

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham
Research at Birmingham

Laboratory Monitoring of P2Y12 Inhibitors: a Position Statement of the Platelet Physiology Scientific and Standardization Committee

Frelinger, Andrew L; Gachet, Christian; Mumford, Andrew; Noris, Patrizia; Mezzano, Diego; Harrison, Paul; Gresele, P

DOI:

doi.org/10.1111/jth.14282

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Frelinger, AL, Gachet, C, Mumford, A, Noris, P, Mezzano, D, Harrison, P & Gresele, P 2018, 'Laboratory Monitoring of P2Y12 Inhibitors: a Position Statement of the Platelet Physiology Scientific and Standardization Committee', *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH*, vol. 16, pp. 2374-2346. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1111/jth.14282>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Frelinger et al (2018), Laboratory monitoring of PSY12 inhibitors: communication from the SSC of the ISTH, in *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, Vol 16, 2341-2346, which has been published in final form at doi.org/10.1111/jth.14282. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Laboratory Monitoring of P2Y₁₂ Inhibitors: a Position Statement of the Platelet Physiology Scientific and Standardization Committee.

Running title: Monitoring of P2Y₁₂ Inhibitors

Andrew L. Frelinger III¹, Christian Gachet², Andrew D. Mumford³, Patrizia Noris⁴, Diego Mezzano⁵, Paul Harrison⁶, Paolo Gresele⁷

1. Center for Platelet Research Studies, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Boston Children's Hospital, Dana-Farber/Boston Children's Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Boston, MA, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA 02115
2. UMR-S1255 INSERM, Université de Strasbourg, Etablissement Français du Sang-Grand Est, Strasbourg, France.
3. School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom.
4. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pavia-IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy
5. School of Medicine, P. Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
6. Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.
7. Department of Medicine, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

Corresponding author: Andrew L. Frelinger III, Ph.D., Associate Director, Center for Platelet Research Studies, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Boston Children's Hospital, Karp 07212, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115-5737, USA. Telephone: +1-617-919-2537. Fax: +1-617-730-4632. e-mail: Andrew.Frelinger@childrens.harvard.edu.

Disclosures:

ALF: Research support or investigator on studies supported by research grants from Baxalta, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Eli Lilly/Daiichi Sankyo, GE Healthcare, GL Synthesis, Ionis, Ironwood, Pfizer, Sysmex, TIMI Study Group/Astra Zeneca. ADM: Research support from Astra Zeneca.

Word count of main text: 1446 words (max 1500)

Refs: 30 (max 30)

Tab/Fig: 1 Table, 1 Figure (max 2)

Keywords: bleeding, clopidogrel, monitoring, prasugrel, recommendations, thrombosis, ticagrelor

Abbreviations:

AU = aggregation units

ACS = acute coronary syndromes

BMS = bare metal stent

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft

CAM = clopidogrel active metabolite

DAPT = dual antiplatelet therapy

DES = drug-eluting stent

HPR = high on-treatment platelet reactivity

LPR = low on-treatment platelet reactivity

LTA = light transmission aggregometry

MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event

NSTE-ACS = Non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes

NSTEMI = non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction

PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention

PFT = platelet function testing

PRI = platelet reactivity index

PRU = platelet reaction units

STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

SIHD = stable ischemic heart disease

TEG = thromboelastograph

VASP = vasodilator stimulated phosphoprotein

Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ platelet adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor antagonist reduces ischemic events in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) but also increases bleeding [1-3]. Residual high on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR) and low on-treatment platelet reactivity (LPR) in response to P2Y₁₂ receptor stimulation, as measured by different platelet function testing (PFT) methodologies, are associated with increased risk for ischemic and bleeding outcomes, respectively (see [4, 5] and the references contained therein for descriptions of PFT assays and definitions of HPR and LPR), suggesting that altering antiplatelet therapy based on PFT would reduce adverse events. Small randomized and non-randomized studies demonstrated a reduction in ischemic events when P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy was modified if PFT indicated HPR (guided therapy) [6, 7]. However, larger randomized controlled trials, using different PFT methods and different therapeutic strategies, demonstrated no improved outcome with vs. without guided therapy [8-11]. PFT has also been proposed as a means to determine when platelet function has recovered sufficiently to enable surgery with minimum bleeding risk following P2Y₁₂ inhibitor withdrawal [12] and more recently to guide de-escalation therapy in ACS patients treated with PCI [13]. Goals of this position statement are to provide expert opinion on the utility of laboratory monitoring of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors to reduce ischemic and bleeding events in patients on DAPT and to guide timing of surgery if needed in P2Y₁₂ inhibitor-treated patients.

