## **CHAPTER 5**

## EFFECTS OF WIND TURBINE FOUNDATIONS ON SURROUNDING MACROBENTHIC COMMUNITIES

#### LEFAIBLE Nene, BRAECKMAN Ulrike & MOENS Tom

Ghent University, Biology Department, Marine Biology Research Group, Krijgslaan 281, Campus Sterre – S8, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Corresponding author: nene.lefaible@ugent.be

### Abstract

The installation of artificial hard substrates such as wind turbines is likely to affect the surrounding environment. Fining and organic matter enrichment were observed around one gravity-based foundation on the Thornton Bank, but subsequent basic monitoring did not reveal any of these effects in the vicinity of the turbine types at Thorntonand Bligh Bank. It was suggested that effects are restricted to close distances (< 50 m) from the turbines and that impacts could differ between turbine types. Therefore, the sampling strategy within this study was adjusted by comparing far with very close locations (37.5 m). Our results confirm turbine-related effects at very close distances around jacket-based foundations at the Thornton Bank. Within very close samples, fining and enrichment of the sediment was detected together with higher macrofaunal densities, diversity and shifts in communities. In contrast, effects around monopile-based foundations at the Bligh Bank were less pronounced and a significant difference in community composition only was found between both distances. We suggest that these contrasting results might be due to a combination of site-specific dispersive capacities and structural differences between foundation types (jackets vs. monopiles) and their associated epifouling communities. Consequently, we recommend performing a targeted monitoring study comparing the three different turbine foundation types (monopiles, jackets and gravity-based foundations) used in the BPNS.

#### 1. Introduction

Currently, three offshore wind farms (OWF: C-Power, Belwind, Northwind) are operational within the concession zone for renewable energy in the eastern part of the Belgian part of the North Sea (BPNS) (Rumes & Brabant *et al.*2017). A fourth OWF will be constructed in close proximity to the coast in 2018-2019 by NV Norther.

The installation of artificial hard substrates in soft sediments could possibly affect the seafloor-inhabiting macrofauna communities. Macrobenthic communities play a crucial role in bentho-pelagic coupling and are considered an important food source for higher trophic species such as crabs and fish (Vandendriessche et al. 2015). Changes within these communities are therefore likely to alter overall food web energy flows (Colson et al. 2017; Danheim et al. 2014). Benthic communities are less sensitive to local-scale impacts in areas with high natural physical disturbance (Cooper et al. 2011). Therefore, short-term impacts through post-installation mortality are believed to be limited in the species-poor communities thriving in the



**Figure 1.** Three foundation types present in the Belgian part of the North Sea, from left to right: gravity based, jacket (both in C-Power) and monopile foundation (Belwind and planned in Norther) (Rumes *et al.* 2013).

highly dynamic offshore sediments of the BPNS (Van Hoey *et al.* 2004). Consecutive monitoring within two offshore wind farms (C-Power and Belwind) indeed demonstrated a relatively fast recovery (1-2 years) of the naturally occurring macrobenthic communities after wind farm construction (Reubens *et al.* 2009; Coates *et al.* 2014).

However, longer-term effects are expected. Fisheries exclusion in offshore wind farms may alter the marine environment at different levels (De Mesel et al. 2013; 2015; Reubens et al. 2013, 2014), including macrobenthic communities (Coates et al. 2016). In addition, the permanent presence of the wind turbines changes the physical properties of the surrounding habitat (De Backer et al. 2014). Vertical structures in the water column alter local hydrodynamics and sediment transport, and induce higher shear stress (Baeye et al. 2015; Barros et al. 2001). Abundant epifouling communities are known to colonize the foundations, thereby affecting the organic matter deposition to the sediment (De Mesel et al. 2013; Jak & Glorius 2017). Fining and organic matter enrichment of the sediment have

indeed been observed in close vicinity of one gravity-based foundation on the Thornton Bank (Coates et al. 2013). In the macrobenthic communities within the 50 m surrounding this specific gravity-based foundation, some typical hard substrate fauna was found, next to suspension-deposit feeding species usually observed in fine sandy and organic matter-rich sediments. The subsequent basic monitoring studies (C-Power and Belwind) thereafter did not show evidence of this fining and organic enrichment in the vicinity (50 m) of any of the turbine foundation types (Reubens et al. 2016; Colson et al. 2017). Results found by Reubens et al. (2016) were based on samples in C-Power, which mostly consist of jacket-based turbines. Hence, a possible reason for the contrasting results with Coates et al. (2013) was attributed to the differences in turbine foundation types being studied. Foundation types are mainly selected according to the environmental conditions (e.g., water depth and sediment type), together with production and installation costs, and other socio-economic considerations. OWF developers have hitherto used three different foundation types: gravity based, jacket and monopile foundations (fig. 1), each with different (pre-)construction-related activities such as dredging and pile driving (Coates 2014).

However, the main reason for the lack of fining and enrichment effect in the last basic monitoring studies (Reubens et al. 2016; Colson et al. 2017) may equally be the distance to the foundation at which the communities were sampled. The fining and enrichment effect is hypothesized to be restricted to very close distances (< 50 m) from the turbine foundation (Coates et al. 2013; Colson et al. 2017). For these reasons, the basic monitoring scheme comparing far (350-500 m distance from turbines) to close locations (50 m) was slightly altered in 2017 to a comparison of far with very close locations (37.5 m distance from turbine center, *i.e.*, the closest distance that is still feasible and safe to sample) within this study. In this report, we test whether a fining and enrichment effect is found at such a close distance to the turbine foundation, and whether this is reflected in the macrobenthic community structure. Furthermore, we verify whether this effect is present in the vicinity of both monopile and jacket foundation types.

A second part of the report assesses the before-impact (T0) communities in the NV Norther concession area and evaluates the suitability of the possible reference area. Conditions within the future Norther OWF differ from those already being monitored in C-Power and Belwind as this OWF is not located on a sandbank and is located very close to the coast (< 25 km). Due to the differences in sedimentology and the general distribution of macrobenthic communities along the onshore-offshore gradient described by Van Hoey *et al.* (2004), we expected to find different soft-sediment communities in this area.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Study area

Within the BPNS, sampling was conducted in the concession areas of two existing offshore wind farms (C-Power and Belwind) and one planned turbine park (Norther) (fig. 2). C-Power is located on the Thornton Bank (TB), situated approximately 30 km from the Belgian coastline. This park consists of 54 turbines with 2 types of foundations: 6 gravity-based (constructed in 2008) and 48 jacket foundations, which were built between 2011 and 2013 (Rumeset al. & Brabant 2017). Belwind is located at the Bligh Bank (BB) and represents the north western-most turbine park within this study (46 km from the port of Zeebrugge). Belwind contains a total of 55 monopile-based turbines that were constructed between 2009 and 2010 (Rumes & Brabant et al.2017). The concession for the Norther wind farm was granted in 2009 and the construction of 44 monopile-based turbines is expected to start in 2018-2019. The park will be situated 23 km from the Belgian coastline (port of Zeebrugge) and lies within the south eastern-most part of the concession area. The reference site (REF) was chosen directly beyond the south eastern border of the future wind park to correspond to the sediment characteristics found within the future Norther impact area (fig. 4).

