1	The impact of hop bitter acid and polyphenol profiles on
2	the perceived bitterness of beer.
3	Olayide Oladokun ^a , Amparo Tarrega ^a , Sue James ^b , Katherine Smart ^b , Joanne Hort ^a ,
4	David Cook ^{§a}
5	
6	^a International Centre for Brewing Science, Bioenergy and Brewing Science Building,
7	University of Nottingham, School of Biosciences, Division of Food Science, Sutton
8	Bonington Campus, Loughborough, LE12 5RD, UK.
9	
10	^b SABMiller Plc, SABMiller House, Church Street West, Woking, Surrey, GU21 6HS.
11	
12	
13	Abbreviated running title: Impact of bitter congener profiles on perceived bitterness of
14	beer
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	§ Corresponding author

24 e-mail: david.cook@nottingham.ac.uk

25 Tel: +44 (0)115 9516245

26 Abstract

27 Thirty-four commercial lager beers were analysed for their hop bitter acid, phenolic acid 28 and polyphenol contents. Based on analytical data, it was evident that the beers had 29 been produced using a range of different raw materials and hopping practices. Principal 30 Components Analysis was used to select a sub-set of 10 beers that contained diverse 31 concentrations of the analysed bitter compounds. These beers were appraised sensorially 32 to determine the impacts of varying hop acid and polyphenolic profiles on perceived 33 bitterness character. Beers high in polyphenol and hop acid contents were perceived as 34 having 'harsh' and 'progressive' bitterness, whilst beers that had evidently been 35 conventionally hopped were 'sharp' and 'instant' in their bitterness. Beers containing 36 light-stable hop products (tetrahydro-iso- α -acids) were perceived as 'diminishing', 37 'rounded' and 'acidic' in bitterness. The hopping strategy adopted by brewers impacts on 38 the nature, temporal profile and intensity of bitterness perception in beer.

39

Keywords: Beer, phenolic acids, total polyphenol content, hop acids, humulinones,
tetrahydro-iso-humulones, bitterness quality.

42

43 Chemical compounds studied in this article

Protocatechuic acid (PubChem CID:72); Catechin (PubChem CID:73160); Epicatechin
(PubChem CID:72276); Caffeic acid (PubChem CID:689043); Vanillic acid (PubChem
CID:8468); Ferulic acid (PubChem CID:445858); *p*-coumaric acid (PubChem
CID:637542); Cinnamic acid (PubChem CID:444539); 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid
(PubChem CID:127); Sinapic acid (PubChem CID:637775).

49

50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Bitterness is an important flavour character of foods and beverages such as coffee, nuts, 53 fruits and beer (Lesschaeve & Noble, 2005). Whereas the bitterness flavour of tea and 54 red wine have been attributed mainly to flavonoid phenols, approximately 80% of beer 55 bitterness is derived from the addition of hops (Humulus lupulus) during the 'boiling 56 stage' of the brewing process (Arrieta, Rodríguez-Méndez, De Saja, Blanco, & Nimubona, 57 2010; Caballero, Blanco, & Porras, 2012). The lupulin glands of female hop cones 58 contain soft resins rich in phloroglucinol derivatives, namely α -acids (cohumulone, 59 humulone, adhumulone) and β -acids (colupulone, lupulone, adlupulone). These acids 60 undergo thermal isomerisation to give iso- α -acids, the major bitter compounds in beer 61 (Haseleu et al., 2010). Upon isomerisation, each iso- α -acid congener is present as 62 trans/cis stereoisomers with a ratio of approximately 3:7 in conventionally hopped beers (Ch Schönberger & Kostelecky, 2011). In recent years beer-bittering practice has 63 64 diversified, with the development and usage of hop products in a variety of different 65 forms, and with varied points of addition to the brewing process (e.g. kettle addition, post-fermentation bittering products, or dry hopping, which is feasible at a number of 66 67 different points). One such product is pre-isomerised iso- α -acids, widely available as an 68 aqueous extract or in pellet form, which are prepared from the chemical isomerisation of 69 α -acids outside of the brewhouse. These hop products usually have higher levels of cisisomers relative to trans-isomers thus, giving a lower trans/cis ratio (Schmidt et al., 70 71 2014). Bitterness can also be achieved by the use of chemically reduced derivatives of 72 iso- α -acids, so called light stable hop products such as tetrahydro-iso-humulones (tetra) and hexahydro-iso-humulones (hexa) which are prepared by hydrogenation and 73 74 reduction reactions, respectively. Advanced hop products are popular among brewers

because they offer added flexibility in terms of their usage, and can be added
downstream of the brewing process (De Keukeleire, 2000).

77 Furthermore, hops available in various forms (cones, pellets, plugs) can be added at 78 different stages of the brewing process. Some brewers also soak hops in beer during 79 fermentation or conditioning to improve beer aroma in a technique known as 'dryhopping'. Dry-hopping imparts oxidised α -acids (known as humulinones) to beer. 80 Humulinones levels of 0.2 - 0.5% w/w have been reported in hop leaves and pellets 81 82 (Cocuzza & Mitter, 2008; Negri, di Santi, & Tabach, 2010; Wolfe, 2012). In addition to α-83 acids, hops are also a source of polyphenols in beer although the amount of polyphenols present in beer will depend on hop variety, form and the point at which the hops are 84 85 added during the brewing process. Furthermore, depending on hopping levels, brewing malt usually represents the major source of polyphenols in beer (Aron & Shellhammer, 86 87 2010; Callemien & Collin, 2009).

