# Accepted Manuscript

A Systematic Review and International Web-Based Survey of randomized controlled trials in the perioperative and critical care setting: Interventions reducing mortality.

Chiara Sartini MD, Vladimir Lomivorotov MD, Pieri Marina MD, Juan Carlos Lopez Delgado MD, Baiardo Redaelli Martina MD, Ludhmila Hajjar MD, Antonio Pisano MD, Valery Likhvantsev MD, Evgeny Fominskiy MD, Nikola Bradic MD, Cabrini Luca MD, Maxim Novikov MD, Avancini Daniele PhD, Riha Hynek MD, Rosalba Lembo MSc, Gordana Gazivoda MD, Gianluca Paternoster MD, Chengbin Wang MD, Simona Tamà MD, Gabriele Alvaro MD, Wang Chew Yin MD, Agostino Roasio MD, Ruggeri Laura MD, Chow-Yen Yong MD, Pasero Daniela MD, Luca Severi MD, Pasin Laura MD, Giuseppe Mancino MD, Paolo Mura MD, Mario Musu MD, Spadaro Savino MD, Massimiliano Conte MD, Lobreglio Rosetta MD, Silvetti Simona MD, Votta Carmine Domenico MD, Belletti Alessandro MD, Di Fraia Diana MD. Francesco Corradi MD. Claudia Brusasco MD, Manuela Saporito MD, Alessandro D'Amico MD, Sardo Salvatore MD, Ortalda Alessandro MD, Claudio Riefolo MSc, Monaco Fabrizio MD, Zangrillo Alberto MD, Bellomo Rinaldo MD, Landoni Giovanni MD

 PII:
 S1053-0770(18)31044-9

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2018.11.026

 Reference:
 YJCAN 5001

To appear in: Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia

Please cite this article as: Chiara Sartini MD, Vladimir Lomivorotov MD, Pieri Marina MD, Juan Carlos Lopez Delgado MD, Baiardo Redaelli Martina MD, Ludhmila Hajjar MD, Antonio Pisano MD, Valery Likhvantsev MD, Evgeny Fominskiy MD, Nikola Bradic MD, Avancini Daniele PhD, Cabrini Luca MD, Maxim Novikov MD, Riha Hynek MD, Rosalba Lembo MSc, Gordana Gazivoda MD, Gianluca Paternoster MD, Chengbin Wang MD, Simona Tamà MD, Gabriele Alvaro MD, Wang Chew Yin MD, Agostino Roasio MD, Ruggeri Laura MD, Chow-Yen Yong MD, Pasero Daniela MD, Luca Severi MD. Pasin Laura MD, Giuseppe Mancino MD, Paolo Mura MD. Mario Musu MD, Massimiliano Conte MD, Lobreglio Rosetta MD, Spadaro Savino MD, Silvetti Simona MD, Votta Carmine Domenico MD, Belletti Alessandro MD, Di Fraja Diana MD, Francesco Corradi MD, Claudia Brusasco MD, Manuela Saporito MD, Alessandro D'Amico MD, Sardo Salvatore MD, Ortalda Alessandro MD, Claudio Riefolo MSc, Monaco Fabrizio MD, Zangrillo Alberto MD, Bellomo Rinaldo MD, Landoni Giovanni MD, A Systematic Review and International Web-Based Survey of randomized controlled trials in the perioperative and critical care



setting: Interventions reducing mortality., *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2018.11.026

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Title

A Systematic Review and International Web-Based Survey of randomized controlled trials in the perioperative and critical care setting: Interventions reducing mortality.

# Authors

Chiara Sartini, MD<sup>a</sup>, Vladimir Lomivorotov, MD<sup>b</sup>, Pieri Marina, MD<sup>a</sup>, Juan Carlos Lopez Delgado, MD<sup>c</sup>, Baiardo Redaelli Martina, MD<sup>a</sup>, Ludhmila Hajjar, MD<sup>d</sup>, Antonio Pisano, MD<sup>e</sup>, Valery Likhvantsev , MD<sup>f</sup>, Evgeny Fominskiy, MD<sup>a</sup>, Nikola Bradic, MD<sup>g</sup>, Cabrini Luca, MD<sup>a</sup>, Maxim Novikov, MD<sup>h</sup>, Avancini Daniele, PhD<sup>i</sup>, Riha Hynek, MD<sup>j</sup>, Rosalba Lembo, MSc<sup>a</sup>, Gordana Gazivoda, MD<sup>k</sup>, Gianluca Paternoster, MD<sup>l</sup>, Chengbin Wang, MD<sup>m</sup>, Simona Tamà, MD<sup>a</sup>, Gabriele Alvaro, MD<sup>n</sup>, Wang Chew Yin, MD<sup>o</sup>, Agostino Roasio, MD<sup>p</sup>, Ruggeri Laura, MD<sup>a</sup>, Chow-Yen Yong, MD<sup>q</sup>, Pasero Daniela, MD<sup>r</sup>, Luca Severi, MD<sup>s</sup>, Pasin Laura, MD<sup>t</sup>, Giuseppe Mancino, MD<sup>u</sup>, Paolo Mura, MD<sup>v</sup>, Mario Musu, MD<sup>w</sup>, Spadaro Savino, MD<sup>x</sup>, Massimiliano Conte, MD<sup>v</sup>, Lobreglio Rosetta, MD<sup>z</sup>, Silvetti Simona, MD<sup>aa</sup>, Votta Carmine Domenico, MD<sup>a</sup>, Belletti Alessandro, MD<sup>a</sup>, Di Fraja Diana, MD<sup>e</sup>, Francesco Corradi, MD<sup>ab</sup>, Claudia Brusasco, MD<sup>ab</sup>, Manuela Saporito, MD<sup>n</sup>, Alessandro D'Amico, MD<sup>n</sup>, Sardo Salvatore, MD<sup>w</sup>, Ortalda Alessandro, MD<sup>a</sup>, Claudio Riefolo, MSc, Monaco Fabrizio, MD<sup>a</sup>, Zangrillo Alberto, MD<sup>a</sup>, Bellomo Rinaldo, MD<sup>ad</sup>, Landoni Giovanni, MD<sup>a</sup>.

