendix S3) from published phylogenetic trees, following the broad-scale squamate tree of Wiens et al. (2010).

 Because branch lengths were often lacking, or not always easily comparable, we scaled branches to make the tree ultrametric using the cladogram transform in FigTree (Rambaut 2010). All analyses were then duplicated to account for phylogenetic non-independence by using phylogenetic generalized least square (PGLS) regression, adjusting the strength of phylogenetic 209 non-independence using the maximum likelihood value of the scaling parameter  $\lambda$  (Pagel 1999) 210 implemented in the R package caper (Orme et al. 2012). Pagel's  $\lambda$  is a multiplier of the off-diagonal elements of the variance-covariance matrix, which provides the best fit of the Brownian motion model to the tip data, and ranges between zero (no phylogenetic signal) and one (phylogenetic signal that depends on branch lengths, as in analysis of phylogenetically independent contrasts). All analyses were carried out using R version 2.14.0.

 We examined the relationship of life history characteristics versus body and environmental temperatures. We tested each relationship three times, directly ("non-phylogenetic" models), using 217 family as a fixed effect, and correcting for phylogeny using Pagel's lambda. We report means  $\pm 1$ 218 standard deviation and used a significance level of 5% in all tests.



#### 220 **RESULTS**

 Our dataset (Appendix S1) covers much of the variation in mean annual environmental 222 temperatures experienced by lizards: in our dataset values range from 0.0°C for *Zootoca vivipara* to 223 27.7 °C for *Anolis taylori* (mean =  $19.0 \pm 5.8$  °C). Across all lizards for which we have geographic 224 data (i.e., not only those we had body temperature data for,  $n = 4608$ ), the corresponding figures are  $-3.6 \degree C$  (*Phrynocephalus lidskii*) to 29.8 °C (*Hemidactylus bavazzanoi*), mean = 20.9  $\pm$  5.3<sub>SD</sub>. The 226 body temperatures of lizards we analyze range from 14.95<sup>o</sup>C in *Pachydactylus rangei* to 44.3<sup>o</sup>C in *Diporiphora bilineata,* mean 31.4 ± 4.9 (Appendix S1). In general, body temperatures of active lizards are higher than mean annual temperatures in their environment: body temperatures of only 25 of 861 species (2.9%) are lower than their respective mean annual environmental temperatures. Of these species 18 are tropical, and 11 (including all seven temperate zone species) are nocturnal (Appendix S1). The average lizard body temperature is 12.4 higher than the mean annual temperature. While body temperature range is 233 similar to environmental temperature range  $(29.4 \text{ vs. } 27.8 \text{ °C})$ , the coefficient of variation for the former (15%) is less than half that of the latter (31%; Figure 1).

235 Body and environmental temperatures are uncorrelated (slope =  $0.039 \pm 0.029$ , t = 1.34, p = 236 0.18,  $n = 861$ , Figure 2).

237 There are differences between lineages in body temperatures: mainly diurnal families (e.g., 238 teiids, phrynosomatids, iguanas, agamas, lacertids, monitors, all with mean body temperatures 239 higher than  $33.5^{\circ}$ C) show high body temperatures, while mainly nocturnal and burrowing families 240 (e.g., amphisbaenians and gecko lineages, all with mean body temperatures  $\geq 29^{\circ}$ C, Appendix S4) 241 show low temperatures.

#### 242 **Modeling lizard body temperatures**

243 We retrieved a significant relationship between body and mean annual temperatures (slope 0.13  $244 \pm 0.03$  degree of body temperature for every degree of environmental temperature), once we 245 accounted for several ecologically relevant factors. Correcting for the effects of body size, habitat, 246 activity time, and insularity (but not diet,  $F = 2.24$ ,  $p = 0.11$ ), body and environmental temperatures 247 are significantly and positively correlated. Body temperatures increase with body mass (slope 1.10  $248 \pm 0.19$ , p < 0.0001), semi aquatic and fossorial lizards have lower body temperatures than surface-249 active species (by 4.8 and 1.8 °C, respectively,  $n = 861$ . In this model omnivorous and herbivorous 250 lizards have higher body temperatures than carnivorous ones (see Appendix S5 for further statistical 251 details).

