

University of Groningen

## Hidden Markov models for the analysis of next-generation-sequencing data

Taudt, Aaron Sebastian

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Taudt, A. S. (2018). Hidden Markov models for the analysis of next-generation-sequencing data. [Groningen]: University of Groningen.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## Hidden Markov Models for the Analysis of Next-Generation-Sequencing Data

1. Hidden Markov Models are an appropriate and useful technique for the analysis of Next-Generation-Sequencing data. (This thesis)
2. The following points are important for open and distributable scientific software: Quality of the analysis, ease-of-use, computational resource requirements, flexibility. Each point has to receive considerable attention during software development for the tool to become a success.
3. Simulation studies are useless for the purpose of showing the predictive superiority of one method over others. They are useful, however, for sanity checks, debugging, and comparison of computational resource requirements.
4. Science would benefit from a discussion about scientific success. Focusing on journal impact factors gives an incomplete picture, because other important aspects of scientific work are neglected.
5. Publication bias towards positive results leads to redundant work and a waste of resources (e.g. experiments with negative results that need to be repeated because they are not reported). A solution could be that journals accept to publish results before they are produced, based on the study design.
6. Scientific work requires creative thinking. Because long-term stress and creative thinking are negatively correlated, regulations that try to enforce scientific productivity (“publication pressure”) are likely to have an adverse effect on the quality of the science produced.