Declaration of interests: none.

a. Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan,
 Italy; Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan

- b. E. Meshalkin National Medical Research Center, Novosibirsk
- c. Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge Barcelona
- d. Instituto do Coracao do Hospital das Clinicas Sao Paulo

- e. Division of Cardiac Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, AORN dei Colli Monaldi Hospital, Naples
- f. Moscow Regional Research and Clinical Institute, Moscow
- g. Department of Cardiovascular Anesthesiology and Cardiac Intensive Medicine, University

Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb

- h. Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg
- i. San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy (SR-Tiget), IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute
- j. Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Prague
- k.Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases "Dedinje", Belgrade
- l. Ospedale San Carlo, Potenza
- m. Center for Anesthesiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing
- n. AOU Mater Domini Germaneto, Catanzaro
- o. Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur
- p. Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Ospedale Cardinal Massaia di Asti, Asti
- q. Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Hospital Pulau Pinang, Georgetown
- r. Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin
- s. Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Azienda Ospedaliera San Camillo Forlanini, Roma
- t. S. Antonio Hospital, Padova
- u. Hospital Santa Chiara, Pisa
- v. Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Policlinico Duilio Casula AOU Cagliari,
- w. University of Cagliari, Cagliari
- x. University of Ferrara, Ferrara

- y. Mater Dei Hospital, Bari
- z. AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza Molinette, Torino
- aa.IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini Ospedale Pediatrico, Genoa
- ab. E.O. Ospedali Galliera, Genova
- ac.Azienda Ospedaliera Mater Domini
- ad. Monash University

Corresponding author

- Giovanni Landoni, MD, Associate Prof
- Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care
- IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute
- Vita-Salute San Raffaele University
- Via Olgettina 60, 20132 Milan, Italy
- Email: landoni.giovanni@hsr.it
- Phone: 0039-0226436158
- Fax: 0039-022643615277

#### Abstract

# Background

We aimed to identify interventions documented by randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that reduce mortality in adult critically ill and perioperative patients, followed by a survey of clinicians' opinion and routine practice to understand the clinicians' response to such evidence.

# Methods

We performed a comprehensive literature review to identify all topics reported to reduce mortality in perioperative and critical care settings according to at least 2 RCTs or to a multicenter RCT or to a single center RCT plus guidelines. We generated position statements that were voted online by physicians worldwide for agreement, use, and willingness to include in international guidelines.

# Results

From 262 RCTs manuscripts reporting mortality differences in the perioperative and critically ill settings, we selected 27 drugs/techniques/strategies (66 RCTs, most frequently published by NEJM -13 papers-, Lancet -7- and JAMA -5-) with an agreement  $\geq$ 67% from over 250 physicians (46 countries). Non-invasive ventilation was the intervention supported by the largest number of RCTs (n=13). The concordance between agreement and use (a positive answer both to "do you agree" and "do you use") showed differences between western and other countries and between anesthesiologists and ICU physicians.

## Conclusions

We identified 27 clinical interventions with randomized evidence of survival benefit and strong clinician support in support of their potential life-saving properties in perioperative and critically ill patients with non-invasive ventilation having the highest level of support. However, clinician views appear affected by specialty and geographical location.

# Introduction

The perioperative care and intensive care unit (ICU) are settings with a high mortality risk<sup>1</sup>, and this has led to the performance of several studies with the aim to improve outcomes. High quality scientific clinical research, however, is more difficult to perform in this specific hospital setting, due to practical issues (for example randomization in emergent situations) and ethical issues (for example, lack of patient's informed consent to be included in the study). Multicenter randomized controlled trials (mRCTs) are, therefore, limited in number, with only a few published mRCTs large enough to provide significant information on survival. International guidelines and recommendations exist, but do not cover all the variables encountered by clinicians in clinical practice. Thus, many studies are single-center RCTs (sRCTs), which are easier to perform, but contain many sources of bias<sup>2</sup> affecting the quality of subsequent guidelines. In recent years, democracy-based medicine (DBM)<sup>3</sup> has gained popularity, as this tool allows every physician to agree or not with published evidence based medicine (EBM) findings and to state their routine practice on the identified issue.

Our group has previously performed a review of all the mRCTs showing mortality reduction in critically ill patients<sup>4</sup>. This analysis gathered all multicenter randomized evidence about critically ill patients and focused on mortality. However, the information contained in sRCT was not considered. Interestingly, this analysis found that several treatments of respiratory and/or cardiac dysfunction, including non-invasive ventilation and mild hypothermia for cardiac arrest, might reduce mortality of critically ill patients. Therefore, the identification of factors associated with reduced mortality in critically ill patients may also be important for cardio-thoraco-vascular anesthesiologists and intensive care specialists, as all such patients present impaired cardiovascular and/or respiratory reserve.

In an effort to perform a more comprehensive evaluation of all the elements influencing mortality in critically ill patients, we now performed an updated DBM consensus conference on mortality

reduction in critically ill patients taking into account all existing published randomized evidence.

## Methods

MEDLINE/PubMed, Scopus, and Embase were searched by six investigators to identify all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) concerning every kind of nonsurgical interventions influencing mortality in critically ill and perioperative patients, without publication time limits. The full MEDLINE/PubMed search strategy is available in the **Supplemental Materials**.

Selected articles had to satisfy all the following criteria: 1) be published in a peer-reviewed journal; 2) be designed as RCT; 3) relate with nonsurgical interventions (drug/technique/strategy); 4) involve the perioperative period or critically ill patients; 5) show a statistically significant reduction in mortality.

We considered patients as critically ill when presenting an acute failure of at least one organ and/or need for intensive care and/or emergency treatment, regardless of where they were treated. The perioperative period was defined from patient hospital admission before surgery to patient discharge after the operation.

Difference in mortality was considered statistically significant when present at a specific time point (landmark mortality) with simple statistical tests and without adjustment for baseline characteristics.

Trials demonstrating a statistically significant reduction/increase in mortality in only a subgroup of patients were included, but this limitation was highlighted in the data collection form.

Papers were excluded in case one of these criteria was identified at any time of the Consensus process: 1) not strictly randomized design (quasi randomized or similar); 2) mortality significance found only after statistical adjustments; 3) a trend toward reduction/increase in mortality was identified without reaching the p<0.05 level of significance; 4) classification as surgical procedure.

For each topic two experts, a rapporteur and a discussant, were selected among the attendees. They received the selected papers in advance and were asked to meticulously review the literature, in order to find other RCTs not yet identified. A brief presentation, which included a final statement, was prepared by the experts. They divided each topic into subtopics if necessary.

The Consensus meeting was held on the 25<sup>th</sup> of November 2016 at the Vita Salute University of Milan (Italy). The inclusion or exclusion of each topic was suggested by the experts and, in case of disagreement among participants, the inclusion of the paper was decided by a vote.

Topics with a mRCT or with at least two sRCTs or with only one sRCT but supported by guidelines were selected. These were included in the Consensus process as "full inclusion" and a statement was approved by the participants in person and underwent further steps.

Up to May 2018, through an interactive web questionnaire

(http://www.democracybasedmedicine.org), clinicians worldwide had the opportunity to vote in support/against the resulting statements. The related articles were all freely downloadable through a link on the website. All participants were asked to disclose all potential conflicts of interest. There was no sponsor or industry support for this consensus conference.

For statements with evidence of mortality reduction the following questions were asked:

1) Do you agree with the below sentence? 2) Do you routinely use this intervention in your clinical practice? 3) Would you include this intervention into future international guidelines to reduce perioperative/critically ill patient mortality?