252 Diurnal lizards (n = 718) have higher body temperatures than nocturnal lizards (n = 89, mean 253  $32.5 \pm 4.2$  vs.  $25.1 \pm 4.6$ °C, t = 15.3, p < 0.0001; cathemeral species:  $27.8 \pm 4.2$ , n = 54), even 254 though they inhabit colder environments  $(18.8 \pm 6.0 \text{ vs. } 20.5 \pm 4.3 \degree \text{C}, t = 2.6, p = 0.009, \text{ cathemeral}$ 255 species:  $19.9 \pm 3.8 \degree C$ , Figure 3). Insular lizards are "colder" than mainland species by 2.4  $\degree C$  (p < 256 0.0001). This model explains 32.6% of the variation in lizard body temperatures, whereas a similar 257 model lacking environmental temperature explains 30.5%. Interestingly, in this model, body 258 temperatures of diurnal lizards increase with annual temperatures more gradually (slope =  $0.091 \pm$ 259 0.026), than body temperatures of cathemeral and nocturnal lizards (slopes =  $0.549 \pm 0.144$  and 260 0.499  $\pm$  0.100, respectively, p < 0.001 in all cases, Figure 4).

261 Accounting for phylogenetic relationships, body temperatures are positively, albeit weakly, 262 correlated with mean annual temperatures (slope =  $0.15 \pm 0.03$ , t = 5.3, p < 0.0001, n = 861, R<sup>2</sup> = 263 0.03). Adding the abovementioned factors, mass and diet drop out of the model ( $p = 0.89$  and 0.60, 264 respectively), but the effects of microhabitat (semi aquatic, vs. above ground only), and activity 265 time remain. Insularity is marginally non-significant ( $p = 0.057$ ). The best model now explains only 266 8.4% of the variation in lizard body temperatures.

 Partially accounting for phylogeny by using family as a factor, rather than as a nuisance variable as in the PGLS, more variance is explained: familial affiliation alone explains 50.3% of the variance in lizard body temperatures. The minimum adequate model for body temperatures includes Family, 270 annual temperature (slope  $0.213\pm0.026$ ), activity time (nocturnal species "colder" than diurnal ones 271 by  $4.8 \pm 0.7$  °C), and insularity (insular endemics "colder" by  $1.8 \pm 0.3$  °C), but neither diet (F = 0.3,  $p = 0.78$ ,  $n = 861$ ) nor body size (slope = -0.06  $\pm$  0.22, p = 0.80). This model explains 57.1% of the variation in life history, whereas a similar model without annual temperatures explains 53.9%. 

#### **The effects of temperature on lizard life history**

#### *Oviparity and viviparity*

277 Viviparous lizards ( $n = 174$ ) live, on average, at environmental temperatures fully 5.5 degrees 278 colder than oviparous species ( $n = 678$ , 14.6 vs. 20.1<sup>o</sup>C, respectively). Their body temperatures, 279 however, are only 1.9 degrees colder, on average  $(29.9 \text{ vs. } 31.8 \degree C)$ , the median body temperature is 280 only 0.8 degree colder, 31.5 vs. 32.3 °C, Figure 5).

 The average differences between mean annual temperatures encountered by egg- and live bearing species varies among lizard clades. In some taxa (e.g., Scincidae, Phrynosomatidae) the differences are relatively minor, whereas in others (Agamididae, Lacertidae) they are profound (Table 1). This difference is negatively correlated with the (log-transformed) proportion of 285 viviparous species in each family (Figure 6, n = 13 families,  $R^2 = 0.58$ , p = 0.003). In clades where viviparous species inhabit much colder areas than oviparous species viviparity is rare.

