

Research Article

Some Generalized Gronwall-Bellman Type Impulsive Integral Inequalities and Their Applications

Yuzhen Mi

Department of Mathematics, Zhanjiang Normal University, Zhanjiang, Guangdong 524048, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuzhen Mi; miyuzhen2009@126.com

Received 3 March 2014; Accepted 25 May 2014; Published 12 June 2014

Academic Editor: Hui-Shen Shen

Copyright © 2014 Yuzhen Mi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper investigates some generalized Gronwall-Bellman type impulsive integral inequalities containing integration on infinite intervals. Some new results are obtained, which generalize some existing conclusions. Our result is also applied to study a boundary value problem of differential equations with impulsive terms.

1. Introduction

It is well known that Gronwall-Bellman type integral inequalities involving functions of one and more than one independent variables play important roles in the study of existence, uniqueness, boundedness, stability, invariant manifolds, and other qualitative properties of solutions of the theory of differential and integral equations. A lot of contributions to its generalization have been archived by many researchers (see [1–14]). Pachpatte [15] especially studied the following inequality:

$$u(x) \le a(x) + \int_{x}^{\infty} b(s) u(s) ds$$
 (1)

containing integration on infinite integral and used it in the study of terminal value problems for Gronwall-Bellman type differential equations. Then, Cheung and Ma [16] generalized it into two independent variables with a nonlinear term:

$$u(x, y) \le a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} d(s, t) \omega(u(s, t)) ds dt.$$
(2)

Along the development of the theory of impulsive differential systems, more and more attention is paid to generalizations of Gronwall-Bellman's results for discontinuous functions (that is, impulsive integral inequalities) and their applications (see [17–25]). Among them, one of the important things is that Samoilenko and Perestyuk [17] considered

$$u(x) \le c + \int_{x_0}^x f(s) u(s) \, ds + \sum_{x_0 < x_i < x} \beta_i u(x_i - 0)$$
(3)

about the nonnegative piecewise continuous function u(x) where c, β_i are nonnegative constants, f(x) is a positive function, and x_i are the first kind discontinuity points of the function u(x). Then Borysenko [18] investigated integral inequalities with two independent variables:

$$u(x, y) \le a(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \tau(s, t) u(s, t) \, ds \, dt + \sum_{(x_0, y_0) < (x_i, y_i) < (x, y)} \beta_i u(x_i - 0, y_i - 0).$$
(4)

Here u(x, y) is an unknown nonnegative continuous function with the exception of the points (x_i, y_i) where there is a finite jump $u(x_i - 0, y_i - 0) \neq u(x_i + 0, y_i + 0)$ for i = 1, 2, ... In 2013, Zheng [25] considered the following delay integral inequalities containing integration on infinite intervals:

$$\begin{split} u(x) &\leq c + \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{1}(x,s) \, u(\tau(s)) \, ds \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{2}(x,s) \, \omega(u(\tau(s))) \, ds \qquad (5) \\ &+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} u(x_{i} - 0), \\ u(x,y) &\leq c + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} f_{1}(s,t) \, u(\sigma(s), \tau(t)) \, ds \, dt \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} f_{2}(s,t) \, \omega(u(\sigma(s), \tau(t))) \, ds \, dt \qquad (6) \\ &+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} u(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0) \end{split}$$

with one general nonlinear term $\omega(u)$. They assumed that $\omega \in \wp$ where the class \wp consists of all nonnegative, nondecreasing, and continuous functions $\omega(u)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(\alpha u) \leq \omega(\alpha)\omega(u)$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $u \geq 0$. Actually, when we study behaviors of solutions of differential equations with impulsive terms, ω may not satisfy the following condition: $\omega \in \wp$. For example, $\omega(u) = e^u$ does not belong to the class \wp for any $\alpha > 1$ and large u > 0. Thus, it is very interesting to avoid such conditions. Our main aim here, motivated by the work above, is to discuss the following much more general integral inequality:

$$u(x) \le a(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds$$

+
$$\sum_{x \le x_{i} \le \infty} \beta_{i} u^{m}(x_{i} - 0), \quad m > 0,$$
(7)

$$u(x, y) \leq a(x, y)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} f_{k}(x, y, s, t)$$

$$\times \omega_{k} (u(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t))) ds dt$$

$$+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} u^{m} (x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0), \quad m > 0$$
(8)

with two nonlinear terms $\omega_1(u)$ and $\omega_2(u)$ where we do not restrict ω_1 and ω_2 to the class \wp . Moreover, our main results are applied to estimate the bounds of solutions of differential equations with impulsive terms.

2. Main Results

In what follows, **R** denotes the set of real numbers, $\mathbf{R}_{+} = [0, \infty)$, and $D_1 z(x, y)$ denotes the first-order partial derivative of z(x, y) with respect to x.

Consider (7) and assume that

- $(H_1) f_k(x,s) (k = 1, 2)$ is a continuous and nonnegative function for $x, s \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and is bounded in $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ for each fixed $s \in \mathbf{R}_+$;
- $(H_2) \ \omega_1(u)$ and $\omega_2(u)$ are continuous and nonnegative functions on $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\omega_2(u)/\omega_1(u)$ is nondecreasing;
- (H_3) u(x) is a nonnegative and continuous function defined on \mathbf{R}_+ with the first kind of discontinuities at the points x_i where i = 1, 2, ..., n and $0 < x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < x_{n+1} = \infty$;
- (*H*₄) a(x) is a continuous and bounded function for $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and $a(\infty) \neq 0$; β_i is a nonnegative constant for any positive integer *i*;
- (*H*₅) $\sigma_1(x)$ and $\sigma_2(x)$ are continuous and nonnegative functions on \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\sigma_k(x) \ge x$ and $\sigma_k(x) \le x_i$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, and k = 1, 2.

