Follow-Up Investigations of Tau Protein and S-100B Levels in Cerebrospinal Fluid of Patients with Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease
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Abstract

Background: S-100B and tau protein have a high differential diagnostic potential for the diagnosis of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD). So far there has been only limited information available about the dynamics of these parameters in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). However, there is a special interest in finding biochemical markers to monitor disease progression for differential diagnosis and treatment. Patients and Methods: We analyzed CSF of 45 patients with CJD and of 45 patients with other neurological diseases for tau protein and S-100B in a follow-up setting. All diagnoses of CJD were later neuropathologically verified. A ratio between tau protein differences and the time between lumbar puncture was calculated. The same was done for S-100B. Results: Tau protein levels of 34 cases were above the cut-off level for CJD (>1,300 pg/ml) in the first CSF sample. In 7 of 11 patients with lower tau levels in the first CSF sample, tau levels rose. The above-mentioned ratio was significantly higher in the CJD group than in the group with other neurological diseases. Similar results were obtained for S-100B. Conclusion: We conclude that follow-up investigations and calculation of ratios is a useful tool in the differential diagnosis of CJD. Variations in this pattern were observed in single cases.

Introduction

Up to now, the intra vitam diagnosis of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) has been made according to clinical and electroencephalographic criteria [1]. The clinical diagnosis of CJD can be supported by biochemical analysis of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) for tau protein [2–5], S-100B [6, 7] or 14-3-3 protein [8–10]. So far, only the 14-3-3 immunoblot is included in the diagnostic criteria [11], despite the fact that the other surrogate markers also have a high differential diagnostic potential and that the diagnostic accuracy of 14-3-3 proteins was challenged recently [6, 12–15]. The final diagnosis of CJD can only be made neuropathologically by demonstrating the pathological isoform of the prion protein (PrPSc) [16]. Routine detection of PrPSc outside the brain for an early in vivo diagnosis of sporadic CJD has yet to become established. First attempts to detect PrP aggregates were made using fluo-
rescence-correlated spectroscopy [17]. The situation for patients with new variant CJD (vCJD) is different as a pathological isoform also was detected in the tonsils of these patients [18].

Although CJD is rare, it is often considered in the differential diagnosis, especially at the beginning of a dementing process. The measurement of tau protein and amyloid beta peptides in CSF has gained wide acceptance in the differential diagnosis of dementias [19, 20]. But up to now there is only limited information available on follow-up levels of these markers, especially in patients with a differential diagnosis of CJD. Such information should help to improve diagnostic accuracy for unclear cases. On the other hand, follow-up investigations of biological markers might be an alternative tool to monitor effects of upcoming therapeutic approaches for CJD or other neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [21, 22].

In our study we measured the levels of tau protein and S-100B for these markers quantitative assays with acceptable variation coefficients are available. In previous studies, optimal cut-off levels for tau protein and S-100B for the diagnosis of CJD were already obtained [2, 4, 6, 7].

### Patients and Methods

We analyzed CSF of 90 patients with a differential diagnosis of CJD. Forty-five of these patients were later neuropathologically confirmed as CJD cases. In the remaining 45 patients, other neurological diseases (OND) were diagnosed. Tau protein was measured repetitively in CSF of 78 patients and S-100B protein was measured in CSF of 51 patients. According to the clinical criteria, all suspected cases of CJD were classified as ‘probable’, ‘possible’ or ‘other’ cases [11, 23, 24]. Samples from these patients were obtained from the CSF bank of the German CJD counseling laboratory.

The diagnoses in the OND group were as follows: 8 patients with AD, 4 patients with corticobasal degeneration (CBD), 4 patients with vascular dementia (VD), 3 hepatic encephalopathies, 2 with neoplastic diseases of the brain, 2 patients with frontotemporal dementia (FTD), 2 with depression, 2 with epilepsy, 1 patient with Lewy body dementia (LBD), 1 patients with motor neuron disease, 1 with progressive subcortical gliosis (Neumann-Cohns disease), 1 with uremic encephalopathy, 1 with mitochondrial encephalopathy, 1 with Hashimoto’s encephalopathy and 12 with inflammatory diseases of the nervous system.

### Neuropathology

All 45 diagnoses of CJD were neuropathologically confirmed using standard methods [16]. Additionally, prion protein typing was done and polymorphism at codon 129 was determined [25].

### Tau Protein, S-100B and 14-3-3 Protein Measurement

Tau protein and S-100B protein were measured with commercially available assays (Innogenetics, Ghent and Byk-Sangtec, Dietzenbach) [20, 26]. Normal levels of tau protein were below 420 pg/ml. The optimal cut-off level for CJD patients was above 1,300 pg/ml [2]. In a control group, S-100B levels were below 2.2 ng/ml and above 4.2 ng/ml in the CJD patients [7]. The 14-3-3 immunoblot was performed on all samples according to the previously published standard method [8].