#### *Growth, longevity & reproduction*

 The relationships between temperature and life history variables are shown in Table 2. Values of lambda ranged from 0.51 for longevity to 0.87 for brood frequency, and were significantly different from both 0 and 1 at the 0.0001 level in all cases. Higher body temperatures are associated with larger offspring (contra the temperature size rule), and higher rates of biomass production

 ("productivity"). The association between high body temperatures and both lower age at first reproduction and large clutch sizes are supported only in non-phylogenetic models. Body temperature is correlated with neither clutch frequency, nor with longevity (Table 2a). Mean annual temperatures, however, have a much more pervasive effect, and are correlated with all response variables we examined, except with hatchling/neonate size (Table 2b). As expected, clutch frequency and productivity rates increase in hotter environments, whereas clutch size, age at first reproduction and longevity all decrease with increasing temperatures.

#### **DISCUSSION**

### *Body versus environmental temperatures*

 The body temperatures of active lizards are uncorrelated with the mean annual temperatures across their ranges. Lizards consistently achieve body temperatures that exceed environmental ones, by efficiently thermoregulating. Some clades, however, show greater differences between body and environmental temperature than others.

 Lizards inhabit regions with a wide range of environmental temperatures, but they hibernate in cold climates and are thus not exposed to the lowest temperatures. We found that nocturnal lizards inhabit warmer environments than diurnal ones. We posit that low night-time temperatures act as a biogeographic filter preventing the spread of nocturnal species to high latitudes and elevations that are nonetheless suitable to diurnal species. In keeping with this, species of archetypal nocturnal lineages, such as geckos, sometimes evolve diurnal activity in cold regions (e.g., the New Zealand genus *Naultinus* and the High-Atlas Mountains *Quedenfeltia*).

 The difference between our measure of environmental temperature, mean annual temperatures, and the environmental temperatures that lizards are active at is likely greater the colder the environment is. In cold regions, lizards are almost invariably diurnal, and active only in summer. By taking night temperatures into account, mean annual temperatures, probably underestimate the actual thermal preferences of diurnal lizards. The distribution of lizard body temperatures is highly

318 modal, with a mode of approximately 34°C (Figure 1, interquartile range 28.4-34.9; 95% of the 319 species have body temperatures between 20.6 and  $39.3^{\circ}$ C). Although body temperatures are often correlated with air temperatures in the field, they were uncorrelated with mean annual temperatures – a relationship that is found in mammals (which show an inverse relationship, Lovegrove 2003). These findings falsify our first hypothesis.

*Activity time*

324 • Activity time had the largest effect on lizard body temperatures (a difference of  $\sim$ 7.4 °C, on average, between diurnal and nocturnal species). Even among diurnal lineages, families consisting mainly of heliotherms (e.g., Lacertidae, Tropiduridae, Phrynosomatidae, Agamidae) were characterized by species having, on average, higher body temperatures than those with more shade- living species (e.g., Polychrotidae, Anguidae, Appendix S4). Fossorial lizards inhabit a colder medium than air (at least during the day), and have little opportunity to bask. Their thermoregulatory behavior probably constitutes mainly vertical movement within the ground – towards higher, warmer levels when they seek to increase their body temperature (Papenfuss 1982). Semi aquatic lizards, invariably diurnal, are active in a colder medium than air, which furthermore has a much higher thermal conductivity (Schmidt-Nielsen 1997). Thus their low body temperatures are in line with our prediction.

#### *Insularity*

 The low body temperatures of insular lizards are somewhat surprising. Case (1982) hypothesized that they have higher thermoregulatory ability and higher body temperatures than mainland species, because vigilance can be reduced in the absence of predators and basking can be enhanced. It may be that lizards can allow themselves to be active at lower than optimal body temperatures where predation pressure is relaxed, because sub-optimal performance is tolerated. We hypothesize that the three parameters of an effective thermoregulation: precision, effectiveness, and accuracy (Hertz et al. 1993), will be lower on predator-free islands. One must bear in mind, however, that islands vary greatly in their biotic and abiotic characteristics, hence different insular

environments select for a plethora of phenotypes rather than for a single optimum (Meiri 2007;

 Thomas et al. 2009; Raia et al. 2010; Pafilis et al. 2011). Furthermore, islands usually harbor much denser populations of lizards than do mainland areas (Buckley *et al.*, 2008; Novosolov et al., 2012), although the effects of this on lizard body temperatures remain unclear.