Polyphenols contribute to bitterness, colour, body, and astringency in beer and other 88 89 beverages such as tea and wine, (Collin, Jerkovic, Bröhan, & Callemien, 2013) and have 90 been recognised to influence the acceptance of beverages (Drewnowski & Gomez-91 Carneros, 2000). In beer they act as antioxidants, preventing oxidative degradation of 92 beer whilst also providing potential health benefits to consumers through their inhibitory activity on certain mutagens and carcinogens (Floridi, Montanari, Marconi, & Fantozzi, 93 94 2003). These compounds are diverse in chemical structure and can be divided into groups consisting of simple hydroxycinnamic and hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives 95 (phenolic acids), flavanols, flavanol glycosides and prenylated flavonoids (Goiris et al., 96 97 2014). Flavanols are of particular interest to brewers because they form proteinpolyphenol complexes, leading to the formation of haze or turbidity in beer - brewers 98 99 consequently remove them by cold filtration or polypvinylpyrrolidine (PVPP) treatment 100 (Garcia, Grande, & Gándara, 2004). However, PVPP treatment is not selective for the 101 removal of haze active polyphenols only - leading to losses of other polyphenols that are 102 potentially beneficial to the flavour and stability of beer (Aron & Shellhammer, 2010; 103 Mikyška, Hrabak, Hašková, & Šrogl, 2002).

The oral sensation of astringency is perceived as a drying, puckering or rough mouthfeel, resulting from the precipitation of proline-rich proteins in saliva by polyphenols (McLaughlin, Lederer, & Shellhammer, 2008). Several phenolics including ferulic acid, *p*coumaric acid and protocatechuic acid have also been noted to elicit astringency (Callemien & Collin, 2009). Flavanol monomers such as catechin and epicatechin were found to be more bitter than astringent (Drewnowski & Gomez-Carneros, 2000; Peleg, Gacon, Schlich, & Noble, 1999).

111 It is widely accepted within the brewing industry that the bitterness characteristics of 112 beers differ due to factors not determined using the simplistic analytical measurement of 113 bitterness units (BU). It is anticipated that this might relate to the diversity of hop 114 products and hopping strategies employed across the industry and the impacts which 115 this has on the relative concentrations of the array of compounds contributing to 116 bitterness perception. Whilst there is some knowledge of the individual bitterness 117 qualities which hop acid isomers impart to beer (Fritsch & Shellhammer, 2009), the links 118 between hopping practice, bittering congener profile and the perceived bitterness 119 characteristics of beers remains poorly understood. In this study we analysed the major 120 hop acid isomers and polyphenolic compounds present in 34 commercially significant 121 lager beers sourced from around the world. Having thus established the analytical 122 bittering profiles of these beers, 10 beers, which varied significantly in the congeners 123 present, were selected for sensory evaluation. A sensory lexicon for beer bitterness was 124 developed to adequately reflect the diversity of bitterness experienced by the panel and 125 was used to rate beer bitterness characteristics. Finally, correspondence analysis of the 126 sensory data set was used to explore links between the bitterness congener profiles and 127 perceived bitterness character of beers. This study thus represents a significant step 128 towards understanding how to control this important flavour attribute of beers.

129 2. Materials and methods

130 2.1 Materials

34 fresh commercial lager beers were sourced from 17 countries over 4 continents and
analysed within 8 weeks of production. For reasons of confidentiality the beers are not
identified but the countries from which they were sourced are as follows: Australia (2),
Belgium (1), Cuba (1), Czech Republic (6), Denmark (1), France (1), Germany (2),
Hungary (1), Italy (2), Netherlands (3), Poland (2), Peru (1), Romania (1), South Africa
(3), Turkey (1), UK (2) and USA (4).

137 2.2 Chemicals and reagents

Hydroquinone (99%), catechin (99%), epicatechin (98%), 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (99%), 138 139 caffeic acid (95%), vanillic acid (97%), syringic acid (95%), p-coumaric acid (98%), 140 sinapic acid (98%), ferulic acid (99%), 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (98%), gallic acid 141 (98%), cinnamic acid (98%), salicylic acid (99%), 1,2-didydroxybenzene (99%), 142 homovanillic (99%), gentisic acid (98%) and chlorogenic acid (99%) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). Protocatechuic acid (99.6%) was acquired from HWI analytic 143 144 (Germany). Ethyl benzoate, isooctane and methanol (all HPLC grade) as well as 145 orthophosphoric acid 85% (ASC grade) were purchased from VWR (UK). Reverse 146 osmosis (RO) water was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system by Millipore. 147 Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), ammonia and 148 ferric reagent solutions were all technical grade chemicals from VWR (UK). For 149 humulinone synthesis, CO₂ extract of α -acid resin (86%) was kindly donated by Botanix, 150 Paddock Wood, Kent. Cumene hydroperoxide (80% technical grade), diethyl ether, 151 sodium bicarbonate, hexane, phosphoric acid and hydrochloric acid (HCI) were all from 152 Sigma-Aldrich (UK) and of ASC reagent grades.

Iso-α-acid standard (ICE-3) containing trans-isocohumulone, trans-isohumulone, transisoadhumulone (62.3% w/w), α- & β-acid (44.64%, 24.28% w/w), and tetra standard (99.3% w/w) were purchased from Labor Veritas Co. (Switzerland).

156 2.3 Instrumentation

HPLC analysis was carried out on a Waters Alliance 2695 instrument equipped with a column heater and a membrane degasser. Detection was achieved with a UV detector and peak areas were processed with the operating HPLC software (Empower 2). Separation of polyphenols and hop acids was achieved with a Purospher STAR rp-18 endcapped column (250 X 4.6 mm, 3 μm) from Merck Millipore (UK) coupled with a C18 guard cartridge from Phenomenex (UK).

163 2.3 Analysis of hop bitter acids in beer

164 2.4.1 Extraction of hop bitter acids from beer

165 Cold beer was degassed by stirring for 1 h followed by the transfer of an aliquot (5 ml) 166 into a 50 ml centrifuge tube, the degassed beer was acidified with orthophosphoric acid 167 (100 µl) and an internal standard (benzoic acid) was added (0.003 mg/L). The mixture 168 was then extracted into isooctane (10 ml) on a roller bed for 30 min. The isooctane 169 extract was transferred into a glass tube and evaporated under a controlled flow of 170 Nitrogen with a Visidry attachment coupled to a solid phase extraction manifold 171 (Supelco). The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (2 ml) to give the HPLC sample.