Let $W_j(u) = \int_{\tilde{u}_j}^{u} (dz/\omega_j(z))$ for $u \ge \tilde{u}_j$ and j = 1, 2 where \tilde{u}_j is a given positive constant. Clearly, W_j is strictly increasing so its inverse W_j^{-1} is well defined, continuous, and increasing in its corresponding domain.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $(H_1)-(H_5)$ hold and u(x) satisfies (7) for a positive constant m. If one lets $u_{i-1}(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1$, then the estimate of u(x)is recursively given by

$$u_{i-1}(x) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_1(x,s) \, ds \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_2(x,s) \, ds \right]$$
(9)

for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$ and i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n}(x) &= \sup_{x \leq \tau < \infty} |a(\tau)|, \\ \tilde{f}_{k}(x,s) &= \sup_{x \leq \tau < \infty} f_{k}(\tau,s), \quad k = 1, 2, \\ r_{i-1}(x) &= r_{n}(x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=ik=1}^{n} \sum_{x_{j}}^{2} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} f_{k}(x,s) \,\omega_{k}\left(u_{j}(\sigma(s))\right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} u_{j}^{m}\left(x_{j} - 0\right), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \end{aligned}$$
(10)

provided that

$$W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) ds \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{1}(z)},$$
$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left(W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) ds \right) \qquad (11)$$
$$+ \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{2}(x,s) ds \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{2}(z)}.$$

Proof. From the assumptions, we know that $r_n(x)$ and $\tilde{f}_k(x,s)$ (k = 1, 2) are well defined. Moreover, $r_n(x)$ is non-negative and nonincreasing in x and $\tilde{f}_k(x,s)$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing in x and satisfies $a(x) \le r_n(x), f_k(x,s) \le \tilde{f}_k(x,s)$.

Case 1. If $x \in [x_n, \infty)$ (in fact, $x_{n+1} = \infty$), from the definition of σ_k , we have $\sigma_k(x) \in [x_n, \infty)$ (k = 1, 2). According to (7) and (10) we get

$$u(x) \le r_n(x) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_x^\infty \tilde{f}_k(x,s) \,\omega_k\left(u\left(\sigma_k(s)\right)\right) ds.$$
(12)

Take any fixed $T \in [x_n, \infty)$, and we investigate the following inequality:

$$u(x) \le r_n(T) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_x^\infty \tilde{f}_k(T,s) \,\omega_k\left(u\left(\sigma_k(s)\right)\right) ds \qquad (13)$$

for $x \in [T, \infty)$. Let

$$z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{k}(T, s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds \qquad (14)$$

and let $z(\infty) = 0$. Hence, $u(x) \le r_n(T) + z(x)$. Clearly, z(x) is a nonnegative, nonincreasing, and differentiable function for $x \in [T, \infty)$. The assumption $a(\infty) \ne 0$ yields that $r_n(T) + z(x) > 0$. Thus

$$\frac{z'(x)}{\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))} = \frac{-\tilde{f}_{1}(T, x) \omega_{1}(u(\sigma_{1}(x))) - \tilde{f}_{2}(T, x) \omega_{2}(u(\sigma_{2}(x))))}{\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))} \\
\geq \left(-\tilde{f}_{1}(T, x) \omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(\sigma_{1}(x))) - \tilde{f}_{2}(T, x) \omega_{2}(r_{n}(T) + z(\sigma_{2}(x)))\right) \\
\times \left(\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))\right)^{-1} \\
\geq -\frac{\tilde{f}_{1}(T, x) \omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))}{\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))} \\
-\frac{\tilde{f}_{2}(T, x) \omega_{2}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))}{\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))} \\
\geq -\tilde{f}_{1}(T, x) - \frac{\tilde{f}_{2}(T, x) \omega_{2}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))}{\omega_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))}.$$
(15)

Integrating both sides of the above inequality from x to ∞ , we obtain

$$W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) - W_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x))$$

$$\geq -\int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T, s) ds \qquad (16)$$

$$-\int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T, s) \phi(r_{n}(T) + z(s)) ds$$

for $x \in [T, \infty)$, where $\phi(x) = \omega_2(x)/\omega_1(x)$, so

$$W_{1}(r_{n}(T) + z(x)) \leq W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T, s) ds + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T, s) \phi(r_{n}(T) + z(s)) ds$$
(17)

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi\left(x\right) &\leq W_{1}\left(r_{n}\left(T\right)\right) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_{1}\left(T,s\right) ds \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_{2}\left(T,s\right) \phi\left(W_{1}^{-1}\left(\xi\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds \triangleq z_{1}\left(x\right), \end{aligned}$$
(18)

where

$$\xi(x) = W_1(r_n(T) + z(x)).$$
(19)

It is easy to check that $\xi(x) \leq z_1(x), z_1(\infty) = W_1(r_n(T))$ and $z_1(x)$ is differentiable, positive, and nonincreasing on $[T, \infty)$. Since $\phi(W_1^{-1}(u))$ is nondecreasing, from the assumption (H_2) , we have

$$\frac{z_{1}'(x)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x)))} = -\frac{\tilde{f}_{1}(T,x)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x)))} - \frac{\tilde{f}_{2}(T,x)\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(\xi(x)))}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x)))} \\
\geq -\frac{\tilde{f}_{1}(T,x)}{\phi[W_{1}^{-1}(W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{x}^{\infty}\tilde{f}_{1}(T,s)ds)]} - \tilde{f}_{2}(T,x).$$
(20)

Note that

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{z_{1}'(s)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s)))} ds$$

$$= \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{1}(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s)))z_{1}'(s)}{\omega_{2}(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s)))} ds$$

$$= W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(\infty)) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x))$$

$$= W_{2}(r_{n}(T)) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x)).$$
(21)

Integrating both sides of (20) from x to ∞ , we obtain

$$W_{2}(r_{n}(T)) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x))$$

$$= \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{z_{1}'(s)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s)))} ds$$

$$\geq -\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{f}_{1}(T,s)}{\phi[W_{1}^{-1}(W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{s}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T,\tau) d\tau)]} ds$$

$$-\int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T,s) ds$$

$$\geq -W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T,s) ds\right)$$

$$+ W_{2}(r_{n}(T)) - \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T,s) ds.$$
(22)

Thus,

$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x))$$

$$\leq W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}(W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T,s) ds) \qquad (23)$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T,s) ds.$$

We have by (11)

$$u(x) \leq z(x) + r_{n}(T)$$

$$\leq W_{1}^{-1}(\xi(x)) \leq W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x))$$

$$\leq W_{2}^{-1}\left[W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}(r_{n}(T)) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(T,s) ds\right) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(T,s) ds\right].$$
(24)

Since the inequality above is true for any $x \in [T, \infty)$, we obtain

$$u(T) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1(r_n(T)) + \int_T^{\infty} \tilde{f}_1(T,s) \, ds \right) + \int_T^{\infty} \tilde{f}_2(T,s) \, ds \right].$$
(25)

Replacing *T* by *x* and ∞ by x_{n+1} yields

$$\begin{split} u(x) &\leq W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_n(x) \right) + \int_x^{x_{n+1}} \tilde{f}_1(x,s) \, ds \right) \right. \\ &+ \int_x^{x_{n+1}} \tilde{f}_2(x,s) \, ds \right]. \end{split}$$
(26)

This means that (9) is true for $x \in [x_n, \infty)$ if we replace u(x) with $u_n(x)$.