*Diet & size*

 Unexpectedly, we found no relationship between diet and body temperatures. Herbivory was often thought to be possible only in lizards with sufficiently high body temperatures (Pough 1973; Espinoza et al. 2004). Herbivorous, diurnal species in our dataset do have, on average, higher body 352 temperatures than omnivorous and carnivorous diurnal species (33.9 vs. 32.7 and 32.3 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively), but the differences are small. No herbivore is active at very low body temperatures 354 (except some South American *Phymaturus*, with a body temperature of 22.5<sup>o</sup>C, Ibargüengoytía et 355 al. 2008). Body temperatures of all other diurnal herbivores are higher than  $27^{\circ}$ C (those of the four 356 nocturnal and cathemeral herbivores in our dataset range from 25.2 to 33.4 °C; Appendix S1). The modal body temperatures of diurnal lizards are obviously sufficiently high to "maintain the internal compost heap" (Janzen 1973) of herbivorous species. The positive relationship between body size and body temperature disappears once phylogenetic affinities are accounted for, but obviously large lizards can easily achieve high body temperatures. Whether they can do so in cold environmental temperatures (i.e., how pervasive is Bergmann's Rule in lizards) remains to be studied.

*Life history*

 The geographic distribution of oviparous species has long been known to be constrained to regions warm enough for eggs, which cannot thermoregulate, to develop. Viviparous species, in contrast, can inhabit much colder regions (e.g. Shine 1983; 2005). Here we quantitatively show that viviparous species inhabit colder regions, but body temperatures of egg-laying and live-bearing species are much more alike than the difference in their thermal environment would suggest (Figure 5).

 We hypothesize that these differences reflect, to some extent, the relative difficulty of lizard clades to evolve viviparity. The difference between environmental temperatures encountered by viviparous and oviparous species is negatively correlated with the proportion of viviparous species in each family (Table 1, Figure 6). In skinks, for example, environmental temperatures of oviparous and viviparous taxa are similar but in agamids and lacertids viviparous species inhabit much colder areas. Viviparity has evolved multiple times in the former, but very few times in the latter (Blackburn 1999).

 Surprisingly, body temperatures are less related to lizard life history than mean annual temperatures. This is despite the former being directly relevant to activity and physiology, and the latter being a gross macroecological measure of temperature regimes, much of which are not encountered by the individual during activity (e.g., winter temperature for temperate-region species, daily temperatures for nocturnal species). Body temperatures are positively correlated with hatchling/neonate size, and productivity rates. Interestingly, this runs contra the temperature size rule. We have data for the age of maturity of only 241 species and about half (115) of them reach sexual maturity in a year or less. We suspect, however, that the true proportion is much higher, because such fast-maturing species are small (mean mass 12.8 g), and species that take longer to 385 mature are much larger (mean 91.2 g,  $n = 126$ ). The mean mass of species we have no maturation-386 age our dataset (17.8 g,  $n = 620$ ) is closer to the mass of the fast-maturing species than to that of the slow maturing ones. We therefore infer that most lizards mature in a year or less. If most lizards mature quickly, the low growth rates associated with cold temperatures are not compensated by longer growth periods. This can explain the association between low temperatures and small size. Hatchling size is the sole factor we found not to be correlated with mean annual temperatures. Increased annual temperatures are correlated with 'fast' life history strategy – the age at first reproduction and lifespan decrease, while reproductive frequency and overall productivity rates increase. The only shift towards a slower life history associated with increasing temperatures is a trend towards smaller clutches (or broods). Thus, lizards seem to follow the common avian pattern

 of larger clutches in colder regions (Ashmole's hypothesis, see Andrews and Rand 1974; Ricklefs 1980).