172 2.4.2 HPLC-UV analysis of hop bitter acids

Hop acid separation was achieved with a binary mixture of (A) 1% v/v acetic acid and (B) 0.1% v/v orthophosphoric acid in acetonitrile. The gradient elution was: 0-5 min: 30% A, 70% B; 15-24 min: 20% A, 80% B; 25 min: 10% A, 90% B; 30 min: 10% A, 90% B; 35 min: 0% A, 100% B; 44 min: 0% A, 100% B; 46 min: 30% A, 70% B; 55 min: 30% A, 70% B over a 55 min run time. Injection volume was 10 µl, flow rate was 0.5 ml/min and column temperature was 25°C. The peak area of iso- α -acids, humulinones were extracted at 270 nm and at 310 nm for tetrahydro-iso- α -acids.

180 2.4.3 Determination of bitterness units

181 Bitterness unit was determined according to ASBC method Beer-23A (ASBC Method of 182 Analysis, 2011). Beer (5 ml) was transferred into a 50 ml centrifuge tube and acidified with 3N HCl (0.5 ml). Isooctane (10 ml) was added and the mixture was shaken by hand 183 184 three times before extraction on a rolled bed for 15 min. The mixture was subsequently 185 centrifuged at $400 \times g$ twice for 5 min each time to aid phase separation. An aliquot of 186 the clear isooctane layer was transferred into a cuvette and absorbance was measured 187 with a spectrophotometer at 275 nm against a blank of orthophosphoric acid and 188 isooctane. The recorded absorbance was multiplied by an empirical factor of 50 to give 189 BU values in mg/L.

190 2.5 Analysis of phenolic/ polyphenol compounds in beer

191 2.5.1 Extraction of beer phenolic compounds

192 The phenolic compounds listed in section 2.2 were extracted from beer using liquid-liquid 193 extraction. Degassed beer (5 ml) was transferred into a 50 ml centrifuge tube before 194 acidification with orthophosphoric acid (250 μ l). Ethyl acetate (10 ml) was then added 195 before extraction on a roller bed for 30 min. After extraction, the residual beer from the 196 bilayer mixture was discarded and RO water (5 ml) was added and further extracted on 197 the roller bed for 15 min. The water layer was removed and discarded while the extract 198 in ethyl acetate was transferred into a glass tube and dried down under controlled flow 199 of Nitrogen using a Visidry attachment coupled to a SPE manifold (Supelco). The residue 200 was reconstituted in a fixed volume of methanol (2 ml) prior to HPLC analysis.

201 2.5.2 HPLC-UV analysis of beer phenolic compounds

The chromatographic method used a binary solvent system consisting of (A) 1.25 % v/v acetic acid and (B) 0.1% v/v orthophosphoric acid in acetonitrile. The gradient elution protocol was as follows: 0-25 min: 98% A, 2% B; 25-30 min: 76% A, 24% B; 35-40 min: 55% A, 45% B; 45 min: 15% A, 85% B; 50 min: 0% A, 100% B; 55-65 min: 98% A, 2% B. Injection volume was 10 µl, flow rate was 0.5 ml/min and column temperature was set at 30°C. Peak areas were extracted at 280 nm and total run time was 65 min.

208 2.5.3 Determination of beer total polyphenol content

209 Beer total polyphenol content (TPC) was determined according to ASBC method Beer-35 210 (ASBC Method of Analysis, 1978), involving the reaction of polyphenols with ferric ion in 211 an alkaline solution. Beer (10 ml) was mixed with a preparation of 212 carboxymethylcellulose (CMC, 1%) and ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA, 0.2%) (8 ml) in a 25 ml volumetric flask. Ferric acid (0.5 ml) was added, followed by ammonia 213 214 (0.5 ml) with mixing after each addition. The solution was then made up to mark with 215 RO water and left to stand at room temperature for 10 min before an absorption 216 measurement was taken at 600 nm. The recorded absorbance was multiplied by 820 to 217 give total polyphenol values in mg/L.

218 2.6 Synthesis of humulinones from humulones

219 Humulinones were synthesised from humulone resin prepared from CO₂ extract of hops 220 (86.3% α-acids) using a modified version of a reported method (Taniguchi, Matsukura, 221 Ozaki, Nishimura, & Shindo, 2013). Humulone (1.41 g) and cumene hydroperoxide (0.7 222 ml) were dissolved in diethyl ether (7 ml). A solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate 223 (NaHCO₃, 6 g dissolved slowly in 40 ml RO water) was added to the solution and kept at 224 room temperature in a sealed vessel for 5 days, after which the sodium salt of 225 humulinones was generated. The salt was filtered and washed with water (150 ml x 2)226 and diethyl ether (150 ml x 2) under vacuum in a Buchner flask and funnel. The crude 227 extract (1.45 g) was subsequently dissolved in methanol (100 ml) containing 1% v/v228 phosphoric acid before the addition of a 0.5 N HCl solution (800 ml). The mixture was 229 partitioned with hexane (1 L x 2) before the hexane layer was evaporated to dryness 230 with a rotary evaporator to yield humulinones (0.95 g) of 99% purity (by HPLC).

231 2.7 Sensory evaluation of bitterness

Ethical approval for the sensory element of this investigation was obtained from the University of Nottingham Medical Ethics Committee (J12022015) and all participants gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

235 The qualitative aspects of bitterness were evaluated by experienced panellists from the 236 University of Nottingham trained beer panel (n=6) using descriptive analysis. First, 237 panellists were presented with a subset of 10 of the 34 beers to generate and define a 238 bitterness lexicon. These beers represented extreme variation in analytical variables and 239 were selected based on a PCA plot from the analytical concentration of their hop acid and 240 polyphenol contents. Panellists then attended a further 2 2h sessions during which they 241 tasted and described the bitterness of 10 ml samples of each beer and participated in 242 group discussions to agree a final list of clearly defined bitterness related terms. Beer 243 samples (10 ml) were then evaluated in 2 further sessions using a Check-All-That-Apply 244 (CATA) technique, (Dooley, Lee, & Meullenet, 2010) where panellists were asked to 245 indicate which of the terms in the lexicon were relevant to each sample. Data was 246 collected with Fizz software (Biosystèmes, France). Each sample was presented 247 individually and assessed in triplicate following a randomised balanced order based on a 248 partial latin square design and served at 4±1°C. During sample evaluation, panellists 249 were given 5 min to evaluate each sample followed by a 3 min break in order to 250 minimise bitterness carry over. Water (Evian, Danone, France) and crackers (Rakusen's, 251 UK) were provided for palate cleansing.

