

University of Pisa DESTEC-GRNSPG Nuclear Research Group in San Piero a Grado (Pisa)- Italy

# **Panel Session: V&V and more in Nuclear Thermal-Hydraulics**

F. D'Auria

M. Lanfredini

**NUTHOS-12** 

12<sup>th</sup> International Topical Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics

Qingdao (PRC) - Oct. 14-18, 2018





#### CONNECTION

#### NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY & NRS $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ SYS TH $\leftarrow \rightarrow \vee \& \vee$

- **1950:** NPP designed, no computers, no SYS TH
- **1960:** Fundamental TH, computers appeared
- **1970:** Complex TH, numerical codes appeared
- **1980:** <u>V & V needs</u>, experimental Data Base available
- **1990:** Uncertainty Methods (UM) appeared, V & V 'finalized' (<u>SYS TH</u> words)
- 2000: UM, V & V, <u>Best Estimate Plus Uncertainty (BEPU)</u> attempts
- **2010: Domain for BEPU**

### INDUSTRY & REGULATORS ACCEPTANCE NEEDED FOR BEPU V & V ESSENTIAL

# 1) OUTLINE OF CURRENT/ADVANCED V&V



### DOMAIN OF SIMULATION FOR SYS TH CODES

**LIST OF CONTENT** 

**Precision Objectives** 

**Qualitative and Quantitative Accuracy Evaluation** 

**IDENTIFICATION** 

**OF KEY TOPICS** 

**CONSIDERATION OF 116 TH PHENOMENA** 

**SCALING PART OF V&V – RECENT FINDINGS** 

**INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT & ASSESSMENT OF ASSESSMENT** 

### 2) THE MOTIVATION FOR V&V&C

### **3) THE DEFINITION OF 'C' = CONSISTENCY**

### 4) THE ROADMAP FOR V&V&C



Unpublished IAEA report

### **IDENTIFICATION OF KEY TOPICS** 1 of 4

- 1. Design of system thermal-hydraulic codes:
  - Domain of simulation
  - Precision objective
  - Attributes for safety analyses
  - Scaling requirements
- 2. The development of codes implies the consideration of the following elements:
  - Physical models
    - Fundamental models for thermal-hydraulics
    - Special thermal-hydraulic models
    - Non-thermal-hydraulic systems (noticeably nuclear fuel and neutron physics)
  - Numerics
  - Code implementation
    - Structure
    - Programming
    - **Software Quality Engineering**
  - Code assessment strategy within the development process
  - Code manual
  - Life cycle
    - **Quality Assurance**



### **IDENTIFICATION OF KEY TOPICS** 2 of 4

- **3.** The following areas form the Verification:
  - Numerical algorithm and numerical solution

Numerical scheme

Verification matrix for numerical algorithm and solution

**Types of verification solutions** 

Accuracy definition and numerical error estimation

Qualitative

Quantitative

**Checklist for review and inspection** 

- Source code

Tools for verification Portability of the code Review and inspection

Development of nodalization





#### **IDENTIFICATION OF KEY TOPICS 3 of 4**

4. The following areas form the Validation: **Physical laws and closure relations** Validation matrix **Basic tests Separate Effect Tests** Unpublished **Integral Effect Tests** IAEA report Large scale experiments **Containment experiments** NPP data Scaling **Code-to-code comparison Accuracy definition Qualitative Ouantitative** Validation report **Assessment of validation Use of validation for uncertainty Sources of uncertainty** Sensitivity tests **Oualification of nodalization** Thresholds of acceptability **User effect User guidelines** 



#### **IDENTIFICATION OF KEY TOPICS 4 of 4**

5. Independent assessment must be connected with code maintenance and improvement:

- **Independent assessment (meaning of)** 
  - The matrix

Use of sensitivity analyses

Assessment of validation

**Maintenance** (meaning of)

6. Code application in nuclear technology implies the consideration of the following topics:

**Key applications** 

Licensing and V & V

Unpublished IAEA report **Technology consistent independent assessment matrix** 

Assessment of an individual calculation

**Documents for user qualification** 

**Code application and uncertainty** 





#### **DOMAIN OF SIMULATION FOR SYS TH CODES**

**NPP FEATURES** 

NEEDS FOR THE OPERATION & DESIGN



**OTHER NEEDS** 

- TH PHENOMENA
- OPERATOR TRAINING
- SCALING
- USER FRIENDLY
- ETC.

