



ENIGMA Laterality Working Group (2018). Mapping cortical brain asymmetry in 17,141 healthy individuals worldwide via the ENIGMA Consortium. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(22), E5154-E5163.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718418115>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1073/pnas.1718418115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718418115)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via PNAS at <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718418115> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>

Title: Mapping Cortical Brain Asymmetry in 17,141 Healthy Individuals Worldwide via the ENIGMA Consortium

Abbreviated title: Mapping Cortical Brain Asymmetry

Authors: Xiang-Zhen Kong (1), Samuel R. Mathias (2), Tulio Guadalupe (1), ENIGMA Laterality Working Group (3), David C. Glahn (4, 5), Barbara Franke (6, 7), Fabrice Crivello (8), Nathalie Tzourio-Mazoyer (8), Simon E. Fisher (1, 9), Paul M. Thompson (10), Clyde Francks (1, 9)

Affiliations:

1. Language and Genetics Department, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
2. Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06519, USA.
3. The full list of contributing authors is given in Supplementary Information.
4. Department of Psychiatry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA.
5. Olin Neuropsychiatric Research Center, Hartford, CT, USA.
6. Department of Human Genetics, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
7. Department of Psychiatry, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
8. Institut des Maladies Neurodégénératives, UMR 5293. Groupe d'Imagerie Neurofonctionnelle, CEA - CNRS - Université de Bordeaux.
9. Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
10. Imaging Genetics Center, Mark and Mary Stevens Neuroimaging and Informatics Institute, Keck School of Medicine of USC, Marina del Rey, CA 90292 USA.

Correspondence Author:

Clyde Francks, Ph.D. (clyde.francks@mpi.nl)

Xiang-Zhen Kong, Ph.D. (xiangzhen.kong@outlook.com)

Number of Pages: 27; **Number of Figures/Tables:** 6/1.

Number of words for Abstract: 202; **for Introduction:** 1322; **for Discussion:** 3311. **Total number of words:** 9043

Abstract

Hemispheric asymmetry is a cardinal feature of human brain organization. Altered brain asymmetry has also been linked to some cognitive and neuropsychiatric disorders. Here the ENIGMA consortium presents the largest ever analysis of cerebral cortical asymmetry and its variability across individuals. Cortical thickness and surface area were assessed in MRI scans of 17,141 healthy individuals from 99 datasets worldwide. Results revealed widespread asymmetries at both hemispheric and regional levels, with a generally thicker cortex but smaller surface area in the left hemisphere relative to the right. Regionally, asymmetries of cortical thickness and/or surface area were found in the inferior frontal gyrus, transverse temporal gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and entorhinal cortex. These regions are involved in lateralized functions, including language and visuospatial processing. In addition to population-level asymmetries, variability in brain asymmetry was related to sex, age, and intracranial volume. Interestingly, we did not find significant associations between asymmetries and handedness. Finally, with two independent pedigree datasets ($N = 1,443$ and $1,113$, respectively), we found several asymmetries showing significant, replicable heritability. The structural asymmetries identified, and their variabilities and heritability provide a reference resource for future studies on the genetic basis of brain asymmetry and altered laterality in cognitive, neurological, and psychiatric disorders.

Significance Statement: Left-right asymmetry is a key feature of the human brain's structure and function. It remains unclear which cortical regions are asymmetrical on average in the population, and how biological factors such as age, sex and genetic variation affect these asymmetries. Here we describe by far the largest ever study of cerebral cortical asymmetry, based on data from 17,141 participants. We found a global anterior-posterior 'torque' pattern in cortical thickness, together with various regional asymmetries at the population level, which have not been previously described, as well as effects of age, sex, and heritability estimates. From these data, we have created an on-line resource that will serve future studies of human brain anatomy in health and disease.

Keywords: brain asymmetry; lateralization; cortical thickness; surface area; meta-analysis

Understanding the functional specialization of the cerebral hemispheres is a long-standing and central issue in human neuroscience research. At the population-level, hemispheric asymmetry, or lateralization, is involved in various perceptual and cognitive functions, including language (1), face processing (2, 3), visuospatial processing (4, 5), and reasoning (6), as well as handedness (7). For example, language lateralization involves leftward dominance for various processes involved in speech perception and production in most people (1). Moreover, altered hemispheric lateralization has been associated with numerous cognitive and neuropsychiatric disorders, including dyslexia (8), Alzheimer's disease (9), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (10), psychotic disorders (11-13), autism (14) and mood disorders (15, 16). Various aspects of brain asymmetry, including anatomical asymmetries of perisylvian language-related cortical regions, appear *in utero* during the second trimester of gestation (17, 18). Thus, brain laterality is likely to be under the control of genetic-developmental programs which are inherently lateralized, such as those that have been described for the left-right visceral axis (affecting the placement of the heart, lungs etc.) (19, 20). Together, these observations indicate that asymmetry is a core element of the brain's usual organization, which is required for optimal functioning and influenced by genetic factors.

Although structural and functional asymmetries are likely to be interrelated in the typically lateralized human brain, the nature of structure-function relations are far from clear. For example, it is still not understood whether anatomical asymmetries around the Sylvian fissure are an important aspect of left-hemisphere language dominance (21, 22). Furthermore, variations in structural and functional asymmetry have been reported to correlate poorly (23-26), which further complicates assessment of the structure-function relations and dependencies. The literature has, however, been based on generally small sample sizes and heterogeneous methods for assessing asymmetries and their variabilities, leading to confusion about which structures are actually anatomically asymmetrical at the population level, and to what degrees. This has also been the case for asymmetry-disorder studies. In this context, and as motivation for the present study, it is important to characterize anatomical asymmetries in a large sample of healthy individuals, in order to provide a definitive and normative reference for future studies of hemispheric specialization in both healthy and clinical populations.

One aspect of structural asymmetry in the human brain is “Yakovlevian torque”, an overall hemispheric twist giving rise to the frontal and occipital petalia, which describes protrusions of the right frontal and left occipital regions over the midline (27, 28). At a regional level, later studies that applied computational methods to MRI data mainly focused on volumetric measures of cortical structures and revealed both replicable and inconsistent findings of asymmetries. For example, Goldberg et al. (2013) summarized in their study that regions implicated in visual processing show rightward volumetric asymmetries, while, in contrast, somatosensory, auditory, and parts of the premotor cortices show leftward volumetric asymmetries (29). One recent study replicated this distribution of regional asymmetries, especially in the lateral view (30), but several studies have shown quite different asymmetry results (27, 31, 32). For example, Goldberg et al. (2013) and Esteves et al. (2017) found a greater superior frontal volume in the left hemisphere, while Watkins et al. (2001) found greater superior frontal volume in the right.