For each question, the authors included three possible answers: yes/no/"don't know or does not apply". The authors intentionally did not include the possibility to "partially agree" with a statement.

After the web vote, the interventions that reached < 67% of agreement were considered as "major exclusions". This lower limit of agreement was chosen because two-thirds of voters represent a "qualified majority" in many political or administrative proceedings. This choice is similar to previous "democracy-based" consensus conferences the authors have conducted in other clinical settings<sup>5,6</sup>.

#### Analysis before the web vote

For all "fully included" studies these variables were recorded and analyzed: 1) the intervention and its comparator; 2) the setting of the trial; 3) the sample size; 4) the presence of blinding; and 5) the duration of follow-up.

Descriptive statistics were used to examine study variables. Value are expressed as frequency and percentage. The difference between two groups was calculated with the Mann-Whitney U test, and when more than two groups were involved, Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Statistical significance was assumed for p value less than 0.05.

# Analysis after the web vote

The answers from the web survey were analyzed. Double votes were prevented by using the e-mail field as the unique identifier. Analyses included only answers without conflict of interests. The results of the web vote are expressed as percentage of positive votes. Null votes were excluded. The percentage of agreement with selected literature, the use/avoidance in clinical practice and the desire to include the intervention in future guidelines were reported. The responders' specialty was considered, to assess whether the management differed among anesthesiologists and intensivists. Further analysis relating to responders' countries were performed to assess whether clinicians'

origin influenced their approach to interventions. For simplification purposes, all countries were divided in 2 groups: western countries and others. The gap between agreement and practice use was also calculated using the ratio between all the answers with concordance and the total number of queries with an answer in both fields ("do you agree" and "do you use/avoid"). The chi-square test was used to evaluate differences in percentages among countries. Statistical significance was set at  $p \leq 0.05$  for all analyses. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 15 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

#### Results

We identified all RCTs ever performed in critically ill patients and the perioperative setting reporting a statistically significant reduction in mortality. The complete list of the 262 identified manuscripts is reported in Supplemental Materials (Table s2) with their subsequent selection shown in the flow chart (Figure 1) and detailed in the Supplemental Materials (Table s1, s4, s5, s6).

The final list of 27 interventions and 66 manuscripts<sup>7-72</sup> which reached consensus after the web vote is presented in table 1 and in supplemental materials (table s3) together with the relative percentage of agreement, use and willingness to include in future guidelines. Non-invasive ventilation was the intervention supported by the largest number of RCTs (n=13) followed by decontamination of the digestive tract (n=5). Nine further topics did not reach the pre-specified 67% agreement among the web voters and are reported in table 2 as major exclusions.

The Journals that more frequently published the 66 selected manuscripts were NEJM (13 papers), Lancet (7) and JAMA (5). Overall, 251 physicians from 46 countries (Figure 2) participated in the web survey. Physicians were divided into three groups: anesthesiologists (n=149), intensive care physicians (n=90) and others (n=12).

The concordance between agreement and use (a positive answer both to "do you agree" and "do you use") is reported in table 3. There was a statistically significant difference between western and other countries for non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tranexamic acid and high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) (Figure s1). Also between anesthesiologists and ICU physicians the difference was significant for early tracheostomy, NIV in respiratory failure, early thrombolysis, and volatile anesthetics in cardiac surgery (Figure s2).

## Discussion

#### Key Findings

We identified all nonsurgical interventions (drugs, techniques, or strategies) with randomized evidence (at least 1 mRCT or 2 sRCTs or 1sRCT supported by guidelines) of a mortality reduction in the setting of adult perioperative or critical care medicine. A web vote among more than 250 physicians from over 40 countries selected the 66 manuscripts (27 interventions) with an agreement of  $\geq$ 67% and showed a variable degree of agreement for clinical use and intention to include in future guidelines of such interventions.

NIV was the most extensively studied and documented intervention to reduce mortality in critically ill patients, with 13 manuscripts grouped into 3 different settings (COPD exacerbation, acute respiratory failure and weaning after extubation). The highest degree of agreement (99%) was observed for early defibrillation in out of hospital cardiac arrest, but ventilation topics were also extremely popular: 99% of agreement for NIV in COPD; 90% for NIV in respiratory failure; 97% for protective ventilation and 96% for prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

The percentage of clinical use was always less than agreement. This implies that costs and logistics have a role in the widespread application of numerous potentially life-saving interventions or that,

as previously suggested<sup>6</sup>, there is a gap between medical literature and clinical practice, possibly due to the complexity and heterogeneity of the involved settings.

We also identified differences between western and other countries, suggesting that some interventions are not widespread or not available everywhere. For example, NIV and HFNC had overall high percentage of agreement, but lower concordance between agreement and use in non-western countries. This can be explained only in part by lack of personnel and resources, since tranexamic acid, a cheap drug nowadays included in many guidelines (obstetric hemorrhage, massive trauma bleeding), is significantly less used in non-western countries.

#### Relationship to Previous Literature

Our results could be compared with previous consensus processes conducted by the same authors to identify the interventions that can affect mortality in the perioperative period and in critically ill patients<sup>4-6</sup>.

Most of the interventions already discussed in previous consensus processes are growing both in terms of agreement and concordance between agreement and use, suggesting that such evidence is consolidating over time. Exceptions are represented by volatile anesthetics, selective decontamination of the digestive tract and leukocyte depleted blood transfusions, which are losing support.

Several interventions were not reported in previous consensus processes mainly because only supported by non-multicentre studies, supported by recent RTCs, because of upgrading of the current process. They include thrombolysis after acute myocardial infarction/pulmonary embolism (AMI/PE), <u>clopidogrel</u> after AMI, epinephrine in cardiac arrest, amiodarone in cardiac arrest, restrictive inspiratory fraction, underfeeding post-refeeding syndrome, early tracheostomy, goal

directed therapy, HFNC, procalcitonin-guided antibiotics discontinuation, mechanical chest compression devices, vasopressin in cardiac arrest, antithrombin III, and hydrocortisone in sepsis.

Four interventions (hypothermia, intra-aortic balloon pump, remote ischemic preconditioning and locoregional anesthesia) were included in previous consensus conferences but not in the present final short list of 27 included topics because new RCTs had evidence against their use or showed futility.

Notably we had two interventions with "conflicting evidence" (at least one RCT showing mortality decrease and one RCT showing mortality decrease). Insulin (4 RCTs) and colloids (2 RCTs) were very debated during the meeting without reaching consensus.

# Implications for Clinical Practice

Anesthesiologists and ICU physicians treating surgical and critically ill patients make everyday decisions on which anesthetic techniques to apply, drugs to administer (or avoid), and other nonsurgical strategies to use, often without knowing whether those decisions actually affect survival in their patients. Guidelines can provide useful information, but often do not focus on survival and describe the effect of drugs, techniques and interventions on intermediate outcomes. Through this consensus methodology, all interventions for which there was sufficient, non-conflicting, and widely agreed-upon evidence of an impact on perioperative and critically ill adult patients mortality were identified. Moreover, these results reflect real-world scenarios on a global scale.

