The most remarkable aspect of the quantum Hall effect, both integer and fractional, is the fact that the Hall conductance is quantized, taking only a discrete set of values. This quantization is universal, in the sense that it does not depend on the details of electron interactions and edge potentials. In the case of a four-terminal setup, such universality has been confirmed to the accuracy of one part per million for the integer quantum Hall effect. Even though the terms “quantized” and “universal” are not synonymous, in the quantum Hall effect they are deeply interconnected. Therefore, for brevity, in what follows we will occasionally use only one of these terms while implying both.

The universal behavior of the quantized Hall conductance in the quantum Hall effect is understood to be connected to the topological properties of the quantum states [1,2], and this ushered in the study of topologically nontrivial insulating phases whose bulk excitations are gapped but the edge or boundary states are gapless. The existence of gapless edge excitations in quantum Hall states can be understood by using the gauge argument [3–5], and Wen proposed the chiral Luttinger liquid as the building block for the description of these edge states [6].

One interesting implication of chiral Luttinger liquid based edge theory is that, for simple edges, such as $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1/3$, the current-voltage relation of the (electron) tunneling between a Fermi liquid and the quantum Hall edge exhibits a power law behavior with a quantized and universal scaling dimension of the electron, where the latter generally depends strongly on the details of the interaction.

Unlike the case of the Hall conductance, however, the experimental measurements for fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states at $\nu = n/(2n \pm 1)$ [9] and at $\nu = 5/2$ [10] have not yet yielded a quantized tunneling exponent, and the results seem to suggest a strong sample dependence. This motivated several theoretical proposals for explaining this discrepancy [11–21]. In particular, it is found that the interplay between the electron-electron interaction and confining potential at shorter distances can cause an instability that drives edge reconstruction, and in the edge reconstructed phase, the quantum Hall state might lose some of its universal features, in particular, the tunneling exponent is nonquantized and nonuniversal [20,21]. Compared to the original state, the edge reconstructed state has at least additional antiparallel edge modes and, as we shall see, the interaction between counterpropagating modes is a necessary condition for a nonuniversal tunneling exponent.

The tunneling exponent, however, is not the only observable that might lose universality due to the interaction between counterpropagating modes. As noted in Ref. [22], the interaction between counterpropagating modes renders the Hall conductance nonquantized and nonuniversal. Even though the loss of universality in both the Hall conductance and tunneling exponent have been known and studied for a while, as far as we know, a direct relationship between them has yet to be discussed in the literature. In this Rapid Communication, by considering several examples of quantum Hall states with counterpropagating modes, such as those arising from composite fermions with reverse flux attachment and edge reconstruction, we show that a quantization of the Hall conductance, as measured in the two-terminal setup, implies nonuniversality of the edge exponent, and the results seem to suggest a strong sample dependence.

We start by first summarizing some formulas that will be used in what follows. For their derivation, see Ref. [23]. Let $S_\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\tau dx (K_{ij} \partial_\tau \phi_i \partial_x \phi_j + V_{ij} \partial_\tau \phi_i \partial_x \phi_j)$, where $i,j = 1,\ldots,n$, $n$ is the number of edge modes, $K$ is a symmetric integer matrix, and $V$ is a symmetric positive matrix. The filling factor is given by $\nu = r^T K^{-1} t$, where the vector $t$ specifies the charges of the quasiparticles. As such, $K$ and $t$ are determined (modulo basis transformation) by the bulk topological properties, while $V$ parametrizes the interaction and edge potential (here, we only consider a contact interaction). We say that an observable is not quantized if one can continuously tune its value by tuning $V$ and, furthermore, a strong dependence on $V$ renders an observable nonuniversal. We note that Ref. [23] also included disorder induced tunneling
terms in the action. Such terms cause a regime of parameter space to be a renormalization group (RG) attractor. It turns out that this regime is only a subspace of the parameter regime we are interested in, and therefore our result holds not only when the edge is clean but also when it is disordered.