252 2.8 Quantitation and statistical analysis

253 External standard solutions of α -acids (0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 mg/L), iso- α -acids (1, 10, 20, 254 40 and 60 mg/L), tetra (0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 mg/L) and humulinones (0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 255 mg/L) were all prepared in acetonitrile. The mean values of triplicate injections were 256 used to plot calibration curves for the quantification of hop bitter acids in beers. A stock 257 solution (20 mg/L) of standard grade phenolic compounds was prepared by dissolving 258 (0.01 g) of the standards in a 500 ml volumetric flask containing a mixture of RO water 259 and methanol (~200 ml), before making up to mark with the same solvent mixture. Serial dilution was made from the stock solution to achieve external standards of 10, 5, 260 261 2.5, 1 and 0.5 mg/L levels. Quantification was achieved from the standard calibration 262 curves. Statistical analysis including Cochran's Q test was used to determine which of the attributes were significantly different between the beers. Correspondence analysis was used to process the frequency data of bitterness attributes for each beers. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to aid the selection of beers samples for sensory analysis based on analytical measurements of hop acid and polyphenol contents. All statistical analyses were performed with the XLSTAT, v2015 package.

268 3. Results and discussion

269 3.1 Phenolic profiles of lager beers

270 The liquid-liquid extraction protocol using ethyl acetate and water enabled the effective 271 analysis of quantitatively significant phenolic compounds in beer. An example of the 272 chromatographic separation achieved with the described extraction protocol and HPLC 273 method for the Czech lager beer (L) is provided as complementary data. The HPLC 274 method described enabled the simultaneous separation and quantification of several 275 phenolics in beer. Where possible, the phenolic compounds were identified based both on 276 prior knowledge and by matching peaks against authentic standards run separately, and 277 with regard to both retention time and UV absorbance spectrum. Whilst not all peaks on 278 the trace could be identified, unknown peak areas were also integrated and included in 279 the analytical profiles of the beers labelled as unknown (U) 1,2....etc. The elution pattern 280 of phenolic acids in beer followed an order of decreasing polarity under RP-HPLC 281 conditions, thus phenolic acid derivatives of benzoic acid were eluted before the 282 hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives. The polarity of phenolic acids is increased mostly by 283 the hydroxyl group at the para-position, followed by the ortho- and meta-positions of the 284 benzene ring (Torres, Mau-Lastovicka, & Rezaaiyan, 1987). The phenolic profile of each 285 of the 34 beers was analysed, however, without further reference to beer brands it 286 would not be informative to publish this data for each 'blind-coded' beer. To illustrate the 287 variability present in the data set, we summed the total contents of the quantified 288 phenolic compounds in each beer (Table 1), which shows a substantial range of 289 concentrations (3.9 to 21.2 mg/L). Ferulic acid was the most abundant phenolic acid 290 present in the beers, with a concentration ranging from 0.98 mg/L in the Australian lager 291 (BB) to 7.61 mg/L in the American lager beer O (data not shown). p-coumaric acid is the 292 precursor compound to ferulic acid and is formed via the shikimic acid reaction pathway, 293 therefore the concentration of ferulic acid is usually greater than that of *p*-coumaric acid 294 in beer (Garcia et al., 2004). The concentrations of *p*-coumaric acid across the beers 295 followed a similar pattern as observed for ferulic acid, with beers BB and O containing

296 0.37 mg/L and 3.07 mg/L respectively (data not shown). Beer O was also found to 297 contain the highest amount of phenolic compounds overall (Table 1; 21.17 mg/L), while 298 beer F a South African lager beer had the lowest concentration at 3.91 mg/L. Beers 299 brewed in Germany (J, P), South Africa (F, C, D), Denmark (S) and Australia (BB, DD) 300 all had phenolic compound concentrations below 8 mg/L whilst the Czech beers (I, E, L, 301 HH) and American lagers (O, T, Q) all had phenolic compound concentrations of >10 302 mg/L. These values represent the total free phenolic acid content of beer which is 303 reported to be approximately 10 - 20% of total beer polyphenol content, since a 304 significant portion of beer phenolics are suggested to exist in bound form (Floridi et al., 305 2003). The Czech beer (HH) was the only sample that contained gallic acid whilst 306 catechol, chlorogenic, salicylic, homovanillic and gentisic acids were not detected in the 307 beers, in agreement with reports from other studies (Garcia et al., 2004; Jandera et al., 308 2005).

309 3.2 The relationship between phenolic acid and total polyphenol content of beer

310 The TPC of the beers was found to range between 74 and 256 mg/L. Similar values (70 -311 240 mg/L) were reported by Dvorakova et al. (2007). The lowest concentration was 312 found in the Hungarian lager beer (G) whilst beers O and T, both American lagers and 313 the British lager beer (AA) all had polyphenol contents greater than 250 mg/L. Beer O 314 contained the highest amount of phenolic compounds and total polyphenol content. A 315 plot of total phenolic compound concentration versus TPC is displayed in Fig. 1. The plot 316 has been annotated to show three main clusters. The first cluster is of beers 317 characterised by TPC values of approximately 74 - 180 mg/L and phenolic compound 318 contents ranging between 3 and 15 mg/L. Beers AA and T formed a separate cluster, 319 due to the high TPC in these beers (>250 mg/L). In the last cluster, consisting of beers 320 E, O and HH, TPC ranged from 145 – 253 mg/L and phenolic compounds were in excess 321 of 15 mg/L. Interestingly, this data shows that there was no linear relationship between TPC and the sum of phenolic compounds quantified. This is most likely due to the highly 322 323 varied brewing techniques and ingredients employed in the industry. The observed higher TPC concentrations (>250 mg/L) in beers T, AA and O indicates that these beers
were dry-hopped products.

