

Research Article

Point-Symmetric Multivariate Density Function and Its Decomposition

Kiyotaka Iki and Sadao Tomizawa

Department of Information Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, Noda City, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Kiyotaka Iki; iki@is.noda.tus.ac.jp

Received 13 December 2013; Revised 21 April 2014; Accepted 23 April 2014; Published 13 May 2014

Academic Editor: Chin-Shang Li

Copyright © 2014 K. Iki and S. Tomizawa. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

For a T -variate density function, the present paper defines the point-symmetry, quasi-point-symmetry of order k ($< T$), and the marginal point-symmetry of order k and gives the theorem that the density function is T -variate point-symmetric if and only if it is quasi-point-symmetric and marginal point-symmetric of order k . The theorem is illustrated for the multivariate normal density function.

1. Introduction

For square contingency tables, it is known that the symmetry model holds if and only if both the quasi-symmetry and the marginal homogeneity models hold (e.g., see Caussinus [1]; Tomizawa and Tahata [2]). For multiway contingency tables, Bhapkar and Darroch [3] defined the complete symmetry, quasi-symmetry, and marginal symmetry models and showed that the complete symmetry model holds if and only if both the quasi-symmetry and the marginal symmetry models hold.

Tomizawa et al. [4] gave a similar decomposition for the bivariate density function (instead of cell probabilities). Iki et al. [5] showed a similar decomposition for the multivariate density function.

On the other hand, for contingency tables, Wall and Lienert [6] defined the point-symmetry model for the cell probabilities, and Tomizawa [7] gave the theorem that the point-symmetry model holds for the cell probabilities if and only if both the quasi-point-symmetry and the marginal point-symmetry models hold (see also Tahata and Tomizawa [8]).

Tomizawa and Konuma [9] gave a similar decomposition for the bivariate point-symmetric density function. Now, we are interested in extending the decomposition of the point-symmetric density function to multivariate case.

In the present paper, we define the point-symmetry, quasi-point-symmetry, and marginal point-symmetry for the multivariate density function and decompose the point-symmetry into quasi-point-symmetry and marginal point-symmetry. Section 2 provides the decomposition for the trivariate density function. Section 3 extends the decomposition to multivariate density function. Section 4 illustrates our decomposition for the multivariate normal distribution.

2. Decomposition of Trivariate Density Function

Let X_1, X_2 , and X_3 be three continuous random variables with a density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) &> 0 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3, \\ f(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin D^3, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with

$$D^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid a_i < x_i < b_i; i = 1, 2, 3\}, \quad (2)$$

and where $a_i = -\infty$ and $b_i = +\infty$, or a_i and b_i are finite. Let (c_1, c_2, c_3) denote a given point in domain D^3 , where $c_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$ if a_i and b_i are finite. Let $x_i^* = 2c_i - x_i$ when $X_i = x_i$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For example, when $X_2 = 10$ with $c_2 = 3$, then $10^* = 2 \times 3 - 10 = -4$. Note that, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, (i) x_i^* is the symmetrical value of x_i with respect to c_i , (ii) $(x_i^*)^* = x_i$, and (iii) $c_i^* = c_i$.

We will define the point-symmetry (denoted by PS^3) of density function with respect to the point (c_1, c_2, c_3) by

$$f(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad \text{for every } (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3. \quad (3)$$

Let $f_{X_1}(x_1)$, $f_{X_2}(x_2)$, and $f_{X_3}(x_3)$ be the marginal density functions of X_1, X_2 , and X_3 , respectively. For the density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, we will define the marginal point-symmetry of order 1 (denoted by MP_1^3) by

$$f_{X_i}(x_i^*) = f_{X_i}(x_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3; (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3. \quad (4)$$

Let $f_{X_i X_j}(x_i, x_j)$ be the marginal density function of (X_i, X_j) for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. We define the marginal point-symmetry of order 2 (denoted by MP_2^3) by

$$f_{X_i X_j}(x_i^*, x_j^*) = f_{X_i X_j}(x_i, x_j) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 3; (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3.$$

Note that MP_2^3 implies MP_1^3 .