### 2.2. Sample design, collection and treatment

By applying systematic stratified sampling designs, this study was able to conduct two one-way spatial comparisons as described in table 1. Within the first analysis, potential effects of turbine presence on macrobenthic communities were tested in two operational wind farms (C-Power and Belwind). Samples were collected at two distances from the turbines during autumn 2017 on board the vessels RV Simon Stevin and Aquatrot (fig. 3; table 1). 'Very close' samples were taken at approximately 37.5 m from the center of the



**Figure 2.** Wind farm concession area (red area) in the Belgian part of the North Sea. Yellow areas represent three operational offshore windfarms (C-Power, Northwind and Belwind), while blue areas are domains for which concessions have been granted (Norther, Rentel, Seastar, Northwester and Mermaid) (Coates, 2014).

| Type of analysis   | Date of sampling         | Vessels                      | Station              | # samples |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
|  |                          |                              | TB_FAR               | 31        |
| Effects of turbine presence<br>(far vs. very close) within<br>C-Power and Belwind<br>windfarms | Autumn 2017<br>(Oct-Dec) | RV Simon Stevin,<br>Aquatrot | BB_FAR               | 36        |
|  |                          |                              | TB_VERY_CLOSE        | 16        |
|  |                          |                              | BB_VERY_CLOSE        | 15        |
| Baseline (T0) analysis for<br>future offshore windfarm<br>Norther                              | Autumn 2016<br>(Nov-Dec) | RV Simon Stevin,<br>Stream   | NORTHER_FAR          | 22        |
|  |                          |                              | Reference site (REF) | 18        |



Figure 3. Overview of far and close samples at the Bligh Bank (left) and Thornton Bank (right).



**Figure 4.** Overview of close and far samples (green triangles) and samples at the reference site (purple) for the future offshore wind farm Norther.

turbine, whereas far samples were collected in the middle between the four surrounding wind turbines (i.e., farthest possible distance), i.e., at distances between 350 and 500 m from any windmill. A second analysis was performed to establish the baseline (T0) for long-term monitoring within the future wind farm park Norther and test the validity of the proposed reference site. To this aim, samples were collected within the Norther area during autumn 2016. A similar sampling design was applied with close samples at approximately 50 m and far samples at least at 250 m from the future turbines (fig. 4; table 1). Within this study, only the far samples collected at Norther were used. In addition, 18 samples were also taken within the proposed reference area. Both the 'impact' site (Norther) and the reference site sampled in 2016 represent source samples of the area before the impact of wind turbine construction within the Before After Control Impact (BACI) design.

The samples were collected from the vessels by means of a 0.1 m<sup>2</sup> Van Veen grab. A Plexiglass core (Ø 3.6 cm) was taken from each Van Veen grab sample to collect the environmental data which include: grain size distribution (reported: median grain size [MGS]), total organic matter content (TOM) and sediment fraction larger than 2 mm (> 2 wamm). After drying at 60°C, the grain size distribution was measured using laser diffraction on a Malvern Mastersizer 2000G, hydro version 5.40. Sediment fractions larger than 2 mm were quantified using a 2 mm sieve. The total organic matter (TOM) content was determined per sample from the difference between dry weight (48 h at 60°C) and ash-free dry weight (2 h at 500°C).

The rest of the sample was sieved on board (1 mm mesh-sized sieve), and the macrofauna was preserved in a 4% formaldehyde-seawater solution and stained with Rose Bengal. In the laboratory, organisms were sorted, counted and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level. Biomass was also determined for each taxon level as blotted wet weight (mg). Within this report, these taxa are further referred to as species. From the obtained dataset, hyperbenthic species were excluded, and in case of uncertain identification, some taxa were lumped (*e.g.*, genus level: *Melitta* spp.).

### 2.3. Data Analysis

The samples collected at gravity based foundations were removed from the analyses (3 very close and 3 far samples) to test the effect of distance from the turbine, so that only samples at jacket foundations were included for the Thornton Bank. Prior to statistical analysis, the total abundance (ind. m<sup>-2</sup>), biomass (mg WW m<sup>-2</sup>), number of species (S), Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') and Piélou's evenness (J') were calculated from the dataset. Univariate analysis (1-way ANOVA) was performed in R (version 3.2.2) to assess differences between distances from the turbines (far vs. very close) and location (Thornton Bank vs. Bligh Bank; Norther vs. reference site) in terms of the above-mentioned biological parameters and the sediment parameters MGS, fraction > 2 mm and TOM. Assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances were tested by Shapiro-Wilk - and Levene's tests -, respectively, and log transformations were performed if these assumptions were not met. If after transformation the assumptions were still not fulfilled, a PERMANOVA (Permutational Anova, based on Euclidean distance matrix) was performed, allowing us to perform univariate ANOVAs with p-values obtained by permutation (Anderson & Millar 2004), thus avoiding the assumption of normality. Additionally, multiple linear regression analysis was used to develop a model to predict the biotic variables that showed significant differences after univariate analysis from TOM, MGS and sediment fraction > 2 mm. Outliers were detected and removed from the models. Normal distribution of the residuals was tested (Shapiro-Wilk) and potential multicollinearity was determined to use a Variance Inflation Factor (VIF).

Multivariate analysis was performed in PRIMER (version 6.1.11) with PERMANOVA add-on to investigate the potential effects of distance/location on macrobenthic community structure. These tests were based on a Bray-Curtis resemblance matrix (fourth-root transformed data) and were performed by using a fixed one-factor design (distance, levels: far vs. very close and location, levels: Norther vs. reference site). Homogeneity of multivariate dispersions was tested using the PERMDISP routine (distances among centroids). Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) was used to visualize the data, while similarity percentages (SIMPER) analysis was performed to determine the contribution of species to the distinction between groups and/or to the similarity of samples within a group (Anderson et al. 2008; Clarke & Gorley 2006). Finally, a distance-based linear model (DistLM, adjusted R<sup>2</sup> with stepwise criterion) was run to investigate the potential relationship between biological and environmental variables (Anderson et al. 2008). Due to the unbalanced sampling design (table 1), type 'III' sums of squares were used for every statistical test, and a significance level of p < 0.05 was applied. Quantitative results are expressed as mean values and corresponding standard deviation (mean  $\pm$  SD). Permdisp results were only reported when significant.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Effects of turbine presence

Thornton Bank (TB) and Bligh Bank (BB) displayed similar values in terms of TOM and sediment fraction  $> 2 \,$  mm. However, MGS was significantly higher at BB compared to TB (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.01). Higher macrobenthic densities and biomass were found at TB (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.01). In addition, multivariate analysis revealed that

macrobenthic communities differed significantly between sandbanks (PERMANOVA, p = 0.001). Based on these results and to enable the comparison with the two previous reports (Colson *et al.* 2017; Reubens *et al.* 2016), it was decided to conduct further analyses testing potential effects of turbine presence for each sandbank separately.