Continuing with our formalism, for an operator that is expressed by $O_k = e^{i \theta_k \phi}$, the charge is given by $q_k = t^\tau K^{-1} \ell$ and its exchange statistics with respect to another operator $O_k$ (which can be itself) is given by $\theta_{k\ell} = \pi kT K^{-1} \ell$. For electron operators, the charge must be equal to unity while the exchange statistics must be that of a fermion.

In order to determine the Hall conductivity and the tunneling exponent, we need to diagonalize the action in Eq. (1). First, let us consider a basis transformation $\phi' = M^{\dagger}_\tau \phi$, under which

$$K' = M^T K M_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{1}_{n_-} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{n_+} \end{array} \right),$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{n_s}$ is an $n_\pm \times n_\pm$ identity matrix and $n_- + n_+ = n$. Next, we can diagonalize $V' = M^T_1 V M_1$ by

$$V'' = M^T_2 M^T_1 V M_1 M_2,$$

where $V''$ is a diagonal matrix and $M_2 \in SO(n_-n_+)$ such that $K'' = K'$. We can express the second basis transformation as $M_2 = B R$, where $R$ is an orthogonal matrix, i.e., the rotation, and $B$ is a positive matrix, i.e., the pure boost of the Lorentz group. It turns out that the scaling dimension of an operator $O_{c'}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{c'} = \ell^{n_T} \Delta_{c''},$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{B^2}{2}.$$  

We are particularly interested in the smallest scaling dimension of the electron operators $\Delta_{el}$ due to the fact that, under the assumption that the outside electron couples to all the edge modes with equal strength, the scaling exponent of electron tunneling into the edge at a long time scale will be given by $2 \Delta_{el}$. Furthermore, the two-terminal Hall conductance is given by

$$\sigma_H = 2 \ell^{n_T} \Delta_{c''}.$$  

Here, the two-terminal conductance is defined following Refs. [24,25], where one applies an electric field along the edge and evaluates the current response.

We would like to note that the parameters of the boost $B$ describe the mixing between counterpropagating modes, while the parameters of the rotation $R$ describe the mixing between modes propagating along the same direction. Since Eq. (5) shows that the nontrivial part of $\Delta$ only depends on $B$ (but not $R$), the renormalization, and thus the nonuniversality, of the Hall conductance and scaling dimensions of operators depend on the mixing between counterpropagating modes.

Now we are ready to consider some examples of FQH states that feature backward moving neutral modes. First, let us treat the case of FQH states arising from composite fermions with reverse flux attachment. The state with filling factor $v = \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{m^2-1}}$ is described by

$$K = -1_n + 2 p C_n, \quad t = (1, \ldots, 1)^T,$$

where $C_n$ is an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are all equal to 1. In a basis where the $K$ matrix is diagonal, we have

$$K = \text{diag}(2pn - 1, -1, \ldots, -1), \quad t = (\sqrt{p},0, \ldots, 0)^T.$$  

In this basis, we have a forward moving charge mode and $n-1$ backward moving neutral modes. In general, these modes are not the eigenmodes as we expect interactions to mix them.

Parametrizing the boost such that

$$B^2 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \gamma & \beta_1 \gamma & \beta_2 \gamma & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} \gamma \\ \beta_1 \gamma & 1 + \frac{\beta_1 \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & \cdots & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_{n-1} \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \\ \beta_2 \gamma & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & 1 + \frac{\beta_2 \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & \cdots & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_{n-1} \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{n-1} \gamma & \frac{\beta_{n-2} \beta_{n-1} \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & \frac{\beta_{n-3} \beta_{n-1} \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} & \cdots & 1 + \frac{\beta_{n-2} \gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \end{array} \right),$$

where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$, $\beta^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^2$, and $|\beta| \leq 1$, yields

$$\sigma_H = \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}.$$  

This means that in order for the two-terminal conductance to be quantized and taking the “correct” value, all of the boost parameters $\beta_i$’s must vanish. In other words, since $\beta_i$’s describe the mixing between the charged mode and the counterpropagating neutral modes, Hall conductance is quantized if and only if the charged mode is decoupled from all the backward moving neutral modes. In this case, however, $B^2$ is just an identity matrix, and therefore the scaling dimension of the electron operator will also be quantized and universal.

