

AND

EUROPEAN THEATRE

I

THE AGE OF
MOZART AND SELIM III
(1756–1808)



edited by

Michael Hüttler · Hans Ernst Weidinger

HOLLITZER



DON JUAN ARCHIV WIEN

OTTOMANIA

1

Series Editors

Hans Ernst Weidinger · Michael Hüttler



OTTOMAN EMPIRE AND EUROPEAN THEATRE

Ι

THE AGE OF MOZART AND SELIM III (1756–1808)

edited by

Michael Hüttler · Hans Ernst Weidinger

HOLLITZER

H

Editorial assistance, copy-editing and index: Caroline Herfert, Inge Praxl (Vienna, Austria)

English copy-editing: Heather Evans (Kingston, Canada)

Turkish copy-editing: Suna Suner (Vienna, Austria)

Layout: Nikola Stevanovic (Belgrade, Serbia)

Cover-design: Daniel Egg (Vienna, Austria)

Printed and bound in the EU

Cover-image: Tughra of Sultan Selim III

The symposia were supported by the Turkish Embassy Vienna, the Austrian Foreign Ministry, the UNESCO International Theatre Institute (ITI) – Austrian Centre, the Austrian Cultural Forum Istanbul, and Deniz Bank AG.

Michael Hüttler and Hans Ernst Weidinger (eds.): Ottoman Empire and European Theatre. Vol. 1: The Age of Mozart and Selim III (1756–1808).

Wien: HOLLITZER Wissenschaftsverlag, 2013 (Ottomania 1)

© HOLLITZER Wissenschaftsverlag, Wien 2013

HOLLITZER Wissenschaftsverlag
Trautsongasse 6/6, A-1080 Wien
a division of
HOLLITZER Baustoffwerke Graz GmbH
Stadiongasse 6-8, A-1010 Wien

www.hollitzer.at

All rights reserved.

Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form by any means, digital, electronic or mechanical, or by photocopying, recording, or otherwise, or conveyed via the Internet or a Web site without prior written permission of the publisher.

Responsibility for the contents of the various articles and for questions of copyright lies with the authors.

ISBN 978-3-99012-065-1 (hbk)

ISBN 978-3-99012-067-5 (epub)

ISBN 978-3-99012-066-8 (pdf)

CONTENTS

V DON JUAN, OTTOMAN EMPIRE AND EUROPEAN THEATRE: A PROEM HANS ERNST WEIDINGER (VIENNA)

1 OUVERTURE

- 3 EDITORIAL
 MICHAEL HÜTTLER (VIENNA) AND HANS ERNST WEIDINGER (VIENNA/FLORENCE)
- 7 ORIENTALISM ON STAGE: HISTORICAL APPROACHES AND SCHOLARLY RECEPTION
 MICHAEL HÜTTLER (VIENNA)

29 OPENING SPEECHES – SYMPOSIUM VIENNA (APRIL 25–26, 2008)

- 30 PROGRAMME
- 33 UNESCO INTERNATIONAL THEATRE INSTITUTE (ITI) AUSTRIAN CENTRE
 HELGA DOSTAL (VIENNA)
- THE AMBASSADOR OF THE TURKISH REPUBLIC IN AUSTRIA H. Exc. Selim Yenel (Vienna)
- 37 AUSTRIAN MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, CULTURAL SECTION H. Exc. Emil Brix (Vienna)

41 OPENING SPEECHES – SYMPOSIUM ISTANBUL (JUNE 5–6, 2008)

- 42 PROGRAMME
- 45 AUSTRIAN CULTURAL FORUM ISTANBUL CHRISTIAN BRUNMAYR (ISTANBUL)
- 47 GRAND NATIONAL ASSEMBLY OF TURKEY CEMAL ÖZTAŞ (ANKARA)
- 49 THE AMBASSADOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA IN TURKEY H. Exc. Heidemaria Gürer (Ankara)

53 ACADEMIC BASS

55 UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA Wolfgang Greisenegger (Vienna)

- 56 TOPKAPI PALACE MUSEUM ISTANBUL İlber Ortaylı (İstanbul)
- 59 TURKISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES Metin And (Ankara)

65 DEDICATION TO THE GENIUS OF OPERA

67 IN MEMORIAM LEYLA GENCER ZEYNEP ORAL (ISTANBUL)

77 PROLOGUE: THE STAGE OF POLITICS

- 79 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW
- 81 AUSTRIA'S RELATIONS WITH THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY
 BERTRAND MICHAEL BUCHMANN (VIENNA)
- 101 THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE AND EUROPE IN THE WAKE OF THE SECOND HALF OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

 Mehmet Alaaddin Yalçınkaya (Trabzon)

151 ACT I: DIPLOMACY AND THEATRE

153 EARLIEST PERFORMANCES

- 155 THE EARLIEST OPER A PER FOR MANCES IN THE OTTOMAN WORLD AND THE ROLE OF DIPLOMACY
 Suna Suner (Istanbul/Vienna)
- 223 EUROPEAN DRAMA AND THEATRE IN SEVENTEENTH-CENTURY ISTANBUL
 WALTER PUCHNER (ATHENS)

235 AMBASSADORS AND ENVOYS

237 THE WATCHER AND THE WATCHED: OTTOMAN DIPLOMATIC VISITORS AS SPECTATOR AND 'PERFORMER' IN EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY EUROPE

B. Babür Turna (Ankara)

- 263 EUROPEAN AMBASSADORS AT THE OTTOMAN COURT: THE IMPERIAL PROTOCOL IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY
 GÜNSEL RENDA (ISTANBUL)
- 277 "AUF TÜRKISCHE ART PRÄCHTIG AUFGEPUTZT": THE VISIT TO VIENNA BY THE EXTRAORDINARY OTTOMAN ENVOY, CHADDI MUSTAFA EFENDI, IN THE YEAR 1748
 FRANK HUSS (VIENNA)

285 JANNISSARIES AND MEHTER – TURKISH MILITARY MUSIC

THE MEHTER: CULTURAL PERCEPTIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF TURKISH DRUM AND BUGLE MUSIC THROUGHOUT HISTORY
WILLIAM F. PARMENTIER II (ISTANBUL)

307 ACT II: EUROPE SOUTH, WEST AND NORTH

309 MILAN, LONDON AND VIENNA

- 311 PERFORMING 'TURKISH RULERS' ON THE TEATRO ALLA SCALA'S STAGE: FROM THE LATE EIGHTEENTH TO THE MID NINETEENTH CENTURY
 - Alexandre Lhâa (Aix-en-Provence)
- 339 THE OTTOMAN SER AGLIO ON EUROPEAN STAGES Esin Akalın (Istanbul)
- 375 "HELP FOR THE TURK": INVESTIGATING OTTOMAN MUSICAL
 REPRESENTATIONS IN BRITAIN FROM THE LATE EIGHTEENTH TO THE
 MID NINETEENTH CENTURY
 EMRE ARACI (LONDON)

389 COPENHAGEN AND PARIS

- 391 THE STAGING OF THE TURK: THE TURK IN THE DANISH THEATRE OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY
 Bent Holm (Copenhagen)
- 427 THE 'TURK' AND THE 'PARISIENNE': FROM FAVART'S SOLIMAN SECOND, OU LES TROIS SULTANES (1761) TO LES TROIS SULTANES (PATHÉ, 1912)

 ISABELLE MOINDROT (PARIS)

465 ACT III: CENTRAL EUROPE

467 FROM PARIS TO VIENNA

- 469 OTTOMAN REPRESENTATION AND THEATRICAL ALLA TURCA:
 VISITING AN UNKNOWN VIENNESE SOURCE OF 'TURKISH'
 INCIDENTAL MUSIC
 Thomas Betzwieser (Bayreuth)
- 493 'TURKS' ON THE LATE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY'S STAGE: A RESEARCH PROJECT BASED ON THE VIENNESE REPERTOIRE

 Michael Hüttler (Vienna)
- THE SECOND TURKISH SIEGE OF VIENNA (1683) REFLECTED IN ITS FIRST CENTENARY: 'ANNIVERSARY PLAYS' IN THE PÁLFFY THEATRE LIBRARY, VIENNA MATTHIAS J. PERNERSTORFER (VIENNA)

543 FROM VIENNA TO LWIV

- 545 MOZART'S PUPIL AND FRIEND: FRANZ XAVER SÜSSMAYR'S SINFONIA TURCHESCA, IL TURCO IN ITALIA, AND SOLIMAN DER ZWEITE ERICH DUDA (VIENNA)
- 553 FREEMASON, MOZART'S CONTEMPORARY, AND THEATRE DIRECTOR ON THE EDGE: FRANZ KRATTER'S DER FRIEDE AM PRUTH (1799).

 CATALOGUING THE KOMPLEX MAUERBACH, VIENNA

 GABRIELE C. PFEIFFER (VIENNA)

599 ACT IV: MOZART

601 MOZART AND 'TURKISHNESS'

- 603 'IN THE ORIENT OF VIENNA': MOZART'S 'TURKISH' MUSIC AND THE THEATRICAL SELF
 MATTHEW HEAD (LONDON)
- 615 GETTING EMOTIONAL: MOZART'S 'TURKISH' OPERAS AND THE EMOTIVE ASPECT OF SLAVERY

 MARIANNE TRÂVÉN (UPPSALA)

631 SERAIL REVISITED

- 633 FROM ZAIDE TO DIE ENTFÜHRUNG AUS DEM SERAIL: MOZART'S 'TURKISH' OPERAS DEREK WEBER (VIENNA)
- 653 MOZART'S 'ORIENT' ON STAGE Nadja Kayali (Vienna)

665 THE ELEGANT VOYAGER TO THE CITY OF THE SUBLIME PORTE

- 667 'TURKISH' AND 'EXOTIC' REFERENCES IN THE EUROPEAN FASHION OF THE SECOND HALF OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY Annemarie Bönsch (Vienna)
- 695 EUROPEAN INFLUENCES ON EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY OTTOMAN IMPERIAL FASHION
 SELIN İPEK (ISTANBUL)
- 721 MOZART GOES TO CONSTANTINOPLE! THE REAL CONDITIONS OF A FICTITIOUS JOURNEY
 Käthe Springer-Dissmann (Vienna)

747 ACT V: SULTAN SELIM III

749 IN THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE 1756–1808

- 751 A COMPOSITE UNIVERSE: ARTS AND SOCIETY IN ISTANBUL AT THE END OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY
 TÜLAY ARTAN (ISTANBUL)
- 795 'GERMAN POET AND TURKISH DIPLOMAT': MURAD EFENDI,
 OTTOMAN CONSUL IN TEMESWAR, AND THE TRAGEDY SELIM DER
 DRITTE
 CAROLINE HERFERT (VIENNA)

821 SULTAN SELIM III: A MAN OF LETTERS AND ARTS

823 SELIM III AS PATRON OF THE ARTS GÜNSEL RENDA (ISTANBUL)

| 839 | SELIM III AS A MAN OF LETTERS AND ART |
|-----|---|
| | Mustafa Fatih Salgar (Istanbul) |
| 861 | THE PLAY WORLD OF SELIM III |
| | Ayşın Candan (Istanbul) |
| 873 | EPILOGUE |
| 875 | THE HERO IN THE SULTAN'S HAREM |
| 877 | BETWEEN ENLIGHTENMENT AND ORIENT: OBERON BY CHRISTOPH |
| | MARTIN WIELAND |
| | Ulrike Schneider (Weimar) |
| 903 | FROM THE PRINCE OF DENMARK IN THE SULTAN'S HAREM TO |
| | DON JUAN IN THE ROYAL DANISH CHAMBERS: THE FORGOTTEN |
| | COMPOSER FRIEDRICH LUDWIG AEMILIUS KUNZEN |
| | Hans-Peter Kellner (Copenhagen) |
| 927 | APPENDIX |

929

947

PICTURE GALLERY

997 CURRICULA VITAE

INDEX

A COMPOSITE UNIVERSE: ARTS AND SOCIETY IN ISTANBUL AT THE END OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

TÜLAY ARTAN (ISTANBUL)

Tarz-ı selefe takaddüm ettim Bir başka lugat tekellüm ettim Ben olmadım ol güruha peyrev Uymuş beli Gencevi-ye Hüsrev

('I've outdistanced my predecessors' school I've spoken a language with different rules, I did not conform to that company, Who like Khusraw emulate Nizami.')!

The poet Şeyh Galib (1757–1799) was an artist, an intellectual, a scholar, a musician and a frequenter of the private circle of Sultan Selim III (1761–1808, r.1789–1807) in late eighteenth-century Istanbul. His claim to have composed 'fresh' verse has long tormented modern critics, who have been trying to come to terms with it. Around fifteen years ago, Victoria Rowe Holbrook posed the question afresh: "Was Galib original?" In response, the author broke new ground as she deconstructed the paradigms of both Galib's contemporaries and modern critics, and placed Ottoman poetry in the interdisciplinary world of contemporary literary theory. Subjecting Şeyh Galib's celebrated mesnevî, the narrative poem Hüsn ü Aşk ('Beauty and love') of 1782–1783 to a meticulous postmodernist reading, Holbrook expanded on the anachronistic misunderstandings of Ottoman poetical convention as well as the mystifications caused by the notions of 'imitation' and 'innovation'. In search of a definition for Galib's new poetry, the author proposed

Seyh Galib: *Beauty and Love*, trans. Victoria Rowe Holbrook. New York: Modern Language Association, 2005 (orig. *Hüsn ü Aşk*, 1782–1783), p. 201.

² Victoria Rowe Holbrook: *The Unreadable Shores of Love: Turkish Modernity and Mystic Romance.* Austin: University of Texas Press, 1994, pp. 34–35.

First in an article, and then in book form, Holbrook explained the background of the modern dilemma as a reflection of the politics of literary evaluation reigning over the past century which has discursively produced a decadent eighteenth century indifferent to the novel or the original. Cf. Victoria Rowe Holbrook: "Originality and Ottoman Poetics: In the Wilderness of the New", in: Journal of American Oriental Society, 112/3, 1992, pp. 440–454. – Idem: The Unreadable Shores of Love. – Idem: "The Intellectual and the State: Poetry in Istanbul in the 1790's", in: The Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth Century: Oriente Moderno, 18, 1999, pp. 233–251.

⁴ Arguing that "[...] the slur that Ottoman poetry in general imitated the Persian, to which

the idea of 'originality'. Original can mean, first, pioneering or inventive, though in this case, it refers more specifically to abandoning the age-long Ottoman emulation of Persian poetry for something without precedent. Elaborating on the long-known and much discussed sources of the trilingual Galib, a Mevlevi in faith and culture, and his possible ways of access to and consumption of the 'classic' Islamic romances, Holbrook explored how Galib "expounded a poetics of originality in a 'Digression' taken mid-way through Beauty and Love". In a chapter titled "Mebâhis-i Diğer", she argued, Şeyh Galib "departs from the subject, drops his narrative persona of the tale, and its tone, quality, and imagery, and takes up the expository persona of orator". In other words, this detour turns out to be the narration of a 'voyage' that is the spiritual quest of the poet. This journey is different than the equally inventive early eighteenth-century poet Ahmed Nedîm's (1681–1730) non-spiritual self-search because in the context of mysticism, meanings are not simply meanings, but are divided into 'inner' or esoteric as well as 'outer' or exoteric meanings.

Gibb gave resounding international voice, is based on a misunderstanding [...]" (Holbrook: "Originality and Ottoman Poetics", p. 442), Holbrook criticized the dominance of philologist methodologies, Turkish republican literary institutions, and nationalist ideology. In chapter two ("Intertextuality and the Fortress of Form") of *The Unreadable Shores of Love*, she exploits the conceptual tools of postmodern literary theory following Jacques Lacan and Julia Kristeva. – *Mesnevî* is an extensive poem written in Persian by Celâleddîn Rûmî (Jalal ad-Din Rumi, 1207–1273), the celebrated Persian Sufi saint and poet, Islamic jurist and theologian. As a literary term it refers to poems with profound spiritual meaning, written in rhyming couplets a style of Persian poetry.

Holbrook: "Originality and Ottoman Poetics", pp. 440–454. Şeyh Galib rose to become the şeyh ('sheikh') of the Mevlevî Lodge in Galata (also known as the Kulekapı Mevlevîhâne) after 1787 and head of the mesnevîhân (reciters of the mesnevî) after 1794. Mevlevîs are the followers of the Mevlevî Sufi order, founded in Konya by the followers of Celâleddîn Rûmî.

⁶ Ibidem. Acknowledging the lack of secondary literature treating sources for Ottoman poetics, the author presents her study to offer materials from Galib's romance as one source for a genealogy of originality as an Ottoman idea for comparative studies in the future. On lyric poetry cf. Walter G. Andrews: Poetry's Voice, Society's Song: Ottoman Lyric Poetry. Seattle-London: University of Washington Press, 1985. – Walter G. Andrews, Najaat Black, and Mehmet Kalpaklı: Ottoman Lyric Poetry: An Anthology. Expanded Version. Austin: University of Texas Press, 2006 (orig.1997).

For Nedîm's rather matter-of-fact and down-to-earth explorations cf. Tunca Kortantamer: "Nedim'in Şiirlerinde İstanbul Hayatından Sahneler", in: Eski Türk Edebiyatı: Makaleler, ed. Tunca Kortantamer. Ankara: Akçağ Yayınları, 1993, pp. 337–390. – Idem: "Nedim'in Manzum Küçük Hikâyeleri", in: Eski Türk Edebiyatı: Makaleler, ed. Tunca Kortantamer. Ankara: Akçağ Yayınları, 1993, pp. 391–412. The customs and the person, aspirations or the state of being, portrayed in supranatural, metaphorical or factual terms, are best represented in the memoirs of the Sufi Aşcı Dede (1828–1906). Finally published in a complete version, they await rigourous scrutiny: Mustafa Koç and Eyyüp Tanrıverdi (eds.): Aşçı İbrahim Dede, Çok Yönlü Bir Sufinin Gözüyle Son Dönem Osmanlı Hayatı. Aşçı Dede'nin Hatıraları. Istanbul: Kitabevi, 2006, 4 vols. For an introduction cf. Carter Vaughn Findley: "Social Dimensions of Dervish Life as Seen in the Memoirs of Asci Dede İbrahim Halil", in: Dervish Lodge, ed. Richard Lifchez. Berkeley:

Despite this novel twist in Galib's Hüsn ü Aşk, the real person of the poet remains thinly described.⁸ This elusiveness may have further allowed his poetry to be construed, in accordance with the prevailing characterization of the period, to have "reflected, as well as contributed to, the creation in a few Ottomans of a mental attitude with a 'modernist' tendency, i.e., one open to change and one individualistic in temperament."

As part of the same convention, the Mevlevî connection of the late eighteenth-century 'reformists' (also read: modernists) has been counterposed to a combined, unified Bektashi-Janissary identity postulated for the 'anti-reformists' (or conservatives) who were behind the May 1807 Rebellion. Thus, many historians have argued that Selim III's support (or his want of support) of the Mevlevî order (including Şeyh Galib), who had had a long and close association with the ruling elite, may have been a deliberate strategy intended to counter the Bektashi-Janissaries. At the time of the Rebellion, however, Şeyh Galib had been dead for eight years. Furthermore, the Mevlevî şeyh ('sheikh') in Konya, El-Hâc Mehmed Emin Çelebi (d.1815), to take just one example, was an anti-reformist all along who was still able to remain as post-nişîn (head of a Mevlevî lodge, şeyh) long after the Rebellion, indeed for twenty years over 1785–1815. Such simple observations tend to cut against the sweeping generalizations cited above.

