Using multilevel systematic sampling to study apple fruit (Malus domestica Borkh.) quality and its variability at the orchard scale - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

Using multilevel systematic sampling to study apple fruit (Malus domestica Borkh.) quality and its variability at the orchard scale

We report on the performance of a novel sampling method for determining fruit quality variability and yield from an orchard, which focus on its applicability for the fruit industry. We used the 'fractionator' tree sampling method to investigate the quality variability of a small, representative sample of 'Granny Smith' (Malus x domestica cv. 'Granny Smith') apples obtained from a 17 ha orchard based on a final sample of 74 fruit. Estimates of fruit marketable yield and fruit size distribution agreed well with packing house records. The estimated marketable yield was 356.6 ± 89.2 t compared to 374.9 t of fruit packed for export. Distributions of starch (S), soluble solids content (SSC) and flesh firmness (F) were also estimated from the sample. The distribution of starch (S) and fruit mass (M) showed high variability (CVS = SD/mean = 0.32 and CVM = 0.23), whereas SSC and flesh firmness showed moderate variability (CVSSC = 0.11 and CVF = 0.10). The average within-tree variabilities were estimated as CVM = 0.04, CVSSC = 0.10, CVS = 0.15 and CVF = 0.07. Between-tree variabilities were similar to the within-tree variabilities, except for starch (CVtM = 0.04, CVtSSC = 0.13, CVtS = 0.29 and CVtF = 0.09). From the quality characteristics studied only fruit mass could be significantly related to position of the fruit in the canopy, represented by height of the fruit above ground, the fruit position along the branch and position relative to the tree row orientation in the orchard. Variations in starch, SSC and flesh firmness could not be explained by position of the fruit in the canopy. The methods used in this paper are proposed as tools for studies aimed at understanding sources of quality variability as well as for management purposes. Further research is needed to determine recommended sample sizes to accurately describe the distribution of various quality variables of apples at the orchard scale.

General information

State: Published Organisations: Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science , Statistics and Data Analysis, Dayenú Ltda, University of Copenhagen Authors: Martínez Vega, M. V. (Ekstern), Wulfsohn, D. (Ekstern), Clemmensen, L. K. H. (Intern), Toldam-Andersen, T. B. (Forskerdatabase) Pages: 58-64 Publication date: 2013 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Scientia Horticulturae Volume: 161 ISSN (Print): 0304-4238 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 1 Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2016): SJR 0.77 SNIP 1.246 CiteScore 2.03 BFI (2015): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.725 SNIP 1.365 CiteScore 1.84 BFI (2014): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 0.774 SNIP 1.445 CiteScore 1.82 BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.773 SNIP 1.445 CiteScore 2 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.913 SNIP 1.586 CiteScore 1.95 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.844 SNIP 1.608 CiteScore 1.93 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.725 SNIP 1.457 BFI (2009): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.789 SNIP 1.773 BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.699 SNIP 1.31 Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.599 SNIP 1.218 Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.521 SNIP 1.318 Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.542 SNIP 1.374 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.616 SNIP 1.438 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.583 SNIP 1.064 Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.552 SNIP 1.434 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.633 SNIP 1.037 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.545 SNIP 1.242 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.449 SNIP 0.891 Original language: English Flesh firmness, Fractionator, Harvest maturity, Granny Smith, Unbiased sampling, Yield DOIs: 10.1016/j.scienta.2013.06.020 Source: dtu Source-ID: n::oai:DTIC-ART:elsevier/392072779::31993 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2013