Cortical volume is, by definition, a product of two distinct aspects of the brain, i.e. cortical thickness and surface area (33, 34); researchers have also attempted to assess the asymmetries of cortical thickness and surface area separately, using surface-based approaches (35, 36). Regarding cortical thickness, a number of studies have found mixed results for asymmetry patterns. For example, Luders et al. (2006) found greater left-sided thickness in parts of the cingulate, precentral gyrus, orbital frontal gyrus, and temporal and parietal lobes, and greater right-sided thickness in the inferior frontal gyrus (37). However, other studies (38-41) revealed somewhat inconsistent patterns of thickness asymmetry. For instance, Zhou et al. (2013), studying individuals of an age range similar to that in Luders et al. (2006), did not find leftward asymmetry in the precentral gyrus, but revealed a strong rightward asymmetry in the lateral parietal and occipital regions. For an overview of mixed results of asymmetry patterns observed in previous studies, please refer to *SI Fig. S1*. Regarding regional surface area asymmetries, some repeatable findings have been found for the supramarginal gyrus (leftward) (38, 39, 42), the middle temporal gyrus (rightward) (38, 39), and the anterior cingulate gyrus (rostral: leftward; caudal: rightward) (38, 39). However, there are also many inconsistent results across studies, such as for the lateral occipital cortex, which showed a strong

rightward asymmetry in Chiarello et al. (2016) (43), but leftward asymmetry in Koelkebeck et al. (2016) (see a summary in (44)). These mixed results of brain structural asymmetry may reflect differences in many factors, including statistical power and confidence intervals related to sample sizes as well as differences in scanning, brain segmentation, and parcellation methods. Thus, a large-scale survey using harmonized approaches is needed to give a clearer picture of the lateralization in the human brain.

Another potential source for the mixed results in the literature is variability across individuals and in relation to factors like age and sex (45-47). For example, a recent study has observed that males show, on average, more pronounced gray matter volume asymmetries in superior temporal language regions than females (48). Changes in structural asymmetries with age have also been reported (10, 49), but not consistently (41). Another potential factor linked to brain lateralization is handedness, although the associations are very weak as reported (26, 39, 50). For example, with more than 100 left-handed participants and roughly 2000 right-handed participants, Guadalupe et al. (2014) suggested an association of handedness with the surface area of the left precentral sulcus, but this was not significant after multiple testing adjustment. In addition, greater cortical asymmetry has been observed in participants with larger overall brain size (44). Thus, the existing literature on variability in brain structural asymmetries suggests influences of individual differences in age, sex, handedness, and brain size, but again a large-scale study is needed to clarify the nature of any such relations. The largest previous studies of brain asymmetries were conducted by Plessen et al. (2014) and Zhou et al. (2013) in relation to sex and age in sample sizes of 215 and 274 participants, and Maingault et al. (2016) in a sample size of 250 (120 left-handers) in relation to handedness. Each of these studies used different methodological approaches. Thus, a large-scale study of thousands of participants would be a major step forward in achieving a more accurate description of the typical asymmetries of the human brain, as well as variation in these asymmetries and some key biological factors which affect them.

The ENIGMA consortium provides the opportunity for large-scale meta-analysis studies of brain anatomy based on tens of thousands of participants with structural MRI data (51). We used a “meta-analysis” model, for which it was not necessary to send individual data out of the laboratories where

they were collected. This helped to maximize participation and therefore the overall sample size. In this study, we present the largest analysis of structural asymmetries in the human cerebral cortex, with MRI scans of 17,141 healthy individuals from 99 datasets worldwide, in a harmonized multi-site study using meta-analytic methods. Our aim was to identify cortical regions that consistently show asymmetry with regard to either cortical thickness or surface area, to provide a clear picture of population-level asymmetries in the human brain. We also assessed potential influences of age, sex, handedness, and brain size (indexed by intracranial volume, ICV) on the variability in asymmetries, as well as of the methodological factor of MRI scanner field strength. Furthermore, as a first step towards elucidating the genetic basis of variability in brain asymmetry, we further analyzed two independent pedigree datasets, i.e. the Genetics of Brain Structure (GOBS; $N = 1,443$) and Human Connectome Project (HCP; $N = 1,113$) datasets, to estimate heritability of the asymmetry measures.

Results

Ninety-nine independent datasets were contributed by members of the Lateralization Working Group within the ENIGMA Consortium (51), including data from 17,141 individuals from population or healthy control cohorts. Fig. 1 summarizes the sample sizes and age ranges of each dataset (for more details, see *Dataset S1*).

Meta-analysis of population-level asymmetry. Meta-analysis of population-level asymmetry revealed widely distributed asymmetries in both cortical thickness and surface area. Specifically, we found global differences between the two hemispheres, with generally thicker cortex in the left hemisphere ($b = 0.13$, $Z = 3.64$, $p = 0.00040$; Fig. 2), but larger surface area in the right hemisphere ($b = -0.33$, $Z = -11.30$, $p = 1.36e-29$; Fig. 3).

Substantial, regionally specific differences between the two hemispheres were also observed for both cortical thickness and surface area. In terms of cortical thickness, 76.5% (26/34) of the regions showed significant asymmetry, after correcting for multiple comparisons ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected). Specifically, regions showing significant leftward asymmetry (i.e., left > right) of cortical

thickness were identified in the anterior cortex, including the lateral, dorsal and medial frontal cortex, the primary sensory, superior parietal, cingulate, and medial temporal cortices (Fig. 4; see *SI Data sheet S2*). In contrast, rightward asymmetry (i.e., right > left) was prominent in the posterior regions, including lateral and medial parts of the temporal, parietal, and occipital cortices. This fronto-occipital asymmetry pattern in cortical thickness is striking (Fig. 4) and may also relate to the petalia and Yakovlevian torque effects described above (see Discussion). In addition, three temporal regions (especially the inferior temporal and fusiform gyri) showed a trend of rightward asymmetry as defined by uncorrected $p < 0.05$ (inferior temporal: $b = -0.11$, $Z = -2.92$, uncorrected $p = 0.0035$; fusiform: $b = -0.09$, $Z = -2.64$, uncorrected $p = 0.0082$; middle temporal: $b = -0.10$, $Z = -2.19$, uncorrected $p = 0.029$).

Similarly, 91.1% (31/34) of the regions showed significant asymmetries of their surface areas after correcting for multiple comparisons ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected). However, unlike thicknesses, the surface area asymmetries showed no obvious leftward or rightward patterns involving neighboring areas, or generally along the fronto-occipital axis (Fig. 5; see *SI Data sheet S2*). Two language-related regions showed the largest leftward asymmetries of surface area, which were the opercular part of the inferior frontal gyrus (posterior part of the Broca's area) and the transverse temporal gyri (Heschl's gyri). In contrast, however, another two language-related regions, i.e. the triangular part of the inferior frontal gyrus (anterior part of the Broca's area) and the inferior parietal gyrus, showed strong rightward asymmetries of surface area. These findings suggest that opposite asymmetries in morphology of regions within a given network (i.e., language network), or within one functional area (the Broca's area), might be linked to different roles of each constituent part (see Discussion).

Effect sizes of cortical thickness and surface area were found to be independent, as illustrated by the absence of a significant correlation between thickness and surface area asymmetries across all cortical regions ($r = -0.14$, $p = 0.416$).