For the next case, let us consider edge reconstructed Laughlin states and edge reconstructed Pfaffian states. For the Laughlin state and the bosonic sector of the Pfaffian state, the edge reconstructed state is described by

$$K = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} -m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m \end{array} \right), \quad t = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right),$$

where $m$ is an odd integer for the Laughlin state and $m = 2$ for the Pfaffian state. Doing a basis transformation such that $K = W K W^T$, with

$$W = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{m} & -1/\sqrt{2m} & -1/\sqrt{2m} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2m} & -1/\sqrt{2m} \\ -1/\sqrt{m} & 1/\sqrt{2m} & 1/\sqrt{m} \end{array} \right),$$

we obtain

$$K = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad t = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right).$$

In this basis, we have a forward moving charge mode and a couple of antiparallel neutral modes. As before, these modes
are generally not the eigenmodes as we expect interactions to mix them.

Parametrizing the boost exactly as in Eq. (9) but with \( n = 3 \) yields

\[
\sigma_H = \frac{1}{m} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_2^2}{1 - \beta_2^2 + \sqrt{1 - \beta_2^4}} \right). \quad (14)
\]

This means that in order for the Hall conductance to be quantized at the correct value, \( \beta_2 \) must vanish. Even though the quantization of the Hall conductance requires the charged mode to be decoupled from the backward moving neutral move, the two antiparallel neutral modes can still interact. Nevertheless, as we shall see, this interaction does not render the smallest scaling dimension of the electron operators to be nonuniversal.

The electron operators can be written as

\[
O_{el} = \exp\{i(x\phi_{n1} + y\phi_{n2} + i\sqrt{m}\phi_e)\}. \quad (15)
\]

where \( \phi_e \) is the charged mode, \( \phi_{n1, n2} \) are the backward and forward moving neutral modes, respectively, and \( y^2 - x^2 = 2p \), where \( p \) is an integer. This condition needs to be satisfied in order for the electron operators to have fermionic statistics. If the Hall conductance is quantized, the scaling dimension of the electron operator is then given by

\[
\Delta_{el} = \frac{x^2 + 2\beta_1 xy + y^2}{2\sqrt{1 - \beta_1^2}} + m \frac{m}{2}. \quad (16)
\]

(For Pfaffian, this is only the bosonic part of the electron operator and the full operator is obtained by multiplying this expression with the Majorana fermion.) It is then easy to see that the long time behavior of electron tunneling will be dominated by the electron operator with a scaling dimension \( \Delta_{el} = m/2 \). To see that, we note that \( 1 \geq \beta_1 \geq -1 \) and thus \( x^2 + 2\beta_1 xy + y^2 \geq \varepsilon |x|^2 - 2|x||y| + |y|^2 = (x - y)^2 \geq 0 \), where the minimum can always be reached by setting \( x = y = 0 \), regardless of the value of \( \beta_1 \).

Therefore, when the Hall conductance is quantized, then the scaling dimension of the most dominant electron operator is also quantized to be \( \Delta_{el} = m/2 \) for the edge reconstructed Laughlin state (cf. Ref. [26]) and \( \Delta_{el} = 3/2 \) for the Pfaffian state (cf. Ref. [27]). In light of tunneling experiments such as those of Refs. [9,10], where the edge exponent is found to be nonuniversal (while the Hall conductance is quantized), edge reconstruction has been proposed as a mechanism that results in the nonuniversal behavior of the edge [20,21]. However, our result clearly shows that edge reconstruction as described by Eq. (11) cannot be the explanation for the nonuniversal behavior found in tunneling experiments.