In the 1990s, at the time of Holbrook's thoughtful reading of Hüsn ü Aşk, there was no comprehensive critique of this approach to the historical reconstruction of Selimian times. Neither is any alternative easily available today. Holbrook

University of California Press, 1992, pp. 175–186.

⁸ The biography of the poet is based mainly on the works of Ergun and Gölpınarlı. Cf. Sadettin Nüzhet Ergun: Şeyh Galib. Istanbul: Kanaat Kütüphânesi, 1932. – Idem: Şeyh Galib ve Eserleri. Istanbul: s.typ., 1936.

⁹ For a more qualified treatment cf. George W. Gawrych: "Şeyh Galib and Selim III. Mevlevîsm and the Nizam-1 Cedid", in: *International Journal of Turkish Studies*, 4, 1987, p. 96. Holbrook, on the other hand, arguing that Ottoman intellectual history is not sufficiently studied yet, relatively distanced herself from this historical construction of the political relations of Selim III and the poet and claimed that Galib pursued a career relatively independent of court patronage. Cf. Holbrook: *The Unreadable Shores of Love*, pp. 88, 110–111 and 66.

Abdülbaki Gölpınarlı: Mevlânâ'dan Sonra Mevlevîlik. Istanbul: İnkılâp ve Ata, 1983 (orig. 1953). — Hans J. Kissling: "The Sociological and Educational Role of the Dervish Orders in the Ottoman Empire", in: Studies in Islamic Cultural History, ed. Gustave E. Grunebaum. Menasha/WI: American Anthropological Association, 1954 (= Memoirs of the American Anthropological Association, 76), p. 31. — Uriel Heyd: "The Ottoman Ulema and Westernization in the Time of Selim III and Mahmud II", in: Scripta Hierosolymitana, 9, 1961, pp. 63–96. — Stanford Shaw: History of the Ottoman Empire and Modern Turkey. Cambridge/MA-New York: Cambridge University Press, 1977, vol. 1. — Bektashis were the followers of another Sufi order founded in the thirteenth century by the Persian saint Hacı Bektaş Veli (d.1271).

For a recent and more complex picture of the period cf. Aysel Danacı Yıldız: Vaka-yı Selimiye or the Selimiye Incident: A Study of the May 1807 Rebellion. Diss., Istanbul: Sabancı University, 2007.

herself also provided a sampling of poems from Galib's *Divân* (compiled in 1780, nine years before Selim was enthroned) that commemorate specific steps taken to improve the Ottoman soldiery. These tended to corroborate, the author noted, the role the celebrated poet was assumed to have played as moral and intellectual guide to the men of the reform movement *Nizâm-ı Cedid* ('New Order'). In a footnote, however, Holbrook added that these verses might have been inserted by the editors of the *Divân*'s 1836 Bulaq print.¹² Nevertheless, the dominant Mevlevî presence in Selim III's immediate circle is beyond doubt. Indeed, it may have appeared so overwhelming as to have induced the members of another Sufi order, the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidis – also identified as allies of the sultan, and proreform supporters of the *Nizâm-ı Cedid*, at the time of the revolt¹³ – to cultivate their Mevlevî connections, as their contemporaries noted. Most of the time, these eminent associates of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidis were distinguished readers or teachers of Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî's *mesnevî*, a masterpiece of medieval Perso-Islamic mystical literature and theosophic teachings.¹⁴

A TANGLE OF REFORMIST AND CONSERVATIVE IMPLICATIONS

This Mevlevî-Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi dimension of the reforming party, and the individuals involved in this alliance at the turn of the nineteenth century, is a whole area that certainly needs further exploration. Many other details are equally interesting for Ottoman intellectual history. Şeyh Galib's father (Mustafa Reşid, 1700–1758) was a professional bureaucrat who was a Mevlevî

Kemal Sılay, writing also in the 1990s, was trapped in the secondary literature on the 'Tulip Age'. Cf. Kemal Sılay: *Nedim and the Poetics of the Ottoman Court: Medieval Inheritance and the Need for Change*. Bloomington: Indiana University Turkish Studies, 1994.

¹² Holbrook: The Unreadable Shores of Love, pp. 106-110.

It was Butrus Abu-Manneh who embarked on the study of the close relationship between the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi order and the Ottoman ruling elite in the late eighteenth century and subsequent periods. He argued that there was a growing tendency towards the strengthening of Orthodox Sunni Islam in the Ottoman capital following the enthronement of Selim III. Along with the general trend of growing architectural patronage of the *tekkes* (notably the increasing visibility of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi religious order), Abu-Manneh discussed three basic evidences for this Sunni Islamic revivalism: first, the building of many other religious buildings by the sultan and the members of the upper classes; second, the building of *medreses*, and third, the increase in the number of translations of Islamic classics. Cf. Butrus Abu-Manneh: *Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire in the 19th Century (1826–1876)*. Istanbul: Isis Press, 2001, p. 7. While the increase in number and in visibility of the *tekkes* cannot be substantiated with the documentation presented, even a cursory research in secondary literature falsifies the first statement. As the author himself acknowledges, the second and third assertions await more extensive and convincing scrutiny.

¹⁴ Abu-Manneh: Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire, p. 42. Cf. also Hür Mahmut Yücer: Osmanlı Toplumunda Tasavvuf (19. Yüzyıl). Istanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2004 (orig. 2003).

and a Melâmî, while his instructor in Persian (Süleyman Neşed, 1735–1807) was a Mevlevî and a Naqshbendi. More significantly, the teachings of the Mujaddidis (literally, renewers) as the newly rising branch of the Naqshbendis, who advised "strict adherence to the sharia and the tenets of Sunni-Orthodox Islam which would produce a regeneration of the Muslim community and the state", might have motivated and mobilized their şeyhs and deputies to support Selim's modernization reforms. Although such a position may appear paradoxical, this is a time when many parties and individuals, including the Mevlevîs, were caught in two distinct and antithetical relationships with modernization, hence conflict and compromise. It is also tempting to probe into the social and economic conditions behind the inclination of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi, with their mission of regenerating the Muslim community and state, to act together with the Mevlevîs. Both were urban and educated orders well integrated into the ruling establishment; indeed, their members were among the wealthiest.

A legacy of Şeyh Ahmed al-Sirhindî (1564–1624) of India (Sindh), known as the *Mujaddid* ('Renewer'), the rejuvenating identity and zeal of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi, as well as the support they received from the Melâmîs during the reign of Ahmed III (1673–1736, r.1703–1730) for the cause of reform and restoration, had already involved their supporters in Istanbul in some strife earlier in the eighteenth century.²⁰ Paradoxically, their call also bore a strong resemblance to those fundamentalists from earlier generations of the Ottoman Sunni-Orthodox establishment who had also caused great trouble, albeit in a different way. Pending

Abdülbaki Gölpınarlı: "Şeyh Galib", in: İslam Ansiklopedisi. Istanbul: Milli Eğitim Yayınları, 1950–1988, pp. 462–463. For Melâmîs, a Sufi group which promoted the value of self-blame, and argued that piety should be a private matter, see: Abdülbaki Gölpınarlı: *Melâmîlik ve Melâmîler*. Istanbul: Devlet Matbaası, 1931. Melâmîs concealed their knowledge and made sure their faults would be known, reminding them of their imperfection.

¹⁶ Abu-Manneh: Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire, pp. 12, 43.

¹⁷ The very same paradox was true for the Mevlevîs as well. Cf. Ekrem Işin: "The Mevlevî Order in Istanbul: Socia-Historic Notes on an Imperial Sufi Order", in: *The Dervishes of Sovereignty: The Sovereign of Dervishes. The Mevlevî Order in Istanbul*. Istanbul: İstanbul Araştırmaları Enstitüsü, 2007, p. 31.

William D. Damrel: "The Spread of Naqshbendi Political Thought in the Islamic World", in: Naqhsbendis: Historical Developments and Recent Situation of a Muslim Political Order. Proceedings of Sevrès Round Table, May 1985, ed. Marc Gaborieau, Alexandre Popovic, and Thierry Zarcone. Istanbul: Institut Français d'Etudes Anatoliennes, 1990, pp. 273–274.

¹⁹ Ramazan Muslu: Osmanlı Toplumunda Tasavvuf (18. Yüzyıl). İstanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2003, p. 232, note 1051.

²⁰ For Ahmed al-Sirhindî cf. Yohanan Friedmann: Shayh Ahmad Sirhindî: An Outline of His Thought and a Study of His Image in the Eyes of Posterity. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1971. – Abu-Manneh: Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire, pp. 15, 22; and for the two earlier waves of the order reaching Istanbul through emissaries from Transoxania: pp. 41–42, 62–63.

further research, suffice it to note that along with a reformist line, very different orientations could also be elicited from the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi outlook. Sirhindî's position on avoiding all bid'ad ('innovations') through strict adherence to the sunna ('prophetic usage') and the ordinances of the sharia ('Islamic canon'), together with the definition of the Naqshbandi path as identical to that of the Companions of the Prophet,²¹ as well as, in the first decades of the nineteenth century, the emergence of the Khalidi sub-order which professed a deviation from Sirhindî's framework in its declared enmity towards non-Muslims – including both the European Powers and the Christian subjects of the Ottoman Empire – all point, singly and in combination, to a strongly conservative dimension which would continue to produce serious repercussions (as with the Kadızadeli movement throughout the seventeenth century).²² As revivalists in the 1790s, the supporters of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi found themselves in the midst of an evergrowing intellectual and spiritual tangle (and therefore of multiple hostilities) in the Ottoman capital.

Mevlevî politics were no different.²³ During the reign of Abdülhamid I (1725–1789, r.1774–1789), the Mevlevî order in Istanbul was shaken by rivalries as different şeyhs struggled for control. The şeyh families in the capital were no different than local dynasties elsewhere in the empire who could act somewhat independently from Konya. Furthermore, as already mentioned, the Mevlevî şeyh of Konya, El-Hâc Mehmed Emin Çelebi, was against the reforms of Selim III – probably because of the new restrictions on incomes of pious and philanthrophic endowments (waqf) and other, traditional privileges.²⁴ Against this background, it is legitimate to ask whether Galib might have been discredited and isolated before his untimely death. Could he have been accused of being a clandestine

Abu-Manneh: Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire, p. 23.

²² Ibidem, cf. for Şeyh Halid (1774–1827) and the Halidiye suborder, pp. 13–26, and for his calls regarding the non-muslims, pp. 24–25 in particular; for the Halidiye in Istanbul in the early nineteenth century, pp. 42–57.

Among those who developed hostility against the Mevlevî şeyh of Konya were Mütercim Ahmed Âsım Efendi (1755–1820), Şanizâde Ataullah (1771–1826), and much later Ahmed Cevdet Paşa (1822–1895), the contemporary or near-contemporary historians. Reşat Ekrem Koçu, a Republican historian, claimed that he was a Bektashi in disguise and was very fond of beautiful and young women, and that there was no seclusion for women in his household – an indication of 'Bektashi rules'. Cf. Danacı Yıldız: Vaka-yı Selimiye or the Selimiye Incident, pp. 640–642.

²⁴ Nimetullah Akay: Osmanlı Tarihinde Mevlevîlik Saray İlişkisi. Diss., Şanlıurfa: Harran Üniversitesi, 1999, pp. 130–134. – Ekrem Işın: "Mevlevîliğin Osmanlı Modernleşmesindeki Yeri ve Şeyh Gâlib", in: Şeyh Gâlib Kitabı, ed. Bekir Ayvazoğlu. İstanbul: İstanbul Büyük Şehir Belediyesi, 1995, pp. 53–54. – Christoph Neumann: "19. Yüzyıla Girerken Konya Mevlevî Asitanesi ile Devlet Arasında İlişkiler", in: II. Milletlerarası Osmanlı Devleti'nde Mevlevîhâneler Kongresi [14–15 Aralık 1993], ed. Ahmet Yaşar Ocak. Konya: Selçuk Üniversitesi, 1996 (= Türkiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2/II), pp. 167–179.

Bektashi? Did he entertain Shiites in his convent? Was it his pomp and display, his unruly behaviour that turned other Mevlevîs against him? Or was it his intimate connections with the royal family, ranging from Yusuf Bey (İbrahimhanzâde)²⁵ to Beyhan Sultan (Selim III's half-sister, 1765–1824), which led to some condescending rumours, even about an amorous triangle among the three. There are many anecdotes which have been going around in Mevlevî circles ever since, the historicity of which need to be established through research.²⁶ It is fairly clear though that not only some Mevlevîs or Naqshbendi-Mujaddidis, but other Sufi reformers, too, must have been acting not just on the basis of their ideological outlook, but also (even, mostly) out of pragmatism, worldly desires (which turned them into hûb-1 dünya or ikbâl perest-i dünya) and self-interest in developing their political connections on the eve of (and after) the 1807 Rebellion.²⁷

EROTICISM AND ESOTERICISM

In the complex web of associations, alliances and antagonisms of the 1790s, not only the political role that Şeyh Galib played in the court circles or groups of mystics around Selim III, but also the individual person of the poet, as well as his intimate relation to the sultan and his immediate retinue, need intense scrutiny. ²⁸ Galib remains almost an abstraction, an ascetic disengaged from his human body – even though there is enough evidence to reconstruct his family, politics, and perhaps most interestingly, his sexuality. ²⁹ In current research on Ottoman poetry, as well as, more broadly, recent studies of Islamic mysticism, the attempt

A descendant of the sixteenth-century grand vizier Sokollu Mehmed Paşa and his royal wife, Esma (İsmihan) Sultan.

²⁶ Sedit Yüksel: Şeyh Galib: Eserlerinin Dil ve Sanat Değeri. Ankara: Türkiye İş Bankası Yayınları, 1963.

A case in point is Mehmed Emin Efendi who was described as obsessed with worldly desires but as not caring for the other world. Cf. Danacı Yıldız: Vaka-yı Selimiye or the Selimiye Incident, pp. 640-642.

²⁸ For pioneering studies on Ottoman Sufis as individuals cf. Cemal Kafadar: "Self and Others: The Diary of a Dervish in Seventeenth Century Istanbul and First Person Narratives in Ottoman Literature", in: Studia Islamica, 69, 1989, pp. 121–150. – Idem: "Mütereddit Bir Mutasavvıf: Üsküp'lü Asiye Hatun'un Rüya Defteri 1641–43", in: Topkapı Sarayı Müzesi Yıllığı, 5, 1992, pp. 168–222. – Idem: Asiye Hatun: Rüya Mektupları. Istanbul: Oğlak Yayınları, 1994. – Derin Terzioğlu: Sufî and Dissident in the Ottoman Empire: Niyâzî-i Mısrî (1618–1694). PhD thesis, Cambridge/MA: Harvard University, 1999. In addition to the aforementioned Aşçı Dede, there is also the account of Hızır İlyâs Ağa: Cahit Kayra (ed.): Hızır İlyâs Ağa: Tarih-i Enderun Letaif-i Enderun, 1812–1830. Istanbul: Güneş Yayınları, 1987.

Köprülü was the first historian to normalize Şeyh Galib's sexual preferences: Mehmet Fuat Köprülü: Servet-i Fünun, 43, 1327 A.H., p. 438. Yüksel, too, with references to Köprülü, Gölpınarlı, and Ergun, elaborated on this. Cf. Yüksel: Şeyh Galib: Eserlerinin Dil ve Sanat Değeri, pp. 11–15.

to relate the word and the world to the human body is gaining momentum. The latest examination of Sufi conceptions of the body in religious writings from the late fifteenth through the nineteenth centuries has demonstrated that these often treated saints' physical bodies as sites of sacred power. Another systematic study, this time of Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî's mesnevî, has shed light on the esoteric significance of its explicitly sexual passages. The links between the dynamics of eroticism and esotericism operative in Rûmî's mesnevî have also been explored by using the relevant conceptual tools of postmodern theories and by drawing on recent interpretations of medieval kabbalistic texts. Others have revisited Ahmed Nedîm and the late eighteenth-century Ottoman literary corpus that he inspired – in the context of which the poet emerged more fully as an individual – thereby also bringing the body into discussions of Ottoman lyricists and lyricism. Sünbülzâde Vehbi (ca.1718–1809)³³ and Enderunlu Fâzıl Bey (1759–1810),³⁴

³⁰ Scott Kugle: Sufis and Saints' Bodies: Mysticism, Corporeality, and Sacred Power in Islam. Chapel Hill/NC: The University of North Carolina Press, 2007. Kugle refutes Islamic mysticism's disengagement from the human body. The author focuses on six eminent Sufi saints from North Africa and South Asia, and singles out a specific part of the body to which each saint is frequently associated in religious literature. Exploring the concept of 'embodiment', Kugle tackles questions such as social identity, communal solidarity, religious allegiance, and cultural modernity and shows that the saints' bodies are treated as symbolic resources for generating religious meaning and sacred power. Methodologically, Kugle draws from religious studies, anthropology, gender and sexuality studies, theology, feminism, and philosophy.

The author concluded that these tales were used primarily to communicate esoteric secrets, particularly when this communication was contemplated along gender lines, mediated through erotic imagery, or expressed in sexual terms. Mahdi Tourage: Rûmî and the Hermeneutics of Eroticism. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2007. Cf. also idem: "The Hermeneutics of Eroticism in the Poetry of Rumi", in: Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East, 25/3, 2005, pp. 600–616. – Idem: "Phallocentric Esotericism in a Tale from Jalal al-Din Rumi's Masnavi-i Ma'navi", in: Iranian Studies, 39/1, 2006, pp. 47–70.

For homoerotic allusions in a wealth of documentation, including literature, in the early modern Middle East cf. Jerry W. Wright and Everett K. Rowson: Homoeroticism in Classical Arabic Literature. New York: Columbia University Press, 1997. – Khaled El-Rouayheb: Before Homosexuality in the Arab-Islamic World, 1500-1800. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005. Drawing on the Arab poetry of the Ottoman period, belles-lettres, biographical literature, medicine, physiognomy, dream interpretation, and Islamic legal, mystical, and homiletic texts replete with casual and sometimes sympathetic references to homosexual love, the author shows that on the eve of modernity, the culture of the period lacked the concept of 'homosexuality'. For the Ottoman world in particular cf. Dror Ze'evi: Producing Desire: Changing Sexual Discourse in the Ottoman Middle East, 1500–1900. Berkeley-Los Angeles-London: University of California Press, 2006.

³³ Süreyya Ali Beyzâdeoğlu: Sümbülzâde Vehbî: Lutfiyye. Istanbul: Bedir Yayınları, 2002. – Idem: "Nedim ve Sümbülzâde Vehbi'de İstanbul", in: Türk Edebiyatı Dergisi, 167, 1987, pp. 60-62. – Jan Schmidt: "Sünbülzâde Vehbî's Şevk-engîz: An Ottoman Pornographic Poem", in: Turcica, 25, 1993, pp. 9–37.