Case 2. If $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n)$, (7) becomes

$$u(x) \leq r_{n}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x_{n}}^{x_{n+1}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u_{n}(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds + \beta_{n}u_{n}^{m}(x_{n}-0) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{n}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds \leq r_{n-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{n}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds,$$
(27)

where the definition of $r_{n-1}(x)$ is given in (10), which is similar to (12). Then, we obtain

$$u(x) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_{n-1} \left(x \right) \right) + \int_x^{x_n} \tilde{f}_1 \left(x, s \right) ds \right) + \int_x^{x_n} \tilde{f}_2 \left(x, s \right) ds \right].$$
(28)

This implies that (9) is true for $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n)$ if we replace u(x) by $u_{n-1}(x)$.

Case 3. If (7) is true for $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1})$, that is,

$$u_{i}(x) \leq W_{2}^{-1} \left[W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left(W_{1}(r_{i}(x)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i+1}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) \, ds \right) + \int_{x}^{x_{i+1}} \tilde{f}_{2}(x,s) \, ds \right],$$
(29)

then, for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, (7) becomes

$$u(x) \leq r_{n}(x) + \sum_{j=i}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k} (u_{j}(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds + \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} u_{j}^{m} (x_{j} - 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{i}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k} (u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds \leq r_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{i}} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k} (u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds,$$
(30)

where we use the fact that the estimate of u(x) is already known for $x \in [x_j, x_{j+1})$, j = i, i + 1, ..., n. By assumption

(29), again (30) is the same as (27) if we replace $r_{n-1}(x)$ by $r_{i-1}(x)$ and x_n by x_i . Thus, by (28), we have

$$u(x) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_1(x,s) \, ds \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_2(x,s) \, ds \right].$$
(31)

This completes the proof of Theorem 1 by mathematical induction. $\hfill \Box$

Remark 2. Zheng [25] investigated (5) which is the special case of (7). His results are under the assumptions that a(x) = c, $f_1(x, s)$, $f_2(x, s)$ are decreasing in *s* for every fixed *s* and $\omega \in \rho$. In our result, these assumptions are avoided.

Consider the inequality

$$\varphi(u(x)) \le a(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds$$

+
$$\sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi(u(x_{i} - 0)), \qquad (32)$$

which looks much more complicated than (7).

Corollary 3. In addition to the assumptions $(H_1)-(H_5)$, suppose that $\psi(u)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$, $\varphi(u)$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, and u(x) satisfies (32). If one lets $u_{i-1}(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, then the estimate of u(x) is recursively given by

$$\begin{split} u_{i-1}(x) &\leq \varphi^{-1} \left\{ W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_1(x,s) \, ds \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_2(x,s) \, ds \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$
(33)

where $W_j(u) = \int_{\tilde{u}_j}^{u} (dz/\omega_j(\varphi^{-1}(z))), r_n(x), and \tilde{f}_k(x,s)$ are given in Theorem 1 and $r_{i-1}(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i-1}(x) &= r_n(x) + \sum_{j=i}^n \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} f_k(x,s) \,\omega_k\left(u_j\left(\sigma_k\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^n \beta_j \psi\left(u_j\left(x_j-0\right)\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$
(34)

provided that

$$W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) ds \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{1}(z)},$$
$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) ds\right) \qquad (35)$$
$$+ \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \tilde{f}_{2}(x,s) ds \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{2}(z)}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(u(x)) = h(x)$. Since the function φ is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, its inverse φ^{-1} is well defined. And (32) becomes

$$h(x) \leq a(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k} \left(\varphi^{-1} \left(h\left(\sigma_{k}(s)\right)\right)\right) ds$$

+
$$\sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi \left(\varphi^{-1} \left(h\left(x_{i}-0\right)\right)\right).$$
(36)

Let $\widetilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$; (36) becomes

$$h(x) \le a(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(x,s) \widetilde{\omega}_{k}(h(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds + \sum_{x \le x_{i} \le \infty} \beta_{i} \widetilde{\psi}(h(x_{i}-0)).$$

$$(37)$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\psi}(u) > 0$, $\tilde{\omega}_1(u)$ and $\tilde{\omega}_2(u)$ are continuous and nonnegative functions on $[0, \infty)$, and $\tilde{\omega}_2(u)/\tilde{\omega}_1(u)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Even though $\tilde{\psi}(u)$ is much more general, using the same way in Theorem 1, for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, we can obtain the estimate of u(x):

 $u_{i-1}\left(x\right)$

$$\leq \varphi^{-1} \left\{ W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1} \left(x \right) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_1 \left(x, s \right) ds \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_2 \left(x, s \right) ds \right] \right\}.$$
(38)

This completes the proof of Corollary 3.

If $\varphi(u) = u^{\lambda}$ where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, we can study the inequality

$$u^{\lambda}(x) \leq a(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(x,s) \omega_{k}(u(\sigma_{k}(s))) ds + \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi(u(x_{i}-0)).$$

$$(39)$$

According to Corollary 3, we have the following result.

Corollary 4. In addition to the assumptions (H_1) – (H_5) , suppose that $\psi(u)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$ and u(x) satisfies (39).