Moderator analyses using meta-regression. As shown above, we observed moderate to substantial heterogeneity in the asymmetry distributions across datasets. To further address the heterogeneity in

the meta-analyses, we investigated several moderating variables, including sex ratio, median age, handedness ratio, and median ICV. Moderator analyses revealed an influence of the median age of samples on the global hemispheric difference in surface area ($Z = 2.09$, $p = 0.036$), suggesting a reduced rightward asymmetry with increasing age. In addition, we observed an influence of the median age on the surface area asymmetry of the paracentral gyrus ($Z = -4.35$, $p = 1.38e-5$), and an influence of median ICV on the surface area asymmetry in the insula ($Z = -3.18$, $p = 0.0014$). No other potential moderators showed significant effects (see *SI Results*).

Meta-analysis of sex effects on cortical asymmetries. No significant sex effect on the asymmetry index, defined as $(L-R)/((L+R)/2)$, of total mean cortical thickness was found ($p > 0.10$), but notable regionally specific effects on thickness asymmetries were observed in the medial temporal regions (Fig. 6), including the parahippocampal gyrus ($Z = 3.57$, $p = 0.00036$) and the entorhinal cortex ($Z = 3.61$, $p = 0.00030$), after correcting for multiple comparisons. Together with the population-level asymmetry observed, these results indicate that males show more leftward and less rightward asymmetry in cortical thickness of the parahippocampal gyrus and the entorhinal cortex, respectively.

We found a significant sex difference in global asymmetry of surface area ($Z = -2.62$, $p = 0.0088$), indicating that males have more rightward overall asymmetry in surface area, compared with females. In addition, meta-regression analysis showed that this effect changed with the median ages of samples: we found larger effects of sex (females $>$ males) in the younger samples, compared to the older samples ($Z = 2.80$, $p = 0.0052$). Regionally specific effects of sex on surface area asymmetry were also revealed (Fig. 6), located in the frontal (superior frontal gyrus, the pars orbitalis region of the left inferior frontal gyrus), temporal (superior temporal gyrus, temporal pole, parahippocampal gyrus and fusiform gyrus), parietal (inferior parietal gyrus and supramarginal gyrus), and anterior cingulate cortices. In addition, various other regions showed nominally significant sex effects (uncorrected $p < 0.05$) without surviving correction for multiple comparisons. More information can be seen in *SI Data sheet S3*.

Meta-analysis of age effects on cortical asymmetries. An initial analysis of samples with an age range of larger than five years showed no significant effects of age on global asymmetries of either cortical thickness or surface area ($ps > 0.10$). Several regionally specific, nominally significant effects were found: the superior temporal gyrus (cortical thickness: $Z = 2.38, p = 0.017$), the banks of superior temporal sulcus (surface area: $Z = -1.97, p = 0.049$), and the entorhinal cortex (surface area: $Z = 2.84, p = 0.0045$). However, when restricting the analysis to only those datasets with wider age ranges (at least 20 years range), we observed significant age effects. Specifically, increasing age was associated with more pronounced leftward overall asymmetry in cortical thickness ($Z = 2.65, p = 0.0081$), which partly reflects a similar age effect on the thickness asymmetry of the superior temporal gyrus ($Z = 3.17, p = 0.0015$; Fig. 6). In addition, a similar effect on regional surface area asymmetry was observed in the entorhinal cortex ($Z = 3.21, p = 0.0013$). An age effect on surface area asymmetry of the banks of the superior temporal sulcus was nominally significant ($Z = -1.96, p = 0.050$). More information can be found in *SI Data sheet S4*.

Meta-analysis of group differences by handedness on cortical asymmetries. We did not find significant associations of handedness with cortical asymmetries, even with this unprecedented sample size (555 to 608 left-handers versus 6,222 to 7,243 right-handers, from 11 to 14 datasets, depending on the specific regional asymmetry measure). Given the considerable preponderance of right-handers in most datasets, which might complicate the estimation of handedness effects, we further confirmed these findings within one of the datasets (i.e., BIL&GIN) which was roughly balanced for handedness (right: $N = 248$; left: $N = 205$). In the meta-analysis, several temporal regional surface area asymmetries showed nominally significant associations with handedness, including the fusiform gyrus: $Z = 2.00, p = 0.046$; the parahippocampal gyrus: $Z = -2.33, p = 0.020$; and the superior temporal gyrus: $Z = -2.04, p = 0.042$. More information can be found in *SI Data sheet S5*.

Meta-analysis of ICV effects on cortical asymmetry. ICV showed a significant positive effect (i.e., increased leftward asymmetry) on the overall asymmetry in cortical thickness ($Z = 2.14, p = 0.032$).

Similar regionally specific effects on cortical thickness asymmetry were found for the inferior parietal gyrus ($Z = 4.51, p = 6.53e-6$), and insula ($Z = 3.71, p = 0.00021$). A negative effect of greater ICV (i.e., decreased leftward asymmetry) was seen in the rostral anterior cingulate gyrus ($Z = -5.23, p = 1.68e-7$) (Fig. 6). No significant effect of ICV was found for the overall asymmetry in surface area ($p > 0.10$), but a number of regionally specific effects were revealed (in different directions). Positive effects of greater ICV (i.e., increased leftward/decreased rightward asymmetry) were observed in the medial orbitofrontal gyrus ($Z = 4.17, p = 3.10e-5$), two anterior cingulate gyri (caudal: $Z = 5.71, p = 1.10e-8$; rostral: $Z = 5.67, p = 1.45e-8$), and the isthmus cingulate gyrus ($Z = 4.32, p = 1.59e-5$) (Fig. 6). In addition, negative effects of greater ICV (i.e., increased rightward/decreased leftward asymmetry) were seen for the superior frontal gyrus ($Z = -6.58, p = 4.82e-11$), the caudal middle frontal gyrus ($Z = -3.65, p = 0.00026$), the paracentral gyrus ($Z = -5.19, p = 2.11e-7$), the insula ($Z = -5.92, p = 3.13e-9$), the posterior cingulate gyrus ($Z = -3.24, p = 0.0012$), and the cuneus ($Z = -4.49, p = 7.12e-6$) (Fig. 6). More information can be seen in *SI Data sheet S6*.

Heritability of cerebral cortical anatomical asymmetries. In the GOBS dataset, the overall hemispheric asymmetries of both cortical thickness and surface area showed low but statistically significant heritabilities (cortical thickness asymmetry: $h^2 = 0.10, p = 0.005$; surface area asymmetry: $h^2 = 0.17, p = 0.00024$). The most heritable asymmetries in regional cortical thickness were found in the isthmus ($h^2 = 0.17$) and caudal anterior cingulate gyrus ($h^2 = 0.13$), the superior ($h^2 = 0.13$) and rostral middle frontal gyrus ($h^2 = 0.18$), the parahippocampal gyrus ($h^2 = 0.15$), and the lateral occipital gyrus ($h^2 = 0.16$) ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected; Table 1). The most heritable asymmetries in regional surface area were found in the entorhinal cortex ($h^2 = 0.24$), the superior temporal gyrus ($h^2 = 0.19$), the inferior parietal gyrus ($h^2 = 0.19$), and the isthmus cingulate gyrus ($h^2 = 0.17$) ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected; Table 1). For each of these regions, we also estimated the genetic correlation between the measures of the left and right structures. While these correlations were high (indicating high pleiotropy), all were significantly different from 1 (see Table 1). These results indicate that most genetic effects on structural variation are shared bilaterally, but some independent genetic effects exist

on each hemisphere, which constitute the heritable contributions to structural asymmetry. Finally, we found that the heritability of most of these regions was validated in the HCP dataset. For more details, please see *SI Data sheet S7*.