Jan Schmidt: "Fazıl Bey Enderuni: Social Historian or Poet?" in: Decision Making and Change in the Ottoman Empire, ed. Caesar E. Farah. Kirksville/MO: Thomas Jefferson University Press at Northeast Missouri State University, pp. 183–197. – Walter G. Andrews and Mehmet Kalpaklı:

both contemporaries of Şeyh Galib, are also increasingly perceived as having displayed eroticism and esotericism in their poetry as a way of reflecting (on) their private lives and minds. The latter, in his Defter-i Aşk ('Book of love'), the first Ottoman autobiographical love story, also laid his claim to having produced a novelty (tarih-i nev-icad). But here it was the content, not the poetics, which was innovative: Defter-i Aşk was meant to be a dynastic history of homosexuals. 35 As in Şeyh Galib's detour, the account here should be read as Enderunlu Fâzıl Bey's self-conscious display of his real person, and ultimately as the poet's call for or leap at becoming an individual. Şeyh Galib's later work on the rule and customs of his order, the As-Sohbet as-Safiyya ('Fellowship of the Pure') of 1787-1789, should also be re-examined in this regard. This is written in the form of a commentary in Arabic on At-Tuhfat al Bâhiyya fî Tariqat al-Mawlawiyya ('The beautiful gift of the Mawlavî Order') of Trabzonlu Ahmed Dede (d.1777), a Nagshbendi who later became a Mevlevî. Galib adopted a conversational format: "the author is saying..., but I say...". 36 In the course of this indirect conversation, the poet seems to reveal more about his real self. But when he takes issue with the bureaucrats of his time, blaming them for their narrow vision, their pedantry, and their pederasty, it is a poetical debate and a rhetorical theme.³⁷

In the last decade of the eighteenth century, the long and strenuous process of abandoning or departing from earlier models may be said to have revolved around the making of an individual out of the poet, and certainly that is at least a 'localization' – if nothing else. Here, 'localization' involved departing from Persianate forms and models in poetry; it was in this sense that it was innovative and therefore fresh. But whether becoming an individual in the Ottoman realm was a stage en route to modernity, or, as formulated by Rifa'at Abou-el-Haj, "a locally generated modernity", is a question to ponder.³⁸

The Age of Beloveds: Love and the Beloved in Early-modern Ottoman and European Culture and Society. Durham-London: Duke University Press, 2005.

³⁵ For Defter-i Aşk, the first person narrative of an Ottoman poet (A Narrative Poem in the Straightjacket of Form: Fazıl of Enderun and his Narrative Poem "Love's Register" [Analysis and Textual edition, forthcoming]) cf. Selim S. Kuru: "Biçimin Kıskacında Bir Mesnevi: Enderunlu Fazıl ve Defter-i Aşk Adlı Mesnevisi", in: Şinasi Tekin'in Anısına: Uygurlardan Osmanlıya, ed. Günay Kut and Fatma Büyükkarcı. Istanbul: Simurg, 2005, pp. 476–506.

³⁶ Gawrych: "Şeyh Galib and Selim III: Mevlevîsm and the Nizam-i Cedid", pp. 101.

³⁷ Holbrook noted that it was usual to level such charges of homosexuality (in the passive role of a catamite, *muğlim*) in a poetical debate, and that it was a rhetorical theme. Cf. Holbrook: *The Unreadable Shores of Love*, pp. 57–58.

³⁸ For localization expressed in Ottoman poetry cf. Muhsin Macit: "Mahallileşme Cereyanı ve Nedim", in: Osmanlı, 9, 1999, pp. 711–717. The notion of "a locally generated modernity" was borrowed by Walter Feldman from an unpublished paper by Rifa'at Abou-el-Haj: "Theorizing Historical Writing Beyond the Nation State: Ottoman Society of the Middle Period", in: Music of the Ottoman Court: Makam, Composition and the Early Ottoman Instrumental Repertoire, ed. Walter

CORRESPONDING PROBLEMS AND TRENDS IN MUSIC AND OTTOMAN MUSICOLOGY

If not in a way that is as captivating as Holbrook and other imaginative historians of Ottoman poetry who have been taking major steps to unveil the person and the individuality of the Ottoman poet,³⁹ historians of eighteenth-century Ottoman music have also been exceptionally prolific and productive in tackling ruling conventions and assumptions in their own field. Over the last few decades, musicologists of different backgrounds have been painstakingly studying, translating, and exploring innumerable documents, ranging from narrative sources such as musical treatises, collections of lyrics and notations, and biographical dictionaries (tezkire) of musicians, to historical or literary accounts of both locals and Europeans, as well as miniatures normally studied only by art historians. They have not only provided a comprehensive interpretation of the development, transmission and diffusion of makam ('modal') music; in the process, they have also challenged a pervasive and enduring belief that has dominated scholarship for a long time.

The challenge here has been to deconstruct a myth regarding the continuity and dominance of Persianate musical forms and performance practices in Ottoman music at all times. A new scholarship has now persuasively demonstrated that roughly over the period 1600–1750 there was a break with the Persian model. The emergence of new rhythmic and modal structures, new compositional forms and musical genres, new instrumental ensembles, virtual erasure of the distinction between the religious and the secular musical specialist, and the blurring of the boundaries between sacred and secular styles of music both within and outside the imperial court have all been noted and evaluated as having contributed to the emergence of a distinct aesthetics and sound that emerged and crystallized Ottoman music, now properly so-called. What remains unchallenged (or even

Feldman. Berlin: Verlag für Wissenschaft und Bildung, 1996, p. 61. Cf. also: Rifa'at Abou-el-Haj: Formation of the Modern State: The Ottoman Empire; Sixteenth to Eighteenth Centuries. Albany: SUNY Press. 1991

Selim S. Kuru: "Naming the Beloved in Ottoman Turkish Gazel: The Case of İshak Çelebi (d.1537/8)", in: Ghazal as World Literature. Vol. 2: From a Literary Genre to a Great Tradition; The Ottoman Gazel in Context, ed. Angelika Neuwirth, Michael Hess, Judith Pfeiffer, and Boerte Sagaster. Beirut-Würzburg: Ergon, 2006 (= Beiruter Texte und Studien, 84), pp. 163–173. – Idem: "Sex in the Text: Deli Birader and Ottoman Literary Canon", in: Middle Eastern Literatures, 10/2, 2007, pp. 157–174. – Idem: "Şiirin Aynasında: İshak Çelebi'nin Şiiri Hakkında Değinmeler", in: Yasak Meyve, 11, November–December 2004, pp. 60–63. – Idem: "Sevgiliye Mektuplar. Diğer deh-nâmeler 1şığında Seydi Ahmed Mirza'nın Ta'aşşuk-nâme'si", in: Journal of Turkish Studies, 28/4, 2004, pp. 109–125. – Idem: "Kötü kadınlar, aptal oğlanlar, masum hayvanlar: Osmanlı'da bir erkeğin cinsellik nesneleri", in: Tarih ve Toplum, May 2001, pp. 36–40.

⁴⁰ While Feldman dated the change to the 1600s and later, Behar has repeatedly argued that "in

unquestioned) in this reappraisal, as also in the case of the Ottoman literary and visual arts, has to do with how all these tangible changes relate to the central postulate of a reforming or modernizing or secularizing state – supposedly extending, all in one breath, from the Tulip Age in the early eighteenth century to the Tanzimat (1839) and beyond. In other words, in standing against the much applauded acceptance of Western influence on Ottoman cultural forms (often read as stagnation and decline), now one has to face another danger: submission to a new wave of revisionist history that reformulates the Europeanization and westernization idea as a 'locally generated modernity' – sometimes most daringly labelled 'secularism' – that took off early in the eighteenth century.⁴¹

Moving on to specifics, the easing of religious boundaries (or of the authority and mandate of Islamic law) so as to allow musicians from diverse cultural or spiritual backgrounds to mingle and cooperate remains, I believe, an assertion that is quite elusive at the moment. Even if such a relaxation were actually the case, I would argue that it cannot be easily substantiated as a progressive, enduring or irreversible process. At the very least, it is difficult to deduce this just from the evidence for the increasing socialization and circulation of the prayer leaders of the mosques, churches and synagogues, as well as the presence of urban musicians from all creeds as non-professionals in musical assemblies. It is true that the spirit and favourable reception of the music performed by numbers of musicians both intra-communally and inter-communally was such that Selim III - who had succeeded two sultans notorious for their aversion to music (to the point of closing down the palace meskhane) - was able to reconstitute the musical establishment at the court according to "his musical tastes, whims and preferences". 42 However, even when viewed from the court, what strikes the eye are not broad generalities or trends but the ambiguous complexities of the small private and social worlds that theorists, composers, instrumentalists or singers occupied. One of Prince Selim's teachers, for example, was a palace woman by the name of Dilhayat Kalfa (1710-1780), who was a major composer in her own right, while Isak Fresko Romano (1745-1814) was another composer

and shortly after 1550s we observe an extremely important threshold in the musical life of the Ottoman cities". Cf. Feldman: *Music of the Ottoman Court.* – Cem Behar: "The Ottoman Musical Tradition", in: *The Cambridge History of Turkey*. Vol. 3: *The Later Ottoman Empire*, 1603–1839, ed. Suraiya N. Faroqhi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, p. 395.

⁴¹ Although Feldman is unconvinced about dating the secularist change to the so-called Tulip Age, he nevertheless engages himself with the claim about the secularism of the early eighteenth-century Ottoman society. Feldman: *Music of the Ottoman Court*, pp. 23, 61–63.

⁴² Behar: "The Ottoman Musical Tradition", p. 396. – Idem: Zaman, Mekân, Müzik: Klâsik Türk Musikisinde Eğitim (Meşk), İcra ve Aktarım. Istanbul: Afa Yayınları, 1993, pp. 28–29.

and Selim III's tanbur instructor. Both Abdülbâki Nâsır Dede (1765–1821)⁴³ and (Baba) Hamparsum Limoncuyan (1768–1839) were composers who were born into and worked together in musical circles in Istanbul, and who devised two separate notational systems on Selim III's support and encouragement. 44 While the notational system of the former was based on the numerical values attributed to the letters of the Arabic alphabet, the latter was able to devise a more succesfull notational system inspired by the symbols already in use for Armenian liturgical hymns. Together with such leading names, a whole crowd of musicians, including the singer and composer İlya (d.1799), the violinist Miron (d.1837), the virtuoso santur player Santurî Hüseyin, and other composers such as Musahib Numan Ağa (1750-1834), Sadık Ağa (d.1815), Sadullah Ağa (d.1801) and Şakir Ağa (d.1815), all seem to have enjoyed courtly patronage, and Mevlevî musicians to have received unprecedented favours.⁴⁵ They were neither scholars nor intellectuals, and certainly were not likes of the Urdu poet and musician Khvâja Mîr Dard (1721-1785), a Nagshbandi thinker and religious revivalist with a pluralist (re) interpretative project to integrate several key ontological, epistemological and practical Islamic discourses into a meta discourse. 46 Nevertheless, it is safe to argue that the contemporary Ottoman musicians, whatever faith they belonged to, too, appear as outstanding individuals distinguished not only for their musical sophistication, but also for their permissive attitude toward those whose opinions, practices, religion, nationality, differed from their own. Hence the interaction with the scholars and intellectuals they mixed and mingled with in the elite circles. Further studies may reveal more on the musicians as individuals and their political, cultural and spiritual worlds. Furthermore, the sultan and his sisters are known to have invited professional dancers to their courts who, according to European travellers' accounts, performed to the music of local Greeks, Jews and Armenians, often representing underprivileged strata from the countryside.

But none of this fits readily into a uniform cultural form and structure, or in any one political outlook and alignment, including any particular approach towards

⁴³ The grandson of Nayî Osman Dede (1652–ca. 1729), the first local who was able "to write down melodies", was encouraged by the sultan to devise a notational system. Behar: "The Ottoman Musical Tradition", p. 399.

⁴⁴ Cem Behar: "Osmanlı'da Musiki Öğrenim ve İntikal Sistemi: Meşk", in: *Defter*, 7, 1988, pp. 83–108. – Idem: *Aşk Olmayınca Meşk Olmaz: Geleneksel Osmanlı/Türk Müziğinde Öğretim ve İntikal*. Istanbul: Yapı Kredi Yayınları, 1998.

⁴⁵ Walter Feldman: "Music of the Ottoman Minority Composers", in: Ottoman Turkish Music Anthology, ed. Walter Feldman. Istanbul: İstanbul Büyükşehir Belediyesi Yayınları, 2001, p. 11.

⁴⁶ Homayra Ziad: "Poetry, Music and the Muhammadî Path: How Khvâjah Mîr Dardn Brought Three Worlds Together in Eighteenth-Century Delhi", in: *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 21/3, 2010, pp. 345–376.

secularism, modernity or westernization. This increased interaction, which has led to the identification of the 'peculiar syncretic nature' of Ottoman music, still cannot be considered a representative product of this period, if only because courtly or quasi-courtly life in Istanbul before and during the eighteenth century has yet to be thoroughly researched. Furthermore, earlier in the eighteenth century the likes of Dimitrie Cantemir (1673-1723), Nayî Osman Dede, Kemânî Hızır Ağa (d.1760), Panayiotes Chalathzoglou, Kyrillos Marmarinos, Moshe Faro (Haham Musi), Tanburî Artin, Kemânî Corci (Yorgi), and Zaharya Efendi may be said to have been not very different in their cultural variety than musicians of the Selimian era.⁴⁷ Walter Feldman has spoken of sixteenth-century Ottoman culture as confined to the court and reflecting a "polymorphous juxtaposition" of different traditions. 48 This corresponds perhaps to what Gülru Necipoğlu has defined as "unity within diversity" for the visual court culture that found a mature expression by the mid sixteenth century. 49 Feldman then argues, however, that after the sixteenth century Ottoman culture was no longer confined to the court and no longer this kind of "polymorphous juxtaposition". This remains a challenge to investigate.

A HISTORICAL CONTEXT FOR 'INCREASED INTERACTION'

In Istanbul in the late eighteenth century, interest in European music, opera or theatre was confined to sporadic events in the royal palaces and does not seem to have evolved into a vogue. There were, in fact, contradictory trends of all sorts. For example, going against the generalization that after the 1550s all things Persianate went downhill (albeit at different times in Ottoman sound, Ottoman

⁴⁷ Eugenia Popescu-Judetz: Tanburî Küçük Artin: A Musical Treatise of the 18th Century. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık 2002. – Eugenia Popescu-Judetz and Adriana Ababi Sirli: Sources of 18th Century Music: Panayiotes Chalathzoglou and Kyrillos Marmarinos's Comparative Treatises on Secular Music. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık 2000. – Eugenia Popescu-Judetz: Meanings in Turkish Musical Culture. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık 1996, p. 80.

Quoting Carter Vaughn Findley, Feldman claimed that Ottoman culture, confined to the court in the sixteenth century, was "a polymorphous juxtaposition" of elements. Cf. Feldman: Music of the Ottoman Court, p. 59. Referring to famous Ottoman composers, the Republican Turkish poet Yahya Kemal Beyatlı (1884–1958) once claimed that a Greek like Zaharya, a Jew like Isak or an Armenian like Nikoğos were nationally Turkish, and that if the Ottomans had exhibited the same degree of unity in other areas of culture that they had in music, the Turks now would be a very different nation. Cf. Feldman: "Music of the Ottoman Minority Composers", p. 54.

⁴⁹ Gülru Necipoğlu: "From International Timurid to Ottoman: A Change in Taste in Sixteenth-Century Ceramic Tiles", in: *Muqarnas*, 7, 1991, pp. 136–170. – Idem: "A Kanun for the State, A Canon for the Arts: Conceptualizing the Classical Synthesis of Ottoman Art and Architecture", in: *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, ed. Gilles Veinstein. Paris: La Documentation Française, 1992, pp. 195–216.

poetry, or in the Ottoman visual arts), Mevlevîsm continued to have an impact

on music that indirectly helped bring in Persianism to the eclecticity of Ottoman culture.⁵⁰ Mevlevîs, whether as composers or performers, were central to the development of both courtly fasil and the Mevlevî âyîn (with these two musical structures borrowing from one another), as well as the improvisatory instrumental taksim from the early seventeenth century onwards. By the end of the eighteenth century, we see Selim III also composing a new Mevlevî ceremony (âyîn-i şerîf) in the Sûz-i Dil-ârâ mode, which was written down by Abdülbâki Nâsır Dede using his new notation. As Walter Feldman shows, the most significant developments in the court style were informed by the elaborate cyclical principles and significant non-metric genres of sung poetry and Qur'anic recitation in Mevlevî ritual, as practised both in Istanbul and a number of Anatolian cities. Furthermore, the long-necked lute (tanbur) and the end-blown flute (ney), emblematic of Anatolian Sufism, came to be closely associated with - indeed to dominate - the new Ottoman style in this period. But the fundamental contribution of Mevlevî dervishes to Ottoman music in this period was in the development of a musical cosmopolitanism built on the multi-ethnic foundations of Ottoman culture.⁵¹ It is in the late eighteenth century that musicians of diverse cultural backgrounds are best recorded as circulating in equally diverse urban spaces, ranging from meyhanes ('taverns') to kahvehanes ('coffee-houses'), from princely courts to religious halls, teaching and performing the musical fashions of their times across communal lines. This may not have been something entirely new. Urban songs seem to have offered a lot to share - but even if pre-eighteenth-century urban dynamics cultivated such a mixed musical culture, given the lack of documentation about cross-communal meetings, they might appear as no more than infrequent border crossings. One exception would be the Jewish urban songs, and the Maftirim compositions in particular, which were a product of a conscious collaboration between Jewish mystics and Mevlevîs.⁵² According to tradition, Maftirim, the choir tradition in which Hebrew poetry was sung to the melodies of secular Ottoman court music or Sufi devotional music, was initiated by Rabbi Şelomo Ben Mazaltov (1509–1571) and Rabbi Israel Ben Moşe Nadjara (ca. 1555-1625), who were both composers and poets. Maftirim was then revived in Edirne in 1696-1703 with the cooperation of Mevlevî dervishes which enabled the mystic Jewish hymns to be sung in Hebrew in the Ottoman song (şarkı) format. This interaction developed as Jews visited Mevlevî convents (Mevlevîhanes) and

⁵⁰ For Mevlevî contributions cf. Feldman: *Music of the Ottoman Court*, pp. 85–99; for the courtly *fasıl* and Mevlevî âyîn: pp. 187–192.

⁵¹ Feldman: Music of the Ottoman Court, pp. 494-505.

⁵² Aaron Kohen Yasak and Lari Dilmen: Maftirim: Judeo-Sufi Connection: 16th-20th Century, Edirne-İstanbul. Istanbul: Kalan Müzik, 2001 (CD recording and text), pp. 45-46.

Mevlevîs came to synagogues to listen to rabbis singing Maftirim. It is noteworthy that "the Mevlevîs allowed their performances to be viewed by an audience, and they constructed their performance spaces (semâhâne) with an audience in mind". In the course of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries inter-communal collaboration on the compositional, rhythmic and melodic structures of Ottoman music continued to develop, spreading and emerging into a variety of secular urban spaces. Also in the eighteenth century, urban Greek songs penetrated the elite salons of Istanbul and some other urban centres. Drawing their lyrics from contemporary Greek verse, these songs (known as mismaiya) were "nearly always melancolic, nostalgic love songs, or else they will speak of the futility of this world". The mismaiya were popular among the circles of that time who looked to Fener, the predominantly Greek neighbourhood on the Golden Horn, as their social and cultural reference point. The social atmosphere described is also the one we read from Nedîm.