Our findings emphasize once again, that the evidence of EBM is often not conclusive, not well defined and sometimes even antithetical. From this perspective, EBM appears to have, in itself, some intrinsic limitations. Therefore, in the "real word", EBM cannot be the only resource for clinicians in their daily decision-making. The democracy-based consensus process, grouping together the opinions of hundreds of clinicians from all over the world, can integrate the other

"tools" (e.g., guidelines, expert opinions, systematic reviews, surveys) and contribute to giving a critical assessment of the available literature by combining the best research evidence with clinical expertise. This "fusion" maybe a useful strategy to assess the interaction between evidence and practice.

#### Strengths and Limitations

We acknowledge that our study presents several limitations. First of all, most of the trials performed in the critical care or high-risk perioperative setting are relative small studies since investigators might have difficulties to enroll a large number of patients in these clinical contexts. To attenuate the risk of including randomized studies at high risk of type I error (such as small single center studies) the authors decided to include in the final statements only interventions demonstrated by at least 2 RCTs or a mRCT or by a single RCT supported by guidelines. We acknowledge that some interventions (e.g. echocardiography, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation) have dramatically changed the way we daily manage our patients but have never been validated in RCTs with mortality outcomes, so we could not include them in this consensus process.

The main strength of this study, however, was the combination of EBM with the DBM, which allowed the authors to really understand the current opinion and therapeutic approaches of clinicians worldwide.

#### Conclusions

We performed a systematic review of all the randomized literature with mortality differences in the perioperative and critically ill settings (262 manuscripts) and we then selected those interventions supported by at least 2 RCTs or a mRCT or by a single RCT plus guidelines. We then surveyed

more than 250 clinicians from 46 countries and further selected 66 manuscripts dealing with 27 interventions with a high percentage of agreement on use and of willingness to include in future guideline. We found that despite overall agreement there were differences in perception and self-reported use between anesthesiologist's and clinicians form western vs. non-western countries. Our findings highlight the complex interaction between evidence, training, culture, resources and geography and suggest the need to investigate the impact of affordable interventions in different settings.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Maieutics Foundation for sharing the technical expertise for the voting process; dr. Gaetano Lombardi, dr. Federica Morselli, Lara Sussani, Paola Zuppelli, dr. Kim Jun Hyun and dr. Ádám Nagy for their important collaboration in all stages of this paper.

# References

- 1. Mayr VD, Dünser MW, Greil V, et al.: Causes of death and determinants of outcome in critically ill patients. Critical Care 10, 2006
- Bellomo R, Warrillow SJ, Reade MC. Why we should be wary of single-center trials. Crit Care Med 37:3114-3119, 2009
- Greco M, Zangrillo A, Mucchetti M, et al.: Democracy-Based Consensus in Medicine.
   Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia 29:506-509, 2015

- Landoni G, Comis M, Conte M, et al.: Mortality in Multicenter Critical Care Trials: An Analysis of Interventions With a Significant Effect\*. Critical Care Medicine 43:1559, 2015
- 5. Pisano A, Landoni G, Lomivorotov V, et al.: Worldwide Opinion on Multicenter Randomized Interventions Showing Mortality Reduction in Critically III Patients: A Democracy-Based Medicine Approach. Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia 30:1386-1395, 2016
- Landoni G, Pisano A, Lomivorotov V, et al.: Randomized Evidence for Reduction of Perioperative Mortality: An Updated Consensus Process. Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia 31:719-730, 2017
- Sort P, Navasa M, Arroyo V, et al.: Effect of intravenous albumin on renal impairment and mortality in patients with cirrhosis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. The New England journal of medicine 341:403-409, 1999
- 8. Dorian P, Cass D, Schwartz B, Cooper R, Gelaznikas R, Barr A. Amiodarone as compared with lidocaine for shock-resistant ventricular fibrillation. The New England journal of medicine 346:884-890, 2002
- 9. Kudenchuk PJ, Brown SP, Daya M, et al.: Amiodarone, Lidocaine, or Placebo in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. The New England Journal of Medicine 374:1711-1722, 2016
- 10. Kudenchuk PJ, Cobb LA, Copass MK, et al.: Amiodarone for resuscitation after out-ofhospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. The New England journal of medicine 341:871-878, 1999

- 11. Baudo F, Caimi TM, deCataldo F, et al.: Antithrombin III (ATILL) replacement therapy in patients with sepsis and/or postsurgical complications: a controlled double-blind, randomized, multicenter study. Intensive Care Medicine 24:336-342, 1998
- Lavrentieva A, Kontakiotis T, Bitzani M, et al.: The efficacy of antithrombin administration in the acute phase of burn injury. Thrombosis and Haemostasis 100:286-290, 2008
- Warren BL, Eid A, Singer P, et al.: Caring for the critically ill patient. High-dose antithrombin III in severe sepsis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 286:1869-1878, 2001
- 14. Chen ZM, Jiang LX, Chen YP, et al.: Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 366:1607-1621, 2005
- 15. de Jonge E, Schultz MJ, Spanjaard L, et al.: Effects of selective decontamination of digestive tract on mortality and acquisition of resistant bacteria in intensive care: a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 362:1011-1016, 2003
- 16. de Cal MA, Cerdá E, García-Hierro P, et al.: Survival Benefit in Critically III Burned Patients Receiving Selective Decontamination of the Digestive Tract: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Trial. Annals of Surgery 241:424, 2005
- 17. Krueger WA, Lenhart F-P, Neeser G, et al.: Influence of Combined Intravenous and Topical Antibiotic Prophylaxis on the Incidence of Infections, Organ Dysfunctions, and

Mortality in Critically III Surgical Patients. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 166:1029-1037, 2002

- Rocha LA, Martín MJ, Pita S, et al.: Prevention of nosocomial infection in critically ill patients by selective decontamination of the digestive tract. Intensive Care Medicine 18:398-404, 1992
- 19. Ulrich C, Weerd H-dJE, Bakker NC, Jacz K, Doornbos L, de Ridder VA. Selective decontamination of the digestive tract with norfloxacin in the prevention of ICU-acquired infections: a prospective randomized study. Intensive Care Medicine 15:424-431, 1989
- 20. Eisenberg MS, Hallstrom AP, Copass MK, Bergner L, Short F, Pierce J. Treatment of ventricular fibrillation. Emergency medical technician defibrillation and paramedic services. JAMA 251:1723-1726, 1984
- Hallstrom AP, Ornato JP, Weisfeldt M, et al.: Public-access defibrillation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. The New England journal of medicine 351:637-646, 2004
- 22. Jacobs IG, Finn JC, Jelinek GA, Oxer HF, Thompson PL. Effect of adrenaline on survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Resuscitation 82:1138-1143, 2011
- 23. Lin S-M, Huang C-D, Lin H-C, Liu C-Y, Wang C-H, Kuo H-P. A MODIFIED GOAL-DIRECTED PROTOCOL IMPROVES CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN INTENSIVE CARE UNIT PATIENTS WITH SEPTIC SHOCK: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL. Shock 26:551, 2006