 We suggest that mean annual temperatures reflects the length of the lizard activity season, which in turn affect life history traits. We further suggest that substantial metabolic activity related to growth and reproduction in warm regions occurs when animals are asleep. Thus, in warm regions, lizards can forage for a longer part of the year, and of the day (but see Sinervo et al. 2010), and obtain more food. The assimilation of nutrients and the investment of energy into growth and reproduction in warm regions further occur for longer parts of the diel cycle. These translate to faster growth and enhanced reproduction. The patterns we observed can therefore result from lizards in warm environments being able to reproduce several times per year, whereas species inhabiting cold climates can only reproduce annually or less (Fitch 1970; Pincheira-Donoso and Tregenza 2011; Meiri et al. 2012). This acceleration of life history traits comes at a cost of reduced longevity, though whether "effective longevity" (the total amount of time spent active over the lifetime) is reduced remains to be studied. The two avenues open for lizards inhabiting cold regions are to increase their clutch or litter size, or increase their lifespan. Both strategies have been adopted. Clutch sizes are larger in cold regions (Andrews and Rand 1974; this study). Few taxa retain small clutches in cold areas. Nocturnal *Homonota* geckos inhabiting cold regions of the Andes, can take nine years to mature and are limited to one egg per clutch, and one clutch every one or two years (Ibargüengoytía 2008). The high longevity we found to be associated with life in cold region may enable such species to achieve lifetime reproductive success on par with warm-region taxa.

 Overall, we found that high temperatures accelerate lizard life history, as we predicted – especially it seems that, for lizards at least, hotter sex also means more (frequent) sex. The fact that environmental temperatures seem more important in shaping life history than do body temperatures, however, is surprising. These findings suggest that the increase in global temperature is likely to profoundly affect lizard life histories.

#### **Acknowledgements**



- Sinervo and an anonymous referee for valuable discussion. We thank Uri Roll for enlightening
- comments on a previous draft of this manuscript. Erez Maza and Meirion Hopkins have been
- indispensable in mapping lizard geographic ranges. Shai Meiri is supported by an Alon Fellowship.
- A.M. Bauer is supported by Grant DEB 0844523 from the National Science Foundation (USA).
- Guarino Colli is supported by CAPES, CNPq and FAPDF. D. Pincheira-Donoso is supported by the
- Leverhulme Trust.

#### **Supplementary material**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

- Appendix S1: Data and references for lizard body temperatures, natural & life history traits
- Appendix S2: data used to derive mass-length allometry for legged anguid lizards
- Appendix S3: Phylogenetic relationships of lizard in the dataset: newick code and references
- Appendix S4: mean body temperatures and mean annual temperatures in different lizard families
- Appendix S5 models of factors correlated with lizard body temperatures

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adolph, S. C. & Porter, W. P. (1993) Temperature, activity, and lizard life histories. *American Naturalist*, **142**, 273-295.
- Andrews, R. M. & Rand, A. S. (1974) Reproductive effort in anoline lizards. *Ecology*, **55**, 1317- 1327.
- Angilletta, M. J. (2009*) Thermal adaptation. A theoretical and empirical synthesis*. Oxford
- University Press, Oxford.
- Angilletta, M. J., Huey, R. B. & Frazier, M. R. (2010) Thermodynamic effects on organismal performance: is hotter better? *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **83**, 197-206.
- Avery, R. A. (1982) Field studies of body temperatures and thermoregulation. *Biology of the*
- *Reptilia*, **12**, 93-166.
- Bickford, D., Howard, S. D., Ng, D. J. J. & Sheridan, J. A. (2010) Impacts of climate change on the
- amphibians and reptiles of Southeast Asia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **19**, 1043-1062.
- Blackburn, D. G. (1999) Are viviparity and egg-guarding evolutionarily labile in squamates? *Herpetologica*, **55**, 556-573.
- Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M. & West, G. B. (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, **85**, 1771-1789.
- Buckley, L. B., Rodda, G. H. & Jetz, W. (2008) Thermal and energetic constraints on ectotherm
- abundance: a global test using lizards. *Ecology*, **89**, 48-55.
- Bueno, J. & Lopez-Urrutia, A. (2012) The offspring-development-time/offspring-number trade-off. *American Naturalist*, **179**, E196-E203.
- Case, T. J. (1982) Ecology and evolution of the insular giant chuckawallas, *Sauromalus hispidus*
- and Sauromalus varius. *Iguanas of the world: their behavior, ecology and conservation* (ed by G.
- M. Burghardt & A. S. Rand) pp. 184-212. Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, New Jersey.
- Cox, R. M., Skelly, S. L. and John-Alder, H. B. (2003) A comparative test of adaptive hypotheses
- for sexual size dimorphism in lizards. *Evolution*, **57**, 1653-1669.