Discussion

In the largest ever analysis of asymmetry of cerebral cortical structure, we applied a meta-analytic approach to brain MRI data from 17,141 healthy individuals from datasets across the world. The findings revealed substantial inter-hemispheric differences in both regional cortical thickness and surface area, and linked some of these asymmetries to sex, age, and ICV. Handedness was not significantly associated with cortical asymmetries. While previous findings are based low hundreds of participants and different methodological approaches, this study of more than 17,000 participants is a major step forward in achieving a more accurate description of the typical asymmetries of the human brain, as well as variation in these asymmetries and some key individual differences factors which affect them. Moreover, with two independent pedigree datasets (i.e., GOBS and HCP), we revealed that several regions showed significant heritability of asymmetry measures.

Cortical thickness. Regions with significant leftward asymmetry in thickness (i.e., left > right) were identified mainly in the frontal cortex, as well as the primary sensory, superior parietal, and medial temporal cortices, while rightward asymmetry was prominent in the posterior cortex, including lateral and medial parts of the temporal, parietal and occipital cortices. This striking asymmetry pattern along the fronto-occipital axis is similar to that reported by Plessen et al. (2014) and may be related to the “Yakovlevian torque”, i.e. the frontal/occipital bending in the human brain (28). Specifically, the torque refers to the phenomenon of crossing of the interhemispheric fissure by one hemisphere into the domain of the other. The frontal and occipital bending are the main twisting effects of the torque in opposite directions, with right frontal bending to the left, and left occipital bending to the right (52). At the population level, we found that the frontal regions showed leftward asymmetry in cortical thickness, while the occipital regions showed rightward asymmetry.

There were some inconsistencies when comparing our results with previous studies. For example,

in 215 healthy participants, Plessen et al. (2014) observed a leftward asymmetry in the inferior frontal cortex, which includes Broca's area in the inferior frontal gyrus. The authors suggested that this might correspond anatomically with the functional asymmetry for expressive language in these regions, as has been reported on the basis of brain lesion studies and functional neuroimaging studies (53, 54). However, this interpretation should be considered with caution in light of a recent study on cortical thickness asymmetries with 250 adults showing an opposite direction of asymmetry (rightward) in this region (38). In the present study, with a much larger sample size, we failed to detect any cortical thickness asymmetry in this region (i.e., the pars opercularis and pars triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus, uncorrected $p > 0.45$). Another difference with previous findings concerns the supramarginal gyrus, which showed a strong leftward asymmetry in Plessen et al. (2014), but no asymmetry in two other studies (37, 38), and also not in the present study. This indicates an absence of population-level lateralization in cortical thickness in the supramarginal gyrus, and again underlines the value of the present study in achieving a more accurate characterization of the average anatomical brain laterality.

There are several issues that may contribute to discrepancies of our present results with these previous studies, including the large sample size that we used, as well as the worldwide population. Varying demographic factors, such as sex and age, across the various previous studies might also have played an important role. In the current study, we identified several regions showing significant effects of these factors on the asymmetry of cortical thickness. For sex, notable effects were observed in the medial temporal regions, including the parahippocampal gyrus (more leftward in males) and the entorhinal cortex (more rightward in females), while mixed results have been obtained in previous studies (40, 44). Considering the critical roles of these two regions in visuospatial processing and spatial navigation (e.g., (55, 56)), these sex differences may be related to the tendency for a slight male advantage on spatial tasks (57-59). In addition, these regions are important for Alzheimer's disease (e.g., (60)), which also shows sex differences in prevalence (61, 62). In contrast to Plessen et al. (2014), we found no sex differences in cortical thickness asymmetry of core regions of the language network, including the pars opercularis and pars triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus (the Broca's area), the transverse temporal gyrus (the Heschl's gyrus), and the supramarginal gyrus (uncorrected $p >$

0.05). These results are consistent with two other studies (37, 44), and indicate that subtle sex differences in the performance on language tasks and language lateralization (57) cannot be linked to sex differences in cortical thickness asymmetry of these regions.

In terms of age effects, when limiting our analysis to only the datasets with an age range greater than 20 years, we found a significant correlation between age and the overall hemispheric asymmetry in cortical thickness (i.e., increasing age correlated with more pronounced leftward asymmetry), which was mainly contributed by the superior temporal gyrus. This finding is consistent with previous studies (40, 41), though we did not detect age effects in other regions reported by Plessen et al. (2014). Brain size is another factor that can affect functional organization (63). In the present study, we found a significant effect of ICV on the overall asymmetry in cortical thickness, such that the leftward asymmetry in cortical thickness increases in larger brains. This effect was the most pronounced in the inferior parietal gyrus and the insula. Our findings on ICV are in accord with the hypothesis that asymmetries increase in larger brains, which might relate to the increased inter-hemispheric distance and transfer time in larger brains (64).

In addition, previous studies have suggested that magnet field strengths could affect cortical thickness measures, likely due to differences in the intensity and contrast of the images (e.g., (65)). However, in the present study, we focused on asymmetry measures as relative not absolute left-right differences, which likely reduced any potential effects from different scanners unless they would be unilateral. As expected, we did not find a significant effect of magnet field strength on cortical thickness asymmetry in the moderator analyses, and separate meta-analysis for 1.5T and 3T scanners showed comparable results (see *SI Results*). These findings suggest that any effects of magnet field strength on the asymmetry measures were limited.

Surface area. Regarding surface area, population-level asymmetry was generally more prominent compared to that of cortical thickness. A large majority of regions showed significant asymmetry in surface area, although with no obvious directional pattern affecting neighboring regions, or along the anterior-posterior axis, as we observed for thicknesses. The present study detected some similar

asymmetry patterns of surface area to those of two previous studies (38, 43). Specifically, consistent results included leftward asymmetry of the superior frontal gyrus, the postcentral gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, and the entorhinal cortex, and rightward asymmetry in the caudal anterior cingulate cortex and the middle temporal gyrus (38, 39, 43, 44). The leftward asymmetry of surface area in the supramarginal gyrus is consistent with the widely-observed volume asymmetry in the Perisylvian regions, which is related to an asymmetrical shift caused by the brain torque (27, 31, 32, 39, 66). In addition, previous studies of post mortem anatomy found that in most people the planum temporale on the left side is larger than the right (55%-67%) (67, 68). Consistent with this, we found leftward asymmetry of the superior temporal gyrus, although there is no region specifically defined in the Desikan-Killiany atlas that is directly comparable with this earlier literature.