As the last examples, let us consider other FQH states with \( \dim[K] = 3 \) and antiparallel neutral modes, such as \( \nu = 1 \pm \frac{2}{4p-1} \). As before, we can do a basis transformation such that

\[
K = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{\nu} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)
\]

Using the same parametrization for the boost as above, we see that in order for the two-terminal conductance to be quantized, \( \beta_2 \) must vanish. Furthermore, the scaling dimension of the electron operator is

\[
\Delta_{el} = \frac{x^2 + 2\beta_1 xy + y^2}{2\sqrt{1 - \beta_1^2}} + \frac{1}{2\nu}, \quad (18)
\]

but with the condition

\[
y^2 - x^2 = 2\nu + 1 - \frac{1}{\nu}. \quad (19)
\]

where, again, \( \nu \) is an integer. In this case, the first term of Eq. (18) is positive definite because \( x = y = 0 \) is not a solution to Eq. (19). Solving Eq. (19) for \( y \), substituting the solution into Eq. (18), and then minimizing it with respect to \( x \), we obtain

\[
\Delta_{el} \min = \left| p_{\min} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\nu} \right| + \frac{1}{2\nu}. \quad (20)
\]

where \( p_{\min} \) is an integer chosen to minimize the first term. Since all dependence on \( \beta_1 \) has dropped off the smallest scaling dimension of the electron operators, we again conclude that if the two-terminal conductance is quantized, then the electron tunneling exponent will also be quantized.

Some discussions are in order. In this Rapid Communication, we have considered three classes of FQH states, \( \nu = n/(2n \pm 1) \), edge reconstructed \( \nu = 1/m \), and \( \nu = 1 \pm \frac{2}{4p-1} \), all of which contain counterpropagating modes. We started by showing that the decoupling between the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes is the sufficient and necessary condition for quantized Hall conductance, as measured in a two-terminal setup. Since the parameter space in which such decoupling occurs is a lot smaller than the whole parameter space, this begs the question of what mechanism confines us to the subspace of parameter space in which the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes are decoupled. One such mechanism was introduced in Ref. [22], where it was shown that edge disorder can restore the quantization of Hall conductance because in the presence of disorder, there is an RG fixed point, the so-called Kane-Fisher-Polchinski (KFP) fixed point, at which the Hall conductance takes the correct quantized value. This KFP fixed point is obviously a subspace of the parameter subspace in which the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes are decoupled.

Anticipating the possibility of other mechanisms that can restore the quantization of Hall conductance, here we did not make any assumptions about what such mechanisms should be. Instead of limiting ourselves to a subspace of the parameter subspace in which the decoupling between the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes occurs, we simply observed that as long as the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes are decoupled, the tunneling exponent is universal. Providing a mechanism that will confine us to a subspace of the parameter subspace we considered above, such as by introducing disorder, obviously will not change the result. For the particular case of a disordered edge, in a sense, what we did can be thought of as a generalization of Refs. [23,26,27], where the authors studied the tunneling exponents at the KFP fixed points of the three classes of FQH states we considered here.
Taking into account the two statements, (1) the decoupling between the forward moving charged mode and the backward moving neutral modes is the sufficient condition for a universal tunneling exponent, and (2) this mode decoupling is the sufficient and necessary condition for universal Hall conductance, we concluded that quantization of the Hall conductance, as measured in two-terminal setup, implies the quantization of the tunneling exponent. Equivalently, at least within the framework of chiral Luttinger liquid theory, a nonuniversal tunneling exponent implies a nonuniversal Hall conductance.

Lastly, let us comment shortly on the case of four-terminal conductance. In this case, even though we do not have a somewhat general formula akin to Eq. (6), at least for $\nu = 2/3$, the decoupling between the charged mode and the backward moving neutral mode is also the sufficient and necessary condition for quantized and universal four-terminal conductance [22]. Therefore, in that case, a nonuniversal tunneling exponent also implies a nonuniversal four-terminal conductance.
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[8] Throughout this article, we limit ourselves to the case of incompressible states. For the story of compressible states, see, for example, Refs. [28, 29].