326 3.3 Hop bitter acid profile of lager beers

327 For the bitterness profiles of these beers, the bitter tasting hop acids present in the lager 328 beers were evaluated using two separate analytical methods; firstly by HPLC as 329 described in section 2.4.2 and secondly by bitterness unit method (2.4.3). The latter 330 method has been suggested to yield inflated bitterness values due its susceptibility to 331 interference from other compounds present in beer that absorb light at the wavelength 332 of measurement (Schönberger, 2006; Tomlinson, Ormrod, & Sharpe, 1995). In contrast, 333 HPLC measurements are agreed to provide a better assessment of beer bitterness 334 because they allow for the selective quantification of iso- α -acids, the major bittering 335 principles in beer (Ting, Kay, & Ryder, 2007). A comparison of the hop bitter acid 336 concentrations in the beers by BU and HPLC methods is presented in Fig. 2. The results 337 showed that the BU of the lager beers ranged from 8 - 36 mg/L, although bitterness was 338 overestimated by the BU method in comparison to HPLC values in around 60% of the 339 samples. The sum of analysed iso- α -acid hop acid concentrations (HPLC) was between 8 340 and 41 mg/L. The average bitterness across all 34 beers in both methods was ~23 mg/L 341 and the most bitter beers had concentrations >30 mg/L (GG, AA and L). The latter beers 342 each had lower BU values in comparison to HPLC values, e.g. beer AA had a BU value of 343 34 mg/L but the HPLC value was 41 mg/L. Around 7 of the beers including U, J, I, E, C 344 and T had similar bitterness concentrations according to both methods. The American 345 light lager beer R contained the lowest amount of hop acids (8 mg/L) and did not contain 346 any reduced iso- α -acid products.

Inspection of the HPLC chromatograms revealed that both iso- α -acids and tetrahydroiso- α -acids were present in beers K, S, V, N, as well as the presence of humulinones at up to 3 mg/L in beers O, Q, AA, T and V. The presence of tetrahydro-iso- α -acids in beers K, S, V, N explains the lower BU values attained in these beers relative to the values

351 determined by HPLC, since BU absorbance is taken at a lower wavelength (275 nm) to 352 the absorption maxima of tetrahydro-iso- α -acids (310 nm). Significantly, of these four 353 beers the BU value of beer V which contained humulinones was the only one greater than the attained HPLC value. This was also the case in the other beers containing 354 355 humulinones (O, Q and T) except for beer AA. This perhaps suggests that humulinones contribute to the bitterness values attained with BU method as has been previously 356 357 observed (Parkin, 2014), although the contribution of beer polyphenols to BU values 358 cannot be totally excluded either. The presence of humulinones as well as relatively high 359 polyphenol content in beers O, Q, AA, T and V further supports the hypothesis that these 360 beers were dry-hopped. The low TPC observed in beer Q in comparison to the other dry-361 hopped beers could be explained by a lower rate of dry-hopping or dry-hopping with 362 different hop products, i.e. hop pellets which contain relatively lower polyphenol content 363 instead of whole hop cones. Beers that contained tetra hop products (K, S, N, V) and 364 those dry-hopped (Q, AA, T, O) both displayed distinctive polyphenol and bitter acid 365 profiles. The highly dry-hopped beers (T, AA, Q) and high bitterness Czech lagers (E and 366 L) all had correspondingly high contents of phenolic compounds (see Table 1 and Figure 367 2).

368 3.4 Selection of exemplar beers for sensory assessment

369 In order to understand how the varying contents of hop acid isomers and phenolic 370 compounds impact on perceived sensory bitterness, a sub-set of 'exemplar beers' were 371 selected with the aid of a PCA plot of the analytical data. The PCA bi-plot shown in Fig. 3 accounted for about 65% of variation within the data set. A negative loading on PC 1 372 (47.6% of variation) was associated with the use of tetrahydro-iso- α -acids, whilst 373 374 positive loadings on this axis were related to high levels of iso- α -acids, α -acids, 375 humulinones and phenolic compounds. A positive loading on PC2 identified beers with a 376 high trans/cis ratio and residual α -acids – i.e. those which had used conventional 377 hopping practice as opposed to pre-isomerised or light stable products. Negative

378 loadings on this axis were driven largely by phenolic compounds (quadrant 4) or 379 tetrahydro-iso- α -acids (quadrant 3).

380 Beers in quadrant 1 were generally lower in hop acid and polyphenol content compared 381 to beers in quadrant 4 which were characterised by high levels of these compounds. The 382 beers in quadrant 2 were correlated with high trans/cis ratio and residual α -acids which, 383 as noted, is indicative of conventional hopping techniques. Beers in quadrant 3 had lower 384 trans/cis ratios, (indicative of the use of pre-isomerised hops) as well as containing 385 tetrahydro-iso- α -acids. A total of 10 beers were selected from the 4 quadrants to 386 represent the diversity amongst the 34 beers: beers CC and V from quadrant 1, X and 387 GG from quadrant 2, S, N and BB from quadrant 3 and beers E, AA and T from quadrant 388 4.

389 3.5 Beer bitterness lexicon

390 A total of 13 bitterness descriptors were generated by the trained panel of beer tasters 391 following concept alignment. These attributes as well as their definitions are presented in 392 Table 2, with some of the attributes e.g. instant, diminishing and progressive notably 393 related to the temporal character of bitterness. Cochran's Q test analysis of the CATA 394 frequency data showed that only 4 of the 13 bitterness attributes (acidic, tart, astringent 395 and artificial) did not significantly differentiate across the sample set (p > 0.05) (Table 396 2). The temporal descriptors as well as descriptors such as harsh, rounded, metallic and 397 smooth were all rated significantly differently amongst the 10 beers (p < 0.05).