We can express the density function as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \mu \alpha_1(x_1) \alpha_2(x_2) \alpha_3(x_3) \\ &\quad \times \beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) \beta_{13}(x_1, x_3) \beta_{23}(x_2, x_3) \quad (6) \\ &\quad \times \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(c_1) &= 1, & \beta_{12}(c_1, x_2) &= \beta_{12}(x_1, c_2) = 1, \\ \gamma(c_1, x_2, x_3) &= \gamma(x_1, c_2, x_3) = \gamma(x_1, x_2, c_3) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with similar properties of $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_{13}$, and β_{23} . The terms α_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) correspond to main effects of the variable X_i , β_{ij} ($i \neq j$) to interaction effects of X_i and X_j , and γ to interaction effect of X_1, X_2 , and X_3 . We see

$$\mu = f(c_1, c_2, c_3), \quad \alpha_1(x_1) = \frac{f(x_1, c_2, c_3)}{f(c_1, c_2, c_3)},$$

$$\beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, c_3) f(c_1, c_2, c_3)}{f(x_1, c_2, c_3) f(c_1, x_2, c_3)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) f(x_1, c_2, c_3) f(c_1, x_2, c_3) f(c_1, c_2, x_3)}{f(c_1, c_2, c_3) f(x_1, x_2, c_3) f(x_1, c_2, x_3) f(c_1, x_2, x_3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with similar properties of $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_{13}$, and β_{23} . The term $\alpha_1(x_1)$ indicates the odds of density function with respect to X_1 -values with $(X_2, X_3) = (c_2, c_3)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{(f(x_1, x_2, c_3) / f(c_1, x_2, c_3))}{(f(x_1, c_2, c_3) / f(c_1, c_2, c_3))} \\ &= \frac{(f(x_1, x_2, c_3) / f(x_1, c_2, c_3))}{(f(c_1, x_2, c_3) / f(c_1, c_2, c_3))}, \\ \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{(f(x_1, x_2, x_3) f(c_1, c_2, x_3) / f(x_1, c_2, x_3) f(c_1, x_2, x_3))}{(f(x_1, x_2, c_3) f(c_1, c_2, c_3) / f(x_1, c_2, c_3) f(c_1, x_2, c_3))} \\ &= \frac{(f(x_1, x_2, x_3) f(c_1, x_2, c_3) / f(x_1, x_2, c_3) f(c_1, x_2, x_3))}{(f(x_1, c_2, x_3) f(c_1, c_2, c_3) / f(x_1, c_2, c_3) f(c_1, c_2, x_3))} \\ &= \frac{(f(x_1, x_2, x_3) f(x_1, c_2, c_3) / f(x_1, x_2, c_3) f(x_1, c_2, x_3))}{(f(c_1, x_2, x_3) f(c_1, c_2, c_3) / f(c_1, x_2, c_3) f(c_1, c_2, x_3))}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Thus, $\beta_{12}(x_1, x_2)$ indicates the odds ratio of density function with respect to (X_1, X_2) -values with $X_3 = c_3$. Also $\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ indicates the ratio of odds ratios of density function, that is, the ratio of odds ratio with respect to (X_1, X_2) -values with $X_3 = x_3$ to that with $X_3 = c_3$ (or the ratio of odds ratio with respect to (X_i, X_j) -values with $X_k = x_k$ to that with $X_k = c_k$, where $(i, j, k) = (1, 3, 2)$ and $(2, 3, 1)$).

The density function is PS^3 if and only if it is expressed as form (6) with

$$\alpha_i(x_i^*) = \alpha_i(x_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\beta_{ij}(x_i^*, x_j^*) = \beta_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

We will define the quasi-point-symmetry of order 1 (denoted by QP_1^3) by (6) with

$$\beta_{ij}(x_i^*, x_j^*) = \beta_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

The QP_1^3 is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2; u) &= \theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*; u^*), \\ \theta(s_1, s_2; u; t_1, t_2) &= \theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; u^*; t_1^*, t_2^*), \\ \theta(u; s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2) &= \theta(u^*; s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2; u) &= \frac{f(s_1, t_1, u) f(s_2, t_2, u)}{f(s_1, t_2, u) f(s_2, t_1, u)}, \\ \theta(s_1, s_2; u; t_1, t_2) &= \frac{f(s_1, u, t_1) f(s_2, u, t_2)}{f(s_1, u, t_2) f(s_2, u, t_1)}, \\ \theta(u; s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2) &= \frac{f(u, s_1, t_1) f(u, s_2, t_2)}{f(u, s_1, t_2) f(u, s_2, t_1)},\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

with $(s_i, t_j, u) \in D^3$ and so on. Therefore, QP_1^3 indicates that the density function is point-symmetric with respect to the odds ratio.