## 3.1.1. Thornton Bank (C-Power)

Sediments within TB consisted of medium sands (250-500 µm), except for the sample TB28 FAR with an exceptionally high MGS (509 µm). MGS was significantly affected by distance from the turbines (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.05), with finer sands within the very close samples  $(342 \pm 22 \ \mu m)$  compared to far samples  $(378 \pm 49 \ \mu m)$ . This refinement of the sediment with decreasing distance to the turbines was particularly found in the 125-250 µm fraction with the average percentage of fine sand being  $20 \pm 5\%$  in very close samples, while this was only  $13 \pm 6\%$  in the far samples (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.01). TOM content varied from 0.31%-1.86%, with significantly higher average values within the very close samples  $(0.72 \pm 0.39\%)$  compared to the far samples  $(0.53 \pm 0.17\%)$ (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The sediment fraction > 2 mm within TB was variable and ranged from 0.04%-15.51% with higher average values within the very close samples, but no significant difference was found.

Samples closer to the turbines displayed significantly higher macrobenthic densities, species richness and Shannon-wiener diversity, whereas evenness was significantly lower (1 way ANOVA p < 0.05; fig. 5; table 2). No significant difference was found between both distances in terms of biomass.

Multivariate analysis on macrobenthic community structure revealed that within TB, different communities are found for both distances (PERMANOVA, p < 0.001; fig. 6). SIMPER results showed that very close samples had an average similarity of



**Figure 5.** Overview boxplots of the biotic variables: abundance (N), biomass (BM), species richness (S), Shannon-wiener diversity (H'), evenness (J') and abiotic variables: total organic matter (TOM), median grain size (MGS), sediment fraction above 2 mm (> 2 mm) per sampling site for the very close and far samples. Black dots represent outliers.

37.25% with Urothoe brevicornis (22.68%), (17.45%). Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys juveniles (10.17%) and Nemertea sp. contributing about 60% to the total abundances. Far samples showed a higher average similarity (39.23%), but these communities were dominated by Nephtys cirrosa and Nephtvs juveniles, which contributed 60% to the total abundances, while Urothoe brevicornis contributed another 17.45%. The average dissimilarity between communities at the two distances (far vs. very close) amounted to 67.33%. *Nemertea* sp. (5.58%), Urothoe brevicornis (5.27%), Spiophanes bombyx (4.17%), Bathyporeia elegans (4.05%), Nephtys juveniles (3.39%) and Echinocardium cordatum (3.13%) together explained about 25% of this dissimilarity and all six species showed higher average abundances in the very close samples. Many other species contributed to a lesser extent (contribution < 3%; table 3) indicating that differences between communities cannot be attributed to a few dominant species.

Multiple regression revealed that MGS and TOM were significant predictors of macrobenthic densities (N), species richness (S') and Shannon-wiener diversity (H'). This model best explained species richness  $(R_{adj}^2 = 0.60)$ , followed by macrobenthic densities  $(R_{adj}^2 = 0.41)$  and Shannon-Wiener diversity  $(R_{adj}^2 = 0.17)$ . TOM proved to be the only significant predictor  $(R_{adj}^2 = 0.07)$ of Piélou's evenness (J'). All three abiotic variables (MGS, TOM and > 2 mm) had a significant relationship with the multivariate data and explained 22.83% of the total variation (DistLM analysis).

**Table 2.** Overview of calculated community descriptors (mean  $\pm$  SD) for spatial comparisons: between both distances from a turbine in two operational wind farms at Thornton BankBank (TB) and Bligh Bank (BB), baseline analysis within a future wind farm (Norther – Reference site). Numbers that differ significantly are indicated in bold

| Spatial analysis               | Effects turbine presence   |                 |                              | Baseline (T0) study |                 |                 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | TB Very<br>Close           | TB Far          | <b>BB</b> Very Close         | BB Far              | Norther         | REF             |
| Total abundance                | $934 \pm 1112$             | $343\pm329$     | $255\pm118$                  | $239\pm120$         | $8855\pm20612$  | $2588 \pm 2442$ |
| (N, ind. m <sup>-2</sup> )     | ***                        |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |
| Biomass                        | $110\pm145$                | $132\pm274$     | $39 \pm 122$                 | $31\pm99$           | $164\pm279$     | $228\pm263$     |
| $(BM, mg WW m^{-2})$           |                            |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |
| Number of species              | $18 \pm 9$                 | $8 \pm 4$       | $8\pm 2$                     | $9\pm4$             | $30 \pm 14$     | $27 \pm 11$     |
| S                              | ***                        |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |
| Evenness                       | $0.71\pm0.15$              | $0.80\pm0.10$   | $\boldsymbol{0.84 \pm 0.09}$ | $0.89\pm0.06$       | $0.74\pm0.11$   | $0.69\pm0.10$   |
| J'                             | *                          |                 | *                            |                     |                 |                 |
| Shannon-Wiener                 | $1.92\pm0.46$              | $1.57\pm0.44$   | $1.74\pm0.31$                | $1.87\pm0.42$       | $2.40\pm0.48$   | $2.22\pm0.35$   |
| H'                             | *                          |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |
| Median grain size              | $342\pm22$                 | $378\ \pm 49$   | $391 \pm 42$                 | $392\pm51$          | $355\pm89$      | $334\pm94$      |
| (MGS, µm)                      | *                          |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |
| Total organic matter           | $\boldsymbol{0.72\pm0.39}$ | $0.53 \pm 0.17$ | $0.50\pm0.10$                | $0.65\pm0.64$       | $1.09\pm0.49$   | $1.60\pm0.50$   |
| (TOM, %)                       | *                          |                 |                              |                     | ***             |                 |
| Sed. fraction $> 2 \text{ mm}$ | $4.68\pm4.11$              | $3.10\pm3.38$   | $2.93\pm2.57$                | $4.04\pm3.76$       | $11.45\pm10.67$ | $7.45\pm9.21$   |
| (> 2 mm, %)                    |                            |                 |                              |                     |                 |                 |

Signif. codes: '\*\*\*' 0.001, '\*\*' 0.01, '\*' 0.05

#### 3.1.2. Bligh Bank (Belwind)

In contrast to the results found within TB, all environmental variables were comparable between distances within BB. Sediments in BB were mainly composed of medium sands (250-500  $\mu$ m), except for the samples: BB22\_FAR (MGS: 547  $\mu$ m), BB33\_FAR (MGS: 514  $\mu$ m) and BB36\_FAR (MGS: 526  $\mu$ m). The sediment fraction > 2 mm ranged from 0.07%-13.68% and TOM contents from 0.28%-4.31% with most values between 0.40% and 0.80%.

Average macrobenthic densities and biomass were slightly higher in samples closest to the turbines, while an opposite trend was found for all the diversity indices but none of these differences proved to be statistically significant. Only evenness was significantly lower (1 way ANOVA, p < 0.05) in the very close samples (0.84 ± 0.09) compared to far samples (0.89 ± 0.06).