ENTERING THE DIAGRAM IN THE NEXT SLIDE

#### **DOMAIN OF SIMULATION FOR SYS TH CODES**



Nomenclature in next page

NEEDS

S

**CAPABILITIE** 

õ

FEATURES

CODE



#### **NOMENCLATURE & PRECISION OBJECTIVES**

	Nomenclature / Disciplines of Multi-physics			
в	= Boron including mixing and separation.			
сн	= Chemistry restricted to the zirconium-water reaction.			
FP	= Fuel performance.			
GT	= Gas Transport (N2, H2 and fission products) including mixing and separation.			
I&C	= Instrumentation and Control including the logics.			
ME	= Mechanics, e.g. dealing with the inertial behavior of rotors.			
NP	= Neutron Physics.			
NVF	P = Non-volatile fission products transport including mixing and separation.			
тн	= Thermal-Hydraulics including conduction and radiation heat transfer.			

**Precision objectives** 

The precision objectives shall be fixed in relation to each of the listed disciplines, making reference to:

- Steady state.
- Transient at qualitative level.
- Transient at quantitative level.



#### **PRECISION OBJECTIVES**

#### Acceptance criteria for Nodalization

Qualit	ative A	Accuracy
--------	---------	----------

QUANTITY	ACCEPTABLE ERROR (
Primary circuit volume	1%
Secondary circuit volume	2 %
Non-active structures heat transfer area (overall)	10 %
Active structures heat transfer area (overall)	0.1 %
Non-active structures heat transfer volume (overall)	14 %
Active structures heat transfer volume (overall)	0.2 %
Volume vs. height curve (i.e. "local" primary and secondary circuit volume)	10 %
Component relative ele vation	0.01 m
Axial and radial power distribution (**)	1%
0 Flow area of components like valves, pumps orifices	1%
1 Generic flow area	10 %
2 Primary circuit power balance	2%
3 Secondary circuit power balance	2 %
4 Absolute pressure (PRZ, SG, ACC)	0.1 %
5 Fluid temperature	0,5% (**)
6 Rod surface temperature	10 K
7 Pump velocity	1%
8 Heat losses	10 %
9 Local pressure drops	10 % (^)
0 Mass inventory in primary circuit	2 % (^^)
1 Mass inventory in secondary circuit	5% (M 10
2 Flow rates (primary and secondary circuit)	2%
3 Bypass mass flow rates	10 %
4 Pressurizer level (collapsed)	0.05 m
5 Secondary side or downcomer level	0.1 m (^

	•	BL-34	BL-44	SB-03	SB-04	6.2-TC	CL-21
	Pressurizer emptying	E	E	R	R	R	R.
	Maximum break flowrate/initial loop flowrate	R	R	R	R	. <b>E</b>	R
	Average specific break flowrate during phase (a)	R	R	R	R	E	R
Phase (a)	First dry out duration	M	М	М	M	М	М
	Period for dry out starting at bottom level	E	E	E	E	E	E
Subcooled	Period for dry out starting at middle level	М	E	М	E	М	М
nd first core dry	Period for dry out starting at high level	М	М	М	E	М	М
out rewetting	Pump stop or pump velocity	E	· E	E	E	E	•

