https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20180005504 2019-08-31T14:58:20+00:00Z

1

Telescope Development for LISA

 Presented by Jeff Livas/GSFC For the US Telescope Team

LISA Consortium Meeting 11 April 2018

Telescope Team

- Product design lead (PDL): Ritva Keski-Kuha
- Optics: Hui Li with help from Garrett West, Joe Howard
- Scattered light: Shannon Sankar, Len Seals
- Mechanical: Michael Hersch, Alex Miller, Andrew Weaver, Joe Ivanov
- Thermal: Angel Davis
- Instrument scientists: Ryan DeRosa, Shannon Sankar
- UF: Guido Mueller, Paul Fulda, Joe Gleason, new postdoc, Alex Weaver

Telescope Functional Description

- Efficiently deliver power on-axis to the far spacecraft
- Simultaneous transmit and receive
- Afocal beam expander
	- 300 mm dia primary
	- 2.24 mm dia on bench
	- 134X magnification
- Conjugate pupils to minimize tilt to length coupling
	- Map angular motion of the spacecraft jitter to angular motion on the optical bench with minimum lateral beam walk or piston

Fitro

A11A2

Down Ink to Earth

- Application is precision length measurement NOT image formation
	- Keep optical pathlength stable to \sim 1 pm/ \sqrt{Hz}

Telespape

• Minimize coherent transmitter backscattered light LISA Consortium Meeting Apr 2018

Telescope Design Drivers

- Robust optical design
	- Adequate build tolerances
	- Adequate environmental sensitivity
		- Thermal
			- Steady state
			- Response to fluctuations
		- Vibration, shock
	- Adequate interface tolerances
- Acceptable scattered light performance
	- Reasonable particulate contamination requirements
- Robust mechanical design
	- Materials choice can handle loads and be thermally and mechanically stable
	- Can be manufactured on a small scale
- Acceptable cost, risk

Telescope Design

Designed with support for the baseline trades

- to be revisited mid-Phase A (MCR/Feb 2019)
- Breathing angle compensation scheme
	- Baseline is telescope pointing
	- Confirmation pending fibre reliability tests
	- Expectation is that the backlink fibre with full balanced detection can be made to work
		- Already demonstrated in the lab
- Optical truss
	- Baseline is not to include it
	- Plan is to build telescope with required level of stability
		- Previous testing at UF and GSFC show this should be possible
- PAAM (Point Ahead Adjustment Mechanism) metrology
	- Adopt a step-and-stare scheme
	- PAAM is fixed most of the time so no metrology needed

Key Telescope Milestones

- NASA plans to supply a telescope that meets LISA mission requirements
	- Not necessarily a specific design: ideally, pick the best one
	- Schedule is tight for adoption, so the 4-mirror design is baselined
- Baseline design to Phase A Industrial contractors April 2018
	- They study it
- Meanwhile, NASA develops the baseline design/prepares for procurement
- Procurement initiated Feb 2019 (pending confirmation of baseline trades and design at the Mission Consolidation Review (MCR))
	- 12 months for a mechanical model (Feb 2020)
	- 18 months for first optical model (Aug 2020)
	- 24 months for second optical model (Feb 2021)
- ISO TRL 5 (breadboard) delivery (Nov 2021)
- ISO TRL 6 (elegant breadboard) (Nov 2023)
- In parallel, UF will
	- Develop a facility for testing the dimensional stability
	- Develop a concept for an optical truss
	- Perform auxiliary scattered measurements

Note that if a different design is selected at the MCR, NASA will still build it but there will be a schedule delay

4-mirror Design Optimization

- 4-Mirror Design is the baseline
- Have been evaluating/optimizing the design
	- **No change in requirements/specifications**
	- **Just easier to build**
- Explored mirror positioning sensitivities and scattered light performance
- Parameters that were varied
	- M1-M2 separation
	- M1/M2 Magnification
	- optical surface shapes/figures
- Considering actuator for focus adjustment with M3/M4 grouping

Baseline vs Optimized Design

No change to requirements/specifications: just optimized to be easier to build

• Volume envelope (mm) is 520 x 520 x 1160

WFE Sensitivity vs micro-unit motion

Allowed motions per Degree of Freedom

Primary Mirror F/#

Scattered Light Performance: little difference

Modeled with FRED under similar surface roughness and contamination

Moveable Optical Sub Assembly (MOSA) Notional CAD Model

- **OB = 25 kg, ring = 8.1 kg if Zerodur**
- **Telescope = 33 kg**

LISA Consortium Meeting Apr 2018 • Volume envelope (mm) is 520 x 520 x 1160

Moveable Optical Sub Assembly (MOSA) Notional CAD Model

- Notional: need to agree on interfaces, etc including for testing at UF
- Modular to allow for alignment and integration of OB with telescope or GRS
- Lightweighting and structural analysis in progress

Lapped Interfaces

- Key is all-zerodur metering between telescope and OB
- Three lapped pads between telescope (orange) and OB Ring (green)
- Three lapped pads between GRS mount (grey) and OB Ring (green)
- Fastened with an athermalized bolt stackup (high CTE red washers). Bolts will be stretched and fastened; no torqueing at these interfaces.