Macrobenthic community structure did, however, differ between far and very close samples within BB (PERMANOVA, p < 0.01; fig. 6). The average similarity for the very close samples was 39.04% and communities were mainly composed (cumulative contribution of 57.26%) of the polychaetes Nemertea sp. (21.43%), Nephtys cirrosa (18.67%) and Nephtys juveniles (17.15%). Far samples had a higher average similarity (43.70%) with the species Nephtys cirrosa (24.42%), Nephtys juveniles (20.15%) and Bathyporeia elegans (13.81%) contributing approximately 60% to the difference in total abundances. Communities of far and very close samples had an average dissimilarity



**Figure 6.** PCO (Principal coordinates analysis) plots based on Bray-Curtis resemblance matrix of fourth root transformed macrobenthic density data at two sandbanks (Thornton Bank and Bligh Bank) at two distances from the turbines (very close – far). Vector overlay was based on Pearson correlations (> 0.5).

of 61.19%. Urothoe brevicornis (7.25%), Bathyporeia elegans (5.93%), Ophelia borealis (5.47%), Nemertea sp. (5.46%) and Glycera sp. (5.24%) contributed almost 30% to this dissimilarity. Higher abundances of Urothoe brevicornis and Nemertea sp. were observed in the very close samples while the other three species were more abundant in the far samples. Comparable to the SIMPER results found at TB, many other species contributed to a lesser extent to the observed dissimilarity between distances (table 3).

Multiple regression revealed that only the sediment fraction > 2 mm was a significant predictor for Piélou's evenness, but the model showed a low fit ( $R^2_{adj} = 0.08$ ). MGS and sediment fraction > 2 mm together explained 12.68% of the total variation in the macrobenthic community structure of BB.

#### 3.2. Baseline analysis at Norther

Sediments found within the future impact area (Norther) and proposed reference area (REF) ranged from very fine sand to coarser sand (MGS: 96  $\mu$ m-517  $\mu$ m), but average values were comparable between both locations. The sediment fraction > 2 mm varied from 0.24%-39.46% with higher average values found within the Norther samples compared to the REF samples. Univariate analysis, however, revealed no significant differences in MGS and sediment fraction > 2 mm between locations. Organic matter content values were significantly higher (all > 1.00%) within REF (1.60  $\pm$  0.50%) compared to samples of the future wind farm area (1.09  $\pm$  0.49%; 1 way ANOVA, p < 0.001).

Relatively high macrofauna densities were found within both locations, and higher average densities were reported within the Norther samples compared to the REF samples (table 2). This tendency, albeit less pronounced, was also found for all the diversity indices (S, J', H'). In contrast, macrobenthos biomass showed a higher average value for the REF samples compared to the Norther samples. However, none of these differences proved to be significant (1 way ANOVA, p > 0.05; table 2).

Multivariate analysis of the macrobenthic community structure revealed significant differences between locations (Permanova, p < 0.05; fig. 8). Similarities within groups were higher for the REF sites (40.20%) compared to Norther sites (37.19%), but *Spiophanes bombyx* was the most dominant species within both locations (16.30% and 10.60% respectively, SIMPER). For the REF

| Thornton Bank              | Group Far         | Group Very close  | Average dissimilarity between groups 66.17 % |                          |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Species                    | Avg.<br>abundance | Avg.<br>abundance | Contribution<br>(%)                          | Cumulative contr.<br>(%) |
| Nemertea sp.               | 1.08              | 2.63              | 5.58   | 5.58                     |
| Urothoe brevicornis        | 2.06              | 3.26              | 5.27   | 10.85                    |
| Spiophanes bombyx          | 0.51              | 1.74              | 4.17   | 15.2                     |
| Bathyporeia elegans        | 0.88              | 1.37              | 4.05   | 19.07                    |
| Nephtys juv.               | 2.04              | 1.70              | 3.39   | 22.46                    |
| Echinocardium<br>cordatum  | 0.65              | 0.93              | 3.13   | 25.59                    |
| Nototropis<br>swammerdamei | 0.25              | 0.96              | 2.93   | 28.52                    |
| <i>Terebellidae</i> juv.   | 0.06              | 1.10              | 2.87   | 31.39                    |
| <i>Spio</i> sp.            | 0.35              | 0.86              | 2.46   | 33.85                    |
| Chaetognatha sp.           | 0.43              | 0.71              | 2.45   | 36.30                    |
| Gastrosaccus spinifer      | 0.43              | 0.59              | 2.45   | 38.75                    |
| Ophelia borealis           | 0.62              | 0.27              | 2.14   | 40.89                    |
| Urothoe poseidonis         | 0.27              | 0.62              | 2.00   | 42.89                    |
| Nephtys cirrosa            | 2.63              | 2.67              | 1.97   | 44.86                    |
| <i>Glycera</i> sp.         | 0.44              | 0.30              | 1.88   | 46.74                    |
| Thia scutellata            | 0.33              | 0.41              | 1.68   | 48.42                    |

**Table 3.** SIMPER results with species that contributed to the difference in community composition between the very close and far samples up to a cumulative value of approximately 50% for both sandbanks

| Bligh Bank                     | Group Far         | Group Very close  | Average dissimilarity between groups 61.19 % |                          |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Species                        | Avg.<br>abundance | Avg.<br>abundance | Contribution<br>(%)                          | Cumulative contr.<br>(%) |
| Urothoe brevicornis            | 0.65              | 1.48              | 7.25   | 7.25                     |
| Bathyporeia elegans            | 1.86              | 1.79              | 5.93   | 13.18                    |
| Ophelia borealis               | 1.24              | 0.75              | 5.47   | 18.65                    |
| Nemertea sp.                   | 1.53              | 2.06              | 5.46   | 24.11                    |
| <i>Glycera</i> sp.             | 1.09              | 0.80              | 5.24   | 29.35                    |
| Bathyporeia<br>guilliamsoniana | 0.00              | 1.17              | 5.04   | 34.39                    |
| Nephtys juv.                   | 2.13              | 1.78              | 4.97   | 39.36                    |
| Nephtys cirrosa                | 2.27              | 0.30              | 4.59   | 43.95                    |
| <i>Spio</i> sp.                | 0.95              | 0.30              | 4.53   | 48.48                    |



**Figure 7.** Overview boxplots of abiotic variables: total organic matter (TOM), median grain size (MGS), sediment fraction above 2 mm (> 2 mm) within each location (Norther vs. Reference site). Black dots represent outliers.

sites, the other two most abundant species were Nemertea sp. (14.30%) and Nephtys cirrosa (5.43%), while for the Norther samples these included Urothoe breviconis (9.49%) and Nemertea sp. (7.99%). The average dissimilarity between Norther and REF sites was 64.08%. The five most important species contributing over 10% of this differentiation included: Urothoe brevicornis (2.47%), Spiophanes bombyx (2.16%), Edwardsia sp. (2.14%), Eumida sanguinea (2.10%) and *Echinocyamus pusillus* (2.08%). All of these species showed higher average abundances in the Norther samples, except for the polychaete Spiophanes bombyx which was more abundant in the REF samples. It must be stated, however, that overall relative contributions were low and that many other species contributed to a lesser extent (relative contribution < 2%). A comparable

analysis for multivariate biomass data revealed similar results (Permanova, p < 0.05). Similarities were again higher within the REF samples where biomass was dominated by Spiophanes bombyx (13.90%), Nephtys cirrosa (9.29%) and Nemertea sp. (8.46%). Within the Norther samples, Nephtys cirrosa (13.5%) contributed most to overall biomass followed by Spiophanes bombyx (9.22%) and Urothoe breviconis (8.90%). The average dissimilarity between locations was 68.54% and was mostly due to the species Echinocardium cordatum (5.22%), Ophiura ophiura (3.96%), Spisula sp. (3.46%), Lanice conchilega (2.84%) and Ophiura albida (2.73%), explaining approximately 20% of the dissimilarity. The first three species had higher average abundances in the REF samples, while the opposite was found for Lanice conchilega and Ophiura albida.