It is noteworthy that, considering the late eighteenth-century output as a whole including the many compositions of Selim III, which represent almost all secular and religious genres, including those newly invented due to his encouragement there were no major structural changes from this period until the late nineteenth century. In light of subsequent developments, a more acceptable approach to the evident changes in question might be to see them as a consolidation of musical processes which were already, and separately, well under way before the Selimian era. The various Sufi orders and schools differed in many aspects, but Naqhsibandis and Mevlevîs were united in their emphasis on the need to practice rememberance of Allah (called dhkir). Unlike some Sufi schools which primarily used silent meditation, in Naqhsibandi and Mevlevî gatherings they shared not only stories, dreamwork and poetry, but also practiced certain techniques (or meditations), such as breathing, sound, music and movement, including whirling and dance, that prepared the way for or led one to the multi-layered transformative soul journey.⁵⁵ Although the Naqhsibandis and Mevlevîs scholars attended their respective circles and though their emphases differed, these scholars envisaged spiritual reform on a grand scale, as a moral response to cultural disintegration. It is essential to acknowledge that this consolidation rested on a new kind of patronage, by a horizontally diverse and upwardly mobile elite, including even the higher members of the religious class (ulema) who were among the Naqshbandi-Mujaddidi and Mevlevî members of the reform party, and replacing the musical patronage of the court. As the artists, poets and musicians promoted by religious

⁵³ Feldman: Music of the Ottoman Court, p. 502.

Petros Tabouris: Mismaiya: Masterpieces of the First Greek Songs (17th–19th Century A.D.). Athens: F. M. Records, s.a. (CD recording and text).

⁵⁵ Ziad: "Poetry, Music and the Muhammadî Path, pp. 345–376.

scholars were integrated within the new elite of the Ottoman capital, significant cultural barriers between the court and the households of the military-bureaucratic class and the religious establishment broke down.⁵⁶ There arose not only a new urban cosmopolitanism in the capital, but also a new country life flourishing on its waterfronts, as well as a conspicuous consumption and sociability which became part and parcel of this new lifestyle, freed from the manners and mannerisms of the congested historical peninsula. Of all this there is a lot that remains unexplored. Nevertheless, it is fairly clear that the patronage of this new urban and urbane elite marginalized the predominantly Persianate court musicians and put a high premium on more local music-making. Of course, 'local' in the context of the Ottoman capital is a concept that is yet to be elaborated. Being able to define 'localities in the capital', such as the Pera, the Bosphorus or the Golden Horn, and breaking them down to their respective sections of coastline, or considering even distant villages as geographic and cultural localities, might help answer questions such as how the local cultures and societies of Istanbul compared with one another. In other words, what presence did elite Istanbulite or imperial culture and society have in various localities at different points in time?

HOW FAR, HOW LOCAL WAS THE IMAGERY?

Music can surely be an important factor in delineating a locality, that is to say either a district and/or a community, for it is most emphatically a social and collective process. The important role the Mevlevî order played in the proliferation of musical principles, rhythmic and modal structures, compositional forms and musical genres, was because of their receptiveness to incorporating music and dance into their daily routines. Their openness to the 'others', perhaps intensified as a consequence of the political preferences of the şeyh families taking a stance against the central administration of the Mevlevî order in Konya, offers important clues about the late eighteenth-century social transformation that they became part and parcel of. In turn, they continue to receive an unparalleled attention from musicoethnologists today.

In the absence of such an obvious link to the (worldly and other-worldly) mental structures of a community or an individual, studies of Ottoman visual culture, whether architectural or pictorial, have come to neglect the political, cultural and spiritual world of artists and patrons alike.⁵⁷ Hence, all change and development

⁵⁶ Feldman: Music of the Ottoman Court, p. 23, cited after Madeleine Zilfi: The Politics of Piety: The Ottoman Ulema in the Postclassical Age (1600–1800). Chicago: Biblioteca Islamica, 1988.

⁵⁷ The singular exception is the work of Baha Tanman. In addition to his numerous studies on the architecture of convents, he has also written on Sufi painting. Cf. Baha Tanman: "Merzifon, Kara Mustafa Paşa Camii Şadırvanının Kubbesinde Zileli Emin'in Yarattığı 'Osmanlı Dünyası'

have come to be explained by the impact of external factors. Resting on the "westernization in the Tulip Age" paradigm, the interpretation of the eighteenth-century novelties in painting such as increased attention to the detail, the portrayal of the new perspectives and attitudes of the society, the expressions and reactions of people from all strata, experiments in techniques, experiments in taboos, both unthinkable and unmentionable, has been attributed to times when "the empire opened up to the West for the first time" or when "the Ottoman palace entered into conscious communication with the European countries for the first time".⁵⁸ All this and other ways of transformation, however, were more likely to have been the result of the changing patronage patterns and the emergence of alternative career lines for the artists and architects that seem to have started much earlier in the beginnings of the seventeenth century.⁵⁹

From the early eighteenth century onwards, new subject matters emerged and found favour, including genre scenes dominated by women, as well as nudes and other erotica.⁶⁰ A popular group of sensual women's portraits from the end

ve Bu Dünyaya Yansıyan Kişiliği", in: Sanat Tarihinde İkonografik Araştırmalar, ed. Güner İnal'a Armağan. Ankara: Hacettepe Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1993, pp. 491–522. – Idem: "Beşiktaş Mevlevîhanesi'ne İlişkin Bir Minyatürün Mimarlık Tarihi Açısından Değerlendirilmesi", in: 17. Yüzyıl Osmanlı Kültür ve Sanatı: 19–20 Mart 1998. Sempozyum Bildirileri, ed. Sanat Tarihi Derneği. Istanbul: Sanat Tarihi Derneği, 1998 (= Sanat Tarihi Derneği Yayınları, 4), pp. 181–216. – Idem: "Geç Dönem Osmanlı Tekke Sanatında Seyyid Ahmed el-Rifa'î Türbesi Tasvirleri", in: Palmet: Sadberk Hanım Müzesi Yıllığı, 3, 2000, pp. 77–102. – Idem: "Geç Dönem Bektaşî Resim Sanatından İlginç Bir Örnek: Mehmed Nuri Baba Portresi", in: Muhibbe Darga Armağanı, ed. Taner Tarhan, Aksel Tibet, and Erkan Konyar. Istanbul: Istanbul Sadberk Hanım Müzesi Yayınları, 2008, pp. 493–504. Cf. also idem: "Osmanlı İnsanının Zihniyet Dünyasındaki Mistik Boyutun Kent Dokusuna Yansıması: İstanbul Örneği", in: Arredamento Mimarlık, 158, 2002, pp. 98–106. – Idem: "Osmanlı Dönemi Tarikat Yapılarında Sûfî İnançlarının ve Simgelerinin Yansımaları", in: Sanat ve İnanç – Rıfkı Melûl Meriç Anısına, ed. Banu Mahir and Halenur Kâtipoğlu. Istanbul: M.S.Ü. Türk Sanatı Uygulama ve Araştırma Merkezi, Şubat, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 265–280.

⁵⁸ Günsel Renda: "Traditional Turkish Painting and the Beginning of Western Trends", in: A History of Turkish Painting, ed. Günsel Renda. Seattle-London: University of Washington Press, 1988, p. 58. For a more recent reflection of this attitude in the history of architecture and urbanism, albeit locating direct sources of inspiration also in the East, see various studies of Shirine Hamadeh, including City's Pleasures. Istanbul in the Eighteenth Century. Seattle-London: University of Washington Press, 2008.

⁵⁹ Tülay Artan: "Arts and Architecture", in: *The Cambridge History of Turkey*. Vol. 3: *The Later Ottoman Empire*, 1603–1839, ed. Suraiya N. Faroqhi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 408–480.

The early eighteenth-century miniatures of Nevizade Atayi's (1583–1635/36) Hamse are a case in point. The illustrated Hamse, all from the first quarter of the eighteenth century, survive in five copies: Istanbul, Turkish and Islamic Arts Museum (TİEM) (1969); Baltimore, Walters Art Gallery (W. 666); London, British Library (Or. 13882); Philadephia, Free Library (T. 97); Istanbul, Topkapı Palace Museum (TSM) Library (R. 816); as each displays a different hand, their popularity at the time is unquestionable. Cf. Tülay Artan: "Mahremiyet: Mahrumiyet'in Resmi",

of the eighteenth century presents an enigma and may perhaps be the product of a major painting workshop in Istanbul, independent of the court.⁶¹ The lead artist that epitomizes this school of painting is best known for his representation of the Sa'dâbâd, the timber palace surrounded by the royal park located at the far end of the Golden Horn. This crowded scene, teeming with courtly ladies, was included, along with an entire portrait gallery, in the illustrations for the Hûbannâme ('Book of beautiful man') and Zenannâme ('Book of beautiful women'), the only complete copy of the famous mesnevî that Enderunlu Fâzıl wrote in praise of the most beautiful men and women of the world.⁶² There are many illustrated copies of these 'Books of Beauties', though usually comprising separate parts for men and women.⁶³ In the face of the keenness of art historians to censure all

in: Defter, 20, 1993, pp. 91-115. The miniatures of TSM Library (R. 816) (1728) are attributed to a certain Nakkaş İbrahim, the artist who illustrated the second copy of the celebrated Surnâme-i Vehbî, dedicated to İbrahim Paşa. The miniatures of the Hamse at Baltimore Walters Art Gallery (W. 666) (1721) feature another artist who remains unknown. His works have been detected in single pages (a women's gathering on the Bosphorus and Beşiktaş Mevlevîhanesi), also at the Philadephia Free Library. Cf. Serpil Bağcı, Filiz Çağman, Günsel Renda, and Zeren Tanındı (eds.): Osmanlı Resim Sanatı. İstanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2007, pp. 268-271. Hamse miniatures depict often sensual material, ranging from bestiality to fornication, and socially accepted and unaccepted forms homosexuality, but never ever tender or dreamy love scenes. Art historians largely dismiss these miniatures altogether because their subject matter is not princely and none of them seems to have been illustrated by artists belonging to the palace workshop, represented foremost by Levnî, the last prolific artist to work in the classical tradition. In a manner different than his tutor Musavvir Hüseyin's sexy women, Levnî and his successors seem to have also concentrated on women's portraits, which created a space for depictions of the works of Musavvir Hüseyin and their East-West context. Cf. Majer, Hans-Georg: "Works of Musavvir Hüseyin and Their East-West Context", in: Art turc/Turkish Art: 10th International Congress of Turkish Art, Genève 17-23 Septembre 1995, ed. François Déroche, Charles Genequand, Günsel Renda, and Michael Rogers. Geneva: Fondation Max van Berchem et Faculté des Lettres de l'Université de Genève, 1999, pp. 463-471. - Gül İrepoğlu: Levni: Painting, Poetry, Colour. Ankara: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1999.

Abdullah Buharî's single-portraits: Istanbul, Istanbul University Library (T. 9364) (1744), TSM Library (B. 274) (1747–1748). Nudes are uncommon in Islamic painting, but the figure studies of mid seventeenth-century Isfahan exploit them as titillation and the proportions of Buharî's figure may derive from their pear-shaped females. Cf. Filiz Çağman, Zeren Tanındı, and Michael Rogers: "The Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries and Westernization", in: *The Topkapı Saray Museum: The Albums and Illustrated Manuscripts*, ed. Filiz Çağman, Zeren Tanındı, and Michael Rogers. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1986, pp. 253, 255. Cf. also Banu Mahir: "Abdullah Buhari'nin Minyatürlerinde 18. Yüzyıl Kadın Modası", in: *P Dergisi*, 12, 1999, pp. 70–82.

⁶² Istanbul, Istanbul University Library (TY 5502) (1793).

⁶³ Zenannâme: British Library (Or. 7094); Hûbannâme: The San Diego Museum of Art, Edwin Binney 3rd Collection. Cf. Edwin Binney and Walter Denny (eds.): Turkish Treasures from the Collection of Edwin Binney, 3rd. Oregon: Portland Art Museum, 1979, p. 117; and Barbara Schmitz: Islamic Manuscripts in the New York Public Library. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 1992, pp. 267–270. There are also single pages in private collections such as the Khalili Collection (London). Cf. Michael Rogers (ed.): Empire of the Sultans: Ottoman Art from the Collection of Nasser D.

things naughty and disreputable in Ottoman miniature painting, it has fallen to a literary historian to point to the overt sexuality of the women in these paintings. ⁶⁴ Arguing for parallels between these illustrations and the radically innovative apertura of Nedîm and his followers, Kemal Sılay has noted that the Zenânnâme "provides stunning evidence of a new openness concerning sexuality or at least nudity in the arts of the Ottoman aristocracy." ⁶⁵ Delightful women from all over the world, from Anatolia to Algeria, from India to the Americas, are depicted in local costume. When it comes to the women of the Ottoman capital, the nipples are always emphasized even when they are fully clothed. As Kemal Sılay says:

In a society with a dominant Islamic culture like that of the Ottoman Empire, where representation of the human figure itself was restricted, [...] the unveiling of the female breast in painting was pivotal and marked a concerted effort towards weakening religious restrictions upon artistic creativity.⁶⁶

While the production and consumption of princely albums in the late eighteenth century that had sexy women as their subject matter had always been an exclusive domain, in contrast, poetry as flagrant as Fâzıl Enderunî's had always been much more widespread and commonplace – though Şanizâde Mehmed Ataullah Efendi, one of the most enlightened intellectuals in Selim III's retinue and a supporter of the reforms, found the language (the content) of the poet unacceptable (*lisanına perhizsiz* and *bi-perva*).⁶⁷ Furthermore, there are clues here and there that paintings in this vein, too, were no longer restricted to small elite circles.⁶⁸ Thus, even more daring work by the painter (or painters of a prominent artistic school) of the *Hûbannâme* and *Zenannâme* is found illustrating an Ottoman pornographic album

Khalili. Geneva: Azimuth Editions, 1995, no. 158, p. 228, and Binney: Turkish Treasures from the Collection of Edwin Binney, 3rd, nos. 79 and 80, pp. 125–126. A translation into French, including seventeen coloured woodcuts depicting men and women of Africa and America, shows how urgent was the Ottoman demand. Cf. Auguste Wahlen: Moeurs, usages et costumes de tous les people du monde. Bruxelles: Librairie Historique, 1844.

The painter Abdullah Buhari's mid eighteenth-century albums of portraits include mostly doll-like, stout and grim faced, plump and sensual females. Their costumes are quite elaborate. Michael Rogers, noting that these portraits of women, "improbably recalling the facial expressions of the famous Two Courtesans by Carpaccio in the Museo Correr, Venice", characterized the work of Abdullah Buhari as "smooth and accomplished, but the content is light-weight, a sinister percursor of the 'filthy-postcard'." Rogers still remains the only art historian to assess these portraits, not literally nudes, as erotic if not obscene. Cf. Çağman, Tanındı, and Rogers: "The Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries and Westernization", pp. 253, 255.

⁶⁵ Kemal Silay: Nedim and the Poetics of the Ottoman Court, pp. 78-79.

⁶⁶ Ibidem, pp. 78–79.

⁶⁷ Şanizâde Ataullah Mehmed Efendi: Şanizâde Tarihi. Istanbul: s.typ., 1867, vol. 1, pp. 407–408, cited after Kuru: "Naming the Beloved in Ottoman Turkish Gazel", p. 476.

⁶⁸ After all, anatomical pictures in Şanizade's book were equally problematic for some other parties.

(bahnâme). 69 Depicting a series of sex fantasies, probably set in a Pera brothel, the artist chose to represent the young men involved wearing their characteristic, identifying headgear, ranging from the red berets of the Nizâm-1 Cedid, or the turbans typical of various period bureaucrats (certainly including Naqshi-Mujaddidis), to those of the Sufi orders – most notably the Mevlevîs.

A veritable mine of information, a treasure trove for research on the interior decoration of the 1790s if for nothing else, the miniatures of the bahnâme in question depict scandalous women from Istanbul, dressed or nude, who are strikingly similar to the women in the Zenannâme. Furthermore, a miniature costume album of single-figure studies from the 1790s (with French titles) features many ladies that strongly resemble the loose women of the bahnâme. Likewise, many of the women's portraits in the three volumes of Ignatius Mouradgea d'Ohsson's (1740–1807) Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman (published in Paris in 1787, 1790 and 1820) were modelled on the portraits of the same artist or artists from the very same school. However, other portrait albums of the period by contemporary artists, possibly by native Greeks or Armenians, such as the one presented to the

The bahnâme in question, formerly in the E. Binney 3rd Collection, was sold by Christie's London in June 1998. The catalogue of the June 18, 1998, auction dates the album in question to 1794, identifies the artist as Mustafa el-Mısrî, and the narrator as a certain Mahmud Cüce. Cf. Christie's London (ed.): Ottomans and Orientalists: London, June 18, 1998. Auction Catalogue. London: Christie's, 1998. For two other illustrated copies of the same bahnâme, one earlier and the other slightly later see: Tülay Artan and Irvin Cemil Schick: "Ottomanizing Pornotopia: Changing Visual Codes in Eighteenth-Century Ottoman Erotic Miniatures", in: Eros and Sexuality in Islamic Art, ed. Mika Natif and Francesca Leoni. Farnham: Ashgate (forthcoming).

⁷⁰ Selmin Kangal (ed.): Çağlarboyu Anadolu'da Kadın: Anadolu Kadınının 9000 Yılı. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1993, p. 271 (C 140). Cf. also: Térésa Battesti (ed.): Les Ottomanes. Exposition Istambul, février – mars 1990. Paris: Printemps Hausmann, 1990, p. 29.

Pritish Museum (OA 1974, 6-17-012 (2)): Costumes Turcs, vol. II, 128 folios with paintings on 110 and titles, etc. On the remainder, Turkey, ca. 1790. The album was allegedly painted on the order of Abdülhamid for Heinrich Friedrich von Diez, Prussian ambassador to the Ottoman court 1784–1791. For a partial list of such costume albums cf. Günsel Renda: "Ottoman Painting and Sculpture", in: Ottoman Civilization, ed. Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2003 (orig. 2002), p. 935.

⁷² Jean Baptiste Hilairs's "The Romeca: Dance of the Greek Women", Pl. 93 in: Mouradgea d'Ohsson's Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman, reprinted in: The Torch of the Empire: Ignatius Mouradgea d'Ohsson and the Tableau général of the Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth Century, ed. Sture Theolin et al. Istanbul: YKY, 2002, pp. 11, 63, 178–179; and "The Apartment of a Muslim Lady with the Tandır", Pl. 83 in: Tableau général, reprinted in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, pp. 63, 174–175. – Louis-Nicolas L'Espinasse (1734–1805), Lying-in Scene, painted in 1788–1792 for d'Ohsson, not used in the Tableau général, in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, p. 29. For a portrait of a Muslim women in spring costume cf. Pl. 76, in: Tableau général, reprinted in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, n.p.

king of Poland in 1781,⁷³ or another variously signed by Konstantin (Kapıdağlı), Rafail, and Istrati, display different painterly styles.⁷⁴ Thus Kapıdağlı, apparently "a Greek peasant in Istanbul who displayed such proofs of natural talent as to induce the emperor to patronize him",⁷⁵ stands out as an eminent artist with a distinct style, suggesting training in a European institution. His several portraits of Selim III as well as his paintings in the church of Demetrius (in Kurtuluş, Istanbul) have all been identified.⁷⁶ It is no surprise to find such locals and Europeans mingling in the artistic circles of the time – hence terms like "painters of Galata or the Bosphorus".⁷⁷

It is also intriguing to encounter more work of the artists in these circles in unconventional media. There are, for example, four large lacquered panels that are a rendering *in toto* of a costume album; depicted on these panels are several portraits of court women.⁷⁸ While the aforementioned *bahnâme* was intended to be consumed in private, the courtly ladies on these lacquered panels, together with the court personages and some commoners, were intended for wall displays. It is also noteworthy that this particular artist painted at least three albums of sultans' portraits.⁷⁹

The technical jump to gouache-tempera by the mid eighteenth century can be attributed to the presence of just such a group of artists with academic training in European art institutions. D'Ohsson, a native of Istanbul associated with diplomatic circles in France and Sweden, collected many art works in the Ottoman capital over the course of ten years, including paintings by Konstantin

⁷³ University Library Warsaw, Print Room (Royal Coll. T. 171 [254 gouaches]. Cf. Selmin Kangal (ed.): War & Peace: Ottoman Polish Relations in the 15th–19th Centuries. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1999, pp. 273–323.