Swapping the order of the OB and GRS

Basically swaps the positions of the large and small aperture pupils

6 mirror design required

Distance from M1 to the optical bench can be extended more easily

Design by Isabele Escudero Sanz/ESTECH

Pivot could be placed over GRS

Previous Work: SiC Spacer Dimensional Stability Demonstration

Spacer Activity Objective

- **– Develop and test a design for the main spacer element between the primary and secondary mirrors**
- **– M1 M2 spacing identified as critical by tolerance analysis**
- **– SiC meets stability requirement**
- **– On-axis Quadpod would not meet scattered light requirement**

SiC Spacer Design: QuadPod

Sanjuan, J., et al. *Rev Sci Instrum* **83**(11), 116107 (2012)

Thermal Model to Determine Test Conditions

Meets Requirements **SiC Spacer Design**

Previous Work: UF Test Facility

University of Florida: Telescope Length Stability Testing 2 year development phase

Telescope testbed for pm-tests:

- **• ULE/Zerodur/Clearceram structure**
- **• Three integrated optical cavities**
	- **1. Reference Cavity**
	- **2. Test cavity**
- **• Place telescope inside structure**
- **• Use cavity 2 for telescope cavity (next slide)**
- **• Reduce input beam size to ~300um**
	- **• Telescope output: 4.5cm waist**
- **• Open Questions: Losses/Finesse**
- **• Flip orientation to have > 30cm clear aperture**

Step 1: Shorter/smaller testbed of testbed (Size: TBD, ~20cm long)

- **• Test techniques to assemble structure (avoid non-reversible bonding techniques)**
- **• Design/testing of small optical bench/telescope/truss interface**

Step 2: Design final testbed for final telescope based on lessons learned

University of Florida: Telescope Length Stability Testing 2 year development phase

Telescope testbed for pm-tests:

- **• ULE/Zerodur/Clearceram structure**
- **• Three integrated optical cavities**
	- **1. Reference Cavity**
	- **2. Test cavity**
- **• Place telescope inside structure**
- **• Use cavity 2 for telescope cavity**
- **• Reduce input beam size to ~300um**
	- **• Telescope output: 4.5cm waist**
- **• Open Questions: Losses/Finesse**
- **• Flip orientation to have > 30cm clear aperture**

Step 1: Shorter/smaller testbed of testbed (Size: TBD, ~20cm long)

- **• Test techniques to assemble structure (avoid non-reversible bonding techniques)**
- **• Design/testing of small optical bench/telescope/truss interface**

Step 2: Design final testbed for final telescope based on lessons learned

University of Florida: Telescope Length Stability Testing 2 year development phase

Telescope testbed for pm-tests:

- **• ULE/Zerodur/Clearceram structure**
- **• Three integrated optical cavities**
	- **1. Reference Cavity**
	- **2. Test cavity**
- **• Place telescope inside structure**
- **• Use cavity 2 for telescope cavity**
- **• Reduce input beam size to ~300um**
	- **• Telescope output: 4.5cm waist**
- **• Open Questions: Losses/Finesse**
- **• Flip orientation to have > 30cm clear aperture**

Step 1: Shorter/smaller testbed of testbed (Size: TBD, ~20cm long)

- **• Test techniques to assemble structure (avoid non-reversible bonding techniques)**
- **• Design/testing of small optical bench/telescope/truss interface**

Step 2: Design final testbed for final telescope based on lessons learned

Summary

- **Robust 4 mirror design has been developed**
	- **Meets LISA requirements**
	- **Flexible**
	- **Buildable**
- **Schedule is tight to build and test**
- **Much work still to be done**
	- **Structural/thermal analysis and materials and joints testing as needed**
	- **Interface definition: Telescope-OB, but also complete MOSA**
	- **Testing definition: what can realistically be accomplished/needed for Adoption**
	- **Unit testing**
		- **WFE**
		- **Scattered light**
		- **Pathlength stability**
		- **Environmental testing**
	- **Higher level of integration testing**
		- **With optical bench**
		- **Far-field simulator**
		- **End-to-end simulator modeled on GRACE-FO test set-up?**