Clopidogrel is a second generation (after ticlopidine) thienopyridine oral antiplatelet drug which inhibits ADP-induced platelet aggregation and decreases major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) when combined with aspirin, compared to aspirin alone [1]. Clopidogrel requires conversion by cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes to an active metabolite (CAM) which irreversibly inhibits platelet P2Y₁₂ [14].

CYP gene variants influence production of CAM and the pharmacodynamic response to the drug [15]. Loss of function alleles leading to reduced generation of CAM (e.g. CYP2C19*2) have been associated with poor clinical outcomes [16, 17] leading the Food and Drug Administration to issue a boxed warning advising that clopidogrel's effectiveness may be diminished in CYP2C19*2 carriers. Although CYP2C19 variants account for more than 10% of the variability to clopidogrel, other factors may contribute significantly to the variation in clopidogrel responsiveness, including non-adherence, underdosing, poor absorption, co-medications (atorvastatin, proton pump inhibitors, calcium antagonists), accelerated platelet turnover, inflammation and underlying platelet hyperreactivity. Thus, demonstration of HPR, the net effect of all of these factors, potentially offers a better predictive marker than these individual factors in clopidogrel-treated patients [18].

Newer P2Y₁₂ inhibitors (e.g. prasugrel and ticagrelor) produce greater inhibition of ADP-dependent platelet function and decrease MACE to a greater extent than clopidogrel [2, 3]. Prasugrel, a third generation thienopyridine compound, is, like clopidogrel, a prodrug. However, prasugrel's metabolism to active drug is independent of CYP2C19 and **the possibility of mutations in this metabolic pathway that could influence platelet inhibition** have been previously addressed [19]. In contrast to clopidogrel and prasugrel, ticagrelor, a direct P2Y₁₂ antagonist, is inherently active and thus is unaffected by CYP polymorphisms [20]. Clopidogrel-treated patients with HPR show significantly greater inhibition when switched to prasugrel or ticagrelor [21, 22]. Nevertheless, even with these antiplatelet agents on-treatment platelet reactivity is variable, albeit less than with clopidogrel [21, 22], thus their clinical benefit may be reduced in patients with HPR [5]. Moreover, unfortunately a significant fraction of the studies on the clinical efficacy of PFT-guided antiplatelet therapy have been performed by increasing clopidogrel dose and not by switching to prasugrel or ticagrelor [6-8, 10]. Thus, there is a need for such studies to be undertaken. Arguments against P2Y₁₂ monitoring include cost, variability in individual on-

treatment platelet responsiveness profile, the availability of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors with reduced variability, and the potential use of risk scores [23] to stratify patients.

P2Y₁₂-monitoring can be potentially useful in three situations: 1) to assess risk of thrombosis or bleeding in patients treated with P2Y₁₂ inhibitors, 2) to guide antiplatelet therapy, 3) to determine the optimum timing of surgery following P2Y₁₂ inhibitor discontinuation.

There is general consensus that HPR has a negative prognostic value for MACE in P2Y₁₂ inhibitors-treated patients [5] and, although less certain, a predictive value for bleeding [4, 5]. Until 2018, no large randomized clinical trial had demonstrated improved clinical outcomes with PFT-guided antiplatelet therapy [8-11]. However, the recent CREATIVE trial [24] showed that intensification of antiplatelet therapy (addition of cilostazol) in clopidogrel plus aspirin-treated PCI patients with HPR, as measured by thromboelastography, significantly improved clinical outcomes (hazard ratio 0.55, 95% CI 0.35-0.87) without increasing bleeding. Thus, despite these encouraging results, until this recent finding is replicated, consistent with previous guidelines [25], we believe that this strategy cannot be recommended at this time (Table 1). Given that the newer P2Y₁₂ inhibitors provide greater platelet inhibition and reduce ischemic outcomes compared to clopidogrel, using these agents in patients at high risk for ischemic events without P2Y₁₂-monitoring is reasonable and potentially more cost effective than repeated testing. However, improved efficacy is associated with enhanced bleeding and limitations exist to the use of prasugrel [2, 3]; moreover, HPR is still observed and is associated with increased risk of ischemic outcomes [5]. Recently, monitoring prasugrel-treated elderly patients undergoing PCI for ACS was not found to be superior to conventional treatment with respect to both ischemic and bleeding outcomes [11]. Several explanations have been put forth for the failure of large randomized controlled trials to demonstrate improved clinical outcomes with PFT-guided antiplatelet therapy. HPR might be a non-modifiable risk factor and/or PFT may not affect prognostic factors, such as adherence to treatment, procedure-related technical factors,