Also, we will define the quasi-point-symmetry of order 2 (denoted by QP_2^3) by (6) with

$$\gamma(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (14)$$

The QP_2^3 is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\theta(s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2; u_1)}{\theta(s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2; u_2)} &= \frac{\theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*; u_1^*)}{\theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*; u_2^*)}, \\ \frac{\theta(s_1, s_2; u_1; t_1, t_2)}{\theta(s_1, s_2; u_2; t_1, t_2)} &= \frac{\theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; u_1^*; t_1^*, t_2^*)}{\theta(s_1^*, s_2^*; u_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*)}, \\ \frac{\theta(u_1; s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2)}{\theta(u_2; s_1, s_2; t_1, t_2)} &= \frac{\theta(u_1^*; s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*)}{\theta(u_2^*; s_1^*, s_2^*; t_1^*, t_2^*)}.\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

Therefore, QP_2^3 indicates that the density function is point-symmetric with respect to the ratio of odds ratios. We note that QP_1^3 implies QP_2^3 . We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For k fixed ($k = 1, 2$), the trivariate density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is PS^3 if and only if it is both QP_k^3 and MP_k^3 .

Proof. Consider the case of $k = 1$. If a density function is PS^3 , then it satisfies QP_1^3 and MP_1^3 . Assume that it is both QP_1^3 and MP_1^3 , and then we will show that it satisfies PS^3 .

Let X_1, X_2 , and X_3 be three continuous random variables with a density function $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ which satisfies both QP_1^3 and MP_1^3 . Therefore, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\log h(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \log \mu + \log \alpha_1(x_1) + \log \alpha_2(x_2) \\ &+ \log \alpha_3(x_3) + \log \beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) + \log \beta_{13}(x_1, x_3) \\ &+ \log \beta_{23}(x_2, x_3) + \log \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3),\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$, $\beta_{ij}(x_i^*, x_j^*) = \beta_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 3$), and $\gamma(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Let

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{\Delta} w(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\log w(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \log \beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) + \log \beta_{13}(x_1, x_3) \\ &+ \log \beta_{23}(x_2, x_3) + \log \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ g(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) &= g(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ \Delta &= \iiint w(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3.\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

Note that $\iiint g(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log \left(\frac{h(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{g(x_1, x_2, x_3)} \right) &= \nu + \log \alpha_1(x_1) \\ &+ \log \alpha_2(x_2) + \log \alpha_3(x_3),\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

where $\nu = \log \Delta + \log \mu$.

Since $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfies MP_1^3 , we see

$$h_{X_i}(x_i^*) = h_{X_i}(x_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (20)$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$ and $h_{X_i}(x_i)$ is the marginal density function of X_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Denote (20) as $h_{X_i}(x_i^*) = h_{X_i}(x_i)$ ($= h_{X_i}^{(0)}(x_i)$) for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Consider the arbitrary density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfying MP_1^3 with

$$f_{X_i}(x_i^*) = f_{X_i}(x_i) = h_{X_i}^{(0)}(x_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \quad (21)$$

From (19), (20), and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\iiint \{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h(x_1, x_2, x_3)\} \\ \times \log \left(\frac{h(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{g(x_1, x_2, x_3)} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Using (22), we obtain

$$I(f, g) = I(h, g) + I(f, h), \quad (23)$$

where $I(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Kullback-Leibler information; that is,

$$\begin{aligned}I(f, g) &= \iiint f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &\times \log \left(\frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{g(x_1, x_2, x_3)} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3.\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

For g fixed, we see

$$\min_f I(f, g) = I(h, g), \quad (25)$$

and then h uniquely minimizes $I(f, g)$.

Let $\tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = h(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*)$ for $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$. Since $\tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfies QP_1^3 , we see

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \log \mu + \log \alpha_1(x_1^*) + \log \alpha_2(x_2^*) + \log \alpha_3(x_3^*) \\ &+ \log \beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) + \log \beta_{13}(x_1, x_3) \\ &+ \log \beta_{23}(x_2, x_3) + \log \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3).\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

Since $\tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfies MP_1^3 , we see

$$\tilde{h}_{X_i}(x_i^*) = \tilde{h}_{X_i}(x_i) = h_{X_i}^{(0)}(x_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (27)$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$.