If one lets $u_{i-1}(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, then the estimate of u(x) is recursively given by

$$u_{i-1}(x) \leq \left\{ W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_1(x,s) \, ds \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. + \left. \int_x^{x_i} \tilde{f}_2(x,s) \, ds \right] \right\}^{1/\lambda},$$
(40)

where $W_j(u) = \int_{\widetilde{u}_j}^u (dz/\omega(z^{1/\lambda})), r_n(x), r_{i-1}(x), and \tilde{f}_k(x, s)$ are given in Corollary 3.

Let

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i,j \ge 1} \Omega_{ij},$$

$$\Omega_{ij} = \{ (x, y) : x_{i-1} \le x < x_i, y_{j-1} \le y < y_j \},$$
(41)

for *i*, *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n* + 1, $0 < x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < x_{n+1} = \infty$, and $0 < y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n < y_{n+1} = \infty$. Consider (8) and assume that

- (*C*₁) $f_k(x, y, s, t)$ (k = 1, 2) is continuous and nonnegative on $\Omega \times \Omega$ and bounded in $(x, y) \in \Omega$ for each fixed $(s, t) \in \Omega$ and satisfies $f_k(x, y, s, t) = 0$ (k = 1, 2) if
- (s,t) ∈ Ω_{ij}, i ≠ j for arbitrary i, j = 1, 2, ..., n + 1;
 (C₂) ω₁(u) and ω₂(u) are continuous and nonnegative functions on [0,∞) and are positive on (0,∞) such that ω₂(u)/ω₁(u) is nondecreasing;
- (*C*₃) u(x, y) is nonnegative and continuous on Ω with the exception of the points (x_i, y_i) where there is a finite jump: $u(x_i 0, y_i 0) \neq u(x_i + 0, y_i + 0)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n;
- $(C_4) \ a(x, y)$ is continuous and bounded for $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and $a(\infty, \infty) \neq 0$; β_i is a nonnegative constant for any positive integer *i*;
- (*C*₅) $\sigma_k(x)$ and $\tau_k(y)$ (k = 1, 2) are continuous and nonnegative such that $\sigma_k(x) \ge x$ and $\sigma_k(x) \le x_i$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1$, and $\tau_k(y) \ge y$ and $\tau_k(y) \le y_i$ for $y \in [y_{i-1}, y_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $(C_1)-(C_5)$ hold and u(x, y) satisfies (8) for a positive constant m. If one lets $u_i(x, y) = u(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, then the estimate of u(x, y) is recursively given by

$$u_{i-1}(x, y) \leq W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \times \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x, y) \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_1(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_2(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right],$$
(42)

for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n}(x, y) &= \sup_{x \leq \xi < \infty} \sup_{y \leq \eta < \infty} |a(\xi, \eta)|, \\ \tilde{f}_{k}(x, y, s, t) &= \sup_{x \leq \xi < \infty} \sup_{y \leq \eta < \infty} f_{k}(\xi, \eta, s, t), \\ r_{i-1}(x, y) \\ &= r_{n}(x, y) \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} \int_{y_{j}}^{y_{j+1}} f_{k}(x, y, s, t) \omega_{k}(u_{j}(s, t)) ds dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} u_{j}^{m}(x_{j} - 0, y_{j} - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(43)$$

provided that

$$W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x, y)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{1}(z)},$$

$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left[W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x, y)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right]$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{2}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{2}(z)}.$$
(44)

Proof. Obviously, for any $(x, y) \in \Omega$, $r_n(x, y)$ is positive and nonincreasing with respect to x and y; $\tilde{f}_k(x, y, s, t)$ (k = 1, 2) is nonnegative and nonincreasing with respect to x and y for each fixed s and t. They satisfy $a(x, y) \leq r_n(x, y)$ and $f_k(x, y, s, t) \leq \tilde{f}_k(x, y, s, t)$.

Case 1. If $(x, y) \in \Omega_{n+1,n+1} = \{(x, y) : x_n \le x < x_{n+1}, y_n \le y < y_{n+1}\}$, we have from (8)

$$u(x, y) \leq r_n(x, y) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty \tilde{f}_k(x, y, s, t) \omega_k$$

$$\times (u(\sigma_k(s), \tau_k(t))) \, ds \, dt.$$
(45)

Take any fixed $\tilde{x} \in [x_n, \infty)$, $\tilde{y} \in [y_n, \infty)$, and for arbitrary $x \in [\tilde{x}, \infty)$, $y \in [\tilde{y}, \infty)$, we get

$$u(x, y) \leq r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$$

+ $\sum_{k=1}^2 \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \omega_k$ (46)
 $\times (u(\sigma_k(s), \tau_k(t))) ds dt.$

Let

$$z(x, y) = r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \omega_k$$

$$\times (u(\sigma_k(s), \tau_k(t))) \, ds \, dt$$
(47)

and let $z(\infty, y) = r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$. Hence, $u(x, y) \le z(x, y)$. Clearly, z(x, y) is a nonnegative, nonincreasing, and differentiable function for $x \in [\tilde{x}, \infty)$ and $y \in [\tilde{y}, \infty)$. Since $a(\infty, \infty) \ne 0$ and $\omega_1(z(x, y)) > 0$, we have

$$\frac{D_{1}z(x,y)}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} = -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{1}(u(\sigma_{1}(x),\tau_{1}(t))) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
-\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{2}(u(\sigma_{2}(x),\tau_{2}(t))) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
\geq -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{1}(z(\sigma_{1}(x),\tau_{1}(t))) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
-\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{2}(z(\sigma_{2}(x),\tau_{2}(t))) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
\geq -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{1}(z(x,t)) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
-\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \omega_{2}(z(x,t)) dt}{\omega_{1}(z(x,y))} \\
\geq -\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt \\
-\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \frac{\omega_{2}(z(x,t))}{\omega_{1}(z(x,t))} dt.$$
(48)

Integrating both sides of the above inequality from x to ∞ , we obtain

$$W_{1}(z(\infty, y)) - W_{1}(z(x, y))$$

$$\geq -\int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \, ds \, dt \qquad (49)$$

$$-\int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \, \frac{\omega_{2}(z(s, t))}{\omega_{1}(z(s, t))} ds \, dt.$$