or coexisting conditions influencing platelet reactivity [10]. Nevertheless, given that platelets contribute to arterial thrombosis, greater inhibition of platelet function is predicted to result in reduction of MACE. Thus, it has been alternatively proposed [26] that some previous studies may have been flawed with respect to one or more of the following: a) study design (e.g. sample size, definition of clinical endpoints), b) patient selection (low vs. high risk), c) PFT issues (poor predictive value, incorrect cut-off, improper timing), d) inability of alternative therapy to overcome HPR. The CREATIVE trial may be an example of an appropriate combination of PFT and choice of intensified antiplatelet therapy leading to improved outcomes.[24] Whether optimizing additional parameters would result in improved clinical outcomes with P2Y₁₂-monitoring is unknown, but the results of the CREATIVE trial, registry studies [27] and model-based analyses [28] suggests this approach deserves additional testing.

Whether P2Y₁₂-monitoring can be of assistance in deciding on DAPT duration has not been assessed. The treatment algorithm for duration of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy suggests that in NSTEMI-ACS or STEMI patients treated with medical therapy or PCI, P2Y₁₂ inhibitor should be maintained for up to 12 months, and thereafter it may be reasonable to continue it if risk of bleeding is not high [29]. Likewise, in patients with stable ischemic heart disease treated with stenting it is reasonable to continue P2Y₁₂ inhibitor beyond 1 or 6 months (for BMS or DES, respectively) if risk of bleeding is not high. Long duration ticagrelor 60mg or 90mg twice daily significantly reduced ischemic outcomes [30] and virtually eliminated HPR [31]. However, major bleeding was also increased in these patients, highlighting the need to consider the balance between increased risk of non-fatal bleeding associated with LPR relative to the reduced risk of fatal and non-fatal ischaemic events. While studies have shown a connection between risk of bleeding and LPR [4, 5], prospective evaluation in clinical trials is required to establish whether PFT may guide duration of DAPT. Most recently, a large randomized trial has shown that a strategy of early PFT-guided de-escalation to clopidogrel is non-inferior to standard treatment with prasugrel in patients with ACS managed with PCI [13], suggesting that PFT may be useful

in patients not suitable for prolonged therapy with potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors.

Worldwide over three million patients undergo PCI each year, >90% with stenting, and it is estimated that $\geq 5\%$ will need non-cardiac surgery within the first year. Current guidelines state that in patients who require non-emergency major non-cardiac surgery, postponing surgery for at least 5 days after cessation of ticagrelor or clopidogrel, and 7 days for prasugrel should be considered unless the patient is at high risk of ischemic events [32]. Nevertheless, shortening the delay to surgery is often highly desirable. PFT demonstrated variation between individuals in the time required to recover platelet function following P2Y₁₂ inhibitor discontinuation, and prospective studies showed that a strategy based on preoperative PFT reduced post-operative bleeding and blood consumption and/or shortened waiting time [33, 34]. These results suggest it may be reasonable to decide about surgical timing based on PFT.

Conclusions and recommendations

Recommendations were based on a multistep consensus process (Figure 1).

PFT cannot at present be recommended to guide P2Y₁₂ inhibitor choice or select patients most likely to benefit of prolonged antiplatelet treatment but may be considered in deciding an early de-escalation from prasugrel to clopidogrel in patients considered not suitable for prolonged prasugrel therapy (Table 1).

It is reasonable, in patients requiring surgery, to consider the results of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring to determine the timing of surgery (Table 1). New, larger, prospective studies are however warranted to confirm PFT usefulness.