We identified several additional regions that are asymmetric in terms of surface area, not previously described. Among these regions, two language-related regions, including the opercular part of the inferior frontal gyrus (the posterior part of Broca's area) and the transverse temporal gyrus (Heschl's gyrus) showed the largest leftward asymmetries. Based on these findings, the asymmetry of surface area (rather than cortical thickness as suggested in Plessen et al., 2014) may correspond anatomically with language lateralization in these regions, although further study is needed investigating both structure and function. Moreover, we found two other language-related regions showing strong asymmetry in the opposite direction (rightward), including the triangular part of the inferior frontal gyrus (the anterior part of Broca's area) and the inferior parietal gyrus. Taking these observations together, it appears that the structural basis of functional language lateralization is more complex than previously thought. For example, as mentioned above, for Broca's area, one of the most well-established areas for language function and language lateralization, while we did not detect asymmetry in terms of cortical thickness, we indeed observed strong asymmetry in surface area within this region. Moreover, the asymmetry was in different directions in two sub-regions of this area: leftward for the posterior part and rightward for the anterior part. These findings may be closely related to distinct roles of these two sub-areas in language functions: these two sub-regions are involved in, respectively, phonology and syntax, related to their distinct connections with areas in

inferior parietal and temporal cortex (69, 70). Thus, these findings suggested that the opposite directions of structural asymmetry affecting regions within one network or within one functional area might reflect different functional involvements of each component region. Future studies with both structural and functional data in same participants may help link the structural asymmetries to functional asymmetries in the human brain.

The effects of biological factors on surface area asymmetries were more prominent than on thickness asymmetries. Very few previous studies have reported sex effects. Kang et al. (2015) found no sex differences in asymmetries for surface areas in 138 young adults, while Koelkebeck et al. (2014) only reported a male > female effect for the asymmetry of surface area at the overall hemispheric level in 101 healthy individuals. We also found that males, on average, showed more rightward asymmetry in overall surface area, compared with females, which is consistent with Koelkebeck et al. (2014). We additionally observed a number of regionally specific effects, among which surface area asymmetry in the superior frontal gyrus showed the strongest relation to sex (i.e., males showed more leftward asymmetry in surface area in this region compared to females).

In terms of age, when including only those datasets with an age range of more than 20 years, we found a weak positive correlation between age and the asymmetry of surface area of the entorhinal cortex, that is, the leftward asymmetry of this region was slightly greater in older participants. As far as we are aware, no previous studies have reported possible age effects on the asymmetries of surface area, except one that showed no significant results in 101 participants (38). Note that, in our analyses for either sex or age effects, ICV was included as a covariate to obtain sex- or age-specific effects. In terms of ICV effects themselves (correcting for sex and age), no significant effect was found on the overall asymmetry of surface area, but a number of regionally specific effects were revealed. Specifically, positive effects (increased leftward/decreased rightward asymmetries with ICV) were observed mainly in medial regions such as the anterior cingulate gyri, while negative effects (decreased leftward or increased rightward asymmetries with ICV) were seen in spatially diverse locations, including the posterior cingulate gyrus, the insula, and the caudal middle frontal gyrus. It has been suggested that increased brain size might lead to the development of additional sulci (44),

which could impact on regional asymmetries as assessed with the FreeSurfer atlas-based approach.

General discussion. Our findings bear on the relationship between asymmetry of cortical thickness and surface area. Previous studies have suggested that thickness and surface area are evolutionarily, genetically, and developmentally distinct (34, 71), and that therefore separate consideration of these aspects of cortical anatomy is important (72). With a large MRI twin sample, Panizzon et al. (2009) showed that, although average cortical thickness and total surface area are both highly heritable (>0.80), they are essentially unrelated genetically (genetic correlation = 0.08). This genetic independence of cortical thickness and surface area was also found in a large extended family study (72). These results suggest relative independence of the two surface-based measures, and potentially therefore their asymmetry patterns. Data from two recent studies has indeed indicated that the asymmetry measures of cortical thickness and surface area are relatively independent at the overall hemispheric level (38, 39). With our larger sample size in the present study (including the BIL&GIN dataset used in Maingault et al., 2016), we confirmed a lack of correlation across regions between the asymmetries of thickness and surface areas, which further supports their independent natures. Moreover, by including data on participants' sex, age, handedness, and ICV, our findings further elaborated the largely independent nature of regional area versus thickness variability (see *SI Results*). Note that, when zooming in on some individual regions, there may be identifiable relations between thickness and surface area asymmetries, such as reported for the fusiform gyrus and the cingulate cortex (38, 39, 43), although further investigation is needed. In future studies of cortical asymmetry, the simultaneous investigation of both cortical thickness and surface area will be important. For example, this may be necessary in order to approach the genetics of brain asymmetry (26) and its links with functional lateralization (e.g., language lateralization) (73).

With the pedigree datasets from the GOBS and HCP, we revealed that several regions showed significant heritability of their asymmetry measures. These data on heritability will be useful in targeting future studies of brain laterality with, for example, genome-wide association scanning aimed at identifying genes involved. Interestingly, cortical asymmetry of the human brain may also be

associated with inter-hemispheric differences in gene expression (74, 75).

Our data revealed extensive variability in cortical asymmetry across participants and samples. Besides sex, age, ICV, handedness, and heritable effects, further studies on individual variability are needed, from the perspective of cognitive and neuropsychiatric disorders. Some disorders, such as dyslexia (8), Alzheimer's disease (9), ADHD (10), psychotic disorders (11-13), autism (14), and mood disorders (15, 16), may be associated with abnormal cortical asymmetries, though these complex links have not been fully explored. Asymmetry measures may even be more accurate than unilateral cortical measures to distinguish healthy controls from patients in some contexts (76), suggesting the potential for cortical asymmetry to be used as an important biomarker. In this respect, the findings in this paper provide a reference for cortical asymmetry in healthy populations, which may help for further understanding the nature of these disorders in future studies. In fact, studies of cortical asymmetry in several disorders are currently underway within the ENIGMA consortium, using the same methodology as used here.

Regarding handedness, we did not find significant associations between asymmetries and handedness, which is consistent with recent studies (26, 39). It remains possible that handedness is associated with asymmetry measures of other structural metrics and/or in more narrowly defined regions. However, it is clear from the present results that left-handedness does not involve any broad or substantial alterations of cortical asymmetry. Moreover, the present study treated handedness as a categorical trait, which is supported by the bimodal distribution of overall hand preference when compiled across a number of tasks (e.g., (77, 78)), and its robust test-retest repeatability (e.g., (77, 79)). However, some aspects of handedness might be more accurately defined by degree and not category. Future studies using continuous handedness measures, when available in very large samples, may provide more information. In addition, it is interesting to note that paleoneurologists have attempted to use skull endocasts to assess cerebral asymmetries and to infer the evolution of handedness in hominins (80). Since we found no significant association between brain anatomical asymmetries and handedness, our analysis does not support the use of indirect measures of brain anatomy to infer the handedness of individuals.

Limitations and future directions. This study has several limitations that could be overcome in future studies. First, for age effects, as most datasets included a wide age range, our meta-analysis-based approach can not rule out that age effects might differ across different age groups. Given the important roles of development and aging on cortical structures, this issue should be investigated in future research using datasets which are individually very large. In addition, the cross-sectional study design limits the interpretation of results. Longitudinal studies should ideally be performed to support the findings.

Second, when combining already collected data across worldwide samples, data collection protocols are not prospectively harmonized. Imaging acquisition protocols and handedness assessments therefore differed across studies, which resulted in possible sources of heterogeneity. On the other hand, this heterogeneity can be taken as an advantage of our approach, in the sense that our findings are representative of the real-world diversity of MRI acquisition currently in use in the field, and not limited to a single lab-specific protocol.