- 24. Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, et al.: Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. The New England journal of medicine 345:1368-1377, 2001
- 25. Frat J-P, Thille AW, Mercat A, et al.: High-Flow Oxygen through Nasal Cannula in Acute
  Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure. The New England Journal of Medicine 372:2185-2196,
  2015
- 26. Annane D, Sébille V, Charpentier C, et al.: Effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock. JAMA 288:862-871, 2002
- 27. Bilgin YM, van de Watering LMG, Eijsman L, et al.: Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial on the Effect of Leukocyte-Depleted Erythrocyte Transfusions in Cardiac Valve Surgery. Circulation 109:2755-2760, 2004
- 28. van de Watering LM, Hermans J, Houbiers JG, et al.: Beneficial effects of leukocyte depletion of transfused blood on postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. Circulation 97:562-568, 1998
- 29. Fuhrmann JT, Schmeisser A, Schulze MR, et al.: Levosimendan is superior to enoximone in refractory cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction\*. Critical Care Medicine 36:2257, 2008
- 30. Levin RL, Degrange MA, Porcile R, et al.: [The calcium sensitizer levosimendan gives superior results to dobutamine in postoperative low cardiac output syndrome]. Revista espanola de cardiologia 61:471-479, 2008

- 31. Cohen TJ, Goldner BG, Maccaro PC, et al.: A comparison of active compressiondecompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation with standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation for cardiac arrests occurring in the hospital. The New England journal of medicine 329:1918-1921, 1993
- 32. Gao C, Chen Y, Peng H, Chen Y, Zhuang Y, Zhou S. Clinical evaluation of the AutoPulse automated chest compression device for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the northern district of Shanghai, China. Archives of Medical Science 3:563-570, 2016
- 33. Tucker KJ, Galli F, Savitt MA, Kahsai D, Bresnahan L, Redberg RF. Active compressiondecompression resuscitation: effect on resuscitation success after in-hospital cardiac arrest. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 24:201-209, 1994
- 34. Wolcke BB, Mauer DK, Schoefmann MF, et al.: Comparison of standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation versus the combination of active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation and an inspiratory impedance threshold device for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circulation 108:2201-2205, 2003
- 35. Ferrer M, Esquinas A, Leon M, Gonzalez G, Alarcon A, Torres A. Noninvasive Ventilation in Severe Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 168:1438-1444, 2003
- L'Her E, Duquesne F, Girou E, et al.: Noninvasive continuous positive airway pressure in elderly cardiogenic pulmonary edema patients. Intensive Care Medicine 30:882-888, 2004

- 37. Nava S, Grassi M, Fanfulla F, et al.: Non-invasive ventilation in elderly patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure: a randomised controlled trial. Age and Ageing 40:444-450, 2011
- 38. Park M, Sangean MC, de Volpe MS, et al.: Randomized, prospective trial of oxygen, continuous positive airway pressure, and bilevel positive airway pressure by face mask in acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema\*. Critical Care Medicine 32:2407, 2004
- 39. Thompson J, Petrie DA, Ackroyd-Stolarz S, Bardua DJ. Out-of-Hospital Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Ventilation Versus Usual Care in Acute Respiratory Failure: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Annals of Emergency Medicine 52:232-2410, 2008
- 40. Zhu G-fF, Wang D-jJ, Liu S, Jia M, Jia S-jJ. Efficacy and safety of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in the treatment of acute respiratory failure after cardiac surgery. Chinese medical journal 126:4463-4469, 2013
- 41. Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al.: Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The New England journal of medicine 333:817-822, 1995
- 42. Ferrer M, Valencia M, Nicolas J, Bernadich O, Badia J, Torres A. Early Noninvasive Ventilation Averts Extubation Failure in Patients at Risk. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 173:164-170, 2006
- 43. Ferrer M, Sellarés J, Valencia M, et al.: Non-invasive ventilation after extubation in hypercapnic patients with chronic respiratory disorders: randomised controlled trial. Lancet (London, England) 374:1082-1088, 2009

- 44. Ferrer M, Esquinas A, Arancibia F, et al.: Noninvasive Ventilation during Persistent
  Weaning Failure. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 168:70-76,
  2003
- 45. Nava S, Ambrosino N, Clini E, et al.: Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in the weaning of patients with respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized, controlled trial. Annals of internal medicine 128:721-728, 1998
- 46. Ornico SR, Lobo SM, Sanches HS, et al.: Noninvasive ventilation immediately after extubation improves weaning outcome after acute respiratory failure: a randomized controlled trial. Critical Care 17, 2013
- 47. Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW. Early use of non-invasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on general respiratory wards: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 355:1931-1935, 2000
- 48. de Jong E, van Oers JA, Beishuizen A, et al.: Efficacy and safety of procalcitonin guidance in reducing the duration of antibiotic treatment in critically ill patients: a randomised, controlled, open-label trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 16:819-827, 2016
- 49. Gattinoni L, Tognoni G, Pesenti A, et al.: Effect of prone positioning on the survival of patients with acute respiratory failure. The New England journal of medicine 345:568-573, 2001
- 50. Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard J-C, et al.: Prone Positioning in Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. The New England Journal of Medicine 368:2159-2168, 2013

- 51. Mancebo J, Fernández R, Blanch L, et al.: A Multicenter Trial of Prolonged Prone Ventilation in Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 173:1233-1239, 2006
- 52. Amato MB, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, et al.: Effect of a protective-ventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The New England journal of medicine 338:347-354, 1998
- 53. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The New England journal of medicine 342:1301-1308, 2000
- 54. Villar J, Kacmarek RM, Pérez-Méndez L, Aguirre-Jaime A, Network A. A high positive end-expiratory pressure, low tidal volume ventilatory strategy improves outcome in persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome: A randomized, controlled trial\*. Critical Care Medicine 34:1311, 2006
- 55. Girardis M, Busani S, Damiani E, et al.: Effect of Conservative vs Conventional Oxygen Therapy on Mortality Among Patients in an Intensive Care Unit: The Oxygen-ICU Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA, 2016
- 56. Meyhoff CS, Jorgensen LN, Wetterslev J, Christensen KB, Rasmussen LS, Group P. Increased Long-Term Mortality After a High Perioperative Inspiratory Oxygen Fraction During Abdominal Surgery: Follow-Up of a Randomized Clinical Trial. Anesthesia & Analgesia 115:849, 2012