### Statistical Analysis

The comparison of the tau level and S-100B level between subgroups of the study population was based on nonparametric tests (the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test for independent comparisons and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for dependent comparisons).

### Marker Difference to Time Difference Ratio

As we aimed to investigate the dynamics of tau protein and S-100B in the follow-up we calculated a marker difference to time difference ratio (MTR): e.g. (level of tau protein at lumbar puncture (LP 1) – (level of tau protein at LP 2)/(time difference of LP in days).

### Results

Follow-up data were available for 45 patients with neuropathologically confirmed CJD and 45 patients with OND. Patient characteristics (gender, age) are given in table 1. Times between LPs ranged between 2 and 546 days (median: 31 days) in the CJD group and between 1 and 1,252 days (median: 33; p = 0.9).

### Tau, S-100B and 14-3-3 Protein Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Male/female</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>16/23 (39)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic</td>
<td>3/3 (6)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND</td>
<td>22/23 (4)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neuropathologically confirmed cases are shown in parentheses.
(fig. 1a). Tau protein levels were significantly higher at the second LP ($p = 0.003$). Thirty-four cases (75%) had levels above the previously established cut-off level for the diagnosis of CJD (>1,300 pg/ml) in the first CSF sample. Tau protein rose in 29 cases at the second LP (64%). Tau protein levels rose especially in 7 cases among the 11 patients who had tau protein levels below 1,300 pg/ml in the first LP. Four of these rose above the cut-off level and 2 came close to the established cut-off level (1,157 and 1,172 pg/ml).

The clinical presentation of a CJD patient strongly depends on the PrP type and the polymorphism of codon 129. Typing of the 39 definite sporadic cases according to Parchi [25] was available on 22 cases. The distribution of the polymorphism at codon129 and prion protein type was as follows: methionine/methionine PrPSc type 1: 9 cases; methionine/methionine PrPSc type 2: 1 case; methionine/valine PrPSc type 1: 2 cases; methionine/valine PrPSc type 2: 4 cases; valine/valine PrPSc type 1: 1 case; valine/valine PrPSc type 2: 5 cases. There were no relevant differences of tau protein follow-up measurement within these subgroups.

Four of the 45 CJD (9%) patients were initially clinically diagnosed with other diseases. Tau protein was above the cut-off level for CJD in 3 patients and even rose in 2 patients. One patient had low levels of tau protein at both LPs. This patient had a valine/valine polymorphism at codon 129.

Genetic CJD: In 3 patients a fatal familial insomnia (FFI) was diagnosed: 2 patients had an insert mutation and 1 patient an E200K mutation of the prion protein gene. S-100B levels rose markedly in all genetic patients. Tau protein levels rose in 3 patients, but stayed below the cut-off levels in 2 (both FFI cases).

Forty-four of forty-five patients with OND were analyzed. The tau protein levels reached a median value of 702 pg/ml (range 75–22,218 pg/ml) in the first LP and 650 pg/ml (median; range 75–18,285 pg/ml) in the second LP. There was no significant difference between the first and second LP ($p = 0.53$). Sixteen patients (36%) were

---

**Fig. 1.** Tau protein (a) and S-100B (b) levels (both at logarithmic scale) at first and second LP. Dashed lines represent cut-off levels for tau protein (1,300 pg/ml) and S-100B (4.2 ng/ml). Individual values and means ± SEM (thick horizontal and vertical lines).
above the cut-off level in the first LP and 12 (27%) in the second LP. In 4 of these 16 patients, tau protein levels decreased below the cut-off level for CJD.

Comparison of MTR in CJD and OND
MTRs between the CJD and OND group were significantly different, with higher values in the CJD group (p < 0.05) (fig. 2a). The median MTR of CJD between both LPs was 9 pg/ml/day (range −1,425 and 9,214 pg/ml/day). The mean MTR for the OND group was −1 pg/ml/day (range: −388.9 and 164.6 pg/ml/day). Patients with multiple infarct syndromes had a positive/increasing gradient (median 292 pg/ml/day). Patients with AD (MTR: median −1.9 pg/ml/day), frontotemporal dementia (MTR: median −1.7 pg/ml/day) and corticobasal degeneration (MTR: median −2 pg/ml/day) had decreasing tau levels which is represented by a negative MTR. Furthermore patients with neoplasias of the brain had decreasing MRTs (median −6 pg/ml/day).