Third, we note that variability of asymmetry in surface area across samples was relatively lower than that of asymmetry in cortical thickness, at both the global hemispheric and regional levels. The relatively consistent asymmetry in regional surface area across datasets might be, to an extent, driven by the same parcellation scheme (i.e., Desikan-Killiany atlas) having been used across all samples. The potential impact of parcellation dependence will be an important topic for future studies. In addition, we applied a region-based approach, rather than a vertex-wise approach. The key idea of the region-based approach is that if we define the regions of interest in each hemisphere based on each hemisphere's own particular features such as its sulcal and gyral geometry, we can then obtain the corresponding relationships between hemispheres. To this end, we applied an automated labeling program from FreeSurfer for subdividing the human cerebral cortex from MRI scans. The labeling system incorporates hemisphere-specific information about sulcal and gyral geometry with spatial information regarding the locations of brain structures, and shows a high accuracy when comparing with manual labeling results (81). Thus, reliable measures of each region can be extracted for each

subject, and regional asymmetries then accurately assessed. Moreover, compared to a vertex-wise approach, the region-based approach is a more feasible solution for large-scale, collaborative, meta-analysis-based projects. Nonetheless, the region-based approach is necessarily limited in terms of spatial resolution, related to the number of cortical regions defined. A vertex-wise approach combined with cross-hemispheric registration methods is likely to be useful for future cortical asymmetry studies (39, 44, 82).

Besides the directions of the asymmetries, the present study provided the exact effect size distributions for each region with a very large sample size. The results can act as a guide and provide a reference normative resource for future studies of cortical asymmetry. For example, with the population-level effect sizes, researchers can estimate sample sizes required to detect specific effects of interest. Researchers can query the meta-analysis summary statistics with the query tool (<http://conxz.github.io/neurohemi/>).

Finally, future research may also consider the degree of laterality (e.g. the unsigned magnitude of the asymmetry index) as being potentially both heritable and linked to other biological factors. Comparative analysis of human and chimpanzee data have indicated that the degree of laterality in either direction (left or right) may be a distinct and partly heritable aspect of human brain asymmetry (83).

Summary. In summary, we showed that diverse regions of the human cerebral cortex are asymmetrical in their structural features (i.e., cortical thickness and surface area) with different effect sizes, and that the asymmetry patterns are different between cortical thickness and surface area. Moreover, we showed widespread effects of several biological factors (e.g., sex, age and ICV) on the cortical asymmetries, but found no significant handedness effects. Finally, we revealed that the human brain is composed of regions with significant heritability of the asymmetry characteristics. This study not only contributes to the understanding of human brain asymmetry in the healthy population, but also provides informative data for future studies of the genetics of brain asymmetry, and potentially abnormal brain asymmetry in cognitive and neuropsychiatric disorders.

Materials and Methods

The primary datasets used in this study for large-scale meta-analysis were from members of the Lateralization Working Group within the ENIGMA Consortium (51). There were 99 independent samples with MRI data, including 17,141 healthy participants from diverse ethnic backgrounds. Samples were drawn from the general population or were healthy controls from clinical studies. All local institutional review boards permitted the use of extracted measures of the completely anonymized data. The present study mainly focused on the asymmetry in cortical thickness and surface area, and its variability related to sex, age, handedness, and ICV. The asymmetry index was defined as $(L-R)/((L+R)/2)$. Image processing, and effect size estimations were conducted at each participating site, and then we combined the output statistics from each dataset using random-effect meta-analysis with the R package *metafor* (84). Two additional datasets with MRI data were used to estimate heritability of asymmetry measures, i.e. the GOBS dataset and the HCP dataset. GOBS is a family study comprising 1,443 individuals with MRI data (836 females), aged between 18 and 85 years at the time of scanning (85). The HCP is a large-scale project comprising 1,113 individuals (twins and non-twin siblings; 606 females, age range 22-37 years at the time of scanning) (<http://humanconnectome.org/>). The complete statistics from the meta-analyses are included in the supplementary data sheets and the query tool (<http://conxz.github.io/neurohemi/>). Scripts are available upon request from The Language Archive (TLA: <https://archive.mpi.nl/>), a public data archive hosted by the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics. Materials and methods are described in detail in *SI Materials and Methods*.

Acknowledgements

Funding information for each site is available in a separate Acknowledgements document. All sites within the ENIGMA Laterality Working Group are grateful to the participants for their generosity of time and willingness to participate in each of the collaborating studies. We also acknowledge all members of the Karolinska Schizophrenia Project (KaSP) consortium.

References

1. Vigneau M, *et al.* (2006) Meta-analyzing left hemisphere language areas: Phonology, semantics, and sentence processing. *Neuroimage* 30(4):1414-1432.
2. Willems RM, Peelen MV, & Hagoort P (2010) Cerebral lateralization of face-selective and body-selective visual areas depends on handedness. *Cereb Cortex* 20(7):1719-1725.
3. Zhen Z, *et al.* (2015) Quantifying interindividual variability and asymmetry of face-selective regions: a probabilistic functional atlas. *Neuroimage* 113:13-25.
4. Zago L, *et al.* (2017) Pseudoneglect in line bisection judgement is associated with a modulation of right hemispheric spatial attention dominance in right-handers. *Neuropsychologia* 94:75-83.
5. Zhen Z, *et al.* (2017) Quantifying the variability of scene-selective regions: Interindividual, interhemispheric, and sex differences. *Hum Brain Mapp* 38(4):2260-2275.
6. Turner BO, Marinsek N, Ryhal E, & Miller MB (2015) Hemispheric lateralization in reasoning. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1359:47-64.
7. Steinmetz H, Volkman J, Jancke L, & Freund HJ (1991) Anatomical left-right asymmetry of language-related temporal cortex is different in left- and right-handers. *Ann Neurol* 29(3):315-319.
8. Altarelli I, *et al.* (2014) Planum temporale asymmetry in developmental dyslexia: Revisiting an old question. *Hum Brain Mapp* 35(12):5717-5735.
9. Thompson PM, *et al.* (1998) Cortical variability and asymmetry in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Cereb Cortex* 8(6):492-509.
10. Shaw P, *et al.* (2009) Development of cortical asymmetry in typically developing children and its disruption in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 66(8):888-896.
11. Crow TJ (1990) Temporal-Lobe Asymmetries as the Key to the Etiology of Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 16(3):433-&.
12. Yucel M, *et al.* (2002) Paracingulate morphologic differences in males with established schizophrenia: a magnetic resonance imaging morphometric study. *Biol Psychiatry* 52(1):15-23.
13. Yucel M, *et al.* (2003) Morphology of the anterior cingulate cortex in young men at ultra-high risk of developing a psychotic illness. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 182:518-524.
14. Eyer LT, Pierce K, & Courchesne E (2012) A failure of left temporal cortex to specialize for language is an early emerging and fundamental property of autism. *Brain* 135:949-960.
15. Liu W, *et al.* (2016) Structural Asymmetry of Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex Correlates with Depressive Symptoms: Evidence from Healthy Individuals and Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. *Neuroscience Bulletin* 32(3):217-226.
16. Yucel K, *et al.* (2009) Increased subgenual prefrontal cortex size in remitted patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Research-Neuroimaging* 173(1):71-76.
17. Chi JG, Dooling EC, & Gilles FH (1977) Left-right asymmetries of the temporal speech areas of the human fetus. *Arch Neurol* 34(6):346-348.
18. Hering-Hanit R, Achiron R, Lipitz S, & Achiron A (2001) Asymmetry of fetal cerebral hemispheres: in utero ultrasound study. *Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition* 85(3):F194-196.
19. Concha ML, Bianco IH, & Wilson SW (2012) Encoding asymmetry within neural circuits. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 13(12):832-843.
20. Levin M (2005) Left-right asymmetry in embryonic development: a comprehensive review. *Mechanisms of development* 122(1):3-25.
21. Marie D, *et al.* (2015) Descriptive anatomy of Heschl's gyri in 430 healthy volunteers, including 198 left-handers. *Brain Struct Funct* 220(2):729-743.
22. Tzourio-Mazoyer N & Mazoyer B (2017) Variations of planum temporale asymmetries with