- 57. Brown CHIV, Azman AS, Gottschalk A, Mears SC, Sieber FE. Sedation Depth During Spinal Anesthesia and Survival in Elderly Patients Undergoing Hip Fracture Repair. Anesthesia & Analgesia 118:977, 2014
- 58. Girard TD, Kress JP, Fuchs BD, et al.: Efficacy and safety of a paired sedation and ventilator weaning protocol for mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care (Awakening and Breathing Controlled trial): a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 371:126-134, 2008
- 59. Mansouri P, Javadpour S, Zand F, et al.: Implementation of a protocol for integrated management of pain, agitation, and delirium can improve clinical outcomes in the intensive care unit: A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Critical Care 28:918-922, 2013
- 60. Feasibility, safety, and efficacy of domiciliary thrombolysis by general practitioners: Grampian region early anistreplase trial. GREAT Group. BMJ 305:548-553, 1992
- 61. Jerjes-Sanchez C, Ramírez-Rivera A, de García M, et al.: Streptokinase and heparin versus heparin alone in massive pulmonary embolism: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis 2:227-229, 1995
- 62. Rawles JM. Quantification of the benefit of earlier thrombolytic therapy: five-year results of the Grampian Region Early Anistreplase Trial (GREAT). Journal of the American College of Cardiology 30:1181-1186, 1997
- 63. Sarullo FM, Schicchi R, Schirò M, et al.: [The safety and efficacy of systemic salvage thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarct]. Italian heart journal Supplement : official journal of the Italian Federation of Cardiology 1:81-87, 2000

- 64. Bosel J, Schiller P, Hook Y, et al.: Stroke-related Early Tracheostomy versus Prolonged Orotracheal Intubation in Neurocritical Care Trial (SETPOINT): a randomized pilot trial. Stroke 44:21-28, 2013
- 65. Rumbak MJ, Newton M, Truncale T, Schwartz SW, Adams JW, Hazard PB. A prospective, randomized, study comparing early percutaneous dilational tracheotomy to prolonged translaryngeal intubation (delayed tracheotomy) in critically ill medical patients\*. Critical Care Medicine 32:1689, 2004
- 66. trial collaborators C, Shakur H, Roberts I, et al.: Effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant haemorrhage (CRASH-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet (London, England) 376:23-32, 2010
- 67. Doig GS, Simpson F, Heighes PT, et al.: Restricted versus continued standard caloric intake during the management of refeeding syndrome in critically ill adults: a randomised, parallel-group, multicentre, single-blind controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory medicine 3:943-952, 2015
- 68. Lindner KH, Dirks B, Strohmenger H-U, Prengel AW, Lindner IM, Lurie KG. Randomised comparison of epinephrine and vasopressin in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. The Lancet 349:535-537, 1997
- 69. Mentzelopoulos SD, Zakynthinos SG, Tzoufi M, et al.: Vasopressin, epinephrine, and corticosteroids for in-hospital cardiac arrest. Archives of internal medicine 169:15-24, 2009

- 70. Mentzelopoulos SD, Malachias S, Chamos C, et al.: Vasopressin, steroids, and epinephrine and neurologically favorable survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 310:270-279, 2013
- 71. Hert DS, Vlasselaers D, Barbé R, et al.: A comparison of volatile and non volatile agents for cardioprotection during on-pump coronary surgery. Anaesthesia 64:953-960, 2009
- 72. Likhvantsev VV, Landoni G, Levikov DI, Grebenchikov OA, Skripkin YV, Cherpakov RA. Sevoflurane Versus Total Intravenous Anesthesia for Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery With Cardiopulmonary Bypass: A Randomized Trial. Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia 30:1221-1227, 2016

# **Figure Legends:**



Figure 1: Flow chart of the Consensus process. For details see Supplemental.



Table 1: List of the 27 topics which reached an agreement of >67% in the final web vote together with in order of percentage of agreement ("do you agree with the statement?" and with percentage of reported use ("do you routinely use these interventions in your clinical practice?") and with willingness to have these topics included in future guidelines ("would you include these interventions into future international guidelines to reduce perioperative mortality?").

STATEMENT	AGREEMENT	USE	GUIDELINES
Early defibrillation by trained rescuers reduces hospital mortality in	99%	94%	100%
out of hospital cardiac arrest			
Non-invasive ventilation reduces mortality in patients with chronic	99%	96%	98%
obstructive pulmonary disease			
Protective ventilation with low tidal volumes (6 ml/kg) reduces	97%	97%	97%
mortality			
Early thrombolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction and	96%	85%	94%
pulmonary embolism reduces mortality			
Prone positioning reduces mortality in early severe acute respiratory	96%	79%	94%
distress syndrome patients (P/F< 150) especially if it is used early and			
in relatively long sessions (17-18 hours)			
Tranexamic acid in traumatic bleeding patients reduces 28-day	95%	86%	92%
mortality			
Clopidogrel reduces mortality after acute myocardial infarction	93%	87%	90%
Avoidance of deep sedation reduces mortality	93%	90%	94%
Non-invasive ventilation reduces mortality in acute respiratory failure	90%	89%	92%
in patients with pulmonary edema and/or hypoxemic-hypercapnic			
respiratory failure			
Albumin reduces mortality in patients with cirrhosis and spontaneous	88%	82%	88%
bacterial peritonitis			
Non-invasive ventilation reduces mortality during the weaning after	86%	81%	88%
extubation			
Epinephrine reduces mortality in cardiac arrest	84%	94%	92%
Amiodarone reduces mortality to hospital admission in out-of-hospital	83%	71%	81%
cardiopulmonary resuscitation			
Restrictive inspiratory oxygen fraction reduces mortality in intensive	83%	70%	70%
care unit patients and in the perioperative setting			
Underfeeding reduces mortality in patients with refeeding syndrome	82%	68%	82%
Volatile anaesthetics reduce mortality in cardiac surgery	81%	78%	78%

Early tracheostomy in severe stroke and early percutaneous	80%	75%	79%
tracheotomy in medical patients requiring prolonged ventilation (>14			
days) reduce mortality			
Leukocyte-depleted blood transfusions reduce mortality in cardiac	79%	59%	79%
surgery			
Goal directed therapy reduces hospital mortality in patients with	77%	76%	79%
septic shock			
High flow nasal cannulae reduces mortality in patients with acute	77%	61%	75%
respiratory failure			
Procalcitonin-guided antibiotic discontinuation reduces mortality of	76%	61%	75%
critically ill patients			
		ľ	
Mechanical chest compression devices reduce short term mortality in	75%	50%	75%
cardiac arrest			
Selective decontamination of the digestive tract reduces mortality of	74%	32%	66%
critically ill patients	)		
Vasopressin with or without steroids reduces mortality cardiac arrest	70%	34%	65%
patients			
Levosimendan reduces mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock	70%	57%	66%
and low cardiac output syndrome			
Antithrombin III reduces mortality in septic and burn injured patients	67%	33%	62%
Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in septic shock	67%	66%	70%

Table 2: Major Exclusions after the Web Vote. These topics did not reach 67% of agreement. Here are listed as Pubmed identification number (PM ID), journal of publication, first author, year of publication, relative statement approved during the meeting and percentage of agreement after the web vote.