While present evidence does not support PFT-guided antiplatelet therapy, limitations of the studies performed, differences in cost between generic clopidogrel and newer P2Y₁₂ antagonists, and the enhanced bleeding risk of the latter continue to motivate clinical research on this subject. Critical issues in future studies include study design (particularly sample size

and control groups), choice of high risk populations, appropriate selection of monitoring test and cut off, appropriate timing of (and possibly repeated) testing and switching to alternative therapy (i.e. within days rather than weeks of stent placement), and clearly defined clinical efficacy outcomes.

A critical issue remains the most appropriate PFT method: limitations of currently used techniques urge further research on new methods.

Addendum

A.L. Frelinger, C. Gachet, P. Harrison, and P. Gresele conceived the project, A.L. Frelinger wrote the manuscript, P. Gresele, C. Gachet, A.D. Mumford, P. Noris, D. Mezzano, and P. Harrison, provided critical comments and revisions, and all authors have approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Platelet Physiology SSC speakers on this topic, M. Cattaneo, B. Jilma, U. Tantry; and past and present Platelet Physiology SSC members, H. Deckmyn, M. Lordkipidanidzé, M. Jandrot-Perrus, S. Kunishima, and J Rivera.

Table 1. Position Statement of the Platelet Physiology Scientific and Standardization Committee on the Laboratory Monitoring of P2Y₁₂ Inhibitors

Recommendation	Class ^a	Level ^b	Refs.
P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring to assess risk for bleeding or thrombosis during prolonged DAPT			
HPR and LPR determined by P2Y ₁₂ -monitoring as described in [4, 5] are associated with risk for ischemic and hemorrhagic events (respectively) and therefore may be considered in the overall management of patients. Optimal timing and frequency of this monitoring is unclear.	IIa	A	[4, 5]
P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring to adjust P2Y₁₂ inhibitor dose or adjust P2Y₁₂ inhibitor selection			
Monitoring P2Y ₁₂ inhibition for the purpose of guiding the intensity of antiplatelet therapy is not recommended. Monitoring P2Y ₁₂ inhibition for the purpose of guiding the duration of DAPT is not recommended.	IIb	B	[8-10, 24]
P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring for early de-escalation from prasugrel to clopidogrel in patients considered not suitable for prolonged prasugrel therapy			
Monitoring P2Y ₁₂ inhibition may be considered for early de-escalation from prasugrel to clopidogrel in patients considered not suitable for prolonged prasugrel therapy	IIb	B	[13]
P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring to shorten the time window to surgery following P2Y₁₂ inhibitor discontinuation			
It is reasonable, in balancing the risk of thrombosis during a delay to surgery with the risk of surgical bleeding, to consider the results of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor monitoring to determine the timing of surgery.	IIa	B	[33, 34]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A cut-off of TEG MA_{ADP} >50 is recommended if this test is available. A cut-off of PFA-100® P2Y CT <106 seconds is recommended if this test is available. 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For other P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monitoring tests, cut-offs with respect to CABG bleeding have not been established. However, it may be reasonable to consider proceeding to surgery if platelet reactivity is >80% that seen in P2Y₁₂ inhibitor-free patients. 	IIb	C	[33, 34]

^aClass of recommendation: IIa, weight of evidence/opinion is in favor of usefulness/efficacy; IIb, usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion; III, evidence or general agreement that the given treatment or procedure is not useful/effective, and in some cases may be harmful

^bLevel of evidence: A, data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses; B, data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large non-randomized studies; C, consensus of opinion of the experts and/or small studies, retrospective studies, registries.

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; HPR, high on-treatment platelet reactivity; LPR, low on-treatment platelet reactivity; PFA, platelet function analyzer; TEG, thromboelastograph

References

1. Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, Malmberg K, Rupprecht H, Zhao F, Chrolavicius S, Copland I, Fox KA, Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events Trial Investigators. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. *Lancet*. 2001; **358**: 527-33.
2. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, Neumann FJ, Ardissino D, De Servi S, Murphy SA, Riesmeyer J, Weerakkody G, Gibson CM, Antman EM, TRITON-TIMI Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; **357**: 2001-15.
10.1056/NEJMoa0706482.
3. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, Horrow J, Husted S, James S, Katus H, Mahaffey KW, Scirica BM, Skene A, Steg PG, Storey RF, Harrington RA, Investigators P, Freij A, Thorsen M. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; **361**: 1045-57.
10.1056/NEJMoa0904327.
4. Cuisset T, Grosdidier C, Loundou AD, Quilici J, Loosveld M, Camoin L, Pankert M, Beguin S, Lambert M, Morange PE, Bonnet JL, Alessi MC. Clinical implications of very low on-treatment platelet reactivity in patients treated with thienopyridine: the POBA study (predictor of bleedings with antiplatelet drugs). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013; **6**: 854-63. 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.04.009.
5. Aradi D, Kirtane A, Bonello L, Gurbel PA, Tantry US, Huber K, Freynhofer MK, ten Berg J, Janssen P, Angiolillo DJ, Siller-Matula JM, Marcucci R, Patti G, Mangiacapra F, Valgimigli M, Morel O, Palmerini T, Price MJ, Cuisset T, Kastrati A, Stone GW, Sibbing D. Bleeding and stent thrombosis on P2Y₁₂-inhibitors: collaborative analysis on the role