- Heschl's Gyri duplications and association with cognitive abilities: MRI investigation of 428 healthy volunteers. *Brain Struct Funct* 222(6):2711-2726.
23. Bishop DV (2013) Cerebral asymmetry and language development: cause, correlate, or consequence? *Science* 340(6138):1230531.
 24. Dorsaint-Pierre R, *et al.* (2006) Asymmetries of the planum temporale and Heschl's gyrus: relationship to language lateralization. *Brain* 129(Pt 5):1164-1176.
 25. Greve DN, *et al.* (2013) A Surface-based Analysis of Language Lateralization and Cortical Asymmetry. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 25(9):1477-1492.
 26. Guadalupe T, *et al.* (2014) Differences in cerebral cortical anatomy of left- and right-handers. *Frontiers in Psychology* 5.
 27. Watkins KE, *et al.* (2001) Structural asymmetries in the human brain: a voxel-based statistical analysis of 142 MRI scans. *Cereb Cortex* 11(9):868-877.
 28. Yakovlev P (1972) A proposed definition of the limbic system. *Limbic System Mechanisms and Autonomic Function*, ed Hockman C (Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, IL), pp 241-283.
 29. Goldberg E, *et al.* (2013) Hemispheric asymmetries of cortical volume in the human brain. *Cortex* 49(1):200-210.
 30. Esteves M, *et al.* (2017) Structural laterality is associated with cognitive and mood outcomes: An assessment of 105 healthy aged volunteers. *Neuroimage* 153:86-96.
 31. Good CD, *et al.* (2001) Cerebral asymmetry and the effects of sex and handedness on brain structure: a voxel-based morphometric analysis of 465 normal adult human brains. *NeuroImage* 14(3):685-700.
 32. Herve PY, Crivello F, Perchey G, Mazoyer B, & Tzourio-Mazoyer N (2006) Handedness and cerebral anatomical asymmetries in young adult males. *Neuroimage* 29(4):1066-1079.
 33. Meyer M, Liem F, Hirsiger S, Jancke L, & Hanggi J (2014) Cortical Surface Area and Cortical Thickness Demonstrate Differential Structural Asymmetry in Auditory-Related Areas of the Human Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 24(10):2541-2552.
 34. Raznahan A, *et al.* (2011) How Does Your Cortex Grow? *Journal of Neuroscience* 31(19):7174-7177.
 35. Fischl B, *et al.* (2002) Whole brain segmentation: Automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* 33(3):341-355.
 36. Van Essen DC (2004) Surface-based approaches to spatial localization and registration in primate cerebral cortex. *Neuroimage* 23:S97-S107.
 37. Luders E, *et al.* (2006) Hemispheric asymmetries in cortical thickness. *Cereb Cortex* 16(8):1232-1238.
 38. Koelkebeck K, *et al.* (2014) The contribution of cortical thickness and surface area to gray matter asymmetries in the healthy human brain. *Hum Brain Mapp* 35(12):6011-6022.
 39. Maingault S, Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Mazoyer B, & Crivello F (2016) Regional correlations between cortical thickness and surface area asymmetries: A surface-based morphometry study of 250 adults. *Neuropsychologia* 93:350-364.
 40. Plessen KJ, Hugdahl K, Bansal R, Hao X, & Peterson BS (2014) Sex, age, and cognitive correlates of asymmetries in thickness of the cortical mantle across the life span. *J Neurosci* 34(18):6294-6302.
 41. Zhou D, Lebel C, Evans A, & Beaulieu C (2013) Cortical thickness asymmetry from childhood to older adulthood. *Neuroimage* 83:66-74.
 42. Lyttelton OC, *et al.* (2009) Positional and surface area asymmetry of the human cerebral cortex. *Neuroimage* 46(4):895-903.
 43. Chiarello C, Vazquez D, Felton A, & McDowell A (2016) Structural asymmetry of the human cerebral cortex: Regional and between-subject variability of surface area, cortical thickness, and local gyrification. *Neuropsychologia* 93(Pt B):365-379.
 44. Kang X, Herron TJ, Ettliger M, & Woods DL (2015) Hemispheric asymmetries in cortical and