**Figure 8.** PCO (Principal coordinates analysis) plots based on Bray-Curtis resemblance matrix of fourth root transformed macrobenthic density data at two locations (Norther and reference site). Vector overlay was based on Pearson correlations (> 0.5).

The DistLM analyses showed that all environmental variables had a significant relationship with the multivariate abundance and biomass data, which explained 25.79% and 24.67% of the total variation, respectively.

## 4. Discussion

## 4.1. Effects turbine presence on soft sediment macrobenthic communities

The patterns observed on the Thornton Bank correspond to predictions and findings of earlier work describing the 'positive effects' of turbine presence and associated fouling communities on local macrobenthic communities (very) close to the structures (De Backer *et al.* 2014; Coates *et al.* 2014; Martin *et al.* 2005; Maar *et al.* 2009). Turbine foundations are known to change sediment characteristics by modifying local current flows and through the creation of sheltered areas (Leonard & Pedersen 2005). In this study, refinement of the sediment closer to the turbines is reflected both in terms of a smaller median grain size and an increased fine sand fraction (125-250 µm). The combined effects of these changes to the natural sediment and the local increase of biodiversity due to colonizing epifouling communities seem to have resulted in higher total organic matter concentrations in sediments closer to the turbines. The positive correlation between organic content and fine sediment fraction is a well-known phenomenon (Coates et al. 2014; Snelgrove & Butman 1994). Finer sediments have a lower permeability, which in turn facilitates the retention of deposited organic matter (De Backer et al. 2014; Janssen et al. 2005). Additionally, epifouling communities are known to increase local organic matter input through the deposition of faecal pellets and detritus (De Backer et al. 2014; Maar et al. 2009; Coates et al. 2014).

These changes in sedimentology (grain size and organic matter) also affected the surrounding soft-substrate macrobenthos as predicted by De Backer *et al.* (2014). The

local increase in densities close to the turbines was accompanied by a higher diversity (S, H') and lower evenness (J'). Within the BPNS, abundance and species richness are highly correlated (Van Hoey et al. 2004) and rich communities such as the Abra alba -Kurtiella bidentata community are generally found in fine to medium sandy sediments (< 300  $\mu$ m) with significant mud contents. A typical species for this community is the habitat structuring tube polychaete Lanice conchilega, which has positive effects on local faunal abundance and richness through its bioengineering capacities (Rabaut et al. 2007). Within TB, this species seems to be rare and was only found in one very close sample (TB\_VC\_16). Despite its cosmopolitan distribution and occurrence in sediments ranging from mud to coarse sands, highest Lanice conchilega densities are usually found in shallow muddy and fine sands in coastal areas (Van Hoev et al. 2008; Degraer et al. 2006). Additionally, Van Veen grabs have a low sampling efficiency for this species due to its rapid retracting ability (up to 20 cm), leading to a potential underestimation of actual densities (Van Hoey et al. 2006). SIMPER analysis, however, revealed that the opportunistic polychaete Spiophanes *bombyx* was almost completely absent from the samples far from the turbines. The occurrence of Spiophanes bombyx appears to be positively associated with Lanice conchilega (Rabaut et al. 2007; De Backer et al. 2014) and contributes a significant share of the described Abra alba - Kurtiella bidentata community along the Northern French and Belgian coast (Van Hoey et al. 2004; Van Hoey et al. 2005; Desroy et al. 2002). In addition, Coates et al. (2014) related the enrichment of macrofaunal abundances to the occurrence of Asterias rubens, Lanice conchilega and Spiophanes bombyx close to the studied GBF. Therefore, the higher relative abundances of this species together with other species (Urothoe brevicornis, Bathyporiea elegans and Nemertea sp.) indicate a shift towards communities with higher density and diversity.

In contrast to the findings within the TB, no strong effects of turbine presence were found on the Bligh Bank as none of the studied univariate variables differed between distances, except for a lower evenness in very close samples. This is in accordance with a review paper by Jak & Glorius (2017) summarizing current research on macrobenthos in offshore wind farms within the North Sea. It was concluded that effects of turbine presence on soft sediment benthos are unclear and that if effects were found, they were either subtle, temporary or even opposite to expectations. It must be considered, however, that most of the studies were performed relatively shortly after constructions and that minimum distances from windmills were further (> 100 m) compared to our study. Nevertheless, Colson et al. (2017) also did not find any effects of turbine presence within BB at a distance of 50 m. In the present study, macrobenthic communities did differ between distances. However, compared to TB, communities from different distances showed lower dissimilarities and less pronounced differences. SIMPER results, however, did show some similarities with results at TB as very close samples showed higher abundances of Nemertea sp. and Urothoe brevicornis. In addition, very close to the turbines, we observed lower relative abundances of Glycera sp. and Ophelia borealis, both indicator species for the very low density and diversity O. borealis-Glycera lapidum community (Type I SA6; Van Hoey et al. 2004). This, together with a weak tendency of higher densities and lower evenness within very close samples, indicates a potential effect of turbine presence in very close vicinity of the structures.

The fact that somewhat different patterns are observed among both banks confirms the lack of consistent responses in current literature: impacts of artificial structures appear to be site-specific and can vary over different spatial scales (Martin *et al.* 2005). It also confirms that distant enrichment effects can be rather subtle and difficult to detect (Keeley 2013; Jak & Glorius 2017). Changes in sediment type and food supply explained a substantial amount of the turbine-related increases in densities and diversity (S, H') at TB, but not at BB. Nevertheless, DistLM analyses revealed that the environmental variables used in this study did not seem to clarify macrobenthic community structure, especially within BB. This indicates that other local-scale factors may play an important role as well.