#### **Quantitative Accuracy – Application of FFTBM**

	D Participant/Country/Code	NV	Time interval of analysis					
ID			0-3000 s		0.6000 s		0.8000 s	
			1/WF <sub>tel</sub>	AA	I/WF <sub>60</sub>	AA	L/WF <sub>64</sub>	AA
1	ZFK Dresden, Germany, R5/M2	25	9.2	0.30	٠	٠	٠	٠
2	KINS, Korea, R5/M3	25	10,0	0.68	٠	٠	٠	٠
3	LTKK, Finland, CATH 2 V1.2(3L)	25	9.4	0.62	•	•	•	•
- 4	Kurchatov Institute, Russia, R5/M3	25	9.7	0.40	٠	٠	•	٠
5	CIAE Beijing, China, R5/M2	25	8,8	0.31	٠	٠	٠	٠
6	JINS, Japan, R5/M2	24	8,6	0.40	٠	٠	*	•
7	JAERI, Japan, CATH. 2 V1.2(31.)	22	8,5	0.47	٠	٠	+	٠
8	Studvisk Nuclear, Sweden, RS/M3	25	8.4	0.25	10.5	0,26	+	٠
9	NNC, England, NOTRUMP	24	7,3	0.51	12.3	0,51	+	•
10	Kurchatov Institute, Russia, R5/M2	25	9.4	0.46	14.9	0,60	*	٠
11	JAERI, Japan, CATH. 2 V1.2(21.)	22	8,5	0.47	11.1	0.33	*	٠
12	Paul Scherrer, Switzerland, RS/M2	25	10,0	0.50	14.3	0,39		•
13	GRS, Gennany, ATHLET MILE	25	8,4	0.39	10,4	0,36	*	٠
14	Tractabel, Belgium, R5/M2	25	8,8	0.41	14.0	0,44	+	٠
15	UKAEA, England, R5/M2	25	8,6	0.27	11.5	0.29	+	٠
16	VTT, Finland, R5/M3	25	9.7	0.38	11.5	0.33	10,9	0.39
17	Texas AMU, USA, R5/M3	25	9.6	0.39	12.0	0.49	14.2	0,44
18	UKAEA, England, RS/M3	25	8,6	0.35	10.6	0,30	10,8	0,30
19	KAERI, Korea, CATH. 2 V1.2(21.)	23	8.1	0.49	11.5	0,41	11.6	0,42
20	IPENCNEN, Brazil, TRAOPF1	22	8,2	0.35	12,0	0,35	10,8	0,40
21	DCMN/ENEA, Italy-Croatia, R5/M2	22	8.0	0.36	12.6	0,36	12.5	0,38
22	AEKI, Hungary, R5/M2	25	10,0	0.52	15.8	0,55	14,1	0,55
23	Jožef Stefan Institute, Slovenia, RSM3	25	8,4	0.38	14.8	0,40	13,8	0.39
24	Jožef Stefan Institute, Slovenia, RS/M2	25	8,4	0.39	11.9	0,55	14.9	0,44
25	NPPRI, Slovakia, R5/M2	22	9.1	0.40	10.6	0,56	9.9	0,64





#### **PRECISION OBJECTIVES – Nodalization & Calculation Acceptance**

Results related to the "<u>acceptability of input deck</u>" are reported in the same diagram as results of "<u>acceptability of calculation results</u>".





#### **CONSIDERATION OF 116 PHENOMENA**

NC WITH NOTIZOTITAL SG

Natural convection and H2 distribution

NC RPV and containment & various system configurations

Nuclear Engineering and Design 330 (2018) 166-186



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nuclear Engineering and Design

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nucengdes

1-12-NC0

A-11-NC

S-42-NC0-c

#### Thermal-hydraulic phenomena for water cooled nuclear reactors

N. Aksan<sup>a</sup>, F. D'Auria<sup>b,\*</sup>, H. Glaeser<sup>c</sup>

#### Excerpt from list of 116

PWK-V

Also containment

Inside containment

New Reactors

SETF

S-43-NCG Non condensable gas effect including condensation HT in RCS SETF PWR Also ITF SETF/ITF See I-29-NTF2 Nuclear fuel behavior I-16-NTF1 Nuclear thermal-hydraulics feedback and spatial effect (see also I-29-NTF2) ITE BWR Also RBMK, ABWR, etc. Nuclear thermal-hydraulics instabilities ITE BWR See I-16 and S-44 S-44-PCEI Parallel channel effects and instabilities PCEI SETF BWR S-45-PSB Phase separation at branches (including effect on TPCF) SETF Also ITF (T-branches) S-46-PS1 Phase separation/vertical flow with and w/o mixture level-Core Also ITF All Phase separation/vertical flow with and w/o mixture level-Downcomer S-47-PS2 SETF S-48-PS3 Phase separation/vertical flow with and w/o mixture level-Pipes & Plena I-17-PFU Pool formation in UP ITF PWR See also S-8-CCF6 B-7-PD-c Pressure drops at geometric discontinuities, including containment Basic N/A Also New Reactors B-8-PW Pressure wave propagation including CIWH Basic I-18-PRB Pressure-temperature increase & boiling due to energy and mass input PW/BWR ITF Containment & Shutdown I-19-PRZ PRZ thermal-hydraulics ITF PWR S-49-QF1 PWR/BWR QF propagation/rewet-Fuel rods SETF S-50-QF2 QF propagation/rewet-Channel walls, Water rods BWR I-20-RF Refill including loop refill in PWR-O ITF PWR/BWR PHW rather than PH I-21-RE Reflood I-22-RCM Reflux condenser mode and CCFL ITE PWR Return to Nucleate Boiling (RNB) See Reflood & QF S-51-SEP Separator behavior (&\* flooding, steam penetration, liquid carry-over) SETF PWR/BWR \*Mainly for BWR 1-23-SIP SG siphon draining (SG interaction with ESF, including gravity driven) ITF Shutdown conditions PWR S-63-SPR-c Spray effects-Containment (added T-HP) SETF All S-52-SPR1 Spray effects-Core (including cooling and distribution) BWR DULUD C