- Currie, D. J. (1991) Energy and large-scale patterns of animal- and plant-species richness. *American Naturalist*, **137**, 27-49.
- Espinoza, R. E., Wiens, J. J. & Tracy, C. R. (2004) Recurrent evolution of herbivory in small, cold-
- climate lizards: breaking the ecophysiological rules of reptilian herbivory. *Proceedings of the*
- *National Academy of Sciences, USA*, **101**, 1681916824.
- Evans, K. L., Duncan, R. P., Blackburn, T. M. & Crick, H. Q. P. (2005) Investigating geographic
- variation in clutch size using a natural experiment. *Functional Ecology*, **19**, 616-624.
- Fitch, H. S. (1970) Reproductive cycles of lizards and snakes. *University of Kansas Museum of Natural History Miscellaneous Publications*, **52**, 1-247.
- Hawkins, B. A., Field, R., Cornell, H. V., Currie, D. J., Guegan, J. F., Kaufman, D. M., Kerr, J. T.,
- Mittelbach, G. G., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E. M., Porter, E. E. & Turner, J. R. G. (2003) Energy,
- water, and broad-scale geographic patterns of species richness. *Ecology*, **84**, 3105-3117.
- Hertz, P. E., Huey, R. B. & Stevenson, R. D. (1993) Evaluating temperature regulation by field-
- active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question. *American Naturalist*, **142**, 796-818.
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. (2005) Very high resolution
- interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, **25**,
- 1965-1978.
- Huey, R. B., Niewiarowski, P. H., Kaufmann, J. & Herron, J. C. (1989) Thermal biology of
- nocturnal ectotherms: is sprint performance of geckos maximal at low body temperatures?
- *Physiological Zoology*, **62**, 488-504.
- Huston, M. A. & Wolverton, S. (2011) Regulation of animal size by eNPP, Bergmann's rule, and related phenomena. *Ecological Monographs*, **81**, 349-405.
- Ibarguengoytia, N. R. (2008) Estretegias reproductivas en reptiles. *Herpetología de Chile* (ed. By
- M. A. Vidal & A. Labra), pp 391-425. Science Verlag Ediciones. Santiago, Chile.