398 3.6 Perceived bitterness character and correlation to bitterness and polyphenolic profile 399 The correspondence analysis of the sensory data is presented in Fig. 4. This revealed 400 that beer CC, selected from quadrant 1 of the PCA in figure 3, which had relatively low 401 hop bitter acid and polyphenol contents, was perceived as having an 'artificial', 'metallic' 402 and 'instant' bitterness. Beer V from the same quadrant (figure 3), but deduced to have 403 been dry-hopped from the presence of humulinones, had a 'rounded' and 'smooth' 404 bitterness character; temporally this beer was 'diminishing' in bitterness. Conventionally

405 bittered beer GG with a high trans/cis ratio (selected from quadrant 2 of the PCA) was 406 perceived as having a 'sharp' and 'instant' bitterness. Beers N and S from quadrant 3 of 407 the PCA which were bittered with a blend of tetra as well as iso- α -acids were described as 'diminishing' and somewhat 'acidic' in bitterness. Considering the trained panel was 408 409 not aware of the analytical bitterness fingerprint of these beers, it is interesting that all 410 the beers containing tetra (S, N, and V) are grouped together based on their sensory 411 bitterness character in the upper right quadrant of Fig. 4. Furthermore, they were 412 negatively correlated in this plot with beers AA, T and E which were relatively high in 413 levels of humulinones, iso- α -acids and polyphenols. This further supports the notion that 414 besides the intensity of bitterness, the character of bitterness in beer is also impacted by 415 the type of hop product used for bittering. The contribution of humulinones to beer 416 bitterness character is not yet fully understood. They were first thought not to contribute 417 significantly to bitterness (Verzele, 1986) but recent publications have associated the 418 presence of humulinones in beer with an increased sensation of bitterness and potentially the source of harsh bitterness character often present in dry-hopped beers 419 420 (McLaughlin et al., 2008; Parkin, 2014). A recent report by Hopsteiner suggested that 421 humulinones are approximately 65% as bitter as iso- α -acids, thus representing a 422 significant additional source of bitterness in beer (Steiner, 2015).

423 The third beer selected from quadrant 3 (BB) which was exclusively bittered with pre-424 isomerised products (based on the analytical profile and lower trans/cis ratio) was 425 described as being 'vegetative' in bitterness. Beers AA, E and T from quadrant 4 of the 426 PCA, containing the highest concentrations of hop acids, humulinones and polyphenols 427 were described as having a 'harsh' and 'progressive' bitterness character. A study of the 428 interaction between iso- α -acids and hop polyphenols by time-intensity (TI) and free 429 choice profiling (FCP) as reported by McLaughlin et al. (2008) found a significant effect of polyphenols on perceived intensity, as well as character, of bitterness. In the study, 430 431 samples high in polyphenols were higher in intensities of 'harsh', 'medicinal', and

- 432 'metallic' (McLaughlin et al., 2008). Our results for the bitterness characters of beers AA,
- 433 E and T in particular are in agreement with those findings.

434 4. Conclusions

435 The phenolic acid and total polyphenol contents of 34 lager beers brewed in different 436 geographical locations were determined. The former was achieved by a combination of 437 LLE and HPLC analysis while the latter was accomplished with an international global 438 assay method. Phenolic compound concentrations ranged from 3 – 12 mg/L and TPC was 439 between 74 - 256 mg/L, with the highest values identified in dry-hopped beers. No linear 440 relationship was found between total phenolic compound concentration and TPC although 441 dry-hopped beers were found to contain a greater amount of polyphenols in comparison 442 to beers that had not been dry-hopped (N.B. the usage of dry hopping was deduced from the presence of significant concentrations of humulinones in the beer, but was not 443 444 verified by the manufacturers in all instances). Sensory analysis showed that beers with 445 varying profiles of bitter congeners (hop acids and phenolics) had distinctive bitterness 446 characters. These differences are believed to be driven by the selective usage of various 447 hop products and points of addition in the brewing process. Since the present work used 448 a survey of international lager brands, these factors are largely deduced, albeit logically 449 and based on obvious analytical differences between the finished beers; however, it 450 should be borne in mind that the manufacturing processes were not disclosed, nor were 451 they independent variables in the study. Dry-hopped beers generally contained more 452 polyphenol compounds and humulinones, and were sensorially perceived as having a 453 'harsh' and 'progressive' bitterness. In comparison, beers which had evidently been 454 conventionally bittered and as such contained relatively high residual amount of α -acids 455 and trans/cis ratio were perceived as having an 'instant' and 'sharp' bitterness. Beers containing tetrahydro-iso- α -acids were rated as having a 'diminishing' temporal 456 457 character of bitterness. These results support the hypothesis that the production 458 processes employed by brewers in terms of hopping strategy, and the raw materials 459 used, give beers a distinct polyphenolic and bitterness fingerprint which influences the 460 overall bitterness impression of beer. Understanding the sensory character of bitterness 461 in beers, and how that relates to their analytical bitterness fingerprint is of significant

- value in order to both understand consumer response to beer bitterness and to optimise
- 463 production processes in this regard.

464 **Acknowledgement:**

- 465 We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of SABMiller plc and the University of
- 466 Nottingham in sponsoring this research.