- of platelet reactivity for risk stratification after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J.* 2015; **36**: 1762-71. 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv104.
6. Bonello L, Camoin-Jau L, Arques S, Boyer C, Panagides D, Wittenberg O, Simeoni MC, Barragan P, Dignat-George F, Paganelli F. Adjusted clopidogrel loading doses according to vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation index decrease rate of major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with clopidogrel resistance: a multicenter randomized prospective study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; **51**: 1404-11. 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.12.044.
 7. Siller-Matula JM, Francesconi M, Dechant C, Jilma B, Maurer G, Delle-Karth G, Gouya G, Ruzicka K, Podczeck-Schweighofer A, Christ G. Personalized antiplatelet treatment after percutaneous coronary intervention: the MADONNA study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013; **167**: 2018-23. 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.05.040.
 8. Price MJ, Berger PB, Teirstein PS, Tanguay JF, Angiolillo DJ, Spriggs D, Puri S, Robbins M, Garratt KN, Bertrand OF, Stillabower ME, Aragon JR, Kandzari DE, Stinis CT, Lee MS, Manoukian SV, Cannon CP, Schork NJ, Topol EJ, Gravitas Investigators. Standard- vs high-dose clopidogrel based on platelet function testing after percutaneous coronary intervention: the GRAVITAS randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2011; **305**: 1097-105. 10.1001/jama.2011.290.
 9. Trenk D, Stone GW, Gawaz M, Kastrati A, Angiolillo DJ, Muller U, Richardt G, Jakubowski JA, Neumann FJ. A randomized trial of prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with high platelet reactivity on clopidogrel after elective percutaneous coronary intervention with implantation of drug-eluting stents: results of the TRIGGER-PCI (Testing Platelet Reactivity In Patients Undergoing Elective Stent Placement on Clopidogrel to Guide Alternative Therapy With Prasugrel) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012; **59**: 2159-64. 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.026.

10. Collet JP, Cuisset T, Range G, Cayla G, Elhadad S, Pouillot C, Henry P, Motreff P, Carrie D, Boueri Z, Belle L, Van Belle E, Rousseau H, Aubry P, Monsegu J, Sabouret P, O'Connor SA, Abtan J, Kerneis M, Saint-Etienne C, Barthelemy O, Beygui F, Silvain J, Vicaut E, Montalescot G, ARCTIC Investigators. Bedside monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy for coronary stenting. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; **367**: 2100-9. 10.1056/NEJMoa1209979.
11. Cayla G, Cuisset T, Silvain J, Leclercq F, Manzo-Silberman S, Saint-Etienne C, Delarche N, Bellemain-Appaix A, Range G, El Mahmoud R, Carrie D, Belle L, Souteyrand G, Aubry P, Sabouret P, du Fretay XH, Beygui F, Bonnet JL, Lattuca B, Pouillot C, Varenne O, Boueri Z, Van Belle E, Henry P, Motreff P, Elhadad S, Salem JE, Abtan J, Rousseau H, Collet JP, Vicaut E, Montalescot G, ANTARCTIC Investigators. Platelet function monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy in elderly patients stented for an acute coronary syndrome (ANTARCTIC): an open-label, blinded-endpoint, randomised controlled superiority trial. *Lancet.* 2016; **388**: 2015-22. 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31323-X.
12. Leunissen TC, Janssen PW, Ten Berg JM, Moll FL, Korporaal SJ, de Borst GJ, Pasterkamp G, Urbanus RT. The use of platelet reactivity testing in patients on antiplatelet therapy for prediction of bleeding events after cardiac surgery. *Vascular pharmacology.* 2016; **77**: 19-27. 10.1016/j.vph.2015.12.002.
13. Sibbing D, Aradi D, Jacobshagen C, Gross L, Trenk D, Geisler T, Orban M, Hadamitzky M, Merkely B, Kiss RG, Komocsi A, Dezsai CA, Holdt L, Felix SB, Parma R, Klopotoski M, Schwinger RHG, Rieber J, Huber K, Neumann FJ, Koltowski L, Mehilli J, Huczek Z, Massberg S, TROPICAL-ACS Investigators. Guided de-escalation of antiplatelet treatment in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (TROPICAL-ACS): a randomised, open-label, multicentre trial. *Lancet.* 2017; **390**: 1747-57. 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32155-4.