Difference in timing of construction between both OWFs might be a temporal factor driving the contrasting results. C-Power has been fully operational since 2013 (4 years), while Belwind since 2011 (6 years). This time-lag can have an impact on the state of the fouling communities on the actual structures, as species richness increases with age since installation (Van der Stap et al. 2016). Therefore, epifauna on the turbines might be in a different phase of succession (Colson et al. 2017; Leonard & Pedersen 2005). Studies on other artificial reefs (platforms, shipwrecks) do show a significant impact of time (Coolen et al. 2015; Van der Stap et al. 2016) and indicate that actual colonization with stable communities is attained after 5-6 years (Leeuwis et al. 2000; Hiscock 2010). As Belwind foundations have been installed for a longer time period, we would expect to find 'stable' epifouling communities with potentially stronger impacts on the environment surrounding the monopiles, but an opposite trend was found. However, offshore wind farm development is a 'young' industry in the North Sea, so long-term data on epifauna communities and studies on their dynamics within these OWFs are scarce. In addition, trends of fouling communities on artificial structures are less predictable than natural reefs and probably depend on several other abiotic factors such as depth, distance from the coast and water currents (Van der Stap et al. 2015).

An alternative explanation may be found in the difference in turbine foundation

structure and its associated epifauna: Belwind consists of monopiles, while C-Power has constructed both gravity-based foundations and jackets (Colson et al. 2017; Reubens et al. 2016). Current literature demonstrates a clear vertical zonation on the turbines which appears to be consistently quite different between foundation types (Jak & Glorius 2017 and references therein; De Mesel et al. 2013). De Mesel et al. investigated subtidal fouling communities within TB and BB. Results showed that the Mytilus-zone was well developed (1 m width) on the concrete gravity based foundations on TB, while this zone was much narrower (50 cm) on the steel monopiles at BB. It was also found that communities in the subtidal zone are mostly the same, but that some species were only found on the GBFs and that overall, higher relative abundances were reached at TB. While epifaunal communities on jacket structures have not been studied in detail within TB, these turbines are fully covered with mussels (Reubens, pers. comm.). Krone et al. (2013) studied epifouling dynamics at an offshore platform (FINO-1) comparable to the jacket-based foundations at TB. While species composition on this structure was comparable to findings by De Mesel et al. (2013) and others, it was considered a 'biomass hotspot' with very high densities and biomass of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis ('Mytilisation'). Additionally, offshore oil rigs within the central and northern North Sea were dominated by Mytilus edulis up to depths of 20 m (Whomersby & Picken 2003). It appears that these jacket-like structures are extremely favourable for Mytilus edulis colonization. These bivalves are believed to have a strong impact on the surrounding environment (Krone et al. 2013; Maar et al. 2009). They affect biological activity by influencing particle and sediment fluxes and enrich surrounding sediments through their faeces/ pseudo-faeces (Maar et al. 2009). Moreover, their shells provide secondary hard substrate enhancing spatial heterogeneity and associated local diversity (Maar et al. 2009; Krone *et al.* 2012; Svane *et al.* 2001). In addition, the amount of newly available substrate differs per foundation type (Rumes *et al.* 2013; Krone *et al.* 2013). Introduced surface area for epifouling colonization in the subtidal zone is highest for jackets (1280 m<sup>2</sup>) followed by gravity based foundations (671 m<sup>2</sup>) and monopiles (518 m<sup>2</sup>) (Rumes *et al.* 2013). These combined effects of lower surface area and 'poorer' epifouling communities in terms of densities and richness may partially explain the contrasting results found in this study.

Finally, it can be expected that the spatial extent of enrichment effects will be dependent on local resuspension processes, transporting organic particles from the 'footprint' area to the adjacent sediments (Keeley 2013). Dispersive capacities of a site are determined by its physical properties such as sediment type, water masses, depth and current speed. Especially the latter two will determine the 'flushing' potential of a site, which affects the accumulation of TOM and nutrient mineralisation (Keeley 2013; Coates et al. 2004). With medium to coarse sediments, TB and BB can be considered as highly permeable areas. However, some differences could result in other dispersive properties between both sites. Firstly, both sandbanks are influenced by dissimilar water masses and differ in their relative position and distance from the coastline (Van Hoey et al. 2004; Lacroix et al. 2004). Secondly, the larger MGS suggests that stronger current velocities are present at BB compared to TB. Finally, regression analysis showed that TOM was not a significant predictor of abundance, diversity or community composition within BB. Therefore, an additional explanation for the lack of a significant enrichment effect at BB could be that BB represents a higher energy/flow system with intense resuspension and ephemeral organic enrichment, leading to no or at most very subtle effects at very close distances.

Previous studies have shown that monopiles are being colonized by epifauna (De Mesel *et al.* 2005) and that these structures alter local hydrodynamics (Leonard & Pederson 2005). The spatial extent of turbine-related effects, however, probably depends on interrelated factors such as a site's dispersive capacity and turbine-specific epifouling potential. As a result, impacts on local soft-sediment communities may only be detectable at distances even closer (< 37.5 m) from the monopile turbines at BB.

### 4.2. Baseline analysis

Whereas most wind farms are being constructed in more offshore areas, Norther will be situated in the coastal zone. A reference area was chosen directly below the future wind park (southeast border) and is thereby located even closer to the Belgian coastline. The median grain size in both reference and future impact area of Norther was variable, ranging from very fine to coarser sands. However, average values fell within the range of medium sands (250-500 µm), which are widely found within the BPNS (Van Hoey et al. 2004; Degraer et al. 1999). The higher total organic matter content in the more onshore reference area can be attributed to the onshore-offshore gradient that is established in terms of nutrient availability within the Southern North Sea (Brockemann et al. 1990). The higher TOM values within the reference area did, however, not result in notable differences between both areas in terms of macrobenthic diversity, biomass and densities.

While multivariate statistics revealed differences in community structure between the Norther site and the reference area, the PCO (fig. 8) also suggests strong variability within both locations and especially for samples in the future impact area. In addition, SIMPER results indicate that dissimilarities between locations are mostly due to subtle differences in less abundant species (low relative contribution, < 2%) and that true discriminating species are difficult to confirm. These findings corroborate the results of Van Hoey *et al.* (2004), who observed a high heterogeneity in granulometry and macrobenthic communities within the nearshore area of the BPNS.

Within the reference area, certain samples (REF 13, REF 17, REF 18) showed most similarities with the subtidal Abra alba – Kurtiella bidentata community (Type I, SA1). This community is found in nearshore areas with finer sands and high total organic matter content. This community is characterized by high densities (> 2000 ind. m<sup>-2</sup>) and diversity ( $\geq$  30 spp. sample<sup>-1</sup>) and by the occurrence of species such as the amphipod Parambius typicus and habitat structuring species like Lanice conchilega and Owenia fusiformis (Rabaut et al. 2007; Ropert & Dauvin 2000; Van Hoey et al. 2004). Some samples within the future impact area (FAR 14, FAR 17, FAR 20 and FAR 23) were quite distinct and showed no similarity with previously described communities by Van Hoey et al. (2004). These samples consisted of finer sands and high gravel fractions (> 20%), indicating the presence of mixed sediment substrate with boulders. These communities had very high total abundances (> 10,000 ind. m<sup>-2</sup>), high diversity (> 40 spp. sample-1), and were dominated by hard substrate-associated taxa such as Monocorophium acherusicum, Monocorophium insidiosum and the tanaid Apseudopsis latreilli (pers. comm. Francis Kerckhof & Gert Van Hoey). The majority of samples, however, consisted of medium sands and had total abundances between 1000-2000 ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, probably representing a transitional community (Type II, SA3) between the rich Abra alba-Kurtiella bidentata community (Type I, SA1) and more impoverished communities (Type I, SA4 & SA6) found in offshore areas (Van Hoey et al. 2004).

