An OSSE Investigating a Constellation of 4-5 μm Infrared Sounders

Will McCarty^{1,2} ¹Global Modeling and Assimilation Office ²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

J. Blaisdell³, M. Cordero-Fuentes^{1,4}, D. Carvalho^{1,5}, M. Chattopadhyay^{1,4}, R. Errico^{1,5}, R. Gelaro^{1,2}, L. Kouvaris³, I. Moradi^{1,6}, S. Pawson^{1,2}, N. Prive^{1,5}, M. E. Sienkiewicz^{1,4}, and J. Susskind²

³Science Applications International Corporation
⁴Science Systems and Applications, Inc.
⁵Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research
⁶Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center

9 January 2018





Brief Project Overview

Results presented based on an OSSE for MISTiC[™] Winds

- MISTiC[™] Winds provide High Spatial/Temporal Resolution Temperature and Humidity Soundings of the Troposphere
- The observing strategy is to retrieve atmospheric state and motion via LEO Constellation of MicroSats
 - Infrared spectrometer sampling the midwave
 - With the constellation approach, temporally subsequent sets of retrievals can then be used to perform feature tracking and retrieve atmospheric motion vectors (AMVs)
- Main goal of the study is to investigate the potential impact of these observations of both the <u>wind and</u> <u>radiance</u> information from the constellation
- Study is performed on top of GMAO OSSE system
 - Full 2016 Observing System
 - Simulated from 7 km GEOS-5 Nature Run





MISTiC Winds = <u>M</u>idwave <u>Infrared Sounder for</u> <u>Temperature and humidity in a Constellation for</u> <u>Winds</u>

MISTiC Radiances



MISTiC spectral information is about 1/3 of AIRS, CrIS, IASI

- Simulated MISTiC spectrum shown in green, based on BAE-provided specs
- 590 channels ranging from 1735-2450 cm⁻¹

All cases perform a channel selection, down-selecting to 46 channels

- Necessary as correlated observation error are not considered in the analysis
- Thermal contrast in the water vapor, temperature sounding channels is a proxy for independent information content

Nature run clouds used in simulation to produce realistic yeilds



Wind Simulation in an OSSE



Atmospheric Motion Vector (AMV) Retrieval

- An inference of the wind via feature tracking
 - Clouds and water vapor gradients
- Traditionally via satellite imagery
 - advantages in spatial and temporal resolution compared to sounding
 - Largest errors in height assignment

Wind Simulation in an OSSE





Observation Simulation - Wind

Wind Simulator

- Observations are derived from NR
- Probability of cloud AMV is determined as a function of NR cloud fraction
 - Considers sub-column based on maximum-random overlap
- Probability of water vapor AMV determined on <u>fixed pressure surfaces</u>
 - Function of RH and RH gradient
- The purpose of this is that an observing system based on AMVs will not have regular sampling
 - Based on distribution of trackable features
 - The strength of data assimilation to produce regularly gridded fields





900

800

700 🅰

²⁰⁰ Height

400 ausonus 300 Julia ausonus Julia ausonus

200

100

Experiment Configuration

Control – GMAO OSSE System

- Full Observing System circa 2016
 - Conventional: RAOB, surface, aircraft
 - Satellite Retrieved: GEO AMVs (GOES/ Himawari/MeteoSat), Polar LEO AMVs (MODIS Aqua/Terra)
 - Radiance:
 - IR: AIRS, IASI (Metop-A/B), CrIS, HIRS (Metop-A)
 - Microwave T: AMSU-A (NOAA-15/18/19, Metop-A/B, Aqua), ATMS, SSMIS F17
 - Microwave Q: MHS (NOAA-18, Metop-A/B)
 - All observations have error models applied

Experiment – 4PERF

- Control + 4 Orbit Configuration
 - MISTiC Radiances (46 channel selection)
 - Channel selection performed to reduce interchannel correlations
 - MISTIC AMVs (Cloud & WV)
 - No additional errors applied to either radiances or AMVs

Experiment – 4ERR

- 4PERF + error covariance models applied to radiances and winds
 - Himawari specs used for AMVs
 - Convolved IASI radiances uses for radiance error estimation



Analysis Error Variance Difference – Zonal Average



- Error variance calculated relative to Nature Run truth
- Difference relative to CTL Blue (red) indicates addition of MISTiC obs reduced (increased) error
- Not shown, but 4PERF shows similar pattern, but with more improvement throughout



Forecast Skill – Z Anomaly Correlation P vs. time

- Forecast skill improvement apparent in perfect observations, less apparent in error-added experiments
 - Positive impact in all cases to day 2.5
 - Largest near surface in NH, consistent through column in SH
- 4ERR shows skill improvement, but lesser magnitude than 4PERF
 - Still significant at 5 days through most of troposphere in N. Hem
 - Significance loss at 4-5 days in S. Hem
 - 4PERF (not shown) maintains significance through all forecast hours





Forecast Impact (FSOI Metric)



Considering perfect observations, MISTiC has the potential for reducing 24 hr forecast error

- When realistic are applied, the radiance impact is reduced greatly



MISTIC AMV FSOI

Cloud and WV AMVs combined

- Sampling strategy results in consistent distribution through troposphere
- Shows highest impact measurements come from middle troposphere





MISTiC Radiance FSOI

FSOI by channel





Conclusions and Interpretation

The impact of four-orbital planes providing 'global' coverage

- Analysis error reduction showed primarily improvement with more observations in for U, T, and q
 - Small degradations are likely systematic in assimilation methodology (e.g. avoid highest moisture channels)
- Full constellation showed signs of significant forecast skill improvement in both hemispheres
- Metrics/improvements scale down when considering a single plane versus four

Inclusion of error model provides an indication of real benefit versus 'idealized' benefit

- Results consistently degraded when error model was included
- FSOI-indicated degradation due to shortwave radiances partially due to assimilation shortcomings

Overall, there is an expected benefit to be gained from MISTiC (or similar) constellation

- This OSSE helps quantify this benefit
- Provides some bounds to both 'expected' and 'ideal' impact