1333 4222 4222Anesth. Analg al.Crimi et 0 al.20 0 4Vitamin C reduces mortality in ICU patients59%15357 802J Res Pharm Pract. Care Med.Confalo. nieri et al.1659%15557 732Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.Confalo. nieri et al.20 al.Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in community acquired pneumonia. al.54%11574 816Biod Purif. et al.Nemoto et al.20 al.Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in community acquired pneumonia. al.54%11574 82495JAMA al.Cruz et 20 al.01 1552%52%2408 2586JAMA et al.13 1520 al.66651%24108 2030Cin Drug Investig al.20 al.Atropine reduces mortality in patients with septic shock51%2587 2030Cin Drug Investig al.018 al.20 arestAtropine reduces mortality to haspital-admission in out of hospital cardiac al.49%17804 21472 22472 2447 2441N. Engl. J. Med.Corwin catal.20 catal.Atropine reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <2047%2556 2500 2510Ansethesiology et al.20 and mon cardiac surgeryAliberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac ad mon cardiac surgery47%24227 2528 25387 2540Ansethesiology et al.20 and mon cardiac surgeryAliberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduce	PM ID	JOURNAL	FIRST	YE AR	STATEMENT	AGREE MENT
422         Intervent Mage         al.         04         Vitamin C reduces mortality in ICU patients         59%           802         J Res Pharm Pract.         Zabet et al.         1         60         16         505         50%         59%           11557         Am. J. Respir. Crit.         Crin Frid         40         4         44         40         44	15333	Anesth Analg	Crimi et	20		
27162 302J Res Pharm Pract. i. a.Zabet et 20 al.Table to 20 iner et 20 al.Carle Med.Zabet et 20 al.Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in community acquired pneumonia 	422	Anestin. Andig	al.	04	Vitamin C reduces mortality in ICU patients	59%
15557         Am. J. Respir. Crit.         Conface         20 one         Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in community acquired pneumonia.         54%           11574         Blood Purif.         Nemoto         20 one et al.         01 one e	27162 802	J Res Pharm Pract.	Zabet et al.	20 16	vitamine reduces mortainty in reo patients	
11573 732         Blood Purif.         Nemoto et al.         20 et al.         70 or a et al.	15557 131	Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.	Confalo nieri et al.	20 04	Hydrocortisone reduces mortality in community acquired pneumonia	54%
732         Character         et al.         01           12495         J. Hosp. Infect         ra et al.         03           19531         JAMA         Cruz et at al.         03           19534         JAMA         Cruz et al.         03           24108         JAMA         Morelli         20           26955         Zhonghua Yi Shi Za         Xinqiang         20           26367         Clin Drug Investig         Wang et al.         15           26387         Clin Drug Investig         Wang et al.         15           19934         JAMA         Olsavee         20           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin         20           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin         20           25586         Acta Orthop         Gregers         20           276         Acta Orthop         Gregers         20           257860         N. Engl. J. Med.         Sloan et 20           2709         Acta Orthop         Gregers         20           2710         Acta Orthop         Gregers         20           2764         N. Engl. J. Med.         Sloan et 20         20           2776         Acta Orthop </td <td>11574</td> <td>Blood Purif.</td> <td>Nemoto</td> <td>20</td> <td colspan="2"></td>	11574	Blood Purif.	Nemoto	20		
12495         1. Hosp. Infect         Nakamu         20 ra et al.         Polymyxin B fibers reduce mortality         52%           19531         JAMA         Cruz et al.         03         01         09         01 <td>732</td> <td></td> <td>et al.</td> <td>01</td> <td></td> <td></td>	732		et al.	01		
base         Image: Cruz et 20         Image:	12495	J. Hosp. Infect	Nakamu	20	Polymyxin B fibers reduce mortality	52%
1953         JAMA         Chu Pet R         20           24108         JAMA         al.         09           24108         JAMA         et al.         13           2565         Zhonghua Yi Shi Za         Xingiang         20           26357         Zhin         et al.         15           26387         Clin Drug Investig         Wang et al.         15           19934         JAMA         0lasve         20           19934         JAMA         0lasve         20           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin 20         20           841         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin 20         especially those with APACHE score <20	686 10521	•	ra et al.	03		
22108 526JAMAMorelli et al.20 et al.Esmolol reduces mortality in patients with septic shock51%26955Zhonghua YI Shi Za ZhiKingiang et al.20 et al.15 1526387 030Clin Drug Investig al.Wang et al.20 al.1519934 423JAMAOlasvee ngen et al.20 ngen et al.Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest49%17804 841N. Engl. J. Med.Corwin et al.20 especially those with APACHE score <20	19531 784	JAMA	cruz et	20 09		
S26         JAMA         et al.         13           26955         Zhonghua Yi Shi Za         Xinqiang         20         et al.         15           26387         Clin Drug Investig         Wang et al.         15         arrest         51%           19934         JAMA         Olasve         20         al.         15           19934         JAMA         Olasve         20         arrest         arrest         49%           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin         20         et al.         02         arrest         47%           12472         JAMA         Corwin         20         et al.         02         especially those with APACHE score <20	24108		Morelli	20		
26955         Zhonghua Yi Shi Za         Xinqiang 20 et al.         20 et al.         20 12           26387         Chin Drug Investig         Wang et al.         15           19934         JAMA         Olasve ngen et al.         00         Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest         49%           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin et al.         20 et al.         07         Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest         49%           17804         N. Engl. J. Med.         Corwin et al.         20 et al.         07         Erythropoletin reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <20	526	JAMA	et al.	13		
704Zhiet al.15Enditified desinet and the spectral	26955	Zhonghua Yi Shi Za	Xinqiang	20	Econolal reduces mortality in patients with centic shock	51%
26387 030Clin Drug Investig al.Wang et al.20 15Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest49%19934 423JAMAOlasvee al.20 et al.Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest49%17804 841N. Engl. J. Med.Corwin et al.20 et al.Atropine reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <20	704	Zhi	et al.	15	eshold reduces mortality in patients with septic shock	51%
030Defended al.15	26387	Clin Drug Investig	Wang et	20		
19934 423JAMAOlasvee ngen et al.20 op arestAtropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arest49%17804 841N. Engl. J. Med.Corwin et al.20 et al.Atropine reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <20	030		al.	15		
17804 841N. Engl. J. Med.Corwin et al.20 et al.Frythropoietin reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <2047%12472 324JAMACorwin et al.20 et al.Erythropoietin reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <20	19934 423	JAMA	Olasvee ngen et al.	20 09	Atropine reduces mortality to hospital admission in out of hospital cardiac arrest	49%
841N. Engl. J. Med.et al.07 et al.Frythropoietin reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients, especially those with APACHE score <2047%12472 324JAMACorwin et al.02especially those with APACHE score <20	17804		Corwin	20		
12472 324JAMACorwin et al.20 especially those with APACHE score <204/%25586 270Acta OrthopGregers en et al.20 en et al.Arbor of en et al.1525401 417Anesthesiologyde Almeida et al.20 15Aiberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery36%25760 354N. Engl. J. Med.Sloan et al.20 al.Angstwu rm et al.20 al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 af al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%33075 8Am. Surg.Berlauk et al.19 91 seleniuk19 10The use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients27%20926 981ShockYu et al.20 1120 11	841	N. Engl. J. Med.	et al.	07	Erythropoietin reduces mortality in critically ill (including trauma) patients,	470/
324JAMAet al.02CelevityCelevit	12472		Corwin	20	especially those with APACHE score <20	47%
25586 270Acta OrthopGregers en et al.20 en et al.Aresthesiologyde Almeida et al.20 15Iberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery36%25401 417Anesthesiologyde al.20 et al.20 et al.15Iberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery36%25760 354N. Engl. J. Med.Sloan et al.20 et al.20 et al.20 et al.30%17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 et al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%33075 70Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.Eisenber get al.19 et al.87 et al.19 et al.19 et al.19 et al.76 et al.31917 58ChestShoema keret al.19 keret al.20 et al.76 et al.76 et al.76 et al.20926 981ShockYu et al.20 et al.70 et al.20 et al.76 et al.76 et al.	324	JAIVIA	et al.	02		
270New Gradeen et al.1525401 417Anesthesiologyde Almeida et al.20 15A liberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery36%25760 354N. Engl. J. Med.Sloan et al.20 al.436%17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 rm et al.633%33075 70Am. Rev. Respir.Eisenber get al.19 get al.5519296 10Ann. Surg.Berlauk et al.19 steret al.8731917 58ChestShoema keret al.91 tail70 steret al.20 al.20 tail20926 981ShockYu et al.20 tail20 tail20 tail20 tail20926 981ShockYu et al.20 tail20 tail20 tail	25586	36 Acta Orthon Gregers 20				
25401 417Anesthesiologyde Almeida et al.20 15A liberal red blood cell transfusion strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery36%25760 354N. Engl. J. Med.Sloan et al.20 al.20 15Angstwu rm et al.20 al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 07Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%33075 70Am. Rev. Respir. pis.Fisenber get al.19 91He use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients33%19296 981Ann. Surg.Shoema keret al.19 88The use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients27%	270		en et al.	15		
25760 354N. Engl. J. Med.Sloan et al.20 al.17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 rm et al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%33075Am. Rev. Respir.Eisenber g et al.19 87Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%19296 10Ann. Surg.Berlauk et al.19 91 patientsThe use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients27%31917 58ChestShoema keret al.19 keret al.20 1120 11	25401 417	Anesthesiology	de Almeida et al.	20 15	A <b>liberal red blood cell transfusion</b> strategy reduces mortality in cardiac and non cardiac surgery	36%
17095 947Crit. Care Med.Angstwu rm et al.20 nm et al.Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis33%33075Am. Rev. Respir.Eisenber g et al.19 878719296 10Ann. Surg.Berlauk et al.19 91The use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients7631917 58ChestShoema keret al.19 keret al.20 	25760 354	N. Engl. J. Med.	Sloan et al.	20 15		
33075       Am. Rev. Respir.       Fisenber       19         70       Dis.       get al.       87         19296       Ann. Surg.       Berlauk       19         10       et al.       91         31917       Chest       Shoema       19         20926       Shock       Yu et al.       20         981       Shock       Yu et al.       20         10       Yu et al.       20         11       200       Yu et al.       20         10       Shock       Yu et al.       20         11       200       11	17095 947	Crit. Care Med.	Angstwu rm et al.	20 07	Selenium reduces mortality in patients with sepsis	33%
70     Dis.     g et al.     87       19296     Ann. Surg.     Berlauk     19       10     et al.     91       31917     Chest     Shoema     19       20926     Shock     Yu et al.     20       981     Shock     Yu et al.     20	33075	Am. Rev. Respir.	Eisenber	19		
19296 10     Ann. Surg.     Berlauk et al.     19 91     The use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients     27%       31917 58     Chest     Shoema keret al.     19 88     Fhe use of pulmonary artery catheter reduces mortality in critically ill patients     27%       20926 981     Shock     Yu et al.     20 11     11	70	Dis.	g et al.	87		
10         et al.         91         patients         27%           31917         Chest         Shoema         19         keret al.         88           20926         Shock         Yu et al.         20         11	19296	Ann. Surg.	Berlauk	19 01	The use of <b>pulmonary artery catheter</b> reduces mortality in critically ill	
S1317         Chest         Shoema         13           58         Chest         keret al.         88           20926         Shock         Yu et al.         20           981         Shock         Yu et al.         11	21017	1917		10		
20926         Shock         Yu et al.         20           981         Shock         Yu et al.         11	58	58 Chest		88		
981 Shock Yu et al. 11	20926		iter et al.	20		
	981	Shock	Yu et al.	11		

Table 3: Concordance between agreement and use for all topics reaching > 67% of agreement after the Web Vote.

ΤΟΡΙϹ	CONCORDANCE agreement/self-reported actual use %		
Protective ventilation	98		
NIV in COPD	97		
NIV in pulmonary edema and hypoxemic/hypercapnic RF	93		
Early defibrillation	93		
Avoidance of deep sedation	93		
Goal directed therapy in septic shock	93		
Clopidogrel AMI	91		
Tranexamic acid in traumatic bleeding	90		
NIV after extubation	89		
Early tracheostomy	88		
Underfeeding post refeeding syndrome	88		
Albumin in cirrhosis	88		
Early thrombolysis in AMI/PE	87		
Restrictive FiO <sub>2</sub>	87		
Epinephrine in cardiac arrest	87		
Volatile anesthetics in cardiac surgery	84		
Prone positioning in severe ARDS	83		
Amiodarone CPR	82		
Hydrocortisone in septic shock	81		
Levosimendan cardiogenic shock	80		
Procalcitonin guided antibiotics	80		
Leucocyte deplete blood in cardiac surgery	79		
Mechanical chest compression	76		
High flow nasal cannulae in ARF	75		
Antithrombin III in septic and burned	74		
Vasopressin in cardiac arrest	70		
Selective decontamination	62		

AMI: acute myocardial injury; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; ARF: acute respiratory failure; CPR: cardiopulmonary resuscitation; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FiO<sub>2</sub>: inspiratory oxygen fraction; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PE: pulmonary embolism