The results gave a first insight into the Norther future impact site and the reference

area, that have both been used as control for BACI tests to evaluate the future impacts of human-induced perturbations on the benthic ecosystem. Being situated in the coastal zone, different communities were described, therefore the validity of the chosen reference area as a whole can be questioned. In order to reduce the effects of this natural variation. it is proposed to classify the Norther and reference area into different habitat types and corresponding communities. This will allow to perform more reliable comparisons when testing for potential turbine effects in future studies. Despite the variability that was found in terms of granulometry and macrobenthic communities, it can be stated that sediments within the region are mainly composed of medium sands and receive a high amount of organic matter. In addition, high densities and diversity were found and communities were dominated by the common polychaetes Spiophanes bombyx and Nephtys cirrosa, while many other species contributed to a lesser extent.

# 5. Conclusion and future perspectives

This study confirms the effects of turbine presence on the surrounding sediment and associated macrobenthos. Refinement and organic enrichment were detected at very close distances (37.5 m) around jacket-based foundations on the TB. While the communities currently found closer to the turbines within TB cannot be described as true A. alba - K. bidentata communities, the increase in densities, diversity and the trends in species composition indicate an ongoing shift towards this fine-sediment associated community. Impacts were less pronounced around the monopiles at the BB, where only a difference in communities was detected between both distances from turbines. These contrasting results indicate that turbine-related effects can be site-specific and probably depend on several local-scale factors and/or on turbine foundation type. Monopiles and jackets are completely different structures with distinct construction activities (scouring protection), shape and subtidal surface area, which in turn affects the colonization patterns of the fouling communities. Differences in epifauna in terms of abundance, diversity and zonation patterns probably influence the distance from where turbine-related enrichment is found. Furthermore, a site's dispersive capacity might also influence the spatial extent of enrichment to nearby sediments.

As the development of offshore wind farms is expanding in the North Sea (Baeye *et al.* 2005), continued monitoring is recommended to understand the impacts that are being found and to fill the current gap of long-term studies. In addition, this study highlights the importance of performing a targeted monitoring study that compares the effects of the three different turbine types (monopiles, jackets and gravity-based foundations) found in the BPNS. Results found in this study and Coates et al. (2013) show that the spatial extent of enrichment effects differs between foundation types. Therefore, it would be more accurate and informative to perform future monitoring at several distances (gradient) from the turbines, with closest samples even closer than the distance used in this study (< 37.5 m). In addition to the established environmental parameters (MGS, sediment fraction > 2 mm and TOM), we propose to also incorporate Chl-a measurements, such that food availability can be assessed both in terms of quantity and quality. Moreover, it would be interesting to investigate the macrobenthic communities through the combination of taxon composition (distribution of taxa) - and functional traits analysis to translate community shifts to changes in specific ecosystem functioning rates.

## References

- Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N. & Clarke, K.R. 2008. PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. Plymouth: PRIMER-E, 214 p.
- Baeye, M. & Fettweis, M. 2015. In situ observations of suspended particulate matter plumes at an offshore wind farm, southern North Sea. *Geo-Marine Letters* 35: 247-255.
- Barros, F., Underwood, A.J. & Lindegarth, M. 2001. The influence of rocky reefs on structure of benthic macrofauna in nearby soft-sediments. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 52: 191-199.
- Clarke, K.R. & Gorley, R.N. 2006. PRIMER v6: user manual/tutorial PRIMER-E. Plymouth: Plymouth Marine Laboratory, 190 p.
- Coates, D., Deschutter, Y. Vincx, M. & Vanaverbeke, J. 2013. Macrobenthic enrichment around a gravity based foundation. In S. Degraer *et al.* (eds), *Environmental Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: Learning from the Past to Optimise Future Monitoring Programmes*. Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Management Unit of the North Sea Mathematical Models, Marine Ecosystem Management Unit, pp. 141-151.
- Coates, D., Kapasakali, D.A., Vincx, M. & Vanaverbeke, J. 2016. Short-term effects of fishery exclusion in offshore wind farms on macrofaunal communities in the Belgian part of the North Sea. *Fisheries Research* 179: 131-138.
- Coates, D. 2014. *The Effects of Offshore Wind Farms on Macrobenthic Communities in the North Sea*. Ghent: Ghent University, 182 p.

- Colson, L., Braeckman, U. & Moens, T. 2017. Effect of turbine presence and type on macrobenthic communities inside an offshore wind farm. In S. Degraer *et al.* (eds), *Environmental Impacts* of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: A Continued Move Towards Integration and Quantification. Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Natural Environment, Marine Ecology and Management Section, pp. 39-53.
- Coolen, J.W.P., Bos, O.G., Glorius, S., Lengkeek, W., Cuperus, J., Van der Weide, B.E & Agüera, A. 2015. Reefs, sand and reef-like sand: a comparison of the benthic biodiversity of habitats in the Dutch Borkum Reef Grounds. *Journal of Sea Research* 103: 84-92.
- Cooper, K.M., Curtis, M., Wan Hussin, W.M.R., Barrio Froján, C.R.S., Defew, E.C., Nye, V. & Paterson, D.M. 2011. Implications of dredging induced changes in sediment particle size composition for the structure and function of marine benthic macrofaunal communities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 60: 2087-2094.
- Dannheim, J., Brey, T., Schröder, A., Mintenbeck, K., Knust, R. & Arntz, W.E. 2014. Trophic look at soft-bottom communities short-term effects of trawling cessation on benthos. *Journal of Sea Research* 85: 18-28.
- De Backer, A., Van Hoey, G., Coates, D., Vanaverbeke, J. & Hostens, K. 2014. Similar diversity-disturbance responses to different physical impacts: three cases of small-scale biodiversity increase in the Belgian part of the North Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 84: 251-262.
- De Mesel, I., Kerckhof, F., Rumes, B., Norro, A., Houziaux, J.-S. & Degraer, S. 2013. Fouling community on the foundations of wind turbines and the surrounding scour protection. In S. Degraer et al. (eds), Environmental Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: Learning from the Past to Optimise Future Monitoring Programmes. Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Management Unit of the North Sea Mathematical Models, Marine Ecosystem Management Unit, pp. 123-137.
- De Mesel, I., Kerckhof, F., Norro, A., Rumes, B. & Degraer, S. 2015. Succession and seasonal dynamics of the epifauna community on offshore wind farm foundations and their role as stepping stones for non-indigenous species. *Hydrobiologia* 756: 37-50.
- De Mesel, I., Degraer, S., Wittoeck, J., Appeltans, W., Cooreman, K., Deprez, T., Hillewaert, H., Hostens, K., Mees, J., VandenBerghe, W. & Vincx, M. 2006. *The Macrobenthos Atlas of the Belgian Part of the North Sea.* Brussels: Belgian Science Policy.
- Desroy, N., Warembourg, C., Dewarumez, J.M. & Dauvin, J.C., 2002. Macrobenthic resources of the shallow soft-bottom sediments in the eastern English Channel and southern North Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 60: 120-131.
- Hiscock, K., Sharrock, S., Highfield, J. & Snelling, D. 2010. Colonization of an artificial reef in south-west England-ex-HMS 'Scylla'. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 90: 69-94.
- Jak, R. & Glorius, S.T. 2017. Marcobenthos in offshore wind farms: a review of research, results and relevance for future developments. Wageningen Marine Research (University & Research centre), Wageningen Marine Research report C043/17, 477 p.
- Janssen, F., Fauber, P., Huettel, M., Meyer, V. & Witte, U. 2005. Pore-water advection and solute fluxes in permeable marine sediments (I): calibration ad performance of the novel benthic chamber system *Sandy. Limnology & Oceanography* 50: 768-778.