- Ibarguengoytia, N. R., Ascota, J. C., Boretto, J. M., Villavicencio, H. J., Marinero, J. A. & Krenz, J.
- D. (2008) Field thermal biology in *Phymaturus* lizards: comparisons from the Andes to the
- Patagonian steppe in Argentina. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **72**, 1620-1630.
- Janzen, D. H. (1973) Sweep samples of tropical foliage insects: effects of seasons, vegetation types,
- elevation, time of day, and insularity. *Ecology*, **54**, 687-701.
- Jetz, W., Sekercioglu, C. H. & Bohning-Gaese, K. (2008) The worldwide variation in avian clutch size across species and space. *PLoS Biology*, **6**, e303.
- King, G. M. (1996) *Reptiles and herbivory*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Kleiber, M. (1961) *The fire of life. An introduction to animal energetics*. John Wiley, New York.
- Kohlsdorf, T. & Navas, C. A. (2006) Ecological constraints on the evolutionary association between
- field and preferred temperatures in Tropidurinae lizards. *Evolutionary Ecology*, **20**, 549-564.
- Lovegrove, B. G. (2003) The influence of climate on the basal metabolic rate of small mammals: a
- slow-fast metabolic continuum. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* B., **173**, 87-112.
- Meiri, S. (2007) Size evolution in island lizards. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **16**, 702-708.
- Meiri, S. (2008) Evolution and ecology of lizard body sizes. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **17**, 724-734.
- Meiri, S. (2010) Length–weight allometries in lizards. *Journal of Zoology*, **281**, 218-226.
- Meiri, S., Brown, J. H. & Sibly, R. M. (2012) The ecology of lizard reproductive output. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **21**, 592-602.
- Mesquita, D. O., Colli, G. R., Costa, G. C., Franca, F. G. R., Garda, A. A. & Peres, A. K. (2006) At
- the water's edge: ecology of semiaquatic teiids in Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Herpetology*, **40**, 221-229.
- Niewiarowski, P. H., & Waldschmidt, S. R. (1992) Variation in metabolic rates of a lizard; use of SMR in ecological contexts. *Functional Ecology*, **6**, 15-22.
- Novosolov, M., Raia, P. & Meiri, S. (2012) The island syndrome in lizards. *Global Ecology and*
- *Biogeography,* DOI: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2012.00791.x*,*
- Orme, C. D. L., Freckleton, R. P., Thomas, G. H., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S. A. & Isaac, N. J. B. (2012)
- CAPER: Comparative Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. *Methods in Ecology and*
- *Evolution, In press*
- Pafilis, P., Foufopoulos, J., Poulakakis, N., Lymberakis, P. & Valakos, E. (2007) Digestive
- performance in five Mediterranean lizard species: effects of temperature and insularity. *Journal*
- *of Comparative Physiology B.*, **177**, 49-60.
- Pafilis, P., Foufopoulos, J., Sagonas, K., Runemark, A., Svensson, E., & Valakos, E. D. (2011)
- Reproductive biology of insular reptiles: marine subsidies modulate expression of the ''Island Syndrome''. *Copeia*, **2011**, 545-552.
- Pagel, M. (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, **401**, 877-884.
- Papenfuss, T. J. (1982) The ecology and systematics of the amphisbaenian genus Bipes.
- *Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences*, **136**, 1-42.
- Pincheira-Donoso, D. & Tregenza, T. (2011) Fecundity selection and the evolution of reproductive
- output and sex-specific body size in the Liolaemus lizard adaptive radiation. *Evolutionary Biology*, **38**, 197-207.
- Pincheira-Donoso, D., Fox, S. F., Scolaro, J. A., Ibargüengoytia, N. Acosta, J. C., Corbalan, V.,
- Medina, M., Boretto, J., Villavicencio, H. J. & Hodgson, D. J. (2011) Body size dimensions in
- lizard ecological and evolutionary research: exploring the predictive power of mass estimation
- equations in two Liolaemidae radiations. *Herpetological Journal*, **21**, 35-42.
- Pincheira-Donoso, D., Hodgson, D. J. & Tregenza, T. (2008) The evolution of body size under
- environmental gradients in ectotherms: why should Bergmann's rule apply to lizards? *BMC*
- *Evolutionary Biology*, **8**, 68.
- Pough, F. H. (1973) Lizard energetics and diet. *Ecology*, **54**, 837-844.
- Powney, G. D., Grenyer, R., Orme, C. D. L., Owens, I. P. F. & Meiri, S. (2010) Hot, dry and
- different: Australian lizard richness is unlike that of mammals, amphibians, and birds. *Global*
- *Ecology and Biogeography*, **19**, 386-396.

- Raia, P., Carotenuto, F. & Meiri, S. (2010) One size does not fit all: No evidence for an optimal
- body size on islands. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **19**, 475-484.
- Rambaut, A. (2010) FigTree, version 1.3.1. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh.
- Reading, C. J., Luiselli, L. M., Akani, G. C., Bonnet, X., Amori, G., Ballouard, J. M., Filippi, E.,
- Naulleau, G., Pearson, D. & Rugiero, L. (2010) Are snake populations in widespread decline? *Biology Letters*, **6**, 777-780.
- Ricklefs, R. E. (1980) Geographical variation in clutch size among passerine birds: Ashmole's hypothesis. *Auk*, **97**, 38-49.
- Schall, J. J. & Pianka, E. R. (1978) Geographical trends in numbers of species. *Science*, **201**, 679- 686.
- Schmidt-Nielsen, K. (1997) Animal physiology. Book, NA, NA
- Shine, R. (1983) Reptilian viviparity in cold climates: testing the assumptions of an evolutionary hypothesis. *Oecologia*, **57**, 397-405.
- Shine, R. (2005) Life-history evolution in reptiles. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **36**, 23-46.
- Sinervo, B., Mendez-de-la-Cruz, F., Miles, D. B., Heulin, B., Bastiaans, E., Villagran-Santa Cruz,
- M., Lara-Resendiz, R., Martinez-Mendez, N., Calderon-Espinosa, M. L., Meza-Lazaro, R. N.,
- Gadsden, H., Avila, L. J., Morando, M., De la Riva, I. J., Sepulveda, P. V., Rocha, C. F. D.,
- Ibarguengoytia, N., Puntriano, C. A., Massot, M., Lepetz, V., Oksanen, T. A., Chapple, D. G.,
- Bauer, A. M., Branch, W. R., Clobert, J. & Sites, J. W. (2010) Erosion of lizard diversity by
- climate change and altered thermal niches. *Science*, **328**, 894-899.
- Szczerbak, N. (2003) *Guide to the reptiles of the Eastern Palearctic*. Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar.
- Thomas, G. H., Meiri, S. & Phillimore, A. B. (2009) Body size diversification in Anolis: Novel
- environment and island effects. *Evolution*, **63**, 2017-2030.