Thus,

$$W_{1}(z(x, y)) \leq W_{1}(r_{n}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) ds dt$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \phi(z(s, t)) ds dt$$
(50)

for $\tilde{x} \le x < \infty$ and $\tilde{y} \le y < \infty$, where $\phi(u) = \omega_2(u)/\omega_1(u)$, or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \xi\left(x,y\right) &\leq W_{1}\left(r_{n}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}\right)\right) \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t\right) ds dt \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t\right) \phi\left(W_{1}^{-1}\left(\xi\left(s,t\right)\right)\right) ds dt \\ &\triangleq z_{1}\left(x,y\right), \end{aligned}$$
(51)

where

$$\xi(x, y) = W_1(z(x, y)).$$
(52)

It is easy to check that $\xi(x, y) \leq z_1(x, y), z_1(\infty, y) = W_1(r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))$, and $z_1(x, y)$ is differentiable, positive, and nonincreasing on $[\tilde{x}, \infty)$ and $[\tilde{y}, \infty)$. Since $\phi(W_1^{-1}(u))$ is nondecreasing, from assumption (C_2) , we have

$$\frac{D_{1}z_{1}(x,y)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x,y)))} = -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x,y)))} \\ = -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \phi(W_{1}^{-1}(\xi(x,t))) dt}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x,y)))} \\ \ge -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt}{\phi\left[W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}(r_{n}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t) ds dt\right)\right]} \\ -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) \phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x,t))) dt}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(x,y)))} \\ \ge -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt (W_{1}^{-1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt) \\ -\frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt}{\phi\left[W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}(r_{n}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t) ds dt\right)\right]} \\ -\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},x,t) dt. \tag{53}$$

Note that

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{D_{1}z_{1}(s, y)}{\phi(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s, y)))} ds$$

=
$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{D_{1}z_{1}(s, y)\omega_{1}(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s, y)))}{\omega_{2}(W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(s, y)))} ds$$

=
$$\int_{W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(\infty, y))}^{W_{1}^{-1}(z_{1}(\infty, y))} \frac{du}{\omega_{2}(u)}$$

$$= W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} (z_{1} (\infty, y)) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} (z_{1} (x, y))$$

$$= W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} (W_{1} (r_{n} (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} (z_{1} (x, y))$$

$$= W_{2} (r_{n} (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) - W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} (z_{1} (x, y)).$$

(54)

Integrating both sides of (53) from *x* to ∞ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} W_{2}\left(r_{n}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}\right)\right) &- W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}\left(z_{1}\left(x,y\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{D_{1}z_{1}\left(s,y\right)}{\phi\left(W_{1}^{-1}\left(z_{1}\left(s,y\right)\right)\right)} ds \\ &\geq -\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t) dt}{\phi\left[W_{1}^{-1}\left(W_{1}\left(r_{n}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}\right)\right) + \int_{s}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tau,t\right) d\tau dt\right)\right]} ds \\ &- \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t\right) ds dt \\ &\geq -W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1}\left[W_{1}\left(r_{n}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}\right)\right) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t\right) ds dt\right] \\ &+ W_{2}\left(r_{n}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}\right)\right) - \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2}\left(\tilde{x},\tilde{y},s,t\right) ds dt. \end{split}$$

$$(55)$$

Thus,

$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left(z_{1} \left(x, y \right) \right)$$

$$\leq W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left[W_{1} \left(r_{n} \left(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \right) \right) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{1} \left(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t \right) ds dt \right]$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{2} \left(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t \right) ds dt.$$
(56)

Hence,

$$u(x, y) \leq z(x, y) \leq W_1^{-1}(\xi(x, y)) \leq W_1^{-1}(z_1(x, y))$$

$$\leq W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \times \left(W_1(r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) + \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) + \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} \tilde{f}_2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right].$$
(57)

Since the above inequality is true for any $x \in [\tilde{x}, \infty), y \in [\tilde{y}, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} u\left(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\right) &\leq W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(W_1(r_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) + \int_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{y}}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{y}}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_2\left(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s, t\right) \, ds \, dt \right]. \end{split}$$

$$(58)$$

Replacing \tilde{x} , \tilde{y} , and ∞ by x, y, and x_{n+1} , respectively, yields

$$u(x, y) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \times \left(W_1(r_n(x, y)) + \int_x^{x_{n+1}} \int_y^{y_{n+1}} \tilde{f}_1(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) + \int_x^{x_{n+1}} \int_y^{y_{n+1}} \tilde{f}_2(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right].$$
(59)

This means that (42) is true for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{n+1,n+1}$ and i = n if we replace u(x, y) with $u_n(x, y)$.

Case 2. If $(x, y) \in \Omega_{n,n} = \{(x, y) : x_{n-1} \le x < x_n, y_{n-1} \le y < y_n\}$, (8) becomes

$$\begin{split} u(x, y) &\leq r_{n}(x, y) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x_{n}}^{x_{n+1}} \int_{y_{n}}^{y_{n+1}} f_{k}(x, y, s, t) \,\omega_{k} \\ &\times (u_{n}(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t))) \,ds \,dt \\ &+ \beta_{n} u_{n}^{m}(x_{n} - 0, y_{n} - 0) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{n}} \int_{y}^{y_{n}} f_{k}(x, y, s, t) \,\omega_{k} \\ &\times (u(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t))) \,ds \,dt \\ &\leq r_{n-1}(x, y) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{n}} \int_{y}^{y_{n}} f_{k}(x, y, s, t) \,\omega_{k} \\ &\times (u(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t))) \,ds \,dt, \end{split}$$
(60)

where the definition of $r_{n-1}(x, y)$ is given in (43). Note that the estimate of $u_n(x, y)$ is known. Clearly, (60) is the same as (45)

if we replace $r_n(x, y)$ and (∞, ∞) by $r_{n-1}(x, y)$ and (x_n, y_n) . Thus, by (59), we have

$$u(x, y) \leq W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \times \left(W_1(r_{n-1}(x, y)) + \int_x^{x_n} \int_y^{y_n} \tilde{f}_1(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) + \int_x^{x_n} \int_y^{y_n} \tilde{f}_2(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right].$$
(61)

This implies that (42) is true for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{n,n}$ and i = n - 1 if we replace u(x, y) by $u_{n-1}(x, y)$.