- Keeley, N. 2013. *Literature review of ecological effects of aquaculture: benthic effects*. Ministry for Primary industries Cawthron Institute: Nelson, 33 p.
- Krone, R., Gutow, L., Joschko, T.J. & Schröder, A. 2013. Epifauna dynamics at an offshore foundation – implications for future wind power farming in the North Sea. *Marine Environmental Research* 85: 1-12.
- Lacroix, G., Ruddick, K., Ozer, J. & Lancelot, C. 2004. Modelling the impact of the Scheldt and Rhine/Meuse plumes on the salinity distribution in Belgian waters (southern North Sea). *Journal of Sea Research* 52: 149-163.
- Leewis, R., van Moorsel, G. & Waardenburg, H. 2000. Shipwrecks on the Dutch continental shelf as artificial reef. In A.C. Jensen, K.J. Collins & A.P.M. Lockwood (eds), *Artificial Reefs in European Seas*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 419-434.
- Leonhard, S.B. & Pedersen, J. 2005. Benthic communities at Horns Rev before, during and after construction of Horns Rev offshore wind farm. Final Report. Annual Report 2005, 154 p.
- Maar, M., Bolding, K., Petersen, J.K., Hansen, J.L. & Timmermann, K. 2009. Local effects of blue mussels around turbine foundations in an ecosystem model of Nysted off-shore wind farm. *Journal of Sea Research* 62: 159-174.
- Martin, D., Bertasi, F., Colangelo, M.A., De Vries M., Frost, M., Hawkins, S.J., Macpherson, E., Moschella, P.S., Satta, M.P., Thompson, R.C. & Ceccherelli, V.U. 2005. Ecological impact of coastal defence structures on sediment and mobile fauna: evaluating and forecasting consequences of unavoidable modifications of native habitats. *Coastal Engineering* 52: 1027-1051.
- Rabaut, M., Guilini, K., Van Hoey, G., Vincx, M. & Degraer, S. 2007. A bio-engineered soft-bottom environment: the impact of *Lanice conchilega* on the benthic species-specific densities and community structure. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 75: 525-536.
- Reubens, J.T., Braeckman, U., Vanaverbeke, J., Van Colen, C., Degraer, S. & Vincx, M. 2013. Aggregation at windmill artificial reefs: CPUE of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and pouting (*Trisopterus luscus*) at different habitats in the Belgian part of the North Sea. Fisheries Research 139: 28-34.
- Reubens, J., Degraer, S. & Vincx, M. 2009. The importance of marine wind farms, as artificial hard substrates, on the North Sea bottom for the ecology of the ichthyofauna. In S. Degraer *et al.* (eds), Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: State of the Art After Two Years of Environmental Monitoring. Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Natural Environment, Marine Ecology and Management Section, pp. 53-60.
- Reubens, J.T., Degraer, S. & Vincx, M. 2014. The ecology of benthopelagic fishes at offshore wind farms: a synthesis of 4 years of research. *Hydrobiologia* 727: 121-136.
- Reubens, J., Alsebai, M. & Moens, T. 2016. Expansion of small scale changes in macrobenthic community inside an offshore wind farm? In S. Degraer *et al.* (eds), *Environmental Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: Environmental Impact Monitoring Reloaded.* Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Natural Environment, Marine Ecology and Management Section, 287 p.
- Ropert, M. & Dauvin, J.C. 2000. Renewal and accumulation of a *Lanice conchilega* (Pallas) population in the Bay des Veys, western Bay of Seine. *Oceanologica Acta* 23: 529-546.

- Rumes, B., Coates, D., De Mesel, I., Derweduwen, J., Kerckhof, F., Reubens, J. & Vandendriessche, S. 2013. Does it really matter? Changes in species richness and biomass at different spatial scales. In S. Degraer *et al.* (eds), *Environmental Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: Learning from the Past to Optimise Future Monitoring Programmes.* Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Management Unit of the North Sea Mathematical Models, Marine Ecosystem Management Unit, pp. 183-189.
- Rumes, B. & Brabant, R. 2017. Offshore renewable energy development in the Belgian part of the North Sea. In S. Degraer et al. (eds), Environmental Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in the Belgian Part of the North Sea: A Continued Move Towards Integration and Quantification. Brussels: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Natural Environment, Marine Ecology and Management Section, pp. 13-15.
- Snelgrove, P.V.R. & Butman, C.A., 1994. Animal sediment relationships revisited cause versus effect. *Oceanography and Marine Biology* 32: 111-177.
- Svane, I. & Petersen, J. 2001. On the problems of epibioses, fouling and artificial reefs, a review. *Marine Ecology* 22: 169-188.
- Van der Stap, T., Coolen, J.W.P. & Lindeboom, H.J. 2016. Marine fouling communities on offshore gas platforms in the Southern North Sea: effects of depth and distance from shore on biodiversity. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0146324. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146324
- Van Hoey, G., Degraer, S. & Vincx, M. 2004. Macrobenthic community structure of soft bottom sediments at the Belgian Continental Shelf. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 59: 599-613.
- Van Hoey, G., Guilini, K., Rabaut, M., Vincx, M. & Degraer, S. 2008. Ecological implications of the presence of the tube-building polychaete Lanice conchilega on soft-bottom benthic ecosystems. *Marine Biology* 154: 1009-1019.
- Van Hoey, G., Vincx, M., & Degraer, S. 2005. Small- to large- scale geographical patterns within the macrobenthic *Abra alba* community. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 64: 751-763.
- Van Hoey, G., Vincx, M. & Degraer, S. 2006. Some recommendations for an accurate estimation of *Lanice conchilega* density based on tube counts. *Helgoland Marine Research* 60 (4): 317-321.
- Vandendriessche, S., Derweduwen, J. & Hostens, K. 2015. Equivocal effects of offshore wind farms in Belgium on soft substrate epibenthos and fish assemblages. *Hydrobiologia* 756: 19-35.
- Whomersley, P. & Picken, G.B., 2003. Long-term dynamics of fouling communities found on offshore installations in the North Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 83: 897-901.