#### **CROSS-LINK WITH**

**ACCIDENT SCENARIOS** 

#### PARAMETERS







#### INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT & ASSESSMENT OF ASSESSMENT



**INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT** 

(not involved with calculation preparation-outcomes)

No	Туре	Concerned	Concerned	Notes
		NPP	phenomenon or	
			DBA	
1			Bottle emptying	To test code features
2			U-tube manometer	To test code features and dependency of
	Basic	-		results upon boundary conditions
3			Pressure drops in	
			two phase flow	
4	SET		TPCF	Key phenomenon for DBA Analysis
5	SE I		Transient CHF	
6			SBLOCA	
7	ITF	PWR		Counterpart Test, to address the scaling
				issue
8	NPP			To perform Kv-scaled calculation

#### (demonstration of) ASSESSMENT OF ASSESSMENT



### (RESULTING) ATTRIBUTE FOR A SYS TH CODE (unchanged in 40+ years)







#### 1) Accuracy of NTH models lags behind technological needs

Thermal-hydraulic model capabilities are found to lag behind industry needs, requiring innovative ideas and investigations; the following log-frame to be considered:

V&V Capability ←→ Development and Qualification.





#### 2) Inadequate experimental database (EXP-DB) - 1 of 2

(showing) NARROW PARAMETER RANGES FOR VALIDATION





#### 2) Inadequate experimental database (EXP-DB) - 2 of 2

CSNI SETF & ITF EXP-DB COVERS (<) < 1% parameter ranges / combinations expected in DBA of WCNR → Situation may be worse looking at 116 TH phenomena.







### 3) Inadequate V & V role: (b) – CHF & FILM BOIILING



### **THE DEFINITION OF 'C' = CONSISTENCY**

The rudimentary and broad definition for consistency is: 'Consistency is an activity connected with the development and the qualification of numerical codes which covers topics not considered by current V&V'.

A detailed definition is expected to cover the above issues, to take into account of (fundamental) physical laws even in the absence of experimental evidence, to provide a hint for the development of computational tools and to not contradict the current V&V (rather being complementary to the existing procedures):

'Consistency aims at filling gaps of V&V in nuclear thermal-hydraulics, with focus:

a) to connect the modeling features and the technological needs,

b) to take into account of the limitations of the experimental database mainly in terms of the space covered by parameter ranges,

c) to streamline the conditions for developing improved capability models'.

### THE DEFINITION OF 'C' = CONSISTENCY





### THE ROADMAP FOR V&V&C



Step I – **THE ELEMENTS** (consistent geometry and material properties parameter ranges)

Step II – THE LOGFRAME (cross linking phenomena and models)

Step III – THE REQUIREMENTS (consistency requirements for models)

I) THE ELEMENTS CLASSIFYING SSC FOR CORE, RPV, PS, SS, BOP, AND CONTAINMENT, (including materials)

IDENTIFYING/CHARACTERIZING N (> 100)

#### II) THE LOGFRAME

N (geometry-material) ELEMENTS 116 TH PHENOMENA M (a few dozen) MODELS L (a couple dozen) PARAMETERS

**CROSSLINKING N-116-M-L** 

III) REQUIREMENTS

.... NEXT SLIDE ....

### THE ROADMAP FOR V&V&C



#### THE REQUIREMENTS FOR [V&V&]C

#### Illa – General requirements (selected)

Any model should be applicable inside the resulting parameters space, **i.e. inside and outside the range of validation** in relation to which experimental data exist. Outside the range of validation, model predictions should be physically sound (according to the best available information).

**Pressure drops at GD for 1 and 2-phase**: fluid velocities (from zero to values corresponding to TPCF or to sound speed in both directions) and void fraction (from zero to one) at the minimum section should be independent parameters; the generation of cavitation conditions should be detected (e.g. as a function of subcooling) where necessary.