Consider the arbitrary density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfying MP_1^3 with

$$f_{X_i}(x_i^*) = f_{X_i}(x_i) = h_{X_i}^{(0)}(x_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (28)$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D^3$. In a similar way, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3)\} \\ & \times \log \left(\frac{\tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{g(x_1, x_2, x_3)} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$I(f, g) = I(\tilde{h}, g) + I(f, \tilde{h}). \quad (30)$$

For g fixed, we see

$$\min_f I(f, g) = I(\tilde{h}, g), \quad (31)$$

and then \tilde{h} uniquely minimizes $I(f, g)$. Therefore, we see $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \tilde{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Thus, $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = h(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*)$. Namely, $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfies PS^3 . The case of $k = 2$ can be proved in a similar way as the case of $k = 1$. So the proof is completed. \square

3. Decomposition of Multivariate Density Function

Let X_1, \dots, X_T be T continuous random variables with a density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$, where $f(x_1, \dots, x_T) > 0$ for $(x_1, \dots, x_T) \in D^T$ and D^T is defined in a similar way to D^3 . Let (c_1, \dots, c_T) denote a given point in D^T , where $c_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$ if a_i and b_i are finite. Let $x_i^* = 2c_i - x_i$ when $X_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, T$. For the density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$, we will define the point-symmetry (denoted by PS^T) with respect to the point (c_1, \dots, c_T) by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1^*, \dots, x_T^*) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_T) \\ &\text{for every } (x_1, \dots, x_T) \in R^T. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Also, for $k = 1, \dots, T - 1$, we will define the marginal point-symmetry of order k (denoted by MP_k^T) by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}^*, \dots, x_{i_k}^*) &= f_{X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\ &(1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq T), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $f_{X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}}$ is the marginal density function of $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k})$. We note that MP_{k+1}^T implies MP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 2$).

We can express the density function as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_T) &= \mu \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^T \alpha_{i_1}(x_{i_1}) \right] \left[\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq T} \alpha_{i_1 i_2}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) \right] \times \dots \\ &\times \left[\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{T-1} \leq T} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_{T-1}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{T-1}}) \right] \\ &\times \alpha_{1 \dots T}(x_1, \dots, x_T), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_T) \in D^T$, and

$$\{\alpha_i(c_i) = \alpha_{i_1 i_2}(c_i, x_{i_2}) = \dots = \alpha_{1 \dots T}(x_1, \dots, x_{T-1}, c_T) = 1\}. \quad (35)$$

Then, the density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ being PS^T is also expressed as (34) with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_{i_1}^*, \dots, x_{i_m}^*) &= \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \\ (m = 1, \dots, T; 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq T). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

For $k = 1, \dots, T - 1$, we will define the quasi-point-symmetry of order k (denoted by QP_k^T) by (34) with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_{i_1}^*, \dots, x_{i_m}^*) &= \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \\ (m = k + 1, \dots, T; 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq T). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

We note that QP_k^T implies QP_{k+1}^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 2$). Then we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For k fixed ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$), the multivariate density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is PS^T if and only if it is both QP_k^T and MP_k^T .

The proof of Theorem 2 is omitted because it is obtained in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Point-Symmetry of Multivariate Normal Density Function

Consider a T -dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_T)'$ having a normal distribution with mean vector $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_T)'$ and covariance matrix Σ . The density function is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_T) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{T/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Denote Σ^{-1} by $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$. Then the density function can be expressed as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_T) = C \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} H \right\}, \quad (39)$$

where C is positive constant and

$$H = \sum_{s=1}^T a_{ss} x_s^2 + \sum_{s \neq t} a_{st} x_s x_t - 2 \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T a_{st} \mu_s x_t. \quad (40)$$

For an arbitrary given point (c_1, \dots, c_T) , we set $\tilde{x}_i = x_i - c_i$ and $\tilde{\mu}_i = \mu_i - c_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, T$). Then noting that $x_i - \mu_i = \tilde{x}_i - \tilde{\mu}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, T$), we see