Comparison of S-100B Levels in CJD and OND
We analyzed 31 patients with CJD (18 patients classified as probable, 7 as possible CJD, 3 as other disease, 6 as genetic CJD). All diagnoses of CJD were neuropathologically confirmed. S-100B levels ranged between 1 and 19 ng/ml in the first LP (median: 5.3 ng/ml) and between 1.9 and 37.8 ng/ml (median: 7.8 ng/ml) in the second LP. S-100B levels were significantly higher at the second LP (p = 0.0007) (fig. 1b).

In 21 (68%) of these 31 patients, S-100B protein was above the cut-off level for CJD (4.2 ng/ml) and in 10 (32%) below the cut-off level. In the second LP, S-100B increased in 27 cases. Among the 10 patients with S-100B protein levels below 4.2 ng/ml, the S-100B protein levels of 9 patients had risen in the second LP. In 5 of these patients, they had risen above the cut-off level.

S-100 levels of 3 of the CJD patients with an initial diagnosis of ‘other disease’ were available. In the first LP of 2 patients, levels below the cut-off level were measured. In all 3 patients, the S-100B level had risen. Two patients then had levels above the cut-off level.

We analyzed the S-100B levels of 28 patients of the OND group. The S-100B levels reached values between 0.9 and 23.8 ng/ml (median: 2.1 ng/ml) in the first sample and levels between 1.1 and 26.1 ng/ml (median: 2.6 ng/ml) in the second sample. Levels of S-100B were significantly higher in the second LP (p = 0.018). Three patients (11%) had levels above the cut-off level in the first LP and 6 (21%) in the second LP. The S-100B levels of 1 of these patients decreased to below the cut-off levels for CJD.

Follow-Up Investigation of S-100B in CJD and OND
The MTRs between CJD and OND group for S-100B were significantly different (p < 0.02) (fig. 2b) with higher
The MTRs of the CJD group ranged between −0.93 and 3.21 ng/ml/day (median: 0.06 ng/ml/day), whereas in the OND group they ranged between −0.33 and 0.23 ng/ml/day (median: 0.01 ng/ml/day).

Slightly increasing levels of S-100B were observed in AD (MTR, median 0.016 ng/ml/day), corticobasal degeneration (MTR, median 0.01 ng/ml/day), infectious diseases (MTR, median: 0.019 ng/ml/day), hepatic encephalopathy (MTR, median: 0.02 ng/ml/day).

Detailed Description of the OND Group

Alzheimer’s Disease
The diagnoses of 3 out of the 8 patients with AD were neuropathologically confirmed. In 2 patients (25%), the levels of tau protein were above the cut-off level in the first LP. In 1 out of 6 patients with AD the S-100B-level was above the cut-off level in the first LP. In the second LP of this patient the S-100B level even increased, while the tau protein level remained stable. In the second LP of 2 of the other 5 patients S-100B rose above the cut-off level for CJD, whereas the level of tau protein remained stable and was within the typical range for AD. 14-3-3 assay was positive in 3 samples of the first LP.

Corticobasal Ganglionic Degeneration
We investigated 4 patients with CBD. In all samples the levels of tau protein and S-100B protein were below the cut-off level for CJD. In 1 patient tau protein rose in the second LP (778–1,130 pg/ml). In 3 patients the S-100B level rose without reaching the cut-off level for CJD. In 1 patient the 14-3-3 assay was positive in the first LP (tau protein 483 pg/ml, S-100B 2.15 ng/ml).

Vascular Dementia
In the CSF of 2 out of 4 patients the tau protein was above the cut-off level and increased in the follow-up investigation. In 1 of the 2 patients S-100B rose from 2.6 ng/ml above the cut-off level to 8.1 ng/ml (tau protein 1,849 to 10,368 pg/ml). A decline was observed in only 1 case. The period of time between these LPs ranged from 8 to 53 days. With one exception 14-3-3 assay was positive in all CSF samples.

Frontotemporal Dementia
Two patients with FTD were investigated. Tau protein levels of these patients were within the normal range in the first and second LP. S-100B levels were below the cut-off level for CJD. In neither of these samples 14-3-3 proteins were detected.

Miscellaneous Neurodegenerative Disease
Only 1 patient with LBD was investigated in the follow-up. Tau protein and S-100B protein in both LPs were in the normal range, whereas 14-3-3 assay was positive in the first sample.

The patient with progressive subcortical gliosis (Neu- mann’s disease) presented with a tau protein level above the cut-off level in the first and in the follow-up investigation (3.160 and 2.621 pg/ml) after 26 days. S-100B levels remained within the normal range.14-3-3 assay was always positive.

The levels for tau protein and S-100B were within the normal range for the patient suffering from motor neuron disease and the patient with mitochondrial encephalopathy.