⁷⁴ Istanbul, TSM Library (H. 2143). Cf. Günsel Renda: Batılılaşma Döneminde Türk Resim Sanatı 1700–1850. Ankara: Hacettepe Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1977, pp. 216–217.

⁷⁵ Günsel Renda: "Ressam Konstantin Kapıdağlı Hakkında Yeni Görüşler", in: 19. Yüzyılda Sanat Ortamı. İstanbul: Sanat Tarihi Derneği, 1999, p. 141.

⁷⁶ Ibidem; cited after John Young: A Series of Portraits of the Emperors of Turkey from the Foundation of the Monarchy to the Year 1815. Engraved from Pictures Painted at Constantinople Commended under the Auspieces of Sultan Selim the Third and Completed by the Command of Sultan Mahmoud the Second with a Biographical Account of Each of the Emperors. London: s.typ., 1815. Cf. also Alexandre Papas: "Der Maler Konstantinos Kyzikenos und einige seiner Werke", in: Orthodoxes Forum. Zeitschrift des Instituts für Orthodoxe Theologie der Universität München, 1, 1987, pp. 71–81. See also the contribution by Günsel Renda in this volume.

⁷⁷ Boppe, Auguste: Les peintres du Bosphore au dixhuitième siècle. Paris: ACR édition, 1989 (orig. 1911).

⁷⁸ Private collection, Istanbul.

⁷⁹ For the albums of sultans' portraits cf. Günsel Renda: 19th Century Album of Ottoman Sultans' Portraits: İnan and Suna Kıraç Collection. Milano: Amilcare Pizzi, 1992. – Idem: Padişah Portreleri: Mevlânâ Müzesi Albümü. Konya: Kültür Bakanlığı Anıtlar ve Müzeler Genel Müdürlüğü Yayınları, 1999. For a third album of sultans' portraits (now in a private collection) possibly by the same artist cf. Renda: Padişah Portreleri, p. 21.

Kapıdağlı (Konstantinos Kyzikos), Jean-Baptiste Hilair (1753-1822), and Louis-François Cassas (1726-1827). Finally, 223 of them were included as engravings in d'Ohsson's monumental project. 80 D'Ohsson himself was a devout supporter of the Ottoman reforms led by the Naghshi-Mujaddidi-Mevlevî political party and he must have felt quite at home in Paris, in a cultural milieu in which "political philosophy, libel and pornography merged to undermine the French Monarchy."81 Today, several paintings originally in d'Ohsson's collection, on which the engravings of the Tableau général were based, have been identified in European collections; hence the possibility that there once existed more paintings of this particular school in Istanbul, perhaps including those of the unthinkable and unmentionable kinds. The infrequency with which such miniatures turn up in the palace collections, however, suggests that in the inhospitable atmosphere of the aftermath of the 1807 revolt, these were proscribed by fanatical puritans or even by the owners themselves, who might have sensed and been intimidated by a growing hostility for figurative painting in the first half of the nineteenth century (which is a subject for future study). Such genre painting has survived mostly in the form of single pages, which, being very rare, mostly circulate between private collections, surfacing only sporadically for auctions. This makes it extremely difficult for them to be collectively subjected to scholarly analysis. Another clue towards the popularity of Ottoman female erotica comes from a rather distant artistic realm. Meissen porcelain, which was very popular among royal women in Selimian times, incorporated similar themes for decorative ware that targeted non-Ottoman markets. It has been suggested that the models for the Meissen nudes in neo-classical style were developed from paintings such as Abdullah Buharî's Baigneuse (and in turn, these Meissen portraits of Oriental women inspired Jean-Auguste-Dominique Ingres's [1780-1867] famous Odalisque).82

Günsel Renda: "Illustrating the *Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman*", in: Theolin et al.: *The Torch of the Empire*, pp. 59–76. – Idem: "Ressam Konstantin Kapıdağlı Hakkında Yeni Görüşler", pp. 139–162. Philip Mansel added that together with Hilair, Cassas had arrived in Istanbul in 1784 in the retinue of the French ambassador Choiseul-Gouffier. Philip Mansel: "The *Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman* as Symbol of the Franco-Ottoman, Franco-Swedish and Swedish-Ottoman Alliances", in: Theolin et al.: *The Torch of the Empire*, pp. 77–83. While Luigi Mayer (1755–1803) was in the retinue of the English ambassador Sir Robert Ainslie (1730–1812), Antoine de Favray (1706–1791) happens to be yet another accomplished artist in Istanbul at that time.

⁸¹ Carter Vaughn Findley: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other: Mouradgea d'Ohsson and His Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman", in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, p. 28.

⁸² Tülay Artan: "18th Century Ottoman Princesses as Collectors: From Chinese to European Porcelain", in: Ars Orientalis. Vol. 39: Globalising Cultures: Art and Mobiliy in the Eighteenth Century, ed. Nebahat Avc10ğlu and Barry Finnbarr Flood. Washington DC, 2011, pp. 113–146. Rogers also argued for the possibility that Buharî's well-known Baigneuse, contemporary with a series of harem-and-bath scenes executed by the Guardis in Venice, were inspired by the Western ideas of eroticism and steamy associations with the Orient. Cf. Çağman, Tanındı, Rogers: "The

But it would seem that the talented artists in the Meissen workshops, who were usually working from engravings such as those by Jean-Baptiste Vanmour (1671–1737), had access to more contemporary renderings of Ottoman nudes that were available in the market. Towards the end of the eighteenth century illustrations of nude females appeared also as constellations in astrological treatises. A case in point is an illustrated copy of the translation of *Iqd al-Juman fi Ta'rikh Ahl al-Zaman* ('A pearl necklace of the contemporary history', 1747), a Mamluk encyclopaedic work. These plump nudes, too, diverged drastically from earlier depictions of constellations, as they were based on the illustrations of Western European astronomical atlases. Not only the daring figures but the artistry in the delineation of volume, shadow, and *chiaroscuro* is a novel attitude.⁸³

At the same time, we have to remember that even though some patrons might have distanced themselves from figural representation, there were still efforts to behave in a princely way, hence the depictions of the sultans' personal traits and heroic deeds. D'Ohsson's presentation of a large genealogical tree of all the Ottoman sultans, based on the palace collection, to Selim III, and of its smaller copies to various dignitaries, is said to have created a sensation at the court. D'Ohsson had seen these portraits in 1770 and had had them secretly copied. Similar efforts continued well into the nineteenth century. Nevertheless, d'Ohsson was also keen to describe the difficulties he encountered "because Ottomans, other than sultans, would not allow their portraits to be painted".⁸⁴

Did Mevlevîs, known to have allowed, even promoted pictorial representation in the past, ⁸⁵ play a role in this proliferation of representations of informal settings and private lives? Maybe. Was inter-confessional or inter-communal strife over figural painting escalating in this period? So it would seem. Were painters in touch with poets, architects, intellectuals? Doubtless. But these are only so many probabilities, and it would be untimely to talk about an opening up of society in the absence of properly research-based answers to such questions. At least until then, our understanding will continue to be riddled by ambiguities. Thus on the one hand, the tastes of the high elite clearly underwent an eclectic proliferation. But also, as reflected in Şanizâde's Ataullah's reaction to Fâzil Enderunî's explicit transgressions, there were always limits to the toleration of the 'other', even for sexuality in an all-male court society. There could have been political limits, too,

Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries and Westernization", pp. 253, 255. I will maintain that there is indeed a coquettish air, even in the way some women are portrayed looking behind the window panes, suggesting that models were chosen from a brothel.

⁸³ Tercüme-i İkdü'l cümân fî târih-i ehli'z-zamân. TSM Library (B. 274) (1747–1748). Cf. Çağman, Tanındı, Rogers: "The Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries and Westernization", pp. 253, 256.

⁸⁴ Findley: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other", pp. 32, 40.

⁸⁵ Şahabettin Uzluk: Mevlevîlikte Resim, Resimde Mevlevîler. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1957.

to acceptance. It is not easy to read the power relations of Selimian times in terms of a clash between two diametrically opposite groups. More fundamentally, it does not seem possible to identify the contending sides as monolithic, whether in class or corporate terms. Rather, upon closer examination the so-called reformists and anti-reformists dissolve into heterogenous groups of composites. We have seen that Mevlevîsm, the spiritual garb that the cultural elite of the Ottomans felt most comfortable in, was at least politically allied to the high elite of the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi order at the turn of the nineteenth century. Both were supporters of the Nizâm-1 Cedid reforms (and were at odds with social groups fed by Bektashi ideology). However, there were other factors making for differences between them as well as between other power groups. Furthermore, there were many influential individuals holding on to a variety of personal dynamics, such as blood relations, patron-client networks, professional identities, secret religious affiliations, and other loyalties or antagonisms. They were all in search of opportunities for forming temporary coalitions which would work to the best of their interests. Some among them may have pushed the customary cultural horizons of the Ottoman elite to their limits.

INDIVIDUALS AND PARTIES, LOYALTIES AND ANTAGONISMS

Two cases in point are the very persons of Ignatius Mouradgea d'Ohsson and Antoine Ignace Melling (1763-1831). The former was born in Istanbul to a Catholic Armenian-Levantine family originally from Izmir. He rose to become chief translator at the Swedish Embassy. Eventually appointed ambassador of Sweden in the Ottoman capital, he busied himself throughout the rest of his career with promoting the Ottoman sultan in Europe as an embodiment of 'enlightened despotism'. 86 D'Ohsson was married to the daughter of a Catholic Armenian banker (a client of the grand vizier Ragib Mehmed Paşa, also a Naqshi-Mujaddidi), who had lost his fortune in 1763 and regained it in 1774 (at the time of the marriage). As the treasurer of the waqf of the Holy Cities, d'Ohsson's father-in-law seems to have been well connected with the palace. D'Ohsson's own large-scale ventures, revolving around Ottoman military procurements, enabled him to grow wealthy and powerful. He managed to amass, and to trade in, large amounts of luxury goods and works of art. He is likely to have been a Freemason.⁸⁷ As one modern historian concludes, "Mouradgea was at home in the cosmopolitan cultures of both the francophone Enlightenment and the Ottoman imperial synthesis".88 But

⁸⁶ Findley: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other".

⁸⁷ Ibidem, p. 30.

⁸⁸ Ibidem, p. 24.

in a sultanic rescript from mid-1799, he is also referred to, in unconcealed fury, as "an Armenian, a French spy and an intriguer (müfsid)".89

As for the latter, Antoine Ignace Melling was a native of Karlsruhe, a painter, architect and voyager who lived in Istanbul for eighteen years. Following his professional training, Melling had joined the Russian ambassador's household and retinue with the aim of drawing pictures for various dignitaries, and had arrived in the Ottoman capital after visiting Italy and Egypt. The multi-talented artist then caught the attention of Ottoman royalty while working for the Danish ambassador Baron Hübsch. 90 He spent nearly twenty years in Istanbul, designing and furnishing palaces, and planning gardens and kiosks exclusively for the sultan and his sisters. Throughout that time Melling remained a foreigner although he eventually married an Istanbuliote Levantine, and even learned some Turkish - enough to communicate in writing with his patron, Hatice Sultan, one of Selim III's half-sisters. For her part, Hatice Sultan, too, made some effort to communicate with him by learning the Latin alphabet. The artist-architect fell out of favour rather unexpectedly as a result of the plotting and scheming of Hatice Sultan's palace officials, whom he seems to have irritated, perhaps because of his apparent intimacy with the princess. In the end, Melling fled without even receiving his due payments, and his persistent letters to the sultan did not help him collect them. He had fallen out of favour for good even though he was one of the most talented artists in the Ottoman capital at that time. So when he returned to France, he easily recast himself as a landscape painter to the Empress Joséphine (1763-1814). His most influential work was published over 1809-1819 as Voyage pittoresque de Constantinople et des rives du Bosphore. While the first thirteen livraisons of Melling's Voyage pittoresque were published two decades after the first volume of d'Ohsson's Tableau général (1787, 1790, 1820), in a broader way they overlapped in time since both works were completed a decade after the death of Selim III (1808). Certainly these two were not the only two marginal individuals in Istanbul who were going back and forth across cultural frontiers; there have been many more,

⁸⁹ Istanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, HAT (176/7696 (29 Z 1213)): This statement was made on the occasion of d'Ohsson's demand of the salaries of the Swedish architect-officer and other Swedes working on the construction of a ship in Rhodes so that they would return. The resentment was such that the sultan continued to say that the ambassador's dismissal should be asked from the Swedish government by way of İbrahim Efendi in Vienna.

Antoine-Ignace Melling: Lettres de Hollande et des villes anséatiques: La correspondance d'un artistevoyageur avec sa famille à Paris en 1812, ed. Cornelis Boschma. Paris: Fondation Custodia, 1997. – Frédéric Hitzel: "Correspondence between Antoine Ignace Melling (1763–1801) and Hatice Sultan", in: Proceedings of the International Congress on Learning and Education in the Ottoman World on the Occasion of the 700th Anniversary of the Foundation of the Ottoman State, Istanbul, 12–15 April 1999, ed. Ali Caksu. Istanbul: IRCICA, 2001, vol. 2 (= Studies and Sources on the Ottoman history series, 6).

both foreigners and locals, though not of the calibre or stature of a diplomatic agent or an architect-cum-designer for a Francophile monarch. ⁹¹ As far as we know, d'Ohsson and Melling never crossed paths, and that also tells us something significant about the scope and vigour of Istanbul's artistic milieu at the time. ⁹²

Equally vigorous were the artists in the provinces. A contemporary compilation of Ottoman erotica, containing a translation of Rujū' al-Shaykh ilā Sibāḥ fī al-Quwwah 'alā al-Bāh ('Return of the old man to youth through the power of sex'), as well as Enderunlu Fâzıl Bey's provocative poems Zenannâme, Hûbannâme, and Çenginâme ('Book of dancers'), and Sünbülzâde Vehbi's equally erotic Şevkengîz ('Ardor-inducing'), is a case in point.93 It was illustrated with eighty-five miniatures, including a few non-erotic genre scenes. It is interesting that while the erotic ones, displaying a novel iconography, remain unique to the manuscript in question, the few genre scenes were repeated in various other manuscripts illustrating the Zenannâme and Hûbannâme. The colophon is dated 1817. However, it also gives the date of the translation from Arabic into Ottoman Turkish as 1773, and one of the full-page miniatures bears the date 1799. All of this suggests that the manuscript took several years to complete. Furthermore, the colophon notes that (some part of) the manuscript was translated in Shumen, an important center of book production in the eighteenth century. 94 It is noteworthy that the interiors depicted in these miniatures are quite different than those equally luxurious homes or brothels of the capital and the men's costumes strongly suggest a Balkan provenance. The women, however, look like fashionably chic late-eighteenth century Istanbuliotes. Unlike the poets, the artists of the miniatures and the patron remain anonymous.

The late eighteenth century economic expansion, fostered by the rise of local, regional, and trans-imperial trade with the proliferation of the merchant networks operating within the Ottoman realm and beyond, allowed the provincial

^{91 &}quot;Documentary evidence of d'Ohsson's participation in Selim's diplomacy is lacking: yet d'Ohsson's writing shows that the ills he expected his 'enlightened sultan' to overcome were precisely those then targeted by Ottoman reformers", Findley: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other", p. 46.

By 1809 Melling had set up an engraving studio for the purpose of reproducing completed images of his drawings. A series of facsimiles were sent out to subscribers between 1809 and 1819.

⁹³ Sotheby's: Catalogue of Fine Oriental Miniatures, Manuscripts and Qajar Paintings Comprising the Property of D.H. Wishaw, Esq., and Various Owners. London, New Bond Street, 4 April 1978, Lot 120. Private collection, Paris.

⁹⁴ See in particular A. Süheyl Ünver: "Şumnu'da Türk Hattatları ve Eserleri", in: *Belleten*, 47/185, January 1983, pp. 31–36; Tim Stanley: "Shumen as a Centre of Qur'an Production in the 19th Century", in: *M. Uğur Derman Festschrift: Papers Presented on the Occasion of His Sixty-fifth Birthday*, ed. Irvin Cemil Schick. Istanbul: Sabancı Universitesi, 2000, pp. 483–512.

notables a new life style and poets, calligraphers, artists and architects found new opportunities under their patronage. The commercial elite of the major cities such as Aleppo, Damascus and Cairo, but also those of the smaller towns in the Balkans, the Mediterranean littoral or in central Anatolia (like Ambelakia, Iraklion, Yozgat, Tokat or Kayseri) shared a common taste for wall decorations. Even a notable family in a remote and isolated town on the Datça peninsula commissioned public and private buildings and employed decorators to embellish their mansion(s) with murals. Usually landscapes, fruits and flowers, or musical instruments were depicted. Later in the nineteenth century clock towers, carriages, or even trains, all symbols of a modernizing urban life, found expression on the public and private walls and domes. While the religious or cultural affiliations were often not expressed through their choice of decorative themes, some of these provincial patrons, even though they had established links with the capital, afforded not to be part of the imperial hierarchies.

WESTERNIZATION AND MODERNIZATION: AN ORGANIZATIONAL REFORM IN OTTOMAN ARCHITECTURE

By way of another orthodoxy, it has also been contended that the early eighteenth-century Ottoman diplomatic missions to the West returned home with impressions of European architectural styles, and facilitated the absorption of elements of the vocabulary of the European baroque into the Ottoman architectural repertoire. This interpretation is based solely on the analysis of the formal features of late eighteenth-century architecture, which has not survived except for mosques and some other public, monumental buildings.

Another line of interpretation suggests that the so-called Ottoman Baroque represented a recognition of the military, technical and economic achievements of the European empires. The model thereby designed at the centre, it is further argued, was so powerful that it was not lost on up-and-coming Ottoman provincial leaders seeking to express their independent achievements and power. Hence the impressive architectural patronage of local magnates such as the Aydınoğulları, Karaosmanoğulları, Çapanoğulları or the İshak Paşazades.

The Russian war of 1768–1774 required the sultan to cut down on all other expenses, and to resort to the treasury only sparingly. Nevertheless, Mustafa III's (1717–1774, r.1757–1774) not very short reign saw numerous other ventures in Istanbul including socio-religious complexes (Laleli Mosque, 1764; Fatih Mosque, 1767–1771; Zeynep Sultan Mosque, 1769); but unfortunately, other ambitious projects such as the Suez Canal did not materialize. When he died in 1774, he was not followed by his son Selim, then only thirteen years old, but by his brother (Abdülhamid I, r.1774–1789).