Case 3. Assume that (42) is true for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{i+1,i+1} = \{(x, y) : x_i \le x < x_{i+1}, y_i \le y < y_{i+1}\}$. Then for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{i,i} = \{(x, y) : x_{i-1} \le x < x_i, y_{i-1} \le y < y_i\}$, (8) becomes

$$\begin{split} u\left(x,y\right) &\leq r_{n}\left(x,y\right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \int_{y_{i}}^{y_{i+1}} f_{k}\left(x,y,s,t\right) \omega_{k} \\ &\times \left(u_{j}\left(\sigma_{k}\left(s\right),\tau_{k}\left(t\right)\right)\right) ds \, dt \end{split}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} u_{j}^{m} \left(x_{j} - 0, y_{j} - 0 \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} f_{k} \left(x, y, s, t \right) \omega_{k}$$
$$\times \left(u \left(\sigma_{k} \left(s \right), \tau_{k} \left(t \right) \right) \right) ds dt$$
$$\leq r_{i-1} \left(x, y \right)$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{2}\int_{x}^{x_{i}}\int_{y}^{y_{i}}f_{k}(x, y, s, t)\omega_{k}$$
$$\times (u(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t))) ds dt,$$
(62)

where we use the fact that the estimate of u(x, y) is already known for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{jj}$, j = i, ..., n. Again, (62) is the same as (60) if we replace $r_{n-1}(x, y)$ and (x_n, y_n) by $r_{i-1}(x, y)$ and (x_i, y_i) . Thus, by (61), we have

$$u(x, y) \le W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \times \left(W_1(r_{i-1}(x, y)) + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_1(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right) + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_2(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right].$$
(63)

This yields that (42) is true for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{i,i}$ if we replace u(x, y) by $u_{i-1}(x, y)$. By mathematical induction, we know that (42) holds for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{i,i}$ for any nonnegative integer *i*. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark 6. (1) If a(x, y) is nonincreasing in each variable $x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and we take $f_1(x, y, s, t) = b(x, y)c(s, t)$, $f_2(x, y, s, t) = 0$, $\sigma_k(x) = x$, $\tau_k(y) = y$, and u(x, y) being continuous on \mathbf{R}^2_+ , then (8) reduces to (2) and Theorem 1 becomes Theorem 2.2 in [16].

(2) Zheng [25] investigated (6) which is the special case of (8). His results are under the assumptions that a(x, y) = c, $f_k(x, y, s, t) = f_k(s, t)$, and $\omega \in \rho$. In our results, these assumptions are avoided.

Consider the inequality

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq a(x, y) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} f_{k}(x, y, s, t) \omega_{k} + \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi(u(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0)),$$

$$(64)$$

$$+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi(u(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0)),$$

which looks much more complicated than (8).

Corollary 7. In addition to the assumptions $(C_1)-(C_5)$, suppose that $\psi(u)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$, $\varphi(u)$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, and u(x, y) satisfies (64) for a positive constant m. If one lets $u_i(x, y) = u(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$, then the estimate of u(x, y) is recursively given by

$$\begin{split} u_{i-1}(x,y) &\leq \varphi^{-1} \left\{ W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1}(x,y) \right) \right. \\ & + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_1(x,y,s,t) \, ds \, dt \right) \\ & + \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_2(x,y,s,t) \, ds \, dt \left. \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$
(65)

where $W_j(u) = \int_{\tilde{u}_j}^{u} (dz/\omega_j(\varphi^{-1}(z))), r_n(x, y), and \tilde{f}_k(x, y, s, t)$ are given in Theorem 5; $r_{i-1}(x, y)$ is defined as follows:

$$r_{i-1}(x, y) = r_n(x, y) + \sum_{j=i}^n \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} f_k(x, y, s, t) \omega_k \times (u_j(s, t)) \, ds \, dt + \sum_{j=i}^n \beta_j \psi \left(u_j \left(x_j - 0, y_j - 0 \right) \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
(66)

provided that

$$W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x, y)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{1}(z)},$$

$$W_{2} \circ W_{1}^{-1} \left[W_{1}(r_{i-1}(x, y)) + \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{1}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \right]$$

$$+ \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} \tilde{f}_{2}(x, y, s, t) \, ds \, dt \leq \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\omega_{2}(z)}.$$
(67)

The proof is similar to Corollary 3.

If $\varphi(u) = u^{\lambda}$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, we can study the inequality

$$u^{\lambda}(x, y) \leq a(x, y)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} f_{k}(x, y, s, t)$$

$$\times \omega_{k} \left(u\left(\sigma_{k}(s), \tau_{k}(t)\right) \right) ds dt$$

$$+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} \psi \left(u\left(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0\right) \right).$$
(68)

According to Corollary 7, we have the following result.

Corollary 8. In addition to the assumptions $(C_1)-(C_5)$, suppose that $\psi(u)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$ and u(x, y) satisfies (68) for a positive constant m. If one lets $u_i(x, y) = u(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$, then the estimate of u(x, y) is recursively given by

$$\begin{split} u_{i-1}(x,y) &\leq \left\{ W_2^{-1} \left[W_2 \circ W_1^{-1} \right] \\ &\times \left(W_1 \left(r_{i-1} \left(x, y \right) \right) \right. \\ &+ \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_1 \left(x, y, s, t \right) ds dt \right) \\ &+ \left. \int_x^{x_i} \int_y^{y_i} \tilde{f}_2 \left(x, y, s, t \right) ds dt \right] \right\}^{1/\lambda}, \end{split}$$
(69)

where $W_j(u) = \int_{\tilde{u}_j}^{u} (dz/\omega(z^{1/\lambda})), r_n(x, y), r_{i-1}(x, y), and$ $\tilde{f}_k(x, y, s, t)$ are given in Corollary 7.

3. Applications

Example 9. Consider the following impulsive differential equation:

$$\frac{dg}{dx} = F(x,g), \quad x \neq x_i, \tag{70}$$

$$\Delta g|_{x=x_i} = I_i(x), \qquad g(\infty) = \theta \neq 0, \tag{71}$$

where $g : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, $F : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$, $I_i : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ and i = 1, 2,..., n, $0 < x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < x_{n+1} = \infty$. Here, θ is a constant.