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_T) = \tilde{C} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H} \right\}, \quad (41)$$

where \tilde{C} is positive constant and

$$\tilde{H} = \sum_{s=1}^T a_{ss} \tilde{x}_s^2 + \sum_{s \neq t} a_{st} \tilde{x}_s \tilde{x}_t - 2 \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T a_{st} \tilde{\mu}_s \tilde{x}_t. \quad (42)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(x_i) &= \frac{f(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, x_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_T)}{f(c_1, \dots, c_T)} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(a_{ii} \tilde{x}_i^2 - 2 \sum_{s=1}^T a_{si} \tilde{\mu}_s \tilde{x}_i \right) \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, T), \\ \alpha_{ij}(x_i, x_j) &= \left(f(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, x_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{j-1}, x_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_T) \right. \\ &\quad \times f(c_1, \dots, c_T) \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(f(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, x_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_T) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. f(c_1, \dots, c_{j-1}, x_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_T) \right) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} a_{ij} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j \right) \quad (i < j), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and for $m = 3, \dots, T$,

$$\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) = 1 \quad (1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq T). \quad (44)$$

Since $x_i^* = 2c_i - x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, T$), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij}(x_i^*, x_j^*) &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} a_{ij} (x_i^* - c_i) (x_j^* - c_j) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} a_{ij} (x_i - c_i) (x_j - c_j) \right\} \\ &= \alpha_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \quad (i < j). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Therefore, the normal density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is QP_k^T for $k = 1, \dots, T - 1$, without depending on the value of (c_1, \dots, c_T) and on the values of parameters μ and Σ . Thus, we see from Theorem 2 that, for k fixed ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$), the normal density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is PS^T if and only if $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is MP_k^T . Therefore, we see that the normal density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is not PS^T with respect to the point (c_1, \dots, c_T) where $(c_1, \dots, c_T) \neq (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_T)$, and it is PS^T only with respect to $(c_1, \dots, c_T) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_T)$ without depending on the value of Σ . We see from Theorem 2 that when the normal density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is not PS^T , it is caused by the lack of the structure of MP_k^T .

5. Discussion

When a density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is not point-symmetric, Theorem 2 may be useful for knowing the reason, that is, for k fixed, which structure of quasi-point-symmetry of order k and marginal point-symmetry of order k is lacking.

For symmetry of a multivariate distribution, there are various kinds of symmetry such as spherical symmetry, elliptical symmetry, and central symmetry (see, e.g., Kotz et al. [10, pages 5338–5341], Fang et al. [11, Chapter 2], Muirhead [12, pages 32–34], and Tong [13, Chapter 4]). The PS^T described in the present paper is equivalent to the central symmetry. Also, for the T -variate spherical (elliptical) distribution, the probability density function is PS^T with respect to the mean vector, although when the density function is PS^T , the distribution is not always spherical (elliptical). Thus, for the T -variate spherical (elliptical) distribution, the density function is QP_k^T and MP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$) with respect to the mean vector. We point out that, as described in Section 4, for T -variate normal distribution, the density function is QP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$) with respect to the arbitrary point (c_1, \dots, c_T) (not only mean vector (μ_1, \dots, μ_T)).

Testing symmetry and elliptical symmetry is described in, for example, Fang and Zhang [14, Chapter 5], Muirhead [12, page 333], and Kotz et al. [10, pages 5341–5342]. Heathcote et al. [15] gave a procedure for testing a general multivariate distribution for symmetry about a point which indicates that the imaginary part of the characteristic function of centered variable vanishes identically. Although the readers may be interested in seeing the comparison of both approaches and the decomposition of PS^T into QP_k^T and MP_k^T , it seems difficult.

As (6), we have considered the multiplicative form of probability density function by the terms of the odds, the odds ratios, the ratios of odds ratios, and so on; as an analog to the log-linear model for the analysis of categorical data (Agresti [16, Chapter 9]). Although the readers also may be interested in the additive form of density function for point-symmetry, it seems difficult to consider it.

On discrete probability, the concept of odds ratio is important. Also it is important to use the odds ratio on probability density function (corresponding to a continuous

random variable). For example, for bivariate probability density function $f(x, y)$, the odds ratio

$$\beta_{12}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2) f(c_1, c_2)}{f(x_1, c_2) f(c_1, x_2)} \quad (46)$$

equals 1 for any x_1, x_2 and fixed c_1 and c_2 , if and only if two variables are independent. So we are interested in how the structures of odds ratios, the ratios of odds ratios, and so on, of probability density function, are, for example, the point-symmetry. Note that Holland and Wang [17], Kotz et al. [18, page 74], and Tong [13, Chapter 4] discuss the properties of bivariate probability density function using the odds ratios, for example, as the local dependence function and the totally positive of density function, although the details are omitted.