After the disastrous conclusion of the war of 1768-1774, Abdülhamid I also felt threatened by Russia throughout his reign. Ironically, it was immediately after the actual signing of the Treaty of Küçük Kaynarca in 1774 that the sultan embarked on architectural projects in the capital. Also at this time, more and more Europeans who were in Istanbul in this or that (frequently minor) technical capacity (such as shipbuilding) were being co-opted into construction work seemingly on the spur of the moment, while the office of the chief of the Corps of Royal Architects gradually assumed more of an umbrella or a rubber-stamping role. In 1775, for example, someone (perhaps an architect) in the retinue of Baron François de Tott (1733-1793) - a French aristocrat of Hungarian origin and military officer who was involved in the Ottoman military reform and was busy drilling the artillery at Kağıthane – was given the task to build a room at the Sa'dâbâd palatial complex under the supervision of the said chief architect. 95 The Russian annexation of the Crimea in 1783, and the outbreak of yet another war with both Austria and Russia in 1787, led to a despondent mood. While a wealth of documentation awaits to be studied, 96 it is understood that a great number of military officers and experts, mostly French, kept arriving in Istanbul between 1783-1789. Then the French Revolution interrupted this constant flow, and the Ottomans turned to England, Sweden, and Venice for naval architects to complete their galleons under construction. 97 Because of the financial dire straits, the ruling elite was

⁹⁵ Istanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, C. AS 1155 (17 C 1189): July 15, 1775, Tod Beyzade. Virginia Aksan: "Breaking the Spell of the Baron de Tott: Reframing the Question of Military Reform in the Ottoman Empire, 1760–1830", in: *The International History Review*, 24/2, June 2002, pp. 254–277.

⁹⁶ Istanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, C. BH 88 (2 L 1199); C. BH 256 (2 Za 1199); C. BH 238 (17 Za 1199); C. BH 195 (17 S 1200); C. BH 128 (23 Ra 1200); C. BH 248 (20 C 1200); C. BH 60 (7 B 1200); C. BH 118 (2 Z 1200); C. BH 231 (6 Ş 1202); C. BH 172 (4 L 1202); C. HR 56 (11 L 1202); C. BH 195 (29 Z 1202); C. HR 58 (21 M 1203). Most of these documents, in the nature of payrolls, reveal that there were twelve experts from France including architects. For studies identifying these foreign experts cf. also İdris Bostan: "Osmanlı Bahriyesi'nin Modernleşmesinde Yabancı Uzmanların Rolü", in: İÜ Edebiyat Fakültesi Tarih Dergisi – Prof. Dr. Hakkı Yıldız Hatıra Sayısı. Istanbul: İstanbul Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1994, pp. 177–192. – Max Roche: Education, assistance et culture françaises dans l'Empire ottoman. Istanbul: Isis, 1989. – Tuncay Zorlu: Innovation and Empire in Turkey: Sultan Selim III and the Modernization of the Ottoman Navy. London-New York: Tauris, 2008, pp. 77–109.

⁹⁷ There was still one French naval architect and some experts working at the Arsenal: Istanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, C. HR 174 (10 Za 1204); a naval architect from England: HAT 1395 (29 Z 1204); and eleven architects and other experts from Sweden: HAT 234 (29 Z 1206); C. BH 26 (29 Z 1209) gives their names: Kanlinberg, Kalgran, Valson, Ustorlanga, Ligran, Fotirgi, Lengark, etc. A Venetian naval architect Giuseppe (Jozep) and his brother (also his translator) Giovanni (Civan): C. BH 86 (25 R 1209). The French architect in question seems to be an aristocrat: Françe Mimar Beyzade: C. BH 17(5 S 1209); C. BH 151 (27 Ra 1209); HAT 254 (29 Z 1209); he was probably a certain Brun Beyzade (chief engineer): HAT 200 (29 Z 1211); HAT 271 (29 Z 1211); Brun left for Russia and left his salary to a certain Benoit (Benuva), a naval

encouraged to help in the construction and repair of fortresses and other public structures. In 1784 Grand Admiral Cezayirli Hasan Paşa commissioned the new Naval Barracks (*Kalyoncu Kışlası*) in Kasımpaşa and paid for it out of his personal funds. This was in stark contrast to the practices of the 'Classical Age', when military engineering (fortifications, city walls, arsenals, cannon-founding) had never been entrusted to individuals, though it was common for a whole range of public construction, ranging from socio-religious complexes (mosques, *medreses*, lesser religious schools, libraries, soup kitchens, and hospitals) through commercial establishments (khans, caravanserails, shops, mills, and *bedestens*) to public works (*hammams*, fountains, water channels, aqueducts, bridges, and thoroughfares) to be delegated for construction and maintenance to pious foundations.

Altogether Abdülhamid I was connected to four royal mosques. One, built in the name of the sultan's mother Rabia, is at Beylerbeyi (1778), while another is the Unkapanı mosque of Şebsefa Kadın (1787), his favourite consort. To commemorate his own name, Abdülhamid I commissioned another complex at Bahçekapı (1776–1780), at the centre of which was not a mosque but a *medrese*. In contrast to the Beylerbeyi mosque, the fourth mosque at Emirgân, built in the names of Abdülhamid I's young Prince Mehmed and his mother Hümaşah (1781), is quite unassuming. As reflected in Abdülhamid I's Topkapı Palace bed chamber, novelty and opulence were sought only in the daily trappings of intimate lives. ⁹⁹

architect he was working with: HAT 155 (14 Ra 1213), HAT 113 (16 Ca 1213). There are numerous other references to this Benoit: C. BH 150 (3 Ra 1208); C. BH 24 (9 N 1214); C. BH 115 (29 Ra 1215); C. BH 151 (8 N 1215); C. BH 50 (16 Z 1215); C. BH 252 (29 Z 1215); C. BH 112 (24 B 1220); C. BH 275 (22 N 1220); C. BH 89 (28 S 1222); C. ML 57 (1 § 1222); C. BH 116 (3 N 1222); C. BH 22 (6 \$ 1224); C. BH 170 (28 Z 1224) and after. Benoit happens to be the only architect who was busy with shipbuilding in Istanbul for two decades. Possibly he was a Levantine (he is never referred to as French). There were always many local Greek architects working in Istanbul, especially in the periods before 1785 before the French architects arrived, and after they left around 1788-1789. There were also French and local Greek architects working in the arsenals in Gemlik, Rhodes, Sinop, Midilli, Bodrum, Ereğli Çanakkale, etc. A French architect who was involved in the construction of the dry docks in Toulon: HAT 200 (29 Z 1211); another French architect Torlet sent to Bodrum: C. BH 268 (12 § 1211); an English architect İsportunf who left to join the English Admiral Smith: HAT 114 (7 Ra 1215). There were also French, English, and local Greek architects involved in the construction of the seaboard fortresses. The only architect who can be identified is Kauffer (Kofer), who was assigned with the inspection of the rebuilding of fortresses and bulwarks (istihkam) on the Bosphorus, on the Anatolian and Roumelian seaboards, including the Telli Tabya in 1793: HAT 1403 (29 Z 1208); HAT 1404 (29 Z 1208).

⁹⁸ Mustafa Cezar: Osmanlı Başkenti İstanbul. İstanbul: Erol Kerim Aksoy Vakfı, 2002, p. 347, cited after Mustafa Cezar: Sanatta Batıya Açılış ve Osman Hamdi. İstanbul: İş Bankası Yayınları, 1971, p. 24.

⁹⁹ Kevork Pamukciyan: Zamanlar, Mekânlar, İnsanlar. Istanbul: Aras Yayınları, 2003, chapter "Hassa Mimarı Edirneli Agop Kalfa", pp. 146–148. The prayer niche, modelled after the 'shaven niche' of Nuruosmaniye, is decorated with tiles 'from the royal store', ranging from sixteenth- to eighteenth-century examples mixed with some European ones.

The war of 1787-1789, too, ended unfavourably for the Ottomans, and Abdülhamid I died heartbroken, vacating the throne for Selim III, who was twenty-eight at the time. The young sultan reinforced the military ordinances introduced by his father Mustafa III, 100 and after concluding the treaties of Sistova (Svishtov, 1791) and Jassy (Iaşi, 1792), embarked on the Nizâm-1 Cedid. For the new army in the making, new military schools and new military barracks were built, all of an unprecedentedly monumental scale and style. Thus in a way, the reforms were stamped on the face of the imperial capital. The need for technical personnel made itself felt yet again, particularly in recruiting the architects and engineers who would be employed in shipbuilding. When d'Ohsson returned to Istanbul from Vienna in 1792, he was accompanied by two English naval architects. He also helped to procure such experts from Sweden. 101 Both the School of Engineering at the Arsenal (Hendese Odası or Mühendishane-i Tersane), established in 1773-1776, and the New School of Engineering (Mühendishâne-i Cedide), founded in 1793, were reorganized in 1806 upon proposals and recommendations once more provided by d'Ohsson. 102 The former then became the Imperial School of Naval Engineering (Mühendishâne-i Bahr-i Hümayun), while the latter came to be called the Imperial School of Military Engineering (Mühendishane-i Berri-i Hümayun). It is noteworthy that in 1794, the sultan wrote to his grand vizier,

'I arrived at Kağıdhane; the buildings are strange. Due attention is not being paid to state buildings. I saw the Hasköy Barracks. It is built in the style of an ordinary timber mansion. I, however, had ordered it to be built like the Arsenal Barracks.'103

After a few more complaints about things that had not been done or were incomplete, he continued:

¹⁰⁰ Baron de Tott was the most important assistant of Mustafa III during the military reformations which included incorporating the fixing of bayonets to the rifles, establishing new artillery, and opening maritime and artillery academies where he made obligatory that even the older soldiers be educated.

¹⁰¹ Kemal Beydilli: "The Contributions of Muradgea d'Ohsson to the Reforms in the Reign of Selim III", in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, pp. 96-97. - İdris Bostan: "Osmanlı Bahriyesinde Modernleşme Hareketleri: Tersane'de Havuz İnşası (1794-1800)", in: 150. Yılında Tanzimat, ed. Hakkı D.Yıldız. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1992, pp. 69-90.

¹⁰² Findley: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other", p. 32. Cf. also Cezar: Sanatta Batıya Açılış ve Osman Hamdi, pp. 31–39. – Kemal Beydilli: Türk Bilim ve Matbaacılık Tarihinde Mühendishane, Mühendishane Matbaası ve Kütüphanesi. Istanbul: Eren Yayıncılık, 1995, p. 23. – Cezar: Osmanlı Başkenti İstanbul, pp. 468–484.

[&]quot;Benim vezirim Kağıthane'ye vardım, yapılar acaip, miri ebniyesine dikkat olunmuyor. Hasköy Kışlası'nı gördüm, bütün ahşap bayağı bir konak gibi yapılıyor. Ben ise Tersane Kışlası gibi tenbih eylemiştim. Paşalar Nizamı yazılmadı. Ratib Efendi memur ile kaleme alsın. Gümrükçü Hasan Ağa'nın Zecriye hesabına Hakkı Bey baksın, Gümrükçü Mustafa Bey de bulunsun." İstanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, HAT 240/13414, July 28, 1794 (29 Z 1208).

'Tell the Reis Efendi to continue to watch out for engineers, architects and officers from France: he should recruit architects, our fortresses lack engineers; this won't do.'104

On the same day, which was July 28, 1794, he also wrote that he had approved of the drawings for the bastions and fortifications on the Anatolian and Rumelian shores, and ordered the building supervisors to join François Kauffer and the royal architects in visiting the construction sites.¹⁰⁵

Only the naval architects were listed in the payrolls. Clearly, they were part and parcel of these projects that called for new forms of expression in Ottoman civil and religious architecture. But at the moment it is hard to tell just how this process was realized. European architects were working from manuals and were also cooperating with local craftsmen in translating decorative images into stone. Therefore, in addition to some movement, depth, and light and shade, some amount of exaggeration was also inevitable, and the end products were variations on both Ottoman and European forms.

As displayed in these buildings, a complete change of taste, from baroque and rococo to neoclassical and empire, marked the Ottoman capital during the reign of Selim III (1789–1807). A shift from the stately dome to the grand pitched roof became the most identifying feature of the new monumentality conceived by the sultan as fit for the new public buildings. The advisors, architects, and designers who were involved in the various stages of planning and construction – the likes of Antoine Ignace Melling – remain unknown.¹⁰⁷ Comte de Choiseul-Gouffier, the French ambassador over 1784–1792, was accompanied by a naval and military staff of thirty officers, including artists for whom he paid out of his own pocket. Two engineers, Kauffer and Jean-Baptiste Le Chevalier, who prepared the first accurate map of Istanbul, were also part of the French mission. But first the war of 1787–1789 and then the French Revolution jeopardized French patronage in Istanbul.

¹⁰⁴ Ibidem: "Reis efendiye söyle, Fransa'dan mühendis mimar ve ofçiyal gözetmeğe devam eylesin, mimar celbeylesin, kalelerimiz mühendissizdir, olmaz."

¹⁰⁵ Istanbul, Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, HAT 1404/56769, July 28, 1794 (29 Z 1208).

¹⁰⁶ The Topkapı Palace Museum Library has a wealth of uncatalogued folios including numerous engravings depicting architecture, urban plazas, military parades, etc. For two engravings from these folios cf. Tülay Artan and Halil Berktay: "Selimian Times: A Reforming Grand Admiral, Anxieties of Re-Possession, Changing Rites of Power", in: Halcyon Days in Crete. Vol. 4: Proceedings of a Symposium Held in Rethymnon, 7–9 January 2000. The Kapudan Pasha: His Office and His Domain, ed. Elizabeth Zachariadou. Rethymnon: Crete University Press, 2003, pp. 7–45.

¹⁰⁷ Had his Voyage not been published, he might also have disappeared from view for the Ottomanists. Cornelis Boschma and Jacques Perot: Antoine-Ignace Melling (1763–1831): Artiste-Voyageur. Paris: Éditions Paris-Musée. 1991.

In striking contrast to these new public buildings, neither the 1792 Halicioğlu complex of Mihrişah Sultan (including a hospice, school and fountain, as well as her tomb), nor the Eyüb Sultan mosque, finally rebuilt in 1800 after being destroyed in the 1766 earthquake, display any European features. But the Selimiye Mosque at Üsküdar (1805) epitomizes the new tastes and preferred styles of the times, and therefore is seen as an embodiment of Selim III's westernizing vision. 108 This last mosque, once drawn by Jean-Baptiste Hilair, then preserved as an engraving, attests to how the cultural historicism or revivalism that had already presented itself artistically in the mosques built during the reigns of Mustafa III and Abdülhamid I was now being enhanced by artists and architects who had been partly trained in European institutions. In other words, the architectural vocabulary of the Ottoman artistic tradition, which existed side by side with other currents, eventually led to the assimilation of various aspects of the European styles, culminating in the neo-classical and the empire idioms. The inscription band decorating the interior of the Selimiye Mosque starts with the basmala, and is followed by the Surah Al-Fath (Surah 48, 'Victory'), the Qur'anic verse which was frequently used to decorate the period mosque interiors. 109 In line with the emphasis in Sufi discourse of the time on the figure of the Prophet (and the centrality of Prophetic traditions [hadith] and the Prophetic example [sunna]), the choice of this sura from the Qur'an, too, signifies a reference to the normative example of the Prophet. Since any one familiar with the Qur'an, even the illiterate, could decipher the frequently quoted verses, this was a direct message from the scholars who posited the key themes of the reform impulse. It is equally important to note that the first şeyhs of the tekke built within the Selimiye complex belonged to the Mevlevî-Mujaddidi school. 110 Of course, what also needs to be added to this picture is that one of the major blows that brought both the reforms and the life of Selim III to an end is known as the Selimiye Mosque incident of 1805. It broke out at the time of the sultan's first ceremonial Friday visit to the mosque. This is probably the first recorded case of any collective protest by the Janissaries

¹⁰⁸ In order to raise funds for its construction, in 1795 land from Galatasaray was sold to purchase real estate: Tayyarzâde Ata Ahmed Efendi: Tarih-i Ata (Enderun Tarihi). Istanbul: Şeyh Yahya Efendi Matbaası, 1293 A.H., cited after Fethi İsfendiyaroğlu: Galatasaray Tarihi. Istanbul: Doğan Kardeş Yayınları, 1952, vol. 1, p. 302. – Cezar: Osmanlı Başkenti İstanbul, pp. 460–462. Unfortunately, another mosque, Teşvikiye (1794), did not survive in its original form.

¹⁰⁹ Fatih Özkafa: "Üsküdar'daki Selâtin Camilerinin Kuşak Yazıları", in: Uluslararası Üsküdar Sempozyumu, VI, 6–9 Kasım 2008, Bildiriler I. Istanbul: Üsküdar Belediye Başkanlığı Yayınları, 2009, pp. 203–250. Compare with the Qur'anic inscriptions on Sinan's mosques: Gülru Necipoğlu: "Qur'anic Inscriptions on Sinan's Imperial Mosques: A Comparison with Their Safavid and Mughal Counterparts", in: Word Of God – Art of Man: The Qur'an and Its Creative Expressions, ed. Fahmida Suleman. Institute of Ismaili Studies Conference Proceedings, Oxford University Press, 2007, pp. 69–104.

¹¹⁰ Yücer: Osmanlı Toplumunda Tasavvuf, pp. 108, 261.

against the sultan's reforms – as reflected in architectural vocabulary. ¹¹¹ It caused the ceremony to be delayed by about a month.

Crucial in this regard has been the mis-identification of the architects responsible for many of these imperial projects. Traditionally they have all been attributed to the royal chief architect, Mehmed Tahir Ağa. But as already indicated, by then this had largely become a position of official approval. It is largely overlooked that behind the chief architect's imprimatur, Simeon Kalfa was the real head architect for the Nuruosmaniye (1754) and perhaps also for the Ayazma Mosque (1757), and that Konstantin occupied the same position in the construction of the Laleli Mosque (1764), and Yani the Blind (Kör Yani) for the New Fatih Mosque (1771).112 Likewise, it has been suggested that Edirneli Agop Ağa could have been the architect of the Beylerbeyi Mosque (1778), while the general atmosphere of the Emirgân mosque (1781) suggests that probably its architect, too, was not a traditional functionary of the Royal Corps of Architects.¹¹³ Family lines were also involved. Thus the architect of the Selimiye Mosque (1805), Foti Kalfa, was the son of Simeon Kalfa, the architect of the Nuruosmaniye Mosque. Both father and son, moreover, are said to have been descended from the Komnenos dynasty of the twelfth century.¹¹⁴ The resurfacing of a major architectural element such as the tympana, characteristic of the Nuruosmaniye and Ayazma mosques, could therefore also be explained as a family preference.