Assume that

- $\begin{aligned} (A_1) \ |F(x,g)| &\leq h_1(x)e^{|g|} + h_2(x)e^{2|g|} \text{ where } h_1 \text{ and } h_2 \text{ are} \\ \text{nonnegative, bounded, and continuous on } \mathbf{R}^+; \end{aligned}$
- $(A_2) |I_i(g)| \leq \beta_i |g|^m$ where β_i and *m* are nonnegative constants.

Theorem 10. Suppose that (A_1) and (A_2) hold. If one lets $g_{i-1}(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, then the solution of (70) has an estimate for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$:

$$|g_{i-1}(x)| \le -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x)} - \int_{x}^{x_{i}} h_{1}(s) \, ds \right)^{2} - 2 \int_{x}^{x_{i}} h_{2}(s) \, ds \right],$$
(72)

where $r_n(x) = |\theta|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i-1}(x) &= r_n(x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^n \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} h_1(s) e^{|g_j(s)|} ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^n \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} h_2(s) e^{2|g_j(s)|} ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^n \beta_j |g_j(x_j - 0)|^m, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x)} - 2 \int_x^{x_i} h_1(s) ds \right)^2 - 2 \int_x^{x_i} h_2(s) ds > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating (70) from x to ∞ and using the initial conditions (71), we get

$$g(x) = \theta - \int_{x}^{\infty} F(s,g) \, ds - \sum_{x < x_i < \infty} I_i\left(g\left(x_i - 0\right)\right), \quad (74)$$

which implies that

$$|g(x)| \le |\theta| + \int_{x}^{\infty} h_{1}(s) e^{|g(s)|} ds + \int_{x}^{\infty} h_{2}(s) e^{2|g(s)|} ds + \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} |g(x_{i} - 0)|^{m}.$$
(75)

Let

$$u(x) = |g(x)|, \qquad a(x) = |\theta|, \qquad \sigma_1(x) = \sigma_2(x) = x,$$

$$f_1(x,s) = h_1(s), \qquad f_2(x,s) = h_2(s), \qquad \omega_1(u) = e^u,$$

$$\omega_2(u) = e^{2u}.$$
(76)

Thus, (75) is the same as (7). It is easy to obtain that for any positive constants \tilde{u}_1 and \tilde{u}_2

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n}(x) &= |\theta|, \qquad \tilde{f}_{1}(x,s) = h_{1}(s), \qquad \tilde{f}_{2}(x,s) = h_{2}(s), \\ W_{1}(u) &= \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{u} \frac{dz}{\omega_{1}(z)} = \int_{\tilde{u}_{1}}^{u} e^{-z} dz = e^{-\tilde{u}_{1}} - e^{-u}, \\ W_{1}^{-1}(u) &= -\ln\left(e^{-\tilde{u}_{1}} - u\right), \\ W_{2}(u) &= \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{u} \frac{dz}{\omega_{2}(z)} = \int_{\tilde{u}_{2}}^{u} e^{-2z} dz = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-2\tilde{u}_{2}} - e^{-2u}\right), \\ W_{2}^{-1}(u) &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(e^{-2\tilde{u}_{2}} - 2u\right), \\ r_{i-1}(x) &= r_{n}(x) + \sum_{j=i}^{n} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} h_{1}(s) e^{|g_{j}(s)|} ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} h_{2}(s) e^{2|g_{j}(s)|} ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} \left| g_{j}\left(x_{j} - 0\right) \right|^{m}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(77)$$

Therefore, for any nonnegative *i* and $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$

$$|g_{i-1}(x)| \le -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x)} - \int_{x}^{x_{i}} h_{1}(s) \, ds \right)^{2} - 2 \int_{x}^{x_{i}} h_{2}(s) \, ds \right],$$
(78)

provided that

$$\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x)} - 2\int_{x}^{x_{i}}h_{1}(s)\,ds\right)^{2} - 2\int_{x}^{x_{i}}h_{2}(s)\,ds > 0.$$
(79)

Example 11. Consider the following partial differential equation with an impulsive term:

$$\frac{\partial^2 v(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y} = H(x, y, v(x, y)),$$

$$(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}, \quad x \neq x_i, \quad y \neq y_i,$$

$$\Delta v|_{x=x_i, y=y_i} = I_i(v),$$
(80)

$$v(x,\infty) = \phi_1(x), \qquad v(\infty, y) = \phi_2(y),$$
$$\phi_1(\infty) = \phi_2(\infty) \neq 0,$$

where $v : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}, H : \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{R}, I_i : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

Assume that

 $\begin{array}{l} (B_1) \ |H(x, y, v(x, y))| \leq h_1(x, y)e^{|v(x, y)|} + h_2(x, y)e^{2|v(x, y)|} \\ \text{where } h_1, h_2 \text{ are nonnegative, bounded, and continuous on } \Omega, \ h_1(x, y) = 0, \ h_2(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } (x, y) \in \Omega_{ij}, \ i \neq j, \ i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1; \end{array}$

 $(B_2) |I_i(v)| \leq \beta_i |v|^m$ where β_i and *m* are nonnegative constants.