467 **References**

- Aron, Patricia M, & Shellhammer, Thomas H. (2010). A discussion of polyphenols in beer
 physical and flavour stability. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, *116*(4), 369-380.
- Arrieta, Álvaro A, Rodríguez-Méndez, María L, De Saja, Jose A, Blanco, Carlos A, &
 Nimubona, Dieudonné. (2010). Prediction of bitterness and alcoholic strength in beer
 using an electronic tongue. *Food Chemistry*, 123(3), 642-646.
- 473 ASBC Method of Analysis. (1978). *American Society of Brewing Chemists*. Total
 474 Polyphenol, Beer-35. The Society: St Paul, MN, U.S.A.
- ASBC Method of Analysis. (2011). *American Society of Brewing Chemists*. Beer Bitterness,
 Beer-23A. The Society: St Paul, MN, U.S.A.
- 477 Caballero, Isabel, Blanco, Carlos A, & Porras, María. (2012). Iso-α-acids, bitterness and loss
 478 of beer quality during storage. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 26(1), 21-30.
- 479 Callemien, Delphine, & Collin, Sonia. (2009). Structure, organoleptic properties,
 480 quantification methods, and stability of phenolic compounds in beer A review. *Food*481 *Reviews International*, 26(1), 1-84.
- 482 Cocuzza, Sandro, & Mitter, Willi. (Personal Communication). Dry Hopping A Study Of
 483 Various Parameters. *Hopsteiner Technical Publications*.
- 484 Collin, Sonia, Jerkovic, Vesna, Bröhan, M, & Callemien, D. (2013). Polyphenols and Beer
 485 Quality *Natural Products: Springer*. (pp. 2333-2359).
- 486 De Keukeleire, Denis. (2000). Fundamentals of beer and hop chemistry. *Quimica nova*, 487 23(1), 108-112.
- 488 Dooley, Lauren, Lee, Young-seung, & Meullenet, Jean-François. (2010). The application of
 489 check-all-that-apply (CATA) consumer profiling to preference mapping of vanilla ice
 490 cream and its comparison to classical external preference mapping. *Food Quality and* 491 *Preference*, 21(4), 394-401.
- Drewnowski, Adam, & Gomez-Carneros, Carmen. (2000). Bitter taste, phytonutrients, and
 the consumer: a review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 72(6), 14241435.
- Floridi, Simona, Montanari, Luigi, Marconi, Ombretta, & Fantozzi, Paolo. (2003).
 Determination of free phenolic acids in wort and beer by coulometric array detection. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *51*(6), 1548-1554.
- 498 Fritsch, Annette, & Shellhammer, Thomas H. (2009). The Bitter Qualities of Reduced and
 499 Nonreduced Iso-α-acids. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 67(1),
 500 8-13.
- Garcia, A Alonso, Grande, B Cancho, & Gándara, J Simal. (2004). Development of a rapid
 method based on solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography with ultraviolet
 absorbance detection for the determination of polyphenols in alcohol-free beers. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1054(1), 175-180.
- Goiris, Koen, Jaskula-Goiris, Barbara, Syryn, Evelien, Van Opstaele, Filip, De Rouck, Gert,
 Aerts, Guido, & De Cooman, Luc. (2014). The flavoring potential of hop polyphenols
 in beer. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 72(2), 135-142.

- Haseleu, Gesa, Lagemann, Annika, Stephan, Andreas, Intelmann, Daniel, Dunkel, Andreas,
 & Hofmann, Thomas. (2010). Quantitative sensomics profiling of hop-derived bitter
 compounds throughout a full-scale beer manufacturing process. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(13), 7930-7939.
- Jandera, Pavel, Škeříková, Veronika, Řehová, Lucie, Hájek, Tomáš, Baldrianová, Lucie,
 Škopová, Gabriela, . . . Horna, Aleš. (2005). RP-HPLC analysis of phenolic
 compounds and flavonoids in beverages and plant extracts using a CoulArray
 detector. *Journal of Separation Science*, 28(9-10), 1005-1022.
- Lesschaeve, Isabelle, & Noble, Ann C. (2005). Polyphenols: factors influencing their sensory
 properties and their effects on food and beverage preferences. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 81(1), 330S-335S.
- McLaughlin, Ian R, Lederer, Cindy, & Shellhammer, Thomas H. (2008). Bitternessmodifying properties of hop polyphenols extracted from spent hop material. *Journal*of the American Society of Brewing Chemists, 66(3), 174-183.
- 522 Mikyška, A, Hrabak, M, Hašková, D, & Šrogl, J. (2002). The role of malt and hop
 523 polyphenols in beer quality, flavour and haze stability. *Journal of the Institute of*524 *Brewing*, 108(1), 78-85.
- Negri, Giuseppina, di Santi, Daniel, & Tabach, Ricardo. (2010). Bitter acids from hydroethanolic extracts of Humulus lupulus L., Cannabaceae, used as anxiolytic. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia, 20*(6), 850-859.
- Parkin, Ellen Jane. (Unpublished results). The influence of polyphenols and humulinones on
 bitterness in dry-hopped beer.
- Peleg, Hanna, Gacon, Karine, Schlich, Pascal, & Noble, Ann C. (1999). Bitterness and astringency of flavan-3-ol monomers, dimers and trimers. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 79(8), 1123-1128.
- Schmidt, Christina, Biendl, Martin, Lagemann, Annika, Stettner, Georg, Vogt, Christian,
 Dunkel, Andreas, & Hofmann, Thomas. (2014). Influence of Different Hop Products
 on the cis/trans Ratio of Iso-α-Acids in Beer and Changes in Key Aroma and Bitter
 Taste Molecules During Beer Ageing. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 72(2), 116-125.
- Schönberger, Ch, & Kostelecky, T. (2011). 125th Anniversary Review: the role of hops in
 brewing. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, *117*(3), 259-267.
- Schönberger, Christina. (2006). Bitter is better. *Monatsschrift für Brauwissenschaft*, 3(4), 5665.
- 542 Steiner, S. (Personal Communication). Humulinone Utilization In Dry-Hopped Beers.
 543 *Hopsteiner Newsletter*.
- 544Taniguchi, Yoshimasa, Matsukura, Yasuko, Ozaki, Hiromi, Nishimura, Koichi, & Shindo,545Kazutoshi. (2013). Identification and quantification of the oxidation products derived546from α-acids and β-acids during storage of hops (Humulus lupulus L.). Journal of547Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61(12), 3121-3130.
- Ting, Patrick L, Kay, Susan, & Ryder, David. (2007). Separation and identification of
 stereoisomers of isomerized α-acid derivatives by HPLC/DAD and electrospray
 HPLC/MS. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 65(1), 9-14.