For the waterfront palaces of the sultan's half-sisters, which now played an indispensable role in displaying the dynastic presence in the capital, it was perfectly appropriate to incorporate the imposing elements of the neo-classical and empire styles (such as pediments, garlands, and Ionic and Corinthian columns) into traditional forms. Beyhan Sultan, Hatice Sultan, and Esma Sultan all emerged as passionate builders and patrons of the arts during the reign of Selim III. Although each princess led her own distinctive life, their numerous waterfront palaces along the Golden Horn and the Bosphorus were of equal grandeur, and perhaps of similar taste. Their interiors, at least, are known to have been decorated in the same manner: the few Europeans who had a chance to visit the private quarters of these palaces have all identified their decorative style as neo-classical or empire mixing with oriental. Of all these palaces, only the architect-decorator of Hatice's waterfront palace at Defterdarburnu on the European shore of the

¹¹¹ Danacı Yıldız: Vaka-yı Selimiye or the Selimiye Incident, pp. 122–123, cited after İsmail Asım [Küçükçelebizâde]: Tarih-i İsmail Asım Efendi. Istanbul: Matbaa-i Âmire, 1865, vol. 1, pp. 360–361. – Ahmed Cevded Paşa: Tarih-i Cevded. Istanbul: Takvimhâne-i Âmire, 1856, vol. 3, pp. 68–69. – Kemal Beydilli: Bir İmamın Günlüğü. Istanbul: Tarih ve Tabiat Vakfı Yayınları, 2001, p. 211.

II2 Rebuilding of (Yeni) Fatih Mosque. Cf. Leo Ary Mayer: *Islamic Architects and Their Works*. Geneva: Albert Kundig, 1956, pp. 104 and 43.

¹¹³ Goodwin: A History of Ottoman Architecture, p. 399.

¹¹⁴ Pamukciyan: Zamanlar, Mekânlar, İnsanlar, pp. 160-161.

Bosphorus is known: Antoine Ignace Melling, who of course was busy working on other imperial buildings, too, including especially the apartments of the sultan's mother at the imperial summer palace at Beşiktaş. For visual accounts of these monumental timber palaces, we have to turn to numerous European engravings which were being profusely produced at the time. Melling himself included large numbers of such engravings in his own monumental project, *Voyage pittoresque de Constantinople et des rives du Bosphore* (1819). He provides illustrations of the summer palaces, of Ottoman society at leisure, and of *vedute* of Istanbul and its environs.¹¹⁵

Narrative accounts further help us to imagine the sounds of the forte-piano and the harp, accompanying French dancing, as well as of the *ney* and *tanbur*, in the gardens and spacious halls of these waterfront palaces. Bohemian chandeliers, large numbers of Meissen, Vienna or Paris porcelains, and the highest quality textiles from Lyon or London decorated the interiors, flouting all sartorial laws and all the measures against luxury consumption in a gaudy mixture of styles and materials.

Contemporary tombs and fountains also display the period's strikingly sculptural decorative features, albeit on a smaller scale. But the only surviving examples of civil architecture to exhibit the most eclectic artistic novelties of the time (apart from the furnishings) are the apartments of the sultan's mother at the Topkapı Palace, as well as the Aynalıkavak Kiosk of 1790–1791. The Mevlevî Lodge at Galata was extensively repaired during Şeyh Galib's time: the *semâhâne* or hall where the dervishes whirled into a trance of communion with God was rebuilt, 116 and the cells and wooden sarcophagi were restored. 117 The fountain and library (of Halet Efendi) at the entrance and the tomb of Şeyh Galib in the courtyard both display a striking distance from the earlier, monumental baroque and rococo. The only element that would link the neo-classicism of the complex with the earlier taste is the flat arch of the entrance. Unfortunately, the original *tekke* at Selimiye, like many other Sufi convents of the period, has not survived. Since it is likely to have been civil architecture (palaces, mansions, houses, and convents of more perishable building materials) that was the preferred medium for conveying

¹¹⁵ Nevertheless, it is important to note Boer's reading where she discusses how Melling's text effectuates "a 'French vision' of various stereotypical characteristics related to the Orient – the absolute master, luxury, Islam and the attitude of the people – leading to the juxtaposition of the Orient and the narrator's 'own oace', i.e. French society [...] Hence, the *Voyage pittoresque* is hardly a pittoresque travel, but rather one that comes with guidelines for looking and interpreting." Inge Boer: "Reading Melling's Voyage Pittoresque de Constantinople", in: *Arcadia*, 38/2, 2003, pp. 287–294.

¹¹⁶ The semâhâne was frequently rebuilt; the structure that still stands is from 1859–1860.

¹¹⁷ Baha Tanman: "Osmanlı Mimarisinde Tarikat Yapıları/Tekkeler", in: *Osmanlı Uygarlığı*, ed. Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda. İstanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2003, vol. 1, pp. 289–307. – Nur Akın: "İstanbul'un Batılı Bölgesinde İki İslami Kültür Yapısı: Galata Sarayı ve Galata Mevlevîhanesi", in: *EJOS*, IV, 2001, pp. 1–17.

the reforming mood prevailing among the upper classes, the claim that the Naqshbendi-Mujaddidi religious order became increasingly visible through their architectural patronage of *tekkes* remains a problem to ponder.

CONCLUSION

In the face of the widespread eclecticism of late eighteenth-century learned elites in literary or artistic matters, 118 it does seem a bit too simplistic to attribute to this or that religious order or a single political faction the upper hand in enforcing the reforms; individuals' loose affiliations with more than one order or faction complicate the story, and multiple allegiances tend to blur the distinctions among the numerous groups. This cuts against the overwhelming emphasis in the secondary literature on the unilateral manoeuverings of the sultan in the capital, on his manipulations of the Mevlevîyye against the Bektashiyye, or on clear-cut definitions of the supporters and opponents of modernization.

Further research into the private lives of the individuals, poets, musicians, artists or architects, some of whom seem to have challenged the dogmas on their own or acted together with those who attempted a reconciliation of competing existential philosophies, will shed more light on the canons of a conventional historiography postulating homogenous cliques, political programmes, or alliances of individuals with carrier goals.

BIBLIOGR APHY

Sources

Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi (Prime Ministry Ottoman archives):

- HAT 234 (29 Z 1206); HAT 240/13414 (29 Z 1208), HAT 1404/56769 (29 Z 1208); HAT 1403 (29 Z 1208); HAT 1404 (29 Z 1208). HAT 254 (29 Z 1209); HAT 200 (29 Z 1211); HAT 271 (29 Z 1211); HAT 155 (14 Ra 1213), HAT 113 (16 Ca 1213); HAT 176/7696 (29 Z 1213); HAT 114 (7 Ra 1215).
- C. BH 150 (3 Ra 1208); C. BH 26 (29 Z 1209); C. BH 86 (25 R 1209); C. BH 17(5 S 1209); C. BH 151 (27 Ra 1209); C. BH 268 (12 Ş 1211); C. BH 24 (9 N 1214); C. BH 115 (29 Ra 1215); C. BH 151 (8 N 1215); C. BH 50 (16 Z 1215); C. BH 252 (29 Z 1215); C. BH 112 (24 B 1220); C. BH 275 (22 N 1220); C. BH 89 (28 S 1222); C. BH 116 (3 N 1222); C. BH 22 (6 Ş 1224); C. BH 170 (28 Z 1224); C. HR 174 (10 Za 1204); C. ML 57 (1 Ş 1222).

of mysticism. Cf. Hamilton Alexander Rosskeen Gibb and Harold Bowen: *Islamic Society and the West: A Study of the Impact of Western Civilization on Moslem Culture in the Near East.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1957, vol. 1.2, pp. 200–201, 205.

PRIMARY LITERATURE

- Ahmed Cevded Paşa: Tarih-i Cevded. Istanbul: Takvimhâne-i Âmire, 1856, vol. 3.
- Hafız Hüseyin Ayvansarayi: The Garden of the Mosques: Hafız Hüseyin al-Ayvansarayi's Guide to the Muslim Monuments of Ottoman Istanbul, trans. and annot. Howard Crane. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2000 (orig. Hadikat al-cevâmî, 1864).
- İsmail Asım [Küçükçelebizâde]: *Tarih-i İsmail Asım Efendi*. Istanbul: Matbaa-i Âmire, 1865, vol. 1.
- Melling, Antoine-Ignace: Lettres de Hollande et des villes anséatiques: La correspondance d'un artiste-voyageur avec sa famille à Paris en 1812, ed. Cornelis Boschma. Paris: Fondation Custodia, 1997.
- Şanizâde Ataullah Mehmed Efendi: Şanizâde Tarihi. Istanbul: s.typ., 1867, vol. 1.
- Şeyh Galib: *Beauty and Love*, trans. by Victoria Rowe Holbrook. New York: The Modern Language Association of America, 2005 (orig. *Hüsn ü Aşk*, 1782–1783).
- Tayyarzâde Ata Ahmed Efendi: *Tarih-i Ata (Enderun Tarihi)*. Istanbul: Şeyh Yahya Efendi Matbaası, 1293 A.H.

SECONDARY LITERATURE

- Abou-el-Haj, Rifa'at: Formation of the Modern State: The Ottoman Empire; Sixteenth to Eighteenth Centuries. Albany: SUNY Press, 1991.
- Abu-Manneh, Butrus: Studies on Islam and the Ottoman Empire in the 19th Century (1826–1876). Istanbul: Isis Press, 2001.
- Akay, Nimetullah: Osmanlı Tarihinde Mevlevîlik Saray İlişkisi. Diss., Şanlıurfa: Harran Üniversitesi, 1999.
- Akın, Nur: "İstanbul'un Batılı Bölgesinde İki İslami Kültür Yapısı: Galata Sarayı ve Galata Mevlevîhanesi", in: *EJOS*, IV, 2001, pp. 1–17.
- Aksan, Virginia: "Breaking the Spell of the Baron de Tott: Reframing the Question of Military Reform in the Ottoman Empire, 1760–1830", in: *The International History Review*, 24/2, June 2002, pp. 254–277.
- Algar, Hamid: "Malâmatiyya", in: *Encyclopaedia of Islam. New Edition*, ed. Hamilton Alexander Rosskeen. Leiden: Brill, 1960–2002, 11 vols., vol. 6 (1991), pp. 224–225.
- Andrews, Walter G.: Poetry's Voice, Society's Song: Ottoman Lyric Poetry. Seattle-London: University of Washington Press, 1985.
- Andrews, Walter G., and Mehmet Kalpaklı: The Age of Beloveds: Love and the Beloved in Early-modern Ottoman and European Culture and Society. Durham-London: Duke University Press, 2005.
- Andrews, Walter G., Najaat Black, and Mehmet Kalpaklı: Ottoman Lyric Poetry: An Anthology. Expanded Version. Austin: University of Texas Press, 2006 (orig. 1997).
- Arel, Ayda: Onsekizinci Yüzyıl İstanbul Mimarisinde Batılılaşma Süreci. Istanbul: İTÜ Mimarlık Fakültesi Yayınları, 1975.

- Artan, Tülay: "Mahremiyet: Mahrumiyet'in Resmi", in: *Defter*, 20, Summer 1993, pp. 91–115.
- Artan, Tülay, and Halil Berktay: "Selimian Times: A Reforming Grand Admiral, Anxieties of Re-Possession, Changing Rites of Power", in: Halcyon Days in Crete. Vol. 4: Proceedings of a Symposium Held in Rethymnon, 7–9 January 2000. The Kapudan Pasha: His Office and His Domain, ed. Elizabeth Zachariadou. Rethymnon: Crete University Press, 2003, pp. 7–45.
- Artan, Tülay: "Arts and Architecture", in: *The Cambridge History of Turkey*. Vol. 3: *The Later Ottoman Empire*, 1603–1839, ed. Suraiya N. Faroqhi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 408–480.
- Artan, Tülay: "18th Century Ottoman Princesses as Collectors: From Chinese to European Porcelain", in: Ars Orientalis. Vol. 39: Globalising Cultures: Art and Mobiliy in the Eighteenth Century, ed. Nebahat Avc10ğlu and Barry Finnbarr Flood. Washington DC, 2011, pp. 113–146.
- Artan, Tülay, and Irvin Cemil Schick: "Ottomanizing Pornotopia: Changing Visual Codes In Eighteenth-Century Ottoman Erotic Miniatures", in: *Eros and Sexuality in Islamic Art*, ed. Mika Natif and Francesca Leoni. Farnham: Ashgate (forthcoming).
- Bağcı, Serpil, Filiz Çağman, Günsel Renda, and Zeren Tanındı (eds.): Osmanlı Resim Sanatı. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2007.
- Battesti, Térésa (ed.): Les Ottomanes. Exposition Istambul, février mars 1990. Paris: Printemps Hausmann, 1990.
- Behar, Cem: "Osmanlı'da Musiki Öğrenim ve İntikal Sistemi: Meşk", in: *Defter*, 7, 1988, pp. 83–108.
- Behar, Cem: Zaman, Mekân, Müzik: Klâsik Türk Musikisinde Eğitim (Meşk), İcra ve Aktarım. Istanbul: Afa Yayınları, 1993.
- Behar, Cem: Aşk Olmayınca Meşk Olmaz: Geleneksel Osmanlı/Türk Müziğinde Öğretim ve İntikal. İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Yayınları, 1998.
- Behar, Cem: "The Ottoman Musical Tradition", in: *The Cambridge History of Turkey*. Vol. 3: *The Later Ottoman Empire, 1603–1839*, ed. Suraiya N. Faroqhi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 393–407.
- Beydilli, Kemal: Türk Bilim ve Matbaacılık Tarihinde Mühendishane, Mühendishane Matbaası ve Kütüphanesi. Istanbul: Eren Yayıncılık, 1995.
- Beydilli, Kemal: Bir İmamın Günlüğü. İstanbul: Tarih ve Tabiat Vakfı Yayınları, 2001.
- Beydilli, Kemal: "The Contributions of Muradgea d'Ohsson to the Reforms in the Reign of Selim III", in: Theolin et al.: *The Torch of the Empire*, pp. 96–97.
- Beyzâdeoğlu, Süreyya Ali: "Nedim ve Sümbülzâde Vehbi'de İstanbul", in: *Türk Edebiyatı* Dergisi, 167, 1987, pp. 60–62.
- Beyzâdeoğlu, Süreyya Ali: Sümbülzâde Vehbî: Lutfiyye. Istanbul: Bedir Yayınları, 2002.
- Binney, Edwin, and Walter Denny (eds.): Turkish Treasures from the Collection of Edwin Binney, 3rd. Oregon: Portland Art Museum, 1979.

- Boer, Inge: "Reading Melling's Voyage Pittoresque de Constantinople", in: *Arcadia*, 38/2, 2003, pp. 287–294.
- Bolat, Ali: Bir Tasavvuf Okulu Olarak Melâmetilik. Istanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2003.
- Boppe, Auguste: Les peintres du Bosphore au dixhuitième siècle. Paris: ACR édition, 1989 (orig. 1911).
- Boschma, Cornelis, and Jacques Perot: Antoine-Ignace Melling (1763–1831): Artiste-Voyageur. Paris: Éditions Paris-Musée, 1991.
- Bostan, İdris: "Osmanlı Bahriyesinde Modernleşme Hareketleri: Tersane'de Havuz İnşası (1794–1800)", in: *150. Yılında Tanzimat*, ed. Hakkı D.Yıldız. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1992, pp. 69–90.
- Bostan, İdris: "Osmanlı Bahriyesi'nin Modernleşmesinde Yabancı Uzmanların Rolü", in: İÜ Edebiyat Fakültesi Tarih Dergisi *Prof. Dr. Hakkı Yıldız Hatıra Sayısı*. Istanbul: İstanbul Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1994, pp. 177–192.
- Çağman, Filiz, Zeren Tanındı, and Michael Rogers: "The Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries and Westernization", in: *The Topkapı Saray Museum: The Albums and Illustrated Manuscripts*, ed. Filiz Çağman, Zeren Tanındı, and Michael Rogers. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1986, pp. 251–256.
- Cerasi, Maurice: "The Problem Specificity and Subordination to External Influences in Late Eighteenth Century Ottoman Architecture in Four Istanbul Buildings in the Age of Hassa Mimar Mehmed Tahir", in: *EJOS*, IV, 2001, pp. 1–23.
- Cezar, Mustafa: Sanatta Batıya Açılış ve Osman Hamdi. İstanbul: İş Bankası Yayınları, 1971.
- Cezar, Mustafa: Osmanlı Başkenti İstanbul. Istanbul: Erol Kerim Aksoy Vakfı, 2002.
- Christie's London (ed.): Ottomans and Orientalists: London, June 18, 1998. Auction Catalogue. London: Christie's, 1998.
- Damrel, William D.: "The Spread of Naqshbendi Political Thought in the Islamic World", in: Naqhsbendis: Historical Developments and Recent Situation of a Muslim Political Order. Proceedings of Sevrès Round Table, May 1985, ed. Marc Gaborieau, Alexandre Popovic, and Thierry Zarcone. Istanbul: Institut Français d'Etudes Anatoliennes, 1990, pp. 273–274.
- Danacı Yıldız, Aysel: Vaka-yı Selimiye or the Selimiye Incident: A Study of the May 1807 Rebellion. Diss., Istanbul: Sabancı University, 2007.
- El-Rouayheb, Khaled: Before Homosexuality in the Arab-Islamic World, 1500-1800. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.
- Ergun, Sadettin Nüzhet: Şeyh Galib. Istanbul: Kanaat Kütüphânesi, 1932.
- Ergun, Sadettin Nüzhet: Şeyh Galib ve Eserleri. Istanbul: s.typ., 1936.
- Feldman, Walter (ed.): Music of the Ottoman Court: Makam, Composition and the Early Ottoman Instrumental Repertoire. Berlin: Verlag für Wissenschaft und Bildung, 1996.
- Feldman, Walter: "Music of the Ottoman Minority Composers", in: Ottoman Turkish Music Anthology, ed. Walter Feldman. Istanbul: İstanbul Büyük Şehir Belediyesi Yayınları, 2001.
- Findley, Carter Vaughn: "Social Dimensions of Dervish Life as Seen in the Memoirs of Asci Dede İbrahim Halil", in: *Dervish Lodge*, ed. Richard Lifchez. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992, pp. 175–186.