 Shai Meiri studies the biogeography of animal traits in different vertebrate clades, the evolutionary responses to insularity, and the patterns, drivers and consequences of the global distribution of animals, especially reptiles.

586 Table  $1$  – mean annual temperatures ( $\rm{^o}C$ ) encountered by viviparous and oviparous lizard species, and the percentage of viviparous species within families. ), The % of viviparous species and n are the number of species for which we have data on both reproduction and annual temperature in our entire dataset (Meiri, unpublished, not in the dataset analyzed here). \*: significant difference 590 between temperatures of viviparous and oviparous species (t tests, not shown). \*\*:  $p = 0.054$ .  $\dagger$ : na (1 viviparous species).



592

593

### 595 **Table 2 –** the effects of body and environmental temperatures on lizard life history traits

# 596 **a. body temperature**



597

598

## 599 **b. annual temperature**



601 Life history traits as functions of a. body temperatures; b. mean annual temperatures; Family:

602 non phylogenetic models with family as a fixed effect. All response variables are log10

603 transformed. Age is age at first reproduction (in months). Female body mass is used as a covariate

604 in all analyses. Lambda is significantly different from 0 and 1 in all models. Significant associations

605 between temperature and life history traits are shown in bold.

### **Figure Legend:**

 **Figure 1**: Frequency distribution of mean annual temperatures (light gray) and lizard body temperatures (black).

**Figure 2:** Mean annual environmental temperatures and body temperatures across lizard species.

**Figure 3:** Body (white) and mean annual temperatures (gray) of diurnal, nocturnal and cathemeral

lizards. The box shows the median (horizontal bar) and interquartile range, whiskers are 1.5 times

the interquartile range, More extreme values are presented outside of the whiskers.

**Figure 4:** Mean annual environmental temperatures and body temperatures of diurnal (white

diamonds), nocturnal (black circles) and cathemeral (gray triangles) lizards

**Figure 5:** Differences in body (left) and environmental temperatures (right) of oviparous and

viviparous lizards. The box shows the median (horizontal bar) and interquartile range, whiskers are

1.5 times the interquartile range, More extreme values are presented outside of the whiskers.

**Figure 6:** relationship between the average difference in mean environmental temperatures of

620 oviparous and viviparous members of a family (in  $^{\circ}$ C), and the proportion of viviparous species in

this family. Family codes: 1. Agamidae; 2. Lacertidae; 3. Leiosauridae; 4. Amphisbaenidae; 5.

Corytophanidae; 6. Diplodactylidae; 7. Chamaeleonidae; 8. Scincidae; 9. Phrynosomatidae; 10.

Liolaemidae; 11. Anguidae; 12. Cordylidae; 13. Xantusiidae.



temperatures (dark gray).





**Figure 2** – Mean annual environmental temperatures and body temperatures across lizard

species.



**Figure 3**

# 





# **Figure 4**





 **Figure 5** - body temperatures (left, white) and mean annual temperatures (right, gray) of oviparous and viviparous lizards.



- 
- 
- 
- 



**Figure 6**