Inflammation of the Central Nervous System and Hashimoto’s Encephalopathy
Seven patients with an acute inflammation of the CNS and 5 patients with a chronic inflammation of the CNS were analyzed. Tau protein was above the cut-off level in 3 out of 7 patients with acute inflammations and in 2 out of 5 with chronic inflammation in the first LP. Tau levels declined in only 2 patients (from 2,336 to 1,651 pg/ml and from 22,218 to 3,549 pg/ml). S-100B increased in 5 out of 6 available patients. In almost all samples the 14-3-3 assay was positive.

The patient with Hashimoto’s encephalopathy had normal levels of tau protein. S-100B levels rose from normal to 5.1 ng/ml after 423 days.

Hepatic Encephalopathy and Uremic Encephalopathy
We analyzed 3 patients with hepatic encephalopathy caused by liver cirrhosis. Two patients had levels above the cut-off level for CJD. In 1 patients the tau protein level dropped from 2,825 to 750 pg/ml within 70 days. In this patient 14-3-3 proteins were detected in both LPs. The other patient with elevated levels had constantly high levels (1,662–1,416 pg/ml). Levels of S-100B were not elevated (values only for 1 patient). The patient with uremic encephalopathy exhibited with very high and increasing tau protein levels and a positive 14-3-3 immunoblot. S-100B protein stayed within the normal range.

Epilepsy
Two patients suffering from epilepsy were investigated for tau protein. In one sample tau protein was initially above the cut-off level and dropped below in the follow-up investigation. In both samples 14-3-3 assay was nega-
Neoplasia

We analyzed the CSF of 2 patients with neoplastic diseases. One case showed an elevated tau protein level with nearly unchanged values (1,380 and 1,433 pg/ml). S-100B level in this patient was only slightly elevated and dropped in the second LP. 14-3-3 assay was negative in both samples. The second patient had increased levels of tau protein (1,843 pg/ml) and a positive 14-3-3 assay, whereas the S-100B level was in the normal range. In the follow-up investigation tau protein decreased to 345 pg/ml and 14-3-3 assay was negative.

Depression

All markers were within the normal range in both patients.

Discussion

Measurement of tau protein and S-100B has a high diagnostic impact in the differential diagnosis of CJD. Sensitivities and specificities of about 90% were reached [2, 4–7]. In the vast majority of studies nondemented control patients have tau protein levels below 420 pg/ml. Patients with neurodegenerative disease such as AD have levels between 420 and 800 pg/ml, whereas patients with CJD have levels above 1,300 pg/ml. The situation for the astroglial marker S-100B is quite similar although not as many studies for this protein have been published [22]. Nondemented patients have levels below 2.2 ng/ml, some patients with other neurodegenerative diseases have elevated levels and patients with CJD have S-100B levels above 4.2 ng/ml. In some cases the clinical diagnosis of CJD was challenged and a second LP was done. We analyzed all CSF samples of patients with a differential diagnosis of CJD and from whom more than one sample was available. CSF was sampled over the last 5 years in our counseling laboratory for CJD. During that time nearly 2,500 samples were analyzed for patients with a differential diagnosis of CJD but repeat samples were available only for 90 patients (45 CJD, 45 OND).

As the time of the second LP is determined by the treating physician, time intervals varied between 1 and 1,252 days. In an independent comparison we could show that for the individual CJD patients a significant rise of tau protein and S-100B protein occurred. For the OND group only a just significant increase of S-100B was observed, whereas tau protein was not significantly different. The MTR clarifies this observation.

From our investigation we conclude that patients with CJD have mainly increasing levels of S-100B and tau protein in the follow-up investigation. The S-100B increase might represent an ongoing astroglial activation and possible destruction, whereas the increase of tau protein might represent ongoing neuronal loss. In an anecdotal observation of 3 CJD cases a decrease of S-100B was described at later stages [27]. This was only rarely observed in our group. Nor were decreasing levels of tau protein often observed. At very advanced stages of CJD the levels may still decrease; however this was not observed in our study.

We further conclude that in other neurodegenerative diseases S-100B and tau protein remain mainly stable or show only a mild increase. This finding might be due to the much slower time course of these diseases. In inflammatory diseases S-100B and tau protein levels might even rise as in CJD. However, these diseases are usually easier to exclude by other clinical findings than neurodegenerative diseases like AD.

Since only immunoblot was available for 14-3-3 protein measurements, a comparison of levels is hardly possible and is only of limited use for the follow-up. We suppose that repetitive analysis of this marker at well-defined times might be useful to monitor disease progression to investigate possible therapeutic effects in a group comparison. However, as demonstrated by our analysis, it will not be possible to predict the progression for an individual case as follow-up investigations vary from one patient to patient.

The challenge for the diagnosis of CJD is the early and differential diagnosis and it seems that repetitive LPs provide a better differential diagnosis. It will have to be elucidated whether the measurement of additional markers such as phospho-tau or the amyloid beta-peptide pattern will yield even better results [5, 28, 29].
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