- Findley, Carter Vaughn: "Writer and Subject, Self and Other: Mouradgea d'Ohsson and His Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman", in: Theolin et al.: The Torch of the Empire, pp. 23–57.
- Friedmann, Yohanan: Shaykh Ahmad Sirhindî: An Outline of His Thought and a Study of His Image in the Eyes of Posterity. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1971.
- Gawrych, George W.: "Şeyh Galib and Selim III: Mevlevîsm and the Nizam-1 Cedid", in: *International Journal of Turkish Studies*, 4, 1987, pp. 96–101.
- Gencer, Ali İhsan: Bahriye'de Yapılan İslahat Hareketleri ve Bahriye Nezareti'nin Kuruluşu (1789–1867). İlü Edebiyat Fakültesi Yayınları, 1985.
- Gibb, Hamilton Alexander Rosskeen, and Harold Bowen: Islamic Society and the West: A Study of the Impact of Western Civilization on Moslem Culture in the Near East. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1957, vol. 1.2.
- Goodwin, Geoffrey: A History of Ottoman Architecture. London: Thames and Hudson, 1971.
- Gölpinarlı, Abdülbaki: Melâmîlik ve Melâmîler. Istanbul: Devlet Matbaası, 1931.
- Gölpınarlı, Abdülbaki: "Şeyh Galib", in: İslam Ansiklopedisi. Istanbul: Milli Eğitim Yayınları, 1950–1988, pp. 462–463.
- Gölpınarlı, Abdülbaki: *Mevlânâ'dan Sonra Mevlevîlik*. Istanbul: İnkılâp ve Ata, 1983 (orig. 1953).
- Hamadeh, Shirine: *The City's Pleasures: Istanbul in the Eighteenth Century*. Seattle-London: University Of Washington Press, 2008.
- Heyd, Uriel: "The Ottoman Ulema and Westernization in the time of Selim III and Mahmud II", in: *Scripta Hierosolymitana*, 9, 1961, pp. 63–96.
- Hitzel, Frédéric: "Correspondence between Antoine Ignace Melling (1763–1801) and Hatice Sultan", in: Proceedings of the International Congress on Learning and Education in the Ottoman World on the Occasion of the 700th Anniversary of the Foundation of the Ottoman State, Istanbul, 12–15 April 1999, ed. Ali Caksu. Istanbul: IRCICA, 2001, vol. 2 (= Studies and Sources on the Ottoman history series, 6).
- Holbrook, Victoria Rowe: "Originality and Ottoman Poetics: In the Wilderness of the New", in: *Journal of American Oriental Society*, 112/3, 1992, pp. 440-454.
- Holbrook, Victoria Rowe: The Unreadable Shores of Love: Turkish Modernity and Mystic Romance. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1994.
- Holbrook, Victoria Rowe: "The Intellectual and the State: Poetry in Istanbul in the 1790's", in: *The Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth Century: Oriente Moderno*, 18, 1999, pp. 233–251.
- İrepoğlu, Gül: Levni: Painting, Poetry, Colour. Ankara: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1999.
- İsfendiyaroğlu, Fethi: Galatasaray Tarihi. İstanbul: Doğan Kardeş Yayınları, 1952, vol. 1.
- Işın, Ekrem: "Mevlevîliğin Osmanlı Modernleşmesindeki Yeri ve Şeyh Gâlib", in: Şeyh Gâlib Kitabı, ed. Bekir Ayvazoğlu. Istanbul: İstanbul Büyük Şehir Belediyesi, 1995, pp. 51–56.
- Işın, Ekrem: "The Mevlevî Order in Istanbul: Socia-Historic Notes on an Imperial Sufi Order", in: *The Dervishes of Sovereignty: The Sovereign of Dervishes. The Mevlevî Order in Istanbul*. Istanbul: İstanbul Araştırmaları Enstitüsü, 2007, pp. 12–41.

- Kafadar, Cemal: "Self and Others: The Diary of a Dervish in Seventeenth Century Istanbul and First Person Narratives in Ottoman Literature", in: *Studia Islamica*, 69, 1989, pp. 121–150.
- Kafadar, Cemal: "Mütereddit Bir Mutasavvıf: Üsküp'lü Asiye Hatun'un Rüya Defteri 1641–43", in: *Topkapı Sarayı Müzesi Yıllığı*, 5, 1992, pp. 168–222.
- Kafadar, Cemal: Asiye Hatun: Rüya Mektupları. Istanbul: Oğlak Yayınları, 1994.
- Kangal, Selmin (ed.): Çağlarboyu Anadolu'da Kadın: Anadolu Kadınının 9000 Yılı. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1993.
- Kangal, Selmin (ed.): War & Peace: Ottoman Polish Relations in the 15th–19th Centuries. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1999.
- Kayra, Cahit (ed.): Hızır İlyâs Ağa: Tarih-i Enderun Letaif-i Enderun, 1812–1830. Istanbul: Güneş Yayınları, 1987.
- Kissling, Hans J.: "The Sociological and Educational Role of the Dervish Orders in the Ottoman Empire", in: *Studies in Islamic Cultural History*, ed. Gustave E. Grunebaum. Menasha/WI: American Anthropological Association, 1954 (= Memoirs of the American Anthropological Association, 76), pp. 23–35.
- Koç, Mustafa, and Eyyüp Tanrıverdi (eds.): Aşçı İbrahim Dede, Çok Yönlü Bir Sufinin Gözüyle Son Dönem Osmanlı Hayatı. Aşçı Dede'nin Hatıraları. İstanbul: Kitabevi, 2006, 4 vols.
- Kortantamer, Tunca: "Nedim'in Şiirlerinde İstanbul Hayatından Sahneler", in: *Eski Türk Edebiyatı: Makaleler*, ed. Tunca Kortantamer. Ankara: Akçağ Yayınları, 1993, pp. 337–390.
- Kortantamer, Tunca: "Nedim'in Manzum Küçük Hikâyeleri", in: *Eski Türk Edebiyatı: Makaleler*, ed. Tunca Kortantamer. Ankara: Akçağ Yayınları, 1993, pp. 391–412.
- Köprülü, Mehmet Fuat: Servet-i Fünun, 43, 1327 A.H., p. 438.
- Kuban, Doğan: Türk Barok Mimarisi Hakkında Bir Deneme. İtü Mimarlık Fakültesi Yayınları, 1954.
- Kugle, Scott: Sufis and Saints' Bodies: Mysticism, Corporeality, and Sacred Power in Islam. Chapel Hill/NC: The University of North Carolina Press, 2007.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Bir Osmanlı Müderrisinin Kaleminden Cinsellik Nesneleri", in: *Tarih ve Toplum*, April 2001, pp. 36–40.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Kötü kadınlar, aptal oğlanlar, masum hayvanlar. Osmanlı'da bir erkeğin cinsellik nesneleri", in: *Tarih ve Toplum*, May 2001, pp. 36–40.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Sevgiliye Mektuplar: Diğer deh-nâmeler ışığında Seydi Ahmed Mirza'nın Ta'aşşuk-nâme'si", in: Journal of Turkish Studies, 28/4, 2004, pp. 109–125.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Şiirin Aynasında: İshak Çelebi'nin Şiiri Hakkında Değinmeler", in: *Yasak Meyve*, 11, November–December 2004, pp. 60–63.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Biçimin Kıskacında Bir Mesnevi: Enderunlu Fazıl ve Defter-i Aşk Adlı Mesnevisi", in: *Şinasi Tekin'in Anısına: Uygurlardan Osmanlıya*, ed. Günay Kut and Fatma Büyükkarcı. Istanbul: Simurg, 2005, pp. 476–506.

- Kuru, Selim S.: "Naming the Beloved in Ottoman Turkish Gazel: The Case of İshak Çelebi (d.1537/8)", in: Ghazal as World Literature. Vol. 2: From a Literary Genre to a Great Tradition; The Ottoman Gazel in Context, ed. Angelika Neuwirth, Michael Hess, Judith Pfeiffer, and Boerte Sagaster. Beirut-Würzburg: Ergon, 2006 (= Beiruter Texte und Studien, 84), pp. 163–173.
- Kuru, Selim S.: "Sex in the Text: Deli Birader and Ottoman Literary Canon", in: *Middle Eastern Literatures*, 10/2, 2007, pp. 157–174.
- Macit, Muhsin: "Mahallileşme Cereyanı ve Nedim", in: Osmanlı, 9, 1999, pp. 711-717.
- Mahir, Banu: "Abdullah Buhari'nin Minyatürlerinde 18. Yüzyıl Kadın Modası", in: *P Dergisi*, 12, 1999, pp. 70–82.
- Majer, Hans-Georg: "Works of Musavvir Hüseyin and Their East-West Context", in: *Art turc/Turkish Art: 10th International Congress of Turkish Art, Genève 17–23 Septembre 1995*, ed. François Déroche, Charles Genequand, Günsel Renda, and Michael Rogers. Geneva: Fondation Max van Berchem et Faculté des Lettres de l'Université de Genève, 1999, pp. 463–471.
- Mansel, Philip: "The *Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman* as Symbol of the Franco-Ottoman, Franco-Swedish and Swedish-Ottoman Alliances", in: Theolin et al.: *The Torch of the Empire*, pp. 77–83.
- Mayer, Leo Ary: Islamic Architects and Their Works. Geneva: Albert Kundig, 1956.
- Muslu, Ramazan: Osmanlı Toplumunda Tasavvuf (18. Yüzyıl). İstanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2003.
- Necipoğlu, Gülru: "From International Timurid to Ottoman: A Change in Taste in Sixteenth-Century Ceramic Tiles", in: *Muqarnas*, 7, 1991, pp. 136–170.
- Necipoğlu, Gülru: "A Kanun for the State, A Canon for the Arts: Conceptualizing the Classical Synthesis of Ottoman Art and Architecture", in: *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, ed. Gilles Veinstein. Paris: La Documentation Française, 1992, pp. 195–216.
- Necipoğlu, Gülru: "Qur'anic Inscriptions on Sinan's Imperial Mosques: A Comparison with Their Safavid and Mughal Counterparts", in: Word Of God Art of Man: The Qur'an and Its Creative Expressions, ed. Fahmida Suleman. Institute of Ismaili Studies Conference Proceedings, Oxford University Press, 2007, pp. 69–104.
- Neumann, Christoph: "19. Yüzyıla Girerken Konya Mevlevî Asitanesi ile Devlet Arasında İlişkiler", in: II. Milletlerarası Osmanlı Devleti'nde Mevlevîhâneler Kongresi [14–15 Aralık 1993], ed. Ahmet Yaşar Ocak. Konya: Selçuk Üniversitesi, 1996 (= Türkiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2/II), pp. 167–179.
- Özkafa, Fatih: "Üsküdar'daki Selâtin Camilerinin Kuşak Yazıları", in: *Uluslararası Üsküdar Sempozyumu*, VI, 6–9 Kasım 2008, Bildiriler I. Istanbul: Üsküdar Belediye Başkanlığı Yayınları, 2009, pp. 203–250.
- Pamukciyan, Kevork: Zamanlar, Mekânlar, İnsanlar. Istanbul: Aras Yayınları, 2003.
- Papas, Alexandre: "Der Maler Konstantinos Kyzikenos und einige seiner Werke", in: Orthodoxes Forum. Zeitschrift des Instituts für Orthodoxe Theologie der Universität München, 1, 1987, pp. 71–81.
- Popescu-Judetz, Eugenia: Meanings in Turkish Musical Culture. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık, 1996.

- Popescu-Judetz, Eugenia, and Adriana Ababi Sirli: Sources of 18th Century Music: Panayiotes Chalathzoglou and Kyrillos Marmarinos's Comparative Treatises on Secular Music. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık, 2000.
- Popescu-Judetz, Eugenia: Tanburî Küçük Artin: A Musical Treatise of the 18th Century. Istanbul: Pan Yayıncılık, 2002.
- Renda, Günsel: Batılılaşma Döneminde Türk Resim Sanatı 1700–1850. Ankara: Hacettepe Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1977.
- Renda, Günsel: "Traditional Turkish Painting and the Beginning of Western Trends", in: *A History of Turkish Painting*, ed. Günsel Renda. Seattle-London: University of Washington Press, 1988, pp. 15–86.
- Renda, Günsel: 19th Century Album of Ottoman Sultans' Portraits: İnan and Suna Kıraç Collection. Milano: Amilcare Pizzi, 1992.
- Renda, Günsel: "Ressam Konstantin Kapıdağlı Hakkında Yeni Görüşler", in: 19. Yüzyılda Sanat Ortamı. Istanbul: Sanat Tarihi Derneği, 1999, pp. 139–162.
- Renda, Günsel: *Padişah Portreleri: Mevlânâ Müzesi Albümü*. Konya: Kültür Bakanlığı Anıtlar ve Müzeler Genel Müdürlüğü Yayınları, 1999.
- Renda, Günsel: "Illustrating the *Tableau général de l'Empire Othoman*", in: Theolin et al.: *The Torch of the Empire*, pp. 59–76.
- Renda, Günsel: "Ottoman Painting and Sculpture", in: *Ottoman Civilization*, ed. Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2003 (orig. 2002), pp. 932–967.
- Roche, Max: Education, assistance et culture françaises dans l'Empire ottoman, Istanbul: Isis, 1989.
- Rogers, Michael (ed.): Empire of the Sultans: Ottoman Art from the Collection of Nasser D. Khalili. Geneva: Azimuth Editions, 1995.
- Schmidt, Jan: "Fazıl Bey Enderuni: Social Historian or Poet?" in: *Decision Making and Change in the Ottoman Empire*, ed. Caesar E. Farah. Kirksville/MO: Thomas Jefferson University Press at Northeast Missouri State University, 1993, pp. 183–197.
- Schmidt, Jan: "Sünbülzâde Vehbî's Şevk-engîz: And Ottoman Pornographic Poem", in: *Turcica*, 25, 1993, pp. 9–37.
- Schmitz, Barbara: Islamic Manuscripts in the New York Public Library. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 1992.
- Semerdjian, Elyse: "Sinful Professions: Illegal Occupations of Women in Ottoman Aleppo", in: *Hawwa*, 1/1, 2003, pp. 60–85.
- Semerdjian, Elyse: Off the Straight Path: Illicit Sex, Law and Community in Ottoman Aleppo. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 2009.
- Shaw, Stanford: *History of the Ottoman Empire and Modern Turkey*. Cambridge/MA-New York: Cambridge University Press, 1977, vol. 1.
- Silay, Kemal: Nedim and the Poetics of the Ottoman Court: Medieval Inheritance and the Need for Change. Bloomington: Indiana University Turkish Studies, 1994.

- Sotheby's: Catalogue of Fine Oriental Miniatures, Manuscripts and Qajar Paintings Comprising the Property of D.H. Wishaw, Esq., and Various Owners. London, New Bond Street, 4 April 1978, Lot 120. Private collection, Paris.
- Stanley, Tim: "Shumen as a Centre of Qur'an Production in the 19th Century", in: M. Uğur Derman Festschrift: Papers Presented on the Occasion of His Sixty-fifth Birthday, ed. Irvin Cemil Schick. Istanbul: Sabancı Universitesi, 2000, pp. 483–512.
- Tabouris, Petros: Mismaiya: Masterpieces of the First Greek Songs (17th-19th Century A.D.). Athens: F. M: Records, s.a. (CD recording and text).
- Tanman, Baha: "Merzifon, Kara Mustafa Paşa Camii Şadırvanının Kubbesinde Zileli Emin'in Yarattığı 'Osmanlı Dünyası' ve Bu Dünyaya Yansıyan Kişiliği", in: *Sanat Tarihinde İkonografik Araştırmalar*, ed. Güner İnal'a Armağan. Ankara: Hacettepe Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1993, pp. 491–522.
- Tanman, Baha: "Beşiktaş Mevlevîhanesi'ne İlişkin Bir Minyatürün Mimarlık Tarihi Açısından Değerlendirilmesi", in: 17. Yüzyıl Osmanlı Kültür ve Sanat: 19–20 Mart 1998. Sempozyum Bildirileri, ed. Sanat Tarihi Derneği. Istanbul: Sanat Tarihi Derneği, 1998 (= Sanat Tarihi Derneği Yayınları, 4), pp. 181–216.
- Tanman, Baha: "Geç Dönem Osmanlı Tekke Sanatında Seyyid Ahmed el-Rifa'î Türbesi Tasvirleri", in: *Palmet: Sadberk Hanım Müzesi Yıllığı*, 3, 2000, pp. 77–102.
- Tanman, Baha: "Osmanlı İnsanının Zihniyet Dünyasındaki Mistik Boyutun Kent Dokusuna Yansıması: İstanbul Örneği", in: *Arredamento Mimarlık*, 158, 2002, pp. 98–106.
- Tanman, Baha: "Osmanlı Mimarisinde Tarikat Yapıları/Tekkeler", in: *Osmanlı Uygarlığı*, ed. Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda. Istanbul: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 2003, vol. 1, pp. 289–307.
- Tanman, Baha: "Osmanlı Dönemi Tarikat Yapılarında Sûfî İnançlarının ve Simgelerinin Yansımaları", in: Sanat ve İnanç Rıfkı Melûl Meriç Anısına, ed. Banu Mahir and Halenur Kâtipoğlu. Istanbul: M.S.Ü. Türk Sanatı Uygulama ve Araştırma Merkezi, Şubat, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 265–280.
- Tanman, Baha: "Geç Dönem Bektaşî Resim Sanatından İlginç Bir Örnek: Mehmed Nuri Baba Portresi", in: *Muhibbe Darga Armağanı*, ed. Taner Tarhan, Aksel Tibet, and Erkan Konyar. Istanbul: Istanbul Sadberk Hanım Müzesi Yayınları, 2008, pp. 493–504.
- Terzioğlu, Derin: Sufî and Dissident in the Ottoman Empire: Niyâzî-i Mısrî (1618–1694). PhD thesis, Cambridge/MA: Harvard University, 1999.
- Terzioğlu, Derin: "Man in the Image of God in the Image of the Times: Sufi Self-Narratives and the Diary of Niyazi-i Misri (1618–94)", in: *Studia Islamica*, 94, 2002, pp. 139–166.
- Theolin, Sture, Carter Vaughn Findley, Günsel Renda, Philip Mansel, Veniamin Ciobanu, Kemal Beydilli, Abdeljelil Temimi, Rachida Tlili Sellaouti, and Folke Ludwigs (eds.): The Torch of the Empire: Ignatius Mouradgea d'Ohsson and the Tableau général of the Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth Century. Istanbul: YKY, 2002.
- Tourage, Mahdi: "The Hermeneutics of Eroticism in the Poetry of Rumi", in: Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East, 25/3, 2005, pp. 600-616.

- Tourage, Mahdi: "Phallocentric Esotericism in a Tale from Jalal al-Din Rumi's Masnavi-i Ma'navi", in: *Iranian Studies*, 39/1, 2006, pp. 47–70.
- Tourage, Mahdi: Rûmî and the Hermeneutics of Eroticism. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2007.
- Ünver, A. Süheyl: "Şumnu'da Türk Hattatları ve Eserleri", in: *Belleten*, 47/185, January 1983, pp. 31–36.
- Uzluk, Şahabettin: Mevlevîlikte Resim, Resimde Mevlevîler. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1957.
- Wahlen, Auguste: Moeurs, usages et costumes de tous les people du monde. Bruxelles: Librairie Historique, 1844.
- Wright, Jerry W., and Everett K. Rowson: *Homoeroticism in Classical Arabic Literature*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1997.
- Yasak, Aaron Kohen, and Lari Dilmen: Maftirim: Judeo-Sufi Connection; 16th–20th Century, Edirne-İstanbul. Istanbul: Kalan Müzik, 2001 (CD recording and text).
- Young, John: A Series of Portraits of the Emperors of Turkey from the Foundation of the Monarchy to the Year 1815. Engraved from Pictures Painted at Constantinople Commended under the Auspieces of Sultan Selim the Third and Completed by the Command of Sultan Mahmoud the Second with a Biographical Account of Each of the Emperors. London: s.typ., 1815.
- Yücer, Hür Mahmut: Osmanlı Toplumunda Tasavvuf (19. Yüzyıl). Istanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2004 (orig. 2003).
- Yüksel, Sedit: Şeyh Galib: Eserlerinin Dil ve Sanat Değeri. Ankara: Türkiye İş Bankası Yayınları, 1963.
- Ze'evi, Dror: Producing Desire: Changing Sexual Discourse in the Ottoman Middle East, 1500–1900. Berkeley-Los Angeles-London: University of California Press, 2006.
- Ziad, Homayra: "Poetry, Music and the Muhammadî Path: How Khvâjah Mîr DardnBrought Three Worlds Together in Eighteenth-Century Delhi", in: *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 21/3, 2010, pp. 345–376.
- Zilfi, Madeleine: The Politics of Piety: The Ottoman Ulema in the Postclassical Age (1600–1800). Chicago: Biblioteca Islamica, 1988.
- Zorlu, Tuncay: Innovation and Empire in Turkey: Sultan Selim III and the Modernization of the Ottoman Navy. London-New York: Tauris, 2008, pp. 77–109.