14. Savi P, Pereillo JM, Uzabiaga MF, Combalbert J, Picard C, Maffrand JP, Pascal M, Herbert JM. Identification and biological activity of the active metabolite of clopidogrel. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000; **84**: 891-6.
15. Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, Shen L, Hockett RD, Brandt JT, Walker JR, Antman EM, Macias W, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS. Cytochrome p-450 polymorphisms and response to clopidogrel. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; **360**: 354-62. 10.1056/NEJMoa0809171.
16. Collet JP, Hulot JS, Pena A, Villard E, Esteve JB, Silvain J, Payot L, Brugier D, Cayla G, Beygui F, Bensimon G, Funck-Brentano C, Montalescot G. Cytochrome P450 2C19 polymorphism in young patients treated with clopidogrel after myocardial infarction: a cohort study. *Lancet.* 2009; **373**: 309-17. 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61845-0.
17. Sibbing D, Stegherr J, Latz W, Koch W, Mehilli J, Dorrler K, Morath T, Schomig A, Kastrati A, von Beckerath N. Cytochrome P450 2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism and stent thrombosis following percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J.* 2009; **30**: 916-22. 10.1093/eurheartj/ehp041.
18. Siller-Matula JM, Delle-Karth G, Lang IM, Neunteufl T, Kozinski M, Kubica J, Maurer G, Linkowska K, Grzybowski T, Huber K, Jilma B. Phenotyping vs. genotyping for prediction of clopidogrel efficacy and safety: the PEGASUS-PCI study. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2012; **10**: 529-42. 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04639.x.
19. Farid NA, Kurihara A, Wrighton SA. Metabolism and disposition of the thienopyridine antiplatelet drugs ticlopidine, clopidogrel, and prasugrel in humans. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2010; **50**: 126-42. 10.1177/0091270009343005.
20. Teng R, Oliver S, Hayes MA, Butler K. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of ticagrelor in healthy subjects. *Drug Metab Dispos.* 2010; **38**: 1514-21. 10.1124/dmd.110.032250.
21. Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, O'Donoghue M, Neumann FJ, Michelson AD, Angiolillo DJ, Hod H, Montalescot G, Miller DL, Jakubowski JA, Cairns R, Murphy SA,

- McCabe CH, Antman EM, Braunwald E, PRINCIPLE-TIMI Investigators. Prasugrel compared with high loading- and maintenance-dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the Prasugrel in Comparison to Clopidogrel for Inhibition of Platelet Activation and Aggregation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 44 trial. *Circulation*. 2007; **116**: 2923-32. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.740324.
22. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Butler K, Antonino MJ, Wei C, Teng R, Rasmussen L, Storey RF, Nielsen T, Eikelboom JW, Sabe-Affaki G, Husted S, Kereiakes DJ, Henderson D, Patel DV, Tantry US. Response to ticagrelor in clopidogrel nonresponders and responders and effect of switching therapies: the RESPOND study. *Circulation*. 2010; **121**: 1188-99. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.919456.
23. Costa F, Tijssen JG, Ariotti S, Giatti S, Moscarella E, Guastaroba P, De Palma R, Ando G, Oreto G, Zijlstra F, Valgimigli M. Incremental Value of the CRUSADE, ACUITY, and HAS-BLED Risk Scores for the Prediction of Hemorrhagic Events After Coronary Stent Implantation in Patients Undergoing Long or Short Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015; **4**. 10.1161/JAHA.115.002524.
24. Tang YD, Wang W, Yang M, Zhang K, Chen J, Qiao S, Yan H, Wu Y, Huang X, Xu B, Gao R, Yang Y, Investigators C. Randomized Comparisons of Double-Dose Clopidogrel or Adjunctive Cilostazol Versus Standard Dual Antiplatelet in Patients With High Posttreatment Platelet Reactivity: Results of the CREATIVE Trial. *Circulation*. 2018; **137**: 2231-45. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030190.
25. Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, Bax JJ, Borger MA, Brotons C, Chew DP, Gencer B, Hasenfuss G, Kjeldsen K, Lancellotti P, Landmesser U, Mehilli J, Mukherjee D, Storey RF, Windecker S, Baumgartner H, Gaemperli O, Achenbach S, Agewall S, Badimon L, Baigent C, Bueno H, Bugiardini R, Carerj S, Casselman F, Cuisset T, Erol C, Fitzsimons D, Halle M, Hamm C, Hildick-Smith D, Huber K, Iliodromitis E, James S, Lewis BS, Lip GY, Piepoli MF, Richter D, Rosemann