- subcortical anatomy. *Laterality* 20(6):658-684.
45. Hogstrom LJ, Westlye LT, Walhovd KB, & Fjell AM (2013) The structure of the cerebral cortex across adult life: age-related patterns of surface area, thickness, and gyrification. *Cereb Cortex* 23(11):2521-2530.
 46. Im K, *et al.* (2006) Gender difference analysis of cortical thickness in healthy young adults with surface-based methods. *Neuroimage* 31(1):31-38.
 47. Salat DH, *et al.* (2004) Thinning of the cerebral cortex in aging. *Cereb Cortex* 14(7):721-730.
 48. Guadalupe T, *et al.* (2015) Asymmetry within and around the human planum temporale is sexually dimorphic and influenced by genes involved in steroid hormone receptor activity. *Cortex* 62:41-55.
 49. Raz N, *et al.* (2004) Aging, sexual dimorphism, and hemispheric asymmetry of the cerebral cortex: replicability of regional differences in volume. *Neurobiol Aging* 25(3):377-396.
 50. Willems RM, Van der Haegen L, Fisher SE, & Francks C (2014) On the other hand: including left-handers in cognitive neuroscience and neurogenetics. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 15(3):193-201.
 51. Thompson PM, *et al.* (2014) The ENIGMA Consortium: large-scale collaborative analyses of neuroimaging and genetic data. *Brain Imaging Behav* 8(2):153-182.
 52. Toga AW & Thompson PM (2003) Mapping brain asymmetry. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 4(1):37-48.
 53. Hagoort P (2005) On Broca, brain, and binding: a new framework. *Trends Cogn Sci* 9(9):416-423.
 54. Rutten GJ, van Rijen PC, van Veelen CW, & Ramsey NF (1999) Language area localization with three-dimensional functional magnetic resonance imaging matches intrasulcal electrostimulation in Broca's area. *Ann Neurol* 46(3):405-408.
 55. Epstein R, Graham KS, & Downing PE (2003) Viewpoint-specific scene representations in human parahippocampal cortex. *Neuron* 37(5):865-876.
 56. Solstad T, Boccara CN, Kropff E, Moser MB, & Moser EI (2008) Representation of geometric borders in the entorhinal cortex. *Science* 322(5909):1865-1868.
 57. Clements AM, *et al.* (2006) Sex differences in cerebral laterality of language and visuospatial processing. *Brain Lang* 98(2):150-158.
 58. Hines M (2010) Sex-related variation in human behavior and the brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 14(10):448-456.
 59. Kong XZ, Huang Y, Hao X, Hu S, & Liu J (2017) Sex-linked association between cortical scene selectivity and navigational ability. *Neuroimage* 158:397-405.
 60. Van Hoesen GW, Augustinack JC, Dierking J, Redman SJ, & Thangavel R (2000) The parahippocampal gyrus in Alzheimer's disease. Clinical and preclinical neuroanatomical correlates. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 911:254-274.
 61. Mazure CM & Swendsen J (2016) Sex differences in Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. *The Lancet. Neurology* 15(5):451-452.
 62. Li R & Singh M (2014) Sex differences in cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in neuroendocrinology* 35(3):385-403.
 63. Yan C, *et al.* (2011) Sex- and Brain Size-Related Small-World Structural Cortical Networks in Young Adults: A DTI Tractography Study. *Cereb Cortex* 21(2):449-458.
 64. Herve PY, Zago L, Petit L, Mazoyer B, & Tzourio-Mazoyer N (2013) Revisiting human hemispheric specialization with neuroimaging. *Trends Cogn Sci* 17(2):69-80.
 65. Han X, *et al.* (2006) Reliability of MRI-derived measurements of human cerebral cortical thickness: the effects of field strength, scanner upgrade and manufacturer. *Neuroimage* 32(1):180-194.
 66. Glasel H, *et al.* (2011) A robust cerebral asymmetry in the infant brain: The rightward superior temporal sulcus. *NeuroImage* 58(3):716-723.
 67. Geschwind N & Levitsky W (1968) Human brain: left-right asymmetries in temporal speech region. *Science* 161(3837):186-187.

68. Wada JA, Clarke R, & Hamm A (1975) Cerebral hemispheric asymmetry in humans. Cortical speech zones in 100 adults and 100 infant brains. *Arch Neurol* 32(4):239-246.
69. Hagoort P (2014) Nodes and networks in the neural architecture for language: Broca's region and beyond. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 28:136-141.
70. Xiang HD, Fonteijn HM, Norris DG, & Hagoort P (2010) Topographical Functional Connectivity Pattern in the Perisylvian Language Networks. *Cerebral Cortex* 20(3):549-560.
71. Panizzon MS, *et al.* (2009) Distinct genetic influences on cortical surface area and cortical thickness. *Cereb Cortex* 19(11):2728-2735.
72. Winkler AM, *et al.* (2010) Cortical thickness or grey matter volume? The importance of selecting the phenotype for imaging genetics studies. *Neuroimage* 53(3):1135-1146.
73. Tzourio-Mazoyer N, *et al.* (2015) Between-hand difference in ipsilateral deactivation is associated with hand lateralization: fMRI mapping of 284 volunteers balanced for handedness. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 9.
74. Francks C (2015) Exploring human brain lateralization with molecular genetics and genomics. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1359:1-13.
75. Karlebach G & Francks C (2015) Lateralization of gene expression in human language cortex. *Cortex* 67:30-36.
76. Haller S, *et al.* (2009) Can Cortical Thickness Asymmetry Analysis Contribute to Detection of At-Risk Mental State and First-Episode Psychosis?: A Pilot Study. *Radiology* 250(1):212-221.
77. Tan U (1993) Normal distribution of hand preference and its bimodality. *Int J Neurosci* 68(1-2):61-65.
78. McManus IC (1991) The inheritance of left-handedness. *Ciba Foundation symposium* 162:251-267; discussion 267-281.
79. Ransil BJ & Schachter SC (1994) Test-retest reliability of the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory and Global Handedness preference measurements, and their correlation. *Percept Mot Skills* 79(3 Pt 1):1355-1372.
80. Holloway RL (2015) The evolution of the hominid brain. *Handbook of paleoanthropology*:1961-1987.
81. Desikan RS, *et al.* (2006) An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 31(3):968-980.
82. Van Essen DC, Glasser MF, Dierker DL, Harwell J, & Coalson T (2012) Parcellations and hemispheric asymmetries of human cerebral cortex analyzed on surface-based atlases. *Cereb Cortex* 22(10):2241-2262.
83. Gomez-Robles A, Hopkins WD, Schapiro SJ, & Sherwood CC (2016) The heritability of chimpanzee and human brain asymmetry. *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci* 283(1845).
84. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, & Rothstein HR (2010) A basic introduction to fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis. *Research synthesis methods* 1(2):97-111.
85. McKay DR, *et al.* (2014) Influence of age, sex and genetic factors on the human brain. *Brain Imaging Behav* 8(2):143-152.

Figure Legend

Fig. 1. The age ranges and sizes of each sample. Each line covers the age range of an individual sample, with different colors indicating the sample sizes (see color key in figure). The position of the gray/black dot on each line indicates the median age of that sample. Black dots indicate samples with handedness information available. For more details, see *SI Data sheet S1*.

Fig. 2. Forest plot of asymmetry score per dataset, for the overall asymmetry in cortical thickness. Asymmetry score indicates the effect size of the inter-hemispheric difference. The size of a square is proportional to the weights assigned in meta-analysis. The confidence intervals are shown, as well as a dashed vertical line to indicate the point of an asymmetry score of zero.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of asymmetry score per dataset, for the overall asymmetry in surface area. Asymmetry score indicates the effect size of the inter-hemispheric difference. The size of a square is proportional to the weights assigned in meta-analysis. The confidence intervals are shown, as well as a dashed vertical line to indicate the point of an asymmetry score of zero.

Fig. 4. Average regional asymmetries in cortical thickness reveal a fronto-occipital pattern. Positive asymmetry (left side in A; red in B) indicates leftward asymmetry, while negative asymmetry (right side in A; blue in B) indicates rightward asymmetry. Asymmetry score indicates the effect size of the inter-hemispheric difference. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. L, left; R, right.

Fig. 5. Average asymmetry pattern in surface area. Positive asymmetry (left side in A; red in B) indicates leftward asymmetry, while negative asymmetry (right side in A; blue in B) indicates rightward asymmetry. Asymmetry score indicates the effect size of the inter-hemispheric difference. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. L, left; R, right.

Fig. 6. Meta-analysis results for effects of sex, age, ICV, and handedness on regional asymmetry indexes in cortical thickness and surface area. Red-yellow indicates an increased asymmetry index (AI) in males/with age and ICV; blue-lightblue indicates a decreased AI in males/with age and ICV. AI was defined as $(L-R)/((L+R)/2)$. A Z threshold of 3.18 ($p = 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected) was used. For more details, please see *SI Data sheet S3-6*.