In Section 4, we have shown that, for the T -variate normal distribution, the density function is always QP_k^T but not MP_k^T (thus not PS^T) with respect to the arbitrary point (c_1, \dots, c_T) where (c_1, \dots, c_T) is not equal to mean vector (μ_1, \dots, μ_T) . The readers may be interested in the probability density function such that it is not QP_k^T but it is MP_k^T . Consider the following density function:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_T) = \frac{1}{C(2\pi)^T} \left[\sum_{k=1}^T \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^T x_i \right) \cos x_k + C \right], \quad (47)$$

for $-\pi \leq x_i \leq \pi$ ($i = 1, \dots, T$) with C satisfying $f(x_1, \dots, x_T) > 0$. When T is odd, the density function is PS^T with respect to the point $(0, \dots, 0)$ because $f(x_1^*, \dots, x_T^*)$ equals $f(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ for $x_i^* = -x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, T$). Thus, from Theorem 2, when T is odd, this density function is QP_k^T and MP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$). However, when T is even, the density function (47) is not PS^T . Also, for $k = 1, \dots, T - 1$, the marginal density function of $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k})$ is

$$f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \quad (-\pi \leq x_{i_l} \leq \pi; l = 1, \dots, k). \quad (48)$$

Namely, this is the uniform distribution. Therefore, the density function (47) is always MP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$) with respect to the point $(0, \dots, 0)$ without depending on whether T is odd or even. In addition, when T is even, the density function (47) is not QP_k^T ($k = 1, \dots, T - 1$), because then $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_{T-1}}(x_{i_1}^*, \dots, x_{i_{T-1}}^*) \neq \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_{T-1}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{T-1}})$ and $\alpha_{1 \dots T}(x_1^*, \dots, x_T^*) \neq \alpha_{1 \dots T}(x_1, \dots, x_T)$ for $x_m^* = -x_m$ ($m = 1, \dots, T$).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank two referees for their many helpful comments.

References

- [1] H. Caussinus, "Contribution à l'analyse statistique des tableaux de corrélation," *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Toulouse*, vol. 29, pp. 77–182, 1965.
- [2] S. Tomizawa and K. Tahata, "The analysis of symmetry and asymmetry: orthogonality of decomposition of symmetry into quasi-symmetry and marginal symmetry for multi-way tables," *Journal de la Société Française de Statistique*, vol. 148, no. 3, pp. 3–36, 2007.
- [3] V. P. Bhapkar and J. N. Darroch, "Marginal symmetry and quasi symmetry of general order," *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 173–184, 1990.
- [4] S. Tomizawa, T. Seo, and J. Minaguchi, "Decomposition of bivariate symmetric density function," *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin*, vol. 46, no. 181-182, pp. 129–133, 1996.
- [5] K. Iki, K. Tahata, and S. Tomizawa, "Decomposition of symmetric multivariate density function," *SUT Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 48, pp. 199–211, 2012.
- [6] K. D. Wall and G. A. Lienert, "A test for point-symmetry in J-dimensional contingency-cubes," *Biometrical Journal*, vol. 18, pp. 259–264, 1976.
- [7] S. Tomizawa, "The decompositions for point symmetry models in two-way contingency tables," *Biometrical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 895–905, 1985.
- [8] K. Tahata and S. Tomizawa, "Orthogonal decomposition of point-symmetry for multiway tables," *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 255–269, 2008.
- [9] S. Tomizawa and T. Konuma, "Decomposition of bivariate point-symmetric density function," *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin*, vol. 48, no. 189-190, pp. 21–27, 1998.
- [10] S. Kotz, N. Balakrishnan, C. B. Read, B. Vidakovic, and N. L. Johnson, *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences*, vol. 8, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [11] K. T. Fang, S. Kotz, and K. W. Ng, *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions*, Chapman and Hall, London, UK, 1990.
- [12] R. J. Muirhead, *Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2005.
- [13] Y. L. Tong, *The Multivariate Normal Distribution*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1990.
- [14] K. T. Fang and Y. T. Zhang, *Generalized Multivariate Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1990.
- [15] C. R. Heathcote, S. T. Rachev, and B. Cheng, "Testing multivariate symmetry," *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 91–112, 1995.
- [16] A. Agresti, *Categorical Data Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 3rd edition, 2013.
- [17] P. W. Holland and Y. J. Wang, "Dependence function for continuous bivariate densities," *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 863–876, 1987.
- [18] S. Kotz, N. Balakrishnan, and N. L. Johnson, *Continuous Multivariate Distributions*, vol. 1, Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2000.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