- Tomlinson, JB, Ormrod, IHL, & Sharpe, FR. (1995). A novel method for bitterness
 determination in beer using a delayed fluorescence technique. *Journal of the Institute*of Brewing, 101(2), 113-118.
- Torres, Andrew M, Mau-Lastovicka, Terry, & Rezaaiyan, Ruhi. (1987). Total phenolics and
 high-performance liquid chromatography of phenolic acids of avocado. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 35(6), 921-925.
- Verzele, M. (1986). 100 years of hop chemistry and its relevance to brewing. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, 92(1), 32-48.
- Wolfe, Peter Harold. (Unpublished results). A study of factors affecting the extraction offlavor when dry hopping beer.

Table 1: Variation in the total analysed concentrations of phenolic compounds in 34commercal lager beers.

564

		TPCC* (mg/L)		
BEER	COUNTRY	SUM	SE	
0	USA	21.17	1.5	
Q	USA	13.12	0.8	
НН	Czech republic	18.25	1.5	
E	Czech republic	17.73	1.8	
L	Czech republic	13.87	1.1	
AA	UK	12.26	1.1	
т	USA	12.73	0.6	
I	Czech republic	12.05	1.0	
W	Belgium	11.39	0.4	
G	Hungary	11.26	0.8	
X	Czech republic	9.61	0.2	
М	Italy	9.17	1.0	
К	Czech republic	9.28	1.5	
Y	Netherlands	8.45	0.7	
Z	Turkey	8.18	0.4	
GG	Romania	8.89	0.5	
Α	Poland	8.47	0.4	
EE	Peru	8.26	0.8	
Ν	France	7.44	0.7	
CC	Italy	7.30	0.5	
В	Poland	7.67	0.5	
R	USA	6.55	1.0	
DD	Australia	5.75	0.5	
V	UK	6.45	0.5	
FF	Cuba	6.32	0.7	
S	Denmark	5.12	0.2	
Р	Germany	6.10	0.2	
J	Germany	5.75	0.6	
н	Netherlands	4.79	0.5	
U	Netherlands	5.84	1.0	
С	South Africa	5.59	0.2	
BB	Australia	4.21	0.5	
D	South Africa	4.42	0.3	
F	South Africa	3.91	0.1	

565

566 * TPCC = total phenolic compound concentration of gallic acid, hydroquinone, protocatechuic acid, catechin,

picatechin, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, caffeic acid, vanillic acid, sinapic acid, syrignic

acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid and cinnamic acid quantified in beer by HPLC.

 $569 \qquad {\sf SE} \ {\rm is \ standard \ error \ of \ three \ independent \ replicate \ analyses}.$

570 Table 2: Beer bitterness descriptors (and their definitions) which were used for sensory

571 evaluation.

Attribute	Definition	<i>p</i> -value
Harsh	Tingly, painful, irritating, raspy	0.000*
Acidic	Vinegary, fruit-like acidity	0.491
Tart	Acidic with sour notes	0.219
Rounded	Pleasant, not spiky, not harsh	0.000*
Metallic	Tin/metal taste, silver coin taste	0.041*
Sharp	Instant, bitterness taste at tip of tongue	0.008*
Smooth	Velvety	0.006*
Astringent	Dry, causing drying of the mouth	0.659
Artificial	Chemically, unnatural beer taste	0.517
Vegetative	Cabbage, sprout-like bitterness, hop-tea	0.000*
Progressive	Bitterness perception increases gradually	0.009*
Instant	Instantaneous bitterness	0.020*
Diminishing	Bitterness perception decreases quickly after ingestion	0.002*

p-values are from Cochran's Q-test. *P<0.05 indicates that the term was scored significantly differently amongst the 10 lager beers used for sensory bitterness characterisation.



577

581 Figure 1: Plot of total phenolic compound concentrations (HPLC) versus total polyphenol

582 content (according to ASBC method Beer-35) for 34 commercial lager beers.



577

578 Figure 2: A comparison of the bitterness of 34 commercial lager beers as determined both by spectrophotometric BU values (striped 579 bars) and the sum of iso-humulones determined by HPLC analysis (black bars).

580 581 Data are ordered by increasing sum of iso- α -acids; error bars represent standard error values of 3 independent replicate analyses.

*Signifies beers containing tetrahydro-iso- α -acids.



582

Beer	BU(mg/L)	Bitterness profile (mg/L)				TPC(mg/L)	TPCC(mg/L)*	T/C ratio(%)*
		Humulinones	Iso-α-acids	α-acids	Tetra			
сс	23.0	0.0	18	2.0	0.0	105.0	7.30	37
V	10.0	1.5	8	1.8	0.0	167.0	6.45	38
GG	33.0	0.5	35	3.5	0.0	182.0	8.89	47
Х	25.0	0.0	25	1.6	0.0	134.0	9.61	48
S	8.0	0.0	10	0.0	3.8	167.0	5.12	27
Ν	12.0	0.0	20	0.0	3.1	163.0	7.44	34
BB	21.0	0.0	23	0.0	0.0	122.0	4.21	23
E	34.0	0.0	33	2.2	0.0	192.0	17.73	40
AA	34.0	3.0	41	3.8	0.0	253.0	12.26	43
т	36.0	2.5	31	5.3	0.0	252.0	12.73	44

583

Figure 3: PCA plot of 34 commercial lager beers according to their analysed contents of hop acid isomers and phenolic compounds. Tabulated data provides a summary of the analytical profile of the beer samples selected for sensory analysis.

587 *TPCC = total phenolic compound concentration of each beer by HPLC.

588	*T/C	ratio	=	trans/cis	ratio.



Figure 4: Correspondence analysis symmetric plot of bitterness attributes (diamonds) and beers (squares).