- T, Sechtem U, Steg PG, Vrints C, Luis Zamorano J, Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J*. 2016; **37**: 267-315. 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv320.
26. Gurbel PA, Tantry US. Antiplatelet therapy: What have we learned from the ANTARCTIC trial? *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2016; **13**: 639-40. 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.167.
27. Aradi D, Tornyo A, Pinter T, Vorobcsuk A, Konyi A, Falukozy J, Veress G, Magyari B, Horvath IG, Komocsi A. Optimizing P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibition in patients with acute coronary syndrome on the basis of platelet function testing: impact of prasugrel and high-dose clopidogrel. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; **63**: 1061-70. 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.12.023.
28. Straub N, Beivers A, Lenk E, Aradi D, Sibbing D. A model-based analysis of the clinical and economic impact of personalising P2Y₁₂-receptor inhibition with platelet function testing in acute coronary syndrome patients. *Thromb Haemost*. 2014; **111**: 290-9. 10.1160/TH13-08-0679.
29. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, Granger CB, Lange RA, Mack MJ, Mauri L, Mehran R, Mukherjee D, Newby LK, O'Gara PT, Sabatine MS, Smith PK, Smith SC, Jr. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline Focused Update on Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC

- Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA Guideline on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Management of Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. *Circulation*. 2016; **134**: e123-55. 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000404.
30. Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Jensen EC, Magnani G, Bansilal S, Fish MP, Im K, Bengtsson O, Ophuis TO, Budaj A, Theroux P, Ruda M, Hamm C, Goto S, Spinar J, Nicolau JC, Kiss RG, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Held P, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS, Committee P-TS, Investigators. Long-Term Use of Ticagrelor in Patients with Prior Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2015. 10.1056/NEJMoa1500857.
 31. Storey RF, Angiolillo DJ, Bonaca MP, Thomas MR, Judge HM, Rollini F, Franchi F, Ahsan AJ, Bhatt DL, Kuder JF, Steg PG, Cohen M, Muthusamy R, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS. Platelet Inhibition With Ticagrelor 60 mg Versus 90 mg Twice Daily in the PEGASUS-TIMI 54 Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016; **67**: 1145-54. 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.12.062.
 32. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, Filippatos G, Hamm C, Head SJ, Juni P, Kappetein AP, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Landmesser U, Laufer G, Neumann FJ, Richter DJ, Schauerte P, Sousa Uva M, Stefanini GG, Taggart DP, Torracca L, Valgimigli M, Wijns W, Witkowski A. 2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J*. 2014; **35**: 2541-619. 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu278.
 33. Ranucci M, Baryshnikova E, Soro G, Ballotta A, De Benedetti D, Conti D, for the Surgical and Clinical Outcome Research (SCORE) Group. Multiple electrode whole-

blood aggregometry and bleeding in cardiac surgery patients receiving thienopyridines.

Ann Thorac Surg. 2011; **91**: 123-9. 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2010.09.022.

34. Mahla E, Suarez TA, Bliden KP, Rehak P, Metzler H, Sequeira AJ, Cho P, Sell J, Fan J, Antonino MJ, Tantry US, Gurbel PA. Platelet function measurement-based strategy to reduce bleeding and waiting time in clopidogrel-treated patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: the timing based on platelet function strategy to reduce clopidogrel-associated bleeding related to CABG (TARGET-CABG) study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012; **5**: 261-9. 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.111.967208.