Each phenomenon shall have at least one associated model. Special models and models forming the set of constitutive equations can be distinguished.

A study of each mathematical function (M-Models) must be performed checking for peaks, valleys and singular points (including cliff-edge effects and\or conditions for bifurcations); the study shall include the derivative(s) of the function, e.g.  $\vartheta($ output quantity) /  $\vartheta($ input quantity). Continuity shall be demonstrated or discontinuities justified.

Each model shall be checked for zero and negative fluid velocities, different liquid and steam velocities, the full range of pressure and temperature (etc.).

Acceptability criteria, shall be established in relation to each requirement.

### **THE ROADMAP FOR V&V&C**



#### THE REQUIREMENTS FOR [V&V&]C

#### IIIb – Requirements for individual TH phenomena (one example)

	Topic / Parameters	Activity	Requirements				
		Systematic calculations, rows 1-11, varying upstream pressure ( $p_0$ ) and void ( $\alpha$ ),					
		rows 12-13, varying local					
		to derive:					
1			A) Demonst rate continuity of functions, derivatives, etc. (Step IIIa, requirement 5.4)				
2	Liquid and steam		B) Justify differences related to the				
3	velocities (w <sub>q</sub> , w <sub>f</sub> ) and Slip ratio (S)	curves of $w_g$ , $w_f$ and S at CS	C) At critical pressure, S must be unity				
4			D) Derive and explain differences between $w_{a}$ , $w_{f}$ and sound speed.				
5	Supersonic flow downstream the CS	values of w <sub>f</sub> and w <sub>g</sub> in supersonic conditions	E) This must be computed by the model, together with return to subsonic flow.				
6	Energy transfer across the CS	kinetic and thermal energy values	F) Thermal plus kinetic energy a t pipe inlet must be consistent at pipe outlet				
7	End of "critical	occurrence of criticality conditions at CS	G) Demonstrate that non -critical flowrate ≥ critical flowrate (TPCF)				
8	conditions" at CS	pressure at CS (pcr)H) Demonstrate that if containment pressure (p co) > p cr, non -critic flowrate occurs					
9	Derivative ϑ(p₀-p <sub>co</sub> ) / ϑ(TPCF)	derivative in first column	I) Demonstrate continuity (or explain discontinuities)				
10	Model a reservoir and a	entrony of the 'isolated	J) Demonstrate the total entropy increase in the isolated system				
11	analysis (isolated-ideal system, no structures)	system'	K) Demonstrate peak pressure in containment not depending upon TPCF				
12	Cavitation at pipe inlet	L) Demon					
13			M) Demonstrate the possibility of occurrence of TPCF at pipe inlet				



- V & V TARGETS DERIVED FROM CODE DEVELOPMENT, NPP FEATURES & DBA ENVELOPE
- THE NODALIZATION IS A TARGET FOR V & V AND A SOURCE OF ERRORS
- ADDRESS THE SCALING ISSUE IS PART OF V & V
- CONNECT INPUT & OUTPUT ERRORS AND ERROR ACCEPTABILITY
- DISTINGUISH, WITHIN THE V & V PROCESS, BETWEEN SENSITIVITY AND UNCERTAINTY AND <u>CONSIDER BOTH</u>
- RECOGNIZE THE NEED OF QUALIFIED USERS FOR V & V, THEN 'INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT' AND 'ASSESSMENT OF ASSESSMENT'



The **current V&V proved inadequate** for model improvement & the **supporting experimental DB for validation covers only a small portion of the parameters space characterizing NPP nominal and transient conditions**..

#### V&V&C is a (large) human-and-financial resource-consuming approach.

Checking the physical validity of the results in a parameter space where experimental data are not available, creating crosslink matrices involving parameters, phenomena, NPP components and models and imposing conditions to the mathematical formulation of models, can be used for a snapshot characterization of the 'C' field.

'C' covers both Verification and Validation. V&V&C will have a role in model development while V&V only proves model capabilities.

# The change from V&V to V&V&C appears an epochal change in the area of code development and validation,

### **MESSAGE**



# V&V&C IS NOT A TECHNOLOGY BY ITSELF

# **V&V&C MAY REVEAL AS A MULTIDISCIPLINARY TASK**

# V&V&C MUST BE ADAPTED TO ANY TECHNOLOGY