Theorem 12. Suppose that (B_1) and (B_2) hold. If one lets $v_i(x, y) = v(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$, then the solution of system (80) has an estimate for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$:

$$|v_{i}(x, y)| \leq -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x, y)} - \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{1}(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right)^{2} -2 \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{2}(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right],$$
(81)

where

$$r_{n}(x, y) = \sup_{x \leq \xi < \infty} \sup_{y \leq \eta < \infty} |\phi_{1}(\xi) + \phi_{2}(\eta) - \phi_{1}(\infty)| > 0,$$

$$r_{i-1}(x, y) = r_{n}(x, y)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} \int_{y_{j}}^{y_{j+1}} h_{1}(s, t) e^{|v_{j}(s, t)|} ds dt$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} \int_{y_{j}}^{y_{j+1}} h_{2}(s, t) e^{2|v_{j}(s, t)|} ds dt$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_{j} |v_{j}(x_{j} - 0, y_{j} - 0)|^{m},$$

$$\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x, y)} - \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{1}(s, t) ds dt\right)^{2}$$

$$- 2 \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{2}(s, t) ds dt > 0.$$

(82)

Proof. The solution of (80) with an initial value is given by

$$v(x, y) = v(x, \infty) + v(\infty, y) - v(\infty, \infty) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} H(s, t, v(s, t)) ds dt + \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} I_{i} (v(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0)) = \phi_{1}(x) + \phi_{2}(y) - \phi_{1}(\infty) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} H(s, t, v(s, t)) ds dt + \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} I_{i} (v(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0)),$$
(83)

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x,y)| &\leq |\phi_{1}(x) + \phi_{2}(y) - \phi_{1}(\infty)| \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} h_{1}(s,t) e^{|v(s,t)|} ds dt \\ &+ \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} h_{2}(s,t) e^{2|v(s,t)|} ds dt \\ &+ \sum_{x < x_{i} < \infty, y < y_{i} < \infty} \beta_{i} |v(x_{i} - 0, y_{i} - 0)|^{m}. \end{aligned}$$
(84)

Similar to Theorem 10, we can obtain, for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{ii}$,

$$|v_{i}(x,y)| \leq -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(e^{-r_{i-1}(x,y)} - \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{1}(s,t) \, ds \, dt \right)^{2} -2 \int_{x}^{x_{i}} \int_{y}^{y_{i}} h_{2}(s,t) \, ds \, dt \right].$$
(85)

Remark 13. From Examples 9 and 11, we know that $\omega_1(u) = e^u$. Clearly, $\omega_1(2u) = e^{2u} \le \omega_1(2)\omega_1(u) = e^2e^u$ does not hold for large u > 0. Thus, $\omega_1(u) = e^u$ does not belong to class \wp in [25]. Hence, the results in [25] can not be applied to inequality (75).

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11371314), Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (no. S2013010015957), and the Project of the Department of Education of Guangdong Province, China (no. 2012KJCX0074).

References

- R. P. Agarwal, S. F. Deng, and W. N. Zhang, "Generalization of a retarded Gronwall-like inequality and its applications," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 165, no. 3, pp. 599–612, 2005.
- [2] W. S. Cheung, "Some new nonlinear inequalities and applications to boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 2112–2128, 2006.
- [3] S. F. Deng, "Nonlinear discrete inequalities with two variables and their applications," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 5, pp. 2217–2225, 2010.
- [4] S. F. Deng and C. Prather, "Generalization of an impulsive nonlinear singular Gronwall-Bihari inequality with delay," *Journal* of *Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 2, article 34, 11 pages, 2008.

- [5] F. W. Meng and W. N. Li, "On some new integral inequalities and their applications," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 381–392, 2004.
- [6] S. B. Pachpatte and B. G. Pachpatte, "Inequalities for terminal value problems for differential equations," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 199–208, 2002.
- [7] Y. Wu, X. P. Li, and S. F. Deng, "Nonlinear delay discrete inequalities and their applications to Volterra type difference equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2010, no. 1, Article ID 795145, 14 pages, 2010.
- [8] Y. Wu, "Nonlinear discrete inequalities of Bihari-type and applications," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 603–614, 2013.
- [9] Y. Yan, "Nonlinear Gronwall-Bellman type integral inequalities with maxima in two variable," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 853476, 10 pages, 2013.
- [10] K. L. Zheng, Y. Wu, and S. F. Deng, "Nonlinear integral inequalities in two independent variables and their applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 32949, 13 pages, 2007.
- [11] K. L. Zheng, "On nonlinear sum-difference inequality with two variables and application to BVP," *Studies in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 124–134, 2011.
- [12] K. L. Zheng and S. M. Zhong, "Nonlinear sum-difference inequalities with two variables," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 140–147, 2010.
- [13] W. S. Wang and C. X. Shen, "On a generalized retarded integral inequality with two variables," *Journal of Inequalities* and Applications, vol. 2008, Article ID 518646, 9 pages, 2008.
- [14] W. S. Wang, "A generalized retarded Gronwall-like inequality in two variables and applications to BVP," *Applied Mathematics* and Computation, vol. 191, no. 1, pp. 144–154, 2007.
- [15] B. G. Pachpatte, Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations, vol. 197 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- [16] W. S. Cheung and Q. H. Ma, "On certain new Gronwall-Ou-Iang type integral inequalities in two variables and their applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2005, no. 4, Article ID 541438, 2005.
- [17] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk, *Differential Equations with Impulse Effect*, Visha Shkola, Kyiv, Ukraine, 1987.
- [18] S. D. Borysenko, "Integro-sum inequalities for functions of several independent variables," *Differential Equations*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1634–1641, 1989.
- [19] S. D. Borysenko, M. Ciarletta, and G. Iovane, "Integro-sum inequalities and motion stability of systems with impulse perturbations," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 417–428, 2005.
- [20] S. Borysenko and G. Iovane, "About some new integral inequalities of Wendroff type for discontinuous functions," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 2190–2203, 2007.
- [21] S. D. Borysenko, G. Iovane, and P. Giordano, "Investigations of the properties motion for essential nonlinear systems perturbed by impulses on some hypersurfaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 345–363, 2005.
- [22] S. D. Borysenko and S. Toscano, "Impulsive differential systems: the problem of stability and practical stability," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 12, pp. e1843–e1849, 2009.
- [23] G. Iovane, "On Gronwall-Bellman-Bihari type integral inequalities in several variables with retardation for discontinuous functions," *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1331–4343, 2008.

Journal of Applied Mathematics

- [24] G. Angela and M. Anna, "On some generalizations Bellman-Bihari result for integro-functional inequalities for discontinuous functions and their applications," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, no. 1, Article ID 808124, 2009.
- [25] B. Zheng, "Some generalized Gronwall-Bellman type nonlinear delay integral inequalities for discontinuous functions," *Journal* of *Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 297, 12 pages, 2013.



The Scientific World Journal





Decision Sciences







Journal of Probability and Statistics



Hindawi Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



(0,1),

International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society







Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization