# HEALTH SCIENCES

NIINA AALTONEN

Functional Autoradiography as a Pharmacological Approach for Studying G Protein-Coupled Lipid Receptor Signalling

Publications of the University of Eastern Finland Dissertations in Health Sciences



#### NIINA AALTONEN

## Functional Autoradiography as a Pharmacological Approach for Studying G Protein-Coupled Lipid Receptor Signalling

To be presented by permission of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Finland for public examination in Auditorium ML2, Medistudia building, Kuopio, on Friday, November 29<sup>th</sup> 2013, at 12 noon

> Publications of the University of Eastern Finland Dissertations in Health Sciences 198

School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health Sciences University of Eastern Finland Kuopio 2013 Kopijyvä Kuopio, 2013

Series Editors: Professor Veli-Matti Kosma, M.D., Ph.D. Institute of Clinical Medicine, Pathology Faculty of Health Sciences

Professor Hannele Turunen, Ph.D. Department of Nursing Science Faculty of Health Sciences

Professor Olli Gröhn, Ph.D. A.I. Virtanen Institute for Molecular Sciences Faculty of Health Sciences

Lecturer Veli-Pekka Ranta, Ph.D. (pharmacy) School of Pharmacy Faculty of Health Sciences

> Distributor: University of Eastern Finland Kuopio Campus Library P.O.Box 1627 FI-70211 Kuopio, Finland http://www.uef.fi/kirjasto

ISBN (print): 978-952-61-1280-0 ISBN (pdf): 978-952-61-1281-7 ISSN (print): 1798-5706 ISSN (pdf): 1798-5714 ISSN-L: 1798-5706

Author's address:	School of Pharmacy University of Eastern Finland KUOPIO FINLAND
Supervisors:	Docent Jarmo T. Laitinen, Ph.D. School of Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine/Physiology University of Eastern Finland KUOPIO FINLAND
	Senior Research Scientist Anne Lecklin, Ph.D. School of Pharmacy University of Eastern Finland KUOPIO FINLAND
Reviewers:	Docent Ulla Petäjä-Repo, Ph.D. Department of Biomedicine, Institute of Anatomy and Cell Biology University of Oulu OULU FINLAND
	Professor Jyrki Kukkonen, Ph.D. Department of Veterinary Biosciences University of Helsinki HELSINKI FINLAND
Opponent:	Professor Ullamari Pesonen, Ph.D. Department of Pharmacology, Drug Development and Therapeutics University of Turku TURKU FINLAND



Aaltonen, Niina Functional Autoradiography as a Pharmacological Approach for Studying G Protein-Coupled Lipid Receptor Signalling University of Eastern Finland, Faculty of Health Sciences Publications of the University of Eastern Finland. Dissertations in Health Sciences 198. 2013. 122 p.

ISBN (print): 978-952-61-1280-0 ISBN (pdf): 978-952-61-1281-7 ISSN (print): 1798-5706 ISSN (pdf): 1798-5714 ISSN-L: 1798-5706

#### ABSTRACT

Lipids have recently been recognized as an important class of signalling molecules both in the central nervous system and the periphery. Bioactive lipids are produced by multistep enzymatic pathways from their membrane phospholipid precursors. After they exert their effect by activating their specific receptors, they are rapidly enzymatically degraded.

Lysophospholipids and endocannabinoids (eCBs) represent two groups of bioactive lipids. Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is a structurally simple lysophospholipid that mainly mediates its actions through six G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (LPA<sub>1-6</sub>). Endocannabinoids, such as anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), are the body's natural agonists for the two GPCRs (CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>) that also recognize  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol, the psychoactive component present in marijuana. Both LPA and eCBs are involved in the development and function of many organ systems as well as in the pathology of several serious diseases, such as atherosclerosis and cancer.

The main objectives of this study were to devise and optimize the methodology used in studying lipid-GPCR signalling and to characterize the enzymatic pathways responsible for lipid messenger synthesis and degradation. The principal method used in the current study was guanosine-5'-O-(3-[<sup>35</sup>S]thio)-triphosphate ([<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S) autoradiography which is applied in a novel way to examine the enzymatic pathways that synthesize and degrade signalling lipids in brain sections.

In the first part of the study, the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography method was characterized by mapping rat brain regions with prominent [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding under basal conditions. A liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method was developed to permit the quantitative determination of LPA species from brain tissue samples. Further studies revealed that the enzymatic systems synthesizing and metabolizing lipid mediators were well preserved in rodent brain cryosections. When LPA/2-AG degradation was pharmacologically blocked, brain sections generated endogenous lipids which were able to activate their cognate GPCRs during the autoradiography incubations. It was concluded that lipid phosphate phosphatases (LPPs) degrade the signalling pool of LPA in brain sections but in addition to LPPs, there seems to be alternative phosphatases present in the brain that degrade LPA at the whole brain level. The CB1 receptor-dependent Gi protein activity remained unaltered in several brain regions of diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL) deficient mice when compared to wild-type mice. Alternative enzymes in addition to DAGLs seem to be responsible for synthesizing 2-AG in brain sections. It appears that there are separate enzymes in the brain that synthesize/degrade the signalling and non-signalling lipid pools. Especially when combined with sensitive analytical methods,  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ autoradiography represents a valuable tool for studying the life cycle of bioactive lipids.

#### National Library of Medicine Classification: QU 85.6, QU 93

Medical Subject Headings: Lipids; Receptors; Lysophosphatidic Acid; Lysophospholipids; Endocannabinoids; Guanosine 5'-O-(3-Thiotriphosphate)/metabolism; Enzyme inhibitors/pharmacology; Autoradiography; Tandem Mass Spectrometry; Brain/metabolism; Brain Mapping/methods; Animals



Aaltonen, Niina Funktionaalinen autoradiografia farmakologisena työkaluna tutkittaessa G-proteiinivälitteistä lipidisignalointia Itä-Suomen yliopisto, terveystieteiden tiedekunta Publications of the University of Eastern Finland. Dissertations in Health Sciences 198. 2013. 122 s.

VII

ISBN (print): 978-952-61-1280-0 ISBN (pdf): 978-952-61-1281-7 ISSN (print): 1798-5706 ISSN (pdf): 1798-5714 ISSN-L: 1798-5706

#### TIIVISTELMÄ

Bioaktiiviset lipidit kuuluvat hormonien kaltaisiin välittäjäaineisiin keskushermostossa sekä muualla elimistössä. Bioaktiiviset lipidit tuotetaan monivaiheisten entsymaattisten reittien kautta lähtöaineinaan solukalvon fosfolipidit ja reseptorivälitteisen vaikutuksensa jälkeen ne hajotetaan entsymaattisesti.

Lysofosfolipidit ja endokannabinoidit muodostavat kaksi bioaktiivisten lipidien ryhmää. Lysofosfolipideihin kuuluva lysofosfatidihappo (LPA) välittää vaikutuksensa pääasiassa kuuden G-proteiinikytkentäisen reseptorin (LPA<sub>1-6</sub>) kautta. Endokannabinoidit, kuten anandamidi ja 2-arakidonyyliglyseroli (2-AG), ovat elimistön kannabinoidireseptorien (CB<sub>1</sub> ja CB<sub>2</sub>) luonnollisia ligandeja. Kannabinoidireseptorit välittävät myös kannabiksen psykoaktiivisia vaikutuksia. Sekä LPA että endokannabinoidit ovat osallisina monissa elimistön toiminnoissa ja häiriintynyt LPA:n ja endokannabinoidien signalointi liitetään useisiin sairauksiin, kuten ateroskleroosiin ja syöpään.

Bioaktiivisten lipidien toiminnan ymmärtämiseksi tarvitaan menetelmiä, joilla voidaan seurata niin lipidien synteesiä, reseptorivälitteistä signalointia kuin entsymaattista hajotustakin. Tässä väitöskirjatyössä kehitettiin ja optimoitiin menetelmiä lipidien elinkaaren tutkimiseen sekä selvennettiin entsymaattisia reittejä, jotka tuottavat ja hajottavat bioaktiivisia lipidejä aivokudoksessa. Pääasiallisena menetelmänä käytettiin guanosiini-5'-O-(3-[<sup>35</sup>S]thio)-trifosfaatti ([<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS)-autoradiografiaa, jolla voidaan tutkia G-proteiinivälitteistä viestintää kudosleikkeissä. Tutkimuksessa menetelmää sovellettiin uudella tavalla entsyymitoiminnan tutkimiseen.

Tutkimuksessa [35]GTPyS-autoradiografiamenetelmää karakterisoitiin paikantamalla menetelmälle ominainen taustasitoutuminen rotan aivoleikkeissä. Lisäksi kehitettiin nestekromatografia-tandem-massaspektrometrimenetelmä LPA:n määrittämiseksi aivokudoksesta. Tutkimuksessa havaittiin, että estämällä LPA:a/2-AG:a hajottavien entsyymien toimintaa farmakologisilla entsyymi-inhibiittoreilla, endogeeninen lipidi kumuloituu aivoleikkeisiin saaden aikaan reseptoriaktivaation, joka voidaan havaita  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ -autoradiografian avulla. Tutkimuksen perusteella lipidifosfaattifosfataasit hajottavat aivoleikkeissä reseptorivälitteisesti signaloivaa LPA:a, mutta aivokudoksessa toimii myös muita LPA:a hajottavia fosfataaseja. Diasyyliglyserolilipaasi (DAGL)poistogeenisten hiirten aivoleikkeissä ei useimmilla aivoalueilla havaittu muutoksia CB1reseptorivälitteisessä Gi-proteiiniaktiivisuudessa verrattuna villityypin hiiriin. Lisäksi pääteltiin, että DAGL-riippumaton entsymaattinen aktiivisuus synnyttää 2-AG:a aivoleikkeissä. Aivoissa vaikuttaa olevan erillisiä entsymaattisia reittejä signaloivan ja eisignaloivan lipidijoukon synteesiin/hajotukseen. Erityisesti yhdistettynä analyyttisiin menetelmiin, [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS-autoradiografia tarjoaa mahdollisuuden seurata bioaktiivisten lipidien koko elinkaarta kudosleikkeissä.

Yleinen suomalainen asiasanasto: lipidit; reseptorit; lysofosfolipidit; lysofosfatidihappo; endokannabinoidit; entsyymit; inhibiittorit; funktionaalinen autoradiografia; aivot; koe-eläimet

### Acknowledgements

The present study was carried out in the School of Pharmacy and in the School of Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine/Physiology, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio.

I wish to thank my principal supervisor Docent Jarmo T. Laitinen for giving me the opportunity to undertake my doctoral studies in his research group. His true enthusiasm for science and broad knowledge have made a great impression on me. His door has always been open for discussion and I wish to express my sincere gratitude for his advice, encouragement as well as constructive comments about my manuscripts throughout this work. I also wish to thank my second supervisor Anne Lecklin, Ph.D., for her guidance and her input that influenced me to get started with my doctoral studies.

I wish to warmly thank Marko Lehtonen, M.Sc., for introducing me to the world of LC/MS/MS, I truly admire his expertise on this complicated field. I am grateful to him for his never-ending support, time and patience as well as positive attitude and encouraging words on those days when the results were not so promising!

I wish to thank Docent Ulla Petäjä-Repo and Professor Jyrki Kukkonen, the official reviewers of my thesis, for their valuable comments that have greatly improved this thesis. I am honoured to have Professor Ullamari Pesonen as my official opponent.

I warmly thank members of our research group, Docent Juha Savinainen, Dina Navia-Paldanius, M.Sc., and Teija Parkkari, Ph.D., for their support and for creating such a friendly working environment. Especially I wish to thank Dina for her support, friendship and all the fruitful discussions, also beyond the science! I also wish to thank Ville Palomäki, M.Sc., for his contributions at the beginning of this work and for teaching me the laboratory techniques essential to this thesis.

I am very grateful to Ewen MacDonald, Ph.D., for revising the language of this thesis and all my manuscripts and also for his encouragement during this work. I also wish to thank Risto Juvonen, Ph.D., for his comments at the defence of the research proposal and for his support. I wish to thank Professor Seppo Auriola for providing his expertise and facilities for LC/MS/MS experiments.

I am very thankful to Pirjo Hänninen, Satu Marttila, Taija Hukkanen and Taina Vihavainen for their excellent technical assistance in the laboratory and for all the invaluable support they have given during these years.

Many thanks belong to the M.Sc. students, Katri Varonen, Gemma Arrufat Goterris, and Casandra Riera Ribas, for their contribution, co-authorship and for the many nice moments we have spent together.

I am grateful to the personnel of the unit of Pharmacology and Toxicology for creating a friendly working environment. Especially I wish to thank Heidi and Jaana, with whom I shared office, and Hanna, Jenni and Marjaana for their friendship. I am especially grateful to Heidi for all the nice moments, including the hours in gym and the guided tours she gave me in Hongkong. I wish to thank Marjo and Niina T. for answering my endless questions when finishing this thesis and all the other "young and not so young" scientists for their support during these years.

I wish express my gratitude to my parents, my mother Lea and my deceased father Jouko, and my grandparents for their support. I wish to thank my relatives for their support, especially my uncle Seppo for his presence, phone calls on my birthdays, and wise advice. Much gratitude also goes to Kiiski family: my parents-in-law Terttu and Jukka, grandfather-in-law Aatos and sister-in-law Johanna and her family. Your homes have always been so welcoming for me.

I wish to express warm thanks to Eveliina, Jukkis, Riikka U, Tero, Riikka D, Harry, Aila and Antti for being such a good friends to me and Jussi! Thank you for all the relaxing evening gatherings and unforgettable trips we have made in homeland and abroad!

Finally, great thanks belong to Jussi for being "the other half" of me and for his love and support during all these years. Without you, I would have been lost in everything and not simply literally such as those times when we have been seeing the world! :)

This study was financially supported by the School of Pharmacy and the School of Medicine/Institute of Biomedicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, FinPharma Doctoral Program/Pharmacy, Academy of Finland, and Finnish Cultural Foundation.

Kuopio, October 2013

Niina Aaltonen

### List of the original publications

This dissertation is based on the following original publications:

- I Aaltonen N, Palomäki VAB, Lecklin A, Laitinen JT. Neuroanatomical mapping of juvenile rat brain regions with prominent basal signal in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography. *J Chem Neuroanat* 35: 233-241, 2008.
- II Aaltonen N, Laitinen JT, Lehtonen M. Quantification of lysophosphatidic acids in rat brain tissue by liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B 878: 1145-1152, 2010.*
- III Aaltonen N, Lehtonen M, Varonen K, Arrufat Goterris G, Laitinen JT. Lipid phosphate phosphatase inhibitors locally amplify lysophosphatidic acid LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling in rat brain cryosections without affecting global LPA degradation. *BMC Pharmacology* 12:7, 2012.
- IV Aaltonen N, Riera Ribas C, Lehtonen M, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT: Brain regional cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling and alternative enzymatic pathways for 2-arachidonoylglycerol generation in brain sections of diacylglycerol lipase deficient mice. *Eur J Pharm Sci* 51:87-95, 2014.

The publications were adapted with the permissions of the copyright owners. In addition, unpublished results are presented in Chapter 7.3.1.



### Contents

1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	2
2.1 G protein-mediated signalling	2
2.1.1 The family of G protein-coupled receptors	2
2.1.2 Signalling via G proteins	2
2.1.3 Functional diversity of GPCR ligands	4
2.1.4 Lipids as ligands for GPCRs	5
2.2 Overview of lysophosphatidic acid signalling	7
2.2.1 Definition of lysophospholipids	7
2.2.2 LPA and its physiological roles	9
2.2.3 LPA receptors	9
2.2.4 Biosynthesis of LPA	11
2.2.5 Enzymatic degradation of LPA	14
2.2.6 LPA signalling as a therapeutic target	15
2.3 Overview of the endocannabinoid system	18
2.3.1 Cannabinoid receptors and their natural ligands	18
2.3.2 Biosynthesis and response of eCBs	20
2.3.3 Enzymatic degradation of eCBs	23
2.3.4 Endocannabinoid system as a target for drug discovery	25
2.4 Functional autoradiography as a method to study lipid GPCR signalling	29
2.4.1 General methods to study GPCR activity	29
2.4.2 [ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS binding techniques	30
3 AIMS OF THE STUDY	35
4 GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	36
4.1 Materials	36
4.1.1 Chemicals (Chapters 5–8)	36
4.1.2 Animals (Chapters 5–7)	38
4.2 Methods	38
4.2.1 [ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS autoradiography (Chapters 5, 7, 8)	38
4.2.2 LC/MS/MS for LPA determination (Chapters 6, 7)	39
4.2.3 Statistical analysis (Chapters 5–8)	40
5 [ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS BINDING UNDER BASAL CONDITIONS	41
5.1 Introduction	42
5.2 Materials and methods	43
5.2.1 Tissue staining	43
5.3 Results and discussion	43
5.3.1 Optimization of the assay conditions	43
5.3.2 Brain structures with enhanced basal [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling	43
5.3.3 Sensitivity to NEM	46
5.3.4 Constitutive receptor activity or orphan GPCRs and their ligands as a	
potential source of enhanced basal signal	47

5.3.5 Regional enrichment of heterotrimeric G proteins and/or other classes of	
GTP-binding proteins as a potential source of enhanced basal signal	48
5.4 Conclusions	48
6 DEVELOPMENT OF LC/MS/MS METHOD FOR LPA ANALYSIS	50
6.1 Introductions	51
6.2 Materials and methods	52
6.2.1 Preparation of standards	52
6.2.2 Assay validation	52
6.3 Results and discussion	53
6.3.1 Method development	53
6.3.2 Selectivity	55
6.3.3 Linearity, precision, accuracy, and recovery	56
6.3.4 Stability	59
6.3.5 Application	60
6.4 Conclusions	60
7 IDENTIFICATION OF ENZYMATIC PATHWAYS DEGRADING LPA IN	
BRAIN SECTIONS	61
7.1 Introduction	62
7.2 Materials and methods	62
7.2.1 Determination of Pi and glycerol	63
7.3 Results and discussion	63
7.3.1 Tonic LPA1 receptor activity under basal conditions of [35S]GTPγS	
autoradiography is not due to PLD or autotaxin activity	63
7.3.2 The LPP inhibitors Na <sub>3</sub> VO <sub>4</sub> and propranolol locally amplify LPA <sub>1</sub> receptor	
signalling without affecting global LPA degradation	64
7.3.3 Aluminium fluoride amplifies LPA1 receptor signalling and totally	
prevents LPA degradation	67
7.3.4 The LPA $\rightarrow$ MAG $\rightarrow$ glycerol pathway efficiently degrades exogenous LPA	70
7.4 Conclusions	72
8 IDENTIFICATION OF ENZYMATIC PATHWAYS GENERATING 2-AG IN	
BRAIN SECTIONS	73
8.1 Introduction	74
8.2 Materials and mathods	74
8.2.1 DAGL-deficient mice	74
8.2.2 LC/MS/MS for eCB determination	75
8.3 Results and discussion	76
8.3.1 The functionality of CB <sub>1</sub> receptor–G <sub>i</sub> -axis remains unaltered in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO	
and DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice when compared to WT animals	76
8.3.2 Mice brain sections generate 2-AG via THL-sensitive enzymatic pathways	
distinct from DAGL $\alpha$ or DAGL $\beta$	79
8.4 Conclusions	82
9 GENERAL DISCUSSION	83
9.1. General comparison of LPA and eCB signalling	83
9.2. Studying the life cycle of LPA and 2-AG	84
10 CONCLUSIONS	89
11 REFERENCES	90

### Abbreviations

2-AG	2-arachidonoylglycerol	DSI	depolarization-induced
AA	arachidonic acid		suppression of inhibition
ABHD	$\alpha/\beta$ -hydrolase domain-	DTT	dithiotreitol
	containing protein	eCB	endocannabinoid
AC	adenylate cyclase	Edg	endothelial differentiation gene
ADP	adenosine diphosphate	EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
AEA	N-arachidonoylethanolamide	ESI	electrospray ionization
	a.k.a anandamide	FAAH	fatty acid amide hydrolase
AGS	activator of G protein	G protein	guanine nucleotide-binding
	signalling		protein
$AlF_{x}^{-}$	aluminium fluoride	GABA	gamma-aminobutyric acid
ATP	adenosine triphosphate	GC	gas chromatography
ATX	autotaxin	GDP	guanosine diphosphate
BSA	bovine serum albumin	GIP	GPCR interacting protein
C1P	ceramide 1-phosphate	GP	glycerol phosphate
cAMP	cyclic adenosine	GPAT	glycerophosphate
	monophosphate		acyltransferase
CNS	central nervous system	GPCR	G protein-coupled receptor
COX	cyclooxygenase	GTP	guanosine triphosphate
DAG	diacylglycerol	GTPase	guanosine triphosphatase
DAGK	diacylglycerol kinase	GTPγS	guanosine-5'-O-(3-thio)-
DAGL	diacylglycerol lipase		triphosphate
$\Delta^9$ -THC	$\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol	[ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS	guanosine-5'-O-(3-[ <sup>35</sup> S]thio)-
DFOM	deferoxamine mesylate		triphosphate
DMR	dynamic mass redistribution	HPLC	high-performance liquid
DPCPX	8-cyclopentyl-		chromatography
	1,3-dipropylxanthine	IP <sub>3</sub>	inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate
DSE	depolarization-induced	IS	internal standard
	suppression of excitation	КО	knockout

LC/MS	liquid chromatography/mass	PAF	platelet activating factor
	spectrometry	PAP	phosphatidate phosphatase
LC/MS/MS	liquid chromatography/	PC	phosphatidylcholine
	tandem mass spectrometry	PHARC	polyneuropathy, hearing loss,
LLOQ	lower limit of quantification		ataxia, retinis pigmentosa, and
LOX	lipoxygenase		cataract
LPAAT	lysophosphatidic acid	$P_{\mathrm{i}}$	inorganic phosphate
	acyltransferase	PIP <sub>2</sub>	phosphoinositol-4,5-
LPA	lysophosphatidic acid		bisphosphate
LPC	lysophosphatidylcholine	PLA	phospholipase A
LPE	lysophosphatidylethanolamine	PLC	phospholipase C
LPI	lysophosphatidylinositol	PLD	phospholipase D
LPL	lysophospholipase	PPARγ	peroxisome proliferator-
LPP	lipid phosphate phosphatase		activated receptor $\gamma$
LPS	lysophosphatidylserine	PRG	plasticity-related gene
MAFP	methylarachidonoylfluoro-	QC	quality control
	phosphonate	RGS	regulator of G protein signalling
MAG	monoacylglycerol	RSD	relative standard deviation
MAGK	monoacylglycerol kinase	S1P	sphingosine 1-phosphate
MAGL	monoacylglycerol lipase	SPC	sphingosylphosphorylcholine
MRM	multiple reaction monitoring	TEA	triethylamine
MS	mass spectrometry	TGF	transforming growth factor
NaF	sodium fluoride	THL	tetrahydrolipstatin
NAM	N-arachidonoylmaleimide	TRPV1	transient receptor potential
NAPE	N-acylphosphatidyl-		vanilloid type-1 receptor
	ethanolamine	WT	wild-type
NArPE	N-arachidonoylphosphatidyl-		
	ethanolamine		
NEM	N-ethylmaleimide		
NTE	neuropathy target esterase		

PA phosphatidic acid

### 1 Introduction

Cells are surrounded by the plasma membrane which is made up of a protein-enriched phospholipid bilayer. The plasma membrane acts as a kind of skin protecting the cell from substances from the outside environment but it also allows messages from outside the cell to be mediated into the cell. Lipids have traditionally been seen as structural components of cellular membranes or cellular energy sources without any informational functions. During last decades, however, lipids have been recognised as being an important class of signalling molecules in both the central nervous system (CNS) and the periphery. Several groups of these bioactive lipids act through specific G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Under normal physiological conditions, the lifetime of bioactive lipids is tightly regulated. Bioactive lipids are produced by multistep enzymatic pathways, which are initiated by the de-esterification of membrane phospholipids. After the bioactive lipids have exerted their action by activating their specific receptors, they are rapidly enzymatically degraded.

Lysophospholipids and endocannabinoids (eCBs) represent two important groups of bioactive lipids. Lysophospholipids can be divided into lysoglycerophospholipids and sphingoid lipids. Lysoglycerophospholipids are simple lipids having three structural features: a 3-carbon backbone (glycerol), a single aliphatic hydrocarbon chain and a polar headgroup. Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is one of the best studied lysoglycerophospholipid. LPA mainly mediates its actions through six GPCRs (LPA<sub>1-6</sub>). LPA generally evokes hormone- and growth factor-like responses and these are believed to be involved in the development and function of neural and vascular systems as well as in the function of immune and reproductive systems. Endocannabinoids are the body's natural agonists for the two GPCRs (CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>) that also recognize  $\Delta^9$ tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^9$ -THC), the psychoactive component present in marijuana. The two most extensively studied eCBs are anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG). In the CNS, eCBs are involved in neurogenesis as well as in cognition, emotional functions, regulation of food intake, and pain sensation. In the periphery, eCBs mediate cardiovascular, immune, metabolic, and reproductive functions.

Since both LPA and eCB signalling systems are involved in the development and function of several organ systems, it is not surprising that their dysregulated function would be associated with a variety of human diseases. Since lipid receptors are widely distributed in the body, use of exogenous receptor agonists and antagonists might induce side effects in other sites than their target organs. Another way to affect lipid functions would be the pharmacological manipulation of enzymes that synthesize and degrade these lipids. By inhibiting the activity of the synthesizing/degrading enzymes, one would predict that it would be possible to manipulate the levels of endogenous ligands and subsequent GPCR activity.

Before one can understand lipid signalling and subsequently how this knowledge can be exploited for drug discovery purposes, it is essential to have suitable methods to monitor each step of the life cycle of bioactive lipids, including biosynthesis, receptor signalling and enzymatic degradation. Guanosine-5'-O-(3-[ $^{35}S$ ]thio)-triphosphate ([ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S) autoradiography has been classically used to detect agonist-driven activity of the receptor-G protein axis in tissue sections. In the present study, [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography has been applied in studies of enzymatic pathways that synthesize and degrade signalling lipids in rodent brain sections. In brain sections, the receptor G protein-axis as well as enzymatic systems remain functional in the right anatomical context. Especially when combined with sensitive analytical methods, [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography represents a valuable tool for studying the regulation of lipid signalling.

### 2 *Review of the Literature*

#### 2.1 G PROTEIN-MEDIATED SIGNALLING

#### 2.1.1 The family of G protein-coupled receptors

In order to function properly, cells require a machinery that will permit the passage messages through the plasma membrane. The family of membrane-bound G proteincoupled receptors (GPCRs) constitutes one of the largest families of proteins coded by the mammalian genome (Fredriksson et al. 2003). GPCRs mediate the signal of a diverse set of endogenous ligands such as neurotransmitters, hormones and peptides but detect also signals of external origin, acting as sensory receptors for odorants, taste molecules and photons of light (Pierce et al. 2002, Maudsley et al. 2005). The total number of human GPCRs is estimated to be close to one thousand; about half of them are chemosensory receptors and one third (~ 370) represent receptors for endogenous ligands (endoGPCRs) (Vassilatis et al. 2003). GPCRs are ubiquitously expressed throughout the body but the majority of human GPCRs and endoGPCRs are expressed in the brain (Vassilatis et al. 2003).

GPCRs consist of seven  $\alpha$ -helical transmembrane domains forming three interhelical loops on both sides of the membrane, an extracellular N-terminus, and an intracellular Cterminus (Latek et al. 2012). Due to the structure formed by a polypeptide passing through the plasma membrane seven times, GPCRs are often called seven-transmembrane receptors. One common classification (so-called GRAFS system) divides GPCRs into five groups, i.e. glutamate, rhodopsin, adhesion, frizzled/taste2, and secretin (Fredriksson et al. 2003, Bjarnadottir et al. 2006). The rhodopsin family is the largest family of GPCRs and it includes receptors for odorants and endogenous small ligands. On the bases on sequence similarity, the rhodopsin family can be further divided into four subclasses ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ) (Fredriksson et al. 2003). The subclasses not only differ between their preferred ligand but also in location of their ligand binding domain (Bjarnadottir et al. 2006). The crystal structures of 16 members of the rhodopsin family have been resolved (Stevens et al. 2013); the pioneering reports described the rhodopsin (Palczewski et al. 2000) and  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptors (Rasmussen et al. 2007, Cherezov et al. 2007).

At least one third, according to some estimations nearly half, of the currently marketed pharmaceutical drugs target GPCRs but there is still potential for drug companies to target GPCR signalling. There are receptors that exhibit the heptahelical conformation, the hallmark of the GPCRs, but for which there is no known natural ligand; these are called orphan receptors. Among the rhodopsin GPCR family, about 67 receptors remain classified as orphans and "de-orphanizing" these receptors would be an important goal for drug discovery purposes (Civelli et al. 2013).

#### 2.1.2 Signalling via G proteins

The binding of the ligand induces a conformational change in the receptor protein allowing it to interact with other proteins. One feature defining GPCRs is their ability to interact with heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G proteins). Small, monomeric G proteins also exist but they do not couple to GPCRs (Csepanyi-Kömi et al. 2012).

Heterotrimeric G proteins consist of three subunits,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . A substantial number of mammalian genes encode G protein subunits (16 genes for  $\alpha$  subunit, 5 genes for  $\beta$  subunit, 12 genes for  $\gamma$  subunit) (Oldham & Hamm 2008). In the resting state, the  $\alpha$  subunit of the G

protein binds guanosine diphosphate (GDP), and the G $\alpha$ -GDP is tightly associated with the G $\beta\gamma$ -complex. After agonist ligand binding, the receptor adopts a conformation which allows it to interact with a G protein, resulting in the exchange of GDP for guanosine triphosphate (GTP) in the  $\alpha$  subunit. As a consequence, the GTP-bound G $\alpha$  dissociates from the G $\beta\gamma$  complex, enabling both subunit complexes to regulate a variety of effectors (Oldham & Hamm 2008, Tuteja 2009). The system returns to its resting state due to the activity of guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) which is an intrinsic part of the  $\alpha$  subunit; this enzyme hydrolyzes GTP back to GDP. The guanine nucleotide exchange cycle is presented in Figure 1.



*Figure 1.* The guanine-nucleotide exchange cycle. (A) When agonist is not bound, the receptor is uncoupled from the G protein. (B) Binding of an agonist induces a conformational change in the receptor and this results in its coupling to the G protein. The G protein is activated and the bound GDP is exchanged for GTP. (C) The a subunit of the G protein dissociates from the  $\beta\gamma$  complex and both subunits interact with downstream signalling elements. The a subunit hydrolyses bound GTP back to GDP, agonist dissociates from the receptor and the system returns to the resting state (modified from Sovago et al. 2001).

Based on the amino acid sequence of the  $\alpha$  subunits, G proteins can be divided into four subfamilies, G<sub>i</sub>, G<sub>s</sub>, G<sub>q</sub>, and G<sub>12</sub>, and the four G $\alpha$  subfamilies can be further divided into subtypes (Figure 2) (Cabrera-Vera et al. 2003). In addition, five  $\beta$  subunits and twelve  $\gamma$ subunits have been identified (Malbon 2005). The majority of endoGPCRs couple to G<sub>i</sub> type of G proteins (Wong 2003). The type of the  $\alpha$  subunit determines the sunsequent downstream response (Malbon 2005). Classically,  $\alpha_s$  activates and  $\alpha_i$  inhibits adenylate cyclase. Adenylate cyclase converts adenosine triphosphate (ATP) into cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) which serves as a second messenger that can activate protein kinase A and many other downstream effectors. The  $\alpha_q$  class proteins activate phospholipase C $\beta$  (PLC $\beta$ ) that catalyzes the formation of diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) that regulate protein kinase C activity and intracellular calcium levels, respectively. The  $\alpha_{12}$  class can stimulate Rho guanine nucleotide-exchange factors.



*Figure 2.* Illustration of subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins (based on Cabrera-Vera et al. 2003, Malbon 2005).

In addition to the heterotrimeric G proteins, GPCRs interact with other proteins, called GPCR interacting proteins (GIPs) (Bockaert et al. 2010). GIPs are believed to control GPCR subcellular localization and the fine-tuning of GPCR signalling. Desensitization is a mechanism to dampen GPCR signalling at the receptor level (Maudsley et al. 2005). This process starts with phosphorylation of either resting or agonist-stimulated receptors by kinases.  $\beta$ -Arrestins (type 1 and 2) are GIPs that bind to agonist-occupied, phosphorylated GPCRs (Shenoy et al. 2011, Shukla et al. 2011).  $\beta$ -Arrestins desensitize receptors by sterically preventing G protein coupling and also promote the internalization, endocytosis and recycling/degradation of GPCRs. In addition,  $\beta$ -arrestins can initiate signalling that is independent of G proteins via scaffolding signalling molecules in close proximity to an activated GPCR.

On the other hand, G proteins can be activated by other proteins distinct from GPCRs. These proteins, called activators of G protein signalling (AGS), can regulate heterotrimeric G protein signalling in the absence of GPCRs (Sato et al. 2006, Blumer et al. 2007). The third group of compounds, regulators of G protein signalling (RGS), can accelerate the GTPase activity of the G protein  $\alpha$ -subunit and through this mechanism they can attenuate GPCR signalling (Neubig & Siderovski 2002). In summary, regulation of GPCR signalling is a complex process, in which several different proteins may be involved in addition to the classical cascade mediated by GPCR-heterotrimeric G protein axis.

#### 2.1.3 Functional diversity of GPCR ligands

According to classical view, *agonist* ("key") is a molecule that binds to a receptor ("lock") and induces a conformational change in the receptor protein, which leads to stimulation of G protein activity. A more advanced receptor theory postulates that GPCRs exist in a dynamic equilibrium between inactive (R) and active (R\*) states (Samama et al. 1993). According to this model, agonists shift the equilibrium toward the activated states. Agonists can be further divided into full and partial agonists; *full agonist* stabilizes the R\* conformation and generates maximal response (full efficacy) whereas *partial agonists* have lower intrinsic efficacy, thus producing a sub-maximal response. Neutral *antagonists* bind to both R and R\* and do not affect the basal equilibrium. They have no stimulating effect itself but they block agonists from binding. A constitutive receptor activity is a state where the receptors exist in their active conformation in the absence of any ligand (Lefkowitz et al. 1993). *Inverse agonists* bind preferentially to inactive states and decrease the level of constitutive activity (Samama et al. 1994). In nonconstitutively active systems, inverse agonists act as antagonists. So called *protean agonists* are ligands that act as partial agonists in some systems and as inverse agonists in others (Kenakin 2001).

Furthermore, the term *orthosteric ligand* refers to a ligand that binds to the natural ligandbinding site (orthosteric site) on the receptor and thus directly competes with the natural ligand for receptor binding (Kenakin & Miller 2010). In contrast, *allosteric ligands* are ligands that bind at a site different from the orthosteric binding site but they can still influence the functional properties of the receptor. *Bitopic ligands* have both orthosteric and allosteric properties.

The majority of the current drug molecules targeting GPCRs bind to an orthosteric site of the receptor. These drugs either activate receptor (agonists), block the binding of the natural agonist (neutral antagonists), or block constitutive receptor activity (inverse agonists). Another possible way in which a drug can influence GPCR function would be via so called allosteric modulation i.e. in that case the drug would either inhibit or potentiate an orthosteric ligand's binding affinity and/or modulate its signalling efficacy (Kenakin 2010). Allosteric modulators can also mediate receptor activation in their own right either via G proteins or in a G protein-independent manner (via  $\beta$ -arrestins). When compared to orthosteric ligands, allosteric modulators would provide a more selective effect e.g. they would act on only a certain receptor subtypes since they target unique regions of the receptor.

#### 2.1.4 Lipids as ligands for GPCRs

Lipid-structured mediators can act in either an intercellular or intracellular manner. The intercellular lipid mediators include hormones and hormone-like signallig molecules that act via specific receptors, generally either via GPCRs or nuclear receptors (Shimizu 2009, Evans & Hutchinson 2010). Intracellular lipid mediators, instead, refer to the second messengers such as DAG and IP<sub>3</sub>.

The first bioactive lipids that were recognized to signal via GPCRs were the cyclooxygenase (COX) products of arachidonic acid metabolism, the prostaglandins and thromboxane (Coleman et al. 1994). The prostaglandins and the COX enzymes are perhaps the most well-known and most widely utilized lipid targets; the classical COX-inhibitor, aspirin, has been on the market for more than 110 years. According to current knowledge, a number of bioactive lipids such as leukotrienes, prostanoids, platelet-activating factor, lysophospholipids, and endocannabinoids, act via GPCRs and regulate essential cellular functions and immune responses (Howlett 2005, Shimizu 2009) (Table 1). In addition, other lipids, such as bile acids and steroids as well as short and long chain fatty acids, have been reported to bind to GPCRs, but additional studies are still needed to confirm these lipid-GPCR interactions (Shimizu 2009).

After the cloning of the cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor (Devane et al. 1988), several GPCRs for intercellular lipid mediators have been cloned. The classification of lipid GPCRs recognizes more than 30 receptors that belong to the rhodopsin family of GPCRs (Howlett 2005, Bäck et al. 2011, Ye et al. 2009, Brink et al. 2004, Woodward et al. 2011, Chun et al. 2010, Pertwee et al. 2010) (Table 1, Figure 3). A few specific families of lipid GPCRs have appeared; for example the endothelial differentiation gene (Edg) family consists of three receptors for lysophosphatidic acid (LPA<sub>1-3</sub>) and five receptors for sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P<sub>1-5</sub>). Members of this family are 40% homologous with the cannabinoid receptors (CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>) (Shimizu 2009). In addition to the identified lipid receptors, some of the GPCRs found in the phylogenetic tree still remain orphans (Figure 3).

Crystallography studies have revealed differences in the properties of GPCRs e.g. in the ligand binding pockets between different GPCR subfamilies, reflecting diversity of the endogenous ligands (Katritch et al. 2013, Rosen et al. 2013). Generally, the rhodopsin GPCR family bind their ligands from the extracellular milieu. At present, the crystal structure for one lipid GPCR, the S1P<sub>1</sub> receptor, has been resolved (Hanson et al. 2012). The structure of S1P<sub>1</sub> receptor reveals a unique configuration of the extracellular loops and the N terminus; the N terminus has a well-ordered  $\alpha$ -helix on top of the receptor, which in conjuction with

the extracellular loops occludes access to the ligand binding pocket from the extracellular environment. Instead, there is a large gap between helices I and VII that provides direct lateral access of the ligand into the binding pocket from the lipid bilayer. Though the crystal structures of other lipid GPCRs have not been resolved, it is believed that also endogenous cannabinoids gain access to the cannabinoid receptors via the lipid bilayer (Hurst et al. 2010, Hurst et al. 2013).

*Table 1.* Lipid GPCRs, their endogenous ligands and primary biological functions (according to Howlett 2005, Bäck et al. 2011, Ye et al. 2009, Brink et al. 2004, Woodward et al. 2011, Chun et al. 2010, Pertwee et al. 2010).

Receptor	Endogenous ligands Biological functions			
Leukotriene and lipoxin				
CysLT <sub>1</sub> , CysLT <sub>2</sub>	Leucotrienes $C_4$ , $D_4$ and $E_4$ (LTC <sub>4</sub> , LTD <sub>4</sub> and LTE <sub>4</sub> , respectively)	Inflammation, chemotaxis,		
$BLT_1, BLT_2$	Leucotriene $B_4$ (LTB <sub>4</sub> )	immune regulation, smooth		
FPR <sub>2</sub> /ALX	Lipoxin $A_4$ (LXA <sub>4</sub> )			
Oxoeicosanoid				
5-oxo-ETE/OXE	5-oxo-6,8,11,14-eicosatetraenoic acid (5-oxo-ETE), hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid (5-HPETE), hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (5-HETE)	Chemotaxis		
Prostanoids				
DP1, DP <sub>2</sub> /CRTH <sub>2</sub>	Prostaglandin $D_2$ (PGD <sub>2</sub> )			
EP <sub>1-4</sub>	Prostaglandin $E_2$ (PGE <sub>2</sub> )	Fever, pain, inflammation,		
FP	Prostaglandin $F_{2a}$ (PGF <sub>2a</sub> )	vasodilatation		
IP	Prostacyclin (PGI <sub>2</sub> )			
ТР	Thromboxane $A_2$ (TXA <sub>2</sub> )	Platelet aggregation, vasoconstriction		
Platelet-activating factor (PAF)				
PAF	PAF and PAF-like lipids	Inflammation, chemotaxis, platelet-activating mediator		
Lysophospholipid				
LPA <sub>1-6</sub>	Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA)	Cell proliferation, differentiation,		
S1P <sub>1-5</sub>	Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P)	migration, adhesion, morphogenesis		
Cannabinoid				
CB <sub>1</sub> , CB <sub>2</sub>	2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), anandamide	Brain function, immune regulation, analgesia		

The life cycle of bioactive lipids can be divided into three main parts: synthesis from membrane phospholipids, receptor stimulation and rapid enzymatic hydrolysis. Traditionally, classical water-soluble neurotransmitters, hormones etc. are pre-synthesized and stored in vesicles before their release from the cell. Due to their hydrophobic nature, bioactive lipids are not stored in the vesicles but instead are produced locally only when needed, "on demand". After their biosynthesis and action on their specific receptors, bioactive lipids are usually degraded enzymatically. The synthesis, receptor activation and metabolism of lipid mediators are tightly regulated under normal physiological conditions, and enzyme and/or receptor dysfunction can lead to several disease states.

Pharmacological means to affect lipid GPCR function include not only exogenous receptor ligands/allosteric modulators but also compounds that target the enzymes that synthesize or degrade endogenous lipid ligands. Since lipids are synthesized on demand in

a site-dependent manner, pharmacological inhibition of the enzymes that degrade these lipids would be anticipated to lead to local accumulation of endogenous ligands and subsequent GPCR activation. This approach would make it possible to avoid the sideeffects associated with exogenous agonists that target the receptors in all parts of the body. Conversely, inhibition of lipid synthesizing enzymes would decrease the levels of the endogenous agonist, and in this way, evoke a reduction in GPCR activity.



*Figure 3.* Phylogenetic tree of GPCRs including established lipid GPCRs and some orphan receptors (Reprinted from Shimizu 2009 with permission from Annual Reviews, Inc.).

#### 2.2 OVERVIEW OF LYSOPHOSPHATIDIC ACID SIGNALLING

#### 2.2.1 Definition of lysophospholipids

In the early 1900s, lysophospholipids were first recognized in a study investigating a snake venom that acted on lecithin (from Greek "lekithos" meaning egg yolk, later used as synonym for phosphatidylcholine (PC)) to produce lysolecithin, where the "lyso"-prefix referred to the hemolytic effect on red blood cells (Chun 2007). Lysophospholipids can be divided into lysoglycerophospholipids and sphingoid lipids. Lysoglycerophospholipids are enzymatically synthesized from membrane phospholipids and they display three structural features: a 3-carbon backbone, a single aliphatic hydrocarbon chain and a polar head group. A single carbon chain can vary in its length and saturation. Sphingoid lipids, instead, are synthetized by the sphingosine kinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of sphingosine (Pyne et al. 2009). Since lysophospholipids are rather simple in their structure they are able to interact with a diverse array of biomolecular targets, including both membrane and nuclear

receptors and enzymes (Parrill 2008). The two best characterized lysophospholipids are LPA and S1P (Figure 4). Other lysophospholipids include lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), sphingosylphosphorylcholine (SPC), lysophosphatidylserine (LPS), lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), and lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI) (Figure 4).



Lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI)

*Figure 4.* Chemical structures of lysophospholipids. For S1P and SPC,  $R = (CH_2)_{12}CH_3$ , for others, R = acyl with a variable chain length and unsaturation.

Lysophospholipids mediate the majority of their responses via specific GPCRs. There are currently 11 *bona fide* GPCRs identified for lysophospholipids (LPA<sub>1-6</sub> and S1P<sub>1-5</sub>) and 10 receptor null-mice for lysophospholipid GPCRs have been described (LPA<sub>1-5</sub> and S1P<sub>1-5</sub>) (Choi & Chun 2013). In addition to LPA and S1P receptors, there are potential receptors for other lysophospholipids among the large group of orphan GPCRs in the human genome. Orphan receptors G2A, GPR4, ORG1 and TDAG8 were first claimed to be activated by LPC, SPC and/or psychosine, but according to the current view, these receptors act as proton-sensing receptors and are not directly activated by lysophospholipids (Seuwen et al. 2006). Relatively strong evidence has been provided for the designation of GPR55 as an LPI receptor (Oka et al. 2007, Pertwee et al. 2010, Pineiro & Falasca 2012).

Lysophospholipid GPCRs are widely expressed both in the brain and the periphery. Lysophospholipids regulate a wide variety of cellular responses, being involved in the development of organ systems, such as nervous, vascular and reproductive systems, but they also enhance cancer growth and metastasis, inflammation and the development of atherosclerotic plaques (Mutoh et al. 2012). Their structure, wide expression pattern, and involvement in so many processes in the body make lysophospholipids attractive drug targets. The first drug on the market affecting lysophospholipid signalling is the immunosuppressive compound, fingolimod (FTY720, Gilenya®), that was approved by Food and Drug Administration in 2010 as the first oral therapy for multiple sclerosis (Brinkmann et al. 2010, Chun & Brinkmann 2011). In the body, fingolimod is phosphorylated by endogenous sphingosine kinases, resulting in the formation of the bioactive fingolimod-phosphate, which acts as an agonist for S1P1 and S1P3\_5 receptors.

#### 2.2.2 LPA and its physiological roles

Different molecular species of LPA exist *in vivo*. The acyl group of LPA can differ in length and its degree of unsaturation; there are saturated and mono- and poly-unsaturated variants of either *sn1* or *sn2* regioisomers. As a signalling molecule, the term LPA generally refers to 1-acyl-2-hydroxy-*sn*-3-phosphate (Figure 4).

It was long believed that the main source of LPA was blood. Early work indicated that LPA was present in serum and originated from activated platelets (Eichholtz et al. 1993). Currently it is known that in addition to blood, LPA can be found in other body fluids such as saliva (Sugiura et al. 2002), seminal plasma (Hama et al. 2002), and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (Tager et al. 2008). The serum LPA is bound to albumin, gelsolin and other proteins which stabilize it in these hydrophilic environments and possibly protect it from rapid degradation (Tigyi & Miledi 1992, Goetzl et al. 2000). In addition to body fluids and platelets, several cell types, including adipocytes and ovarian cancer cells, can produce and release LPA (Mills et al. 2002, Federico et al. 2012).

LPA generally evokes hormone- and growth factor-like responses. Cells can respond in many different ways to LPA; LPA is most often associated with proliferative responses, but it also stimulates cell motility and migration, cytoskeletal reorganization, and process retraction (Moolenaar et al. 2004). Cellular migration plays central role in embryonic development and on the other hand, in the conversion of tumours so that they acquire an invasive and metastatic phenotype.

Significant amounts of LPA have been detected in the brain tissue (Sugiura et al. 1999, Nakane et al. 2002). In the brain, LPA has been identified in neural progenitors, primary neurons, oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, microglia, and brain endothelial cells, e.g. being involved in neurogenesis and myelination (Ye et al. 2002). In several types of primary neurons, LPA has been demonstrated to induce morphological changes, such as neurite retraction and growth cone collapse as well as to regulate migration, cell death/survival, synapse formation, and synaptic transmission (Ye et al. 2002, Pilpel & Segal 2006, Choi & Chun 2013).

#### 2.2.3 LPA receptors

Early work indicated that LPA was a constituent of a mysterious smooth musclestimulating substance, Darmstoff (Vogt 1963). Further studies suggested that LPA could be involved in the regulation of blood pressure but the mechanism of this action was not clear (Sen et al. 1968, Tokumura et al. 1978). Later, signalling cascades mediated by LPA were shown to involve G proteins (van Corven et al. 1989). Currently, six LPA receptors belonging to the rhodopsin GPCR family have been identified (Table 2). The first LPA receptor was cloned in 1996 from the ventricular zone of the developing mouse cerebral cortex and originally named the ventricular zone gene-1 (Vzg-1) (Hecht et al. 1996). This receptor, currently called LPA<sub>1</sub>, belongs to the Edg family. In addition to LPA<sub>1</sub>, two other Edg members (LPA<sub>2</sub>, LPA<sub>3</sub>) have been described (An et al. 1998, Bandoh et al. 1999). The LPA<sub>1-3</sub> receptors share 50–57% amino acid identity with each other. In addition to the Edg family, another non-Edg group of GPCRs has been claimed to act as LPA receptors (LPA<sub>4-6</sub>) (Noguchi et al. 2003, Kotarsky et al. 2006, Lee et al. 2006, Pasternack et al. 2008, Lee et al. 2009). LPA<sub>4-6</sub> are closely related to the subfamily of P2Y purinergic receptors and share only 20–24% amino acid identity with LPA<sub>1-3</sub>. Evidently, LPA receptors have evolved via two distinct lineages. Additional GPCRs for LPA have also been putatively described in the literature (Tabata et al. 2007, Murakami et al. 2008, Oka et al. 2010) but further studies will be needed to clarify if these receptors truly mediate the biological effects of LPA.

In addition to G protein-mediated pathways, LPA-stimulated GPCR activation can lead to activation of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B pathway e.g. via  $\beta$ -arrestins (Sun & Yang 2010). LPA has also been demonstrated to activate the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$ (PPAR $\gamma$ ) (McIntyre et al. 2003). The PPAR $\gamma$  acts as a transcription factor e.g. controlling genes that are involved in glucose and fatty acid metabolism and in adipocyte differentiation. LPA has been reported to displace the full agonist, the antidiabetic agent, rosiglitazone, from PPAR $\gamma$  (Parrill 2008). The relevance of LPA–PPAR $\gamma$  signalling still remains somewhat controversial.

Receptor	Other names	Primary expression loci in mice	G protein coupling	Downstream responses	References
LPA <sub>1</sub>	Edg2, Vzg-1	Brain, uterus, testis, lung, small intestine, heart, stomach, kidney, spleen, thymus, placenta, skeletal muscle	G <sub>i</sub> , G <sub>a</sub> , G <sub>12</sub>	Inhibition of AC, activation of Ras, PI3K, PLC, Rho	Hecht et al. 1996, Choi et al. 2010
LPA <sub>2</sub>	Edg4	Kidney, uterus, testis, lung, stomach, spleen, thymus, brain, heart	G <sub>i</sub> , G <sub>q</sub> , G <sub>12</sub>	Inhibition of AC, activation of Ras, PI3K, PLC, Rho	An et al. 1998, Choi et al. 2010
LPA <sub>3</sub>	Edg7	Testis, kidney, lung, small intestine, heart, stomach, spleen, brain, thymus	G <sub>i</sub> , G <sub>q</sub>	Inhibition of AC, activation of Ras, PI3K, PLC	Bandoh et al. 1999, Choi et al. 2010
LPA <sub>4</sub>	GPR23, P2Y9	Heart, skin, thymus, ovary, developing brain, embryonic fibroblasts	G <sub>i</sub> , G <sub>q</sub> , G <sub>12</sub> , G <sub>s</sub>	Inhibition of AC activation of AC, Ras, PI3K, PLC, Rho	Noguchi et al. 2003, Lee et al. 2007, Choi et al. 2010
LPA <sub>5</sub>	GPR92	Widely expressed, such as embryonic brain, small intestine, skin, spleen, stomach, thymus, lung, heart, liver, embryonic stem cells	G <sub>q</sub> , G <sub>12</sub>	Activation of PLC and Rho	Kotarsky et al. 2006, Lee et al. 2006, Choi et al. 2010
LPA <sub>6</sub>	P2Y5	In humans: hair follicle	G <sub>i</sub> , G <sub>s</sub> , G <sub>12</sub>	Inhibition of AC, activation of AC, Ras, PI3K, PLC, Rho	Lee et al. 2009, Pasternack et al. 2008

Table 2. Confirmed GPCRs for LPA, their expression patterns and signalling pathways.

Abbreviations: AC, adenylate cyclase; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase; PLC, phospholipase C.

Each LPA GPCR displays a distinct ligand selectivity profile for the various LPA species, e.g. LPA<sub>3</sub> is more potently activated by an LPA with an acyl chain at the *sn*-2 position (Bandoh et al. 2000). Generally, LPAs with unsaturated fatty acids are more potent in activating LPA receptors than LPAs with saturated fatty acids (Bandoh et al. 2000, Fujiwara et al. 2005). LPA signalling is complex due to the large number of LPA species, receptors and signalling partners. Furthermore, different cells can express different receptors but some cells and tissues express several different LPA receptor subtypes (Moolenaar et al.

2004). The LPA-induced response largely depends on the cell in question, e.g. LPA increases the survival of certain cancer cells by protecting them from apoptosis (Meng et al. 2005), but on the other hand, it has been reported to promote apoptosis of hippocampal neurons (Ye et al. 2002).

All the six identified LPA receptors are expressed at varying levels in the different types of cells in the CNS, especially during embryonic development and/or postnatal life. The principal LPA receptor in the brain is LPA<sub>1</sub>, and the major site of its expression is the white matter tracts of the developing nervous system (Choi et al. 2010). During embryonic stage, the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor is highly expressed in the ventricular zone where the neural progenitor cells are located, playing a role in cortical development. During postnatal life, LPA<sub>1</sub> is located within oligodendrocytes, the myelinating cells of the CNS, as demonstrated by *in situ* hybridization (Weiner et al. 1998, Stankoff et al. 2002) and immunohistochemistry (Handford et al. 2001, Cervera et al. 2002). LPA<sub>1</sub> is also expressed in astrocytes, microglia, and neurons. In mice, LPA<sub>1</sub> expression peaks at 3–5 weeks after birth and diminishes thereafter (Contos & Chun 2001). In the healthy adult mouse nervous system, the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor is weakly expressed but is upregulated following spinal cord or brain injury (Goldshmit et al. 2010).

Knockout (KO) mice for five LPA receptors (LPA<sub>1-5</sub>) have been reported. In the LPA<sub>1</sub>-KO, the brain development was disturbed as evidenced by a smaller brain size with reduced cortical width and cerebral wall thickness (Contos et al. 2000). Combined with a defect in the suckling behaviour due to impaired olfaction, these disabilities resulted in 50% neonatal lethality. In the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor knockout mice, altered levels of neurotransmitters (serotonin, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), glutamate) have been detected, indicating that LPA might have a role in modulating synaptic transmission (Harrison et al. 2003, Roberts et al. 2005). LPA<sub>3</sub>-deficient female mice showed delayed embryo implantation, altered embryo spacing, and reduced litter size (Ye et al. 2005). Defects in prostaglandin levels were also observed indicating co-operation between the LPA<sub>3</sub> receptor and prostaglandin signalling in the embryo implantation. LPA<sub>2</sub> (Contos et al. 2002) and LPA<sub>5</sub> (Lin et al. 2012) knockout mice were born normally and displayed no phenotypic abnormalities. Conflicting data appear with LPA<sub>4</sub> knockouts; a normal phenotype was originally reported (Lee et al. 2008) but later, defects in blood vessel and lymphatic vessel formation have been observed (Sumida et al. 2010).

#### 2.2.4 Biosynthesis of LPA

Biosynthesis of LPA occurs through multi-step enzymatic pathways. LPA is generated locally in specific tissues, both intracellularly and extracellularly. It is postulated that intracellular LPA mainly acts as an intermediate for phospholipid synthesis whereas extracellular LPA mediates signalling functions (Okudaira et al. 2010). Two main routes for LPA production have been postulated in the literature; in the first pathway, LPA is produced from lysophospholipids by autotaxin (ATX) and in the second pathway by deacylation of phosphatidic acid (PA).

#### LPA synthesis by autotaxin

Extracellularly, LPA is generated from lysoglycerophospholipids, such as LPC, by enzymatic removal of the polar headgroup (Figure 5). The principal enzyme for the generation of circulating LPA has been claimed to be ATX. ATX was originally detected as an autocrine motility factor isolated from the conditioned medium of cancer cells (Stracke et al. 1992). Ten years later, ATX was observed to act as a secreted lysophospholipase D (Umezu-Goto et al. 2002, Tokomura et al. 2002). Structurally ATX belongs to the nucleotide pyrophosphate/phosphodiesterase family of enzymes, which hydrolyse pyrophosphate or

phosphodiester bonds of several molecules, typically ATP and adenosine diphosphate (ADP). The main substrate for ATX is LPC, but ATX can also hydrolyse SPC to S1P (Clair et al. 2003). ATX does not hydrolyse compounds with a double acyl chain, e.g. PC, and therefore it is not identical in action to classical phospholipase D (PLD). The ATX–LPA axis shows feedback inhibition as the biosynthesis product LPA inhibits ATX activity (van Meeteren et al. 2005). ATX is activated by divalent cations such as Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, and Ni<sup>2+</sup> (Lee et al. 2001).

ATX is abundant in the blood and other biological fluids, and is also expressed in the brain as well as in the kidney and lymphoid organs (Okudaira et al. 2010). Several findings suggest that ATX is the main enzyme generating circulating LPA. It has been reported that plasma LPA levels correlate with the serum ATX activity (Hosogaya et al. 2008). Depletion of ATX completely prevents LPA production in the serum (Tanaka et al. 2006, Tsuda et al. 2006) whereas ATX overexpression in transgenic mice increases LPA plasma levels (Pamuklar et al. 2009). Heterozygous ATX-knockouts develop normally but have 50% lower plasma LPA concentrations when compared to the corresponding wild-type mice (Tanaka et al. 2006). Importantly, the homozygous ATX knockout in mice is embryonically lethal, due to the failure in the maturation of vessels in autotaxin-null embryos, indicating that the ATX-LPA axis is an essential component in the blood vessel formation during development (Tanaka et al. 2006). LPA receptor knockout animals show less severe phenotype than ATX knockout animals, which may reflect that ATX also acts on LPAindependent pathways or via yet unidentified LPA receptors. Crystal structures of ATX as well as the ATX-LPA complex have been resolved (Nishimasu et al. 2011, Hausmann et al. 2011). It has been postulated that in addition to generating LPA, ATX might behave as a lipid-carrier protein that transfers LPA directly to the LPA receptors (Nishimasu et al. 2011).

#### Other pathways for LPA generation

In the intracellular milieu or on the plasma membrane, LPA is mainly generated via a pathway in which PA is first generated from phospholipids or from DAG and then deacylated by phospholipase A<sub>1</sub>/A<sub>2</sub> (PLA<sub>1</sub>/PLA<sub>2</sub>, respectively) (Figure 5). PLA<sub>1</sub> produces 2-acyl-lysophospholipids whereas PLA<sub>2</sub> produces 1-acyl-lysophospholipids and is also involved in the production of prostaglandins and the PAF (Aoki et al. 2008). Additional pathways for LPA generation include acylation of glycerol 3-phosphate by glycerophosphate acyltransferase (GPAT) or phosphorylation of monoacylglycerol (MAG) by monoacylglycerol kinase (MAGK) (Tigyi & Parrill 2003, Moolenaar et al. 2004, Bektas et al. 2005, Okudaira et al. 2010) (Figure 5).

The intracellular LPA synthesis occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum or in mitochondria. Intracellularly generated LPA likely serves as a precursor for glycerophospholipid synthesis rather than for signalling purposes. Intracellularly produced LPA might play a role in activating the nuclear receptor PPAR $\gamma$  (Zhang et al. 2004). The biosynthetic and degradative pathways for LPA have also been detected in the nuclear membrane and/or within the nucleus of cells (Baker & Chang 1999, Baker & Chang 2000). Nuclear localization may protect LPA from the otherwise rapid degradation.

There are several isozymes for both PLA<sub>1</sub> and PLA<sub>2</sub>. Among PLA<sub>1</sub> isozymes, membranebound PA-selective PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (mPA-PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ), also called LIPH and LIPI, respectively, hydrolyse PA producing 2-acyl-lysophosphatidylserine and 2-acyl-LPA, respectively (Aoki 2004, Aoki et al. 2008). Interestingly, evidence has been provided that mPA-PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$ /LIPH is expressed in hair follicles. Deletion in the gene encoding mPA-PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$ /LIPH cause hair growth defects in humans (Kazantseva et al. 2006). Defects in hair growth have also been detected when the gene encoding the LPA<sub>6</sub> receptor is disrupted (Pasternack et al. 2008, Shimomura et al. 2008). These observations and the co-localization of PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$ /LIPH and the LPA<sub>6</sub> receptor in hair follicles indicate that LPA produced by mPA- PLA<sub>1</sub> $\alpha$ /LIPH and signalling via the LPA<sub>6</sub> receptor plays a role in the regulation of hair growth (Inoue et al. 2011).

#### Pharmacological inhibition of LPA generation

At present, the development of pharmacological inhibitors of LPA-generating enzymes has focused on ATX. A few pharmacological inhibitors of ATX activity have been described including lipid analogs (e.g. cyclic phosphatidic acids), metal chelators (L-histidine, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)) and nonlipid small molecules (Jankowski 2011). One of the first small molecular inhibitors is S32826 that inhibits ATX in nanomolar range, shows ATX inhibition in cellular models but is unstable *in vivo* (Ferry et al. 2008). More recently, thiazolidinediones with a boronic acid moiety (e.g. HA130 and HA155) (Albers et al. 2010, Albers et al. 2011) as well as compound PF-8380 (Gierse et al. 2010) were reported to inhibit ATX in nanomolar range and to reduce LPA levels *in vivo*.



*Figure 5.* Enzymatic pathways for the biosynthesis and degradation of LPA. Abbreviations: ATX, autotaxin; DAG, diacylglycerol; DAGK, diacylglycerol kinase; GP, glycerol phosphate; GPAT, glycerophosphate acyltransferase; LPAAT, lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; LPL, lysophospholipase; LPP, lipid phosphate phosphatase; MAG, monoacylglycerol; MAGK, monoacylglycerol kinase; PA, phosphatidic acid; PLA, phospholipase A; PLD, phospholipase D (Based on Okudaira et al. 2010).

#### 2.2.5 Enzymatic degradation of LPA

The lifetime of the signalling pool of LPA is thought to be controlled by enzymatic degradation. Although the degradation terminates LPA signalling as such, the degradation products can be used as precursors for other signalling lipids. The principal pathway for LPA degradation is believed to be enzymatic removal of the phosphate group producing MAG (Figure 5).

#### Dephosphorylation via lipid phosphate phosphatases

LPA is rapidly dephosphorylated by a family of integral membrane proteins known as lipid phosphate phosphatases (LPPs), originally named PAP2 (Pyne et al. 2004, Sigal et al. 2005, Brindley & Pilquil 2009). The PAP1 family of phosphatases (also known as lipins), instead, selectively dephosphorylate PA during lipid biosynthesis (Brindley et al. 2009). LPPs belong to a larger family of proteins that include sphingosine phosphate phosphatases, sphingomyelin synthases as well as other less well characterized proteins (Sigal et al. 2005). In addition to LPA, LPP substrates include PA, S1P, and ceramide 1-phosphate (C1P), producing diacylglycerol, sphingosine, and ceramide (Waggoner et al. 1996).

The LPP family comprises four members (LPP1, LPP2, LPP3 and the splice variant LPP1a) (Waggoner et al. 1996, Kai et al. 1997, Roberts et al. 1998). It has been demonstrated that LPP1 and LPP3 hydrolyse LPA and PA more effectively than C1P or S1P, whereas LPP2 most readily hydrolyses LPA and S1P (Pyne et al. 2004). LPP1 and LPP3 are widely expressed in human tissues whereas LPP2 expression is more restricted (Sigal et al. 2005). All the enzyme subtypes are expressed in the brain, with LPP1 and LPP3 being the most extensive (Kai et al. 1997, Hooks et al. 1998, Sigal 2005). At the subcellular level, LPPs have been localized to the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane, and possibly to other endomembrane compartments, such as the Golgi apparatus. LPPs are characterized by six membrane spanning domains with three extracellular loops with the active site facing the extracellular side of the plasma membrane or the luminal side of intracellular membranes (Zhang et al. 200b). The hallmarks of the LPP activity are that it does not require Mg<sup>2+</sup> and it is resistant to the alkylating agent, *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) (Jamal et al. 1991).

There is evidence to indicate that LPP-catalysed degradation of LPA can regulate LPA signalling. For example, over-expression of LPP3 in ovarian cancer cells reduced tumour growth *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Tanyi et al. 2003a). LPP activity decreased LPA accumulation in platelets (Smyth et al. 2003) and in pre-adipocytes (Simon et al. 2002). Knockout studies of all the LPP subtypes have been described. A study with LPP1-KO mice indicated that LPP1 plays a role in regulating the degradation of circulating LPA *in vivo* (Tomsig et al. 2009). However, in that study there was no disruption of the LPP1 encoding gene in the brain, a phenomenom for which the authours could not supply a plausible explanation. In fact, LPP1 knockout mice showed a normal phenotype. In contrast, the knockout of LPP3 proved to be embryonically lethal (Escalante-Alcade et al. 2003). *In vitro* studies using cell lines lacking LPP3 link LPP3 to early neural development (Sanchez-Sanchez 2012). LPP2 knockout mice exhibit no obvious phenotypic defects (Zhang et al. 2000a).

Plasticity-related genes (PRGs) are a group of recently identified, brain-specific membrane proteins that were originally proposed to act as LPA phosphatases (Bräuer & Nitsch 2008, Strauss & Bräuer 2013). Among the family of PRGs, PRG-1 shares close homology to the LPPs, having three conserved integral membrane domains facing the extracellular side of the plasma membrane, a feature that enables LPPs to dephosphorylate their lipid substrates. However, the catalytic residues responsible for LPP activity are not fully conserved in PRGs (Bräuer & Nitsch 2008) suggesting that PRGs might not act as LPA phosphatases. Instead, PGR-1 was recently demonstrated to be active at the postsynaptic side of the excitatory glutamatergic synapse where it may mediate the uptake of bioactive lipids (Trimbuch et al. 2009). PRG-1 was found to effectively control the levels of LPA in the

synapse though its mechanism of action seems to be more transporter-like than dephosphorylating.

#### Other pathways for LPA degradation

In comparison to dephosphorylation, the other pathways for LPA metabolism are less well characterized. The other known pathways include the *de novo* formation of PA by lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAAT) and the lysophospholipase-catalyzed hydrolysis of the acyl group to form glycerol phosphate (GP) (Tigyi & Parrill 2003) (Figure 5). The LPAAT enzymes transfer an acyl group from acyl-coenzyme A to LPA to form PA. Several members of the LPAAT family have been sequenced including both transmembrane and membrane associated proteins (Tigyi & Parrill 2003). A majority of the characterized lysophospholipases act on LPC. Neuropathy target esterase (NTE) is a membrane-bound serine hydrolase that is localized within neurons and it has been shown to act as a lysophospholipase, hydrolyzing LPC (Quistad et al. 2003, van Tienhoven et al. 2002, Vose et al. 2008). The NTE-related esterase was reported to hydrolyze both LPC and LPA (Kienesberger et al. 2008). However, very little is known about the role of these enzymes *in vivo*, especially the possibilities to act as regulators of LPA receptor signalling.

#### Pharmacological inhibition of LPA degradation

Thus far, only a few pharmacological inhibitors for LPA degradation have been described. General phosphatase inhibitors such as vanadate have been used to inhibit LPPs (English et al. 1999, Simon et al. 2002, Gaveglio et al. 2011). Increased LPA levels were observed in vanadate-treated adipocytes when compared to control cells (Simon et al. 2002). Propranolol, better known as a  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor blocking agent, only modestly inhibits LPPs (Roberts et al. 1998, Holinstat et al. 2007). Fluorophosphonate analogs of PA have been claimed to specifically inhibit LPP1 (Smyth et al. 2003).

#### 2.2.6 LPA signalling as a therapeutic target

#### Direct activation/inhibition of LPA receptors

LPA signalling regulates several functions in the body, and it has been reported to be involved in the pathology of several common diseases (Table 3). LPA plays both positive and negative roles in different disease processes and depending on the expression of the different receptors in various cell types, LPA may exert either a protective or detrimental effect (Table 3). Currently, there are no drugs on the market targeting LPA signalling (Gotoh et al. 2012). The diversity of LPA signalling makes the LPA-targeting drug discovery challenging; there are several LPA species that activate several LPA receptor subtypes (and additional receptors will likely be identified in the future) that are widely distributed in tissues and act on overlapping targets. First, one needs to decide whether enhancing or reducing LPA activity is needed to achieve a therapeutic response, and tissueselectivity should be obtained to avoid harmful side effects. Generally, the inhibition of LPA signalling might be beneficial in cancer and in atherosclerosis as well as in the treatment of neuropathic pain and obesity. In contrast, LPA agonism might be beneficial in schizophrenia and reproductive disorders.

Several LPA receptor agonists or antagonists have been reported, but most show only modest selectivity and a relative lack of *in vivo* validation (Tamaryua et al. 2004, Mutoh et al. 2012). Due to the large number of LPA receptors, it is difficult to achieve selectivity for a certain subtype; moreover, there are few cell types where only one LPA receptor subtype is endogenously expressed. Some promising results have been obtained with the LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor-selective antagonist, VPC-12249, which conferred protection against the renal

ischemia-reperfusion injury in a mouse model (Okusa et al. 2003). Another LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptorselective antagonist, Ki16425 (Ohta et al. 2003), was able to inhibit breast cancer cell proliferation and metastasis in mice (Boucharaba et al. 2006) and it also blocked nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain (Ma et al. 2009). Recently, compound AM095 was reported to be an orally bioavailable, LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-selective antagonist (200-fold selectivity over LPA<sub>1</sub> versus LPA<sub>3</sub>), showing anti-fibrotic properties in rodents. It is currently in preclinical development to treat lung and skin fibrosis (Swaney et al. 2011).

*Table 3.* Examples of alterations in LPA signalling during diseases.

Disease	Examples of reported effects
CNS diseases	
Alzheimer's disease	Hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease include extracellular accumulation of $\beta$ -amyloid peptide and hyperphosphorylated Tau protein in brain (Minati et al. 2009). LPA induces increased production of $\beta$ -amyloid in mouse neuroblastoma cells (Shi et al. 2013). Increased Tau protein phosphorylation has been detected in human neuroblastoma cells during LPA-induced neurite retraction (Sayas et al. 1999).
Nerve injury-induced conditions	LPA in the blood may enter the brain during CNS injury and evoke pathological events. Upregulation of LPA receptors has been observed in injured tissues and in reactive astrocytes in mice (Goldshmit et al. 2010) and post-mortem human brain (Frugier et al. 2011). The hemorrhagic brain injury results in the elevations of LPA levels in the cerebrospinal fluid in piglets (Tigyi et al. 1995).
Neuropathic pain	LPA plays a role in initiating neuropathic pain (Ueda 2011). LPA <sub>5</sub> receptor knockout mice are protected from neuropathic pain (Lin et al. 2012). LPA causes activation of peripheral nociceptor endings (Renbäck et al. 2000) and induces demyelination, an aspect of chronic neuropathic pain, through the ATX–LPA1 receptor axis in mice (Nagai et al. 2010).
Schizophrenia	$LPA_1$ knockout mice strains show a schizophrenia-like phenotype (Harrison et al. 2003, Roberts et al. 2005).
Other diseases	
Atherosclerosis	LPA promotes the development of intimal hyperplasia by inducing platelet activation and is found in the lipid-rich core of atherosclerotic plaques in humans and rodents (Siess et al. 1999, Smyth et al. 2008, Bot et al. 2013). In atherosclerotic lesions, LPA induces endothelial dysfunction, monocyte attraction and adhesion, low density lipoprotein uptake, and proinflammatory cytokine release (Schober & Siess 2012). On the other hand, LPA seems to play a protective role under ischemic conditions by protecting rat mesenchymal stem cells from hypoxia-induced apoptosis (Chen et al. 2008, Liu et al. 2009a).
Airway disease	In mouse models, exogenous LPA promotes inflammatory responses in lung epithelial cells and endogenous LPA levels in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) increase in lung inflammatory diseases, such as asthma, fibrosis and acute lung injury (Zhao & Natarajan 2013).
Cancer	LPA accumulation has been identified in the ascites and blood of ovarian cancer patients (Xu et al. 1998, Yoon et al. 2003). LPA stimulates ovarian cancer cell proliferation and protects them from apoptosis (Xu et al. 1995, Meng et al. 2005, Ren et al. 2006). LPA regulates ovarian cancer cell motility and metastasis (Boucharaba et al. 2006). LPA is involved also in other cancers including breast, gastric, colon, prostate, pancreas, liver, and brain (glioma) (Lin et al. 2010). LPA has angiogenic properties in breast cancer (Boucharaba et al. 2009).
Fibrosis	LPA <sub>1</sub> receptor signalling has been implicated in the development of renal, pulmonary and liver fibrosis (Pradere et al. 2008). In patients with pulmonary fibrosis, LPA levels are elevated in BAL, and LPA <sub>1</sub> is highly expressed in lung fibroblasts (Tager et al. 2008).
Ischemic stroke	LPA levels in plasma are increased in patients with ischemic cerebrovascular disease (Li et al. 2008).

Table 3. Examples of alterations in LPA signalling during diseases. Continued

Disease	Examples of reported effects
Obesity	Reduction in brown adipose tissue may lead to the development of obesity; LPA decreases the differentiation of mouse brown adipocyte cells (Federico et al. 2012). LPA <sub>1</sub> receptor knockout protects from weight gain in mice on a high fat diet (Dusaulcy et al. 2009).
Reproductive disorders	LPA receptors are expressed in both the testis and ovary and other reproductive tissues (Ye 2008). In humans, LPA <sub>4</sub> expression is highest in the ovary in comparison with other tissues (Noguchi et al. 2003, Ye 2008). LPA <sub>3</sub> knockout mice suffer interference in timing and spacing of embryo implantation (Ye et al. 2005). The timing impairment is caused by the disruption of prostaglandin signalling. LPA <sub>1/2/3</sub> triple knockout mice show reduced sperm production and lowered mating activity, followed by age-related azoospermia (Ye 2008).
Rheumatoid arthritis	Significant amounts of LPA, autotaxin and its substrate LPC are present in the synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). LPA enhances COX2 expression and prostaglandin $E_2$ production in human RA synovial cells; these actions are inhibited by Ki16425 (Nochi et al. 2008).
Wound healing	Topical LPA promotes dermal wound healing (Demoyer et al. 2000, Balazs et al. 2001). Following injury, fibroblasts proliferate and migrate to the wound site; thus modulation of the proliferation and migration of fibroblasts might be an effective strategy for regulating wound healing. LPA induces the proliferation of human fibroblasts (Jang et al. 2003). LPA enhances wound healing in aged rats by inducing DNA synthesis, proliferation and migration of senescent cells (Rhim et al. 2010).

#### Pharmacological inhibition of LPA biosynthesis or degradation

In addition to receptor agonists and antagonists, another possible way to affect LPA signalling is to develop compounds that affect LPA biosynthesis or degradation. Generally, the inhibition of ATX would be beneficial if one wishes to decrease LPA levels, whereas the inhibition of LPPs and other degradative enzymes would be anticipated to elevate LPA levels.

The ATX–LPA receptor signalling axis provides a promising opportunity especially for cancer therapy (Gotoh et al. 2012). Many malignant cancers, such as breast cancer, lymphoma and glioblastoma, express high ATX levels (Yang et al. 2002, Baumforth et al. 2005, Kishi et al. 2006). ATX has been indicated to enhance metastatic and invasive potential of tumour cells, which likely is caused by the role of ATX in blood vessel formation. ATX can promote tumour cell motility and metastasis through LPA production, and it is claimed to be among the 40 most up-regulated genes in highly metastatic cancers (Euer et al. 2002). In transgenic mice, increased expression of either ATX or LPA<sub>1-3</sub> receptors is sufficient to induce invasive and metastatic breast cancers (Liu et al. 2009b). In melanoma cells, ATX-stimulated motility was suppressed by Ki16425 (Hama et al. 2004).

In addition to cancer, increased ATX activity has been observed in several other diseases. ATX has been reported to be upregulated in the brains of Alzheimer's disease patients (Umemura et al. 2006). The ATX-synthesized LPA has been demonstrated to induce neuropathic pain (Nagai et al. 2010). In atherosclerotic lesions, low density lipoprotein oxidation generates LPC that is converted by ATX to LPA, which then recruits monocytes and triggers inflammation (Schober & Siess 2012). Increased lysoPLD activity has been observed in pregnant women in the third trimester of pregnancy and there is an extended increase in women expecting a preterm delivery (Tokumura et al. 2002). The ATX–LPA axis has been shown to be up-regulated in inflammatory conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (Kehlen et al. 2001) and ATX levels are high in liver fibrosis (Nakagawa et al. 2011). Since both LPA and ATX are present in various biological fluids, they could also be used as biomarkers to predict the presence of certain cancers and other diseases.

In contrast to ATX, LPP activity has been demonstrated to be low in several cancer cells e.g. the LPP expression is decreased in the majority of ovarian cancers (Tanyi et al. 2003b), whereas over-expression of LPP3 in ovarian cancer cells reduces tumour growth in vitro and in vivo (Tanyi et al. 2003a). It has been proposed that the combined effect of low LPP expression and high ATX expression in tumours makes them hypersensitive to growthstimulating factors (Samadi et al. 2011). LPA-independent actions of LPPs in cancer cells have also been reported. Unexpectedly, an increased LPP3 expression was observed in glioblastoma tumours and glioblastoma cell lines whereas LPP3-knockdown inhibited tumour growth (Chatterjee et al. 2011). These findings indicate that LPP3 can amplify the activities of  $\beta$ -catenin and cyclin-D1, known enhancers of cell cycle progression. On the other hand, LPP1 overexpression causes several abnormalities in mice including reduced body size and impaired spermatogenesis but there are no alteration in LPA levels in the blood (Yue et al. 2004). Recently, inactivation of the gene encoding LPP3 enhanced neointima formation in response to arterial injury in vivo, which was considered as evidence for a protective role for LPP3 in the atherotrombotic disease (Panchatcharam et al. 2013).

At present, there are relatively few reports describing pharmacological ATX inhibitors that are active *in vivo*. Intravenously injected compound HA130 reduces LPA levels in mice (Albers at al. 2010). Compound PF-8380 is able to block inflammation-induced LPA synthesis and reduces inflammatory hyperalgesia with the same efficacy as naproxen in rats (Gierse et al. 2010). The pharmacological inhibitors of LPPs reported so far include general phosphatase inhibitors and fluorophosphonate analogs, whose *in vivo* bioavailability is limited, and propranolol, that exerts significant other (detrimental) actions at the concentrations required to block LPPs. Much of work still needs to be done to develop specific and potent inhibitors capable of influencing LPA levels *in vivo*.

#### 2.3 OVERVIEW OF THE ENDOCANNABINOID SYSTEM

The endocannabinoid (eCB) system comprises of two G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptors, their natural ligands (the eCBs), and the synthetic and degradative enzymes regulating the ligand levels. The eCB system regulates a wide variety of functions both in the CNS and periphery. In the CNS, eCBs are involved in synapse formation and neurogenesis as well as in cognition, memory, emotional functions, regulation of food intake, and pain sensation. In the periphery, eCBs mediate cardiovascular, immune, metabolic, and reproductive functions.

#### 2.3.1 Cannabinoid receptors and their natural ligands

Cannabis has long been used for its hedonistic properties but also for the self-medication of several diseases and to ease pain. The *Cannabis sativa* plant has been demonstrated to contain around 70 compounds termed phytocannabinoids. The isolation of the main psychoactive compound,  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^9$ -THC) (Gaoni & Mechoulam 1964), initiated the rational research in this field. Later, two GPCRs mediating cannabinoid responses were cloned and named CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> (Devane et al. 1988, Munro et al. 1993). The human CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors share 44% overall amino acid identify (Munro et al. 1993). Both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> are mainly coupled with G<sub>i</sub> proteins. In addition to CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, other cannabinoid receptors have been proposed, such as the orphan receptor GPR55 (Ryberg et al. 2007, Lauckner et al. 2008). Since studies with GPR55 show contradictory results, GPR55 has not been generally accepted as a cannabinoid receptor (Pertwee et al. 2010, Henstridge et al. 2007, Pertwee et al. 2010, Pineiro & Falasca 2012).

The CB<sub>1</sub> receptor is mainly expressed in the brain and spinal cord and it is one of the most abundant GPCRs in the brain (Herkenham et al. 1991). CB<sub>1</sub> is mainly responsible for the neurobehavioral effects of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (Zimmer et al. 1999). In the rat brain, CB<sub>1</sub> is highly expressed in basal ganglia and moderately in the hippocampus, cerebellum and neocortex (Herkenham et al. 1991). In the brain, the majority of the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors have been shown to be localized presynaptically on both GABAergic interneurons and glutamatergic neurons, but more intensively on the former nerves (Katona et al. 1999, Hajos et al. 2000, Hajos et al. 2001, Katona et al. 2001). CB<sub>1</sub> is also expressed in the periphery, such as in the lungs, small intestine and reproductive organs (Sugiura & Waku 2002). A functional CB<sub>1</sub> receptor has also been found in the preimplantation embryo (Paria & Dey 2000). CB<sub>1</sub> receptor knockout mice are healthy and fertile but do suffer an elevated mortality rate and reduced locomotor activity (Zimmer et al. 1999). Aged CB<sub>1</sub> receptor knockout mice also show deterioration in cognitive functions (Albayram et al. 2011, Albayram et al. 2012).

The CB<sub>2</sub> receptor is mainly expressed in peripheral tissues, especially the immune system, including white blood cells in the spleen, tonsils, and thymus (Munro et al. 1993, Atwood & Mackie 2010), being involved in the regulation of immune responses. There is also evidence that neurons (Skaper et al. 1996, Van Sickle et al. 2005, Ashton et al. 2006, Gong et al. 2006) as well as microglia and astrocytes (Benito et al. 2003, Esposito et al. 2007) can express CB<sub>2</sub>. CB<sub>2</sub> has been postulated to play a role in the brain especially during neuroinflammation and brain injury but its role in the healthy brain remains unclear (Atwood & Mackie 2010). The CB<sub>2</sub> receptor knockout mice are healthy and fertile (Buckley et al. 2000, Buckley 2008).

Cloning of the cannabinoid receptors initiated the search for their natural ligands, termed eCBs. The first endogenous cannabinoid identified was arachidonoylethanolamide (anandamide, AEA) (Devane et al. 1992). Later, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) was identified in the canine gut (Mechoulam et al. 1995) and rat brain (Sugiura et al. 1995). At present, AEA and 2-AG (Figure 6) remain the best characterised and most widely studied endocannabinoids. There are other putative endocannabinoids, e.g. 2-arachidonoyl glyceryl ether (noladin ether) (Hanus et al. 2001), *O*-arachidonoyl ethanolamine (virodhamine) (Porter et al. 2002), and *N*-arachidonoyldopamine (Huang et al. 2002). It remains uncertain whether noladin ether is a true endocannabinoid; e.g. some research groups have not been able to detect this compound in the brains of several mammalian species (Oka et al. 2003). Exogenous cannabimimetic compounds include classical cannabinoids, non-classical cannabinoids, and aminoalkylindoles (Pertwee et al. 2010). Cannabidiol, instead, is a phytocannabinoid that has no agonist activity at cannabinoid receptors and lacks any psychotropic effects (Mechoulam & Parker 2013).



Figure 6. Chemical structures of the two principal endocannabinoids.

Both AEA and 2-AG have been detected in several brain regions as well as in the periphery. Endocannabinoid signalling plays a role in several important processes during neuronal development (Berghuis et al. 2007, Keimpema et al. 2010, Argaw et al. 2011) as well as in adult neurogenesis (Goncalves et al. 2008). In the brain, 2-AG concentrations are
approximately 200-fold higher than those of AEA (Bisogno et al. 1999). It has been noted that 2-AG is rapidly generated in rat brain after decapitation (Sugiura et al. 2001), a fact that needs to taken into account when determining post-mortem tissue 2-AG levels. 2-AG acts as a potent full agonist at CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors whereas AEA acts as a partial agonist for both receptors (Gonsiorek et al. 2000, Savinainen et al. 2001, Sugiura 2009). In the CNS, 2-AG is believed to be the physiologically relevant ligand for the CB<sub>1</sub>-mediated synaptic signalling (Hashimotodani et al. 2007) but AEA may also mediate certain forms of synaptic homeostasis and plasticity (Gerdeman et al. 2002, Kim & Alger 2010). The actions of AEA and 2-AG in the brain are local, partly due to the hydrophobic nature of these compounds and poor solubility in the hydrophilic extracellular tissue fluid. In addition to the partial agonistic properties for the CB receptors, AEA acts as a full agonist for the transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 (TRPV1) receptor that is a non-selective cation channel belonging to the larger family of TRP channels (Zygmunt et al. 1999).

#### 2.3.2 Biosynthesis and response of eCBs

Generally, endocannabinoids are synthesized on demand from plasma membrane phospholipid precursors and released from cells immediately after their production. The levels of eCB precursors depend on the expression and activity of the biosynthetic and degrading enzymes, and also on the diet and its content of arachidonic acid (AA) and its precursor linoleic acid (DiMarzo & Petrosino 2007, Katona & Freund 2012).

The principal eCB function is the modulation of synaptic activity through retrograde signalling. In postsynaptic neurons, eCB synthesis is triggered by an increase in intracellular calcium concentrations induced by cell depolarization or stimulation of  $G_q$  protein-coupled receptors (Kano et al. 2009). After their production, eCBs move across the synaptic cleft in a retrograde manner, activate presynaptic CB<sub>1</sub> receptors and suppress neurotransmitter release (Figure 7). Most often, the presynaptic CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activity suppresses GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission causing depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition (DSI) or depolarization-induced suppression of excitation (DSE). The ratio of DSI and DSE determines the net effect of this fine tuning of neurotransmission (Kano et al. 2009, Piomelli 2003). It should be noted that neurotransmission is often a heterosynaptic process. The mechanism by which the lipophilic eCBs move through the extracellular space from the postsynaptic side to the presynaptic side is not known.



Figure 7. Illustration of retrograde eCB signalling (modified from Sugiura 2009).

Although retrograde signalling is believed to be the principal mechanism of eCB action, alternative ways of signalling have been proposed. It has been postulated that eCBs may signal in an autocrine manner or alternatively via astrocytes to modulate synaptic function (Castillo et al. 2012). In addition to the cannabinoid receptors, there is evidence indicating that AEA-activated TRPV1 channels may participate in eCB signalling (De Petrocellis & Di Marzo 2010). In addition to their signalling functions, eCBs have been claimed to exert supplemental effects. A detectable amount of 2-AG is present in a non-stimulated brain without permanent activation of CB1 receptors, indicating that not all of the 2-AG present is used for cannabinoid signalling (Tanimura et al. 2010, Gao et al. 2010). It has been speculated that a pool of 2-AG might be presynthesised and kept waiting to be released after an appropriate stimulus (Min et al. 2010a, Alger & Kim 2011, Di Marzo 2011). Since 2-AG acts at the crossroads of multiple biochemical pathways in lipid metabolism, it is likely that a proportion of 2-AG generated in the brain serves as a lipid intermediate in these pathways (Piomelli 2003). Although the signalling pool of 2-AG is apparently circulating between post- and presynaptic neurons, another pool might be present in other cell types, such as astrocytes and microglia, and not be involved in retrograde signalling.

#### Biosynthesis of AEA

At least four different synthetic mechanisms for AEA have been proposed (Di Marzo 2011). The most important pathway for AEA generation is thought to be the release from Narachidonoylphosphatidylethanolamine (NArPE), which is first formed from phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine, by *N*-acyltransferase. Nacylphosphatidylethanolamine-specific PLD (NAPE-PLD) converts NArPE into anandamide (and PA). In the brain, NAPE-PLD has been demonstrated to be localized in different strucrures, e.g. hippocampus and cerebellum (Egertova et al. 2008, Cristino 2008). Other routes for AEA generation from NArPE have been proposed such as conversion by the sequential actions of the  $\alpha/\beta$ -hydrolase domain-containing protein 4 and glycerophosphodiesterase-1 or by the soluble PLA<sub>2</sub> followed by conversion into anandamide by a lyso-PLD (Di Marzo 2011). In addition, generation by sequential actions of a PLC and a phosphatase has been proposed.

#### Biosynthesis of 2-AG

The primary precursor for 2-AG is believed to be DAG generated from phosphatidylinositols by the action of PLC $\beta$  or from PA by phosphatases (Sugiura et al. 2006, Bisogno 2008). DAG is further hydrolyzed by the *sn*-1-specific DAG lipase (DAGL) to generate 2-AG (Oudin et al. 2011, Reisenberg et al. 2012) (Figure 8).

Two isoforms of the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-sensitive, *sn*-1-selective DAGLs generating 2-AG have been cloned and named as DAGL $\alpha$  and DAGL $\beta$  (Bisogno et al. 2003). DAGL $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  have arisen from a gene duplication event and they are very closely related. The main difference between the enzymes is the presence of a C-terminal tail in DAGL $\alpha$  that is absent from DAGL $\beta$ . The two isoforms are expressed differentially in different cells; DAGL $\alpha$  is highly expressed in the CNS when compared to other tissues whereas DAGL $\beta$  possesses a broader but somewhat lower expression pattern (Bisogno et al. 2003). In the adult brain, DAGLs are expressed in the same brain regions as the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor, DAGL $\alpha$  more intensively than DAGL $\beta$  (Bisogno et al. 2003, Yoshida et al. 2006). DAGL $\alpha$  is concentrated in the heads of dendritic spines located postsynaptically opposite to presynaptic glutamatergic axons expressing CB<sub>1</sub> in several brain regions, such as the hippocampus (Katona et al. 2006), striatum (Uchigashima et al. 2007), and prefrontal cortex (Lafourcade et al. 2007). However, in the developing mouse brain DAGL $\alpha$  and DAGL $\beta$  are expressed in a specific and restricted manner in the same axonal tracts and in the same growth cones as CB<sub>1</sub> (Berghuis et al. 2007) indicative of a loss of DAGLs from axons during development.

There is convincing evidence that DAGL $\alpha$  is the major enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of 2-AG used in retrograde synaptic signalling. Overexpression of DAGL $\alpha$  results in a significant increase in basal 2-AG levels whereas knockdown of DAGL $\alpha$  by RNA interference can reduce 2-AG levels (Jung et al. 2007). It was observed that the retrograde synaptic suppression was absent in several brain regions of DAGL $\alpha$ -knockout mice whereas it remained intact in the brains of DAGL $\beta$ -knockout mice (Tanimura et al. 2010, Gao et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011). Furthermore, there were up to 80% reductions in 2-AG levels in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice brain whereas in the brains of DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice, the 2-AG levels remained unaltered (Tanimura et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011), or were reduced up to 50% (Gao et al. 2010). With the exception of a slight decrease in body weight, the phenotypes of the DAGL $\alpha$ -KO and DAGL $\beta$ -KO animals were found to be normal when compared to wild-type (WT) animals (Gao et al. 2010). The role of DAGL $\beta$  seems to be more important in peripheral tissues; it was demonstrated that DAGL $\beta$  is involved in the regulation of inflammatory responses in macrophages (Hsu et al. 2012).



*Figure 8.* Enzymatic pathways for 2-AG biosynthesis and degradation. The principal pathway is presented with thick arrow. Abbreviations: 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; ABHD, α/β-hydrolase domain-containing protein; COX, cyclooxygenase; DAG, diacylglycerol; DAGL, diacylglycerol lipase; LOX, lipoxygenase; LPA , lysophosphatidic acid; LPI, lysophosphatidyl inositol; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase; MAGK, monoacylglycerol kinase; PA, phosphatidic acid; PLA, phospholipase A; PLC, phospholipase C.

Although DAGL $\alpha$  evidently generates the majority of 2-AG for retrograde synaptic signalling, evidence has been provided to show that DAGL $\alpha$  is not involved in the hippocampal DSI (Min et al. 2010b). It is noteworthy that in some brain regions, highly enriched in CB<sub>1</sub> receptors (such as the substantia nigra), DAGL $\alpha$  is only sparsely expressed, as evidenced by the weak labelling with the DAGL $\alpha$  antibodies in immunohistochemical studies (Uchigashima et al. 2007, Kano et al. 2009, Tanimura et al. 2010). This is considered as support for the existence of alternative biochemical routes that could generate CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-activating eCBs in those brain regions with sparse DAGL $\alpha$  expression. In addition

to neurons, it has been demonstrated that mouse microglia (Walter et al. 2003, Witting et al. 2004, Carrier et al. 2004) as well as astrocytes (Walter et al. 2004) have the capacity to produce 2-AG. Additional pathways for 2-AG generation include the release from *sn*-2-arachidonic acid-containing phospholipids by sequential actions of phospholipase A1 (PLA1) and lyso-PLC or by phosphatase-mediated conversion from 2-arachidonoyl-LPA (Nakane et al. 2002, Sugiura et al. 2006, Bisogno 2008) (Figure 8).

#### Pharmacological inhibition of eCB biosynthesis

Only a limited number of pharmacological inhibitors for eCB-generating enzymes are currently available. At present, the search has focused on inhibitors of DAGLs. In experimental settings, tetrahydrolipstatin (THL, also known as orlistat) and RHC80267 have been used to inhibit DAGLs (Bisogno et al. 2003). These inhibitors, however, are poorly selective for DAGLs and they have additional enzyme targets. More recently, some fluorophosphonate compounds, such as O-3841, O-3640, O-5596, and O-7460 (Bisogno et al. 2006, Bisogno et al. 2009, Bisogno et al. 2012) as well as the THL-analog OMDM-188 (Ortar et al. 2008) have been reported as being selective DAGL inhibitors but none of these inhibitors can discriminate between the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  isoforms. Recently, some triazole urea compounds (KT109, KT172) were reported to selectively inhibit DAGL $\beta$  (Hsu et al. 2012).

#### 2.3.3 Enzymatic degradation of eCBs

Soon after their generation, eCBs are initially removed from the extracellular space by cellular uptake and then degraded by hydrolytic enzymes (Blankman & Cravatt 2013). The hydrolysis of eCBs generally terminates their function but the hydrolysis products can also be used as precursors for other signalling molecules.

The mechanism by which eCBs are moved across the plasma membrane has remained elusive. It is not clear if eCBs are taken up by cells via a plasma membrane transporter, by endocytosis or simply by passive diffusion. Furthermore, it is unclear whether AEA and 2-AG have the same or distinct uptake mechanisms. Several AEA carrier proteins have been proposed, such as fatty acid binding proteins, heat shock protein 70, albumin and the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH)-like AEA transporter (Fowler 2012a), but more studies will be needed to elucidate the involvement of these proteins in eCB uptake.

#### AEA degradation

The primary enzyme catalyzing the hydrolysis of AEA is FAAH (Deutsch & Chin 1993). FAAH belongs to the family of serine hydrolases, and it hydrolyzes AEA into AA and ethanolamine (Long & Cravatt 2011). FAAH has been well characterized and its crystal structure has been resolved (McKinney & Cravatt 2005). FAAH is abundantly expressed in the brain; it is located intracellularly mainly in postsynaptic neurons (Egertova et al. 1998, Gulyas et al. 2004).

Especially in the periphery, additional enzymes capable of hydrolyzing AEA have also been described, e.g. *N*-acylethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase (Tsuboi et al. 2007) and FAAH-2. This latter enzyme is expressed in primates and some related mammals, but not in lower placental mammals including the mouse and rat (Wei et al. 2006). AEA is also metabolized by COX-2 to prostaglandin ethanolamides (Ross et al. 2002, Kozak et al. 2002a) and by lipoxygenase (LOX) into 12- and 15-hydroxy AEA (Ueda et al. 1995, Edgemont et al. 1998). Some cytochrome P450 species can metabolize AEA to the corresponding epoxides and hydroxy metabolites in liver microsomes (Bornheim et al. 1995, Snider et al. 2008).

2-AG is enzymatically hydrolyzed into AA and glycerol (Figure 8). The first enzyme implicated as a 2-AG hydrolase was monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) (Dinh et al. 2002, Dinh et al. 2004, Saario et al. 2004). MAGL is a serine hydrolase that catalyses the hydrolysis of monoacylglycerols to their corresponding fatty acids. Originally the gene encoding MAGL was cloned from mouse adipose tissue, but MAGL has also been detected in other peripheral tissues such as the kidney, ovary, testis and heart (Karlsson et al. 1997). In the brain, MAGL is localized in the presynaptic terminals that also express CB<sub>1</sub> receptors (Dinh et al. 2002, Gulyas et al. 2004). Studies have suggested MAGL as being the main hydrolase terminating 2-AG actions in the brain, accounting for ~85% of the total 2-AG hydrolysis (Blankman et al. 2007). The crystal structure of MAGL has been resolved by two independent laboratories; the enzyme has shown to be a dimer, existing in both a soluble form and associated with cell membranes (Bertrand et al. 2010, Labar et al. 2010).

In addition to MAGL, two novel  $\alpha/\beta$ -hydrolase domain-containing proteins, ABHD6 and ABHD12, have been found to be able to hydrolyze the brain 2-AG and, together with MAGL, these three serine hydrolases account for ~99% of the brain 2-AG hydrolase activity (Blankman et al. 2007). Both ABHD6 and ABHD12 are integral membrane proteins that are expressed in several brain regions. The active site of ABHD6 is believed to face the cell interior whereas the active site of ABHD12 faces the lumen/extracellular space (Blankman et al. 2007). The three 2-AG hydrolases hydrolyse only monoacylglycerols (not di- or triacylglycerols or LPA) having different substrate and isomer preferences (Navia-Paldanius et al. 2012). The three serine hydrolases display different subcellular localization, suggesting that they could access and hydrolyze distinct pools of 2-AG. When compared to MAGL and ABHD6, ABHD12 is expressed at higher levels in microglia (Fiskerstrand et al. 2010).

Under certain conditions, FAAH has been shown to metabolize 2-AG (DiMarzo et al. 1998, Goparaju et al. 1998). COX-2 and LOX are capable of metabolizing 2-AG to glyceryl prostaglandins (Kozak et al. 2000) and to hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids glyceryl esters (Kozak et al. 2002b), respectively (Figure 8). In addition, NTE has been reported be able to hydrolyze 2-AG (van Tienhoven et al. 2002). In some cells, including human monocytic leukaemia cells, non-specific esterases such as human carboxylesterases 1 and 2 can catalyze 2-AG hydrolysis (Rouzer & Marnett 2011). Thus the contribution of a particular enzyme to 2-AG metabolism largely depends on the cell in question.

#### Pharmacological inhibition of eCB degradation

The primary enzymes responsible for AEA and 2-AG degradation are considered to be FAAH and MAGL, respectively, and the major efforts on inhibitor development have been focused on these two targets.

Since the initial research in the cannabinoid field focused on AEA, studies on the pharmacological inhibition of eCB degradation began with FAAH. Several inhibitors of FAAH have been described representing both reversible and irreversible mechanisms. Irreversible inhibitors include sulfonyl fluorides such as phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, hexadecylsulfonyl fluoride, methylarachidonoylfluorophosphonate (MAFP) as well as the carbamate compound URB597 (Deutsch et al. 1997a, Deutsch et al. 1997b, Kathuria et al. 2003). The reversible inhibitors include trifluoromethyl ketones such as arachidonoyl trifluoromethyl ketone (Koutek et al. 1994). All of these compounds, however, possess limited selectivity for FAAH. More recently, some piperidine/piperazine ureas, PF-750, PF-3845, and PF-04457845 have been claimed to be selective for FAAH over other serine hydrolases (Ahn et al. 2007, Ahn et al. 2009, Johnson et al. 2011).

The search for MAGL inhibitors began soon after that of FAAH inhibitors. Non-specific mercuric compounds, fatty acid trifluoromethylketones, sulfhydryl reagents and disulphide compounds, and organophosphorus compounds, such as MAFP, irreversibly

inhibit MAGL (Fowler 2012b). In the brain, virtually all 2-AG hydrolase activity is sensitive to MAFP (Saario et al. 2004). The non-selectivity of these compounds led to attempts to develop inhibitors that would selectively target MAGL. The carbamate compound URB602 was first reported to be a selective pharmacological inhibitor of MAGL (Hohmann et al. 2005), but further studies called into question its selectivity (Vandevoorde et al. 2007). The sulfhydryl reagent *N*-arachidonoylmaleimide (NAM) is a potent, irreversible and rather selective inhibitor of MAGL, blocking ~85% of the brain 2-AG hydrolase activity (Saario et al. 2005, Blankman et al. 2007). The carbamate compound JZL184 has been reported to be a specific inhibitor of MAGL (Long et al. 2009), but its low potency may limit its use (Savinainen et al. 2010, Aaltonen et al. 2013). Recently, compounds ML30 (Morera et al. 2012), KML29 (Chang et al. 2012) and JJKK-048 (Aaltonen et al. 2013) were reported as being selective MAGL inhibitors and of these, JJKK-048 seems to be the most potent and MAGL-selective inhibitor currently available.

#### 2.3.4 Endocannabinoid system as a target for drug discovery

#### Direct activation or inhibition of the eCB system

Cannabis has been used for its medicinal properties for millennia, long before its mechanism of action was understood. To date, alterations in the endocannabinoid system have been reported in several different diseases in both the CNS and periphery (Pacher et al. 2006, Pacher & Kunos 2013) (Table 4). In the CNS, eCBs are generally considered to be neuroprotective (Sánchez & García-Merino 2012). One hallmark of the neurodegenerative diseases is inflammation and eCBs may relieve symptoms by possessing anti-inflammatory properties. In neurodegenerative diseases, there is often down-regulation of CB<sub>1</sub> receptors but up-regulation of CB<sub>1</sub> receptors, and the inhibition of inflammatory microglial responses through the activation of CB<sub>2</sub> receptors. In cancer, the role of eCBs is still controversial, but generally eCBs suppress cancer progression (Hermanson & Marnett 2011). Other well known effects of eCBs are their antinociceptive properties (Karst et al. 2010) as well as their ability to increase appetite (Matias & Di Marzo 2007).

The alterations of the eCB system can be either protective or deleterious depending on the cell or tissue type (Table 4), and it must be carefully determined whether the activation or blocking eCB system would be desired to achieve the therapeutic response (Di Marzo 2008). In some diseases, the current knowledge is still contradictory, as both both positive and negative changes in the eCB system have been reported. On the other hand, the levels of AEA and 2-AG might be regulated oppositely in the same disease. The endocannabinoid system has been a target of an intensive drug discovery but the challenge has been to obtain a selective effect in each organ or tissue and to avoid harmful side-effects especially in those brain regions controlling mood and cognitive functions.

Currently,  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC (dronabinol) and its synthetic analogue, nabilone, which directly activate cannabinoid receptors, are used for the treatment of nausea and vomiting induced by radiotherapy or chemotherapy, and for preventing the wasting syndrome, such as encountered in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (Pacher & Kunos 2013). Sativex®, a preparation consisting of a mixture of cannabidiol and  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC, is licenced for the use in the multiple sclerosis-related spasticity and pain in several countries (Karst et al. 2010). The first selective CB<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist/inverse agonist rimonabant was briefly on the market for the treatment of obesity in several European countries during 2006–2008 but it had to be withdrawn mainly due to its psychiatric side effects, including increased anxiety, depression and suicidal intentions (Idelevich et al. 2009). In addition to brain-penentrant CB<sub>1</sub> antagonists, peripherally restricted antagonists for CB<sub>1</sub> receptors are under development for the treatment of metabolic disorders (Silvestri & Di Marzo 2012).

Peripherally restricted agonists for CB<sub>1</sub> receptors would be useful for pain treatment since the cannabinoid-induced analgesia has been proven largely to be mediated via CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in peripheral nociceptive afferents (Agarwal et al. 2007). In addition to orthosteric ligands, allosteric modulators for cannabinoid receptors (e.g. ORG27569, PSNCBAM-1) have been reported (Ahn et al. 2013, Cawston et al. 2013). These compounds have been reported to be able to modulate the actions of orthosteric ligands as well as to mediate G protein-independent signalling via the  $\beta$ -arrestins.

**Examples of reported effects** Disease Neurodegenerative diseases Alzheimer's disease (AD) CB<sub>1</sub> receptors are down-regulated in neurons whereas CB<sub>2</sub> receptors are upregulated particularly in microglia in AD patients (Ramirez et al. 2005, Benito et al. 2003). Elevation in eCB levels provides neuroprotection against  $\beta$ amyloid peptide toxicity in rats (van der Stelt et al. 2006). Amyotropic lateral At present, only anti-glutamatergic agents are used in delaying the disease sclerosis (ALS) progression. Cannabinoids exert anti-glutamatergic and anti-inflammatory actions through activation of the  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  receptors, respectively, and may have therapeutic potential in the treatment of ALS (Bilsland & Greensmith 2008). Huntington's disease (HD) CB<sub>1</sub> receptor down-regulation has been detected in the human globus pallidus and substantia nigra whereas  $\mbox{CB}_2$  receptors are up-regulated in the striatum (Glass et al. 2000, Palazuelos et al. 2009). Multiple sclerosis (MS) MS is an inflammatory, demyelinating disease. Both  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  receptors are expressed in cells (such as microglia and macrophages) that are located in human MS plaques (Benito et al. 2007). Cannabinoid agonists have remyelinating properties in mice (Arevalo-Martin et al. 2003). Sativex® is licensed for the treatment of MS related spasticity and neuropathic pain in several countries. Parkinson's disease (PD) Role of the eCB system in the modulation of the dopaminergic system links eCBs to PD, in which dopaminergic neurons are destroyed. So far conflicting data exist; both increased and decreased striatal eCB levels have been reported (Scotter et al. 2010). In a rat model, increased cannabinoid tone has been observed in globus pallidus (DiMarzo et al. 2000). Mental disorders Anxiety and depression Low doses of cannabinoid agonists are anxiolytic in animal models (Zanettini et al. 2011). Schizophrenia Increased levels of eCBs have been detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (Giuffrida et al. 2004) and the blood (De Marchi et al. 2003) of schizophrenic patients. Increased levels of 2-AG but decreased levels of AEA have been detected in postmortem brains of schizophrenic patients (Muguruza et al. 2013). Region-specific changes in the density of CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in brain have been observed in schizophrenic patients (Dean et al. 2001, Zavitsanou et al. 2004, Newell et al. 2006, Dalton et al. 2011). Control of food intake Anorexia Exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids increase food intake (Matias & Di Marzo 2007, Li et al. 2011). eCBs may mediate the rewarding effect of self-starvation in anorexia nervosa patients (Monteleone et al. 2005). Hyperactivity of the eCB system in obesity; high eCB levels are found in the Obesity blood and visceral adipose tissue of obese and hyperglycaemic patients. CB<sub>1</sub> receptor blockade suppresses food intake (Matias & Di Marzo 2007, Li et al. 2011).

*Table 4.* Examples of alterations in the eCB system during diseases and/or potential therapeutic usefulness of exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids.

Continued

Disease	Examples of reported effects
Other diseases	
Cancer	Generally, cannabinoids and endocannabinoids inhibit tumour growth by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting proliferation and angiogenesis (Hermanson & Marnett 2011). Elevated levels of AEA and 2-AG have been reported in several types of tumours. An opposite regulation of AEA and 2-AG levels has been observed; e.g. AEA levels decrease and 2-AG levels increase in the human glioma tissue (Wu et al. 2012). The role of CB <sub>1</sub> and CB <sub>2</sub> receptor expression in relation to disease prognosis depends on the cancer type, e.g. a high expression of CB <sub>1</sub> receptors in prostate cancer tumour samples is associated with disease severity and poor prognosis (Chung et al. 2009).
Cardiovascular diseases	Increased AEA and 2-AG levels have been detected in coronary artery disease patients. $CB_1$ antagonists have been reported to be antiatherosclerotic and to reduce several metabolic cardiovascular risk factors (Pacher & Steffens 2009).
Drug addiction and alcoholism	Interconnected role of CB <sub>1</sub> and opiate receptors; cannabinoids reduce opioid withdrawal symptoms in rodent models (Fattore et al. 2005). The eCB system is involved in the reinforcing properties of ethanol; in rodents, chronic consumption of ethanol increases eCB synthesis and reduces CB <sub>1</sub> expression in brain regions associated with addiction pathways (Pava & Woodward 2012).
Epilepsy	Exogenous cannabinoids have been reported to exert both pro- and anti- convulsive activities in animal models and humans, depending on the model. Endocannabinoids seem to be anti-convulsive via $CB_1$ receptors in mice (Lutz 2004).
Glaucoma and retinopathy	Cannabinoids decrease intraocular pressure in humans (Tomida et al. 2004).
Nausea	Cannabinoids have anti-emetic properties and are clinically used as anti- emetics (Todaro 2012).
Osteoporosis	$CB_2$ receptor deficient mice experience accelerated age-related bone loss (Ofek et al. 2006).
Pain	Cannabinoids relieve acute and chronic pain, especially neuropathic pain; evident both in animal models and human studies (Karst et al. 2010).
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA)	Elevated levels of AEA and 2-AG have been detected in the synovial fluid of RA patients (Richardson et al. 2008).

*Table 4.* Examples of alterations in the eCB system during diseases and/or potential therapeutic usefulness of exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids. *Continued* 

#### Pharmacological inhibition of eCB biosynthesis or degradation

In addition to targeting CB receptors by exogenous ligands and allosteric modulators, eCBsynthesizing and -degrading enzymes represent attractive targets for drug development (Di Marzo 2009). Inhibition of eCB degradation by an enzyme inhibitor would increase eCB levels and lead to prolonged activation of CB receptors but only at sites where eCB was originally synthesized. In this way, the pharmacological effect woud be more localized when compared to exogenous agonists that target CB receptors in all parts of the body. It is thought that treatment with enzyme inhibitors would less likely cause psychoactive effects than CB receptor agonists. Conversely, one would predict that pharmacological inhibitors of eCB-generating enzymes would be useful if one wished to block eCB signalling and to treat pathological conditions that involved overactivity of eCB system. The postulated eCB transporters would also provide targets for drug development but further studies will be required to demonstrate the actual existence of these proteins.

In order to obtain a specific effect in a certain tissue, it is important that the inhibitor has selectivity and does not block other enzymes. Only a limited number of selective pharmacological inhibitors for eCB-synthesizing enzymes are currently available. The primary enzymes responsible for AEA and 2-AG degradation are considered to be FAAH and MAGL, respectively, and the major efforts on inhibitor development have been focused on these two enzymes. Since AEA and 2-AG may be regulated in opposite ways in certain

diseases and dual FAAH/MAGL blocking may result in unwanted cannabis-like effects (including weight gain and impairments in cognition and motor control), the selectivity over AEA and 2-AG degrading enzymes is a desired goal in inhibitor development. However, due to their chemical properties, e.g. poor stability or poor permeability through biological membranes, many of the inhibitors reported in the literature are not suitable for *in vivo* administration. Furthermore, the *in vivo* data for some recently reported inhibitors are publicly not available. Examples of the currently reported *in vivo* effects of pharmacological inhibitors of eCB-synthesizing and -degrading enzymes in rodents are listed in Table 5.

It is noteworthy that continuous treatment of mice with a high dose of JZL184, as well as the genetic deletion of MAGL, have been reported to result in 2-AG overflow and subsequent CB<sub>1</sub> receptor desensitization and functional antagonism of the eCB system (Chanda et al. 2010, Sclosburg et al. 2010, Taschler et al. 2011). However, this effect was avoided by using lower doses of JZL184 (Busquets-Garcia et al. 2011). Receptor desensitization represents a potential problem that needs to be overcome when aiming at developing drugs inhibiting 2-AG breakdown.

Enzyme	Inhibitor	In vivo effects in rodents	References
Synthesizing			
enzymes			
DAGLa/β	0-3841, 0-3640	Not suitable <i>in vivo</i> (lack of stability and poor permeability through the plasma membrane)	Bisogno et al. 2006
	0-5596	Reduction in food intake	Bisogno et al. 2009
	0-7460	Reduction in food intake	Bisogno et al. 2012
	OMDM188	Not determined	Ortar et al. 2008
DAGLβ	KT109, KT172	Not determined	Hsu et al. 2012
Degrading enzymes			
FAAH	URB597	Reduction of inflammatory pain, anxiolysis	Kathuria et al. 2003, Naidu et al. 2010
	PF-750	Not determined	Ahn et al. 2007
	PF-3845	Reduction in inflammatory pain	Ahn et al. 2009, Booker et al. 2012
	PF-04457845	Reduction in acute inflammatory and chronic noninflammatory pain	Ahn et al. 2011
MAGL	URB602	Enhancement of stress-induced analgesia, reduction of inflammatory pain, neuroprotection in neonatal ischemic brain injury	Hohmann et al. 2005, Guindon et al. 2007, Comelli et al. 2007, Carloni et al. 2012
	NAM	Potentiation of CB <sub>1</sub> receptor- mediated behavioural effects of 2-AG	Burston et al. 2008
	JZL184	Acute analgesia, reduction of inflammatory pain, anti-emesis, anxiolysis	Long et al. 2009, Guindon et al. 2011, Kinsey et al. 2011, Sticht et al. 2012, Ghost et al. 2013
	ML30	Not determined	Morera et al. 2012
	KML29	Not determined	Chang et al. 2012
	JJKK-048	Not determined	Aaltonen et al. 2013
ABHD6	WWL70	Anti-inflammation and neuroprotection	Tchantchou & Zhang 2013
ABHD12	No specific inhibit	or described so far	

*Table 5.* Selective inhibitors of eCB-synthesizing and -degrading enzymes and examples of their *in vivo* effects in rodents.

Abbreviations: ABHD,  $a/\beta$ -hydrolase domain-containing protein; DAGL, diacylglycerol lipase; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase; NAM, *N*-arachidonoylmaleimide.

#### *Endocannabinoid-independent pathophysiological roles of serine hydrolases*

There are recent findings demonstrating that the enzymes degrading eCBs are involved in the pathology of several diseases also in a manner independent of eCB signalling. Pathophysiological roles of MAGL have been reported in cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. MAGL is intensely expressed in aggressive human cancer cells and primary tumours (Nomura et al. 2010). MAGL contributes to the cancer pathogenicity by providing free fatty acids for the production of bioactive lipids such as LPA and prostaglandins. The blockade of MAGL impairs invasiveness and tumorigenicity of several cancer cells; this effect is mainly due to the reduction in the levels of these other bioactive lipids, and does not involve eCB. Recently it has been demonstrated, that in addition to terminating 2-AG signalling, MAGL releases AA to be used as a precursor for the pro-inflammatory prostaglandin synthesis in the brain (Nomura et al. 2011). The pharmacological or genetic inactivation of MAGL has attenuated neuroinflammation and exerted neuroprotective effects in a Parkinson's disease mouse model (Nomura et al. 2011) and in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model (Chen et al. 2012). These findings thus link the eCB and eicosanoid pathways together through MAGL. Thus, MAGL-inhibitors may exhibit antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory responses by the simultaneous enhancement of eCB levels and reduction of eicosanoid levels in the brain. It is believed that MAGL may play a role in the prostaglandin production in the brain but not in the gut, where the cytosolic PLA<sub>2</sub> predominantly releases AA for prostaglandin production. Therefore, MAGL inhibitors potentially would not exhibit the gastrointestinal toxicity commonly associated with COX1/COX2 inhibitors. In addition, ABHD6 has been reported to be expressed in tumours (Li et al. 2009, Max et al. 2009). Mutations in the gene encoding ABHD12 have been connected to the neurogenerative disease PHARC (polyneuropathy, hearing loss, ataxia, retinis pigmentosa, and cataract) (Fiskerstrand et al. 2010). A knockout of the gene encoding ABHD12 induces an age-dependent PHARC-like phenotype in mice but does not increase brain bulk levels of 2-AG or other MAGs (Blankman et al. 2013).

# 2.4 FUNCTIONAL AUTORADIOGRAPHY AS A METHOD TO STUDY LIPID GPCR SIGNALLING

#### 2.4.1 General methods to study GPCR activity

Methods to study GPCR function can be divided into groups depending on which step of the GPCR signalling cascade they monitor (Zhang & Xie 2012). Classical receptor binding assays can be used to characterize the affinity of a compound in targeting its cognate GPCR. Methods that measure either G protein activation or G protein-mediated downstream events, such as second messenger generation, are defined as functional assays. In addition, some functional assays for G protein-independent signalling exist.

Traditionally, radioligand binding with receptor-containing membranes has been used to identify compounds that target GPCRs (or other receptors). Since the pioneering experiments (Lefkowitz et al. 1970), <sup>3</sup>H- and <sup>125</sup>I-labeled ligands have been used widely. Receptor binding assays can be applied to characterize the interaction between a receptor and its ligand but they provide little information about the downstream signalling events. Furthermore, the availability of labelled ligands may limit the use of the method. Functional assays measuring cellular levels of cAMP can be used in studies of GPCRs coupled to the G $\alpha_s$  or G $\alpha_i$  class of G proteins that activate or inhibit adenylyl cyclase, respectively (Hill et al 2010). The measurement of intracellular inositol phosphates or Ca<sup>2+</sup> can be used for the assessment of PLC activity in relation to the activation of the G $\alpha_q$  class of G proteins (Liu et al. 2008, Emkey & Rankl 2009). Since GPCR activation alters the gene

transcription via the responsive elements for second messengers, cell-based reporter assays can be used for GPCR screening (Cheng et al. 2010). In reporter assays, the reporter gene constructs usually contain second messenger responsive elements upstream of a promoter, which regulates the expression of a selected reporter protein e.g. linked to a fluorescent protein.

Recently, new cell-based methods to study GPCRs have been established, such as a labelfree dynamic mass redistribution (DMR) technology (Schröder et al. 2011). The DMR technology detects processes that occur when biomolecules change their localization within a cell, associated with mass relocation. The DMR technology has been applied to studies of GPCRs coupled to all four families of G proteins (Schröder et al. 2010). Another novel approach to study especially poorly characterized G<sub>12/13</sub> coupled receptors is a transforming growth factor- $\alpha$  (TGF $\alpha$ ) shedding assay, in which GPCR activation causes ectodomain shedding of a membrane-bound proform of alkaline phosphatase-tagged TGF $\alpha$  and its release into a conditioned medium (Inoue et al. 2012). Originally, the ectodomain shedding of TGF $\alpha$  was observed to take place downstream of LPA<sub>6</sub> receptor signalling (Inoue et al. 2011).

G protein-independent functional assays include receptor internalization assays and  $\beta$ arrestin recruitment assays (Haasen et al. 2006, Siehler 2008, Zhang & Xie 2012). These assays often exploit the combination of high-resolution fluorescence microscopy and automated image analysis for monitoring fluorophore-labelled biomolecules in cells. Either ligands or  $\beta$ -arrestins can be labelled with a fluorophore in order to detect the internalization of the receptor. Alternatively, specific antibodies can be directed to the N- or C-terminus of the GPCR and co-internalized with the receptor. These primary antibodies can be detected with fluorophore-labelled secondary antibodies. Non-imaging-based  $\beta$ arrestin recruitment assays include bioluminescence resonance energy transfer, where the GPCR is tagged with a fluorescent protein tag whereas the  $\beta$ -arrestin is tagged with Renilla luciferase, or *vice verca*. After  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment, the two tags come into close proximity and their interaction results in a detectable emission of light (Bertrand et al. 2002).

#### 2.4.2 [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding techniques

Functional [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding techniques provide an opportunity to monitor the first step in the GPCR-G protein signalling cascade by measuring the guanine nucleotide exchange. After agonist binding, the GPCR will interact with a G-protein, GDP is released from the  $\alpha$ subunit in the assay, it is replaced with a radiolabelled GTP analog. The substitution in the  $\gamma$ -phosphate renders [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S resistant to hydrolysis, resulting in the accumulation of radioactivity and this can be detected (Weiland & Jakobs 1994) (Figure 9). Classically [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assays have been performed using membrane preparations (Lazareno 1997).

#### $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ autoradiography

Receptor autoradiography generally refers to a method, in which either synthetic or natural ligands are labelled with radioactive isotopes, followed by their binding to specific receptors, their binding sites can be anatomically localized using a film or photoemulsion layer. The finding that receptor–G-protein complexes are still able to function in tissue sections (Zarbin et al. 1983) led to the development of the [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography method that provides an approach to detect agonist-driven activity at the receptor–G protein axis in tissue sections (Sim et al. 1995, Sovago et al. 2001, Laitinen 2004). In addition to providing information about the receptor's anatomical distribution, [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography detects only active receptors in their native cellular microenvironment, and is often referred to as functional autoradiography. One advantage of the technique over

the classical receptor autoradiography is that several receptors can be studied simultaneously using a single radiolabelled compound.



*Figure 9.* The principle of [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay. The symbol of radioactivity refers to the  $^{35}$ S of [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S, a radiolabelled analog of GTP that is also resistant to hydrolysis and remains bound to the G protein a subunit resulting in the accumulation of radioactivity (modified from Sovago et al. 2001).

 $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography was first introduced in 1994 to detect the light-stimulated G protein activity in the Drosophila compound eye (Yarfitz et al. 1994), and was applied to brain cryostat sections in 1995 (Sim et al. 1995). Thereafter, several examples of successful detection of many receptor systems in the brain have been published, including cholinergic, opioidergic, serotonergic, adrenergic, dopaminergic, histaminergic, GABAergic, cannabinoidergic, and lysophospholipid receptors (Sovago et al. 2001, Laitinen 2004). Altogether, approximately 20 distinct GPCRs have been successfully studied using the method, and the method has been applied to brain sections of different species, including man (Sovago et al. 2005). The method seems largely restricted to studies of the GPCR subtypes that signal through the G<sub>i</sub> class of G proteins. This may be explained by the relative abundance of G<sub>i</sub> in many cells over all other classes of heterotrimeric G proteins and by the relatively higher intrinsic rates of basal GDP–GTP exchange among the  $\alpha$ subunits of this subclass (Laitinen 2004).

The general procedure of [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography is presented in Figure 10. It should be noted, that each assay protocol must be optimized for each particular receptor; e.g. assay buffer compositions and incubation times may vary. In order to detect agonist-stimulated responses, the method requires a large excess of GDP to inactivate G protein  $\alpha$  subunits and to reduce basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding (Sim et al. 1997, Sim-Selley & Childers 2002). In [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography, typically 1-2 mM of GDP is needed, in contrast to the membrane [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay, where micromolar concentration of GDP (1-10  $\mu$ M) is sufficient. This is partly explained by the higher protein content of the tissue sections compared to membrane preparations as well as by the high degradative capacity of brain sections. In brain sections, practically all cellular enzymes, including the nucleotide

hydrolases capable of hydrolyzing GDP, are potentially preserved. Due to the high degradative capacity of brain sections in the presence of a high GDP concentration, high concentrations of agonists are also needed. Sodium is required in the assay buffer to reduce basal binding by inhibiting spontaneously active receptors whereas magnesium is required to promote agonist-stimulated G protein activation (Sim et al. 1997, Sim-Selley & Childers 2002). The pH of the assay buffer must be appropriate to avoid tissue damage. Depending on the agonists used, other components can be included in the assay buffer. Bovine serum albumin (BSA, 0.1 - 0.5 %) needs to be included in the assay buffer to prevent lipophilic agonists, such as lysophospholipids and cannabinoids, from adsorbing to the tissue or containers. If one is examining peptide ligands, then protease inhibitors will be needed to prevent their degradation. The reducing agent dithiotreitol (DTT) can be included in the assay buffer to prevent non-specific binding of [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S in tissue sections (Happe et al. 2001).



*Figure 10.* Typical procedure of the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography method. Depending on the agonists used, the procedure might require some modification (modified from Sovago et al. 2001).

 $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography has been proven to be suitable for studying brain lipid receptors, especially the Gi-coupled LPA1, S1P1, and cannabinoid CB1 receptors. In  $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography, the LPA-stimulated G protein activity is largely restricted to the developing white matter tracts in the brain of 4-week-old rats whereas S1P, as well as a S1P<sub>1</sub> receptor-selective agonist will evoke a robust signal throughout the gray matter areas both in the developing and adult rat brain (Waeber & Chiu 1999, Laitinen 2004, Sim-Selley et al. 2009) (Figure 11). Among other lysophospholipids – when modified assay conditions were used (4 °C temperature, 3 h incubation time, 0.1 mM GDP) – LPI induced [35S]GTPYS binding response in the adult rat prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Rojo et al. 2012). The cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-mediated [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding response is prominent in several gray matter areas, including the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, caudate putamen, and the molecular layer of the cerebellum (Sim et al. 1996, Palomäki et al. 2007) (Figure 11), convergent with the mapping performed by the classical receptor autoradiography (Herkenham et al. 1991). In contrast to the abundantly expressed CB<sub>1</sub> and S1P<sub>1</sub> receptors, receptor densities for several classical GPCRs are low and [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  responses therefore more restricted (Figure 11). Although CB<sub>1</sub> and S1P<sub>1</sub> receptors are widely expressed in the brain and exogenous agonists for these receptors produce robust responses, endogenous responses in the brain are local. For example, it is believed that the endogenous 2-AG signals within a 20-µm-distance from its site of origin (Castillo et al. 2012).



*Figure 11.* [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding responses in horizontal brain sections of a 4-week-old rat evoked by lipid mediators (upper row) and classical neurotransmitters (lower row). Agonists used: LPA for LPA receptors, CP55,940 for cannabinoid receptors, S1P for S1P receptors, CCh (carbamylcholine) for muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, DAMGO for opioid receptors, and 5-HT for serotonin receptors. Abbreviations: Cbm, molecular layer of the cerebellum; cc, corpus callosum; CPu, caudate putamen; fi, fimbria of the hippocampus; Hip, hippocampus; SuG, superficial gray layer of the superior colliculus. Aaltonen 2008, unpublished.

One characteristic of the functional autoradiography is [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding that is evident in several brain regions even without exogenously added agonists, i.e. activity under basal conditions. Endogenous receptor-activating ligands, such as neurotransmitters and neuromodulators, as well as their precursors may be retained in tissue sections or they may be formed during the incubations, contributing to the widespread and heterogeneously distributed basal [ ${}^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  binding (Laitinen 1999, Palomäki & Laitinen 2006). A substantial portion of this basal labelling has been shown to derive from the endogenous formation of adenosine in brain sections during the incubations and consequent activation of the abundant and widely-distributed adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors (Laitinen & Jokinen 1998, Laitinen 1999, Moore et al. 2000). Therefore, one needs to deplete adenosine either with adenosine deaminase or selective blockade of the A<sub>1</sub> receptors with an antagonist (8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine, DPCPX). Another source of basal binding in brain sections has been shown to be tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, especially in the developing white matter tracts (Palomäki & Laitinen 2006).

Recently, it was demonstrated that the comprehensive elimination of enzymatic hydrolysis of 2-AG in brain sections by irreversibly acting inhibitors leads to 2-AG accumulation and subsequent cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation that can be successfully revealed using [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography (Palomäki et al. 2007). Evidently, brain sections preserve functional enzymatic machinery to generate endocannabinoids during the incubations. In addition to GPCR agonists and antagonists, enzyme activators and inhibitors thus represent promising novel approaches to study the life cycle of lipid modulators, i.e. a novel way of exploiting this technique.

# 3 Aims of the Study

The general objective of the study was to deepen the understanding of lipid GPCR signalling in the CNS. The study comprised of two main parts; the first part involved the development and optimization of the methodology used for studying lipid-GPCR signalling and the second part focused on the characterization of the enzymatic pathways responsible for the lipid messenger synthesis and degradation. The second part especially focused on the lipid phosphate phosphatases as the regulators of LPA–GPCR signalling and diacylglycerol lipases as the regulators of 2-AG–GPCR signalling.

The specific aims of this study were:

- 1. To characterize and optimize [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography method by mapping the rat brain regions with prominent [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding in basal conditions.
- 2. To develop a LC/MS/MS method for the quantitative determination of LPA from brain tissue samples.
- 3. To reveal the enzymatic pathways regulating the levels of signalling LPA in rat brain sections, especially to clarify if pharmacological manipulation of LPA-synthesizing or -degradating pathways would affect the tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signal.
- 4. To investigate if comprehensive pharmacological blockade of 2-AG hydrolysis would result in the DAGL-mediated 2-AG accumulation in mouse brain sections and whether DAGL-deficiency would alter the functionality of CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-G<sub>i</sub> axis.

# 4 General Experimental Procedures

# **4.1 MATERIALS**

# 4.1.1 Chemicals (Chapters 5-8)

oxoethyl]-cyclopentaneacetamide)

Chemicals used in the present study are presented in Table 6. All other chemicals were of the finest purity available.

rable of chemicals used in the present stu	Table 0. Chemicals used in the present study and their targets/functions.			
Chemical	Target receptor/enzyme or other function	Origin		
Receptor agonists				
DAMGO ([D-Ala <sup>2</sup> , NMe-Phe <sup>4</sup> , Gly-ol <sup>5</sup> ]-enkephalin)	Opioid	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		
CP55,940 ((-)-3-[2-Hydroxy-4-(1,1-dimethylheptyl)- phenyl]-4-[3-hydroxypropyl]cyclohexan-1-ol)	Cannabinoid	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		
Neuropeptide Y	Neuropeptide Y	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		
Histamine	Histamine	Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland)		
2-chloroadenosine	Adenosine	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)		
S1P (Sphingosine 1-phosphate)	S1P	Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA)		
(2S)-OMPT (1-oleoyl-2-methyl- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3- phosphothionate)	LPA <sub>3</sub>	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)		
16:0 LPA (1-palmitoyl-2-hydroxy- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3- phosphate)	LPA	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)		
18:0 LPA (1-stearoyl-2-hydroxy- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3- phosphate)	LPA	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)		
20:4 LPA (1-arachidonoyl-2-hydroxy- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3- phosphate)	LPA	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)		
18:1 LPA (1-oleyl-2-hydroxy- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3-phosphate)	LPA	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)		
AEA (Arachidonoylethanolamide, anandamide)	Cannabinoid	Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA)		
2-AG (2-arachidonoyl glycerol)	Cannabinoid	Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA)		
Receptor antagonists/ inverse agonists				
Naloxone	Opioid	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		
Thioperamide	Histamine H <sub>3</sub>	RBI (Natick, MA, USA)		
AM251	Cannabinoid CB <sub>1</sub>	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		
( <i>N</i> -(Piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4- dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3- carboxamide)				
BIIE 0246 ( <i>N</i> -[(1 <i>S</i> )-4-[(Aminoiminomethyl)amino]-1- [[[2-(3,5-dioxo-1,2-diphenyl-1,2,4- triazolidin-4-yl)ethyl]amino]carbonyl]butyl]- 1-[2-[4-(6,11-dihydro-6-oxo-5 <i>H</i> - dibenz[ <i>b</i> , <i>e</i> ]azepin-11-yl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-	Neuropeptide Y Y <sub>2</sub>	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)		

*Table 6.* Chemicals used in the present study and their targets/functions.

Chemical	Target receptor/enzyme or other function	Origin
Receptor antagonists/ inverse agonists		
L-152,804 (5,5-Dimethyl-2-(2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-3,3- dimethyl-10xo-1 <i>H</i> -xanthen-9-yl)-1,3- cyclohexanedione)	Neuropeptide Y $Y_5$	Tocris (Northpoint, UK)
BIBP 3226 ( <i>N</i> -[(1 <i>R</i> )]-4-[(Aminoiminomethyl)amino-1- [[[(4hydroxyphenyl)methyl]amino]carbonyl]but yl-a-phenylbenzeneacetamide trifluoroacetate)	Neuropeptide Y $Y_1$	Bachem (Torrance, CA, USA)
DPCPX (8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine)	Adenosine A <sub>1</sub>	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
Ki16425 (3-(4-[4-([1-(2 chlorophenyl)ethoxy] carbonyl amino)-3-methyl-5-isoxazolyl]benzylsulfanyl propanoic acid))	LPA <sub>1/3</sub>	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
VPC 23019 ((R)-phosphoric acid mono-[2-amino-2-(3- octyl-phenylcarbamoyl)-ethyl] ester)	S1P <sub>1/3</sub>	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)
Enzyme activators/inhibitors		
Propranolol	Non-specific LPP-inhibitor	Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA)
Na <sub>3</sub> VO <sub>4</sub> THL (Tetrahydrolipstatin)	Non-specific LPP-inhibitor Non-specific lipase inhibitor	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
AICI <sub>3</sub>	Component of $AIF_x^-$	Merck (Darmstat Germany)
NaF	Component of $AIF_x^-$	Merck (Darmstat, Germany)
MAFP (Methylarachidonoylfluorophosphonate)	Non-specific serine hydrolase inhibitor	Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA)
JZL184 (4-nitrophenyl-4-(dibenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5 yl(hydroxy)methyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate)	Specific MAGL inhibitor	Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA)
PIP <sub>2</sub> (Phosphoinositol-4,5-bisphosphate)	PLD-activator	Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)
Oleate	PLD-activator	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
Neomycin	PIP <sub>2</sub> -depletor	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
1-butanol	Non-specific PLD inhibitor	Merck (Darmstat, Germany)
Compound 4k (5-fluoro-2-indolyl des-chlorohalopemide)	PLD-inhibitor	University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland
Other chemicals	Control compound for 1-	Merck
	butanol	(Darmstat, Germany)
Nadolol	Control compound for propranolol	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
Glycerol 3-phosphate PA (Phosphatidic acid)	LPA precursor	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) Avanti Polar Linids
		(Alabaster, AL, USA)
PC (Phosphatidylcholine)	LPA precursor	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
אכם (Bovine serum albumin, fattv acid-free)	Carrier protein	Sigina (St. Louis, MO, USA)
DTT (Dithiotreitol)	Reducing agent	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
		Continued

37

	receptor/enzyme	
Other chamicals		
NEM (N-ethylmaleimide)	Alkylating agent	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
GDP (Guanosine diphosphate)	Guanine nucleotide	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
GTPγS	Guanine nucleotide	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
(Guanosine-5'-O-(3-thio)-triphosphate)	used for determining	
	non-specific binding	
[ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS (Guanosine-5'-0-(3-	Radioisotope-labelled	NEN Life Science Products Inc.
[ <sup>35</sup> S]thio)-triphosphate)	guanine nucleotide	(Boston, MA, USA)
DFOM	Aluminium chelator	Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA)
(Deferoxamine mesylate)		
17:0 LPA	Internal standard	Avanti Polar Lipids
(1-heptadecanoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-		(Alabaster, AL, USA)
3-phosphate)		
AEA-d8	Internal standard	Cayman Chemical
(Arachidonoylethanolamide-d8)		(Ann Arbor, MI, USA)
2-AG-d8	Internal standard	Cayman Chemical
(2-arachidonoyl glycerol-d8)		(Ann Arbor, MI, USA)
Acetonitrile	Solvent	J.T. Baker
		(Deventer, The Netherlands)
Methanol	Solvent	J.T. Baker
		(Deventer, The Netherlands)
Chloroform	Solvent	Riedel-de Haen (Seelze, Germany)

 Table 6. Chemicals used in the present study and their targets/functions. Continued

 Chemical
 Target
 Origin

## 4.1.2 Animals (Chapters 5-7)

4 week-old male Wistar rats were supplied by the National Laboratory Animal Centre (University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland). Approval for the harvesting of animal tissue was obtained from the local welfare officer of the University of Eastern Finland. The experiments did not involve any *in vivo* treatment. The animals were housed in groups of five to ten individuals per cage under standard laboratory conditions (12:12 h light–dark cycle, food and water *ad libitum*, 60% relative humidity). The rats were decapitated 7–9 h after lights on, and within the next 5 min, the whole brain was dissected out, dipped briefly in isopentane (chilled on dry ice) and stored at –80 °C. Horizontal, coronal or sagittal brain sections (20 µm thick) were cut according to Rat Brain Atlas (Paxinos & Watson 1998) at –19 °C to –21 °C using a Leica cryostat, thaw-mounted onto Superfrost®Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser, Germany), dried for 1–4 h at room temperature under a constant stream of air and stored thereafter at –80 °C.

# 4.2 METHODS

#### 4.2.1 [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography (Chapters 5, 7, 8)

[<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography was performed using a three-step (Chapters 7 and 8) or a twostep (Chapter 5) protocol. In the former procedure, the sections were processed in three sequential steps consisting of preincubation for 20 min (step 1), GDP-loading for 60 min (step 2) and [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling (step 3) for 90 min. In the two-step protocol, the GDPloading step was omitted. All the steps in both protocols were performed at 20 °C using Tris-based buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>). In the three-step protocol, steps 2 and 3 included 2 mM GDP, whereas in the two-step protocol, 2 mM GDP was present only during the autoradiography step, which additionally included 1 mM DTT and 32–252 pM [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S in both protocols. For selected slides, chemicals under interest and 0.1% BSA were included in the assay during the step 3, or if indicated, during the step 1 or step 2. In addition, some slides in each experiment were incubated in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M GTP $\gamma$ S (step 3) to determine non-specific binding. After the 90 min autoradiography step, the slides were rinsed twice (5 min each time) in ice-cold washing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 and 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), dipped for 30 s in ice-cold deionized water, air-dried and arranged into a cassette together with [<sup>14</sup>C] standards (Amersham, Little Chalfont, Bucks, UK) and exposed against a radiosensitive film (BioMax MR<sup>TM</sup>, Kodak Scientific Imaging Film) for 2–5 days. After exposure, the films were developed for 3–4 min at 4 °C with Kodak D-19 developer.

## 4.2.2 LC/MS/MS for LPA determination (Chapters 6, 7)

#### Extraction of LPA for mass spectrometric determination

Slides with two horizontal brain sections were incubated for 40 min in the presence of  $AlF_{x}$ (NaF 10 mM + AlCl<sub>3</sub> 50  $\mu$ M) mimicking the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography. The control slides were incubated similarly in the assay buffer. After a 40 min incubation, slides were rinsed twice (2 min each time) in ice-cold washing buffer, dipped for 30s in ice-cold deionized water and air-dried. The modified extraction method of Bligh and Dyer (1959) was applied for the isolation of LPA from the tissue matrix. One sample consisted of pooled tissue obtained from four slides. The brain tissue was scraped manually from the slides with a spatula using the mixture of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.40 and methanol with a ratio of 1:4 (v/v); this mixture also included an internal standard (17:0 LPA) used in the quantification. The tissue was transferred to a screw-capped Pyrex® borosilicate glass test tube. The mixture of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.40 and methanol (1:4, v/v) was added to the test tube to Chloroform was bring the volume up to 200 μl. added to vield а water/methanol/chloroform ratio of 1:4:2 (v/v/v) and the samples were shaken for 1 h with a vertical shaker (Heidolph Multi Reax, Heidolph Instruments GmbH & Co, Schwabach, Germany). To achieve the phase separation, 80  $\mu$ l of chloroform and 80  $\mu$ l of water were added. After vortexing for 1 min, the samples were centrifuged at 1800 x g for 15 min at room temperature. The upper aqueous layer was transferred to an HPLC sample vial.

#### *Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)*

The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system comprised of an Agilent 1200 Series Rapid Resolution LC System (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) consisting of a solvent micro vacuum degasser, a binary pump, a thermostatted column compartment SL, and an autosampler SL. Ten microliter of sample solution were injected onto a reversed phase HPLC column (XBridge<sup>TM</sup> C8 2.1 × 50 mm, 2.5 µm) (Waters, Ireland) using gradient elution with 50 µM ammonium acetate + 1% triethylamine (TEA) (A) and 1% TEA in 90% methanol (B) as follows: 0–6.0 min 20% B  $\rightarrow$  90% B, 6.0–10.0 min 90% B, 10.0–10.1 min 90% B  $\rightarrow$  20% B, 10.0–15.0 min 20% B. The flow rate was 0.3 ml/min, column temperature was maintained at 40 °C and the autosampler tray temperature was set to 10 °C.

The mass spectrometric analysis was carried out with an Agilent 6410 Triple Quadrupole LC/MS equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI; Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The following ionization conditions were used: ESI negative ion mode, drying gas (nitrogen) temperature 300 °C, drying gas flow rate 8 l/min, nebulizer pressure 40 psi and capillary voltage 4000 V. Analyte detection was performed using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) with the following transitions:  $m/z 409 \rightarrow 153$  for 16:0 LPA,  $m/z 437 \rightarrow 153$  for 18:0 LPA,  $m/z 435 \rightarrow 153$  for 18:1 LPA,  $m/z 457 \rightarrow 153$  for 20:4 LPA, and  $m/z 423 \rightarrow 153$  for 17:0 LPA. The fragmentor voltage was 160 V and collision energy 20 V, except 23 V for 17:0 LPA. Data were acquired by Agilent MassHunter Workstation Acquisition software (Agilent Technologies, Data Acquisition for Triple Quad., version B.01.03).

# 4.2.3 Statistical analysis (Chapters 5-8)

Autoradiography films were digitized using a HP scanjet 7400c scanner. For the quantitative data, optical densities of the autoradiograms were measured using ImageJ, a freely available java-based image analysis software system developed in the National Institutes of Health, USA (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Optical densities were converted to nCi/g using nonlinear transformation based on the greyscale values of [<sup>14</sup>C] standards. Quantitative data were calculated as nCi/g equivalents with non-specific binding subtracted from total binding.

In LC/MS/MS experiments, an internal standard was used for quantification, and peak area ratios of the analyte to the IS were calculated as a function of the concentration ratios of the analyte to the internal standard using Agilent MassHunter software (Quantitative Analysis Version B.01.03). For Figure 25, the protein content of brain sections was determined by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit with BSA as the standard.

Unless otherwise stated, the statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison *post hoc* test with \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, or \*p < 0.05 considered as statistically significant. All statistical data analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism® (version 4.03 or 5.03) for Windows (San Diego, CA, USA).

# 5 [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S Binding Under Basal Conditions<sup>1</sup>

Abstract:  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  autoradiography represents a powerful functional approach to detect receptor-dependent G<sub>i</sub> protein activity in anatomically defined brain structures. Inherent in this technique, however, is the notable basal signal evident in several brain regions in the absence of receptor stimulation by exogenously added agonist. In the rat brain, much of this basal labelling derives from tonic activation of adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors in the grey and white matter regions, respectively. Despite the elimination of the two receptor activities, prominent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling is still evident in discrete brain structures, possibly reflecting regional enrichment of G<sub>i</sub> and/or constitutive receptor activity or the presence of still unknown endogenous ligands activating their orphan receptors. Here, the anatomical distribution of the enhanced basal signal was systematically mapped in brain sections of 4-week-old male Wistar rats. Regions with prominent basal [35S]GTPYS labelling represented neuroanatomically distinct structures, in particular various thalamic and hypothalamic nuclei. For instance, the paraventricular thalamic nucleus, the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and the subfornical organ were highly labelled, as were the periaqueductal gray and the nucleus of the solitary tract. Pre-treatment with Nethylmaleimide, an alkylating agent preventing all known receptor-driven G protein activity in cryostat sections markedly decreased the basal binding in all examined regions. In preliminary screening, selective antagonists for various brain-enriched Gi-coupled receptors failed to suppress the basal signal in any of the studied regions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adapted with permission of Elsevier from: Aaltonen N, Palomäki VAB, Lecklin A, Laitinen JT. Neuroanatomical mapping ofjuvenile rat brain regions with prominent basal signal in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS autoradiography. J Chem Neuroanat 35: 233-241, 2008.

# **5.1 INTRODUCTION**

Inherent to the functional autoradiography is that there is a certain level of  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ binding evident in several brain regions even without exogenously added agonists, i.e. activity under basal conditions. A substantial portion of this basal labelling has been shown to derive from the endogenous formation of adenosine in brain sections during the incubations and consequent activation of the abundant and widely-distributed adenosine A1 receptors (Laitinen & Jokinen 1998, Laitinen 1999, Moore et al. 2000). Tonic adenosine A1 receptor activity is prominent in the grey matter regions and is particularly evident in the molecular and granular cell layers of the cerebellum and in the cerebral cortex (Figure 12a). The adenosine signal can be efficiently blocked by the selective adenosine  $A_1$  receptor antagonist DPCPX or by the adenosine degrading enzyme adenosine deaminase (Laitinen & Jokinen 1998, Laitinen 1999, Moore et al. 2000). Recently, another source of basal binding in brain sections was shown to be tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, especially evident in the developing white matter areas, e.g. the corpus callosum and the fimbria of the hippocampus, which in turn can be eliminated using a potent LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor-preferring antagonist Ki16425 (Palomäki & Laitinen 2006) (Figure 12a). However, even after elimination of the two above-mentioned signals, prominent basal labelling is still notable deep in the brain in several midline structures (Figure 12b). As a prelude to ongoing studies aiming at further characterization and optimization of [35S]GTPyS autoradiography with brain sections, we have undertaken the first systematic anatomical mapping of the adenosine A1 and LPA1 receptor-independent basal [35S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S signal in brain sections of 4week-old male Wistar rats and identify the brain structures involved.





# **5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The materials and general methods are described in Chapter 4.

# 5.2.1 Tissue staining

To facilitate the identification of neuroanatomical structures, adjacent sections to those used in [ ${}^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  autoradiography (eight individual animals) were stained with Luxol Fast Blue and Cresyl Violet staining according to Geisler et al. (2002). This method allows simultaneous demonstration of cell bodies and myelinated fibre tracts in the mammalian brain.

# **5.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 5.3.1 Optimization of the assay conditions

The three-step standard protocol for  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  autoradiography comprises of a preincubation for 20 min, GDP loading for 60 min, and [35S]GTPyS labelling for 90 min. For practical and economical reasons, we first tested whether the second step was necessary if one wished to visualize the basal labelling. These experiments indicated that  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ binding throughout the brain sections was higher without the GDP loading step. For example, quantitative comparison of optical density values (mean  $\pm$  SD, n=2) indicated that the two-step protocol resulted in  $6.3 \pm 2.1$  % higher values for the cortex,  $5.7 \pm 2.0$  % for caudate putamen and  $4.8 \pm 1.8$  % for hippocampus. Nonetheless, the enhanced basal signal was clearly visible in the typical brain structures (data not shown). Additional experiments revealed that the inclusion of 1 mM DTT during the [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling step clarified the boundaries of the labelled structures to some extent (data not shown). Different assay buffer compositions were also investigated, especially in the light of findings demonstrating that the use of a glycylglycine-based assay buffer instead of the more common Tris-based buffer resulted in reduction of overall basal binding (Happe et al. 2001). In our experiments, however, basal binding was not significantly lower when a glycylglycine buffer was used instead of Tris buffer (data not shown). Based on these preliminary experiments, all subsequent studies were performed in Tris buffer using the two-step protocol consisting of preincubation for 30 min and [35S]GTPYS labelling for 90 min. In addition, 1 mM DTT, 1 µM DPCPX and 0.5 µM Ki16425 were included in the  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  labelling step.

# 5.3.2 Brain structures with enhanced basal [35S]GTPYS labelling

In the brain sections of 4-week-old rats, the prominent regions with high basal [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding were largely localized near the midline and often in the close vicinity of the cerebral ventricles, representing phylogenetically conserved brain structures, including various thalamic and hypothalamic nuclei (Table 7, Figure 13, Figure 14). We also compared autoradiographs of 4-week-old animals to those of adult (12-week-old) animals and found that the same structures showed enhanced labelling in both age-groups under basal conditions (data not shown).



*Figure 13.* The prominent basal  $[^{35}S]$ GTPyS signal is heterogeneously distributed and localizes to the deep brain structures. Luxol Fast Blue and Cresyl Violet staining was performed on adjacent sections. The number shown in the left indicates the distance (mm) of the first section in the row from the Bregma. Each of the stained sections is adjacent to the section shown in the left panel whereas the distance between other sections illustrated in this figure is  $200-300 \ \mu$ m. Structures in the parentheses indicate some of the areas with no prominent labelling. Abbreviations: AH, anterior hypothalamic area; BST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CPu, caudate putamen (striatum); Cx, cerebral cortex; DM, dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus; DR, dorsal raphe nucleus; Hip, hippocampus; IMD, intermediodorsal thalamic nucleus; IP, interpeduncular nucleus; LPB, lateral parabrachial nucleus; Me, medial amygdaloid nucleus; MHb, medial habenular nucleus; MPA, medial preoptic area; MPB, medial parabrachial nucleus; MPO, medial preoptic nucleus; Pa, paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; PH, posterior hypothalamic area; PV, paraventricular thalamic nucleus; Re, reuniens thalamic nucleus; Rh, rhomboid thalamic nucleus; S, subiculum; SFO, subfornical organ; SN, substantia nigra; Sol, nucleus of the solitary tract; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract; VMH, ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus. Scale bar = 2 mm.

*Table 7.* Adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding in 4-week old male Wistar rat brain. Rat brain sections (horizontal cut) were processed for [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography using a two-step protocol and the autoradiographs were digitized and quantified. The radioligand concentration was 32 pM in this set of incubations. Data are expressed as nCi/g equivalents with non-specific binding (determined with 10  $\mu$ M GTP $\gamma$ S) subtracted from the total and are the mean ± S.E.M. from six individual animals (n = 6).

Structure	[ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS binding	Structure	[ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPγS binding
Structures with relatively low and homogeneous basal binding	(nelyg)	Preoptic area	
Caudate putamen	208 ± 6	Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis	596 ± 17
Cerebral cortex	144 ± 7	Medial preoptic area	742 ± 33
Hippocampus	175 ± 7	Medial preoptic nucleus	822 ± 43
Subiculum	239 ± 9		
Substantia nigra	200 ± 10	Amygdala	
		Medial amygdaloid nucleus	761 ± 27
Thalamus			
Medial habenular nucleus Intermediodorsal thalamic	363 ± 18	Midbrain	
nucleus Paraventricular thalamic	342 ± 13	Dorsal raphe nucleus	545 ± 28
nucleus	455 ± 23	Interpeduncular nucleus	651 ± 12
Reuniens thalamic nucleus	377 ± 25	Periaqueductal gray	363 ± 17
Rhomboid thalamic nucleus	318 ± 15		
Subfornical organ	287 ± 19	Pons	
		Lateral parabrachial nucleus	561 ± 15
Hypothalamus		Medial parabrachial nucleus	462 ± 18
Anterior hypothalamic area Dorsomedial hypothalamic	643 ± 38		
nucleus Paraventricular hypothalamic	836 ± 28	Medulla oblongata	
nucleus	549 ± 39	Nucleus of the solitary tract	942 ± 44
Posterior hypothalamic area Ventromedial hypothalamic	568 ± 48		
nucleus	795 ± 31	Spinal cord	
		Spinal trigeminal tract	393 ± 4

The regions with prominent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS labelling seem to belong to those participating in the regulation of primitive vital functions and behaviour. For instance, the nucleus of the solitary tract plays an important role in controlling cardiovascular, respiratory, gustatory, hepatic and renal mechanisms (Lawrence & Jarrott 1996). The subfornical organ participates in the regulation of cardiovascular system and electrolyte homeostasis as well as adjusting thirst (Noda 2006, Fry & Ferguson 2007). The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis is involved in emotional behaviour induced by stressful and anxiogenic stimuli (Forray & Gysling 2004, Choi et al. 2007). Many of the studied regions, including the habenular or the parabrachial nucleus, function as an important link between the forebrain and the brainstem (Sandyk 1991, Chen et al. 2004). The parabrachial nucleus also regulates taste sensation and gastrointestinal activity as well as cardiovascular and respiratory system (Benarroch 2006). The hypothalamus has an important role in the regulation of motivated behaviour and hormone release, as well as in the maintenance of body temperature. In line with details that can be observed from the autoradiographs of

previously published papers, several hypothalamic nuclei were intensively labelled under the basal conditions, supporting our earlier argumentation that non-optimal signal-to-noise ratios are expected for receptor-dependent responses due to the high basal [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$ labelling in this region (Laitinen 2004).



Lateral 2.40 mm

*Figure 14.* The adenosine  $A_1$  and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling is largely concentrated over midline structures of the brain. The numbers (Lateral) in the figure indicate the distance (mm) of the first and last section from the midline of the brain. The distance between sections illustrated in this figure is 120 µm. A prominent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling is detectable in the same midline structures shown in the horizontal sections in Figure 12. The boundaries of the hypothalamic structures (arrow) are not as discrete as they are in the horizontal sections. Abbreviations: BST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; IP, interpeduncular nucleus; LPB, lateral parabrachial nucleus; MPB, medial parabrachial nucleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; Sol, nucleus of the solitary tract; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract. Scale bar = 2 mm.

# 5.3.3 Sensitivity to NEM

Since treatment of brain sections with pertussis toxin is not feasible (our unpublished observations), the sulfhydryl-alkylating reagent NEM has been successfully used to uncouple Gi-linked receptors from their cognate G proteins in brain sections due to its ability to irreversibly alkylate the sulfhydryl groups of cysteine residues, including those in the C-terminus of the G<sub>i</sub> protein  $\alpha$ -subunits. Treatment with NEM therefore reveals if members of the G<sub>i</sub> class of G proteins are involved in certain signalling processes. In  $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography studies, both basal signal and agonist-induced responses are sensitive to NEM, as observed for several GPCRs (Waeber & Chiu 1999, Laitinen 2004, Palomäki & Laitinen 2006). In the present study, pre-treatment with NEM (1 mM) for 30 min clearly decreased the overall labelling observed under basal conditions (Figure 15), suggesting that G activity likely accounts for the [35S]GTPYS binding to brain sections, including regions with high basal signal. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that the basal labelling is, at least partly, due to GPCR activity, and perhaps induced by so far unidentified receptor agonists and/or high local density of other types of proteins capable of binding [35S]GTPYS. Receptor-activating ligands might be endogenously present in sections or could be generated from their precursors during the incubations. It should be emphasized, however, that the heterogeneously distributed basal signal is inherent to the  $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography technique, and does not necessarily reflect the situation in the brain in vivo.



*Figure 15.* Treatment with the irreversible sulfhydryl-alkylating reagent *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) reduces overall [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling and completely abolishes the basal labelling in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S autoradiography. Horizontal rat brain sections were incubated for 10 min in assay buffer, followed by incubation for 30 min with 1 mM NEM in the same buffer. After a 10 min wash, [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S autoradiography was conducted using a two-step protocol. Abbreviations: BST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; LPB, lateral parabrachial nucleus; MPA, medial preoptic area; MPB, medial parabrachial nucleus; MPO, medial preoptic nucleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; PV, paraventricular thalamic nucleus; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract. Scale bar = 2 mm.

# 5.3.4 Constitutive receptor activity or orphan GPCRs and their ligands as a potential source of enhanced basal signal

Some GPCRs have the ability to spontaneously adopt an active conformation in the absence of receptor-activating endogenous ligand, i.e. they display constitutive activity (Bond & Ijzerman 2006). Constitutive activity can be reversed with inverse agonists that have the ability to shift the equilibrium towards the inactive receptor conformation. For instance, the histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor, which can display high constitutive activity in rat brain preparations (Morisset et al. 2000, Rouleau et al. 2002), localizes to some extent in the same regions as the observed basal signalling. However, in our hands, the H<sub>3</sub> receptor inverse agonist thioperamide was unable to suppress the basal binding in any of these regions (data not shown). With respect to other GPCRs, our previous studies have provided no evidence for the constitutive activity of the brain-enriched adenosine A<sub>1</sub> or cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assays (Laitinen 1999, Savinainen et al. 2003). However, these observations do not rule out the possibility that constitutive GPCR activity, mediated by still unidentified receptors, could be responsible for some of the labelling in brain regions with prominent basal signal.

Periaqueductal gray is known to be an important mediator of opioid receptor-mediated analgesia (Gray et al. 2006). In our study, the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone was not able to reduce the basal binding significantly in this, or in any other brain region examined (data not shown) indicating that tonic opioid receptor activity was not responsible for the high basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS labelling in this brain region. Neither selective antagonists/ inverse agonists for cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors nor the S1P receptor antagonist VPC 23019 were able to reduce the basal signal detectably in any of the brain regions examined. Moreover, no reduction in basal labelling was achieved with the selective neuropeptide Y Y<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist BIBP 3226, the Y<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes are known to be present in several brain regions, including various hypothalamic nuclei. In addition to the receptors examined in this study, Waeber and Moskowitz (1997) have tested the effect of several 5-

HT receptor antagonists on basal binding, but none of the antagonists used (NAN-190, GR-127,935, methiothepine) reduced the basal signal in the studied brain regions. Furthermore, a specific  $\alpha_2$ -adrenoceptor antagonist RX821002 was not able to reduce basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding (Happe et al. 2000).

The hypothalamus appears to host most of the still orphan endoGPCRs, as well as many of the known GPCRs (Vassilatis et al. 2003). On the other hand, over 30 neurotransmitters or neuromodulators have been documented to be present in the nucleus of the solitary tract (Lawrence & Jarrott 1996), which was also the most intensely labelled structure in the basal conditions used in our study. Thus it is not surprising that although selective antagonists for various brain enriched receptors were tested in our experiments, none of them was able to decrease the basal signal in any of the studied regions. In addition, some ligands, especially those with a peptide structure may dissociate slowly from their receptors, contributing to prolonged biological activity (Haskell-Luevano et al. 1996). It might be possible that binding of such slowly dissociating ligands explain some of the basal [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling.

# 5.3.5 Regional enrichment of heterotrimeric G proteins and/or other classes of GTPbinding proteins as a potential source of enhanced basal signal

One contributor to the heterogeneously distributed basal signal could be a high local concentration of the heterotrimeric G proteins in a particular brain region. Although [35S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S autoradiography principally detects agonist-dependent activity of Gi-coupled receptors (Laitinen 2004) in brain sections and  $G\alpha_{o}$ , the most abundant member of the G<sub>i</sub> family of G proteins shows widespread distribution throughout the rat brain neuropil (Worley et al. 1986), other classes of heterotrimeric G proteins, namely  $G_s$ ,  $G_q$  and  $G_{12}$  could also contribute to the heterogeneously distributed basal signal in a region-specific manner. Although not yet systematically studied, the so far published autoradiographs representing basal [35]GTPyS binding allows one to draw the conclusion that the labelling in several regions with intense basal binding appears to be dramatically reduced in brain sections of  $G\alpha_{0}$ -deficient mice (Jiang et al. 2001). This supports the view that the G<sub>i</sub> class of G proteins, the brain-enriched  $G\alpha_0$  in particular, is the major determinant of labelling under basal conditions of  $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography. It is not yet known to what extent other classes of GTP binding proteins, such as the monomeric G proteins might contribute to [35S]GTPYS binding to tissue sections under the assay conditions of autoradiography. In addition to the above-mentioned "classical" G proteins, several classes of cellular proteins can additionally bind and utilize GTP for functional purposes (Wennerberg & Der 2004), and are therefore broadly classified as GTP-binding proteins (G proteins). Since brain cryostat sections are expected to retain also such GTP-binding proteins, the presence of such proteins may in part, explain some of the basal signal in  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  autoradiography.

# **5.4 CONCLUSIONS**

The present study has provided the first systematic neuroanatomical mapping of the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent basal [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S signal in the developing rat brain. Our study reveals that the enhanced basal binding is mainly localized in the phylogenetically conserved brain structures, such as several thalamic and hypothalamic nuclei that develop at an early age. The basal signal could be diminished by incubation with the irreversible sulfhydryl-alkylating reagent, NEM, which is known to abolish all known agonist-induced G protein activity in [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography. In contrast, selective antagonists towards various brain-enriched receptors failed to suppress the basal signal in any of the studied regions. The basal signal could reflect regional enrichment of

various members of the GTP-binding protein family and/or constitutive activity of unknown GPCRs or the presence of still unidentified ligands capable of activating their receptors.

It may prove difficult to identify individual factors contributing to the heterogeneouslydistributed basal signal in brain cryosections. Nonetheless, attempts to identify these factors should be an important goal for further efforts to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the method. Systematic approach to test several additional antagonists might be needed and based of the presently mapped anatomical structures, should now be more feasible. A more systematic manipulation of assay conditions such as buffer ion composition might also be worth testing in future experiments, as this might facilitate the detection of constitutive GPCR activity.

# 6 Development of LC/MS/MS Method for LPA Analysis<sup>2</sup>

Abstract: A highly selective and sensitive liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) method was developed for the determination of LPAs (16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA, 20:4 LPA) in rat brain cryosections. After partitioning the LPAs from other lipophilic material present in the tissue with a liquid-liquid extraction, a reversed-phase column and ion pair technique was used for separating analytes with a gradient elution. An internal standard (17:0 LPA) was included in the analysis. Detection and quantification of the LPAs were carried out with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer using negative electrospray ionization (ESI) and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The artificial formation of LPAs from lysophosphatidylcholines during the sample preparation procedure and instrumentation was carefully studied during the method development. The method was validated; acceptable selectivity, accuracy, precision, recovery, and stability were obtained within the calibration curve range of 36–1790 fmol of LPAs. The quantification limit of the assay was 54 fmol injected into column for each LPAs. The method was applied to comparative studies of LPA levels in rat brain cryosections after the various chemical pre-treatments of the sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Adapted with permission of Elsevier from: Aaltonen N, Laitinen JT, Lehtonen M. Quantification of lysophosphatidic acids in rat brain tissue by liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 878: 1145-1152, 2010.

## **6.1 INTRODUCTION**

Recent advances in LPA research have revealed its potential therapeutic and diagnostic usefulness as well as the need for development of selective and highly sensitive analytical methods, for instance in the diagnostics of the very malignant ovarian cancer (Xu et al. 1998, Meleh et al. 2007). Several methods have been developed to analyze LPA levels in biological samples. LPA has been detected from plasma, serum and other body fluids as well as from tissue homogenates. In most cases, LPA is first extracted from the biological matrix using modified liquid-liquid extraction methods as described by Folch et al. (1957) or Bligh and Dyer (1959). Extraction procedures used together with strong acids (Shan et al. 2008, Georas et al. 2007, Tokumura et al. 2009), however, raises the concern about artificial formation of LPA from LPC under highly acidic conditions (Scherer et al. 2009). There are several described methods for determining the total LPA content e.g. bioassay (Tigyi & Miledi 1992), immunoassay (Chen et al. 2000), and radioenzymatic assay (Saulnier-Blache et al. 2000) but there are some limitations in those methods. Bioassays determine the biological effects elicited by LPA, such as the changes in the calcium-dependent chloride currents in voltage-clamped Xenopus oocytes (Tigyi & Miledi 1992). Although sensitive, bioassays are susceptible to disturbance by interfering compounds present in biological samples. Immunoassay (Chen al. 2000) suffers from the poor selectivity of the antibody and finally the radioenzymatic assay (Saulnier-Blache et al. 2000) requires the use of radioactive reagents.

A general approach used to quantify individual LPA species from biological samples has been gas chromatographic (GC) analysis (Xu et al. 1998, Sugiura et al. 1999, Nakane et al. 2002). Nonetheless, as an indirect method, GC requires thin layer chromatography purification as well as hydrolysis and derivatization of non-volatile LPA prior to analysis and thus is extremely laborious and time-consuming. Moreover, some indirect analysis protocols have not included appropriate internal standards in the assay (Xu et al. 1998). HPLC (Holland et al. 2003) and capillary electrophoresis (Chen & Xu 2001) methods have also been used though light-scattering detection and indirect ultraviolet detection are rather insensitive and unselective.

Higher sensitivity and selectivity is obtained by mass spectrometric (MS) detection. Some authors have reported analysis of phospholipids by flow injection directly coupled to MS (Xiao et al. 2001, Yoon et al. 2003). Unfortunately, flow injection can be problematic since there is no prior chromatographic separation to avoid ion suppression effects from highly abundant phospholipid species and other matrix components (Shan et al. 2008) and also artificial conversion of other lysophospholipids to LPA at the ion source has been demonstrated (Zhao & Xu 2009). However, high-throughput shotgun lipidomics provides a powerful tool for untargeted analysis of total lipid extracts, including hundreds of molecular species of glycerophospholipids, glycerolipids, and sphingolipids (Ejsing et al. 2009, Ståhlman et al. 2009). Preferred methods for targeted quantifying of the levels of individual LPA species from biological samples are liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC/MS) (Baker et al. 2001) and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) methods (Georas et al. 2007, Shan et al. 2008, Tokumura et al. 2009).

Previously, substantial amounts of LPA species were found in rat brain by GC analysis (Sugiura et al. 1999, Nakane et al. 2002). Here we report the highly selective and sensitive LC/MS/MS method for quantitative measurement of LPA species from rat brain cryosections. As far as we are aware, this is the first time that LPA has been measured from brain tissue by LC/MS/MS.

# **6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The materials and general methods are described in Chapter 4.

#### 6.2.1 Preparation of standards

The stock solutions of 16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA and 20:4 LPA and internal standard (IS) (17:0 LPA) were prepared by dissolving the compounds in methanol. The stock solutions were stored at -20 °C. Standard working solutions were prepared daily in methanol to first obtain a concentration of 2.0  $\mu$ M and 0.6  $\mu$ M for IS. The dilutions from calibration standard working solutions were prepared in methanol to give concentrations of 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1.0  $\mu$ M. An internal standard was added into each sample to obtain a final concentration of 0.3  $\mu$ M. Quality control (QC) sample working solutions (0.03, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.8  $\mu$ M) were prepared in methanol. An internal standard was added into each solution to obtain a final concentration of 0.3  $\mu$ M. To prepare the calibration and QC samples, 100  $\mu$ l of each particular standard solution, 80  $\mu$ l of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.40), and 220  $\mu$ l of methanol were mixed to obtain 400  $\mu$ l of solution. Each calibration and QC sample was then prepared according to Chapter 4.2.2 starting from the chloroform addition into the sample.

#### 6.2.2 Assay validation

This method was validated in terms of selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, recovery, and stability (Guidance for Industry 2001, van de Merbel 2008). LPAs are endogenous compounds and a brain matrix with no analytes is not available. Therefore 4% (w/v) BSA in Tris-buffer was used as a surrogate matrix (van de Merbel 2008). Homogenized rat brain tissue was used as the authentic biological matrix. The whole brain was homogenized in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.40) buffer using a Soniprep 150 homogenizer (MSE Ultrasonic Disintegrator; MSE Scientific Instruments, Manor Royal, Crawley, Sussex, UK) and samples were prepared according to Chapter 4.2.2. The volume of the homogenate in each sample corresponded to that of the tissue cryosection samples.

The selectivity of the method was assessed by analyzing reference standards, tissue samples, buffers, and solvents for interfering peaks at the retention times of LPAs. The standard addition method was used to further study the selectivity and matrix effect by spiking two concentrations of standards (0.2 and 0.4  $\mu$ M) into the brain tissue homogenate to obtain regression curves for each LPA species (van de Merbel 2008). The slopes of the curves were compared to the slopes of standard curves prepared without tissue matrix using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Selectivity was also studied by diluting the tissue samples 1:2, 1:5 and 1:10 with methanol before injecting into LC/MS/MS (Schuhmacher et al. 2003) and comparing concentrations of diluted samples and undiluted samples. An insource fragmentation experiment with 18:1 LPC molecule (Little et al. 2006) was performed in order to probe ionization matrix effects by other molecular species, such as glycerophosphocholines and lysophosphatidylserines. The transition m/z 281  $\rightarrow$  281 was utilized to monitor 18:1 fatty acid anion, which is an important fragment in all phospholipids, distinct from LPAs. Furthermore, a post-column infusion experiment was performed to evaluate the ion suppression after the injection of the tissue sample. The infusion setup consisted of a syringe pump and a post-column T-piece as reported elsewhere (Bonfiglio et al. 1999).

The linearity of the assay for each of the analytes was assessed by analyzing the calibration curves from six concentrations of calibration samples in triplicate covering the range of 36–1790 fmol of LPA injected into the column. The calibration curve included samples without analytes including IS (a blank sample) and excluding IS (a zero sample).

Unweighted linear regression analysis was used to make the calibration curve. Correlation coefficients were also calculated. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was determined by calculating precision and accuracy for five LLOQ samples that were independent of the calibration curve. The intra-day precision of the assay was assessed by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) for the analysis of QC samples in five replicates, and inter-day precision was determined by the analysis of QC samples on three days. Accuracy was assessed by calculating the deviation of the measured value from the nominal value, which compared the calculated and known concentrations. BSA was used as a surrogate matrix to the above mentioned experiments (van de Merbel 2008) and samples were prepared according to previous sections. QC samples including LLOQ were prepared in the presence of BSA and intra-day precision and accuracy were calculated as described above. The recovery of the analytes using brain tissue homogenate where two concentrations of standards (0.2 and 0.4  $\mu$ M) had been spiked was calculated with the following equation: Recovery (%) =  $100 \times (S-U)/C$ . In equation S represents the measured concentration of spiked sample, U represents the measured concentration of non-spiked sample and C represents the nominal concentration of the analyte.

The stability of the analytes was studied in three replicates using brain tissue homogenate samples. The concentrations of the stability samples were compared to those of freshly prepared samples. The freeze and thaw stability was determined after three freeze–thaw cycles. The short-term temperature stability was investigated by keeping the samples for 4 h at room temperature before sample preparation. The long-term stability was evaluated by analyzing samples which had been stored up to two months at -80 °C. The stock solution stability was investigated by comparing freshly prepared standards to standards prepared from a stock which had been frozen for 30 days and kept at room temperature for 6 h after thawing. The post-preparative stability was assessed by keeping the samples in an autosampler at 10 °C for 24 h.

## 6.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 6.3.1 Method development

During the early method development, several extraction procedures and test tube materials were tested. When modifications of chloroform-based liquid–liquid extraction methods described by Bligh and Dyer (1959) and extraction with 1-butanol (Georas et al. 2007, Scherer et al. 2009, Xiao et al. 2001, Baker et al. 2001) were compared, the best extraction efficiency and precision were obtained using a modified Bligh and Dyer method (data not shown). The majority of lipophilic material from the tissue matrix is removed by a single liquid–liquid extraction step to the chloroform phase while the LPAs stay in the water phase. During those experiments, we observed that LPA could be adsorbed easily onto the surface of test tubes, especially when plastic materials were used. The peak areas were approximately 35% lower when extractions were performed in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) compared to Pyrex® borosilicate glass tubes (SciLabware, Stone, Staffordshire, UK). Therefore all the following experiments were performed using glassware. In addition, a significant improvement was found in the precision and accuracy, especially in the case of 18:0 LPA, by washing glass test tubes with hydrochloric acid (6 M) between the experiments.

Several authors have used extraction procedures with strong acids to move the LPAs into the lower organic phase of the Bligh and Dyer extract (Yoon et al. 2003, Georas et al. 2007, Shan et al. 2008, Tokumura et al. 2009). This makes sample preparation laborious with a two-step extraction followed by separation and manipulation of chloroform extract. Moreover, one serious concern about acidification is the artificial formation of LPA by acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of more abundant lysophospholipids. In our hands, treatment of the sample with 0.6 M hydrochloric acid in the presence of 18:1 LPC increased the amount of

18:1 LPA during sample preparation significantly (data not shown). Overall, there were several reasons for deciding to analyze the water phase. First, sample preparation was straightforward and quick to perform. Second, without using any pH adjustment with acid, the artificial formation of LPA from LPC during the sample preparation could be avoided. Finally, the majority of LPC remained in the lower phase, being absent from analysis and MS ion source where the loss of choline from LPC has been reported (Zhao & Xu 2009). Though the majority of LPCs were washed out into the chloroform during sample preparation, about 10 % of LPC still remained in the water phase which makes us to conclude that a chromatography step has to be included in the method (data not shown). Glycerophosphocholines, such as LPC, are also reported to cause LC/MS/MS ion suppression during the analysis of biological samples (Little et al. 2006).

Different reversed phase columns and mobile phases were tested in order to obtain the best peak symmetry, selectivity, and resolution between LPAs and other lipid classes such as LPCs. Strongly retentive high resolution sub-2 µm stationary phases containing C8 and C18 were initially tested resulting in narrow and symmetric peaks. Unfortunately, the peaks started to broaden considerably after only a few injections and the column performance deteriorated, probably due to irreversible attachment of LPAs to the stationary phase. Similar results were obtained with bridged ethylsiloxane/silica hybrid technology reversed phase C8 and C18 columns (XBridge, Waters, Ireland). In reversed phase columns, sample retention depends on three characteristics of the column: type and concentration of the bonded phase and column surface area. Very hydrophobic analytes, like LPAs, are strongly retained, and in some cases their elution from a strong column (e.g. narrow bore columns with small internal volumes) may not be possible, even with mobile phases containing high percentages of organic solvent. We achieved improved chromatographic performance with a C8 column with a larger particle size (3.5  $\mu$ m) and pore size of 300 Å (Zorbax 300 SB-C8, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). In this case, the less strong wide pore column allowed the convenient elution of the sample without loss of column performance. However, retention times of LPAs and LPCs were overlapping with the mobile phase gradients in use.

Initially, the mobile phase consisted of acetate buffer and acetonitrile:isopropanol (5:2, v/v). During method development, several types of gradients were tested, but resolution between LPAs and LPCs could never be achieved. We found a wide pore reversed phase column (300 Å) and methanol together with acetate buffer to sharpen chromatographic peaks and also give resolution between LPAs and LPCs. Different solvents are enriched on the surface of the bonded phase to different degrees. Among the commonly used reversed phase organic solvents, methanol is adsorbed less than acetonitrile, making the system less retentive, and more suitable for LPA analysis. To further improve the resolution between LPAs and LPCs, we tested ion pairing reagents such as triethylamine (TEA) and N,Ndimethylhexylamine. Ion-pair chromatography provides an additional opportunity for achieving selectivity. Poor chromatographic peak symmetries were found with 10 mM TEA probably due to the simultaneous retention of LPAs by both reversed-phase and ion-pair processes. Instead, a high concentration (1%) of TEA was found to improve peak symmetries due to retention being determined by the ion-pairing properties, and it also increased the intensity of the MS signal. TEA has been reported to promote the formation of molecular ion and diminish the response of adduct ion (Schug & McNair 2002). In addition, it was further hypothesized that mobile phase additives with higher proton affinity would aid in formation of negative ions by extracting hydrogen ions in negative mode ESI (Schug & McNair 2002). A narrow bore column in favour of a wide pore reversed phase column was chosen to our final ion-pair chromatography method since this further improved column efficiency and selectivity, and therefore also improved resolution between LPAs and LPCs.

It should be noted, however, that a high concentration of TEA may contaminate the instrument to some extent which could be seen especially in the subsequent measurements

in the positive ion mode (Hughes et al. 2007). Therefore careful cleaning of the instrument is needed after the measurements and the use of project-specific capillaries is highly recommended. After the measurements we cleaned the instrument carefully with mixture of water and acetonitrile supplemented with formic acid (1%). A mass spectrometer spray chamber was rinsed carefully with a mixture of isopropanol and water. In addition, after the project the HPLC instrument was cleaned with a 50:50 mixture of methanol and potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 5.0). We also cleaned the capillary with a mixture of isopropanol and water and replaced the electrospray nebulizer needle and the LC filter element (5  $\mu$ m). By these cleaning procedures, the residual TEA (*m*/*z* 102) was almost completely removed from the instrument and there was no effect (e.g. reduced sensitivity) of following measurements in the positive ion mode.

Mass spectrometric detection was performed using a highly selective MRM technique in the negative ion mode. Using full-scan MS experiments, the deprotonated molecular ions [M - H] for 16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA, 20:4 LPA and IS (17:0 LPA) were found to be m/z 409, m/z 437, m/z 435, m/z 457, and m/z 423, respectively. A specific and sensitive assay was developed by monitoring transitions to the most intensive product ions. The following transitions were used: m/z 409  $\rightarrow$  153 for 16:0 LPA, m/z 437  $\rightarrow$  153 for 18:0 LPA, m/z 435  $\rightarrow$  153 for 18:1 LPA, m/z 457  $\rightarrow$  153 for 20:4 LPA, and m/z 423  $\rightarrow$  153 for 17:0 LPA, m/z 435  $\rightarrow$  153 for 18:1 LPA, m/z 457  $\rightarrow$  153 for 20:4 LPA, and m/z 423  $\rightarrow$  153 for 17:0 LPA (Figure 16), where an ion at m/z 153 is formed by loss of water from the ion at m/z 171.

#### 6.3.2 Selectivity

In order to determine the selectivity of the method, the standards and tissue samples prepared with the sample preparation method were analyzed (Figure 17). The solvents did not contribute any interfering peaks or background in any of the standard chromatograms. However, in the chromatograms of tissue samples, additional peaks were observed with the same parent-to-daughter ion transitions as the LPA species (Figure 17), which has previously been reported by Shan et al. (2008). These peaks are likely due to loss of choline from the LPC molecule in the MS ion source (Zhao & Xu 2009).

When two concentrations of standards (0.2 and 0.4  $\mu$ M) were added into the brain tissue homogenate and the slopes of the regression curves were compared to those prepared without tissue matrix, the slopes were found to be statistically equal for other LPA species, except for 18:0 LPA (Figure 18). This was taken as evidence of the absence of significant matrix effect or interference induced by the brain matrix. In the case of 18:0 LPA, absorption of the analyte on the surface of the test tube may explain the results to some extent. When tissue samples were diluted 1:2, 1:5 or 1:10 with methanol before injecting into LC/MS/MS, the concentrations of diluted samples were found to be constant due to simultaneous dilution of internal standard. This further ensures our observation that there is no matrix effect or interference, which could cause systematic error to the results (data not shown). Furthermore, no significant ion suppression at the retention time of analytes was found in a post-column infusion study where remarkable ion suppression occurred at a retention time of 0.5 min but this was normalized by the retention time of 3.0 min (data not shown). In-source fragmentation of 18:1 LPC gave transition of m/z 281  $\rightarrow$  281 (Figure 16) which was used to optimize the resolution between LPA and other species like ion suppression-causing glycerophosphocholines (Little et al. 2006).


Figure 16. Product ion mass spectra and molecular structures with proposed fragmentation of (A) 16:0 LPA (m/z 409  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000), (B) 18:0 LPA (m/z 437  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000), (C) 18:1 LPA (m/z 435  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000), (D) 20:4 LPA (m/z 457  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000), (E) 17:0 LPA (m/z 423  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000), and (F) 18:1 LPC (m/z 281  $\rightarrow$ 100–1000). One microliter of standard solution in methanol (1:10 dilution from stock solutions, ~20 µM) was injected directly into the LC/MS/MS using an isocratic mobile phase consisting of 30% of 1% TEA in 50 µM ammonium acetate and 70% of 1% TEA in 90% methanol delivered at 0.3 ml/min.

#### 6.3.3 Linearity, precision, accuracy, and recovery

The six point calibration curves were highly linear over the range of 36–1790 fmol of LPA injected into column. The calibration curve parameters with standard errors and regression coefficients are summarized in Table 8. The LLOQ with acceptable accuracy (± 10%) and precision ( $\leq$  15% RSD) was 54 fmol of LPA injected into the column. Previously, the detection limits for LPA species achieved with LC/MS/MS analysis have been in the range of 0.01–0.03 µM (200–600 fmol/injection) (Meleh et al. 2007) whereas with flow injection, a detection limit as low as of 0.3–1.0 nM (6–20 fmol/injection) (Yoon et al. 2003) has been reported. However, only Shan et al. (2008) have reported a quantification limit for LC/MS/MS method (160–500 fmol/injection). With the radioenzymatic assay, a detection limit of 200 fmol has been reported (Saulnier-Blache et al. 2000).

The precision and accuracy of all QC samples were within the acceptable range (Table 9). The method was accurate and precise between runs and within individual runs at each QC level for all the LPAs. The method was accurate and precise at all studied levels also when

56

QC samples were determined in the presence of the surrogate sample matrix [4% (w/v) BSA, m/V]. No statistically significant differences (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison *post hoc* test with p<0.05 considered as statistically significant) were found between results of QC samples with or without surrogate matrix at any concentration level (data not shown). The recoveries for 16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA and 20:4 LPA were found to be 104%, 69%, 92%, and 86%, respectively.

*Table 8.* The linear range, calibration curve parameters with standard errors and regression coefficients of 16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA and 20:4 LPA (n=3). Unweighted linear regression analysis was used to make the calibration curve. LLOQ was determined by calculating precision and accuracy for five LLOQ samples that were independent of the calibration curve.

Compound	Linear range	Regression parameter	R <sup>2</sup>	LLOQ (fmol)	
	(fmol)	Slope ± S.T.D error	Intercept ± S.T.D error		
16:0 LPA	36-1790	$1.0007 \pm 0.0131$	$-0.0002 \pm 0.0210$	0.999	54
18:0 LPA	36-1790	$0.6322 \pm 0.0110$	$0.0117 \pm 0.0156$	0.999	54
18:1 LPA	36-1790	$0.7878 \pm 0.0158$	-0.0177 ± 0.0253	0.999	54
20:4 LPA	36-1790	$0.7355 \pm 0.0171$	-0.0151 ± 0.0273	0.998	54

*Table 9.* Within-run and between-day precision and accuracy for 16:0 LPA, 18:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA, and 20:4 LPA and their nominal values at each QC level.

Compound	Nominal	Intra-day precision and accuracy			Inter-day precision	
	conc. (µM)	Mean	RSD (%)	Mean accuracy	Mean $(n = 3)$	RSD (%)
		$(n = 5) (\mu M)$ (%)		(%)	days) (µM)	
16:0 LPA	0.03	0.03	5.0	92	0.03	22
	0.1	0.1	3.6	85	0.1	11
	0.3	0.3	2.3	90	0.3	5.4
	0.8	0.8	1.6	94	0.8	5.2
18:0 LPA	0.03	0.03	14	104	0.03	33
	0.1	0.1	2.8	103	0.1	10
	0.3	0.3	2.4	114	0.3	12
	0.8	1.0	1.2	120	0.9	9.4
18:1 LPA	0.03	0.03	6.5	108	0.03	17
	0.1	0.1	4.0	89	0.1	12
	0.3	0.3	3.0	89	0.3	9.1
	0.8	0.7	1.2	92	0.8	6.9
20:4 LPA	0.03	0.03	4.5	100	0.03	17
	0.1	0.1	2.1	83	0.1	11
	0.3	0.3	3.5	85	0.3	11
	0.8	0.7	1.3	85	0.8	11



*Figure 17.* Representative MRM chromatograms of (A) standard sample of 16:0 LPA (0.1  $\mu$ M, retention time (RT) 5.23 min), (B) 18:0 LPA (0.1  $\mu$ M, RT 5.74 min), (C) 18:1 LPA (0.1  $\mu$ M, RT 5.38 min), (D) 20:4 LPA (0.1  $\mu$ M, RT 5.08 min), and (E) internal standard (IS) 17:0 LPA (0.3  $\mu$ M RT 5.50 min). Endogenous LPA content was measured from a rat brain homogenate and brain cryosections. Representative MRM chromatograms of a rat brain homogenate of (G) 16:0 LPA (0.17  $\mu$ M), (H) 18:0 LPA (0.20  $\mu$ M), (I) 18:1 LPA (0.11  $\mu$ M), (J) 20:4 LPA (0.04  $\mu$ M), and (K) IS (0.3  $\mu$ M). Representative MRM chromatograms of a rat brain cryosections of (M) 16:0 LPA (0.17  $\mu$ M), (N) 18:0 LPA (0.19  $\mu$ M), (O) 18:1 LPA (0.16  $\mu$ M), (P) 20:4 LPA (0.08  $\mu$ M), and (Q) IS (0.3  $\mu$ M). Additional peaks on MRM channels of LPAs in brain homogenate and cryosections were due to artificial formation of LPAs from LPCs in MS ionization chamber (Zhao & Xu 2009). The transition m/z 281  $\rightarrow$  281 monitored 18:1 fatty acid anion and it was used to optimize the resolution between LPA and ion suppression causing lipids (Little et al. 2006). Representative MRM chromatograms of (F) 18:1 LPC standard (8  $\mu$ M, RT 6.75 min and 8.35 min), (L) tissue homogenate, and (R) tissue section. In the chromatograms, the individual LPAs are indicated with the arrow.



Figure 18. The matrix effect was studied with the standard addition method. Two concentrations of standards (0.2 and 0.4  $\mu$ M) were spiked into the brain tissue homogenate to obtain a regression curve (triangles). Standard curve using the same concentrations was prepared without tissue matrix (squares). The standard addition method shows no matrix effect on 16:0 LPA (A), 18:1 LPA (C), and 20:4 LPA (D). Statistically significant difference was found between slopes of homogenate and reference standards of 18:0 LPA (B). This matrix effect is probably due to the adsorption of the analyte on the surface of the test tube. The statistical equality of the slopes (p<0.05 considered as statistically significant difference) was determined using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) (mean  $\pm$  SD, n=3).

#### 6.3.4 Stability

There was no significant degradation of LPAs after three freeze–thaw cycles (24 h interval between the cycles) in comparison with freshly prepared samples (–10 to +17% for other LPAs and +37 % for 18:0 LPA). The short-term temperature stability showed no degradation of LPAs but, instead, increased levels of LPAs 24–101% after 4 h storage at +20 °C. The increasing amount of LPAs after storage at +20 °C has been previously described (Scherer et al. 2009). LPAs may have been formed enzymatically in the brain tissue when stored at +20 °C before the enzymatic machinery is switched off by addition of methanol and chloroform into the sample. The LPA concentrations in 30 and 60 days of long-term stability samples were within the range of -17 to +10% for other LPAs but up to -42% for 18:0 LPA. The overall divergent results of 18:0 LPA are likely to be due to adsorption of the analyte onto the surface of test tubes. The stock solutions of LPAs in methanol were stable for 30 days when stored at -20 °C and kept at room temperature for 6 h after thawing. Post-preparative stability samples were found to be stable when they were stored for 24 h at 10 °C in the autosampler.

59

### 6.3.5 Application

In preliminary experiments with rat brain cryosections, the following endogenous LPA levels were found:  $3.2 \pm 0.4$  for 16:0 LPA,  $5.8 \pm 1.8$  for 18:0 LPA,  $2.6 \pm 0.6$  for 18:1 LPA, and  $1.2 \pm 0.4$  for 20:4 LPA (nmol/g protein in tissue, mean  $\pm$  SD of three replicate samples from two individual animals, n=6). According to GC analysis (Nakane et al. 2002), the four LPA species followed in our LC/MS/MS method account for 93% of LPA species in rat brain. Previously, in the GC analysis 18:1 LPA was found to be the predominant LPA species in rat brain homogenate (Nakane et al. 2002). Based on our findings, 18:0 LPA seems to predominate; this may be due to differences in the method and the age of the rats used (we used young 4-week-old rats). In preliminary experiments, the concentrations of LPA species were above the LLOQ of the method and all the measured concentrations were within the range of the method.

## **6.4 CONCLUSIONS**

A highly selective and sensitive method using LC/MS/MS was developed for the determination of LPA species in rat brain cryosections. As far as we are aware, this is the first report where the LPA content of brain tissue has been measured using LC/MS/MS. From the analytical point of view, LPAs are a demanding group of compounds since, for example, artificial formation of LPA from LPCs has been demonstrated during sample preparation and within the instrumentation. To prevent the artificial formation of LPA, we used a single step extraction procedure without any strong acid treatment to remove lipophilic material from the water phase, which was then analyzed. This sample pretreatment also removed most of the lipids causing the matrix effect and the method was found to be highly selective. Since residual LPC remained in the water phase after sample preparation, additional peaks were observed at the same parent-to-daughter ion transitions as the LPA species. Therefore, we developed a chromatographic method based on a narrow bore reversed phase column and ion-pair technique to optimize the efficiency of the column and to achieve resolution between LPAs and LPCs. Mass spectrometric detection was performed using a highly selective MRM technique in the negative ion mode. The method was validated and acceptable accuracy, precision, recovery, and stability were obtained for concentrations within the range of the calibration curve for all of the studied LPAs. According to the validation results and data from the preliminary study, we conclude that the LC/MS/MS method described in this paper is applicable for the targeted quantitative analysis of LPA species in rat brain sections.

# 7 Identification of Enzymatic Pathways Degrading LPA in Brain Sections<sup>3</sup>

Abstract: The lifetime of the signalling pool of LPA is controlled by the equilibrium between synthesizing and degrading enzymatic activity. In the current study, we have characterized these enzymatic pathways in rat brain by pharmacologically manipulating the enzymatic machinery required for LPA synthesis and degradation. In rat brain cryosections, the lifetime of bioactive LPA was found to be controlled by Mg<sup>2+</sup>-independent, NEM-insensitive phosphatase activity, attributed to LPPs. Pharmacological inhibition of this LPP activity amplified LPA1 receptor signalling, as revealed using functional autoradiography. Although two LPP inhibitors, sodium orthovanadate and propranolol, locally amplified receptor responses, they did not affect global brain LPA phosphatase activity (also attributed to Mg<sup>2+</sup>-independent, NEM-insensitive phosphatases), as confirmed by P<sub>i</sub> determination and by LC/MS/MS. Interestingly, the phosphate analog, aluminium fluoride (AlFx<sup>-</sup>) not only irreversibly inhibited LPP activity thereby potentiating LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor responses, but also totally prevented LPA degradation, however this latter effect was not essential in order to observe  $AlF_{x}$ -dependent potentiation of receptor signalling. We conclude that vanadate- and propranolol-sensitive LPP activity locally guards the signalling pool of LPA whereas the majority of brain LPA phosphatase activity is attributed to LPP-like enzymatic activity which, like LPP activity, is sensitive to AlF<sup>\*</sup>, but resistant to the LPP inhibitors, vanadate and propranolol.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Adapted from Aaltonen N, Lehtonen M, Varonen K, Arrufat Goterris G, Laitinen JT. Lipid phosphate phosphatase inhibitors locally amplify lysophosphatidic acid LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling in rat brain cryosections without affecting global LPA degradation. BMC Pharmacology 12:7, 2012.

### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

Previous studies have demonstrated that brain sections retain the capacity to generate endogenous GPCR agonists, such as adenosine and LPA, during autoradiography incubations, resulting in tonic adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and LPA receptor activity in anatomically defined brain regions (Chapter 5, Laitinen 1999, Palomaki & Laitinen 2006). The LPA-evoked [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding response in rat brain sections reflects LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, as it is sensitive to the LPA<sub>1/3</sub>-selective antagonist Ki16425 and is restricted to the developing white matter tracts (Chapter 5, Waeber & Chiu 1999, Laitinen 2004). This labelling pattern faithfully mirrors the known expression pattern of LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors in the developing rat brain (Allard et al. 1998, Weiner et al. 1998, Handford et al. 2001, Cervera et al. 2002).

It was recently demonstrated that a comprehensive elimination of the enzymatic hydrolysis of the endocannabinoid 2-AG in brain sections leads to 2-AG accumulation and subsequent cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation, as successfully revealed using functional autoradiography (Palomaki et al. 2007). Using this approach, we have characterized the enzymatic pathways and their role in tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity by pharmacologically manipulating the enzymatic machinery required for LPA degradation. The two degradative pathways generating inorganic phosphate (P<sub>i</sub>) as a result of LPA degradation are depicted in Figure 19.



*Figure 19.* The two enzymatic pathways generating inorganic phosphate (P<sub>i</sub>) as a result of LPA degradation. Abbreviations: G, glycerol; GP, glycerol phosphate; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPL, lysophospholipase; LPP, lipid phosphate phosphatase; MAG, monoacylglycerol; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase.

# 7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials and general methods are described in Chapter 4.

#### 7.2.1 Determination of Pi and glycerol

To estimate LPA-degrading phosphatase activity under the assay conditions mimicking functional autoradiography, triplicate slides with two or three horizontal brain sections underwent an autoradiography-mimicking protocol (except that DPCPX, GDP and the radioligand were omitted). The experiments with Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, propranolol, and nadolol (as a negative control for propranolol) were performed with and without NEM pretreatment. The protocol consisted of a 10 min pre-incubation in the assay buffer, a 30 min incubation in the assay buffer with or without 5 mM NEM, then a washing step with assay buffer, and finally a 90 min incubation in the presence of chemicals of interest as well as 0.1% BSA and 1 mM DTT. In NEM-treated sections, Mg2+ was omitted from the assay buffer. In experiments with NaF and AlFx-, the protocol consisted of two sequential 40 min incubations (steps 1 and 2) and finally a 90 min incubation (step 3) in the presence of the chemicals of interest as well as 0.1% BSA and 1 mM DTT. The LPA species used was 18:1 LPA. In all experiments, after the final 90 min incubation step, the postincubation buffer was collected quantitatively and the Pi content was determined in duplicate using the Fiske-Subbarow method, as described in Esmann (1988) after TCA-precipitation of BSA which interferes with method. Absorbances ( $\lambda$  = 700 nm) were read with a Tecan Spectrafluor microplate reader.

To clarify the enzymatic routes responsible for LPA degradation in our experimental setting, P<sub>i</sub> formation was determined using enzyme-coupling fluorescent method (Vazquez et al. 2003). The glycerol content was determined using a coupled enzyme reaction (Free Glycerol Reagent, Sigma, Cat.# F6428) with the exception that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production was coupled to peroxidase-dependent formation of the fluorescent dye resorufin (Navia-Paldanius et al. 2012). Briefly, rat cerebellar membranes (1 µg/well on a 96-well plate), prepared as described in Saario et al. (2004), were pretreated with the serine hydrolase inhibitors MAFP (1 µM) or JZL184 (100 µM) for 30 min and then incubated with or without 18:1 LPA, GP, or (2S)-OMPT (10 µM final concentration). The fluorescence ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 530 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 590 nm) was monitored kinetically for 90 min at 10 min intervals using Tecan Infinite M200 fluorometer.

### 7.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 7.3.1 Tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity under basal conditions of [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography is not due to PLD or autotaxin activity

Our previous study suggested that tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity in rat brain sections might be due to LPA generated via PLD activity, based on the sensitivity of this response to 1butanol (Palomäki & Laitinen 2006). However, 1-butanol is known to have several cellular off-targets and it is an inefficient PLD inhibitor, and therefore butanol-sensitivity alone is invalid as a proof for PLD involvement in a given cellular process or *vice versa*. Therefore, as the initial step of the present studies, we explored in more detail the possible enzymatic pathways that could generate LPA under the assay conditions employed.

We first used various approaches to address the role of PLD, but in addition to the 1butanol-sensitivity of this response, found no additional evidence for the involvement of the PC-PLD  $\rightarrow$  PA-PLA<sub>1/2</sub> $\rightarrow$  LPA pathway as a source of tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> signalling. A summary of these studies is presented in Figure 20. Briefly, we found that exogenous addition of the PLD substrate PC or the LPA precursor PA failed to boost LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling. Similarly, the PLD activators oleate and PIP<sub>2</sub> did not enhance LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, and the PIP<sub>2</sub>-depletor, neomycin, failed to reduce activity. Although 1-butanol has been previously demonstrated not to affect LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling in response to exogenous LPA (Palomäki & Laitinen 2006), we found here that 1-butanol readily blunted the responses to sub-threshold concentrations of LPA. Finally, the selective PLD inhibitor, compound 4k (Monovich et al. 2007, Su et al. 2009), did not reduce tonic LPA1 receptor activity.

We next examined whether tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> activity in brain sections is due to LPA formed as a result of ATX activity. However, we found that exogenously added ATX substrate LPC did not boost tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity (Figure 20). In addition, LPA could theoretically be generated either from glycerophosphate or from MAG in reactions catalyzed by glycerophosphate acyl transferase or monoacylglycerol kinase, respectively. In brain sections, however, catabolic rather than *de novo* synthesizing pathways are likely to be dominating. In previous experiments, neither exogenous 2-AG, (partially converted to 1(3)-AG during the incubations) nor inhibitors of 2-AG degradation were able to induce [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding in the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched white matter areas of rat brain sections (Palomaki et al. 2007), suggesting that monoacylglycerol kinase was not involved. Neither exogenous glycerol-3-phosphate was able to induce LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor mediated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding, providing no evidence of involvement of glycerophosphate acyl transferase (data not shown). Collectively, these studies indicate that with compounds used, we could not affect LPA-generating pathways in brain sections. We next explored if the tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity could be boosted by inhibitors of LPA degradation.

# 7.3.2 The LPP inhibitors Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and propranolol locally amplify LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling without affecting global LPA degradation

The LPP-mediated degradation of LPA is susceptible to the phosphatase inhibitor sodium orthovanadate (Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>) (Simon et al. 2002) and to propranolol (Roberts et al. 1998, Simon et al. 2002), better known as a classical  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor blocking agent. Another  $\beta$ -blocker, nadolol, has no demonstrable LPP inhibiting capacity (Simon et al. 2002) and therefore can serve as a useful control compound. We tested the effects of these compounds on basal LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling. Treatment of brain sections with propranolol (1 mM) or Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> (100  $\mu$ M) resulted in stimulated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding responses that were restricted to the white matter areas of 4 week-old rat brain (Figure 21a). The observed labelling pattern was fully reproduced by the addition of exogenous LPA (50  $\mu$ M) and all the evoked responses, including the tonic LPA1 receptor activity observed under basal conditions, were abolished by treatment with the LPA1/3 receptor selective antagonist Ki16425 (5 µM) (Figures 21a and b). As expected, treatment with nadolol (1 mM) had no effect on basal LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling (Figure 21c). Both Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and propranolol, but not nadolol, amplified the LPAevoked (0.5  $\mu$ M to 50  $\mu$ M) binding responses (Figure 21c). Since propranolol and vanadate amplified LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling only when present in the [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling step, these drugs presumably inhibit LPPs in a reversible manner.

To rule out the direct agonism of propranolol and vanadate at the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor, we performed classical filtration-based [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay and found that neither compound was able to stimulate [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding to the rat cerebellar membranes, whereas exogenous LPA evoked a dose-dependent response (data not shown). We additionally observed that the LPA<sub>3</sub> receptor-preferring agonist (2S)-OMPT (0.5  $\mu$ M to 50  $\mu$ M) induced only a weak response in the brain of a 4-week old rat when compared to signal achieved with exogenous LPA (10  $\mu$ M) (data not shown). This lends further support to the argument that observed LPA-evoked signalling is reflecting the activity of the myelin-enriched LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors instead of LPA<sub>3</sub> receptors that are expressed to a lesser degree in the brain (Choi et al. 2010).



*Figure 20.* Evidence indicating that tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity under basal conditions of  $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography is not due to PLD or autotaxin activity. Inclusion of the LPA precursors phosphatidic acid (PA) (present in step 3) (a), or phosphatidylcholine (PC) in step 3 (b) does not boost tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity. The PLD activators oleate (step 3) (c), and PIP<sub>2</sub> (step 3) (d) do not enhance tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, and the PIP<sub>2</sub>-depletor neomycin (present in steps 2 and 3) (e) does not reduce it. The selective PLD inhibitor, compound 4k (c4k) (steps 1–3), does not reduce tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity (f). The non-specific PLD inhibitor 1-butanol (step 3) blunts the response evoked by exogenous LPA while the control compound tert-butanol does not have any effect (step 3) (g). Incubation of brain sections with the autotaxin substrate LPC (step 1 or 3) does not affect tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity (h) (cc, corpus callosum). Scale bars = 5 mm.

65



*Figure 21.* Vanadate and propranolol evoke LPA-mimicking [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding response that is sensitive to LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor antagonist. (a) Test chemicals were included during the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling step (step 3). Treatment with propranolol or Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> results in G protein activity in the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor enriched white matter tracts, a response that is mimicked by exogenous LPA. The LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor antagonist Ki16425 abolishes all the evoked responses in the white matter regions, including the tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> signal observed under basal conditions (cc, corpus callosum). Scale bar = 2 mm. The eight-point [<sup>14</sup>C] standard used in the quantification is shown at the bottom of the image. (b) and (c) Quantitative data of the binding responses including the dose response for exogenous LPA with or without the simultaneous treatment with the inhibitors. Autoradiography films were digitized and [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding was quantified from the corpus callosum of coronal rat brain sections. Significance level: (b) \*\*\**p* < 0.001 compared to the treatment without Ki16425 (c) \*\*\**p* < 0.001 compared to control in each LPA concentration (n=6).

The LPPs catalyze the hydrolysis of the phosphate group of their lipid substrates resulting in the generation of Pi. The measurement of the released Pi offers a straightforward way to monitor LPP activity (McDermott et al. 2006). Studies with LPA (50  $\mu$ M) indicated that LPP-like phosphatase activity accounted for the majority of LPA degradation, as ~ 93% of the LPA-derived  $P_i$  was formed as a result of Mg<sup>2+</sup>-independent, NEM-resistant phosphatase activity (Figure 22). In the routine assay buffer containing Mg<sup>2+</sup>, 46  $\pm$  1 % (mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=3) of exogenous LPA (50  $\mu$ M, corresponding to 47.5 nmol potentially available P<sub>i</sub> per slide) was degraded during the 90 min incubation whereas in the Mg<sup>2+</sup>-free assay buffer supplemented with NEM (5 mM), the respective figure was  $44 \pm$ 3 %. However, neither the LPP inhibitors Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> (100  $\mu$ M), propranolol (1 mM), nor nadolol (1 mM) affected total LPA phosphatase activity in a statistically significant manner, assessed at the bulk level of brain sections (Figure 22). In line with this, when the LPA content of brain sections treated with Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> or propranolol was analyzed using LC/MS/MS, there was no significant accumulation of LPA when compared to control sections that were incubated in the absence of these inhibitors (data not shown). In brain sections, LPP activity appears to locally control the lifetime of the signalling pool of LPA,



and LPPs must therefore reside in close proximity to the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors, as propranolol and vanadate had no effect on LPA degradation when assessed at the bulk brain level.

*Figure 22.* Brain tissue dephosphorylates LPA through LPP-like phosphatases that are resistant to propranolol and Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>. Slides with three horizontal rat brain sections underwent the autoradiography-mimicking incubation protocol, (a), or were pretreated with NEM (5 mM) followed by the autoradiography-mimicking protocol with the exception that Mg<sup>2+</sup> was omitted from the incubation buffer (b). Following a 90 min incubation in the presence of 0.1% BSA together with the indicated combinations and concentrations of the compounds, the assay buffer was quantitatively collected and the P<sub>i</sub> content was determined. Note that the bulk of LPA degradation is due to NEM-resistant and Mg<sup>2+</sup>-independent phosphatase activity (compare a and b) and that Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, propranolol or nadolol do not affect basal or LPA-derived P<sub>i</sub> generation in a statistically significant manner. Significance level: Each bar indicated with  $\times$  shows a significant difference (p < 0.001) to the corresponding bar indicated with # while there is no significant difference between the bars marked with the same symbol (n=3).

# 7.3.3 Aluminium fluoride amplifies LPA1 receptor signalling and totally prevents LPA degradation

We undertook a search for additional inhibitors in an attempt to identify compounds that could comprehensively target the global pool of LPA phosphatases in brain sections. Sodium fluoride (NaF) is commonly used as a phosphatase inhibitor and aluminium fluoride (Al $F_x$ ) acts as a transition stage phosphate analog also capable of inhibiting several phosphatases (Li 2003).  $AlF_{x}$  forms spontaneously in aqueous solutions in the presence of Al<sup>3+</sup> and Fl<sup>-</sup> ions. We pretreated brain sections with NaF or AlFx<sup>-</sup> in order to test whether these compounds could affect LPA receptor activity and/or LPA degradation. Interestingly, when sections were pretreated with  $AlF_{x}$  (AlCl<sub>3</sub> 50  $\mu$ M + NaF 10 mM), a stimulated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding response throughout the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched white matter regions was evident (Figures 23a and b). This labelling pattern was fully mimicked by addition of exogenous LPA (0.5  $\mu$ M to 50  $\mu$ M) (Figures 23a and b), and was blocked by Ki16425 (5  $\mu$ M) (Figures 23a and c). Similarly, pretreatment of brain sections with NaF (10 mM) resulted in stimulated [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding responses throughout the white matter regions (Figure 23a). Pretreatment with these compounds was sufficient to evoke LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling, suggesting that, in contrast to the reversibly acting inhibitors Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and propranolol (that needed to be present during the [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  labelling step), AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> and NaF inhibited LPP activity in an irreversible manner.  $AlF_x^-$  is known to mimic the chemical structure of  $P_i$  and therefore affects the activity of several phosphoryl transfer enzymes (Li 2003). As a phosphate analog,  $AlF_{x}$  might bind to the Pi-recognizing binding pocket of the LPPs and by this mechanism lead to irreversible inhibition.



*Figure 23.* AIF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> and NaF evoke LPA-mimicking [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding response that is sensitive to LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor antagonist. (a) AIF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> (NaF 10 mM + AICl<sub>3</sub> 50 µM) was included during the 40 min preincubation step (step 1), NaF was included in step 2, and LPA in step 3, whereas Ki16425 was present throughout all steps. Treatment with AIF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> and NaF results in region-specific G protein activity that is restricted to the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched white matter tracts, a response that is mimicked by exogenous LPA and that is sensitive to Ki16425 (cc, corpus callosum; fi, fimbria of the hippocampus). Scale bar = 5 mm. (b) and (c) Quantitative data of the binding responses. Autoradiography films were digitized and [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding was quantified from corpus callosum of the coronal rat brain sections. Significance level: (b) \*\*\**p* < 0.001 compared to the treatment without Ki16425 (n=6).

We wished to explore in more detail the mode of inhibition of these compounds, as well as the behaviour of NaF in our experimental setting. This was justified by the fact that aluminium is a common constituent of glassware and  $F^-$  can etch it from the glass. Deforoxamine mesylate (DFOM) is an aluminium and iron(III) chelator that can be used in experimental settings to reveal if aluminium is present in the system. When brain sections were treated with DFOM (50  $\mu$ M), the responses to AlFx<sup>-</sup> and NaF (10 mM) in functional autoradiography were totally abolished (Figure 23a). It is noteworthy that DFOM needed to be added together with AlFx<sup>-</sup> or NaF in order to achieve this reversal; if added after pretreatment with AlFx<sup>-</sup> or NaF, DFOM was ineffective (data not shown). These studies indicate that AlFx<sup>-</sup> acts as an irreversible inhibitor of brain LPP activity thereby amplifying tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity. The general phosphatase inhibitor, NaF per se, did not inhibit LPPs, but mimicked the action of AlFx<sup>-</sup> based on the ability of F<sup>-</sup> to etch Al<sup>3+</sup> from the glass slides.

We were curious to examine whether  $AlF_x^-$  could also inhibit LPA degradation at the bulk brain level. When sections were pretreated with  $AlF_x^-$  but then omitted from all subsequent steps,  $AlF_x^-$  readily facilitated LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling (Figure 23, Figure 24a), but such a pretreatment did not inhibit LPA degradation in a statistically significant manner. Degradation of exogenous LPA (50 µM) alone yielded 22.6 ± 1.2 nmol P<sub>1</sub> per slide

whereas pretreatment with  $AlF_x^{-}$  followed by incubation with exogenous LPA yielded 21.4 ± 0.4 nmol P<sub>i</sub> per slide (mean ± SEM, n=3). However, when added together with LPA,  $AlF_x^{-}$  totally (and NaF partially) blocked the formation of LPA-derived P<sub>i</sub>, thus providing evidence of the ability of these compounds to inhibit the vanadate- and propranolol-insensitive pool of LPA phosphatases in a reversible manner (Figure 24b). Treatment with DFOM (50 µM) totally prevented the ability of  $AlF_x^{-}$  and NaF to inhibit the degradation of LPA (Figure 24b), indicating that  $AlF_x^{-}$ , rather than NaF, was the active compound.



*Figure 24.* AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> (not NaF) generates the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-mediated response; AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> also blocks the LPA phosphatase activity. (a) AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> (NaF 10 mM + AlCl<sub>3</sub> 50  $\mu$ M) was included during the 40 min pre-incubation step (step 1), NaF was present in step 2 whereas deferoxamine mesylate (DFOM) was present throughout steps 1–3 and in addition during a 10 min pre-incubation prior to AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> treatment. Both NaF and AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> induce [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding in the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched white matter areas. The responses to AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> and NaF are totally abolished with the aluminium and iron(III) chelator DFOM (cc, corpus callosum; fi, fimbria of the hippocampus). Scale bar = 5 mm. (b) Slides with two horizontal brain sections underwent the three-step autoradiographymimicking protocol. AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> (NaF 10 mM + AlCl<sub>3</sub> 50  $\mu$ M) and NaF were present during the 90 min incubation step (step 3). DFOM was present in steps 2 and 3. After the final incubation step, the assay buffer was quantitatively collected and the P<sub>i</sub> content was determined. Note that NaF partially and AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> totally inhibit LPA-derived P<sub>i</sub> formation and that DFOM reverses these actions. Significance level: \*\*\**p* < 0.001 and \*\**p* < 0.01 compared to the treatment with LPA alone (n=3).

To further explore the consequences of total inhibition of LPA phosphatase activity,  $AlF_x^-$ treated brain sections were incubated for 40 min in autoradiography buffer, the buffer was removed and tissue LPA content extracted using chloroform-methanol, followed by LC/MS/MS analysis. Four LPA species with different acyl substitutions (16:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA, 18:0 LPA and 20:4 LPA) were examined in the present study. The relative abundances of the four LPA species in brain sections incubated under control conditions were 20:4 LPA  $\approx$  16:0 LPA < 18:1 LPA < 18:0 LPA. The amounts of three of these species (16:0 LPA, 18:1 LPA and 20:4 LPA) were significantly increased after  $AlF_x^-$  treatment when compared to control sections (Figure 25). These experiments indicate that total blockade of LPA phosphatase activity with  $AlF_x^-$  treatment resulted in accumulation of several endogenous LPA species at the bulk brain level. However, no such bulk LPA accumulation was required to observe the  $AlF_x^-$ -evoked potentiation of LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling.



*Figure 25.* Treatment with  $AlF_x^-$  results in accumulation of several endogenous LPA species in rat brain sections. The slides with two horizontal brain sections were treated with  $AlF_x^-$  (NaF 10 mM + AlCl<sub>3</sub> 50 µM) for 40 min followed by extraction of LPA species. The samples were analyzed with LC/MS/MS for four endogenous LPA species. The amounts of three of these LPA species are increased in a statistically significant manner in  $AlF_x^-$ -treated sections as compared to control sections. Significance level: \*\*\*p < 0.001 and \*\*p < 0.01 compared to control (t test, n=3).

### 7.3.4 The LPA $\rightarrow$ MAG $\rightarrow$ glycerol pathway efficiently degrades exogenous LPA

In addition to the LPA  $\rightarrow$  MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway (Figure 19), another Pi-generating pathway for LPA degradation involves its deacylation to form glycerophosphate which is further dephosphorylated by glycerophosphatases to glycerol (the LPA  $\rightarrow$  GP  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway) (Figure 19). Since there are two degradative pathways for LPA that potentially release Pi, we wished to clarify which pathway would account for LPA degradation in our model. We performed kinetic monitoring of Pi production from exogenous LPA and glycerol 3-phosphate (GP) after incubation with rat cerebellar membranes in a 96-well-format. In this setting, cerebellar membranes generated Pi from LPA (10  $\mu$ M) but there was no Pi generation from GP (10  $\mu$ M) (Figure 25a), indicating that the Pi formed from LPA in our experimental setting is principally due to LPP/LPP-like activity. As a further proof, we used the dephosphorylation-resistant thio-analog of LPA, (2S)-OMPT, that is also an LPA<sub>3</sub> receptor-preferring agonist. As expected, there was no Pi generation from (2S)-OMPT (10  $\mu$ M) (Figure 26a).

Since both of the two Pi-releasing pathways for LPA degradation finally produce glycerol, we assessed cerebellar membrane-dependent glycerol generation from exogenous LPA in brain tissue. MAGL is believed to be mainly responsible for the MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol conversion. In addition, ABHD6 and ABHD12 have been identified to hydrolyze brain endocannabinoid 2-AG (Blankman et al. 2007), and together the three serine hydrolases account for ~99% of the brain 2-AG hydrolase activity (Savinainen et al. 2012). It is therefore likely that in addition to MAGL, ABHD6 and ABHD12 are involved in the degradation of both 1- and 2-monoacylglycerols. To delineate the relative contributions of the three hydrolases, we pretreated cerebellar membranes with two serine hydrolase inhibitors, methylarachidonoylfluorophosphonate (MAFP) and JZL184. The former is a potent, nonselective inhibitor of MAGL (Saario et al. 2004, Savinainen et al. 2010) that also inhibits ABHD6/ABHD12, whereas the latter is a MAGL-selective inhibitor (Long et al. 2009). As expected, in rat cerebellar membranes incubated together with LPA (10  $\mu$ M), glycerol production closely matches P<sub>i</sub> generation (Figures 26a and 26b), indicating that MAG  $\rightarrow$ glycerol conversion takes place under the assay conditions employed. With MAFP pretreatment (1 µM), LPA-derived glycerol production was decreased by 91% (Figure 26b). With JZL184 pretreatment (100  $\mu$ M), the corresponding reduction was 71% (Figure 26b).

The selectivity of the inhibitors towards MAGL likely explains the difference in the inhibition of glycerol production from LPA between the two inhibitors. Since there was no  $P_i$  generation from exogenous glycerol 3-phosphate, it seems that LPA is predominantly degraded by the LPA  $\rightarrow$  MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway in our experimental setting whereas the LPA  $\rightarrow$  GP  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway plays a minor role. According to our findings, both phosphohydrolases (LPPs/LPP-like) as well as MAGL and related hydrolases (ABHD6/ABHD12) seem to be active.

Previously, NEM-insensitive LPA phosphohydrolase activity was studied in the nuclear fraction isolated from rabbit cerebral cortex (Baker et al. 2000). This activity was found to be present also in the microsomal fraction. In the nuclear fraction, phosphohydrolase activity was found to be sensitive to NaF (50 mM) but virtually insensitive to propranolol (0.5 mM). Dephosphorylation by phosphohydrolases was found to be more active route for LPA degradation when compared to deacylation by lysophospholipases. It was also indicated that followed by dephosphorylation of LPA, monoacyl product is rapidly converted to glycerol by monoglyceride lipase. These findings support our present findings concerning the active pathways involved in LPA degradation in brain as well as the existence of LPP-like, propranolol- and vanadate-insensitive phosphohydrolase activity.



*Figure 26.* Rat cerebellar membranes principally metabolize LPA via the LPA  $\rightarrow$  MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway. (a) Rat cerebellar membranes (1 µg/well) were incubated in the absence (basal) or presence of LPA, glycerol 3-phosphate (GP), or the phosphatase-resistant LPA analog (2S)-OMPT. P<sub>i</sub> generation was kinetically monitored for 90 min using a fluorescent assay. Rat cerebellar membranes generate P<sub>i</sub> from exogenous LPA but not from exogenous GP or (2S)-OMPT. Significance level: \*\*\*p < 0.001 compared to basal (n=4 for basal and LPA, n=3 for GP and (2S)-OMPT). (b) Rat cerebellar membranes were pretreated for 30 min with DMSO or the broad-spectrum serine hydrolase inhibitor MAFP or the MGL-specific inhibitor JZL184. This was followed by 90 min incubation in the absence (basal) or presence of LPA. Glycerol generation was kinetically monitored for 90 min using a fluorescent assay. Rat cerebellar membranes readily generate glycerol from exogenous LPA; this response is largely blocked by MAFP and partially so by JZL184. Significance level: \*\*\*p < 0.001 compared to either basal (a) or as indicated (b) (n=4).

## 7.4 CONCLUSIONS

The two most important pathways to generate LPA are thought to be LPA generation either from lysophospholipids by autotaxin or from phosphatidic acid by the PLD  $\rightarrow$  PLA<sub>1/2</sub> pathway. Our findings indicated, however, that tonic LPA1 activity in brain sections was not due to LPA generated as a result of autotaxin or PLD activity, since exogenous precursors of LPA as well as various compounds reported to affect autotaxin and/or PLD activity failed to affect the basal tone of LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity. We observed that in brain sections, the lifetime of bioactive LPA is controlled by Mg<sup>2+</sup>-independent, NEM-insensitive phosphatase activity attributable to LPPs. Pharmacological inhibition of this LPP activity by AlFx<sup>-</sup>, propranolol or sodium orthovanadate amplified basal and LPA-stimulated LPA1 receptor signalling, as revealed using functional autoradiography. We conclude that LPP acts locally to control the lifetime of the signalling pool of LPA in the vicinity of LPA1 receptors whereas the majority of brain LPA phosphatase activity is attributable to additional LPP-like enzymatic activity. LPP-like enzymatic activity, like LPP activity, is sensitive to AlF<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> but appears to be resistant to the two other LPP inhibitors, vanadate and propranolol. Finally, we demonstrated that degradation of exogenous LPA is almost entirely channelled via the LPA  $\rightarrow$  MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway and that MAGL accounts for the majority of oleylglycerol-hydrolyzing activity in brain tissue. The Pi- and glycerolgenerating enzymatic routes involved in LPA degradation are summarized in Figure 27.



*Figure 27.* Summary of the inorganic phosphate (P<sub>i</sub>)- and glycerol-generating enzymatic pathways potentially involved in brain tissue-dependent LPA degradation. The width of the arrows indicates the relative activity of the pathway in the present experimental setting. According to the present study, the LPA  $\rightarrow$  MAG  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway is predominantly responsible for LPA degradation in rat brain whereas the LPA  $\rightarrow$  GP  $\rightarrow$  glycerol pathway plays a minor role. The majority of brain LPA phosphatase activity is attributed to LPP-like enzymatic activity. The blunt arrows indicate the enzyme inhibition. Abbreviations: ABHD, a/ $\beta$ -hydrolase domain-containing protein; G, glycerol; GP, glycerol phosphate; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPL, lysophospholipase; LPP, lipid phosphate phosphatase; MAG, monoacylglycerol; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase, MAFP; methylarachidonoylflurophosphonate.

# 8 Identification of Enzymatic Pathways Generating 2-AG in Brain Sections<sup>4</sup>

Abstract: The principal brain endocannabinoid, 2-AG, is enzymatically produced by postsynaptic neurons and then activates presynaptic CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in a retrograde manner. The primary pathway for 2-AG generation is believed to be conversion from the DAGs by two *sn*-1-specific lipases, DAGL $\alpha$  and DAGL $\beta$ . Previous studies with DAGL-deficient mice indicate that DAGL $\alpha$  is the major enzyme needed for retrograde synaptic 2-AG signalling. The current study investigated whether the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-mediated G<sub>i</sub> protein activity is altered in brain cryosections of DAGL-deficient mice when compared to wild-type mice and whether the *sn*-1-specific DAGLs are able to generate 2-AG in brain cryosections. Functional autoradiography indicated that brain regional CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-G<sub>i</sub>-activity largely remained unaltered in DAGL $\alpha$ -knockout and DAGL $\beta$ -knockout mice when compared to wild-type littermates. Following comprehensive pharmacological blockade of 2-AG hydrolysis, brain sections generated sufficient amounts of 2-AG to activate CB1 receptors throughout the regions endowed with these receptors. As demonstrated by LC/MS/MS, this pool of 2-AG was generated via tetrahydrolipstatin-sensitive enzymatic pathways distinct from DAGL $\alpha$  or DAGL $\beta$ . We conclude that in addition to the *sn*-1-specific DAGLs, additional 2-AG-generating enzymatic pathways are active in brain sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Adapted with permission of Elsevier from: Aaltonen N, Riera Ribas C, Lehtonen M, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT. Brain regional cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling and alternative enzymatic pathways for 2-arachidonoylglycerol generation in brain sections of diacylglycerol lipase deficient mice. Eur J Pharm Sci 51:87-95, 2014.

### **8.1 INTRODUCTION**

Convincing evidence has been provided to show that DAGL $\alpha$  is the major enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of 2-AG needed for retrograde synaptic signalling. It was observed that the retrograde synaptic suppression was absent in several brain regions of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice whereas it remained intact in the brain of DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice (Gao et al. 2010, Tanimura et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011). Furthermore, there were up to 80 % reductions in 2-AG levels in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice brain whereas in the brains of DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice, the 2-AG levels remained unaltered (Tanimura et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011), or were reduced up to 50 % (Gao et al. 2010). However, despite the chronic reduction in 2-AG levels, there was no evidence of CB<sub>1</sub> receptor up-regulation in the studied brain regions, as evidenced by unaltered levels of mRNA (Gao et al. 2010) and Western blotting of receptor protein (Tanimura et al. 2010). However, it has not been studied whether the brain regional CB<sub>1</sub> receptor–G<sub>i</sub> signalling axis remains intact in DAGL-deficient mice.

We previously demonstrated that pharmacological elimination of 2-AG hydrolytic activity in rat brain sections leads to an accumulation of endogenous 2-AG and subsequent CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation, as revealed using [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography (Palomäki et al. 2007). It was postulated that the DAGL activity generated 2-AG in sufficient amounts to activate CB<sub>1</sub> receptors. The 2-AG accumulation was susceptible to two recognized inhibitors of the DAGLs, tetrahydrolipstatin (THL) and compound RHC80267, and CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activity was modestly amplified by two DAGL activators, calcium and glutathione (Palomäki et al. 2007). Since only nonselective pharmacological tools were previously available to explore the possible role of DAGLs, we were curious to extend these findings by examining whether 2-AG accumulation takes place in cryosections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO or DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice brain when compared to WT brain and whether there would be alterations in the functionality in CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-G<sub>1</sub> axis between the genotypes.

# **8.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The materials and general methods are described in Chapter 4.

#### 8.2.1 DAGL-deficient mice

Frozen brains of DAGLα-KO, DAGLβ-KO and WT mice were a gift from Dr. Masanobu Kano, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan and from Dr. Kenji Sakimura, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan. The generation of the DAGL-KO mice has been described by Tanimura et al. (2010). The brains were removed from 3 to 6 months old mice representing combination of both males and females of all genotypes. The mice were sacrificed by decapitation, and within the next 3 min, the whole brain was dissected out, dipped briefly either in isopentane (chilled on dry ice) or in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C. Both isopentane and liquid nitrogen were used to freeze the brains in order to compare the two freezing methods. Since our preliminary experiments demonstrated that there was no difference in the outcome between the brains processed in these two different ways (data not shown), a combination of both brain types were used in following experiments. Horizontal brain sections (20 µm thick) were cut at –20 °C using a Leica cryostat, thaw-mounted onto Superfrost®Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser, Germany), dried for 1–2 h at room temperature under a constant stream of air and stored thereafter at –80 °C.

#### 8.2.2 LC/MS/MS for eCB determination

#### Sample preparation

Triplicate slides each with five brain sections underwent the autoradiography-mimicking protocol (except that DPCPX, GDP, DTT and radioligand were omitted). The protocol consisted of 20 min pre-incubation in the assay buffer, 60 min incubation in the assay buffer with or without the lipase inhibitors and 0.5% (w/v) BSA, and finally a 90 min incubation in the assay buffer supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) BSA. Between the steps, the slides were rinsed either twice (after the first step) or once (after the second step) with the assay buffer. After the final 90 min incubation step, the postincubation buffer was quantitatively collected. The slides were rinsed twice (2 min each time) in ice-cold washing buffer, dipped for 30 s in ice-cold deionized water and air-dried.

To determine the 2-AG and AEA content, the brain sections were moistened with methanol and the tissue was scraped manually from the slides with a spatula into a plastic test tube. To determine 2-AG and AEA content in the incubation buffer, 500 µl of incubation buffer was transferred to a plastic test tube. Extraction of the analytes from the sample matrix has been previously described (Lehtonen et al. 2011). Briefly, 500 µl of icecold methanol was added to samples, which were then homogenized with a Soniprep 150 homogenizer (MSE Ultrasonic Disintegrator; MSE Scientific Instruments, Manor Royal, Crawley, Sussex, UK). Samples were transferred into screw-capped glass test tubes and the lipids were extracted by adding chloroform and water to vield а methanol/chloroform/water ratio of 1:2:1 (v/v/v). Samples were centrifuged at 1500 x g for 10 min at 10 °C to obtain a sharp phase separation. The upper aqueous layer was discarded and the lower organic layer was transferred to a screw-capped glass test tube. This liquid extraction was repeated once, and the organic layers were combined. The sample was then evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at room temperature and the residue was reconstituted in 50  $\mu$ l of ice-cold acetonitrile. The sample was dissolved for 5 min and then 20  $\mu$ l of water was added. After centrifugation at 10 000 x g for 10 min at 10 °C, the supernatant was transferred to an HPLC sample vial for LC/MS/MS analysis, as described below.

#### *Liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)*

The LC/MS/MS instrumentation used in the eCB analysis has been previously described (Lehtonen et al. 2011). The HPLC system consisted of an Agilent 1200 Series Rapid Resolution LC System (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a solvent micro vacuum degasser, a binary pump, a thermostatted column compartment, and an autosampler. The mass analysis was made with an Agilent 6410 Triple Quadrupole MS equipped with an electrospray ionization source (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Ten microliters of the sample solution were injected onto a reversed-phase HPLC column (Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 Rapid Resolution HT 2.1 mm x 50 mm, 1.8 µm) (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) using an isocratic mobile phase consisting of H<sub>2</sub>O/acetonitrile/formic acid (33:67:0.1, v/v/v), delivered at 150 µl/min. Column temperature was maintained at 40 °C and the autosampler tray temperature was set at 10 °C. The following ionization conditions were used: ESI positive ion mode, drying gas (nitrogen) temperature 300 °C, drying gas flow rate 10 l/min, nebulizer pressure 50 psi, and capillary voltage 4000 V. Detection was performed using multiple reaction monitoring with the following transitions: m/z 348  $\rightarrow$  62 for AEA, m/z 356  $\rightarrow$  63 for AEA-d8, m/z 379  $\rightarrow$  287 for 2-AG, and  $387 \rightarrow 294$  for 2-AG-d8. Fragmentor voltage and collision energy for AEA, AEAd8, 2-AG, and 2-AG-d8 were 120 and 10 V, 120 and 12 V, 130 and 8 V, and 125 and 10 V, respectively. Dwell time was 100 ms for each transition, and mass resolutions for MS1 and MS2 guadrupoles were 2.4 and 1.2 FWHM, respectively, for AEA, and 0.7 and 0.7 FWHM,

respectively, for AEA-d8, 2-AG, and 2-AG-d8. Deuterated internal standards, AEA-d8 and 2-AG-d8, were used for quantification, and peak area ratios of the analyte to the internal standard were calculated as a function of the concentration ratios of the analyte to the internal standard.

## **8.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 8.3.1 The functionality of CB<sub>1</sub> receptor–G<sub>i</sub>-axis remains unaltered in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO and DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice when compared to WT animals.

We assessed basal and CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-dependent G protein activity in cryosections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice by analyzing selected CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched brain regions (Herkenham et al. 1991), i.e. the cingulate cortex, caudate putamen, globus pallidus, somatosensory cortex, substantia nigra, cerebellar molecular layer, hippocampus-overall, hippocampus-CA1, hippocampus-CA3, and hippocampus-dentate gyrus, in a quantitative manner. There were no statistically significant differences in basal G protein activity in examined brain regions in the DAGL $\alpha$ -KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice (Figures 28a and b). Pretreatment with the broadly-acting serine hydrolase inhibitor MAFP (10  $\mu$ M) induced a robust [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding response throughout the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched brain regions (Figure 28a). Evidently, after comprehensive blockade of 2-AG hydrolysis, mice brain cryosections were able to generate sufficient amounts of 2-AG to activate CB<sub>1</sub> receptors. Previously, by classical filtration based [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay it was demonstrated that MAFP has no direct effect on CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation (Savinainen et al. 2003, Palomäki et al. 2007). No statistically significant differences were observed in the MAFP-evoked CB<sub>1</sub> receptor response between the genotypes (Figure 28b).

The synthetic CB<sub>1</sub> receptor agonist CP55,940 induced concentration-dependent (0.5  $\mu$ M, 5  $\mu$ M, 50  $\mu$ M) [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding responses in the studied brain regions (Figure 28a). The dose-response for CP55,940 was chosen to extend up to 50  $\mu$ M due to the high degradative capacity of brain sections, where millimolar concentrations of GDP are needed to observe agonist-driven G<sub>1</sub> protein activity (Laitinen 2004). No statistically significant difference was observed in CP55,940-evoked regional CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activity between DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice whereas in certain hippocampal regions of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice (hippocampus-overall, hippocampus-CA3 and hippocampus-dentate gyrus), sub-maximal concentrations of CP55,940 evoked a statistically significant increase in CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activity (Figure 28b). In agreement with the previous findings with rat brain cryosections (Palomäki et al. 2007, Aaltonen et al. 2013), the observed labelling patterns evoked by both MAFP and CP55,940 were abolished with the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor selective antagonist AM251 (1  $\mu$ M), confirming that responses were mediated via the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors (Figure 29).



*Figure 28.* Blockade of endocannabinoid hydrolysis by the irreversibly acting inhibitor MAFP evokes CP55,940-mimicking [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding responses throughout the CB<sub>1</sub> receptorenriched brain regions of DAGLa-KO, DAGLβ-KO and WT mice brain sections. MAFP was included during step 2 whereas CP55,940 was included during the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS labelling step. (a) In basal conditions, there is no difference in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS labelling between the genotypes. Treatment with MAFP induces G protein activity in the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched brain regions; this response is similar in the three genotypes. The MAFP-evoked response is dose-dependently mimicked by CP55,940 (Cx, cerebral cortex; CPu, caudate putamen; Hip, hippocampus; Cbm, molecular layer of the cerebellum). Scale bar = 2 mm. (b) Quantitative data of the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding responses. No statistically significant difference is observed in basal or MAFP-evoked G protein activity between WT and DAGLa-KO or DAGLβ-KO mice. In certain hippocampal structures, treatment with CP55,940 induces increased binding response in DAGLa-KO mice when compared to WT mice (\**p* < 0.05) (n=5).



*Figure 29.* [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding responses evoked by MAFP and CP55,940 are sensitive to CB1 receptor-selective antagonist AM251. MAFP was included during the step 2 whereas CP55,940 and AM251 were included during the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling step (Cx, cerebral cortex; GP, globus pallidus; Hip, hippocampus; SN, substantia nigra). Scale bar = 2 mm.

Previous studies with DAGL-deficient mice revealed that both global and regional 2-AG levels in brain were significantly reduced and that 2-AG mediated retrograde synaptic suppression was absent in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice brain (Gao et al. 2010, Tanimura et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011). One would expect that chronic and extensive 2-AG reduction would lead to up-regulation of CB1 receptors as a compensatory response. However, mRNA expression pattern and protein levels of CB1 receptors were found to be normal in the studied brain regions (Gao et al. 2010, Tanimura et al. 2010). In contrast, global genetic knockout of MAGL resulted in a dramatic increase in 2-AG levels in the nervous system that lead to a reduction of CB1 receptor density, as well as pharmacological tolerance to the cannabinoid agonists, indicating desensitization of CB1 receptors (Chanda et al. 2010, Taschler et al. 2011). Down-regulation of CB1 signalling was also observed in some, but not all regions following chronic treatment of mice with the selective MAGL-inhibitor JZL184 (Schlosburg et al. 2010). Likewise, chronic treatment of rats with  $\Delta^9$ -THC significantly reduced cannabinoid agonist-stimulated responses in several brain regions enriched with the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors whereas acute  $\Delta^9$ -THC treatment had no such effect (Sim et al. 1996). Our present findings indicate that agonist-induced CB<sub>1</sub> receptor signalling is unaltered in all tested brain regions of DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice and in the majority of tested brain regions of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice as compared to their WT littermates. In certain hippocampal regions of DAGLa-KO mice brain, however, increased CB1 receptor activity was observed. Evidently, in the majority of brain regions, the loss of DAGL does not affect the functionality of the CB<sub>1</sub>-receptor-G<sub>i/o</sub> axis or the receptor density but it is conceivable that in hippocampus, chronic reduction of 2-AG leads to activation of compensatory mechanisms. Previously, hippocampus has been demonstrated to be one of the brain structures that is very sensitive to desensitization and down-regulation of CB1 receptors both in mice (Sim-Selley et al. 2006, McKinney et al. 2008, Schlosburg et al. 2010) and humans (Hirvonen et al. 2013) and similarly this structure might also be susceptible to receptor up-regulation. Nonetheless, the chronic reduction of 2-AG levels in the CNS does not seem to induce any major

compensatory effects to such an extent as seen after the chronic elevation of 2-AG levels described in several animal models.

# 8.3.2 Mice brain sections generate 2-AG via THL-sensitive enzymatic pathways distinct from DAGL $\alpha$ or DAGL $\beta$ .

To investigate the origin of 2-AG capable of activating the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in MAFP-treated sections, brain sections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice were treated with MAFP or with the combination of MAFP and the established DAGL inhibitor THL using autoradiography-mimicking conditions. After the incubation, the tissue and buffer lipids were extracted using chloroform-methanol and 2-AG and AEA levels were determined with LC/MS/MS. Pretreatment with MAFP (10  $\mu$ M) resulted in an approximately 9-fold increase in the tissue 2-AG content (Figure 30a) and an approximately 100-fold increase in 2-AG levels in the incubation buffer (Figure 30b). Inclusion of THL (10  $\mu$ M) blocked the 2-AG generation in MAFP-treated sections; this was evident in both tissue and incubation buffer samples (Figures 30a and b). There were no statistically significant differences in 2-AG levels between WT and DAGL $\alpha$ -KO or DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice in any of the tested conditions (Figures 30a and b). These results indicate that in the present experimental setting, brain sections generate 2-AG via THL-sensitive enzymatic pathways distinct from DAGL $\alpha$  or DAGLB. In addition to MAGL, MAFP potently inhibits other serine hydrolases including the AEA-degrading enzyme FAAH (McKinney and Cravatt 2005). When relative levels of AEA were determined after pre-incubation with MAFP (10  $\mu$ M), there was an approximately 7-fold increase in the AEA content when compared to basal situation in tissue samples (Figure 31a) and an approximately 3-fold increase in incubation buffer samples (Figure 31b). Treatment with THL (10 µM) had no effect on the MAFP-induced increases in AEA levels (Figures 31a and b). There were no statistically significant differences in AEA contents between WT and DAGL $\alpha$ -KO or DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice in any of the tested conditions (Figures 31a and b).



*Figure 30.* Mouse brain sections generate 2-AG via THL-sensitive enzyme pathway(s) distinct from DAGLa or DAGL $\beta$ . (a) and (b) Slides with five horizontal sections of DAGLa-KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice brain underwent the three-step autoradiography-mimicking incubation. MAFP and THL were present during the 60 min incubation step (step 2). (a) The tissue was scraped from the slides and lipids extracted using chloroform-methanol followed by 2-AG determination with LC/MS/MS. (b) After the final incubation step, the assay buffer was quantitatively collected and lipids extracted using chloroform-methanol followed by 2-AG determination with LC/MS/MS. Significance level: \*\*p < 0.01 (n=4).



*Figure 31.* Treatment with MAFP results in AEA accumulation in brain sections of DAGLa-KO, DAGLβ-KO and WT mice in THL-insensitive manner. (a) and (b) Slides with five horizontal sections of DAGLa-KO, DAGLβ-KO and WT mice brain underwent the three-step autoradiography-mimicking incubation. MAFP and THL were present during the 60 min incubation step (step 2). (a) The tissue was scraped from the slides and lipids extracted using chloroform-methanol followed by determination of relative content of AEA with LC/MS/MS. (b) After the final incubation step, the assay buffer was collected and lipids extracted using chloroform-methanol followed by determination of relative content of AEA with LC/MS/MS. Significance level: \*p < 0.05 (n=4).

In the comparison of 2-AG and AEA levels between unprocessed cryosections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice brains, the sections were thawed, the tissue lipids were extracted using chloroform–methanol and the endocannabinoid content was determined with LC/MS/MS. Unexpectedly, we found approximately 2-fold elevated levels of 2-AG in sections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO brain when compared to WT and DAGL $\beta$ -KO brain (Figure 32a). There was no statistically significant difference in the 2-AG content between the sections of WT and DAGL $\beta$ -KO brain (Figure 32a). When AEA levels were determined, there were no statistically significant differences in the AEA content between the three genotypes (Figure 32b).

Although MAFP elevates tissue levels of both 2-AG and AEA under the conditions employed, our previous studies indicated that treatment of brain sections with URB597, a potent and specific inhibitor of FAAH, failed to mimic MAFP in evoking the CB1 receptor activity response (Palomäki et al. 2007). This is true also for another FAAH inhibitor, PF-750 (our unpublished observation). Furthermore, exogenous AEA, even in the presence of URB597, generated only relatively weak responses as opposed to the robust responses evident in MAFP-treated sections (Palomäki et al. 2007). These data strongly argue against the possibility that the observed responses were mediated by AEA, the other major CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-activating eCB recognized to date. Moreover, we recently demonstrated that the potency of the MAGL-selective inhibitor JZL184 is not sufficient to afford visualization of the eCB-driven CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activity (Aaltonen et al. 2013). However, this is possible using a truly potent MAGL-selective inhibitor (JJKK-048) (Aaltonen et al. 2013) indicating that 2-AG is the endocannabinoid responsible for activating CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in brain sections. However, not even comprehensive elimination of MAGL activity is sufficient to generate the full MAFP-mimicking response, as MAGL accounts for ~85 % of brain 2-AG hydrolysis and additional hydrolases (ABHD6 and ABHD12) remain active after selective MAGLblockade (Blankman et al. 2007, Savinainen et al. 2012). Only MAFP seems to be capable of comprehensively prevent brain 2-AG hydrolysis.



*Figure 32.* Tissue 2-AG content is higher in unprocessed brain sections of DAGLa-KO mice when compared to WT and DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice, there is no difference in tissue AEA content between the genotypes. (a) and (b) Slides with five horizontal cryosections of DAGLa-KO, DAGL $\beta$ -KO and WT mice brain were thawed, tissue scraped from the slides, and lipids extracted using chloroform-methanol followed by endocannabinoid determination with LC/MS/MS. Note the difference to figures 30 and 31; no incubations have been conducted with this set of slides. Significance level: \*\*p < 0.01 compared to wild-type (n=4).

Accumulation of 2-AG, but not that of AEA, was sensitive to THL, indicating that mouse brain has THL-sensitive enzymatic machinery that is able to generate 2-AG in sufficient amounts to activate CB<sub>1</sub> receptors. Since there was no statistically significant difference in the 2-AG contents between the genotypes in MAFP-treated brain sections, we conclude that this pool of 2-AG is not being generated via DAGL $\alpha$  or DAGL $\beta$ . These results therefore indicate that alternative THL-sensitive enzymatic pathways capable of generating 2-AG are active in brain cryosections. Since previous reports indicated that both global and regional 2-AG levels in brain were significantly reduced in DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice brain (Gao et al. 2010, Tanimura et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011), currently observed ability of brain sections of DAGL $\alpha$ -deficient mice to generate 2-AG, as well as increase in 2-AG levels in unprocessed brain sections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO mice, are unexpected. Since we measured 2-AG and AEA contents in brain sections that were incubated at room temperature for a prolonged period of time, and it has been demonstrated that post-mortem rat brain can generate 2-AG rapidly after decapitation (Sugiura et al. 2001), the eCB contents likely reflect the biochemical reaction taking place in dead neural tissues. It is possible that lipids leak out from damaged or dead cells in brain sections and 2-AG is produced by enzymes other than DAGLs. In DAGL-KO mice, the activities of these enzymes might have been elevated in order to compensate for the lack of DAGLs. It has been demonstrated that there were no changes in mRNA or protein levels of DAGL $\alpha$  in DAGL $\beta$ -KO and vice versa (Gao et al. 2010), suggesting that the lack of one isotype is not inducing up-regulation of the other isotype. Alternative pathways for 2-AG generation could include conversion from 2arachidonoyl lysophosphatidic acid by a phosphatase or generation from 2-arachidonoylphospholipids by sequential actions of PLA<sub>1</sub> and lyso-PLC (Piomelli 2003, Bisogno 2008). However, these pathways are apparently not involved in the 2-AG generation required for retrograde synaptic suppression (Tanimura et al. 2010), though there is evidence indicating that sn-1-DAGL $\alpha$  is not involved in hippocampal inhibitory synaptic transmission that is believed to be mediated by 2-AG (Min et al. 2010b). We assume that the pool of 2-AG generated in mice brain cryosections is different from the DAGL $\alpha$ -dependent pool that is used for retrograde 2-AG signalling in the living brain. We therefore wish to emphasize that brain cryosections do not represent a physiological model for eCB generation or retrograde signalling in the living brain. However, this study clearly demonstrates that as

well as the *sn-1* specific DAGLs, additional 2-AG generating enzymatic pathways are active in brain sections.

It should be noted that in addition to their signalling functions, eCBs have been indicated to possess supplemental roles (Piomelli 2003, Alger & Kim 2011). A detectable amount of 2-AG is present in non-stimulated brain without permanent activation of CB1 receptors (DiMarzo and Petrosino 2007, Gao et al. 2010, Tanimura et al. 2010) reflecting that not all of the 2-AG is used for retrograde signalling. Since 2-AG acts at the crossroads of multiple biochemical pathways of lipid metabolism, it is likely that a proportion of 2-AG generated in brain serves as a lipid intermediate in these pathways. Moreover, although the signalling pool of 2-AG is apparently operating between post- and presynaptic neurons, another pool might be present in other cell types and not be involved in retrograde signalling. It has been demonstrated that mouse microglia (Walter et al. 2003, Carrier et al. 2004, Witting et al. 2004) as well as astrocytes (Walter et al. 2004) have the capacity to produce 2-AG. Thus, it might be that non-neuronal cell types account for the production of 2-AG in brain cryosections. Further studies are therefore warranted in order to identify the cell types generating this alternative pool of 2-AG in brain tissue. Finally, it is noteworthy that in some brain regions highly enriched with the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors (such as the substantia nigra) (Herkenham et al. 1991), DAGL $\alpha$  is only sparsely expressed, as evidenced by weak labelling with the DAGL $\alpha$  antibodies in immunohistochemical studies (Uchigashima et al. 2007, Tanimura et al. 2010). This further supports the existence of alternative biochemical routes that could generate CB1 receptor-activating eCBs in brain regions with sparse DAGL $\alpha$  expression.

### **8.4 CONCLUSIONS**

The present findings indicate that the functionality of the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor–G<sub>i</sub>-axis remains unaltered in various brain regions of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO and DAGL $\beta$ -KO mice when compared to WT animals. Furthermore, we demonstrate that mice brain cryosections are able to generate sufficient amounts of 2-AG to activate CB<sub>1</sub> receptors throughout the brain regions endowed with these receptors. As demonstrated by LC/MS/MS, this pool of 2-AG is generated via THL-sensitive enzymatic pathway(s) but evidently not via DAGL $\alpha$  or DAGL $\beta$ . This pool of 2-AG must therefore be different from the DAGL $\alpha$ -dependent pool that is used for retrograde 2-AG signalling in living brain. We conclude that in addition to DAGLs, brain tissue has additional THL-sensitive enzymatic sources capable of generating 2-AG.

# 9 General Discussion

#### 9.1. General comparison of LPA and eCB signalling

The present study provides novel information about regulation of LPA and eCB signalling systems in brain tissue. Though both LPA and eCBs are bioactive lipids that signal through specific GPCRs, they are usually discussed separately in the literature. There is apparent similarity between the two lipid systems, but certain differences can also be found.

From the historical point of view, LPA and eCB research has been evoked from different origins. The natural source of cannabinoids, the *Cannabis sativa* plant, has been used for millennia for its intoxicating and medicinal properties. Identification of the active component of *Cannabis sativa* (Gaoni & Mechoulam 1964) and further efforts to explain cannabinoid actions in the body led to the discovery of cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous ligands, the eCBs. The LPA research was initiated upon the early observations that members of the endogenous phospholipid class of compounds can act as extracellular effectors in modulating blood pressure (Sen et al. 1968, Tokumura et al. 1978). From the first observations, it took three decades until the first LPA receptor was cloned. The first cannabinoid receptor was cloned in 1988 (Devane et al. 1988) whereas the first LPA receptor was cloned approximately ten years later, in 1996 (Hecht et al. 1996). The discovery of the specific receptors instigated an intense research activity on both fields.

Currently, there are more GPCRs identified for LPA (six receptors) (Table 2) than for eCBs (two receptors). In addition to the currently recognized receptors, there might be other receptors for both LPA and eCBs among the large group of orphan GPCRs; so far more candidates have appeared for LPA. According to phylogenetic models of GPCRs, LPA receptors appear to have evolved from two distinct lineages whereas CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors evolved from a single lineage (Figure 3) (Shimizu 2009). The LPA signalling is mediated via all four main G protein subfamilies whereas eCB receptors principally signal via G type G proteins. Due to a larger number of receptors and signalling partners, LPA signalling is somewhat more complicated than eCB signalling. In the brain, the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor is one of the most abundant GPCRs showing a robust expression pattern in the gray matter areas (Herkenham 1991). The LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor is considered to be the primary LPA receptor in the brain but it shows restricted expression pattern mainly in white matter tracts of the developing brain (Choi et al. 2010). The role of eCB signalling as a modulator of neurotransmission is rather well understood whereas the role of LPA in neurotransmission largely remains to be elucidated. Both LPA and eCBs play major roles in brain development.

Studies on receptor and enzyme knockout animals have revealed certain differences between LPA and eCB functions. The CB receptor knockouts as well as knockouts of eCB-synthesizing and -degrading enzymes show a normal phenotype (Zimmer et al. 1999, Buckley et al. 2000, Buckley et al. 2008, Tanimura et al. 2010, Gao et al. 2010, Chanda et al. 2010, Yoshino et al. 2011, Tascler et al. 2011). The LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor knockouts, instead, show 50% embryonic lethality (Contos et al. 2000) whereas ATX knockouts as well as LPP3 knockouts are embryonically lethal (Tanaka et al. 2006, Escalante-Alcade et al. 2003). The embryonic lethality occurs also when another lysophospholipid receptor, S1P<sub>1</sub>, is knocked out (Liu et al. 2000). Based on these observations one could conclude that lysophospholipid signalling is more essential for development than eCB signalling. In post-natal life, it seems that eCB-mediated signalling become especially important during disease.

Following the discovery of receptors mediating the actions of eCBs and LPA, the characterization of their biosynthetic and degradative enzymes has provided essential information about the functionality of these lipid systems and has remarkably increased the

knowledge on how exogenous agents can affect these systems. Enzymatic networks reveal the closeness of the systems to each other; theoretically, when 2-arachidonoyl-LPA is dephosphorylated by phosphatase activity, the product will be 2-AG (Figure 33). The enzymatic conversion of 2-arachidonoyl-LPA to 2-AG has been demonstrated to take place in brain homogenates (Nakane et al. 2002) but currently, there is no direct evidence to link the LPPs to cannabinoid signalling (Brindley & Pilquil 2009). In aggressive cancer cells, the 2-AG-hydrolyzing enzyme MAGL generates lipid precursors for the production of LPA (Nomura et al. 2010). MAG acts as a substrate for COX and by COX activity, MAGL products can also be used for eicosanoid production (Nomura et al. 2011) (Figure 33), linking both LPA and eCB systems to the eicosanoid system.



*Figure 33.* The interconnection between three lipid-GPCR signalling systems involving LPA, endocannabinoid and eicosanoid signalling.  $C_{20:4}$  LPA can be converted to 2-AG by a phosphatase activity. The hydrolysis of 2-AG produces AA, which can be used as a precursor for the eicosanoid production (modified from Brindley & Pilquil 2009).

The dysregulation of LPA and eCB signalling is involved in the pathology of several common diseases (Tables 3 and 4). In the majority of these diseases, however, the main functions of LPA and eCBs are opposite. In cancer, LPA generally induces cancer progression whereas eCBs suppress cancer. LPA evokes pain whereas eCBs relieve pain. Both LPA and CB-receptors are widely expressed in the body, which makes it challenging to achieve an organ-specific effect by using exogenous receptor agonists or antagonists. Lipid GPCRs likely bind their ligands laterally via the lipid bilayer (Hanson et al. 2012, Hurst et al. 2010, Hurst et al. 2013), suggesting that exogenous ligands should be first targeted to the cell membrane. Furthermore, the ligands are susceptible to the rapid enzymatic degradation. These issues challenge the development of lipid ligands and for drug discovery purposes, receptor agonists or antagonists either for LPA or eCB receptors are not currently the principal targets. Instead, allosteric modulators as well as enzyme inhibitors for lipid biosynthetic and degradative enzymes are under intense investigation. There are several pharmacological inhibitors developed especially targeting the eCBdegrading enzymes (Table 5) but currently only few compounds exist which inhibit LPA synthesis or degradation. There are certain requirements for a pharmacological inhibitor until it can be used as a drug; for instance, it should be potent, selective over other enzymes, soluble, stable in vivo, and preferentially orally active. Only a few inhibitors developed so far meet these requirements, for many their in vivo profile has not been made publicly available. Much of work still needs to be done to fully utilize the therapeutic potential of these lipid systems.

#### 9.2. Studying the life cycle of LPA and 2-AG

Brain sections presumably preserve the functional enzymatic machinery required for synthesis and degradation of lipid GPCR ligands, in a suitable microenvironment along with their native signalling partners. In the present study, a novel pharmacological application of functional autoradiography was utilized in studies of the enzymatic pathways responsible for the lipid messenger synthesis and degradation in brain sections. For additional information, functional autoradiography was combined with supporting methods. The accumulation of endogenous compounds in tissue sections was verified by combining the results of functional autoradiography with a sophisticated LC/MS/MS analysis. Additionally, the degradation of LPA and 2-AG was studied by assaying their degradation products. The measurement of P<sub>i</sub> has been previously used when determining degradation of adenine and guanine nucleotides during autoradiography incubations (Laitinen et al. 2001, Laitinen 2004). Here, this approach was utilized for the first time for studying LPA degradation. The determination of glycerol is a straightforward way to monitor the degradation of glycerophospholipids and was utilized when studying the enzymatic pathways involved in the degradation of LPA.

### Characterization of the $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$ autoradiography method

[<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography measures the first step in the receptor-G<sub>i</sub> protein signalling cascade, the guanine nucleotide exchange. Inherent to the method is [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding under basal conditions that may possibly mask some receptor responses. Adenosine is continuously generated in brain sections during the incubations and it can cause an A<sub>1</sub> receptor-dependent [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding response predominantly in grey matter areas (Laitinen 1999, Moore et al. 2000). The primary source for adenosine in brain sections is degradation of adenine nucleotides such as ATP (Laitinen 1999). It has been demonstrated that adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors exhibit no constitutive activity in the absence of agonist (Savinainen et al. 2003). Thus either the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor-selective antagonist DPCPX or the adenosine-hydrolyzing enzyme adenosine deaminase need to be routinely included in the protocol to eliminate this adenosine-derived signal. In addition to the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors, LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors have been observed to be tonically active in brain sections contributing to the white matter-enriched [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding in basal conditions. This labelling can be eliminated by using an LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor-selective antagonist (Palomäki & Laitinen 2006).

In the present study, the majority of the adenosine  $A_1$  and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent basal labelling was found to be located in the brain regions participating in the regulation of primitive vital functions and behaviour, such as in thalamic and hypothalamic nuclei. Due to the sensitivity to NEM, the basal signal appears to be G<sub>i</sub> protein-mediated. The sensitivity to NEM cannot be construed as an absolute proof of involvement of G<sub>i</sub> proteins, since in addition to cysteine in the C-terminus of Gi, NEM has the potential to alkylate cysteines in other proteins (Laitinen 2004). In principle, basal labelling could reflect regional enrichment of various members of the G protein family, that could bind [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S also in a manner independent of any interaction with GPCR. Furthermore, constitutive activity of unknown GPCRs or the presence of still unidentified ligands capable of activating their receptors could affect basal labelling. Interestingly, the majority of currently orphan receptors are considered to be located in the hypothalamus, which was the region that was most intensively labelled under basal conditions. None of the antagonists used in this study could abolish the basal labelling, indicating that it is not induced by the activity of histamine H<sub>3</sub>, cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub>, S1P<sub>1</sub>, or neuropeptide  $Y_1/Y_2/Y_5$  receptors. Since LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors are expressed and the [35]GTPYS binding response is clearly visible in the juvenile brain (Laitinen 2004, Choi et al. 2010), we performed the present studies using brain sections of young, 4 week-old rats. A preliminary comparison indicated that identical brain structures were also labelled in the adult rat brain. However, a more detailed study would be needed to make it possible to conduct a quantitative comparison of the regional signal intensity between young and adult animals. It should be emphasized that the male Wistar rats were sacrificed at one particular circadian time (8–9 hrs after lights on a 12:12 hour light–dark cycle). Although not yet studied, factors such as diet, sex and the circadian

time could in principle affect the distribution and/or intensity of the basal [ ${}^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  labelling. It should be emphasized, that the current anatomical mapping does not necessarily reflect the situation in the brain *in vivo*.

In addition to white matter-enriched LPA<sub>1</sub> receptors, [ ${}^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S autoradiography is suitable for studying other G<sub>1</sub>-coupled lipid receptors, including S1P<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>1</sub> receptors. The activation of both S1P<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>1</sub> receptors induces robust responses, predominantly in gray matter areas (Waeber & Chiu 1999, Laitinen 2004, Sim-Selley et al. 2009). In contrast to the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor, receptors for S1P or endocannabinoids display no tonic activity visible in functional autoradiography. Our unpublished results indicate that brain sections are not capable of generating S1P during the incubations, whereas they do seem to be able to generate LPA and 2-AG. The generation of S1P requires phosphorylation of sphingosine by sphingosine kinase (Pyne et al. 2009); it is likely that catabolic rather than *de novo* synthesizing pathways are active in brain sections. If one wishes to clarify the pathways that require kinase activity, methods based on living cells presumably would be needed. Furthermore, since functional autoradiography detects receptor-mediated G protein activity, detection of G protein-independent, e.g.  $\beta$ -arrestin-mediated, GPCR activity would require some additional technique.

# [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S autoradiography as a pharmacological approach to study enzymatic activity regulating lipid GPCR signalling

Traditionally, functional autoradiography has been performed using exogenous receptor agonists or antagonists. In addition to exogenous ligands, endogenous lipid mediators preexisting in the sections or generated during the incubations, are able to activate their cognate receptors and induce the [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S binding response. The use of pharmacological inhibitors of enzymes that degrade these ligands or, alternatively, stimulators of their biosynthetic enzymes, provides a novel way to extend the usefulness of the method.

2-AG is known to be efficiently generated in the post-mortem rat brain tissue after decapitation (Sugiura et al. 2001). Previously, brain sections were found to efficiently degrade 2-AG (Savinainen et al. 2001). Further studies indicated that the elimination of 2-AG degradative pathways by MAFP evoked accumulation of endogenous 2-AG and the subsequent CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation as revealed using  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  autoradiography (Palomäki et al. 2007). Prolonging the pre-incubation time prior to MAFP treatment had no effect on the  $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma S$  binding responses evoked by the subsequent MAFP treatment (Palomäki et al. 2007), and it was postulated that 2-AG pre-existing in the sections had become depleted during the prolonged pre-incubation and the endocannabinoid, activating the CB<sub>1</sub> receptors, had been synthesized during the incubations. Since it is a broadly-acting serine hydrolase inhibitor, MAFP blocks virtually all 2-AG-degradating enzymes, and during the following 90 minute [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S labelling step, 2-AG-synthesizing enzymes have sufficient time in which to operate. The current study attempted to clarify wether the MAFP-evoked response was due to DAGL activity by using brain sections of DAGL $\alpha$ -KO and DAGLβ-KO mice. Unexpectedly, the obtained results indicate that some THL-sensitive enzymes other than DAGLs are responsible for 2-AG generation in brain sections. Since DAGL $\alpha$ -mediated 2-AG generation is believed to be responsible for the retrograde synaptic signalling, it is likely that cell types other than neurons are responsible for synthesizing the 2-AG in brain sections.

An additional goal was to identify compounds that could boost the tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> signal in brain sections and in this way increase the understanding of the regulation of the brain LPA-GPCR signalling. Since known precursors of LPA as well as various compounds reported to affect the autotaxin and/or PLD activity failed to affect the basal tone of LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor activity, the tonic LPA<sub>1</sub> activity in brain sections is apparently not due to LPA generated by autotaxin or PLD activity. Instead, it was possible to manipulate the tonic LPA activity by pharmacologically inhibiting LPA degradation. The results indicated that

LPPs guard the signalling pool of LPA but the majority of LPA degradation in brain sections is due to other, LPP-like, enzymes. The LPP activity served to limit the actions of endogenously generated LPA but not exogenously applied LPA.  $AlF_{x^-}$ , propranolol and vanadate were found to inhibit LPPs;  $AlF_{x^-}$  in an irreversible manner and propranolol and vanadate in a reversible manner. Additionally, the results suggested that  $AlF_{x^-}$  is able to inhibit the LPP-like enzymes in a reversible manner. The three inhibitors used are rather unspecific towards LPPs, due to the the lack of commercially available specific inhibitors of LPPs. However, inhibitor-induced [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding responses were restricted to the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-enriched white matter areas, no responses were observed in other brain regions. Furthermore, the observed [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling was sensitive to a LPA<sub>1/3</sub> receptor-specific antagonist, evidence for the absence of unspecific responses.

The presently described approach is well suited for studying the lipid class of compounds, which are synthetized on demand and rapidly enzymatically degraded. The approach is not likely to be applicable for classical hydrophilic vesicle pre-packed neurotransmitters, as previously demonstrated with muscarinic cholinergic receptors (Palomäki et al. 2007). It should be noted that different enzymatic routes may be active *in vivo* than *in vitro*. Brain sections do not tend to represent a physiological model but provide a platform for studies of metabolic pathways regulating the lifetime of bioactive lipids, especially when combined with analytical methods.

#### *LC/MS/MS* as a method to quantify LPA levels in the brain tissue

It was wished to provide direct evidence that the endogenous LPA could accumulate in brain sections followed by a treatment with pharmacological inhibitors of LPA degradation. In previous reports, LPA content in the brain tissue had been determined by gas chromatography (GC) (Xu et al. 1998, Sugiura et al. 1999, Nakane et al. 2002), but this was an indirect method requiring first thin layer chromatographic purification, and then GC, all rather time-consuming. There have been a few existing LC/MS/MS methods published in the literature for the determination of LPA from biological fluids (Georas et al. 2007, Shan et al. 2008, Tokumura et al. 2009, Scherer et al. 2009) but not from the brain tissue. There have also been some limitations in the previously published methods, and so an LC/MS/MS method was developed that was optimized to the current experimental demands.

From an analytical point of view, LPAs are a demanding group of compounds, and several important issues were encountered during the method development. LPA is sticky, binding to many surfaces, e.g. test tubes and chromatographic columns. It was noticed that LPA may easily bind to several test tube materials (e.g. polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes and certain glass test tubes) and residual LPA might lead to variations in the results. When Pyrex® borosilicate test tubes were used and washed with hydrochloric acid between the experiments, sufficient repeatability was obtained. With several chromatographic columns tested, LPA evidently bound to the columns and their performance deteriorated after a few runs. With a C8 column with a large particle size (3.5  $\mu$ m) and a pore size of 300 Å, it was possible to obtain good chromatographic performance.

In the development of analytical methods, it is important to find means to eliminate interfering compounds prior to the detection. LPC, a compound that is present in high concentrations in tissues, can be converted to LPA during sample preparation and within the instrumentation, especially if acidic extraction conditions are used. LPC is also known to induce an ion suppression effect. There was some concern that in some previously published methods, LPC and the artificially formed LPA may have affected the results (Scherer et al. 2009, Zhao & Xu 2009). We first extracted LPC into a separate phase from LPA and finally removed the residual LPC by chromatographic separation. When the C8 column was combined with an ion pair reagent in the mobile phase, LPCs were separated from LPAs. It was demonstrated that the chromatographic separation prior to detection was necessary and thus the direct flow injection could not be utilized.

The present method was validated and found to be suitable for LPA analysis from the brain tissue. In addition to brain tissue, the method could be applied to studies of other biological samples after optimization of the extraction procedure to each particular tissue or fluid. It is essential to have quantitative methods to determine endogenous lipids in experimental settings. Importantly, lipids could be used as biomarkers to predict certain diseases; e.g. there are published reports describing the use of LPA as a biomarker in the diagnosis of ovarian cancer (Xu et al. 1998, Meleh et al. 2007).

#### Future perspectives

The present anatomical mapping of adenosine  $A_1$  and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S labelling in basal conditions represented the first step in the improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio of the method. In future experiments, a systematic approach with various receptor antagonists would be needed to reveal which factors contribute to the basal labelling. The described anatomical mapping should facilitate these efforts. The constitutive receptor activity can be affected by sodium concentration, and testing different ion composition of the incubation buffer would provide information whether constitutive activity could affect the basal labelling. It is noteworthy that there might be orphan receptors activated by currently unknown ligands in the mapped brain regions. The present mapping provides a potential anatomical location of these orphan receptors and may facilitate the search for endogenous ligands capable of activating these receptors.

The present results indicate that there are LPP-like enzymatic routes existing in the brain that are capable of degradating LPA. Further identification of these routes would be needed in future experiments. Likewise, a more detailed characterization of the 2-AG-generating enzymes in the brain would be beneficial. The use of brain sections from animals having both DAGL $\alpha$  and DAGL $\beta$  knocked-out would strengthen the conclusion about the existence of DAGL-independent routes that are able to generate 2-AG in the brain.

There is a clear need for the development of specific and potent inhibitors targeting enzymes that regulate the lifetime of bioactive lipids. Currently, there are more specific/unspecific inhibitors reported to target the eCB system than to target the LPA biosynthetic/degradative enzymes. It seems that enzyme-selective inhibitors represent potential drug molecules. In experimental systems, specific inhibitors would provide direct evidence for the involvement of a particular enzyme without concerns about activation of compensatory mechanisms that may take place in transgenic animals. Since both LPA and 2-AG are involved in the regulation of many organ systems as well as in the pathology of several diseases, defining the mechanisms of their synthesis/degradation is especially important for understanding these processes.

# 10 Conclusions

The first aim of the present study was to develop and optimize the methodology used for studying lipid-GPCR signalling and the second aim was to characterize the enzymatic pathways responsible for the lipid messenger synthesis and degradation. The main technique utilized throughout the study was functional autoradiography; this was exploited in a novel way to examine the enzymatic pathways that regulate the brief lifetime of bioactive lipids.

The main conclusions of the present study are as follows:

- The majority of the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor-independent basal [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS labelling in the juvenile rat brain sections were located deep in the brainstem as well as in several thalamic and hypothalamic nuclei. The basal signal appeared to be G protein-mediated and could reflect regional enrichment of various members of the GTP-binding protein family, constitutive activity of unidentified GPCRs and/or the presence/synthesis of receptor ligands.
- 2. A LC/MS/MS method was developed for quantitative determination of LPA species from brain tissue samples. Special attention was paid to the separation of interfering compounds prior to detection.
- 3. LPPs degrade the signalling pool of LPA in rat brain sections. In addition to LPPs, there seemed to be alternative LPP-like enzymes existing in the brain that degrade LPA at the bulk brain level.
- 4. The CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-dependent G<sub>i</sub>-activity remained unaltered in several brain regions of DAGL-deficient mice when compared to WT mice. Alternative enzymes other than DAGLs appeared to be responsible for synthesizing 2-AG in brain sections.
- 5. The enzymatic machinery synthesizing and metabolizing membrane-derived lipid mediators was well preserved in brain cryosections. Especially when combined with analytical methods, functional autoradiography could be used as a pharmacological tool to reveal the enzymatic pathways that regulate lipid-GPCR signalling.

# 11 References

Aaltonen N, Savinainen JR, Riera Ribas C, Rönkkö J, Kuusisto A, Korhonen J, Navia-Paldanius D, Häyrinen J, Takabe P, Käsnänen H, Pantsar T, Laitinen T, Lehtonen M, Pasonen-Seppänen S, Poso A, Nevalainen T, Laitinen JT (2013). Piperazine and piperidine triazole ureas as ultrapotent and highly selective inhibitors of monoacylglycerol lipase. Chem Biol 20:379-390.

Agarwal N, Pacher P, Tegeder I, Amaya F, Constantin CE, Brenner GJ, Rubino T, Michalski CW, Marsicano G, Monory K, Mackie K, Marian C, Batkai S, Parolaro D, Fischer MJ, Reeh P, Kunos G, Kress M, Lutz B, Woolf CJ, Kuner R (2007). Cannabinoids mediate analgesia largely via peripheral type 1 cannabinoid receptors in nociceptors. Nat Neurosci 10:870-879.

Ahn K, Johnson DS, Fitzgerald LR, Liimatta M, Arendse A, Stevenson T, Lund ET, Nugent RA, Nomanbhoy TK, Alexander JP, Cravatt BF (2007). Novel mechanistic class of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors with remarkable selectivity. Biochemistry 46:13019-13030.

Ahn K, Johnson DS, Mileni M, Beidler D, Long JZ, McKinney MK, Weerapana E, Sadagopan N, Liimatta M, Smith SE, Lazerwith S, Stiff C, Kamtekar S, Bhattacharya K, Zhang Y, Swaney S, Van Becelaere K, Stevens RC, Cravatt BF (2009). Discovery and characterization of a highly selective FAAH inhibitor that reduces inflammatory pain. Chem Biol 16:411-420.

Ahn K, Smith SE, Liimatta MB, Beidler D, Sadagopan N, Dudley DT, Young T, Wren P, Zhang Y, Swaney S, Van Becelaere K, Blankman JL, Nomura DK, Bhattachar SN, Stiff C, Nomanbhoy TK, Weerapana E, Johnson DS, Cravatt BF (2011). Mechanistic and pharmacological characterization of PF-04457845: a highly potent and selective fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitor that reduces inflammatory and noninflammatory pain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 338:114-124.

Ahn KH, Mahmoud MM, Shim JY, Kendall DA (2013). Distinct roles of  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 and  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 in ORG27569-induced biased signaling and internalization of the cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1). J Biol Chem 288:9790-9800.

Albayram O, Alferink J, Pitsch J, Piyanova A, Neitzert K, Poppensieker K, Mauer D, Michel K, Legler A, Becker A, Monory K, Lutz B, Zimmer A, Bilkei-Gorzo A (2011). Role of CB1 cannabinoid receptors on GABAergic neurons in brain aging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:11256-11261.

Albayram O, Bilkei-Gorzo A, Zimmer A (2012). Loss of CB1 receptors leads to differential age-related changes in reward-driven learning and memory. Front Aging Neurosci 4:34.

Albers HM, Dong A, van Meeteren LA, Egan DA, Sunkara M, van Tilburg EW, Schuurman K, van Tellingen O, Morris AJ, Smyth SS, Moolenaar WH, Ovaa H (2010). Boronic acid-based inhibitor of autotaxin reveals rapid turnover of LPA in the circulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:7257-7262.

Albers HM, Hendrickx LJ, van Tol RJ, Hausmann J, Perrakis A, Ovaa H (2011). Structure-based design of novel boronic acid-based inhibitors of autotaxin. J Med Chem 54:4619-4626.

Alger BE, Kim J (2011). Supply and demand for endocannabinoids. Trends Neurosci 34:304-415.

Allard J, Barron S, Diaz J, Lubetzki C, Zalc B, Schwartz JC, Sokoloff P (1998). A rat G protein-coupled receptor selectively expressed in myelin-forming cells. Eur J Neurosci 10:1045–1053.

An S, Bleu T, Hallmark OG, Goetzl EJ (1998). Characterization of a novel subtype of human G protein-coupled receptor for lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 273:7906-10.

Aoki J (2004). Mechanisms of lysophosphatidic acid production. Semin Cell Dev Biol 15:477–489.

Aoki J, Inoue A, Okudaira S (2008). Two pathways for lysophosphatidic acid production. Biochim Biophys Acta 1781:513–518.

Arévalo-Martín A, Vela JM, Molina-Holgado E, Borrell J, Guaza C (2003). Therapeutic action of cannabinoids in a murine model of multiple sclerosis. J Neurosci 23:2511-2516.

Argaw A, Duff G, Zabouri N, Cécyre B, Chainé N, Cherif H, Tea N, Lutz B, Ptito M, Bouchard JF (2011). Concerted action of CB1 cannabinoid receptor and deleted in colorectal cancer in axon guidance. J Neurosci 31:1489-1499.

Ashton JC, Friberg D, Darlington CL, Smith PF (2006). Expression of the cannabinoid CB2 receptor in the rat cerebellum: an immunohistochemical study. Neurosci Lett 396:113-116.

Atwood BK, Mackie K (2010). CB2: a cannabinoid receptor with an identity crisis. Br J Pharmacol 160:467-479.

Baker DL, Desiderio DM, Miller DD, Tolley B, Tigyi GJ (2001). Direct quantitative analysis of lysophosphatidic acid molecular species by stable isotope dilution electrospray ionization liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Anal Biochem 292:287-295.

Baker RR, Chang HY (1999). Evidence for two distinct lysophospholipase activities that degrade lysophosphatidylcholine and lysophosphatidic acid in neuronal nuclei of cerebral cortex. Biochim Biophys Acta 1438:253-263.

Baker RR, Chang HA (2000). Metabolic path for the degradation of lysophosphatidic acid, an inhibitor of lysophosphatidylcholine lysophospholipase, in neuronal nuclei of cerebral cortex. Biochim Biophys Acta 1483:58–68.

Balazs L, Okolicany J, Ferrebee M, Tolley B, Tigyi G (2001). Topical application of the phospholipid growth factor lysophosphatidic acid promotes wound healing in vivo. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 280:R466-472.

Bandoh K, Aoki J, Hosono H, Kobayashi S, Kobayashi T, Murakami-Murofushi K, Tsujimoto M, Arai H, Inoue K (1999). Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel human G-protein-coupled receptor, EDG7, for lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 274:27776-27785.

Bandoh K, Aoki J, Taira A, Tsujimoto M, Arai H, Inoue K (2000). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors of the EDG family are differentially activated by LPA species. Structure-activity relationship of cloned LPA receptors. FEBS Lett 478:159-165.

Baumforth KR, Flavell JR, Reynolds GM, Davies G, Pettit TR, Wei W, Morgan S, Stankovic T, Kishi Y, Arai H, Nowakova M, Pratt G, Aoki J, Wakelam MJ, Young LS, Murray PG (2005). Induction of autotaxin by the Epstein-Barr virus promotes the growth and survival of Hodgkin lymphoma cells. Blood 106:2138-2146.

Bektas M, Payne SG, Liu H, Goparaju S, Milstien S, Spiegel S. A novel acylglycerol kinase that produces lysophosphatidic acid modulates cross talk with EGFR in prostate cancer cells (2005). J Cell Biol 169:801-811.

Benarroch EE (2006). Pain-autonomic interactions. Neurol Sci 27: S130-S133.
Benito C, Núñez E, Tolón RM, Carrier EJ, Rábano A, Hillard CJ, Romero J (2003). Cannabinoid CB2 receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase are selectively overexpressed in neuritic plaque-associated glia in Alzheimer's disease brains. J Neurosci 23:11136-11141.

Benito C, Romero JP, Tolón RM, Clemente D, Docagne F, Hillard CJ, Guaza C, Romero J (2007). Cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase are specific markers of plaque cell subtypes in human multiple sclerosis. J Neurosci 27:2396-2402.

Berghuis P, Rajnicek AM, Morozov YM, Ross RA, Mulder J, Urbán GM, Monory K, Marsicano G, Matteoli M, Canty A, Irving AJ, Katona I, Yanagawa Y, Rakic P, Lutz B, Mackie K, Harkany T (2007). Hardwiring the brain: endocannabinoids shape neuronal connectivity. Science 316:1212-1216.

Bertrand L, Parent S, Caron M, Legault M, Joly E, Angers S, Bouvier M, Brown M, Houle B, Ménard L (2002). The BRET2/arrestin assay in stable recombinant cells: a platform to screen for compounds that interact with G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRS). J Recept Signal Transduct Res 22:533-541.

Bertrand T, Augé F, Houtmann J, Rak A, Vallée F, Mikol V, Berne PF, Michot N, Cheuret D, Hoornaert C, Mathieu M (2010). Structural basis for human monoglyceride lipase inhibition. J Mol Biol 396:663-673.

Bilsland LG, Greensmith L (2008). The endocannabinoid system in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Curr Pharm Des 14:2306-2316.

Bisogno T, Berrendero F, Ambrosino G, Cebeira M, Ramos JA, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, Di Marzo V (1999). Brain regional distribution of endocannabinoids: implications for their biosynthesis and biological function. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 256:377-380.

Bisogno T, Howell F, Williams G, Minassi A, Cascio MG, Ligresti A, Matias I, Schiano-Moriello A, Paul P, Williams EJ, Gangadharan U, Hobbs C, Di Marzo V, Doherty P (2003). Cloning of the first sn1-DAG lipases points to the spatial and temporal regulation of endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. J Cell Biol 163:463–468.

Bisogno T, Cascio MG, Saha B, Mahadevan A, Urbani P, Minassi A, Appendino G, Saturnino C, Martin B, Razdan R, Di Marzo V (2006). Development of the first potent and specific inhibitors of endocannabinoid biosynthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1761:205-212.

Bisogno T (2008). Endogenous cannabinoids: structure and metabolism. J Neuroendocrinol 20:S1-S9.

Bisogno T, Burston JJ, Rai R, Allarà M, Saha B, Mahadevan A, Razdan RK, Wiley JL, Di Marzo V (2009). Synthesis and pharmacological activity of a potent inhibitor of the biosynthesis of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol. Chem Med Chem 4:946-950.

Bisogno T, Mahadevan A, Coccurello R, Chang JW, Allarà M, Chen Y, Giacovazzo G, Lichtman A, Cravatt B, Moles A, Di Marzo V (2012). A novel fluorophosphonate inhibitor of the biosynthesis of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol with potential anti-obesity effects. Br J Pharmacol 169:784-793.

Bjarnadóttir TK, Gloriam DE, Hellstrand SH, Kristiansson H, Fredriksson R, Schiöth HB (2006). Comprehensive repertoire and phylogenetic analysis of the G protein-coupled receptors in human and mouse. Genomics 88:263-273.

Blankman JL, Simon GM, Cravatt BF (2007). A comprehensive profile of brain enzymes that hydrolyze the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol. Chem Biol 14:1347-1356.

Blankman JL, Cravatt BF (2013). Chemical probes of endocannabinoid metabolism. Pharmacol Rev 65:849-871.

Blankman JL, Long JZ, Trauger SA, Siuzdak G, Cravatt BF (2013). ABHD12 controls brain lysophosphatidylserine pathways that are deregulated in a murine model of the neurodegenerative disease PHARC. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:1500-1505.

Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can J Biochem Physiol 37:911–917.

Blumer JB, Smrcka AV, Lanier SM (2007). Mechanistic pathways and biological roles for receptor-independent activators of G-protein signaling. Pharmacol Ther 113:488-506.

Bockaert J, Perroy J, Bécamel C, Marin P, Fagni L (2010). GPCR interacting proteins (GIPs) in the nervous system: Roles in physiology and pathologies. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 50:89-109.

Bond RA, Ijzerman AP (2006). Recent developments in constitutive receptor activity and inverse agonism, and their potential for GPCR drug discovery. Trends Pharmacol Sci 27:92-96.

Bonfiglio R, King RC, Olah TV, Merkle K (1999). The effects of sample preparation methods on the variability of the electrospray ionization response for model drug compounds. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 13:1175-1185.

Booker L, Kinsey SG, Abdullah RA, Blankman JL, Long JZ, Ezzili C, Boger DL, Cravatt BF, Lichtman AH (2012). The fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor PF-3845 acts in the nervous system to reverse LPS-induced tactile allodynia in mice. Br J Pharmacol 165:2485-2496.

Bornheim LM, Kim KY, Chen B, Correia MA (1995). Microsomal cytochrome P450-mediated liver and brain anandamide metabolism. Biochem Pharmacol 50:677-686.

Bot M, de Jager SC, Macaleese L, Lagraauw HM, van Berkel TJ, Quax PH, Kuiper J, Heeren RM, Biessen EA, Bot I (2013). Lysophosphatidic acid triggers mast cell-driven atherosclerotic plaque destabilization by increasing vascular inflammation. J Lipid Res 54:1265-1274.

Boucharaba A, Serre CM, Guglielmi J, Bordet JC, Clézardin P, Peyruchaud O (2006). The type 1 lysophosphatidic acid receptor is a target for therapy in bone metastases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:9643-9648.

Boucharaba A, Guillet B, Menaa F, Hneino M, van Wijnen AJ, Clézardin P, Peyruchaud O (2009). Bioactive lipids lysophosphatidic acid and sphingosine 1-phosphate mediate breast cancer cell biological functions through distinct mechanisms. Oncol Res 18:173-184.

Brauer AU, Nitsch R (2008). Plasticity-related genes (PRGs/LRPs): a brain-specific class of lysophospholipidmodifying proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1781:595–600.

Brindley DN, Pilquil C (2009). Lipid phosphate phosphatases and signaling. J Lipid Res 50:S225–S230.

Brindley DN, Pilquil C, Sariahmetoglu M, Reue K. Phosphatidate degradation: phosphatidate phosphatases (lipins) and lipid phosphate phosphatases (2009). Biochim Biophys Acta 1791:956-961.

Brink C, Dahlén SE, Drazen J, Evans JF, Hay DW, Rovati GE, Serhan CN, Shimizu T, Yokomizo T (2004). International Union of Pharmacology XLIV. Nomenclature for the oxoeicosanoid receptor. Pharmacol Rev 56:149-157.

Brinkmann V, Billich A, Baumruker T, Heining P, Schmouder R, Francis G, Aradhye S, Burtin P (2010). Fingolimod (FTY720): discovery and development of an oral drug to treat multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Drug Discov 9:883-897.

Buckley NE, McCoy KL, Mezey E, Bonner T, Zimmer A, Felder CC, Glass M, Zimmer A (2000). Immunomodulation by cannabinoids is absent in mice deficient for the cannabinoid CB2 receptor. Eur J Pharmacol 396:141–149.

Buckley NE (2008). The peripheral cannabinoid receptor knockout mice: an update. Br J Pharmacol 153:309-318.

Burston JJ, Sim-Selley LJ, Harloe JP, Mahadevan A, Razdan RK, Selley DE, Wiley JL (2008). N-arachidonyl maleimide potentiates the pharmacological and biochemical effects of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonylglycerol through inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 327:546-553.

Busquets-Garcia A, Puighermanal E, Pastor A, de la Torre R, Maldonado R, Ozaita A (2011). Differential role of anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol in memory and anxiety-like responses. Biol Psychiatry 70:479-486.

Bäck M, Dahlén SE, Drazen JM, Evans JF, Serhan CN, Shimizu T, Yokomizo T, Rovati GE (2011). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXXIV: leukotriene receptor nomenclature, distribution, and pathophysiological functions. Pharmacol Rev 63:539-584.

Cabrera-Vera TM, Vanhauwe J, Thomas TO, Medkova M, Preininger A, Mazzoni MR, Hamm HE (2003). Insights into G protein structure, function, and regulation. Endocr Rev 24:765-781.

Carloni S, Alonso-Alconada D, Girelli S, Duranti A, Tontini A, Piomelli D, Hilario E, Alvarez A, Balduini W (2012). Pretreatment with the monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitor URB602 protects from the long-term consequences of neonatal hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in rats. Pediatr Res 72:400-406.

Carrier EJ, Kearn CS, Barkmeier AJ, Breese NM, Yang W, Nithipatikom K, Pfister SL, Campbell WB, Hillard CJ (2004). Cultured rat microglial cells synthesize the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonylglycerol, which increases proliferation via a CB2 receptor-dependent mechanism. Mol Pharmacol 65:999-1007.

Castillo PE, Younts TJ, Chávez AE, Hashimotodani Y (2012). Endocannabinoid signaling and synaptic function. Neuron 76:70-81.

Cawston EE, Redmond WJ, Breen C, Grimsey N, Connor M, Glass M (2013). Real-time characterisation of Cannabinoid Receptor 1 (CB1) allosteric modulators reveals novel mechanism of action. Br J Pharmacol *In press.* 

Cervera P, Tirard M, Barron S, Allard J, Trottier S, Lacombe J, Daumas-Duport C, Sokoloff P (2002). Immunohistological localization of the myelinating cell-specific receptor LP(A1). Glia 38:126–136.

Chanda PK, Gao Y, Mark L, Btesh J, Strassle BW, Lu P, Piesla MJ, Zhang, MY, Bingham B, Uveges A, Kowal D, Garbe D, Kouranova EV, Ring RH, Bates B, Pangalos M, Kennedy JD, Whiteside GT, Samad TA (2010). Monoacylglycerol lipase activity is a critical modulator of the tone and integrity of the endocannabinoid system. Mol Pharmacol 78: 996-1003.

Chang JW, Niphakis MJ, Lum KM, Cognetta AB 3rd, Wang C, Matthews ML, Niessen S, Buczynski MW, Parsons LH, Cravatt BF (2012). Highly selective inhibitors of monoacylglycerol lipase bearing a reactive group that is bioisosteric with endocannabinoid substrates. Chem Biol 19:579-588.

Chatterjee I, Humtsoe JO, Kohler EE, Sorio C, Wary KK (2011). Lipid phosphate phosphatase-3 regulates tumor growth via β-catenin and CYCLIN-D1 signaling. Mol Cancer 10:51.

Chen JH, Zou F, Wang ND, Xie SW, Zhang X (2000). Production and application of LPA polyclonal antibody. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 10:1691-1693.

Chen YL, Xu Y (2001). Determination of lysophosphatidic acids by capillary electrophoresis with indirect ultraviolet detection. J Chromatogr B 753:355-363.

Chen X, Zhang L, Kombian SB (2004). Dopamine-induced synaptic depression in the parabrachial nucleus is independent of CTX- and PTX-sensitive G-proteins, PKA and PLC signalling pathways. Brain Res 995: 236-246.

Chen J, Baydoun AR, Xu R, Deng L, Liu X, Zhu W, Shi L, Cong X, Hu S, Chen X (2008). Lysophosphatidic acid protects mesenchymal stem cells against hypoxia and serum deprivationinduced apoptosis. Stem Cells 26:135–145.

Chen R, Zhang J, Wu Y, Wang D, Feng G, Tang YP, Teng Z, Chen C (2012). Monoacylglycerol lipase is a therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease. Cell Rep 2:1329-1339.

Cheng Z, Garvin D, Paguio A, Stecha P, Wood K, Fan F (2010). Luciferase Reporter Assay System for Deciphering GPCR Pathways. Curr Chem Genomics 4:84-91.

Cherezov V, Rosenbaum DM, Hanson MA, Rasmussen SG, Thian FS, Kobilka TS, Choi HJ, Kuhn P, Weis WI, Kobilka BK, Stevens RC (2007). High-resolution crystal structure of an engineered human beta2-adrenergic G protein-coupled receptor. Science 318:1258-1265.

Choi DC, Furay AR, Evanson NK, Ostrander MM, Ulrich-Lai, YM, Herman J P (2007). Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis subregions differentially regulate hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activity: Implications for the integration of limbic inputs. J Neurosci 27:2025-2034.

Choi JW, Herr DR, Noguchi K, Yung YC, Lee CW, Mutoh T, Lin ME, Teo ST, Park KE, Mosley AN, Chun J (2010). LPA receptors: subtypes and biological actions. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 50:157–186.

Choi JW, Chun J (2013). Lysophospholipids and their receptors in the central nervous system. Biochim Biophys Acta 1831:20-32.

Chun J (2007). How the lysophospholipid got its receptor. The Scientist 21(9).

Chun J, Hla T, Lynch KR, Spiegel S, Moolenaar WH (2010). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXVIII. Lysophospholipid receptor nomenclature. Pharmacol Rev 62:579-587.

Chun J, Brinkmann V (2011). A mechanistically novel, first oral therapy for multiple sclerosis: the development of fingolimod (FTY720, Gilenya). Discov Med 1264:213-228.

Chung SC, Hammarsten P, Josefsson A, Stattin P, Granfors T, Egevad L, Mancini G, Lutz B, Bergh A, Fowler CJ (2009). A high cannabinoid CB(1) receptor immunoreactivity is associated with disease severity and outcome in prostate cancer. Eur J Cancer 45:174-182.

Civelli O, Reinscheid RK, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Fredriksson R, Schiöth HB (2013). G protein-coupled receptor deorphanizations. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 53:127-146.

Clair T, Aoki J, Koh E, Bandle RW, Nam SW, Ptaszynska MM, Mills GB, Schiffmann E, Liotta LA, Stracke ML (2003). Autotaxin hydrolyzes sphingosylphosphorylcholine to produce the regulator of migration, sphingosine-1-phosphate. Cancer Res 63:5446-5453.

Coleman RA, Smith WL, Narumiya S (1994). International Union of Pharmacology classification of prostanoid receptors: properties, distribution, and structure of the receptors and their subtypes. Pharmacol Rev 46:205-229.

Comelli F, Giagnoni G, Bettoni I, Colleoni M, Costa B (2007). The inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase by URB602 showed an anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive effect in a murine model of acute inflammation. Br J Pharmacol 152:787-794.

Contos JJ, Fukushima N, Weiner JA, Kaushal D, Chun J (2000). Requirement for the lpA1 lysophosphatidic acid receptor gene in normal suckling behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:13384-13389.

Contos JJ, Chun J (2001). The mouse lp(A3)/Edg7 lysophosphatidic acid receptor gene: genomic structure, chromosomal localization, and expression pattern. Gene 267:243-253.

Contos JJ, Ishii I, Fukushima N, Kingsbury MA, Ye X, Kawamura S, Brown JH, Chun J (2002). Characterization of lpa(2) (Edg4) and lpa(1)/lpa(2) (Edg2/Edg4) lysophosphatidic acid receptor knockout mice: signaling deficits without obvious phenotypic abnormality attributable to lpa(2). Mol Cell Biol 22:6921-6929.

Cristino L, Starowicz K, De Petrocellis L, Morishita J, Ueda N, Guglielmotti V, Di Marzo V (2008). Immunohistochemical localization of anabolic and catabolic enzymes for anandamide and other putative endovanilloids in the hippocampus and cerebellar cortex of the mouse brain. Neuroscience 151:955-968.

Csépányi-Kömi R, Lévay M, Ligeti E (2012). Small G proteins and their regulators in cellular signalling. Mol Cell Endocrinol 353:10-20.

Dalton VS, Long LE, Weickert CS, Zavitsanou K (2011). Paranoid schizophrenia is characterized by increased CB1 receptor binding in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Neuropsychopharmacology 36:1620-1630.

De Marchi N, De Petrocellis L, Orlando P, Daniele F, Fezza F, Di Marzo V (2003). Endocannabinoid signalling in the blood of patients with schizophrenia. Lipids Health Dis 2:5.

De Petrocellis L, Di Marzo V (2010). Non-CB1, non-CB2 receptors for endocannabinoids, plant cannabinoids, and synthetic cannabimimetics: focus on G-protein-coupled receptors and transient receptor potential channels. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 5:103-121.

Dean B, Sundram S, Bradbury R, Scarr E, Copolov D (2001). Studies on [3H]CP-55940 binding in the human central nervous system: regional specific changes in density of cannabinoid-1 receptors associated with schizophrenia and cannabis use. Neuroscience 103:9-15.

Demoyer JS, Skalak TC, Durieux ME (2000). Lysophosphatidic acid enhances healing of acute cutaneous wounds in the mouse. Wound Repair Regen 8:530-537.

Deutsch DG, Chin SA (1993). Enzymatic synthesis and degradation of anandamide, a cannabinoid receptor agonist. Biochem Pharmacol 46:791-796.

Deutsch DG, Lin S, Hill WA, Morse KL, Salehani D, Arreaza G, Omeir RL, Makriyannis A (1997a). Fatty acid sulfonyl fluorides inhibit anandamide metabolism and bind to the cannabinoid receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 231:217-221.

Deutsch DG, Omeir R, Arreaza G, Salehani D, Prestwich GD, Huang Z, Howlett A (1997b). Methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphonate: a potent irreversible inhibitor of anandamide amidase. Biochem Pharmacol 53:255-260.

Devane WA, Dysarz FA 3rd, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, Howlett AC (1988). Determination and characterization of a cannabinoid receptor in rat brain. Mol Pharmacol 34:605-613.

Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, Gibson D, Mandelbaum A, Etinger A, Mechoulam R (1992). Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. Science 258:1946-1949.

Di Marzo V, Bisogno T, Sugiura T, Melck D, De Petrocellis L (1998). The novel endogenous cannabinoid 2arachidonoylglycerol is inactivated by neuronal- and basophil-like cells: connections with anandamide. Biochem J 331:15-19.

Di Marzo V, Hill MP, Bisogno T, Crossman AR, Brotchie JM (2000). Enhanced levels of endogenous cannabinoids in the globus pallidus are associated with a reduction in movement in an animal model of Parkinson's disease. FASEB J 14:1432-1438.

Di Marzo V, Petrosino S (2007). Endocannabinoids and the regulation of their levels in health and disease. Curr Opin Lipidol 18:129-140.

Di Marzo V (2008). Targeting the endocannabinoid system: to enhance or reduce? Nat Rev Drug Discov 7:438-455.

Di Marzo V (2009). The endocannabinoid system: its general strategy of action, tools for its pharmacological manipulation and potential therapeutic exploitation. Pharmacol Res 60:77-84.

Di Marzo V (2011). Endocannabinoid signaling in the brain: biosynthetic mechanisms in the limelight. Nat Neurosci 14:9-15.

Dinh TP, Carpenter D, Leslie FM, Freund TF, Katona I, Sensi SL, Kathuria S, Piomelli D (2002). Brain monoglyceride lipase participating in endocannabinoid inactivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:10819-10824.

Dinh TP, Kathuria S, Piomelli D (2004). RNA interference suggests a primary role for monoacylglycerol lipase in the degradation of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol. Mol Pharmacol 66:1260-1264.

Dusaulcy R, Daviaud D, Pradère JP, Grès S, Valet P, Saulnier-Blache JS (2009). Altered food consumption in mice lacking lysophosphatidic acid receptor-1. J Physiol Biochem 65:345-350.

Edgemond WS, Hillard CJ, Falck JR, Kearn CS, Campbell WB (1998). Human platelets and polymorphonuclear leukocytes synthesize oxygenated derivatives of arachidonylethanolamide (anandamide): their affinities for cannabinoid receptors and pathways of inactivation. Mol Pharmacol 54:180-188.

Egertová M, Giang DK, Cravatt BF, Elphick MR (1998). A new perspective on cannabinoid signalling: complementary localization of fatty acid amide hydrolase and the CB1 receptor in rat brain. Proc Biol Sci 265:2081-2085.

Egertová M, Simon GM, Cravatt BF, Elphick MR (2008). Localization of N-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD) expression in mouse brain: A new perspective on N-acylethanolamines as neural signaling molecules. J Comp Neurol 506:604-615.

Eichholtz T, Jalink K, Fahrenfort I, Moolenaar WH (1993). The bioactive phospholipid lysophosphatidic acid is released from activated platelets. Biochem J 291:677-680.

Ejsing CS, Sampaio JL, Surendranath V, Duchoslav E, Ekroos K, Klemm RW, Simons K, Shevchenko A (2009). Global analysis of the yeast lipidome by quantitative shotgun mass spectrometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:2136-2141.

Emkey R, Rankl NB (2009). Screening G protein-coupled receptors: measurement of intracellular calcium using the fluorometric imaging plate reader. Methods Mol Biol 565:145-158.

English D, Cui Y, Siddiqui R, Patterson C, Natarajan V, Brindley DN, Garcia JG (1999). Induction of endothelial monolayer permeability by phosphatidate. J Cell Biochem 75:105–117.

Escalante-Alcalde D, Hernandez L, Le Stunff H, Maeda R, Lee HS, Jr-Gang-Cheng, Sciorra VA, Daar I, Spiegel S, Morris AJ, Stewart CL (2003). The lipid phosphatase LPP3 regulates extra-embryonic vasculogenesis and axis patterning. Development 130:4623–4637.

Esmann M (1988). ATPase and phosphatase activity of Na+,K+-ATPase: molar and specific activity, protein determination. Methods Enzymol 156:105–115.

Esposito G, Iuvone T, Savani C, Scuderi C, De Filippis D, Papa M, Di Marzo V, Steardo L (2007). Opposing control of cannabinoid receptor stimulation on amyloid-beta-induced reactive gliosis: in vitro and in vivo evidence. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 322:1144-1152.

Euer N, Schwirzke M, Evtimova V, Burtscher H, Jarsch M, Tarin D, Weidle UH (2002). Identification of genes associated with metastasis of mammary carcinoma in metastatic versus non-metastatic cell lines. Anticancer Res 22:733-740.

Evans JF, Hutchinson JH (2010). Seeing the future of bioactive lipid drug targets. Nat Chem Biol 6:476-479

Fattore L, Deiana S, Spano SM, Cossu G, Fadda P, Scherma M, Fratta W (2005). Endocannabinoid system and opioid addiction: behavioural aspects. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 81:343-359.

Federico L, Ren H, Mueller PA, Wu T, Liu S, Popovic J, Blalock EM, Sunkara M, Ovaa H, Albers HM, Mills GB, Morris AJ, Smyth SS (2012). Autotaxin and its product lysophosphatidic acid suppress brown adipose differentiation and promote diet-induced obesity in mice. Mol Endocrinol 26:786-797.

Ferry G, Moulharat N, Pradère JP, Desos P, Try A, Genton A, Giganti A, Beucher-Gaudin M, Lonchampt M, Bertrand M, Saulnier-Blache JS, Tucker GC, Cordi A, Boutin JA (2008). S32826, a nanomolar inhibitor of autotaxin: discovery, synthesis and applications as a pharmacological tool. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 327:809-819.

Fiskerstrand T, H'mida-Ben Brahim D, Johansson S, M'zahem A, Haukanes BI, Drouot N, Zimmermann J, Cole AJ, Vedeler C, Bredrup C, Assoum M, Tazir M, Klockgether T, Hamri A, Steen VM, Boman H, Bindoff LA, Koenig M, Knappskog PM (2010). Mutations in ABHD12 cause the neurodegenerative disease PHARC: An inborn error of endocannabinoid metabolism. Am J Hum Genet 87:410-417.

Folch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH (1957). A Simple Method for the Isolation and Purification of Total Lipids from Animal Tissues. J Biol Chem 226:497-509

Fowler CJ (2012a). Anandamide uptake explained? Trends Pharmacol Sci 33:181-185.

Fowler CJ (2012b). Monoacylglycerol lipase - a target for drug development? Br J Pharmacol 166:1568-1585.

Forray MI, Gysling K (2004). Role of noradrenergic projections to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Brain Res Rev 47:145-160.

Fredriksson R, Lagerström MC, Lundin LG, Schiöth HB (2003). The G-protein-coupled receptors in the human genome form five main families. Phylogenetic analysis, paralogon groups, and fingerprints. Mol Pharmacol 63:1256-1272.

Frugier T, Crombie D, Conquest A, Tjhong F, Taylor C, Kulkarni T, McLean C, Pébay A (2011). Modulation of LPA receptor expression in the human brain following neurotrauma. Cell Mol Neurobiol 31:569-577.

Fry M, Ferguson AV (2007). The sensory circumventricular organs: brain targets for circulating signals controlling ingestive behavior. Physiol Behav 91:413-423.

Fujiwara Y, Sardar V, Tokumura A, Baker D, Murakami-Murofushi K, Parrill A, Tigyi G (2005). Identification of residues responsible for ligand recognition and regioisomeric selectivity of lysophosphatidic acid receptors expressed in mammalian cells. J Biol Chem 280:35038-35050.

Gao Y, Vasilyev DV, Goncalves MB, Howell FV, Hobbs C, Reisenberg M, Shen R, Zhang MY, Strassle BW, Lu P, Mark L, Piesla MJ, Deng K, Kouranova EV, Ring RH, Whiteside GT, Bates B, Walsh FS, Williams G, Pangalos MN, Samad TA, Doherty P (2010). Loss of retrograde endocannabinoid signaling and reduced adult neurogenesis in diacylglycerol lipase knock-out mice. J Neurosci 30:2017–2024.

Gaoni Y, Mechoulam R (1964). The isolation and structure of  $\Delta$ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol and other neutral cannabinoids from hashish. J Am Chem Soc 93:217-224.

Gaveglio VL, Pasquare SJ, Giusto NM (2011). Metabolic pathways for the degradation of phosphatidic acid in isolated nuclei from cerebellar cells. Arch Biochem Biophys 507:271–280.

Geisler S, Heilmann H, Veh RW (2002). An optimized method for simultaneous demonstration of neurons and myelinated fiber tracts for delineation of individual trunco- and palliothalamic nuclei in the mammalian brain. Histochem. Cell Biol 117:69-79.

Georas SN, Berdyshev E, Hubbard W, Gorshkova IA, Usatyuk PV, Saatian B, Myers AC, Williams MA, Xiao HQ, Liu M, Natarajan V (2007). Lysophosphatidic acid is detectable in human bronchoalveolar lavage fluids at baseline and increased after segmental allergen challenge. Clin Exp Allergy 37:311-322.

Gerdeman GL, Ronesi J, Lovinger DM (2002). Postsynaptic endocannabinoid release is critical to long-term depression in the striatum. Nat Neurosci 5:446-451.

Ghosh S, Wise LE, Chen Y, Gujjar R, Mahadevan A, Cravatt BF, Lichtman AH (2013). The monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitor JZL184 suppresses inflammatory pain in the mouse carrageenan model. Life Sci 92:498-505.

Gierse J, Thorarensen A, Beltey K, Bradshaw-Pierce E, Cortes-Burgos L, Hall T, Johnston A, Murphy M, Nemirovskiy O, Ogawa S, Pegg L, Pelc M, Prinsen M, Schnute M, Wendling J, Wene S, Weinberg R, Wittwer A, Zweifel B, Masferrer J (2010). A novel autotaxin inhibitor reduces lysophosphatidic acid levels in plasma and the site of inflammation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 334:310-317.

Giuffrida A, Leweke FM, Gerth CW, Schreiber D, Koethe D, Faulhaber J, Klosterkötter J, Piomelli D (2004). Cerebrospinal anandamide levels are elevated in acute schizophrenia and are inversely correlated with psychotic symptoms. Neuropsychopharmacology 29:2108-2114.

Glass M, Dragunow M, Faull RL (2000). The pattern of neurodegeneration in Huntington's disease: a comparative study of cannabinoid, dopamine, adenosine and GABA(A) receptor alterations in the human basal ganglia in Huntington's disease. Neuroscience 97:505-519.

Goetzl EJ, Lee H, Azuma T, Stossel TP, Turck CW, Karliner JS (2000). Gelsolin binding and cellular presentation of lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 275:14573-14578.

Goldshmit Y, Munro K, Leong SY, Pébay A, Turnley AM (2010). LPA receptor expression in the central nervous system in health and following injury. Cell Tissue Res 341:23-32.

Gong JP, Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Liu QR, Tagliaferro PA, Brusco A, Uhl GR (2006). Cannabinoid CB2 receptors: immunohistochemical localization in rat brain. Brain Res 1071:10-23.

Goncalves MB, Suetterlin P, Yip P, Molina-Holgado F, Walker DJ, Oudin MJ, Zentar MP, Pollard S, Yáñez-Muñoz RJ, Williams G, Walsh FS, Pangalos MN, Doherty P (2008). A diacylglycerol lipase-CB2 cannabinoid pathway regulates adult subventricular zone neurogenesis in an age-dependent manner. Mol Cell Neurosci 38:526-536.

Gonsiorek W, Lunn C, Fan X, Narula S, Lundell D, Hipkin RW (2000). Endocannabinoid 2-arachidonyl glycerol is a full agonist through human type 2 cannabinoid receptor: antagonism by anandamide. Mol Pharmacol 57:1045-1050.

Goparaju SK, Ueda N, Yamaguchi H, Yamamoto S (1998). Anandamide amidohydrolase reacting with 2arachidonoylglycerol, another cannabinoid receptor ligand. FEBS Lett 422:69-73.

Gotoh M, Fujiwara Y, Yue J, Liu J, Lee S, Fells J, Uchiyama A, Murakami-Murofushi K, Kennel S, Wall J, Patil R, Gupte R, Balazs L, Miller DD, Tigyi GJ (2012). Controlling cancer through the autotaxin-lysophosphatidic acid receptor axis. Biochem Soc Trans 40:31-36.

Gray AC, Coupar IM, White PJ (2006). Comparison of opioid receptor distributions in the rat central nervous system. Life Sci 79:674-685.

Guidance for Industry, Bioanalytical Methods Validation, U.S (2001). Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), available at http://www.fda.gov/.

Guindon J, Desroches J, Beaulieu P (2007). The antinociceptive effects of intraplantar injections of 2arachidonoyl glycerol are mediated by cannabinoid CB2 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 150:693-701.

Guindon J, Guijarro A, Piomelli D, Hohmann AG (2011). Peripheral antinociceptive effects of inhibitors of monoacylglycerol lipase in a rat model of inflammatory pain. Br J Pharmacol 163:1464-1478.

Gulyas AI, Cravatt BF, Bracey MH, Dinh TP, Piomelli D, Boscia F, Freund TF (2004). Segregation of two endocannabinoid-hydrolyzing enzymes into pre- and postsynaptic compartments in the rat hippocampus, cerebellum and amygdala. Eur J Neurosci 20:441-458.

Haasen D, Schnapp A, Valler MJ, Heilker R (2006). G protein-coupled receptor internalization assays in the high-content screening format. Methods Enzymol 414:121-139.

Hájos N, Katona I, Naiem SS, MacKie K, Ledent C, Mody I, Freund TF (2000). Cannabinoids inhibit hippocampal GABAergic transmission and network oscillations. Eur J Neurosci 12:3239-3249.

Hájos N, Ledent C, Freund TF (2001). Novel cannabinoid-sensitive receptor mediates inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in the hippocampus. Neuroscience 106:1-4.

Hama K, Bandoh K, Kakehi Y, Aoki J, Arai H (2002). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors are activated differentially by biological fluids: possible role of LPA-binding proteins in activation of LPA receptors. FEBS Lett 523:187-192.

Hama K, Aoki J, Fukaya M, Kishi Y, Sakai T, Suzuki R, Ohta H, Yamori T, Watanabe M, Chun J, Arai H (2004). Lysophosphatidic acid and autotaxin stimulate cell motility of neoplastic and non-neoplastic cells through LPA1. J Biol Chem 279:17634-17639.

Handford EJ, Smith D, Hewson L, McAllister G, Beer MS (2001). Edg2 receptor distribution in adult rat brain. Neuroreport 12:757–760.

Hanson MA, Roth CB, Jo E, Griffith MT, Scott FL, Reinhart G, Desale H, Clemons B, Cahalan SM, Schuerer SC, Sanna MG, Han GW, Kuhn P, Rosen H, Stevens RC (2012). Crystal structure of a lipid G protein-coupled receptor. Science 335:851-855.

Hanus L, Abu-Lafi S, Fride E, Breuer A, Vogel Z, Shalev DE, Kustanovich I, Mechoulam R (2001). 2arachidonyl glyceryl ether, an endogenous agonist of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:3662-3665.

Happe HK, Bylund DB, Murrin LC (2000). Alpha(2)-adrenoceptor-stimulated GTP gamma S binding in rat brain: An autoradiographic study. Eur J Pharmacol 399:17-27.

Happe HK, Bylund DB, Murrin LC (2001). Agonist-stimulated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPgammaS autoradiography: Optimization for high sensitivity. Eur J Pharmacol 422:1-13.

Harrison SM, Reavill C, Brown G, Brown JT, Cluderay JE, Crook B, Davies CH, Dawson LA, Grau E, Heidbreder C, Hemmati P, Hervieu G, Howarth A, Hughes ZA, Hunter AJ, Latcham J, Pickering S, Pugh P, Rogers DC, Shilliam CS, Maycox PR (2003). LPA1 receptor-deficient mice have phenotypic changes observed in psychiatric disease. Mol Cell Neurosci 24:1170-1179.

Hashimotodani Y, Ohno-Shosaku T, Kano M (2007). Endocannabinoids and synaptic function in the CNS. Neuroscientist 13:127-137.

Haskell-Luevano, C., Miwa, H., Dickinson, C., Hadley, M.E., Hruby, V.J., Yamada, T., Gantz, I., (1996). Characterizations of the unusual dissociation properties of melanotropin peptides from the melanocortin receptor, hMC1R. J Med Chem 39:432-435.

Hausmann J, Kamtekar S, Christodoulou E, Day JE, Wu T, Fulkerson Z, Albers HM, van Meeteren LA, Houben AJ, van Zeijl L, Jansen S, Andries M, Hall T, Pegg LE, Benson TE, Kasiem M, Harlos K, Kooi CW, Smyth SS, Ovaa H, Bollen M, Morris AJ, Moolenaar WH, Perrakis A (2011). Structural basis of substrate discrimination and integrin binding by autotaxin. Nat Struct Mol Biol 18:198-204.

Hecht JH, Weiner JA, Post SR, Chun J (1996). Ventricular zone gene-1 (vzg-1) encodes a lysophosphatidic acid receptor expressed in neurogenic regions of the developing cerebral cortex. J Cell Biol 135:1071-1083.

Henstridge CM, Balenga NA, Kargl J, Andradas C, Brown AJ, Irving A, Sanchez C, Waldhoer M (2011). Minireview: recent developments in the physiology and pathology of the lysophosphatidylinositol-sensitive receptor GPR55. Mol Endocrinol 25:1835-1848.

Herkenham M, Lynn AB, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, de Costa BR, Rice KC (1991). Characterization and localization of cannabinoid receptors in rat brain: a quantitative in vitro autoradiographic study. J Neurosci 11:563-583

Hermanson DJ, Marnett LJ (2011). Cannabinoids, endocannabinoids, and cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 30:599-612.

Hill SJ, Williams C, May LT (2010). Insights into GPCR pharmacology from the measurement of changes in intracellular cyclic AMP; advantages and pitfalls of differing methodologies. Br J Pharmacol 161:1266-1275.

Hirvonen J, Zanotti-Fregonara P, Umhau JC, George DT, Rallis-Frutos D, Lyoo CH, Li CT, Hines CS, Sun H, Terry GE, Morse C, Zoghbi SS, Pike VW, Innis RB, Heilig M (2013). Reduced cannabinoid CB1 receptor binding in alcohol dependence measured with positron emission tomography. Mol Psychiatry 18:916-921.

Hohmann AG, Suplita RL, Bolton NM, Neely MH, Fegley D, Mangieri R, Krey JF, Walker JM, Holmes PV, Crystal JD, Duranti A, Tontini A, Mor M, Tarzia G, Piomelli D (2005). An endocannabinoid mechanism for stress-induced analgesia. Nature 435:1108-1112.

Holland WL, Stauter EC, Stith BJ (2003). Quantification of phosphatidic acid and lysophosphatidic acid by HPLC with evaporative light-scattering detection. J Lipid Res 44:854-858.

Holinstat M, Voss B, Bilodeau ML, Hamm HE (2007). Protease-activated receptors differentially regulate human platelet activation through a phosphatidic acid-dependent pathway. Mol Pharmacol 71:686–694.

Hooks SB, Ragan SP, Lynch KR (1998). Identification of a novel human phosphatidic acid phosphatase type 2 isoform. FEBS Lett 427:188–192.

Hosogaya S, Yatomi Y, Nakamura K, Ohkawa R, Okubo S, Yokota H, Ohta M, Yamazaki H, Koike T, Ozaki Y (2008). Measurement of plasma lysophosphatidic acid concentration in healthy subjects: strong correlation with lysophospholipase D activity. Ann Clin Biochem 45:364-368.

Howlett AC (2005). A short guide to the nomenclature of seven-transmembrane spanning receptors for lipid mediators. Life Sci 77:1522-1530.

Hurst DP, Grossfield A, Lynch DL, Feller S, Romo TD, Gawrisch K, Pitman MC, Reggio PH (2010). A lipid pathway for ligand binding is necessary for a cannabinoid G protein-coupled receptor. J Biol Chem 285:17954-17964.

Hurst DP, Schmeisser M, Reggio PH (2013). Endogenous lipid activated G protein-coupled receptors: emerging structural features from crystallography and molecular dynamics simulations. Chem Phys Lipids 169:46-56.

Hsu KL, Tsuboi K, Adibekian A, Pugh H, Masuda K, Cravatt BF (2012). DAGLβ inhibition perturbs a lipid network involved in macrophage inflammatory responses. Nat Chem Biol 8:999-1007.

Huang SM, Bisogno T, Trevisani M, Al-Hayani A, De Petrocellis L, Fezza F, Tognetto M, Petros TJ, Krey JF, Chu CJ, Miller JD, Davies SN, Geppetti P, Walker JM, Di Marzo V (2002). An endogenous capsaicin-like substance with high potency at recombinant and native vanilloid VR1 receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:8400-8405.

Hughes NC, Wong EYK, Fan J, Bajaj N (2007). AAPS 9 article 42; http://www.aapsj.org.

Idelevich E, Kirch W, Schindler C (2009). Current pharmacotherapeutic concepts for the treatment of obesity in adults. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 3:75-90.

Inoue A, Arima N, Ishiguro J, Prestwich GD, Arai H, Aoki J (2011). LPA-producing enzyme  $PA-PLA_1\alpha$  regulates hair follicle development by modulating EGFR signalling. EMBO J 30:4248-4260.

Inoue A, Ishiguro J, Kitamura H, Arima N, Okutani M, Shuto A, Higashiyama S, Ohwada T, Arai H, Makide K, Aoki J (2012). TGF $\alpha$  shedding assay: an accurate and versatile method for detecting GPCR activation. Nat Methods 9:1021-1029.

Jamal Z, Martin A, Gomez-Munoz A, Brindley DN (1991). Plasma membrane fractions from rat liver contain a phosphatidate phosphohydrolase distinct from that in the endoplasmic reticulum and cytosol. J Biol Chem 266:2988–2996.

Jang IS, Yeo EJ, Park JA, Ahn JS, Park JS, Cho KA, Juhnn YS, Park SC (2003). Altered cAMP signaling induced by lysophosphatidic acid in senescent human diploid fibroblasts. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 302:778-784.

Jankowski M (2011). Autotaxin: its role in biology of melanoma cells and as a pharmacological target. Enzyme Res 2011:194857.

Jiang M, Spicher K, Boulay G, Wang Y, Birnbaumer L (2001). Most central nervous system D2 dopamine receptors are coupled to their effectors by Go. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:3577-3582.

Johnson DS, Stiff C, Lazerwith SE, Kesten SR, Fay LK, Morris M, Beidler D, Liimatta MB, Smith SE, Dudley DT, Sadagopan N, Bhattachar SN, Kesten SJ, Nomanbhoy TK, Cravatt BF, Ahn K (2011). Discovery of PF-04457845: a highly potent, orally bioavailable, and selective urea FAAH inhibitor. ACS Med Chem Lett 2:91-96.

Jung KM, Astarita G, Zhu C, Wallace M, Mackie K, Piomelli D (2007). A key role for diacylglycerol lipasealpha in metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent endocannabinoid mobilization. Mol Pharmacol 72:612-621.

Kai M, Wada I, Imai S, Sakane F, Kanoh H (1997). Cloning and characterization of two human isozymes of Mg2+-independent phosphatidic acid phosphatase. J Biol Chem 272:24572–24578.

Kano M, Ohno-Shosaku T, Hashimotodani Y, Uchigashima M, Watanabe M (2009). Endocannabinoidmediated control of synaptic transmission. Physiol Rev 89:309-380.

Karlsson M, Contreras JA, Hellman U, Tornqvist H, Holm C (1997). cDNA cloning, tissue distribution, and identification of the catalytic triad of monoglyceride lipase. Evolutionary relationship to esterases, lysophospholipases, and haloperoxidases. J Biol Chem 272:27218-27223.

Karst M, Wippermann S, Ahrens J (2010). Role of cannabinoids in the treatment of pain and (painful) spasticity. Drugs 70:2409-2438.

Kathuria S, Gaetani S, Fegley D, Valiño F, Duranti A, Tontini A, Mor M, Tarzia G, La Rana G, Calignano A, Giustino A, Tattoli M, Palmery M, Cuomo V, Piomelli D (2003). Modulation of anxiety through blockade of anandamide hydrolysis. Nat Med 9:76-81.

Katona I, Sperlágh B, Sík A, Käfalvi A, Vizi ES, Mackie K, Freund TF (1999). Presynaptically located CB1 cannabinoid receptors regulate GABA release from axon terminals of specific hippocampal interneurons. J Neurosci 19:4544-4558.

Katona I, Rancz EA, Acsady L, Ledent C, Mackie K, Hajos N, Freund TF (2001). Distribution of CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the amygdala and their role in the control of GABAergic transmission. J Neurosci 21:9506-9618.

Katona I, Urbán GM, Wallace M, Ledent C, Jung KM, Piomelli D, Mackie K, Freund TF (2006). Molecular composition of the endocannabinoid system at glutamatergic synapses. J Neurosci 26:5628-5637.

Katritch V, Cherezov V, Stevens RC (2013). Structure-function of the G protein-coupled receptor superfamily. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 53:531-556.

Kazantseva A, Goltsov A, Zinchenko R, Grigorenko AP, Abrukova AV, Moliaka YK, Kirillov AG, Guo Z, Lyle S, Ginter EK, Rogaev EI (2006). Human hair growth deficiency is linked to a genetic defect in the phospholipase gene LIPH. Science 314:982-985.

Kehlen A, Lauterbach R, Santos AN, Thiele K, Kabisch U, Weber E, Riemann D, Langner J (2001). IL-1 betaand IL-4-induced down-regulation of autotaxin mRNA and PC-1 in fibroblast-like synoviocytes of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Clin Exp Immunol 123:147-154.

Keimpema E, Barabas K, Morozov YM, Tortoriello G, Torii M, Cameron G, Yanagawa Y, Watanabe M, Mackie K, Harkany T (2010). Differential subcellular recruitment of monoacylglycerol lipase generates spatial specificity of 2-arachidonoyl glycerol signaling during axonal pathfinding. J Neurosci 30:13992-14007.

Kenakin T (2001). Inverse, protean, and ligand-selective agonism: matters of receptor conformation. FASEB J. 15:598-611.

Kenakin TP (2010). Ligand detection in the allosteric world. J Biomol Screen 15:119-130.

Kenakin T, Miller LJ (2010). Seven transmembrane receptors as shapeshifting proteins: the impact of allosteric modulation and functional selectivity on new drug discovery. Pharmacol Rev 62:265-304.

Kienesberger PC, Lass A, Preiss-Landl K, Wolinski H, Kohlwein SD, Zimmermann R, Zechner R (2008). Identification of an insulin-regulated lysophospholipase with homology to neuropathy target esterase. J Biol Chem 283:5908-5917.

Kim J, Alger BE (2010). Reduction in endocannabinoid tone is a homeostatic mechanism for specific inhibitory synapses. Nat Neurosci 13:592-600.

Kinsey SG, O'Neal ST, Long JZ, Cravatt BF, Lichtman AH (2011). Inhibition of endocannabinoid catabolic enzymes elicits anxiolytic-like effects in the marble burying assay. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 98:21-27.

Kishi Y, Okudaira S, Tanaka M, Hama K, Shida D, Kitayama J, Yamori T, Aoki J, Fujimaki T, Arai H (2006). Autotaxin is overexpressed in glioblastoma multiforme and contributes to cell motility of glioblastoma by converting lysophosphatidylcholine to lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 281:17492-17500.

Koutek B, Prestwich GD, Howlett AC, Chin SA, Salehani D, Akhavan N, Deutsch DG (1994). Inhibitors of arachidonoyl ethanolamide hydrolysis. J Biol Chem 269:22937-22940.

Kozak KR, Rowlinson SW, Marnett LJ (2000). Oxygenation of the endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonylglycerol, to glyceryl prostaglandins by cyclooxygenase-2. J Biol Chem 275:33744-33749.

Kozak KR, Crews BC, Morrow JD, Wang LH, Ma YH, Weinander R, Jakobsson PJ, Marnett LJ (2002a). Metabolism of the endocannabinoids, 2-arachidonylglycerol and anandamide, into prostaglandin, thromboxane, and prostacyclin glycerol esters and ethanolamides. J Biol Chem 277:44877-44885.

Kozak KR, Gupta RA, Moody JS, Ji C, Boeglin WE, DuBois RN, Brash AR, Marnett LJ (2002b). 15-Lipoxygenase metabolism of 2-arachidonylglycerol. Generation of a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha agonist. J Biol Chem 277:23278-23286.

Kotarsky K, Boketoft A, Bristulf J, Nilsson NE, Norberg A, Hansson S, Owman C, Sillard R, Leeb-Lundberg LM, Olde B (2006). Lysophosphatidic acid binds to and activates GPR92, a G protein-coupled receptor highly expressed in gastrointestinal lymphocytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 318:619-628.

Labar G, Bauvois C, Borel F, Ferrer JL, Wouters J, Lambert DM (2010). Crystal structure of the human monoacylglycerol lipase, a key actor in endocannabinoid signaling. Chembiochem 11:218-227.

Lafourcade M, Elezgarai I, Mato S, Bakiri Y, Grandes P, Manzoni OJ (2007). Molecular components and functions of the endocannabinoid system in mouse prefrontal cortex. PLoS ONE 2(8):e709.

Laitinen JT, Jokinen M (1998). Guanosine 5'-(gamma-[<sup>35</sup>S]thio)triphosphate autoradiography allows selective detection of histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor-dependent G protein activation in rat brain tissue sections. J Neurochem 71:808-816.

Laitinen JT (1999). Selective detection of adenosine A1 receptor-dependent G-protein activity in basal and stimulated conditions of rat brain [35S]guanosine 5'-(gamma-thio)triphosphate autoradiography. Neuroscience 90:1265–1279.

Laitinen JT, Uri A, Raidaru G, Miettinen R (2001). [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPgammaS autoradiography reveals a wide distribution of G(i/o)-linked ADP receptors in the nervous system: Close similarities with the platelet P2Y(ADP) receptor. J Neurochem 77:505-518.

Laitinen JT (2004). [355]GTPgammaS autoradiography: A powerful functional approach with expanding potential for neuropharmacological studies on receptors coupled to Gi family of G proteins. Curr Neuropharmacol 2:191–206.

Latek D, Modzelewska A, Trzaskowski B, Palczewski K, Filipek S (2012). G protein-coupled receptors--recent advances. Acta Biochim Pol 59:515-529.

Lauckner JE, Jensen JB, Chen HY, Lu HC, Hille B, Mackie K (2008). GPR55 is a cannabinoid receptor that increases intracellular calcium and inhibits M current. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:2699-2704.

Lawrence AJ, Jarrott B (1996). Neurochemical modulation of cardiovascular control in the nucleus tractus solitarius. Prog Neurobiol 48:21-53.

Lazareno S (1997). Measurement of agonist-stimulated [35S]GTP gamma S binding to cell membranes. Methods Mol Biol 83:107-116.

Lee J, Jung ID, Nam SW, Clair T, Jeong EM, Hong SY, Han JW, Lee HW, Stracke ML, Lee HY (2001). Enzymatic activation of autotaxin by divalent cations without EF-hand loop region involvement. Biochem Pharmacol 62:219-224.

Lee CW, Rivera R, Gardell S, Dubin AE, Chun J (2006). GPR92 as a new G12/13- and Gq-coupled lysophosphatidic acid receptor that increases cAMP, LPA5. J Biol Chem 281:23589-23597.

Lee CW, Rivera R, Dubin AE, Chun J (2007). LPA(4)/GPR23 is a lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptor utilizing G(s)-, G(q)/G(i)-mediated calcium signaling and G(12/13)-mediated Rho activation. J Biol Chem 282:4310-4317.

Lee Z, Cheng CT, Zhang H, Subler MA, Wu J, Mukherjee A, Windle JJ, Chen CK, Fang X (2008). Role of LPA4/p2y9/GPR23 in negative regulation of cell motility. Mol Biol Cell 19:5435-5445.

Lee M, Choi S, Halldén G, Yo SJ, Schichnes D, Aponte GW (2009). P2Y5 is a G(alpha)i, G(alpha)12/13 G protein-coupled receptor activated by lysophosphatidic acid that reduces intestinal cell adhesion. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 297:G641-54.

Lefkowitz RJ, Roth J, Pastan I (1970). Radioreceptor assay of adrenocorticotropic hormone: new approach to assay of polypeptide hormones in plasma. Science 170:633-635.

Lefkowitz RJ, Cotecchia S, Samama P, Costa T (1993). Constitutive activity of receptors coupled to guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins. Trends Pharmacol Sci 14:303-307.

Lehtonen M, Storvik M, Malinen H, Hyytiä P, Lakso M, Auriola S, Wong G, Callaway JC (2011). Determination of endocannabinoids in nematodes and human brain tissue by liquid chromatography electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 879:677–694.

Li L (2003). The biochemistry and physiology of metallic fluoride: action, mechanism, and implications. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 14:100–114.

Li ZG, Yu ZC, Wang DZ, Ju WP, Zhan X, Wu QZ, Wu XJ, Cong HM, Man HH (2008). Influence of acetylsalicylate on plasma lysophosphatidic acid level in patients with ischemic cerebral vascular diseases. Neurol Res 30:366-369.

Li F, Fei X, Xu J, Ji C (2009). An unannotated alpha/beta hydrolase superfamily member, ABHD6 differentially expressed among cancer cell lines. Mol Biol Rep 36:691-696.

Li C, Jones PM, Persaud SJ (2011). Role of the endocannabinoid system in food intake, energy homeostasis and regulation of the endocrine pancreas. Pharmacol Ther 129:307-320.

Lin ME, Herr DR, Chun J (2010). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors: signaling properties and disease relevance. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 91:130-138.

Lin ME, Rivera RR, Chun J (2012). Targeted deletion of LPA5 identifies novel roles for lysophosphatidic acid signaling in development of neuropathic pain. J Biol Chem 287:17608-17617.

Little JL, Wempe MF, Buchanan CM (2006). Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry method development for drug metabolism studies: Examining lipid matrix ionization effects in plasma. J Chromatogr B 833:219-230.

Liu Y, Wada R, Yamashita T, Mi Y, Deng CX, Hobson JP, Rosenfeldt HM, Nava VE, Chae SS, Lee MJ, Liu CH, Hla T, Spiegel S, Proia RL (2000). Edg-1, the G protein-coupled receptor for sphingosine-1-phosphate, is essential for vascular maturation. J Clin Invest 106:951-961.

Liu X, Hou J, Shi L, Chen J, Sang J, Hu S, Cong X, Chen X (2009a). Lysophosphatidic acid protects mesenchymal stem cells against ischemia-induced apoptosis in vivo. Stem Cells Dev 18:947-54.

Liu S, Umezu-Goto M, Murph M, Lu Y, Liu W, Zhang F, Yu S, Stephens LC, Cui X, Murrow G, Coombes K, Muller W, Hung MC, Perou CM, Lee AV, Fang X, Mills GB (2009b). Expression of autotaxin and lysophosphatidic acid receptors increases mammary tumorigenesis, invasion, and metastases. Cancer Cell 15:539-550.

Liu K, Titus S, Southall N, Zhu P, Inglese J, Austin CP, Zheng W (2008). Comparison on functional assays for Gq-coupled GPCRs by measuring inositol monophospate-1 and intracellular calcium in 1536-well plate format. Curr Chem Genomics 11:70-78.

Long JZ, Li W, Booker L, Burston JJ, Kinsey SG, Schlosburg JE, Pavón FJ, Serrano AM, Selley DE, Parsons LH, Lichtman AH, Cravatt BF (2009). Selective blockade of 2-arachidonoylglycerol hydrolysis produces cannabinoid behavioral effects. Nat Chem Biol 5:37-44.

Long JZ, Cravatt BF (2011). The metabolic serine hydrolases and their functions in mammalian physiology and disease. Chem Rev 111:6022-6063.

Lutz B (2004). On-demand activation of the endocannabinoid system in the control of neuronal excitability and epileptiform seizures. Biochem Pharmacol 68:1691-1698.

Ma L, Matsumoto M, Xie W, Inoue M, Ueda H (2009). Evidence for lysophosphatidic acid 1 receptor signaling in the early phase of neuropathic pain mechanisms in experiments using Ki-16425, a lysophosphatidic acid 1 receptor antagonist. J Neurochem 109:603-610.

Malbon CC (2005). G proteins in development. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:689-701.

Matias I, Di Marzo V (2007). Endocannabinoids and the control of energy balance. Trends Endocrinol Metab 18:27-37.

Maudsley S, Martin B, Luttrell LM (2005). The origins of diversity and specificity in G protein-coupled receptor signaling. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 314:485-494.

Max D, Hesse M, Volkmer I, Staege MS (2009). High expression of the evolutionarily conserved alpha/beta hydrolase domain containing 6 (ABHD6) in Ewing tumors. Cancer Sci 100:2383-2389.

McDermott MI, Sigal YJ, Crump JS, Morris AJ (2006). Enzymatic analysis of lipid phosphate phosphatases. Methods 39:169–179.

McKinney MK, Cravatt BF (2005). Structure and function of fatty acid amide hydrolase. Annu Rev Biochem 74:411–432.

McKinney DL, Cassidy MP, Collier LM, Martin BR, Wiley JL, Selley DE, Sim-Selley LJ (2008). Dose-related differences in the regional pattern of cannabinoid receptor adaptation and in vivo tolerance development to delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 324:664-673.

McIntyre TM, Pontsler AV, Silva AR, St Hilaire A, Xu Y, Hinshaw JC, Zimmerman GA, Hama K, Aoki J, Arai H, Prestwich GD (2003). Identification of an intracellular receptor for lysophosphatidic acid (LPA): LPA is a transcellular PPARgamma agonist. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:131-136.

Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR, Gopher A, Almog S, Martin BR, Compton DR, et al (1995). Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 50:83-90.

Mechoulam R, Parker LA (2013). The endocannabinoid system and the brain. Annu Rev Psychol 64:21-47.

Meleh M, Pozlep B, Mlakar A, Meden-Vrtovec H, Zupancic-Kralj L (2007). Determination of serum lysophosphatidic acid as a potential biomarker for ovarian cancer. J Chromatogr B 858:287-291.

Meng Y, Kang S, Fishman DA (2005). Lysophosphatidic acid inhibits anti-Fas-mediated apoptosis enhanced by actin depolymerization in epithelial ovarian cancer. FEBS Lett 579:1311-1319.

Mills GB, Eder A, Fang X, Hasegawa Y, Mao M, Lu Y, Tanyi J, Tabassam FH, Wiener J, Lapushin R, Yu S, Parrott JA, Compton T, Tribley W, Fishman D, Stack MS, Gaudette D, Jaffe R, Furui T, Aoki J, Erickson JR (2002). Critical role of lysophospholipids in the pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management of ovarian cancer. Cancer Treat Res 107:259-83.

Min R, Di Marzo V, Mansvelder HD (2010a). DAG lipase involvement in depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition: does endocannabinoid biosynthesis always meet the demand? Neuroscientist 16:608-613.

Min R, Testa-Silva G, Heistek TS, Canto CB, Lodder JC, Bisogno T, Di Marzo V, Brussaard AB, Burnashev N, Mansvelder HD (2010b). Diacylglycerol lipase is not involved in depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition at unitary inhibitory connections in mouse hippocampus. J Neurosci 30:2710–2715.

Minati L, Edginton T, Bruzzone MG, Giaccone G (2009). Current concepts in Alzheimer's disease: a multidisciplinary review. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 24:95-121.

Monovich L, Mugrage B, Quadros E, Toscano K, Tommasi R, LaVoie S, Liu E, Du Z, LaSala D, Boyar W, Steed P (2007). Optimization of halopemide for phospholipase D2 inhibition. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 17:2310-2311.

Monteleone P, Matias I, Martiadis V, De Petrocellis L, Maj M, Di Marzo V (2005). Blood levels of the endocannabinoid anandamide are increased in anorexia nervosa and in binge-eating disorder, but not in bulimia nervosa. Neuropsychopharmacology 30:1216-1221.

Moore RJ, Xiao R, Sim-Selley LJ, Childers SR (2000). Agonist-stimulated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPgammaS binding in brain. Modulation by endogenous adenosine. Neuropharmacology 39:282-289.

Moolenaar WH, van Meeteren LA, Giepmans BN (2004). The ins and outs of lysophosphatidic acid signaling. Bioessays 26:870–881.

Morera L, Labar G, Ortar G, Lambert DM (2012). Development and characterization of endocannabinoid hydrolases FAAH and MAGL inhibitors bearing a benzotriazol-1-yl carboxamide scaffold. Bioorg Med Chem 20:6260-6275.

Morisset S, Rouleau A, Ligneau X, Gbahou F, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Stark H, Schunack W, Ganellin CR, Schwartz JC, Arrang JM (2000). High constitutive activity of native H<sub>3</sub> receptors regulates histamine neurons in brain. Nature 40:860-864.

Muguruza C, Lehtonen M, Aaltonen N, Morentin B, Meana JJ, Callado LF (2013). Quantification of endocannabinoids in postmortem brain of schizophrenic subjects. Schizophrenia research 148:145-150.

Munro S, Thomas KL, Abu-Shaar M (1993). Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. Nature 365:61-65.

Mutoh T, Rivera R, Chun J (2012). Insights into the pharmacological relevance of lysophospholipid receptors. Br J Pharmacol 165:829-844.

Murakami M, Shiraishi A, Tabata K, Fujita N (2008). Identification of the orphan GPCR, P2Y(10) receptor as the sphingosine-1-phosphate and lysophosphatidic acid receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 371:707-712.

Naidu PS, Kinsey SG, Guo TL, Cravatt BF, Lichtman AH (2010). Regulation of inflammatory pain by inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 334:182-190.

Nagai J, Uchida H, Matsushita Y, Yano R, Ueda M, Niwa M, Aoki J, Chun J, Ueda H (2010). Autotaxin and lysophosphatidic acid1 receptor-mediated demyelination of dorsal root fibers by sciatic nerve injury and intrathecal lysophosphatidylcholine. Mol Pain 6:78.

Nakagawa H, Ikeda H, Nakamura K, Ohkawa R, Masuzaki R, Tateishi R, Yoshida H, Watanabe N, Tejima K, Kume Y, Iwai T, Suzuki A, Tomiya T, Inoue Y, Nishikawa T, Ohtomo N, Tanoue Y, Omata M, Igarashi K, Aoki J, Koike K, Yatomi Y (2011). Autotaxin as a novel serum marker of liver fibrosis. Clin Chim Acta 412:1201-1206.

Nakane S, Oka S, Arai S, Waku K, Ishima Y, Tokumura A, Sugiura T (2002). 2-Arachidonoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphate, an arachidonic acid-containing lysophosphatidic acid: occurrence and rapid enzymatic conversion to 2-arachidonoyl-sn-glycerol, a cannabinoid receptor ligand, in rat brain. Arch Biochem Biophys 402:51–58.

Navia-Paldanius D, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT (2012). Biochemical and pharmacological characterization of human  $\alpha/\beta$ -hydrolase domain containing 6 (ABHD6) and 12 (ABHD12). J Lipid Res 53:2413-2424.

Neubig RR, Siderovski DP (2002). Regulators of G-protein signalling as new central nervous system drug targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov 1:187-197.

Newell KA, Deng C, Huang XF (2006). Increased cannabinoid receptor density in the posterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. Exp Brain Res 172:556-560.

Nishimasu H, Okudaira S, Hama K, Mihara E, Dohmae N, Inoue A, Ishitani R, Takagi J, Aoki J, Nureki O (2011). Crystal structure of autotaxin and insight into GPCR activation by lipid mediators. Nat Struct Mol Biol 18:205-212.

Noda M (2006). The subfornical organ, a specialized sodium channel, and the sensing of sodium levels in the brain. Neuroscientist 12:80-91.

Nochi H, Tomura H, Tobo M, Tanaka N, Sato K, Shinozaki T, Kobayashi T, Takagishi K, Ohta H, Okajima F, Tamoto K (2008). Stimulatory role of lysophosphatidic acid in cyclooxygenase-2 induction by synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis in fibroblast-like synovial cells. J Immunol 181:5111-5119.

Noguchi K, Ishii S, Shimizu T (2003). Identification of p2y9/GPR23 as a novel G protein-coupled receptor for lysophosphatidic acid, structurally distant from the Edg family. J Biol Chem 278:25600-25606.

Nomura DK, Long JZ, Niessen S, Hoover HS, Ng SW, Cravatt BF (2010). Monoacylglycerol lipase regulates a fatty acid network that promotes cancer pathogenesis.Cell 140:49-61.

Nomura DK, Morrison BE, Blankman JL, Long JZ, Kinsey SG, Marcondes MC, Ward AM, Hahn YK, Lichtman AH, Conti B, Cravatt BF (2011). Endocannabinoid hydrolysis generates brain prostaglandins that promote neuroinflammation. Science 334:809-813.

Ofek O, Karsak M, Leclerc N, Fogel M, Frenkel B, Wright K, Tam J, Attar-Namdar M, Kram V, Shohami E, Mechoulam R, Zimmer A, Bab I (2006). Peripheral cannabinoid receptor, CB2, regulates bone mass. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:696-701.

Ohta H, Sato K, Murata N, Damirin A, Malchinkhuu E, Kon J, Kimura T, Tobo M, Yamazaki Y, Watanabe T, Yagi M, Sato M, Suzuki R, Murooka H, Sakai T, Nishitoba T, Im DS, Nochi H, Tamoto K, Tomura H, Okajima F (2003). Ki16425, a subtype-selective antagonist for EDG-family lysophosphatidic acid receptors. Mol Pharmacol 64:994-1005.

Oka S, Tsuchie A, Tokumura A, Muramatsu M, Suhara Y, Takayama H, Waku K, Sugiura T (2003). Etherlinked analogue of 2-arachidonoylglycerol (noladin ether) was not detected in the brains of various mammalian species. J Neurochem 85:1374-1381.

Oka S, Nakajima K, Yamashita A, Kishimoto S, Sugiura T (2007). Identification of GPR55 as a lysophosphatidylinositol receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 362:928-934.

Oka S, Ota R, Shima M, Yamashita A, Sugiura T (2010). GPR35 is a novel lysophosphatidic acid receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 395:232-237.

Okudaira S, Yukiura H, Aoki J (2010). Biological roles of lysophosphatidic acid signaling through its production by autotaxin. Biochimie 92:698-706.

Okusa MD, Ye H, Huang L, Sigismund L, Macdonald T, Lynch KR (2003). Selective blockade of lysophosphatidic acid LPA3 receptors reduces murine renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 285:F565-574.

Oldham WM, Hamm HE (2008). Heterotrimeric G protein activation by G-protein-coupled receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:60-71.

Ortar G, Bisogno T, Ligresti A, Morera E, Nalli M, Di Marzo V (2008). Tetrahydrolipstatin analogues as modulators of endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol metabolism. J Med Chem 51:6970-6979.

Oudin MJ, Hobbs C, Doherty P (2011). DAGL-dependent endocannabinoid signalling: roles in axonal pathfinding, synaptic plasticity and adult neurogenesis. Eur J Neurosci 34:1634-1646.

Pacher P, Steffens S (2009). The emerging role of the endocannabinoid system in cardiovascular disease. Semin Immunopathol 31:63-77.

Pacher P, Bátkai S, Kunos G (2006). The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. Pharmacol Rev 58:389-462.

Pacher P, Kunos G (2013). Modulating the endocannabinoid system in human health and disease: successes and failures. FEBS J 280:1918-1943.

Palazuelos J, Aguado T, Pazos MR, Julien B, Carrasco C, Resel E, Sagredo O, Benito C, Romero J, Azcoitia I, Fernández-Ruiz J, Guzmán M, Galve-Roperh I (2009). Microglial CB2 cannabinoid receptors are neuroprotective in Huntington's disease excitotoxicity. Brain 132:3152-3164.

Palomaki VA, Laitinen JT (2006). The basic secretagogue compound 48/80 activates G proteins indirectly via stimulation of phospholipase D-lysophosphatidic acid receptor axis and 5-HT1A receptors in rat brain sections. Br J Pharmacol 147:596–606.

Palomaki VA, Lehtonen M, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT (2007). Visualization of 2-arachidonoylglycerol accumulation and cannabinoid CB1 receptor activity in rat brain cryosections by functional autoradiography. J Neurochem 101:972–981.

Palczewski K, Kumasaka T, Hori T, Behnke CA, Motoshima H, Fox BA, Le Trong I, Teller DC, Okada T, Stenkamp RE, Yamamoto M, Miyano M (2000). Crystal structure of rhodopsin: A G protein-coupled receptor. Science 289:739-745.

Pamuklar Z, Federico L, Liu S, Umezu-Goto M, Dong A, Panchatcharam M, Fulkerson Z, Berdyshev E, Natarajan V, Fang X, van Meeteren LA, Moolenaar WH, Mills GB, Morris AJ, Smyth SS (2009). Autotaxin/lysopholipase D and lysophosphatidic acid regulate murine hemostasis and thrombosis. J Biol Chem 284:7385-7394.

Panchatcharam M, Miriyala S, Salous A, Wheeler J, Dong A, Mueller P, Sunkara M, Escalante-Alcalde D, Morris AJ, Smyth SS (2013). Lipid phosphate phosphatase 3 negatively regulates smooth muscle cell phenotypic modulation to limit intimal hyperplasia. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 33:52-59.

Paria BC, Dey SK (2000). Ligand-receptor signaling with endocannabinoids in preimplantation embryo development and implantation. Chem Phys Lipids 108:211-220.

Parrill AL (2008). Lysophospholipid interactions with protein targets. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1781:540-546.

Pasternack SM, von Kügelgen I, Al Aboud K, Lee YA, Rüschendorf F, Voss K, Hillmer AM, Molderings GJ, Franz T, Ramirez A, Nürnberg P, Nöthen MM, Betz RC (2008). G protein-coupled receptor P2Y5 and its ligand LPA are involved in maintenance of human hair growth. Nat Genet 40:329-334.

Pava MJ, Woodward JJ (2012). A review of the interactions between alcohol and the endocannabinoid system: implications for alcohol dependence and future directions for research. Alcohol 46:185-204.

Paxinos G, Watson C (1998). The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates, 4th edition, Academic press, San Diego.

Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, Alexander SP, Di Marzo V, Elphick MR, Greasley PJ, Hansen HS, Kunos G, Mackie K, Mechoulam R, Ross RA (2010). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>. Pharmacol Rev 62:588-631.

Pierce KL, Premont RT, Lefkowitz RJ (2002). Seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3:639-650.

Pilpel Y, Segal M (2006). The role of LPA1 in formation of synapses among cultured hippocampal neurons. J Neurochem 97:1379-1392.

Piñeiro R, Falasca M (2012). Lysophosphatidylinositol signalling: new wine from an old bottle. Biochim Biophys Acta 1821:694-705.

Piomelli D (2003). The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signalling. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:873-884.

Porter AC, Sauer JM, Knierman MD, Becker GW, Berna MJ, Bao J, Nomikos GG, Carter P, Bymaster FP, Leese AB, Felder CC (2002). Characterization of a novel endocannabinoid, virodhamine, with antagonist activity at the CB1 receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 301:1020-1024.

Pradère JP, Gonzalez J, Klein J, Valet P, Grès S, Salant D, Bascands JL, Saulnier-Blache JS, Schanstra JP (2008). Lysophosphatidic acid and renal fibrosis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1781:582-587.

Pyne S, Kong KC, Darroch PI (2004). Lysophosphatidic acid and sphingosine 1-phosphate biology: the role of lipid phosphate phosphatases. Semin Cell Dev Biol 15:491–501.

Pyne S, Lee SC, Long J, Pyne NJ (2009). Role of sphingosine kinases and lipid phosphate phosphatases in regulating spatial sphingosine 1-phosphate signalling in health and disease. Cell Signal 21:14-21.

Quistad GB, Barlow C, Winrow CJ, Sparks SE, Casida JE (2003). Evidence that mouse brain neuropathy target esterase is a lysophospholipase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:7983-7987.

Ramírez BG, Blázquez C, Gómez del Pulgar T, Guzmán M, de Ceballos ML (2005). Prevention of Alzheimer's disease pathology by cannabinoids: neuroprotection mediated by blockade of microglial activation. J Neurosci 25:1904-1913.

Rasmussen SG, Choi HJ, Rosenbaum DM, Kobilka TS, Thian FS, Edwards PC, Burghammer M, Ratnala VR, Sanishvili R, Fischetti RF, Schertler GF, Weis WI, Kobilka BK (2007). Crystal structure of the human beta2 adrenergic G-protein-coupled receptor. Nature 450:383-387.

Reisenberg M, Singh PK, Williams G, Doherty P (2012). The diacylglycerol lipases: structure, regulation and roles in and beyond endocannabinoid signalling. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 367:3264-3275.

Ren J, Xiao YJ, Singh LS, Zhao X, Zhao Z, Feng L, Rose TM, Prestwich GD, Xu Y (2006). Lysophosphatidic acid is constitutively produced by human peritoneal mesothelial cells and enhances adhesion, migration, and invasion of ovarian cancer cells. Cancer Res 66:3006-3014.

Renbäck K, Inoue M, Yoshida A, Nyberg F, Ueda H (2000). Vzg-1/lysophosphatidic acid-receptor involved in peripheral pain transmission. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 75:350-354.

Rhim JH, Jang IS, Kwon ST, Song KY, Yeo EJ, Park SC (2010). Activation of wound healing in aged rats by altering the cellular mitogenic potential. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 65:704-711.

Richardson D, Pearson RG, Kurian N, Latif ML, Garle MJ, Barrett DA, Kendall DA, Scammell BE, Reeve AJ, Chapman V (2008). Characterisation of the cannabinoid receptor system in synovial tissue and fluid in patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 10(2):R43.

Roberts R, Sciorra VA, Morris AJ (1998). Human type 2 phosphatidic acid phosphohydrolases. Substrate specificity of the type 2a, 2b, and 2c enzymes and cell surface activity of the 2a isoform. J Biol Chem 273:22059–22067.

Roberts C, Winter P, Shilliam CS, Hughes ZA, Langmead C, Maycox PR, Dawson LA (2005). Neurochemical changes in LPA1 receptor deficient mice--a putative model of schizophrenia. Neurochem Res 30:371-377.

Rojo ML, Rodriguez-Gaztelumendi A, Fowler CJ (2012). Lysophosphatidylinositol stimulates [ ${}^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  binding in the rat prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Neurochem Res 37:1037-1042.

Rosen H, Stevens RC, Hanson M, Roberts E, Oldstone MB (2013). Sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors: structure, signaling, and influence. Annu Rev Biochem 82:637-662.

Ross RA, Craib SJ, Stevenson LA, Pertwee RG, Henderson A, Toole J, Ellington HC (2002). Pharmacological characterization of the anandamide cyclooxygenase metabolite: prostaglandin E2 ethanolamide. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 301:900-907.

Rouleau A, Ligneau X, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Morisset S, Gbahou F, Schwartz JC, Arrang JM (2002). Histamine H<sub>3</sub>-receptor-mediated [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP gamma[S] binding: Evidence for constitutive activity of the recombinant and native rat and human H<sub>3</sub> receptors. Br J Pharmacol 135:383-392.

Rouzer CA, Marnett LJ (2011). Endocannabinoid oxygenation by cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenases, and cytochromes P450: cross-talk between the eicosanoid and endocannabinoid signaling pathways. Chem Rev 111:5899-5921.

Ryberg E, Larsson N, Sjögren S, Hjorth S, Hermansson NO, Leonova J, Elebring T, Nilsson K, Drmota T, Greasley PJ (2007). The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. Br J Pharmacol 152:1092-10101.

Saario SM, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT, Järvinen T, Niemi R (2004). Monoglyceride lipase-like enzymatic activity is responsible for hydrolysis of 2-arachidonoylglycerol in rat cerebellar membranes. Biochem Pharmacol 67:1381–1387.

Saario SM, Salo OM, Nevalainen T, Poso A, Laitinen JT, Järvinen T, Niemi R (2005). Characterization of the sulfhydryl-sensitive site in the enzyme responsible for hydrolysis of 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol in rat cerebellar membranes. Chem Biol 12:649-656.

Samadi N, Bekele R, Capatos D, Venkatraman G, Sariahmetoglu M, Brindley DN (2011). Regulation of lysophosphatidate signaling by autotaxin and lipid phosphate phosphatases with respect to tumor progression, angiogenesis, metastasis and chemo-resistance. Biochimie 93:61-70.

Samama P, Cotecchia S, Costa T, Lefkowitz RJ (1993). A mutation-induced activated state of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor. Extending the ternary complex model. J Biol Chem 268:4625-4636.

Samama P, Pei G, Costa T, Cotecchia S, Lefkowitz RJ (1994). Negative antagonists promote an inactive conformation of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor. Mol Pharmacol 45:390-394.

Sánchez AJ, García-Merino A (2012). Neuroprotective agents: cannabinoids. Clin Immunol 142:57-67.

Sánchez-Sánchez R, Morales-Lázaro SL, Baizabal JM, Sunkara M, Morris AJ, Escalante-Alcalde D (2012). Lack of lipid phosphate phosphatase-3 in embryonic stem cells compromises neuronal differentiation and neurite outgrowth. Dev Dyn 241:953-964.

Sandyk R (1991). Relevance of the habenular complex to neuropsychiatry: A review and hypothesis. Int J Neurosci 61:189-219.

Sato M, Blumer JB, Simon V, Lanier SM (2006). Accessory proteins for G proteins: partners in signaling. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 46:151-87.

Saulnier-Blache JS, Girard A, Simon MF, Lafontan M, Valet PJ (2000). A simple and highly sensitive radioenzymatic assay for lysophosphatidic acid quantification. J Lipid Res 41:1947-1951.

Savinainen JR, Järvinen T, Laine K, Laitinen JT (2001). Despite substantial degradation, 2arachidonoylglycerol is a potent full efficacy agonist mediating CB(1) receptor-dependent G-protein activation in rat cerebellar membranes. Br J Pharmacol 134:664-672.

Savinainen JR, Saario SM, Niemi R, Järvinen T, Laitinen JT (2003). An optimized approach to study endocannabinoid signaling: evidence against constitutive activity of rat brain adenosine A1 and cannabinoid CB1 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 140:1451-1459.

Savinainen JR, Yoshino M, Minkkilä A, Nevalainen T, Laitinen JT (2010). Characterization of binding properties of monoglyceride lipase inhibitors by a versatile fluorescence-based technique. Anal Biochem 399:132–134.

Savinainen JR, Saario SM, Laitinen JT (2012). The serine hydrolases MAGL, ABHD6 and ABHD12 as guardians of 2-arachidonoylglycerol signalling through cannabinoid receptors. Acta Physiol 204:267–276.

Sayas CL, Moreno-Flores MT, Avila J, Wandosell F (1999). The neurite retraction induced by lysophosphatidic acid increases Alzheimer's disease-like Tau phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 274:37046-37052.

Scherer M, Schmitz G, Liebisch G (2009). High-throughput analysis of sphingosine 1-phosphate, sphinganine 1-phosphate, and lysophosphatidic acid in plasma samples by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Clin Chem 55:1218-1222.

Schlosburg JE, Blankman JL, Long JZ, Nomura DK, Pan B, Kinsey SG, Nguyen PT, Ramesh D, Booker L, Burston JJ, Thomas EA, Selley DE, Sim-Selley LJ, Liu QS, Lichtman AH, Cravatt BF (2010). Chronic monoacylglycerol lipase blockade causes functional antagonism of the endocannabinoid system. Nat Neurosci 13:1113-1119.

Schober A, Siess W (2012). Lysophosphatidic acid in atherosclerotic diseases. Br J Pharmacol 167:465-82.

Schuhmacher J, Zimmer D, Tesche F, Pickard V (2003). Matrix effects during analysis of plasma samples by electrospray and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry: practical approaches to their elimination. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 17:1950-1957.

Schröder R, Janssen N, Schmidt J, Kebig A, Merten N, Hennen S, Müller A, Blättermann S, Mohr-Andrä M, Zahn S, Wenzel J, Smith NJ, Gomeza J, Drewke C, Milligan G, Mohr K, Kostenis E (2010). Deconvolution of complex G protein-coupled receptor signaling in live cells using dynamic mass redistribution measurements. Nat Biotechnol 28:943-949.

Schröder R, Schmidt J, Blättermann S, Peters L, Janssen N, Grundmann M, Seemann W, Kaufel D, Merten N, Drewke C, Gomeza J, Milligan G, Mohr K, Kostenis E (2011). Applying label-free dynamic mass redistribution technology to frame signaling of G protein-coupled receptors noninvasively in living cells. Nat Protoc 6:1748-1760.

Scotter EL, Abood ME, Glass M (2010). The endocannabinoid system as a target for the treatment of neurodegenerative disease. Br J Pharmacol 160:480-498.

Schug K, McNair HM (2002). Adduct formation in electrospray ionization. Part 1: Common acidic pharmaceuticals. J Sep Sci 25:759-766.

Sen S, Smeby RR, Bumpus FM (1968). Antihypertensive effect of an isolated phospholipid. Am J Physiol 214:337-341.

Seuwen K, Ludwig MG, Wolf RM (2006). Receptors for protons or lipid messengers or both? J Recept Signal Transduct Res 26:599-610.

Shan L, Jaffe K, Li S, Davis L (2008). Quantitative determination of lysophosphatidic acid by LC/ESI/MS/MS employing a reversed phase HPLC column. J Chromatogr B 864:22-28.

Shenoy SK, Lefkowitz RJ (2011). β-Arrestin-mediated receptor trafficking and signal transduction. Trends Pharmacol Sci 32:521-533.

Shi J, Dong Y, Cui MZ, Xu X (2013). Lysophosphatidic acid induces increased BACE1 expression and Aβ formation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1832:29-38.

Shimizu T (2009). Lipid mediators in health and disease: enzymes and receptors as therapeutic targets for the regulation of immunity and inflammation. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 49:123-150.

Shimomura Y, Wajid M, Ishii Y, Shapiro L, Petukhova L, Gordon D, Christiano AM (2008). Disruption of P2RY5, an orphan G protein-coupled receptor, underlies autosomal recessive woolly hair. Nat Genet 40:335–339.

Shukla AK, Xiao K, Lefkowitz RJ (2011). Emerging paradigms of  $\beta$ -arrestin-dependent seven transmembrane receptor signaling. Trends Biochem Sci 36:457-469.

Siehler S (2008). Cell-based assays in GPCR drug discovery. Biotechnol J 3:471-483.

Siess W, Zangl KJ, Essler M, Bauer M, Brandl R, Corrinth C, Bittman R, Tigyi G, Aepfelbacher M (1999). Lysophosphatidic acid mediates the rapid activation of platelets and endothelial cells by mildly oxidized low density lipoprotein and accumulates in human atherosclerotic lesions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:6931-6936.

Sigal YJ, McDermott MI, Morris AJ (2005). Integral membrane lipid phosphatases/phosphotransferases: common structure and diverse functions. Biochem J 387:281–293.

Silvestri C, Di Marzo V (2012). Second generation CB1 receptor blockers and other inhibitors of peripheral endocannabinoid overactivity and the rationale of their use against metabolic disorders. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 21:1309-1322.

Sim LJ, Selley DE, Childers SR (1995). In vitro autoradiography of receptor-activated G proteins in rat brain by agonist-stimulated guanylyl 5'-[gamma-[<sup>35</sup>S]thio]-triphosphate binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:7242-7246.

Sim LJ, Hampson RE, Deadwyler SA, Childers SR (1996). Effects of chronic treatment with delta9tetrahydrocannabinol on cannabinoid-stimulated [35S]GTPgammaS autoradiography in rat brain. J Neurosci 16:8057-8066.

Sim LJ, Selley DE, Childers SR (1997). Autoradiographic visualization in brain of receptor-G protein coupling using [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPγS binding. Methods Mol Biol 83:117-132.

Sim-Selley LJ, Childers SR (2002). Neuroanatomical localization of receptor-activated G proteins in brain. Methods Enzymol 344:42-58.

Sim-Selley LJ, Schechter NS, Rorrer WK, Dalton GD, Hernandez J, Martin BR, Selley DE (2006). Prolonged recovery rate of CB1 receptor adaptation after cessation of long-term cannabinoid administration. Mol Pharmacol 70:986-996.

Sim-Selley LJ, Goforth PB, Mba MU, Macdonald TL, Lynch KR, Milstien S, Spiegel S, Satin LS, Welch SP, Selley DE (2009). Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptors mediate neuromodulatory functions in the CNS. J Neurochem 110:1191-1202.

Simon MF, Rey A, Castan-Laurel I, Gres S, Sibrac D, Valet P, Saulnier-Blache JS (2002). Expression of ectolipid phosphate phosphohydrolases in 3T3F442A preadipocytes and adipocytes. Involvement in the control of lysophosphatidic acid production. J Biol Chem 277:23131–23136.

Skaper SD, Buriani A, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Romanello S, Facci L, Leon A (1996). The ALIAmide palmitoylethanolamide and cannabinoids, but not anandamide, are protective in a delayed postglutamate paradigm of excitotoxic death in cerebellar granule neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:3984-3989.

Smyth SS, Sciorra VA, Sigal YJ, Pamuklar Z, Wang Z, Xu Y, Prestwich GD, Morris AJ (2003). Lipid phosphate phosphatases regulate lysophosphatidic acid production and signaling in platelets: studies using chemical inhibitors of lipid phosphate phosphatase activity. J Biol Chem 278:43214-43223.

Smyth SS, Cheng HY, Miriyala S, Panchatcharam M, Morris AJ (2008). Roles of lysophosphatidic acid in cardiovascular physiology and disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 1781:563-570.

Snider NT, Sikora MJ, Sridar C, Feuerstein TJ, Rae JM, Hollenberg PF (2008). The endocannabinoid anandamide is a substrate for the human polymorphic cytochrome P450 2D6. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 327:538-545.

Sóvágó J, Dupuis DS, Gulyas B, Hall H (2001). An overview on functional receptor autoradiography using [35S]GTPgammaS. Brain Res Rev 38:149–164.

Sóvágó J, Makkai B, Gulyás B, Hall H (2005). Autoradiographic mapping of dopamine-D2/D3 receptor stimulated [355]GTPgammaS binding in the human brain. Eur J Neurosci 22:65-71.

Stankoff B, Barron S, Allard J, Barbin G, Noël F, Aigrot MS, Premont J, Sokoloff P, Zalc B, Lubetzki C (2002). Oligodendroglial expression of Edg-2 receptor: developmental analysis and pharmacological responses to lysophosphatidic acid. Mol Cell Neurosci 20:415-428.

Stevens RC, Cherezov V, Katritch V, Abagyan R, Kuhn P, Rosen H, Wüthrich K (2013). The GPCR Network: a large-scale collaboration to determine human GPCR structure and function. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12:25-34.

Sticht MA, Long JZ, Rock EM, Limebeer CL, Mechoulam R, Cravatt BF, Parker LA (2012). Inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase attenuates vomiting in Suncus murinus and 2-arachidonoyl glycerol attenuates nausea in rats. Br J Pharmacol 165:2425-2435.

Stracke ML, Krutzsch HC, Unsworth EJ, Arestad A, Cioce V, Schiffmann E, Liotta LA (1992). Identification, purification, and partial sequence analysis of autotaxin, a novel motility-stimulating protein. J Biol Chem 267:2524-2529.

Strauss U, Bräuer AU (2013). Current views on regulation and function of plasticity-related genes (PRGs/LPPRs) in the brain. Biochim Biophys Acta 1831:133-138.

Ståhlman M, Ejsing CS, Tarasov K, Perman J, Borén J, Ekroos KJ (2009). High-throughput shotgun lipidomics by quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 877:2664-2672.

Su W, Yeku O, Olepu S, Genna A, Park JS, Ren H, Du G, Gelb MH, Morris AJ, Frohman MA (2009). 5-Fluoro-2-indolyl des-chlorohalopemide (FIPI), a phospholipase D pharmacological inhibitor that alters cell spreading and inhibits chemotaxis. Mol Pharmacol 75:437-446.

Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K, Yamashita A, Waku K (1995). 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 215:89-97.

Sugiura T, Nakane S, Kishimoto S, Waku K, Yoshioka Y, Tokumura A, Hanahan DJ (1999). Occurrence of lysophosphatidic acid and its alkyl ether-linked analog in rat brain and comparison of their biological activities toward cultured neural cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1440:194–204.

Sugiura T, Yoshinaga N, Waku K (2001). Rapid generation of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand, in rat brain after decapitation. Neurosci Lett 297:175-178.

Sugiura T, Waku K (2002). Cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous ligands. J Biochem 132:7-12.

Sugiura T, Nakane S, Kishimoto S, Waku K, Yoshioka Y, Tokumura A (2002). Lysophosphatidic acid, a growth factor-like lipid, in the saliva. J Lipid Res 43:2049-2055.

Sugiura T, Kishimoto S, Oka S, Gokoh M (2006). Biochemistry, pharmacology and physiology of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand. Prog Lipid Res 45:405-446.

Sugiura T (2009). Physiological roles of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand. Biofactors 35:88-97.

Sumida H, Noguchi K, Kihara Y, Abe M, Yanagida K, Hamano F, Sato S, Tamaki K, Morishita Y, Kano MR, Iwata C, Miyazono K, Sakimura K, Shimizu T, Ishii S (2010). LPA4 regulates blood and lymphatic vessel formation during mouse embryogenesis. Blood 116:5060-5070.

Sun W, Yang J (2010). Molecular basis of lysophosphatidic acid-induced NF-кВ activation. Cell Signal 22:1799-1803.

Swaney JS, Chapman C, Correa LD, Stebbins KJ, Broadhead AR, Bain G, Santini AM, Darlington J, King CD, Baccei CS, Lee C, Parr TA, Roppe JR, Seiders TJ, Ziff J, Prasit P, Hutchinson JH, Evans JF, Lorrain DS (2011). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characterization of an oral lysophosphatidic acid type 1 receptor-selective antagonist. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 336:693-700.

Tabata K, Baba K, Shiraishi A, Ito M, Fujita N (2007). The orphan GPCR GPR87 was deorphanized and shown to be a lysophosphatidic acid receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 363:861-866.

Tager AM, LaCamera P, Shea BS, Campanella GS, Selman M, Zhao Z, Polosukhin V, Wain J, Karimi-Shah BA, Kim ND, Hart WK, Pardo A, Blackwell TS, Xu Y, Chun J, Luster AD (2008). The lysophosphatidic acid receptor LPA1 links pulmonary fibrosis to lung injury by mediating fibroblast recruitment and vascular leak. Nat Med 14:45-54.

Tamaruya Y, Suzuki M, Kamura G, Kanai M, Hama K, Shimizu K, Aoki J, Arai H, Shibasaki M (2004). Identifying specific conformations by using a carbohydrate scaffold: discovery of subtype-selective LPA-receptor agonists and an antagonist. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 43:2834-2837.

Tanaka M, Okudaira S, Kishi Y, Ohkawa R, Iseki S, Ota M, Noji S, Yatomi Y, Aoki J, Arai H (2006). Autotaxin stabilizes blood vessels and is required for embryonic vasculature by producing lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 281:25822-25830.

Tanimura A, Yamazaki M, Hashimotodani Y, Uchigashima M, Kawata S, Abe M, Kita Y, Hashimoto K, Shimizu T, Watanabe M, Sakimura K, Kano M (2010). The endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol produced by diacylglycerol lipase alpha mediates retrograde suppression of synaptic transmission. Neuron 65:320–327.

Tanyi JL, Morris AJ, Wolf JK, Fang X, Hasegawa Y, Lapushin R, Auersperg N, Sigal YJ, Newman RA, Felix EA, Atkinson EN, Mills GB (2003a). The human lipid phosphate phosphatase-3 decreases the growth, survival, and tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer cells: validation of the lysophosphatidic acid signaling cascade as a target for therapy in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 63:1073-1082.

Tanyi JL, Hasegawa Y, Lapushin R, Morris AJ, Wolf JK, Berchuck A, Lu K, Smith DI, Kalli K, Hartmann LC, McCune K, Fishman D, Broaddus R, Cheng KW, Atkinson EN, Yamal JM, Bast RC, Felix EA, Newman RA, Mills GB (2003b). Role of decreased levels of lipid phosphate phosphatase-1 in accumulation of lysophosphatidic acid in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 9:3534-3545.

Taschler U, Radner FP, Heier C, Schreiber R, Schweiger M, Schoiswohl G, Preiss-Landl K, Jaeger D, Reiter B, Koefeler HC, Wojciechowski J, Theussl C, Penninger JM, Lass A, Haemmerle G, Zechner R, Zimmermann R (2011). Monoglyceride lipase deficiency in mice impairs lipolysis and attenuates diet-induced insulin resistance. J Biol Chem 286:17467-17477.

Tchantchou F, Zhang Y (2013). Selective inhibition of alpha/beta-hydrolase domain 6 attenuates neurodegeneration, alleviates blood brain barrier breakdown, and improves functional recovery in a mouse model of traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma 30:565-579.

Tigyi G, Miledi R (1992). Lysophosphatidates bound to serum albumin activate membrane currents in Xenopus oocytes and neurite retraction in PC12 pheochromocytoma cells. J Biol Chem 267:21360-21367.

Tigyi G, Hong L, Yakubu M, Parfenova H, Shibata M, Leffler CW (1995). Lysophosphatidic acid alters cerebrovascular reactivity in piglets. Am J Physiol 268:H2048-H2055.

Tigyi G, Parrill AL (2003). Molecular mechanisms of lysophosphatidic acid action. Prog Lipid Res 42:498-526.

Todaro B (2012). Cannabinoids in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 10:487-492.

Tokumura A, Fukuzawa K, Tsukatani H (1978). Effects of synthetic and natural lysophosphatidic acids on the arterial blood pressure of different animal species. Lipids 13:572-574.

Tokumura A, Majima E, Kariya Y, Tominaga K, Kogure K, Yasuda K, Fukuzawa K (2002). Identification of human plasma lysophospholipase D, a lysophosphatidic acid-producing enzyme, as autotaxin, a multifunctional phosphodiesterase. J Biol Chem 277:39436-3942.

Tokumura A, Carbone LD, Oshioka YY, Morishige J, Kikuchi M, Postlethwaite A, Watsky MA (2009). Elevated serum levels of arachidonoyl-lysophosphatidic acid and sphingosine 1-phosphate in systemic sclerosis. Int J Med Sci 6:168-176.

Tomida I, Pertwee RG, Azuara-Blanco A (2004). Cannabinoids and glaucoma. Br J Ophthalmol 88:708-713.

Tomsig JL, Snyder AH, Berdyshev EV, Skobeleva A, Mataya C, Natarajan V, Brindley DN, Lynch KR (2009). Lipid phosphate phosphohydrolase type 1 (LPP1) degrades extracellular lysophosphatidic acid *in vivo*. Biochem J 419:611–618.

Trimbuch T, Beed P, Vogt J, Schuchmann S, Maier N, Kintscher M, Breustedt J, Schuelke M, Streu N, Kieselmann O, Brunk I, Laube G, Strauss U, Battefeld A, Wende H, Birchmeier C, Wiese S, Sendtner M, Kawabe H, Kishimoto-Suga M, Brose N, Baumgart J, Geist B, Aoki J, Savaskan NE, Bräuer AU, Chun J, Ninnemann O, Schmitz D, Nitsch R (2009). Synaptic PRG-1 modulates excitatory transmission via lipid phosphate-mediated signaling. Cell 138:1222–1235.

Tsuboi K, Takezaki N, Ueda N (2007). The N-acylethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase (NAAA). Chem Biodivers 4:1914-1925.

Tsuda S, Okudaira S, Moriya-Ito K, Shimamoto C, Tanaka M, Aoki J, Arai H, Murakami-Murofushi K, Kobayashi T (2006). Cyclic phosphatidic acid is produced by autotaxin in blood. J Biol Chem 281:26081-26088.

Tuteja N (2009). Signaling through G protein coupled receptors. Plant Signal Behav 4:942-947.

Uchigashima M, Narushima M, Fukaya M, Katona I, Kano M, Watanabe M (2007). Subcellular arrangement of molecules for 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol-mediated retrograde signaling and its physiological contribution to synaptic modulation in the striatum. J Neurosci 27:3663-3676.

Ueda N, Yamamoto K, Yamamoto S, Tokunaga T, Shirakawa E, Shinkai H, Ogawa M, Sato T, Kudo I, Inoue K, et al (1995). Lipoxygenase-catalyzed oxygenation of arachidonylethanolamide, a cannabinoid receptor agonist. Biochim Biophys Acta 1254:127-134.

Ueda H (2011). Lysophosphatidic acid as the initiator of neuropathic pain. Biol Pharm Bull 34:1154-1158.

Umemura K, Yamashita N, Yu X, Arima K, Asada T, Makifuchi T, Murayama S, Saito Y, Kanamaru K, Goto Y, Kohsaka S, Kanazawa I, Kimura H (2006). Autotaxin expression is enhanced in frontal cortex of Alzheimertype dementia patients. Neurosci Lett 400:97-100.

Umezu-Goto M, Kishi Y, Taira A, Hama K, Dohmae N, Takio K, Yamori T, Mills GB, Inoue K, Aoki J, Arai H (2002). Autotaxin has lysophospholipase D activity leading to tumor cell growth and motility by lysophosphatidic acid production. J Cell Biol 158:227-233.

van Corven EJ, Groenink A, Jalink K, Eichholtz T, Moolenaar WH (1989). Lysophosphatidate-induced cell proliferation: identification and dissection of signaling pathways mediated by G proteins.Cell 59:45-54.

van de Merbel NC (2008). Quantitative determination of endogenous compounds in biological samples using chromatographic techniques. Trends Anal Chem 27:924-933.

van der Stelt M, Mazzola C, Esposito G, Matias I, Petrosino S, De Filippis D, Micale V, Steardo L, Drago F, Iuvone T, Di Marzo V (2006). Endocannabinoids and beta-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity in vivo: effect of pharmacological elevation of endocannabinoid levels. Cell Mol Life Sci 63:1410-1424.

van Meeteren LA, Ruurs P, Christodoulou E, Goding JW, Takakusa H, Kikuchi K, Perrakis A, Nagano T, Moolenaar WH (2005). Inhibition of autotaxin by lysophosphatidic acid and sphingosine 1-phosphate. J Biol Chem 280:21155-21161.

Van Sickle MD, Duncan M, Kingsley PJ, Mouihate A, Urbani P, Mackie K, Stella N, Makriyannis A, Piomelli D, Davison JS, Marnett LJ, Di Marzo V, Pittman QJ, Patel KD, Sharkey KA (2005). Identification and functional characterization of brainstem cannabinoid CB2 receptors. Science 310:329-332.

van Tienhoven M, Atkins J, Li Y, Glynn P (2002). Human neuropathy target esterase catalyzes hydrolysis of membrane lipids. J Biol Chem 277:20942-20948.

Vandevoorde S, Jonsson KO, Labar G, Persson E, Lambert DM, Fowler CJ (2007). Lack of selectivity of URB602 for 2-oleoylglycerol compared to anandamide hydrolysis in vitro. Br J Pharmacol 150:186-191.

Vassilatis DK, Hohmann JG, Zeng H, Li F, Ranchalis JE, Mortrud MT, Brown A, Rodriguez SS, Weller JR, Wright AC, Bergmann JE, Gaitanaris GA (2003). The G protein-coupled receptor repertoires of human and mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:4903-4908.

Vazquez MJ, Rodriguez B, Zapatero C, Tewb DG (2003). Determination of phosphate in nanomolar range by an enzyme-coupling fluorescent method. Anal Biochem 320:292–298.

Vogt W (1963). Pharamacologically active acidic phospholipids and glycolipids. Biochem Pharmacol 12:415-420.

Vose SC, Fujioka K, Gulevich AG, Lin AY, Holland NT, Casida JE (2008). Cellular function of neuropathy target esterase in lysophosphatidylcholine action. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 232:376-383.

Waeber C, Chiu M L (1999). In vitro autoradiographic visualization of guanosine-5'-O-(3-[35S]thio)triphosphate binding stimulated by sphingosine 1-phosphate and lysophosphatidic acid. J Neurochem 73:1212–1221.

Waeber C, Moskowitz MA (1997). 5-Hydroxytryptamine1A and 5-hydroxytryptamine1B receptors stimulate [<sup>35</sup>S]guanosine-5'-O-(3-thio)triphosphate binding to rodent brain sections as visualized by in vitro autoradiography. Mol Pharmacol 52:623-631.

Waggoner DW, Gomez-Munoz A, Dewald J, Brindley DN (1996). Phosphatidate phosphohydrolase catalyzes the hydrolysis of ceramide 1-phosphate, lysophosphatidate, and sphingosine 1-phosphate. J Biol Chem 271:16506–16509.

Walter L, Franklin A, Witting A, Wade C, Xie Y, Kunos G, Mackie K, Stella N (2003). Nonpsychotropic cannabinoid receptors regulate microglial cell migration. J Neurosci 23:1398-1405.

Walter L, Dinh T, Stella N (2004). ATP induces a rapid and pronounced increase in 2-arachidonoylglycerol production by astrocytes, a response limited by monoacylglycerol lipase. J Neurosci 24:8068-8074.

Wei BQ, Mikkelsen TS, McKinney MK, Lander ES, Cravatt BF (2006). A second fatty acid amide hydrolase with variable distribution among placental mammals. J Biol Chem 281:36569-36578.

Weiland T, Jakobs KH (1994). Measurement of receptor-stimulated guanosine 5'-O-(gamma-thio) triphosphate binding by G proteins. Methods Enzymol 237:3-13.

Weiner JA, Hecht JH, Chun J (1998). Lysophosphatidic acid receptor gene vzg-1/lpA1/edg-2 is expressed by mature oligodendrocytes during myelination in the postnatal murine brain. J Comp Neurol 398:587–598.

Wennerberg K, Der CJ (2004). Rho-family GTPases: it's not only Rac and Rho (and I like it). J Cell Sci 117:1301-1312.

Witting A, Walter L, Wacker J, Möller T, Stella N (2004). P2X7 receptors control 2-arachidonoylglycerol production by microglial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:3214-3219.

Wong SK (2003). G protein selectivity is regulated by multiple intracellular regions of GPCRs. Neurosignals 12:1-12.

Woodward DF, Jones RL, Narumiya S (2011). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXXIII: classification of prostanoid receptors, updating 15 years of progress. Pharmacol Rev 63:471-538.

Worley PF, Baraban JM, Van Dop C, Neer EJ, Snyder SH (1986). G<sub>o</sub>, a guanine nucleotide-binding protein: immunohistochemical localization in rat brain resembles distribution of second messenger systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:4561-4565.

Wu X, Han L, Zhang X, Li L, Jiang C, Qiu Y, Huang R, Xie B, Lin Z, Ren J, Fu J (2012). Alteration of endocannabinoid system in human gliomas. J Neurochem 120:842-849.

Xiao YJ, Schwartz B, Washington M, Kennedy A, Webster K, Belinson J, Xu Y (2001). Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry analysis of lysophospholipids in human ascitic fluids: comparison of the lysophospholipid contents in malignant vs nonmalignant ascitic fluids. Anal Biochem 290:302-313.

Xu Y, Fang XJ, Casey G, Mills GB (1995). Lysophospholipids activate ovarian and breast cancer cells. Biochem J 309:933-940.

Xu Y, Shen Z, Wiper DW, Wu M, Morton RE, Elson P, Kennedy AW, Belinson J, Markman M, Casey G (1998). Lysophosphatidic acid as a potential biomarker for ovarian and other gynecologic cancers. JAMA 280:719-723.

Yang SY, Lee J, Park CG, Kim S, Hong S, Chung HC, Min SK, Han JW, Lee HW, Lee HY (2002). Expression of autotaxin (NPP-2) is closely linked to invasiveness of breast cancer cells. Clin Exp Metastasis 19:603-608.

Yarfitz SL, Running Deer JL, Froelick G, Colley NJ, Hurley JB (1994). In situ assay of light-stimulated G protein activity in Drosophila photoreceptor G protein beta mutants. J Biol Chem 269:30340-30344.

Ye X, Fukushima N, Kingsbury MA, Chun J (2002). Lysophosphatidic acid in neural signaling. Neuroreport 13:2169-2175.

Ye X, Hama K, Contos JJ, Anliker B, Inoue A, Skinner MK, Suzuki H, Amano T, Kennedy G, Arai H, Aoki J, Chun J (2005). LPA3-mediated lysophosphatidic acid signalling in embryo implantation and spacing. Nature 435:104-108.

Ye X (2008). Lysophospholipid signaling in the function and pathology of the reproductive system. Hum Reprod Update 14:519-536.

Ye RD, Boulay F, Wang JM, Dahlgren C, Gerard C, Parmentier M, Serhan CN, Murphy PM (2009). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIII. Nomenclature for the formyl peptide receptor (FPR) family. Pharmacol Rev 61:119-161.

Yoon HR, Kim H, Cho SH (2003). Quantitative analysis of acyl-lysophosphatidic acid in plasma using negative ionization tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 788:85-92.

Yoshida T, Fukaya M, Uchigashima M, Miura E, Kamiya H, Kano M, Watanabe M (2006). Localization of diacylglycerol lipase-alpha around postsynaptic spine suggests close proximity between production site of an endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol, and presynaptic cannabinoid CB1 receptor. J Neurosci 26:740-4751.

Yoshino H, Miyamae T, Hansen G, Zambrowicz B, Flynn M, Pedicord D, Blat Y, Westphal RS, Zaczek R, Lewis DA, Gonzalez-Burgos G (2011). Postsynaptic diacylglycerol lipase mediates retrograde endocannabinoid suppression of inhibition in mouse prefrontal cortex. J Physiol 589:4857-4884.

Yue J, Yokoyama K, Balazs L, Baker DL, Smalley D, Pilquil C, Brindley DN, Tigyi G (2004). Mice with transgenic overexpression of lipid phosphate phosphatase-1 display multiple organotypic deficits without alteration in circulating lysophosphatidate level. Cell Signal 16:385-399.

Zanettini C, Panlilio LV, Alicki M, Goldberg SR, Haller J, Yasar S (2011). Effects of endocannabinoid system modulation on cognitive and emotional behavior. Front Behav Neurosci 5:57.

Zarbin MA, Palacios JM, Wamsley JK, Kuhar MJ (1983). Axonal transport of beta-adrenergic receptors. Antero- and retrogradely transported receptors differ in agonist affinity and nucleotide sensitivity. Mol Pharmacol 24:341-348.

Zavitsanou K, Garrick T, Huang XF (2004). Selective antagonist [3H]SR141716A binding to cannabinoid CB1 receptors is increased in the anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 28:355-360.

Zhang N, Sundberg JP, Gridley T (2000a). Mice mutant for Ppap2c, a homolog of the germ cell migration regulator wunen, are viable and fertile. Genesis 27:137–140.

Zhang QX, Pilquil CS, Dewald J, Berthiaume LG, Brindley DN (2000b). Identification of structurally important domains of lipid phosphate phosphatase-1: implications for its sites of action. Biochem J 345:181-184.

Zhang C, Baker DL, Yasuda S, Makarova N, Balazs L, Johnson LR, Marathe GK, McIntyre TM, Xu Y, Prestwich GD, Byun HS, Bittman R, Tigyi G (2004). Lysophosphatidic acid induces neointima formation through PPARgamma activation. J Exp Med 199:763-774.

Zhang R, Xie X (2012). Tools for GPCR drug discovery. Acta Pharmacol Sin 33:372-384.

Zhao Z, Xu Y (2009). Measurement of endogenous lysophosphatidic acid by ESI-MS/MS in plasma samples requires pre-separation of lysophosphatidylcholine. J Chromatogr B 877 3739-3742.

Zhao Y, Natarajan V (2013). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and its receptors: Role in airway inflammation and remodeling. Biochim Biophys Acta 1831:86-92.

Zimmer A, Zimmer AM, Hohmann AG, Herkenham M, Bonner TI (1999). Increased mortality, hypoactivity, and hypoalgesia in cannabinoid CB1 receptor knockout mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:5780-5785

Zygmunt PM, Petersson J, Andersson DA, Chuang H, Sørgård M, Di Marzo V, Julius D, Högestätt ED (1999). Vanilloid receptors on sensory nerves mediate the vasodilator action of anandamide. Nature 400:452-457.

## NIINA AALTONEN

Functional Autoradiography as a Pharmacological Approach for Studying G Protein-Coupled Lipid Receptor Signalling

Bioactive lipids act as important signalling molecules both in the central nervous system and the periphery. Bioactive lipids are produced by multistep enzymatic pathways and after they exert their effect by activating their specific receptors, they are rapidly enzymatically degraded. Altered lipid signalling is linked to the pathology of several serious diseases. In the present study, functional autoradiography was applied in a novel way to examine the enzymatic pathways that synthesize and degrade signalling lipids in brain sections.



Publications of the University of Eastern Finland Dissertations in Health Sciences

ISBN 978-952-61-1280-0